

The global information for land cover classification by dual-branch deep learning.

Fan Zhang, *Senior Member, IEEE*, MinChao Yan, Chen Hu, Jun Ni*, *Student Member, IEEE*, Fei Ma,

Abstract—Land cover classification has played an important role in remote sensing because it can intelligently identify things in one huge remote sensing image so as to reduce the work of human. However, a lot of classification methods are designed based on the pixel feature or limited spatial feature of the remote sensing image, which limits the classification accuracy and universality of their methods. This paper proposed a novel method to take into the information of remote sensing image, i.e. geographic latitude-longitude information. In addition, a dual-channel convolutional neural network (CNN) classification method is designed to mine pixel feature of image in combination with the global information simultaneously. Firstly, 1-dimensional network of CNN is designed to extract pixel information of remote sensing image, and the fully connected network (FCN) is employed to extract latitude-longitude feature. Then, their features of two neural networks are fused by another fully neural network to realize remote sensing image classification. Finally, two kinds of remote sensing, involving hyperspectral imaging (HSI) and polarimetric synthetic aperture radar (PolSAR), are used to verify the effectiveness of our method. The results of the proposed method is superior to the traditional single-channel convolutional neural network.

Index Terms—land cover classification, deep neural network, convolutional neural network, Hyperspectral image, PolSAR

I. INTRODUCTION

REMOTE sensing imagery has been used in various fields of civil and military application. The land cover classification is one of the most important tasks of remote sensing image. A land use object can contain many different land cover elements to form complex structures, and a specific land cover type can be a part of different land use objects [1], [2]. With those abundant spatial features and image information, classification methods can distinguish the types of ground objects with high accuracy. In the traditional pixel-based classification, the process of remote sensing image classification is to classify feedback signals according to the different absorption rate and reflectivity of surface materials [3].

Recently, the neural network (NN) has achieved great success in many visual tasks such as image recognition [4], object feature extraction [5], semantic segmentation, and so on. Owing to the powerful feature extraction ability of neural network, it has also generated widespread interest in remote sensing classification [6]. The convolutional neural

network (CNN) can extract more abstraction and invariable features in remote sensing image, and its superior classification performance has been proved [7]. As a result, researchers have focused their attention on developing NNs in the land cover classification of remote sensing [8]. In addition, 2-dimensional CNN is developed by using the block of remote sensing pixel so as to take into account spatial information [9]. The limited block of pixel cannot provide sufficient feature information, such as global information for one scenario, and the methods are often limited by the edges of the image, and the block of remote sensing pixel consumes a lot of memory resources and computing resources if the size of block is unreasonable.

Therefore, image segmentation is widely used for post-processing of remote sensing classification, e.g. Markov Random Fields (MRF) [10], [11]. Meanwhile, the global information have been used to take into account more spatial feature in feature extraction and classification [12], [13], [14]. Although local MRFs and CRFs utilize local nodal interaction when modeling, leading to excessive smoothness on boundaries. In the dense conditional random field (DenseCRF) method [14], one pixel is connected with all the other pixels to establish the energy function so as to capture non-local relations. Although the CRF method is widely used for post-processing of remote sensing classification, a large number of independent parameters limit its practical application. Their method inspired us to propose a novel method to combine the global feature of remote sensing image with traditional features of pixel.

In addition, dual-channel NN method is designed to extract pixel features and the coordinate feature, which has been proved its advantage in remote sensing classification [15]. In our method, one of channels is designed with CNN to extract the traditional pixel feature, while the fully connected network (FCN) [16] is designed to excavate the coordinate feature to supplement remote sensing feature. The features of two channels will be fused by addition, and the final decision classification results will be obtained by another fully connected network. Compared with the existing land cover classification methods, we made the following contributions:

- 1) The global information, that is the geographic latitude-longitude feature, is first proposed to enhance the remote sensing classification.
- 2) Aiming at the difference of the pixel feature and the geographic latitude-longitude feature and distinguishing the spatial feature and pixel feature, dual-channel NN method is designed to extract them respectively.

In order to verify the effectiveness of our method, two different datasets of remote sensing are used for experiments,

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61871413, 61801015. (Corresponding author: Jun Ni.)

F. Zhang, M. Yan, F. Ma and J. Ni are with the College of Information Science and Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, P.R.China.

C. Hu is with Sugon Information Industry Co., Ltd, Beijing 100193, P.R.China.

namely Hyperspectral image (HSI) and polarimetric synthetic aperture radar (PolSAR).

II. PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed method consists of two frameworks: pixel feature extraction and feature learning of global information. The overall architecture of the remote sensing image classification framework is shown in Figure 1.

The upper-channel neural network consists of 1-dimensional CNN, and the pixel feature vector of remote sensing is input into the network and output the extracted pixel feature. The upper-channel network is designed by the fully connected neural network. The fusion of the two channels network determines the final classification.

A. The construction of 1D-CNN for pixel feature.

The structure of CNN can be roughly divided into two parts. The first part is the feature extraction part composed of convolution and pooling operations, and the second part is the classification part mapping the extracted high-level abstract features for the classification. The convolution operation of CNN can be seen as feature selection of input data with different filter, through training the appropriate network structure can learn effectively filter parameters to replace the manual design of feature in traditional feature extraction method, and get better characteristics at the same time. The architecture of 1D-CNN in this paper is mainly designed for pixel extraction and the construction is shown in Figure 2.

In the Figure, a convolutional layer and a fully pooling layer are used for the pixel feature extraction, the relationship between the input and output of the convolution layer can be defined as

$$V_j = f(\sum_{i=1}^I W_{ij}^1 \odot X + b_j), \quad (1)$$

where V_j is the output of node j , W_{ij}^1 is the weighting matrix, \odot is the convolution operation, X is the input of pixel vector of remote sensing and b_j is the bias. $f(\cdot)$ is the activation function, in which *ReLU* is used for the activation function. In the convolution layer, the number of node is set to 20, the stride is 1, and the size of the convolution kernel is 1×10 .

Then the V_j will be processed by the pooling layer and the fully connected layer, which is expressed by

$$O = f(W^2 \cdot V + b), \quad (2)$$

where W^2 is a weight matrix composed of fully connected layers, and V is the result of the pooling layer, and O is the extraction feature of 1D-CNN. In fully connected layer, the dropout rate is 0.75, and the number of node is 100.

B. The construction of the coordinate information using FCN.

Since the coordinate information of remote sensing pixels has only two features, the fully connected neural network is implemented to extract their main information, which is shown in Figure 4.

Two layers of fully connected network are constructed, and the relationship between the input and output of each layer is

similar as Equation (2). In the first layer network, 256 nodes are used to expand the information of the coordinate vector. Then, 100 nodes are used for feature dimensionality reduction of the output result of the previous layer network in the second network. Finally, the coordinate feature vector is output from the second network.

C. The design of dual-channel neural network.

As shown in Figure 1, the dual-channel neural network is designed for fusion of the pixel feature vector of 1D-CNN and the coordinate feature vector of FCN. Supposed that O_1 is the pixel feature vector of 1D-CNN and O_2 is the coordinate feature vector of FCN, and their feature vectors are fused by vector addition

$$O_f = O_1 + O_2. \quad (3)$$

Then, the fusion vector O_f is operated in the fully connected network

$$P = f(W \cdot O_f + b), \quad (4)$$

where *softmax* function is used for the activate function, W is weighting matrix of fully connected network, and the final output of our method is P corresponding to the probability of different label.

In addition, the number of nodes corresponds to the number of category, *Adam* is used for the optimizer, and *cross entropy* is used for the loss function.

III. EXPERIMENTS

Two experiments are implemented to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method on two kinds of remote sensing datasets, including hyperspectral image in Indian Pines and SAR image in Flevoland respectively. In addition, the normalization processing is carried out on the original dataset before the experiments.

A. Two remote sensing datasets in the experiments.

The Indian Pine of HSI dataset and Flevoland of PolSAR are used to prove the effectiveness and universality of the proposed method. The ground truths are shown in the Figure 4.

In the first dataset, the size of the Indian Pine is 145×145 , the wavelength range of the spectrum is 0.4-2.5 microns, and the spatial resolution is 20m. After removing a few poorly performing spectra, 220 spectral channels are retained. The Indian Pine scene contains two thirds of the agriculture and one third of the forest or other natural perennial vegetation.

In the second dataset, the size of Flevoland is 750×1024 , which acquired by NASA/JPL AIRSAR system in Flevoland, Netherlands, August 1989 [17]. In the experiment, 107 features are adopted from different polarimetric descriptors, elements of coherency & covariance matrix, and the target decomposition theorems.




Fig. 1. The proposed remote sensing image classification framework.




Fig. 2. The construction of 1D-CNN for pixel feature.




Fig. 3. The construction of FCN for pixel feature.




Fig. 4. The ground truth of two datasets.




Fig. 5. The effect of epoch on the classification result.

B. The effect of epoch on the classification results.

In order to test the influence of epoch on classification accuracy, the impact of different epochs has been tested on the two datasets, which is shown in the Figure 5.

Although there are essential differences between the two datasets, the network can reach the optimal state when the training epochs are more than 200. Even if the epochs continue to increase, there is no overfitting in the network. In this paper, the epoch is set to 500 in two experiments.

C. Experiment results.

In order to prove the effectiveness of the proposed method, single channel CNN is also used for the comparative experiment [18]. The structure of single channel CNN is same as the first channel of our proposed method in the Figure 1, and the parameters of their networks are consistent. The overall accuracy (OA), average accuracy (AA), and Kappa coefficient are used as the evaluation criteria for classification results.

In the first experiment, available ground truth is designated in 16 categories in HSI. Around 5% training sets are randomly selected from the labeled samples, and the remaining samples are selected for test samples. The classification result is shown in Table I.

Compared with single CNN, the classification accuracy of our method has been greatly improved. Although the classification accuracy of Alfalfa in single CNN is only 8.7%, its classification accuracy can reach 97.83% when the coordinate information is introduced in our method. In addition, the classification accuracy of Corn-notill, Corn-mintill, Corn, Oats, Soybean-clean, and Buildings-Grass can be improved by more than 50%. Compared with the 60.18% of OA, 50.68% of AA and 0.54 of Kappa in the single CNN, our method can reach 94.59%, 88.55% and 0.94.

TABLE I
THE CLASSIFICATION RESULT OF INDIAN PINE IN HSI.

Category	Test samples	Training samples	Single CNN	Proposed method
Alfalfa	46	3	8.7	97.83
Corn-notill	1428	72	39.92	90.62
Corn-mintill	830	42	32.53	89.28
Corn	237	12	21.94	99.16
Grass-pasture	483	25	54.24	96.89
Grass-trees	730	37	91.37	100
Grass-pasture-mowed	28	2	17.86	21.43
Hay-windrowed	478	24	95.19	100
Oats	20	2	20	60
Soybean-notill	972	49	42.7	88.48
Soybean-mintill	2455	123	71.36	96.95
Soybean-clean	593	30	26.31	85.67
Wheat	205	11	89.76	99.51
Woods	1265	64	93.44	99.92
Buildings-Grass	386	20	31.35	99.74
Stone-Steel	93	5	74.19	91.4
OA	-	-	60.18	94.59
AA	-	-	50.68	88.55
Kappa	-	-	0.54	0.94

In the second experiment, there are 11 different land cover types marked in the ground truth in PolSAR, and 1% of the labeled samples are selected as the training samples. The classification result is shown in Table II.

TABLE II
THE CLASSIFICATION RESULT OF FLEVOLAND PINE IN POLSAR.

Category	Test samples	Training samples	Single CNN	Proposed method
Stem beans	41	4121	91.87	97.84
Forest	101	10109	78.73	99.94
Potato	48	4848	81.37	98.33
Alfalfa	51	5132	96.34	99.55
Wheat	145	14587	86.89	99.81
Bare land	34	3451	94.96	100
Beet	39	3977	85.77	92.13
Rapeseed	124	12469	90.2	99.62
Water	53	5337	86.6	98.22
Pea	29	2938	87.24	99.39
Grassland	12	1219	99.26	100
OA	-	-	87.46	98.97
AA	-	-	89.02	98.62
Kappa	-	-	0.86	0.99

Although the improvement of classification accuracy in the second experiment is not as much as that of classification accuracy in the first experiment, the advantage of our method is still obvious. Among all categories, the classification accuracy of Forest has the largest improvement, increased from 78.73% to 99.94%. The classification accuracy of all categories has been improved to varying degrees, and the classification accuracy of Potato, Wheat, Water and Pea increased by more than 10%. As a result, OA is improved from 87.46% to 98.97%, AA is improved from 89.02% to 98.62%, and Kappa coefficient is improved from 0.86 to 0.99.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper proposed a novel method for the land cover classification of remote sensing imagery, which introduced the coordinate information to enhance the expression of pixel

feature. Dual-channel network is designed to learn spatial feature and pixel feature, respectively. By addition, the features of two channels are fused, and then the final classification task is realized by FCN. Finally, two experiments have been conducted to prove the effectiveness of the improved method with two kinds of remote sensing datasets, involving Hyperspectral image and PolSAR image.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. Goodin, K. L. Anibas, and M. Bezymennyi, "Mapping land cover and land use from object-based classification: an example from a complex agricultural landscape," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 36, no. 18, pp. 4702–4723, 2015.
- [2] X.-Y. Tong, G.-S. Xia, Q. Lu, H. Shen, S. Li, S. You, and L. Zhang, "Land-cover classification with high-resolution remote sensing images using transferable deep models," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 237, p. 111322, 2020.
- [3] K. Bhosle and V. Musande, "Evaluation of deep learning cnn model for land use land cover classification and crop identification using hyperspectral remote sensing images," *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, vol. 47, pp. 1949–1958, 2019.
- [4] X. Ma, J. Geng, and H. Wang, "Hyperspectral image classification via contextual deep learning," *Eurasip Journal on Image & Video Processing*, vol. 2015, no. 1, p. 20, 2015.
- [5] F. Zhang, Y. Wang, J. Ni, Y. Zhou, and W. Hu, "Sar target small sample recognition based on cnn cascaded features and adaboost rotation forest," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, pp. 1–5, 2019.
- [6] Y. Song, Z. Zhang, R. K. Baghbaderani, F. Wang, Y. Qu, C. Stuttsy, and H. Qi, "Land cover classification for satellite images through 1d cnn," in *2019 10th Workshop on Hyperspectral Imaging and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS)*, 2019, pp. 1–5.
- [7] O. Stephen, O. Stephen, S. Ibrokhimov, and K. L. Hui, "A multiple-loss dual-output convolutional neural network for fashion class classification," in *2019 21st International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT)*, 2019.
- [8] P. Zhong and Z. Gong, "A hybrid dbn and crf model for spectral-spatial classification of hyperspectral images," *Statistics, Optimization and Information Computing*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 75–98, 2017.
- [9] A. Rakhlin, A. Davydov, and S. Nikolenko, "Land cover classification from satellite imagery with u-net and lovsz-softmax loss," in *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*, 2018.
- [10] X. Cao, F. Zhou, L. Xu, D. Meng, Z. Xu, and J. Paisley, "Hyperspectral image classification with markov random fields and a convolutional neural network," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing A Publication of the IEEE Signal Processing Society*, pp. 1–1, 2018.
- [11] C. Danilla, C. Persello, V. Tolpekin, and J. R. Bergado, "Classification of multitemporal sar images using convolutional neural networks and markov random fields," in *2017 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS)*, 2017, pp. 2231–2234.
- [12] Z. Zhongyang, C. Yinglei, S. Xiaosong, Q. Xianxiang, and L. Xin, "Terrain classification of lidar point cloud based on multi-scale features and pointnet," *Laser & Optoelectronics Progress*, vol. 56, no. 5, p. 052804, 2019.
- [13] D. Wolf, J. Prankl, and M. Vincze, "Fast semantic segmentation of 3d point clouds using a dense crf with learned parameters," in *international conference on robotics and automation*, 2015, pp. 4867–4873.
- [14] P. Krahenbuhl and V. Koltun, "Efficient inference in fully connected crfs with gaussian edge potentials," in *international conference on robotics and automation*, 2011, pp. 109–117.
- [15] Y. Chen, Z. Lin, Z. Xing, W. Gang, and Y. Gu, "Deep learning-based classification of hyperspectral data," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations & Remote Sensing*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 2094–2107, 2014.
- [16] T. Liu and A. E. Amr, "An object-based image analysis method for enhancing classification of land covers using fully convolutional networks and multi-view images of small unmanned aerial system," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 457, 2018.
- [17] F. Zhang, J. Ni, Q. Yin, W. Li, Z. Li, Y. Liu, and W. Hong, "Nearest-regularized subspace classification for polsar imagery using polarimetric feature vector and spatial information," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 9, no. 11, p. 1114, 2017.

- [18] W. Hu, Y. Huang, L. Wei, F. Zhang, and H. Li, "Deep convolutional neural networks for hyperspectral image classification," *Journal of Sensors*, vol. 2015, no. 2015, pp. 1–12, 2015.