Smoothing operators for vector-valued functions and extension operators

Helge Glöckner

Abstract

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. Given a locally convex topological vector space F, endow $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ with the compact-open C^{ℓ} topology. For $\ell < \infty$, we describe a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous linear operators $S_n : C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$ such that $S_n(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$, and $S_n(\gamma) \in F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that $C^{\ell}(R, F) = F \bigotimes_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, complete locally convex space F and convex subset $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with dense interior. Moreover, we study the existence of continuous linear right inverses for restriction maps $C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}(R, F), \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_R$ for subsets $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with dense interior. As an application, we construct continuous linear right inverses for restriction operators between spaces of sections in vector bundles in many situations, and smooth local right inverses for restriction operators between manifolds of mappings. We also obtain smoothing results for sections in fibre bundles.

1 Introduction and statement of the results

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset, and F be a locally convex, Hausdorff, real topological vector space (which need not be complete). Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we let $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ be the vector space of all continuous functions $\gamma \colon \Omega \to F$ such that the partial dervatives $\partial^{\alpha}\gamma \colon \Omega \to F$ exist for all multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^d$ with $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d \leq \ell$, and are continuous functions.

A subset $R \subseteq X$ of a topological space X is said to be a *regular subset* if its interior R^0 (relative X) is dense in R. Given a regular subset $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we let $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ be the vector space of all continuous functions $\gamma \colon R \to F$ whose restriction $\gamma|_{R^0}$ is in $C^{\ell}(R^0, F)$, and such that $\partial^{\alpha}(\gamma|_{R^0}) \colon R^0 \to F$ extends to a (necessarily unique) continuous function $\partial^{\alpha}\gamma \colon R \to F$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ such that $|\alpha| \leq \ell$. We give $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology, viz. the initial topology with respect to the maps $C^{\ell}(R, F) \to C(R, F)$, $\gamma \mapsto \partial^{\alpha}\gamma$ for $|\alpha| \leq \ell$, where the space C(R, F) of continuous functions carries the compactopen topology.¹

Standard arguments show that the linear map

$$\theta \colon F \otimes C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R}) \to C^{\ell}(R,F)$$

determined by $\theta(v \otimes \gamma) = \gamma v \colon R \to F, x \mapsto \gamma(x)v$ is injective, and we write $F \otimes C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R})$ for its image. If $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R,F)$, then $\gamma \in F \otimes C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R})$ if and only

¹Which coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of R.

if the \mathbb{R} -linear span of $\gamma(R)$ in F has finite dimension.²

For an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, it is well known that $F \otimes C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ (see, e.g., [60, Proposition 44.2]). We obtain a finer result concerning smoothing operators. Given a compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$, let $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \subseteq C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ be the vector subspace of all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ with support $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subseteq K$. Recall that a sequence $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compact subsets of a locally compact topological space X is called a *compact exhaustion* of X if $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ holds and K_n is contained in the interior K_{n+1}^0 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set, F be a locally convex space, $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ be a compact exhaustion of Ω , and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exist continuous linear operators

$$S_n: C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following properties:

- (a) $S_n(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ as $n \to \infty$, for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$;
- (b) $S_n(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^{\infty}_{K_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$; and
- (c) $S_m(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^{\infty}_{K_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge n$, and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}_{K_n}(\Omega, F)$.

The convergence in (a) is uniform for γ in compact subsets of $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ (see Remark 3.7). Compact convergence of smoothing operators is essential for the proof of our main smoothing result in fibre bundles (Theorem 14.5).

We mention that the smoothing operators are not constructed with the help of convolutions (as in other approaches, like [60, Proposition 44.2]). Rather, we use ℓ th order Taylor polynomials around suitable points and mix them using a partition of unity. Note that F need not satisfy any completeness properties.

Our notation concerning topological tensor products of locally convex spaces is as in [60]. Recall that a locally convex space F is called *complete* if each Cauchy net in F is convergent; it is called *sequentially complete* if each Cauchy sequence converges. Using Theorem 1.1, we show:

Proposition 1.2 Let F be a complete locally convex space, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex subset with dense interior. Then

$$C^{\ell}(R,F) \cong F \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R})$$

as a locally convex space.

The proposition and its proof are analogous to the well-known case of open domains (see, e.g., [60, Theorem 44.1]). Using the preceding proposition, we see that continuous linear extension operators exist for vector-valued functions whenever they exist for scalar-valued functions (as long as the range is sequentially complete).

²The necessity of this condition is clear. If the span *E* has finite dimension, let b_1, \ldots, b_n be a basis for *E* and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be continuous linear functionals $F \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $v = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(v)b_j$ for all $v \in E$. Then $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \otimes (\lambda_j \circ \gamma)$.

Theorem 1.3 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed, convex subset with dense interior. Assume that the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}) \to C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R})$$

has a continuous linear right inverse. Then the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}(R, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse, for each sequentially complete locally convex space F.

Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\|\cdot\|_2$ be the euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d and $B_r(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|y - x\|_2 < r\}$ be the open ball around $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of radius r > 0. The following definition from [53] (which is formulated there for closed subsets of metric spaces)³ combines conditions from [7] and [18].

Definition 1.4 A closed, regular subset $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to satisfy the *cusp* condition if (a) and (b) are satisfied:

- (a) R has no narrow fjords, viz., for each $x \in R$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a compact neighbourhood K of x in R and C > 0 such that all $y, z \in K$ can be joined by a rectifyable curve γ lying inside R^0 except perhaps for finitely many points, and the path length $L(\gamma)$ satisfies $L(\gamma) \leq C \sqrt[n]{\|z - y\|_2}$.
- (b) R has at worst polynomial outward cusps, viz., for all compact subsets $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, there exist $\varepsilon_0, \rho > 0$ and $r \ge 1$ such that for all $z \in K \cap \partial R$ and $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, there is an $x \in R$ with $||x z||_2 < \varepsilon$ such that $B_{\rho\varepsilon^r}(x) \subseteq R$.

Remark 1.5 Condition (a) ensures that $C^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$ can be identified with the locally convex space $\mathcal{E}(R)$ of smooth Whitney jets on R (see [7]). Due to condition (b), a result of Frerick [18] provides a continuous right inverse for the natural map $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{E}(R)$. Combining these facts, one concludes (see [53, Corollary 3.5]):

If $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a closed, regular subset which satisfies the cusp condition, then the restriction operator

$$C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}) \to C^{\infty}(R,\mathbb{R})$$

has a continuous linear right inverse.

Every convex, closed subset $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with dense interior satisfies the cusp condition (as recalled in Lemma 4.8). Applying Theorem 1.3 to the extension operators by Roberts and Schmeding described in Remark 1.5, we obtain:

³The authors require in (b) that $||y - x|| < \rho \varepsilon^r$ implies $y \in R$ and $||z - y|| < \varepsilon$, but the condition $||z - y|| < \varepsilon$ is automatic for suitable choices of ε_0 and ρ , whence we chose to omit it in the formulation.

Corollary 1.6 Let F be a sequentially complete locally convex space, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed, convex subset with dense interior. Then the restriction map

$$C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\infty}(R, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse.

We also have results concerning extensions of functions on infinite-dimensional domains. It is possible to define C^{ℓ} -functions $R \to F$ if R is a regular subset of a locally convex space E (see Definition 2.3, which follows [64]), and to endow $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ with a compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology (see Definition 2.12). Extension operators for vector-valued functions to arbitrary locally convex spaces were studied in the recent work [32] by Hanusch, generalizing classical results by Seeley [56]. Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, numbers $-\infty \leq a < \tau < b < \infty$, locally convex spaces E and F and a regular subset $R \subseteq E$, Hanusch defines (rewritten in our notation)⁴ a linear map

$$\mathcal{E}_{\tau} \colon C^{\ell}([a,b] \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}([a,\infty[\times R, F)$$
(1)

which is a right inverse for the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(]a, \infty[\times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(]a, b] \times R, F).$$

He obtains estimates for the extended functions (see [32, Theorem 1, part 2)]), but did not prove continuity of \mathcal{E}_{τ} with respect to the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology (he broaches continuity questions in [32, Remark 4] but considers them out of the scope of his article). Using Hanusch's estimates, we shall observe:

Proposition 1.7 For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, Hanusch's extension operators

$$\mathcal{E}_{\tau} \colon C^{\ell}([a,b] \times R,F) \to C^{\ell}([a,\infty[\times R,F)])$$

are continuous if we use the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on domain and range.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-\infty \leq a_j < \tau_j < b_j < \infty$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, Hanusch also obtained extension operators

$$C^{\ell}(]a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times]a_n, b_n] \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(]a_1, \infty[\times \dots \times]a_n, \infty[\times R, F).$$
(2)

As these are, essentially, compositions of extension operators of the form (1), they are continuous (see Remark 5.3 for details). We deduce (see Section 1.8):

$$C^{\ell}(]a,b] \times R,F) \to \mathcal{C}^{\ell}_{\mathcal{V}}((a,b) \times V,F), \ \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_{]a,b[\times V]}$$

therefore is an isomorphism of vector spaces with inverse $f \mapsto \text{Ext}(f, 0)$.

⁴The open set $V := R^0$ is dense in $\mathcal{V} := R$, whence a function space $\mathcal{C}^{\ell}_{\mathcal{V}}((a, b) \times V, F)$ can be defined as in [32]. Given $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(]a, b] \times R, F)$, we have $f := \gamma|_{V} \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{V}}((a, b) \times V, F)$ as the differentials $d^{(j)}\gamma :]a, b] \times R \times (\mathbb{R} \times E)^j$ (as in Proposition 2.4) are continuous extensions (denoted $\operatorname{Ext}(f, j)$ by Hanusch) of the $d^{(j)}f$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $j \leq \ell$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\ell}_{\mathcal{V}}((a, b) \times V, F)$, then $\gamma := \operatorname{Ext}(f, 0) \in C^{\ell}(]a, b] \times R, F)$ as $\gamma|_{]a,b[\times R^0} = f$ is C^{ℓ} and the $\operatorname{Ext}(f, j)$ are continuous extensions for the $d^{(j)}(\gamma|_{]a,b[\times R^0)} = d^{(j)}f$. The restriction map

Corollary 1.8 For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{0, \ldots, d\}$ and locally convex space F, the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}([0, \infty[^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d-m}, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse. Moreover, the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}([0, 1]^d, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse.

We observe that extension operators for smooth functions on a domain S automatically induce extension operators for smooth functions on $R \times S$.

Proposition 1.9 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed, regular subset, E and F be locally convex spaces and $R \subseteq E$ be a regular subset. If the restriction map

$$C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\infty}(S, F)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse $C^{\infty}(S, F) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$, then also the restriction map

$$C^{\infty}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\infty}(R \times S, F)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse.

An analogous result for C^{ℓ} -functions (Proposition 6.1) is more technical and requires additional hypotheses.

While the previous results just discussed were devoted to functions on open (or more general) subsets of locally convex spaces, in the second part of the article we turn to questions concerning vector-valued functions on manifolds, mappings to Lie groups modelled on locally convex spaces, and sections in vector bundles or fibre bundles.

Beyond C^{ℓ} -manifolds modelled on locally convex spaces, we consider C^{ℓ} -manifolds with rough boundary modelled on locally convex, regular subsets of locally convex spaces, with chart changes taking interior to interior (see [29]). The familiar C^{ℓ} -manifolds with corners (as in [12], [14], [43]) are a prominent special case.⁵ More generally, some results are valid for rough C^{ℓ} -manifolds modelled on arbitrary regular subsets of locally convex spaces (again with chart changes taking interior to interior), as in [27], and are formulated accordingly (see 7.1 for more information on the preceding concepts). Our main interest, however, are ordinary manifolds and their most natural generalizations, like manifolds with corners or with rough boundary, on which many central aspects of mathematical analysis work very well (cf. [29]).

Note that every regular subset $R \subseteq M$ of a C^{ℓ} -manifold M inherits a rough

⁵Compare [42] for infinite-dimensional analogues in the context of continuously Fréchet differentiable mappings between Banach spaces.

 C^{ℓ} -manifold structure (and likewise if M is a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold).⁶

Combining our results concerning extension operators for vector-valued functions with localization techniques as in [53], we obtain results concerning continuous linear extension operators between spaces of sections in vector bundles, and results concerning locally defined smooth extension operators between manifolds of mappings of the form $C^{\ell}(M, N)$. In Section 7, we describe these findings, with proofs in Section 9. We record two sample results, with terminology as in Sections 7 and 9. Notably, the reader is referred to Definitions 7.3 and 7.7 for the relevant concepts of submanifolds. The first theorem combines Proposition 7.12 and Theorem 7.13. For case (a), see already [53, §4].

Theorem 1.10 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, F be a locally convex space and M be a paracompact, locally compact rough C^{ℓ} -manifold. Let $L \subseteq M$ be a closed subset. Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied.

- (a) l = ∞, M is a σ-compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, L ⊆ M a regular subset satisfying the cusp condition with respect to the metric arising from a Riemannian metric (as in [53, Definition 3.1]) and F has finite dimension;
- (b) $\ell = \infty, L \subseteq M$ is a full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary and F sequentially complete;
- (c) $L \subseteq M$ is a full-dimensional submanifold with corners; or
- (d) $L \subseteq M$ is a split rough submanifold.

Then the following holds for each C^{ℓ} -vector bundle $E \to M$ with typical fibre F:

(i) The restriction map

$$\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_L)$$

between spaces of C^{ℓ} -sections admits a continuous linear right inverse;

(ii) The restriction map

$$\Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(E|_L)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse (for the spaces of compactly supported C^{ℓ} -sections in the vector bundles).

1.11 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If M is a paracompact, locally compact, rough C^{ℓ} -manifold (or a paracompact, locally compact topological space, if $\ell = 0$), and N a smooth manifold modelled on a locally convex space F such that N admits a local addition (see, e.g., [4] for this concept), then $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ admits a smooth manifold structure independent of the local addition (see [27]). In fact, in the case of compact M, the manifold structure on $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ can be constructed as in [4], noting that the vital exponential laws for manifolds with rough boundary in [3] remain valid for rough manifolds (the proof given in [29] can be adapted

⁶These are the 'full-dimensional submanifolds' encountered later, see Remark 7.8.

with minor modifications, as explained in [27]). For general M, let $(K_j)_{j \in J}$ be a locally finite family of compact, regular subsets of M whose interiors K_j^0 cover M; then the image $S := \rho(C^{\ell}(M, N))$ of the injective map

$$\rho \colon C^{\ell}(M,N) \to \prod_{j \in J}^{\mathrm{fb}} C^{\ell}(K_j,N), \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{K_j})_{j \in J}$$

is a submanifold of the fine box product of the smooth manifolds $C^{\ell}(K_j, N)$, and we endow $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ with the smooth manifold structure turning $\rho|^S$ into a C^{∞} -diffeomorphism (see [27] for details).

1.12 We here use the following concept: If $(M_j)_{j \in J}$ is any family of smooth manifolds modelled in locally convex spaces, we endow the cartesian product $P := \prod_{j \in J} M_j$ with the so-called *fine box topology* $\mathcal{O}_{\rm fb}$, the final topology with respect to the mappings

$$\psi_{\phi} \colon \left(\bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j\right) \cap \prod_{j \in J} U_j \to P, \ (x_j)_{j \in J} \to (\phi_j^{-1}(x_j))_{j \in J}, \tag{3}$$

for $\phi := (\phi_j)_{j \in J}$ ranging through the families of charts $\phi_j : U_j \to V_j \subseteq E_j$ of M_j such that $0 \in V_j$; here $E_{\phi} := \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j$ is endowed with the locally convex direct sum topology, and the left-hand side V_{ϕ} of (3), which is an open subset of E_{ϕ} , is endowed with the topology induced by E_{ϕ} . Then $U_{\phi} := \psi_{\phi}(V_{\phi})$ is open in P, ψ_{ϕ} is a homeomorphism onto its image and the maps $(\psi_{\phi}|^{U_{\phi}})^{-1} : U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ are smoothly compatible (as a consequence of [22, Proposition 7.1]) and hence form an atlas for a C^{∞} -manifold structure on P. Following [27], we write P^{fb} for P, endowed with the topology \mathcal{O}_{fb} and the smooth manifold structure just described, and call P^{fb} the fine box product.⁷

As to the smooth manifold structure on $C^{\ell}(M, N)$, see [4] for the special case where M is a compact C^{∞} -manifold with rough boundary (or already [53] if $\ell = \infty$ and N is a Fréchet manifold); [43] for the case where M is a paracompact, finite-dimensional smooth manifold with corners and dim $(N) < \infty$ (for compact manifolds M and finite-dimensional N, see also [16] and [63]; for discussions in the convenient setting, see [40]).

The concept of a submersion is recalled in Definition 2.18. Theorem 7.15 subsumes the following result.

Theorem 1.13 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a paracompact, locally compact, rough C^{ℓ} -manifold. Let $L \subseteq M$ be a closed subset and N be a smooth manifold modelled on a locally convex space F. If N admits a smooth local addition, then the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(M,N) \to C^{\ell}(L,N), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_L$$

is a smooth submersion in each of the following cases:

⁷An analogous construction works for families $(M_j)_{j \in J}$ of C^{ℓ} -manifolds for finite ℓ , with a possible loss of one order of differentiability if J is uncountable (see [27]).

- (a) $\ell = \infty$, M is a Riemannian manifold without boundary, $L \subseteq M$ is a regular subset satisfying the cusp condition, and dim $(F) < \infty$ (cf. [53]);
- (b) $\ell = \infty$, L is a full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary of M and F is sequentially complete;
- (c) L is a full-dimensional submanifold with corners of M; or
- (d) $L \subseteq M$ is a split rough submanifold.

We mention that the conclusions of Theorems 1.10 and 1.13 remain valid if $\ell = 0, M$ is any metrizable, locally compact topological space and $L \subseteq M$ any closed subset (see Proposition 7.19). This is a consequence of the Dugundji Extension Theorem [15], which establishes a linear extension operator

$$\mathcal{E}\colon C(Y,F)\to C(X,F)$$

for each locally convex space F, metric space X and closed subset $Y \subseteq X$. As the image of $\mathcal{E}(f)$ is contained in the convex hull of f(Y) for each $f \in C(Y, F)$, it is clear that \mathcal{E} restricts to a continuous linear map

$$BC(Y,F) \to BC(X,F)$$
 (4)

between spaces of bounded continuous functions, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence (as already observed in [15] for the special case $F = \mathbb{R}$).

We obtain a variant of Dugundji's extension operators by a simple construction, which can dispense with the complicated geometry pervading the work [15]. In the simplified approach, we can establish continuity with respect to the compactopen topology under mild hypotheses:

Proposition 1.14 Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. If Y is locally compact or Y is complete in the metric induced by d, then the restriction map

$$C(X, F) \to C(Y, F)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse, for each locally convex space F.

The conclusion of Proposition 1.14 remains valid if X is any paracompact topological space and $Y \subseteq X$ a closed, locally compact, metrizable subset (see Corollary 7.21), or if X is a completely regular topological space and $Y \subseteq X$ a compact, metrizable subset (see Corollary 8.6).

Extension operators also play a role in the approach to manifolds of mappings pursued in [44].

Using Theorem 1.1, we show that the test function group $C_c^{\infty}(M, G)$ is dense in the Lie group $C_0(M, G)$ of continuous functions vanishing at infinity for each finite-dimensional smooth manifold M and Lie group G modelled on a locally convex space, and (for paracompact M) also in the Lie group $C_c^{\ell}(M, G)$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (see Propositions 10.12 and 10.13, which include results for locally compact rough manifolds and locally compact manifolds with rough boundary). Moreover, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$ is dense in the Lie group $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$ of rapidly decreasing G-valued smooth mappings on \mathbb{R}^d for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Remark 10.17).⁸ This information is used in [24] to calculate the homotopy groups of the Lie groups $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$; the result is that

$$\pi_k(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)) \cong \pi_{k+d}(G)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (as conjectured and formulated as an open problem in [10]). For the density of $C_c^{\infty}(M, G)$ in $C_c(M, G)$ when M is a σ -compact finite-dimensional smooth manifold without boundary, cf. already [47, Theorem A.3.3] or [48, Lemma A.5], where also the inclusion map $C_c^{\infty}(M, G) \to C_0(M, G)$ is considered and shown to be a weak homotopy equivalence (see [48, Theorem A.10]).

We also obtain information on function spaces on locally compact rough C^{ℓ} manifolds. For example, we show that $C^{\ell}(M, F) \cong F \otimes_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, locally compact C^{ℓ} -manifold M with rough boundary and complete locally convex space F (see Proposition 11.1(b)). Using extension operators as a tool, we deduce (see Proposition 11.5):

Proposition 1.15 If M is a locally compact C^{∞} -manifold with rough boundary and F a nuclear locally convex space, then also $C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is nuclear. In particular, $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is nuclear. If M is σ -compact and F a nuclear Fréchet space, then $C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is a nuclear Fréchet space.

As a tool, we construct smoothing operators for vector-valued functions also on manifolds with corners, notably on $[0, 1]^d$ (Lemma 12.3).

Recall that a Hausdorff topological space X is called a k-space if a subset $A \subseteq X$ is closed if and only if $A \cap K$ is closed for each compact subset $K \subseteq X$. If X is a k-space, then a function $f: X \to Y$ to a topological space Y is continuous if and only if $f|_K$ is continuous for each compact subset $K \subseteq X$. It is well known and easy to check that every locally compact Hausdorff space is a k-space, and every metrizable topological space.

A Hausdorff topological space X is called a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space if for every real-valued function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, continuity of f is equivalent to continuity of $f|_K$ for each compact subset $K \subseteq X$ (the same then holds for functions from X to completely regular topological spaces). Every k-space is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, but not conversely. For example, the product topology makes $\prod_{j \in J} X_j$ a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space for each family $(X_j)_{j \in J}$ of locally compact Hausdorff spaces ([50], also [28]); but \mathbb{R}^J fails to be a k-space if the cardinality of J is at least 2^{\aleph_0} (see, e.g., [29, Remark A.5.16]).

For later use, we prove the following result (see Corollary 13.13), which slightly generalizes previous findings in [23]:

Proposition 1.16 Let E, F, and X be locally convex spaces, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, and $R \subseteq X$ be a regular subset. Let $\gamma \colon R \to \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ be a C^{ℓ} -map to the space

⁸More generally, we study density of $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, G)$ in Walter's weighted mapping groups on an open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ (as in [61]), see Proposition 10.16.

of continuous linear operators, endowed with the compact-open topology. Let $\eta: R \to E$ be a C^{ℓ} -map. If $R \times X$ is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, then

$$R \to F, \quad x \mapsto \gamma(x)(\eta(x))$$

is a C^{ℓ} -map.

An analogous result is obtained if $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is replaced with a space of C^{ℓ} -functions (Proposition 13.4), and also for the composition map between spaces of linear operators instead of the evaluation map (Corollary 13.12). Regarding the composition map on spaces of differentiable functions, we obtain the following (as a special case of Proposition 13.11):

Proposition 1.17 Let E, F, X, and Z be locally convex spaces, $A \subseteq Z$ and $R \subseteq X$ be regular subsets, and $S \subseteq E$ be a locally convex, regular subset. Assume that $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\gamma \colon A \to C^{\ell+k}(S, F)$ and $\eta \colon A \to C^{\ell}(R, E)$ be C^k -maps such that $\eta(z)(R) \subseteq S$ for all $z \in A$. If $R \times X$ and $A \times Z$ are $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces, then

$$\zeta \colon A \to C^{\ell}(R, F), \quad z \mapsto \gamma(z) \circ \eta(z)$$

is a C^k -map.

We mention that each rough manifold M has a formal boundary $\partial^{\circ} M \subseteq M$; its complement M° is called the formal interior of M (see 7.1). While C^{ℓ} maps from a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold M to a C^{ℓ} -manifold N (or a C^{ℓ} -manifold Nwith rough boundary) can be defined in a straightforward fashion, C^{ℓ} -maps $f: M \to N$ between general rough C^{ℓ} -manifolds M and N can only be defined if $f(M^{\circ}) \subseteq N^{\circ}$; to keep the concepts apart, we call the latter maps *restricted* C^{ℓ} -maps (or RC^{ℓ} -maps, for short).

In the penultimate section, we establish smoothing results for sections in fibre bundles. Our main theorem in this context (Theorem 14.5) generalizes a result in [65] devoted to the case $(\ell, r) = (0, \infty)$ (which assumes that M is a connected C^{∞} -manifold with corners, and gives less detailed information concerning properties of the homotopies). Wockel's result, in turn, generalizes a classical fact by Steenrod (§6.7 in [58]). In the case $r = \infty$, our result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.18 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\ell = 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact rough C^{∞} -manifold; if $\ell > 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact C^{∞} -manifold with corners. Let $\pi \colon N \to M$ be a locally trivial smooth fibre bundle over M whose fibres are smooth manifolds modelled on locally convex spaces. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$, $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$ be a neighbourhood of σ in the Whitney C^{ℓ} -topology, $U \subseteq M$ be open and $A \subseteq M$ be a closed subset such that σ is smooth on an open neighbourhood of $A \setminus U$ in M. Let V_{reg} be the largest open subset of M on which σ is smooth. Then there exists a section $\tau \in \Omega$ and a homotopy $H \colon [0,1] \times M \to N$ from $\sigma = H(0, \cdot)$ to $\tau = H(1, \cdot)$ such that $H_t := H(t, \cdot) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and the following holds:

(a) $\sigma|_{M \setminus U} = H_t|_{M \setminus U}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$;

- (b) H_t is smooth on V_{reg} , for all $t \in [0, 1]$;
- (c) There exists an open neighbourhood W of A in M such that $H_t|_W$ is smooth for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Moreover, one can achieve that H is $RC^{0,\ell}$, the restriction $H|_{[0,1]\times M}$ is $RC^{\infty,\ell}$, and the restriction of H to a map $[0,1] \times (V_{\text{reg}} \cup W) \to N$ is smooth.

Here, we used the following concepts. Consider a map $f: R \times S \to F$, where R and S are regular subsets of locally convex spaces E_1 and E_2 , respectively, and F is a locally convex space. Given $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, the map f is called $C^{k,\ell}$ if f is continuous, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $i \leq k$ and $j \leq \ell$, we can form iterated directional derivatives of f at $(x, y) \in R^0 \times S^0$ in the second variable in directions $w_1, \ldots, w_j \in E_2$, followed by iterated directional derivatives in the first variable in directions $v_1, \ldots, v_i \in E_1$, and the F-valued function of $(x, y, v_1, \ldots, v_i, w_1, \ldots, w_j) \in R^0 \times S^0 \times E_1^i \times E_2^j$ so obtained admits a continuous extension

$$d^{(i,j)}f \colon R \times S \times E_1^i \times E_2^j \to F$$

(see 2.17 for details). Testing in charts, one obtains a concept of $C^{k,\ell}$ -maps $f: M_1 \times M_2 \to M$ if M_1 is a C^k -manifold with rough boundary, M_2 a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary, and M a $C^{k+\ell}$ -manifold with rough boundary (see [3] and [29]), and a corresponding concept of $RC^{k,\ell}$ -maps if manifolds with rough boundary are replaced with rough manifolds (see [27]).

The final section compiles applications of smoothing techniques in algebraic topology (many of which are known, or known in special cases; compare, e.g., [58] and [46]). For smoothing results in the (inequivalent) convenient setting of analysis, see [40] and [39].

Acknowledgement. Thanks are due to Johanna Jakob (Paderborn) for drawing attention to an error in the former proof of Theorem 1.3 in the case $\ell = \infty$. The proof has now been corrected by the author.

2 Preliminaries of infinite-dimensional calculus

In this section, we compile necessary notation and prerequisites concerning calculus in locally convex topological vector spaces.

A differential calculus for mappings on regular subsets of locally convex spaces was sketched in [64] and fully worked out in [27], where also so-called *rough* C^k -manifolds modelled on locally convex spaces are considered, which locally look like a regular subset of a modelling locally convex space and whose chart changes (transition maps) are C^k -maps taking interior into interior. We recall necessary prerequisites concerning calulus in this section and refer to [27] for proofs. The theory closely parallels calculus on *locally convex* regular subsets of locally convex spaces as in [29], where also corresponding "manifolds with rough boundary" have been studied (which locally look like a locally convex, regular set). For mappings on open sets, the approach to infinite-dimensional calculus goes back to A. Bastiani [5], and is also known as Keller's C_c^k -theory (see [20], [29], [31], [43], and [45] for expositions; cf. also [6]).

2.1 (General conventions). We write $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, ...\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Locally convex, Hausdorff topological vector spaces over \mathbb{R} will simply be called *locally convex spaces*. If E is a locally convex space, $q: E \to [0, \infty[$ a continuous seminorm, $x \in E$ and r > 0, we write

$$B_r^q(x) := \{ y \in E : q(y - x) < r \}$$
 and $B_r^q(x) := \{ y \in E : q(y - x) \le r \}$

for the open ball and closed ball around x of radius r with respect to q, respectively. If $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, we simply write $B_r^E(x)$ (or $B_r(x)$) for the open ball and $\overline{B}_r^E(x)$ (or $\overline{B}_r(x)$) for the closed ball with respect to $\|\cdot\|$, if no confusion is possible. All vector spaces considered are vector spaces over $\mathbb R$ and we shall use $E \otimes F$ as a shorthand for the vector space $E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} F$, for vector spaces E and F. If E and F are locally convex spaces, we write $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ for the vector space of continuous linear mappings from E to F. We write $E' := \mathcal{L}(E, \mathbb{R})$ for the dual space. As usual, continuous mappings are also called C^0 . If X is a topological space and $S \subseteq X$ a subset, then a subset $U \subseteq X$ is called a neighbourhood of S in X if S is contained in the interior U^0 of U in X. Following [29], we say that a subset M of a locally convex space E is locally convex if each $x \in M$ has a neighbourhood in M which is a convex set. Then every neighbourhood of x in M contains a convex neighbourhood (see [29]). If E is a vector space and $M \subseteq E$ a subset, we write conv(M) for its convex hull. If X is a topological space, we define partitions of unity on X as usual; they are always assumed locally finite (in contrast to more general conventions in [17]). If X and Y are topological spaces, we write C(X,Y) for the set of all continuous functions from X to Y, including the case that Y is a locally convex space.⁹ In the latter case, we write BC(X, Y) for the vector space of bounded continuous functions from X to Y.

Definition 2.2 Let E and F be locally convex spaces, and $U \subseteq E$ be an open subset. A continuous map $f: U \to F$ is called C^1 if it is continuous, the directional derivative

$$df(x,y) := (D_y f)(x) := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} f(x+ty)$$

exists for all $x \in U$ and $y \in E$, and $df: U \times E \to F$ is continuous.

Definition 2.3 Let E and F be locally convex spaces and $R \subseteq E$ be a regular subset. A mapping $f: R \to F$ is called C^1 if f is continuous, $f|_{R^0}: R^0 \to F$ is C^1 and $d(f|_{R^0}): R^0 \times E \to F$ has a (necessarily unique) continuous extension $df: R \times E \to F$. Recursively, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell \geq 2$, we say that f is C^{ℓ} if f is C^1 and $df: R \times E \to F$ is $C^{\ell-1}$. If f is C^{ℓ} for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then f is called C^{∞} or smooth.

⁹In contrast to conventions in other parts of mathematical analysis, where C(X, Y) refers to the space of bounded continuous functions.

The following fact is proved in Appendix A (using [27]), where also more references are given.

Proposition 2.4 Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $R \subseteq E$ be a regular subset, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, and $f: R \to F$ be a mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) f is C^{ℓ} .
- (b) f is continuous and has the following property: For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \leq \ell$, the iterated directional derivative

$$d^{(j)}(f|_{R^0})(x, y_1, \dots, y_j) := (D_{y_j} \cdots D_{y_1} f)(x)$$

exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^0$ and all $y_1, \ldots, y_j \in E$, and the function $d^{(j)}(f|_{\mathbb{R}^0})$: $\mathbb{R}^0 \times \mathbb{E}^j \to F$ so obtained has a (necessarily unique) continuous extension

$$d^{(j)}f \colon R \times E^j \to F.$$

If $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then also (c) is equivalent to (a) and (b):

(c) f is continuous, the partial differentials

$$\partial^{\alpha}(f|_{R^{0}})(x) := \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}}}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{n}}}{\partial x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}} f\right)(x)$$

exist for all multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$, and the functions $\partial^{\alpha}(f|_{R^0}): R^0 \to F$ so obtained have (necessarily unique) continuous extensions

$$\partial^{\alpha} f \colon R \to F.$$

Thus $d^{(1)}f = df$. In the situation of (b), we also write $d^{(0)}f := f$. In the situation of (c), as usual $\partial^{\alpha}f := f$ if $\alpha = 0$.

By (b), a continuous map $f: R \to F$ is C^{ℓ} if and only if $f|_{R^0}: R^0 \to F$ is C^{ℓ} and $d^{(j)}(f|_{R^0})$ admits a continuous extension $d^{(j)}f: R \times E^j \to F$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j \leq \ell$.

2.5 If $f: E \supseteq R \to F$ (as in Definition 2.3) is C^{ℓ} , then the continuous map

$$d^{(j)}f(x,\cdot)\colon E^j\to F$$

is symmetric and *j*-linear for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j \leq \ell$ (this is true for $x \in \mathbb{R}^0$ by [29, Proposition 1.3.17] and passes to x in the closure $\mathbb{R} = \overline{\mathbb{R}^0}$ by continuity).

2.6 In particular, the map $f'(x) := df(x, \cdot) \colon E \to F$ is continuous and linear for each $x \in R$ if $f \colon E \supseteq R \to F$ is C^1 .

2.7 If *E* and *F* are locally convex spaces and $\alpha: E \to F$ is a continuous linear map, then α is C^{∞} and $\alpha'(x) = \alpha$ for all $x \in E$ (see, e.g., [29, Example 1.3.5]).

The Chain Rule holds in the following form [27] (also [64] if $g(R^0) \subseteq S^0$; for both R and S locally convex, also [29, Proposition 1.4.10]; for R and S open, also [6], [20], [31], [43]):

Proposition 2.8 Let E, F and Y be locally convex spaces, $R \subseteq E$ and $S \subseteq F$ be regular subsets, $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f: S \to Y$ as well as $g: R \to F$ be C^{ℓ} -functions such that $g(R) \subseteq S$. If S is locally convex or $g(R^0) \subseteq S^0$, then $f \circ g: R \to Y$ is C^{ℓ} and

$$d(f \circ g)(x, y) = df(g(x), dg(x, y)) \quad for \ all \ (x, y) \in R \times E.$$

Thus $(f \circ g)'(x) = f'(g(x)) \circ g'(x)$.

Recall that a subset A of a topological space X is called *sequentially closed* if it has the following property: If $x \in X$ and there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in A such that $a_n \to x$ in X as $n \to \infty$, then $x \in A$. Every closed subset is sequentially closed.

The following simple fact is frequently useful. See [27] for the proof (or [29, Lemma 1.4.16] if R is locally convex; or [6, Lemma 10.1] if R is open).

Lemma 2.9 Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $F_0 \subseteq F$ be a closed vector subspace, $R \subseteq E$ be a regular subset, and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $f: R \to F$ be a mapping with image $f(R) \subseteq F_0$. Then $f: R \to F$ is C^{ℓ} if and only if its corestriction $f|_{F_0}: R \to F_0, x \mapsto f(x)$ is C^{ℓ} . In this case, $d^{(j)}f(x, y_1, \ldots, y_j) =$ $d^{(j)}(f|_{F_0})(x, y_1, \ldots, y_j) \in F_0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \leq \ell$ and all $(x, y_1, \ldots, y_j) \in$ $R \times E^j$. If E is metrizable or R is locally convex, then the same conclusions hold if F_0 is sequentially closed in F.

2.10 Recall that the compact-open topology on the set C(X, Y) of continuous functions between Hausdorff topological spaces is the topology with subbasis

$$|K,U| := \{ \gamma \in C(X,Y) \colon \gamma(K) \subseteq U \},\$$

for K ranging through the set $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of compact subsets of X and U in the set of open subsets of Y.

2.11 If F is a locally convex space, then the compact-open topology makes C(X, F) a locally convex space; moreover, it coincides with the topology of compact convergence and a basis of open 0-neighbourhoods is given by the sets $\lfloor K, U \rfloor$, for K ranging through a cofinal¹⁰ subset \mathcal{K} of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and U through a basis \mathcal{B} of open 0-neighbourhoods in F.

The seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{K,q} \colon C(X,F) \to [0,\infty[$ given by

$$\|\gamma\|_{K,q} := \sup_{x \in K} q(\gamma(x)) \tag{5}$$

¹⁰For each $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, there exists $L \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $K \subseteq L$.

for $\gamma \in C(X, F)$ define the compact-open topology on C(X, F), for K in a cofinal subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(X)$ and q in a directed¹¹ set Γ of continuous seminorms on F defining its topology (as $B_r^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K},q}}(0) = \lfloor K, B_r^q(0) \rfloor$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}, q \in \Gamma, r > 0$).

We define the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on a function space $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ as in the case of a locally convex set (treated in [29]).¹²

Definition 2.12 If E and F are locally convex spaces, $R \subseteq E$ is a regular subset and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we define the *compact-open* C^{ℓ} -topology on $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ as the initial topology with respect to the linear mappings

$$d^{(j)} \colon C^{\ell}(R,F) \to C(R \times E^{j},F), \quad \gamma \mapsto d^{(j)}\gamma$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $j \leq \ell$, where $C(R \times E^j, F)$ is endowed with the compactopen topology.

Remark 2.13 (a) The compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology makes $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ a locally convex space and the point evaluations $\varepsilon_x \colon C^{\ell}(R, F) \to F, \ \gamma \mapsto \gamma(x)$ are continuous linear maps for all $x \in R$. By definition, the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology turns the injective linear map

$$(d^{(j)})_{\mathbb{N}_0 \ni j \le \ell} \colon C^{\ell}(R, F) \to \prod_{\mathbb{N}_0 \ni j \le \ell} C(R \times E^j, F), \ \gamma \mapsto (d^{(j)}\gamma)_{\mathbb{N}_0 \ni j \le \ell}$$
(6)

into a topological embedding (a homeomorphism onto the image).

(b) Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$C^{\infty}(R,F) = \lim_{n \to \infty} C^{n}(R,F)$$

as a locally convex space, using the inclusion maps $C^{\infty}(R, F) \to C^{n}(R, F)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ as the limit maps, where the inclusion maps $C^{m}(R, F) \to C^{n}(R, F)$ for integers $0 \leq n \leq m$ are the bonding maps.¹³

We now compile various known properties of the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology. A proof for part (c) is given in Appendix A; proofs for parts (a), (b), (d), (e), (f), and (g) can be found in [27] (for locally convex regular sets, see already [29] for all assertions).

Lemma 2.14 Let E, F and Y be locally convex spaces and $R \subseteq E$ be a regular subset. Then the following holds:

¹¹Thus $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ and we assume that for all $q_1, q_2 \in \Gamma$, there exists $q \in \Gamma$ such that $q_1(x) \leq q(x)$ and $q_2(x) \leq q(x)$ for all $x \in F$.

¹²As functions f with domain $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ are a frequent topic in infinite-dimensional calculus, we now denote the elements of $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ by γ (rather than f).

¹³Let $P := \lim_{\leftarrow} C^n(R, F) \subseteq \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} C^n(R, F)$ be the standard projective limit. One readily verifies that the linear map $\phi \colon C^{\infty}(R, F) \to P, \ \gamma \mapsto (\gamma)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is bijective, continuous, and that ϕ^{-1} is continuous.

- (a) The map $(d^{(j)})_{\mathbb{N}_0 \ni j \le \ell}$ as in (6) is a linear topological embedding with closed image.
- (b) If F is vector subspace of Y and carries the induced topology, then the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on $C^{\ell}(R,F) \subseteq C^{\ell}(R,Y)$ is the topology induced by the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on $C^{\ell}(R,Y)$.
- (c) If l≥ 1 holds, K is cofinal in K(R), L cofinal in K(E) and Γ a directed subset of continuous seminorms on F defining its locally convex vector topology, then the seminorms || · ||_{C^j,K,L,g}: C^l(R, F) → [0,∞[,

 $\|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,L,q} := \max\{\|\gamma\|_{K,q}, \|\gamma\|_{1,K,L,q}, \dots, \|\gamma\|_{j,K,L,q}\}$

for $K \in \mathcal{K}$, $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $q \in \Gamma$ define the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on $C^{\ell}(R,F)$, where $\|\cdot\|_{K,q} \colon C^{\ell}(R,F) \to [0,\infty[$ is defined as in (5) and

$$\|\gamma\|_{i,K,L,q} := \|d^{(i)}\gamma\|_{K \times L^{i},q}$$

for $i \in \{1, \ldots, j\}$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R, F)$.

- (d) If E is metrizable and F is complete (resp., sequentially complete), then $C^{\ell}(R,F)$ is complete (resp., sequentially complete).
- (e) If $S \subseteq Y$ is a regular subset, $f: S \to E$ is a C^{ℓ} -map with $f(S) \subseteq R$ and R is locally convex or $f(S^0) \subseteq R^0$, then the map

$$C^{\ell}(f,F) \colon C^{\ell}(R,F) \to C^{\ell}(S,F), \ \gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ f$$

is continuous and linear. Notably, the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(R,F) \to C^{\ell}(S,F), \ \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_S$$

is continuous and linear for each regular subset $S \subseteq R$.

(f) If $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ is a cover of R be relatively open subsets, then the map

$$C^{\ell}(R,F) \to \prod_{i \in I} C^{\ell}(U_i,F), \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{U_i})_{i \in I}$$

is a linear topological embedding with closed image.

(g) If $f: R \times E \to F$ is C^{ℓ} , then the mapping

$$f_* \colon C^{\ell}(R, E) \to C^{\ell}(R, F), \ \gamma \mapsto f \circ (\mathrm{id}_R, \gamma)$$

is continuous. In particular, the multiplication operator

$$m_h \colon C^\ell(R, E) \to C^\ell(R, E), \quad \gamma \mapsto h \cdot \gamma$$

with $(h \cdot \gamma)(x) = h(x)\gamma(x)$ is continuous and linear for each $h \in C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$ (as $m_h = f_*$ for the C^{ℓ} -map f given by f(x, y) := h(x)y). The following fact is proved in Appendix A.

Lemma 2.15 If F is a locally convex space, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a regular subset, then the compact-open C^ℓ -topology on $C^\ell(R, F)$ is the initial topology with respect to the mappings

$$\partial^{\alpha} \colon C^{\ell}(R,F) \to C(R,F), \ \gamma \mapsto \partial^{\alpha}\gamma$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ such that $|\alpha| \leq \ell$, if C(R, F) is endowed with the compact-open topology. The seminorms

$$\|\cdot\|^{\partial}_{C^{j},K,q}\colon C^{\ell}(R,F)\to [0,\infty[,\ \gamma\mapsto\max_{|\alpha|\leq j}\ \sup_{x\in K}\,q(\partial^{\alpha}\gamma(x))$$

define the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $j \leq \ell$, K ranging in a cofinal set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(R)$ of compact sets and q in a directed set of continuous seminorms on F defining its locally convex vector topology.

If
$$F = \mathbb{R}$$
, we can take $q := |\cdot|$ and abbreviate $\|\cdot\|_{C^{j},K} := \|\cdot\|_{C^{j},K,|\cdot|}^{\partial}$

2.16 Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and an open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, the support $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma)$ of $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ is defined as the closure of $\{x \in \Omega : \gamma(x) \neq 0\}$ in Ω . Given a compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$, we endow the closed vector subspace

$$C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, F) := \{ \gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \colon \operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subseteq K \}$$

of $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ with the induced topology. As usual, we endow the space

$$C_c^{\ell}(\Omega, F) = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)} C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, F) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$$

of all compactly supported F-valued C^{ℓ} -maps with the locally convex direct limit topology.

See [27] for more details on the following concept (introduced in [3] for functions on a product of two locally convex regular sets; see also [29]).

Definition 2.17 Let E_1 , E_2 and F be locally convex spaces and $R_1 \subseteq E_1$ as well as $R_2 \subseteq E_2$ be regular subsets. Given $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we say that a continuous function $f: R_1 \times R_2 \to F$ is $C^{k,\ell}$ if the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^{(i,j)}f(x,y,v_1,\ldots,v_i,w_1,\ldots,w_j) := (D_{(v_i,0)}\cdots D_{(v_1,0)}D_{(0,w_j)}\cdots D_{(0,w_1)}f)(x,y)$$

exist for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $i \leq k$ and $j \leq \ell$ and all $x \in R_1^0, y \in R_2^0, v_1, \ldots, v_i \in E_1$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_j \in E_2$, and extend to (necessarily unique) continuous mappings

$$d^{(i,j)}f \colon R_1 \times R_2 \times E_1^i \times E_2^j \to F.$$

We recall the concept of a submersion (see [26], or also [31] in the case of Fréchet manifolds).

Definition 2.18 Let M and N be smooth manifolds modelled on locally convex spaces. A smooth map $f: M \to N$ is called a *submersion* if it has the following property: For each $x \in M$, there exists a chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ of M with $x \in U_{\phi}$, a chart $\psi: U_{\psi} \to V_{\psi} \subseteq E_{\psi}$ of N with $f(U_{\phi}) \subseteq U_{\psi}$ and a continuous linear map $\pi: E_{\phi} \to E_{\psi}$ admitting a continuous linear right inverse such that $\pi(V_{\phi}) \subseteq V_{\psi}$ and $\psi \circ f|_{U_{\phi}} = \pi \circ \phi$.

Finally, let us recall notation and facts concerning Taylor expansions. See [6] and [29] for discussions of the following concepts.

2.19 Let *E* and *F* be locally convex spaces and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. A map $p: E \to F$ is called a *homogeneous F*-valued polynomial of degree *j* on *E* if there exists a continuous *j*-linear map $\beta: E^j \to F$ such that

$$p(y) = \overline{\beta}(y) := \beta(\underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{j \text{ times}})$$

for all $y \in E$ (if j = 0, take the value of the constant map $\beta \colon E^0 = \{0\} \to F$). We may always assume that β is symmetric since

$$\beta_{\text{sym}}(y_1, \dots, y_j) := \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\pi \in S_j} \beta(y_{\pi(1)}, \dots, y_{\pi(j)})$$

is symmetric (where S_j is the group of all permutations of $\{1, \ldots, j\}$) and $\overline{\beta} = \overline{\beta}_{sym}$. If β is symmetric, then β can be recovered from the homogeneous polynomial $p = \overline{\beta}$ by means of the Polarization Formula [9, Theorem A]:

$$\beta(x_1,\ldots,x_j) = \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_j=0}^{1} (-1)^{j-(\varepsilon_1+\cdots+\varepsilon_j)} p(\varepsilon_1 x_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_j x_j).$$
(7)

2.20 Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a function $p: E \to F$ between locally convex spaces is called a *continuous polynomial* of degree $\leq \ell$ if there exist continuous homogeneous polynomials $p_j: E \to F$ of degree j for $j \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that $p = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} p_j$.

2.21 If E and F are locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ is open, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\gamma \colon U \to F$ a C^{ℓ} -map and $x \in U$, then

$$\delta_x^j(\gamma)(y) := \left. \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \right|_{t=0} \gamma(x+ty) = \left. d^{(j)} \gamma(x,y,\dots,y) \right.$$

defines a continuous homogeneous polynomial $\delta_x^j(\gamma) \colon E \to F$ of degree j (the *j*th Gâteaux differential of γ at x) for $j \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$. The ℓ th order Taylor polynomial of γ at x is defined as

$$P_x^{\ell}(\gamma) \colon E \to F \,, \quad P_x^{\ell}(\gamma)(y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \frac{\delta_x^j(\gamma)(y)}{j!}.$$
(8)

2.22 If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and F is a locally convex space, then every continuous polynomial $p \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to F$ of degree $\leq \ell$ is of the form $p(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} x^{\alpha} a_{\alpha}$ with suitable $a_{\alpha} \in F$ (where $x^{\alpha} \coloneqq x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}$), as usual.¹⁴ Hence $p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} (\operatorname{pr}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \operatorname{pr}_d^{\alpha_d}) a_{\alpha} \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}).$

2.23 We shall use Taylor's Theorem (see [29, Theorem 1.6.14]):

Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ be an open subset, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma: U \to F$ be a C^{ℓ} -map. If $x, y \in U$ and the line segment joining x and y is contained in U, then then the remainder term

$$R_x(y) := \gamma(y) - P_x^{\ell} \gamma(y - x)$$

can be written as the weak integral

$$R_x(y) = \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\ell-1} (\delta_{x+t(y-x)}^\ell \gamma - \delta_x^\ell \gamma)(y-x) \, dt.$$

We mention that the seminorms described in Lemma 2.14(c) are useful to establish the link to Hanusch's work. The approach via partial differentials and the seminorms from Lemma 2.15 are used in the proof of Proposition 1.2 (and links our work to [60]). In 3.5, we shall introduce yet another family of seminorms defining the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology, which is useful for the formulation of Theorem 3.1 and its proof.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now construct smoothing operators and obtain Theorem 1.1 as part of the following more technical lemma. The seminorms in (b) and (c) are as in 3.5.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open set, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and F be a locally convex topological vector space. Then there exist continuous linear operators

$$\widetilde{S}_n \colon C^\ell(\Omega, F) \to C^\infty(\Omega, F)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following properties:

- (a) $\widetilde{S}_n(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ as $n \to \infty$, for each $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$.
- (b) There exists $C \in [0, \infty[$ such that, for each compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$, compact neighbourhood L of K in Ω , continuous seminorm q on F, $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $K + [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]^d \subseteq L$, we have

$$\|\widetilde{S}_n(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} \leq C \|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},L,q}$$

¹⁴It suffices to show this if $p = \overline{\beta}$ is homogeneous of degree $j \leq \ell$. Using the standard basis vectors e_1, \ldots, e_d of \mathbb{R}^d , we have $p(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_j \leq d} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_j} \beta(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_j})$, from which the assertion follows.

(c) For each compact exhaustion $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of Ω , there exists a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous linear operators $S_n \colon C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1, such that for some positive integers $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$, we have

$$S_n(\gamma)|_{K_n} = \tilde{S}_{m_n}(\gamma)|_{K_n} \tag{9}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$; moreover,

$$\|S_n(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell}, K_n, q} \le C \,\|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell}, K_{n+1}, q} \tag{10}$$

for each continuous seminorm q on F, with C as in (b).

To obtain smooth approximations for $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$, we shall use the ℓ th order Taylor polynomials $P_x^{\ell}(\gamma) \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to F$ of γ around suitable points x (as in (8)) and blend them using a smooth partition of unity. We begin with some preparations.

3.2 If $(E, \|.\|)$ is a normed space, F a locally convex space, q a continuous seminorm on $F, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\beta \colon E^j \to F$ a continuous *j*-linear map, let

$$\|\beta\|_q := \sup\{q(\beta(x_1,\ldots,x_j)): x_1,\ldots,x_j \in \overline{B}_1^E(0)\}.$$

For the corresponding continuous homogenous polynomial $p := \overline{\beta}$, we let

$$||p||_q := \sup\{q(p(x)): x \in \overline{B}_1^E(0)\}.$$
 (11)

For symmetric *j*-linear maps β , the Polarization Formula (7) entails that the seminorms $\beta \mapsto \|\overline{\beta}\|_q$ and $\beta \mapsto \|\beta\|_q$ are equivalent; we have

$$\|p\|_{q} \leq \|\beta\|_{q} \leq \frac{(2j)^{j}}{j!} \|p\|_{q}.$$
(12)

3.3 Given a locally convex space F, a normed (or locally convex) space E and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\operatorname{Pol}^j(E, F)$ be the space of all continuous homogeneous polynomials of degree j from E to F, and $\operatorname{Sym}^j(E, F)$ be the space of continuous symmetric j-linear maps from E^j to F. We give both spaces the compact-open topology.

Lemma 3.4 The inclusion map λ : $\operatorname{Pol}^{j}(E, F) \to C^{\infty}(E, F)$ is a topological embedding.

Proof. Consider the continuous map $\Delta_j: E \to E^j, x \mapsto (x, \ldots, x)$. Then

$$\Theta_j \colon \operatorname{Sym}^j(E, F) \to \operatorname{Pol}^j(E, F), \quad \beta \mapsto \beta \circ \Delta_j$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces and continuous linear (by Lemma 2.14(e)). Combining Lemma 2.14(e) with the Polarization Formula, we see that also Θ_j^{-1} is continuous. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \leq j$, we have

$$d^{(i)}(\Theta_j(\beta))(x,y_1,\ldots,y_i) = \frac{j!}{(j-i)!}\beta(x,\ldots,x,y_1,\ldots,y_i)$$

(with x in j-i slots) for $x, y_1, \ldots, y_i \in E$ (see [29, Lemma 1.6.10]). Define $h: E^{i+1} \to E^j$, $(x, y_1, \ldots, y_i) \mapsto (x, \ldots, x, y_1, \ldots, y_i)$. By the above, $d^{(i)} \circ \Theta_j$: Sym^j $(E, F) \to C(E^{i+1}, F)$ is a restriction of the continuous map

$$\frac{j!}{(j-i)!} C(h,F) \colon C(E^j,F) \to C(E^{i+1},F)$$

(see Lemma 2.14(e)) and hence continuous. Thus λ is continuous. The inclusion map $\Lambda: C^{\infty}(E, F) \to C(E, F)$ is continuous and $\Lambda \circ \lambda$ a topological embedding. Thus $\lambda^{-1} = (\Lambda \circ \lambda)^{-1} \circ \Lambda$ is continuous and λ is a topological embedding. \Box

3.5 In this section, we endow \mathbb{R}^d with the maximum norm, $||(x_1, \ldots, x_d)||_{\infty} := \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_d|\}$ and write $\overline{B}_r(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ for corresponding closed balls. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, F be a locally convex space, $K \subseteq \Omega$ be a compact subset and $\gamma \colon \Omega \to F$ a C^{ℓ} -map, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k \leq \ell$, we define

$$\|\gamma\|_{C^{k},K,q} := \max_{j=0,...,k} \sup_{x\in K} \|\delta_{x}^{j}\gamma\|_{q}$$

if $q: F \to [0, \infty[$ is a continuous seminorm. If $h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^{ℓ} -map with compact support, we let

$$\|h\|_{C^k} := \max_{j=0,\dots,k} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\delta_x^j h\|_{|\cdot|}.$$

The following observation shall be proved in Appendix A.

Lemma 3.6 If K ranges through a cofinal subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$, k through the set of non-negative integers $\leq \ell$ and q ranges through a directed set Γ of seminorms on F defining the locally convex topology of F, then the $\|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,q}$ form a directed set of continuous seminorms on $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ which define the compact-open C^{ℓ} topology.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. To construct a well-behaved "periodic" partition of unity on \mathbb{R}^d , let $\xi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative C^{∞} -map with support $\operatorname{supp}(\xi) \subseteq]-1, 1[^d$, such that $\xi(x) > 0$ for each $x \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$. Given $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, define a non-negative smooth function $h_z \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ via

$$h_z(x) := \frac{\xi(x-z)}{\sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \xi(x-w)}$$

Then the supports $\operatorname{supp}(h_z) \subseteq z +]-1, 1[^d \text{ form a locally finite cover of } \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ and } \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_z = 1 \text{ pointwise. Furthermore,}$

$$h_z(x) = h_0(x-z)$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

By construction, each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ has a neighbourhood W on which at most 2^d of the functions h_z are non-zero.¹⁵ Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let M_n be the set of all $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\frac{z}{n} + [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]^d \subseteq \Omega$. For each $z \in M_n$, we define

$$h_{n,z}: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \quad h_{n,z}(x) := h_z(nx);$$

¹⁵If supp $(\xi) \subseteq [-(1-\varepsilon), 1-\varepsilon]^d$ with $\varepsilon \in [0, 1[$, we can take $W := B_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

then $\operatorname{supp}(h_{n,z}) \subseteq \frac{z}{n} +] - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}[^d]$. We now associate to $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ a smooth function $\widetilde{S}_n(\gamma) \colon \Omega \to F$ via

$$\widetilde{S}_n(\gamma)(x) := \sum_{z \in M_n} h_{n,z}(x) \cdot P_{\frac{z}{n}}^{\ell}(\gamma)(x - \frac{z}{n}).$$
(13)

It is clear that $\widetilde{S}_n \colon C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$ is linear. To see that \widetilde{S}_n is continuous, it suffices to show that for each relatively compact, open subset $U \subseteq \Omega$, the map

$$g_U \colon C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C^{\infty}(U, F), \quad g_U(\gamma) := \widetilde{S}_n(\gamma)|_U$$

is continuous (see Lemma 2.14(f)). But $\Phi_n(U) := \{z \in M_n : \operatorname{supp}(h_{n,z}) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite and

$$g_U(\gamma) = \sum_{z \in \Phi_n(U)} h_{n,z} \cdot P_{\frac{z}{n}}^{\ell}(\gamma)(\cdot - \frac{z}{n}).$$

In view of Lemma 2.14(e) (which applies to translations in the domain) and the fact that the multiplication operator $C^{\infty}(U,F) \to C^{\infty}(U,F)$, $\eta \mapsto h_{n,z} \cdot \eta$ is continuous (Lemma 2.14(g)), g_U will be continuous if the map

$$\zeta \colon C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to \operatorname{Pol}^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, F) \subseteq C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, F) \,, \quad \gamma \mapsto \delta^{k}_{\frac{z}{n}}(\gamma)$$

is continuous for each fixed $m \in \Phi_n(U)$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k \leq \ell$. By Lemma 3.4, we need only show that ζ is continuous as a map to $C(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ with the compact-open topology. Consider the continuous map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^k$$
, $\alpha(y) := (\frac{z}{n}, y, \dots, y)$

Then $\zeta = C(\alpha, F) \circ d^{(k)}$ is continuous, as $d^{(k)} : C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C(\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^k, F)$, $\gamma \mapsto d^{(k)}(\gamma)$ is continuous by definition of the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology and $C(\alpha, E) : C(\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^k, E) \to C(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ is continuous (see Lemma 2.14(e)).

(a) Let $K \subseteq \Omega$ be compact, L be a compact neighbourhood of K in Ω , and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$. There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K + \overline{B}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}(0) \subseteq L$, where $\overline{B}_{\frac{1}{n_0}}(0)$ is as in **3.5**. If $x \in K$, let $M_n(x) := \{z \in M_n : x \in \frac{z}{n} + [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]^d\}$. Then¹⁶

$$\delta_x^k(\gamma - \widetilde{S}_n(\gamma))(y) = \delta_x^k \left(\sum_{z \in M_n(x)} h_{n,z}(\cdot) \left(\gamma(\cdot) - \left(P_{\frac{z}{n}}^{\ell} \gamma \right) (\cdot - \frac{z}{n}) \right) \right)(y)$$
$$= \sum_{z \in M_n(x)} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \delta_x^{k-j}(h_{n,z})(y) \cdot \delta_x^j \left(\gamma - \left(P_{\frac{z}{n}}^{\ell} \gamma \right) (\cdot - \frac{z}{n}) \right)(y) \quad (14)$$

for $k \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$, $x \in K$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the auxiliary function

$$\phi: \Omega \times \Omega \to F$$
, $\phi(x,z) := \gamma(x) - P_z^{\ell}(\gamma)(x-z)$.

 $[\]overline{{}^{16}A := \bigcup_{z \in M_n \setminus M_n(x)} (\frac{z}{n} + [-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}]^d)} \text{ is closed in } \Omega \text{ by local finiteness. Hence } \Omega \setminus A \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } x \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } \widetilde{S}_n(\gamma)(y) = \sum_{z \in M_n(x)} h_{n,z}(y) (P_{\frac{z}{n}}^\ell \gamma)(y - \frac{z}{n}) \text{ for } y \in \Omega \setminus A.$

Abbreviate $\phi^z := \phi(\cdot, z)$. By definition of $P_z^{\ell}(\gamma)$, we have $\delta_0^j(P_z^{\ell}(\gamma)) = \delta_z^j(\gamma)$ for $j \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$ and hence $\delta_z^j(\phi^z) = 0$ for $j \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$. The map

$$\psi_j \colon \Omega \times \Omega \to \operatorname{Pol}^j(\mathbb{R}^d, F), \quad (x, z) \mapsto \delta^j_x(\phi^z) = (\delta^j_{(x, z)}\phi)(\cdot, 0)$$

is $C^{\ell-j}$. In fact: The mapping $d^{(j)}\phi: (\Omega \times \Omega) \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)^j \to F$ is $C^{\ell-j}$ by [29, Remark 1.3.13], whence also

$$b: (\Omega \times \Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to F, \ b(x, z, y) := d^{(j)}\phi((x, z), (y, 0), \dots, (y, 0))$$

is $C^{\ell-j}$ and thus $C^{\ell-j,0}$. Consequently,

$$b^{\vee} \colon \Omega \times \Omega \to C(\mathbb{R}^d, F), \quad b^{\vee}(x, z)(y) := b(x, z, y)$$

is $C^{\ell-j}$ (see [3, Theorem A]). Thus ψ_j is $C^{\ell-j}$, as the corestriction of b^{\vee} to the closed vector subspace $\operatorname{Pol}^j(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ of $C(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ (see Lemma 2.9).

Set $\psi_j^z := \psi_j(\cdot, z) \colon \Omega \to \operatorname{Pol}^j(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$. For $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\varepsilon_w \colon \operatorname{Pol}^j(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to F$, $p \mapsto p(w)$ be the evaluation at w, which is continuous and linear. For $i \in \{0, \ldots, \ell - j\}, x \in \Omega$ and $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we then have

$$\begin{split} \delta_x^i(\psi_j^z)(v)(w) &= \varepsilon_w(\delta_x^i(\psi_j^z)(v)) = \varepsilon_w\left(\frac{d^i}{dt^i}\Big|_{t=0}\psi_j^z(x+tv)\right) \\ &= \frac{d^i}{dt^i}\Big|_{t=0}\varepsilon_w(\psi_j^z(x+tv)) = \frac{d^i}{dt^i}\Big|_{t=0}(\delta_{x+tv}^j\phi^z)(w) \\ &= \frac{d^i}{dt^i}\Big|_{t=0}d^{(j)}\phi^z(x+tv,\underbrace{w,\ldots,w}_j) \\ &= d^{(i+j)}\phi^z(x,\underbrace{w,\ldots,w}_j,\underbrace{v,\ldots,v}_j). \end{split}$$
(15)

Since $(P_z^{\ell}\gamma)(\cdot - z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq \ell$, the ℓ th Gâteaux differential $\delta_y^{\ell}((P_z^{\ell}\gamma)(\cdot - z))$ is independent of $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus

$$\psi_{\ell}(x,z) = \delta_x^{\ell}(\phi^z) = \delta_x^{\ell}\gamma - \delta_z^{\ell}\gamma$$
(16)

for all $(x, z) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, as

$$\delta^\ell_x(P^\ell_z\gamma)(\cdot-z)) \,=\, \delta^\ell_z((P^\ell_z\gamma)(\cdot-z)) \,=\, \delta^\ell_z\gamma.$$

Since $d^{(i+j)}(\phi^z)(z,\cdot)$ can be recovered from $\delta_z^{i+j}(\phi^z) = 0$ via the Polarization Formula, we have $d^{(i+j)}\phi^z(z,\cdot) = 0$ and hence

$$\delta_z^i(\psi_j^z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{0, \dots, \ell\} \text{ and } i \in \{0, \dots, \ell - j\}.$$
 (17)

For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, using Taylor's Theorem (see 2.23) and (17) we obtain

$$\psi_{j}(x,z) = \psi_{j}^{z}(x) = \psi_{j}^{z}(z+(x-z)) = \psi_{j}^{z}(z+(x-z)) - \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-j} \frac{\delta_{z}^{i}(\psi_{j}^{z})(x-z)}{i!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\ell-j-1)!} \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{\ell-j-1} (\delta_{z+t(x-z)}^{\ell-j}\psi_{j}^{z} - \delta_{z}^{\ell-j}\psi_{j}^{z})(x-z) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\ell-j-1)!} \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{\ell-j-1} (\delta_{z+t(x-z)}^{\ell-j}\psi_{j}^{z})(x-z) dt \qquad (18)$$

for all $x \in K$ and $z \in \overline{B}_{1/n_0}(x) \subseteq L$. Since $\zeta := z + t(x - z) \in L$, we infer that $\|\psi_j(x,z)\|_q \leq \frac{2(2\ell)^{\ell} \|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},L,q} (\|x-z\|_{\infty})^{\ell-j}}{\ell!(\ell-j-1)!}$ and thus

$$\|\psi_j(x,z)\|_q \leq \frac{2(2\ell)^{\ell}}{\ell!} \|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},L,q} (\|x-z\|_{\infty})^{\ell-j}$$
(19)

for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$, $x \in K$, $z \in \overline{B}_{1/n_0}(x)$ and continuous seminorm q on F, using (12), (15) and (16) to estimate seminorms as in (11) via

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\delta_{\zeta}^{\ell-j}\psi_{j}^{z})(x-z)\|_{q} &= \|y\mapsto d^{(\ell)}\phi^{z}(\zeta, \underbrace{x-z, \ldots, x-z}_{\ell-j}, \underbrace{y, \ldots, y}_{j})\|_{q} \\ &\leq \|d^{(\ell)}\phi^{z}(\zeta, \bullet)\|_{q} \cdot \|x-z\|_{\infty}^{\ell-j} \\ &\leq \frac{(2\ell)^{\ell}}{\ell!}\|\delta_{\zeta}^{\ell}(\phi^{z})\|_{q} \cdot \|x-z\|_{\infty}^{\ell-j} \\ &\leq \frac{(2\ell)^{\ell}}{\ell!}\|\delta_{\zeta}^{\ell}\gamma - \delta_{z}^{\ell}\gamma\|_{q} \cdot \|x-z\|_{\infty}^{\ell-j} \end{aligned}$$
(20)

We mention that (19) also holds for x, z, q as before and $j = \ell$, as

$$\|\psi_{\ell}(x,z)\|_{q} = \|\delta_{x}^{\ell}\gamma - \delta_{z}^{\ell}\gamma\|_{q} \le \|\delta_{x}^{\ell}\gamma\|_{q} + \|\delta_{z}^{\ell}\gamma\|_{q} \le 2\|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},L,q}$$

for all $x, z \in L$.

If $\varepsilon > 0$, the uniform continuity of $L \to \operatorname{Pol}^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$, $x \mapsto \delta_x^{\ell} \gamma$ implies that there is $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that

$$\|\delta_z^{\ell}\gamma - \delta_x^{\ell}\gamma\|_q \leq \frac{\ell!}{(2\ell)^{\ell}}\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x, z \in L \text{ such that } \|x - z\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n_1}, \quad (21)$$

whence

$$\|\delta_z^\ell \gamma - \delta_x^\ell \gamma\|_q \le \varepsilon \tag{22}$$

in particular. Combining this with (18) and (20), we obtain $\|\psi_j(x,z)\|_q \leq \frac{\varepsilon \|x-z\|_{\infty}^{\ell-j}}{(\ell-j-1)!}$ and thus

$$\|\psi_j(x,z)\|_q \le \varepsilon \|x-z\|_{\infty}^{\ell-j}$$
(23)

for all $j \in \{0, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, $x \in K$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $||x - z||_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n_1}$. By (16) and (22), we have $||\psi_\ell(x, z)||_q \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x, z \in L$ with $||x - z||_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n_1}$, whence

(23) also holds for $j = \ell$.

Since $||h_{n,z}||_{C^{k-j},K,|\cdot|} \leq n^{k-j} ||h_0||_{C^{k-j}}$ and $M_n(x)$ has at most 2^d elements, (14) and (23) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_{x}^{k}(\gamma - \widetilde{S}_{n}(\gamma))\|_{q} &\leq \sum_{z \in M_{n}(x)} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} n^{k-j} \|h_{0}\|_{C^{k-j}} \cdot \underbrace{\|\psi_{j}(x, \frac{z}{n})\|_{q}}_{\leq \varepsilon(\frac{1}{n})^{\ell-j}} \\ &\leq \ell! \, 2^{d} \, \|h_{0}\|_{C^{\ell}} \sum_{j=0}^{k} n^{k-j} (\frac{1}{n})^{\ell-j} \varepsilon \leq \ell! \, (\ell+1) \, 2^{d} \, \|h_{0}\|_{C^{\ell}} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$, $n \ge n_1$ and $x \notin K$. Thus

$$\|\gamma - \widetilde{S}_n(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} \leq \ell! \,(\ell+1) \, 2^d \,\|h_0\|_{C^{\ell}} \,\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_1,$$
(24)

which is arbitrarily small for small ε .

(b) Let n_0 be as in the proof of (a) and q be a continuous seminorm on F. Repeating the estimates leading to (24) with (19) instead of (23), we obtain

$$\|\gamma - \widetilde{S}_n(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} \leq (\ell+1) 2^{d+1} (2\ell)^{\ell} \|h_0\|_{C^{\ell}} \|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},L,q} \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$
(25)

Thus $\|\widetilde{S}_n(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} \le \|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} + \|\widetilde{S}_n(\gamma) - \gamma\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} \le C \|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},L,q}$ with $C := 1 + (\ell+1)2^{d+1}(2\ell)^{\ell} \|h_0\|_{C^{\ell}}.$

(c) We find $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$ such that

$$K_j + [-\frac{2}{m_j}, \frac{2}{m_j}]^d \subseteq K_{j+1}^0$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi_j := \{ z \in M_{m_j} \colon \operatorname{supp}(h_{m_j,z}) \cap K_j \neq \emptyset \}$$

is a finite set; we define $S_j(\gamma) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$ via

$$S_j(\gamma)(x) := \sum_{z \in \Phi_j} h_{m_j,z}(x) \cdot P^{\ell_z}_{\frac{z}{m_j}}(\gamma)(x - \frac{z}{m_j})$$

for $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ and $x \in \Omega$. It is clear that $S_j \colon C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$ is linear. As $S_j(\gamma)$ is a finite sum of summands which are continuous functions of γ (as already shown), the function $S_j \colon C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to C^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$ is continuous. We have

$$\operatorname{supp}(S_j(\gamma)) \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in \Phi_j} \operatorname{supp}(h_{m_j,z}) \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in \Phi_j} B_{\frac{1}{m_j}}(z) \subseteq K_j + [-\frac{2}{m_j}, \frac{2}{m_j}]^d \subseteq K_{j+1}^0$$

and thus $S_j(\gamma) \in C^{\infty}_{K_{j+1}}(\Omega, F)$. As $P^{\ell}_{\frac{z}{m_j}}(\gamma)(\cdot - \frac{z}{m_j}) \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to F$ is a polynomial, it is an element of $F \otimes C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. The restriction of the polynomial to the domain Ω then is an element of $F \otimes C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and this is unchanged if we multiply with $h_{m_j,z}$. Thus $S_j(\gamma)$ is a sum of summands which are elements of the tensor product, and thus also $S_j(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Thus $S_j(\gamma)(\Omega)$ spans a finite-dimensional vector subspace of F. Since $S_j(\gamma) \in C^{\infty}_{K_{j+1}}(\Omega, F)$, this implies that

$$S_j(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^{\infty}_{K_{j+1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}).$$

The definition of Φ_j entails that

$$S_j(\gamma)|_{K_j} = \widetilde{S}_{m_j}(\gamma)|_{K_j} \tag{26}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$. In fact, $S_j(\gamma)$ and $\widetilde{S}_{m_j}(\gamma)$ coincide on the open complement of the closed set

$$\bigcup_{z \in M_{m_j} \setminus \Phi_j} \operatorname{supp}(h_{m_j,z}),$$

whence their kth Gâteaux derivatives coincide on K_j for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If q is a continuous seminorm on F and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we can use $n_0 := m_j$ in the proof of (a) with $K := K_j$, $L := K_{j+1}$. Thus

$$\|S_{j}(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} = \|\widetilde{S}_{m_{j}}(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell},K,q} \le C \,\|\gamma\|_{C^{\ell},L,q}$$

for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$, using (b) and (26). Pick $n_1 \geq n_0 = m_j$ as in the proof of (a). There is $j_0 \geq j$ such that $m_i \geq n_1$ for all $i \geq j_0$. By (24) and (26), we then have

$$\|\gamma - S_i(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell}, K, q} = \|\gamma - \widetilde{S}_{m_i}(\gamma)\|_{C^{\ell}, K, q} \le (\ell + 1) 2^d \|h_0\|_{C^{\ell}} \varepsilon$$
(27)

for all $i \ge j_0$, showing that $S_i(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ as $i \to \infty$.

To see that also condition (c) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied, let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C_{K_i}^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$. For integers $j \geq i$, define $\Phi_{i,j} := \{z \in \Phi_j : \frac{z}{m_j} \in K_i\}$. Since $P_{\frac{z}{m_i}}^{\ell}(\gamma) = 0$ if $\frac{z}{m} \notin K_i$, we have

$$S_j(\gamma) = \sum_{z \in \Phi_{i,j} h_{m_j,z} \cdot P_{\frac{z}{m_j}}^{\ell}(\gamma)(\cdot - \frac{z}{m_j})}$$

and thus $\operatorname{supp}(S_j(\gamma)) \subseteq K^0_{i+1}$, using that

$$\sup(h_{m_j,z}) \subseteq \frac{z}{m_j} + \left] - \frac{1}{m_j}, \frac{1}{m_j} \right[^d \subseteq K_i + \left] - \frac{1}{m_j}, \frac{1}{m_j} \right[^d \subseteq K_i + \left] - \frac{1}{m_i}, \frac{1}{m_i} \right]^d \subseteq K_{i+1}^0$$

for all $z \in \Phi_{i,j}$. \Box

Remark 3.7 We mention that $\widetilde{S}_n(\gamma) \to \gamma$ as $n \to \infty$ and $S_i(\gamma) \to \gamma$ as $i \to \infty$ in $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ uniformly for γ in compact sets.

To see this, let $B \subseteq C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ be compact. The map

$$h\colon C^{\ell}(\Omega,F)\to C(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^d,F), \quad h(\gamma)(x,y):=\delta^{\ell}_x\gamma(y)$$

is continuous by definition of the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology and Lemma 2.14(e). As $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is locally compact, the evaluation map ev: $C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \to F$, $(\eta, x, y) \mapsto \eta(x, y)$ is continuous. Hence

$$g: C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \to F, \quad (\gamma, x, y) \mapsto \operatorname{ev}(h(\gamma), x, y) = \delta^k_x \gamma(y)$$

is continuous and hence also the map

$$g^{\vee} \colon C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \times \Omega \to \operatorname{Pol}^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}^d, F), \ (\gamma, x) \mapsto \delta_x^{\ell} \gamma$$

For K, L, ε, q , and n_0 as in the proof of Lemma 3.1(a), the restriction

$$g^{\vee}|_{B \times L} \to \operatorname{Pol}^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$$

is uniformly continuous with respect to the unique compatible uniformity on the compact set $B \times L$. As a consequence, there exists $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that (21) holds for all $\gamma \in B$. Thus (24) holds for all $\gamma \in B$ and also (27).

4 Proof of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

We now prove our results concerning completed tensor products and passage from extension operators for real-valued functions to extension operators for vector-valued functions.

Lemma 4.1 Let F be a locally convex space, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex subset with dense interior. Then $F \otimes C^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C^{\ell}(R, F)$. If $\ell < \infty$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R, F)$, then there exist elements $\gamma_{k,n} \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$ for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_{k,n} \text{ in } C^{\ell}(R,F).$$
(28)

If $\ell < \infty$ and R is closed in \mathbb{R}^d , then $F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ and the $\gamma_{k,n}$ can be chosen in $F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let $x_0 \in R^0$; after replacing R with $R - x_0$, we may assume that $0 \in R^0$. For each $t \in [1, \infty[$, the set R is contained in the interior tR^0 of tR. Let $(t_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $[1, \infty[$ with $t_k \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$. The map

$$f: [1, \infty[\times R \to F, f(t, x)] := \gamma(x/t)$$

is C^{ℓ} and thus $C^{0,\ell}$, entailing that

$$f^{\vee} \colon [1, \infty[\to C^{\ell}(R, F), \quad t \mapsto f(t, \cdot)]$$

is continuous (see [3, Theorem A]). Hence

$$\gamma = \lim_{k \to \infty} \gamma_k \text{ in } C^{\ell}(R, F)$$
(29)

with $\gamma_k := f^{\vee}(t_k) \colon R \to F, x \mapsto \gamma(x/t_k)$. Define $\eta_k \in C^{\ell}(t_k R^0, F)$ via $\eta_k(x) := \gamma(x/t_k)$.

The case $\ell < \infty$. By Theorem 1.1, there exist $\eta_{k,n} \in F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(t_k \mathbb{R}^0, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$\eta_k = \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_{k,n}$$
 in $C^{\ell}(t_k R^0, F)$.

Then $\gamma_{k,n} := \eta_{k,n}|_R \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(R,\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\gamma_k = \eta_k|_R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_{k,n}$$
 in $C^{\ell}(R, F)$,

as the restriction map $C^{\ell}(t_k R^0, F) \to C^{\ell}(R, F)$ is continuous. Substituting this into (29), we obtain (28). If R is closed, then $\gamma_{k,n}$ has compact support and thus $\gamma_{k,n} \in F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$.

The case $\ell = \infty$. Given $\gamma \in C^{\infty}(R, F)$, let $K \subseteq R$ be a compact subset, $q: F \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous seminorm, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. By the preceding, applied with j in place of ℓ , we find $\gamma_{k,n} \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\gamma = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_{k,n} \text{ in } C^j(R,F),$$

and we may assume $\gamma_{k,n} \in F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(R,\mathbb{R})$ if R is closed. Thus, we find k with

$$\left\|\gamma - \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_{k,n}\right\|_{C^{j},K,q} < \varepsilon$$

Fix k. For sufficiently large n, we then have $\|\gamma - \gamma_{k,n}\|_{C^{j},K,q} < \varepsilon$. \Box

We need more information in the case $\ell = \infty$. The following fact can be shown by standard arguments.

Lemma 4.2 Let F be a sequentially complete locally convex space, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\theta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$. Then there exist $\theta_{m,j} \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ for $m, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\theta = \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} \theta_{m,j} \quad in \ C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F).$$

For each compact subset $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\theta) \subseteq L^0$, the $\theta_{m,j}$ can be chosen in $F \otimes C_L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Since F is sequentially complete and θ has compact support, the weak integral

$$(g*\theta)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)\theta(x-y) \, d\lambda_d(y)$$

exists in F for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and each $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ (see, e.g., [8, p.110]). Moreover, $g * \theta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ and the linear map

$$C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F), \quad g \mapsto g * \theta$$
 (30)

is continuous (e.g., by the statement concerning θ_K in [8, Proposition 8.1], applied with r := 0 and $s := t := \infty$). For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the Gaussian

$$g_m \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \left(\frac{m}{\pi}\right)^{d/2} e^{-m(\|x\|_2)^2}.$$

For each $\delta > 0$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\int_{\|y\|_2 > \delta} g_m(y) d\lambda_d(y) < \delta$ for all $m \ge m_0$. Hence

$$g_m * \theta \to \theta$$
 in $C(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$

as $m \to \infty$, using the uniform continuity of the compactly supported continuous function θ . Likewise, using (3.4) in [8, Proposition 3.2], we get

$$\partial^{\alpha}(g_m * \theta) = g_m * \partial^{\alpha}\theta \to \partial^{\alpha}\theta$$
 in $C(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, whence $\theta_m := g_m * \theta \to \theta$ in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ (by Lemma 2.15). For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the polynomial $g_{m,j} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$g_{m,j}(x) := \left(\frac{m}{\pi}\right)^{d/2} \sum_{\nu=0}^{j} \frac{1}{\nu!} (-m(\|x\|_2)^2)^{\nu} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then $g_{m,j} \to g_m$ in $C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ as $j \to \infty$. Using the continuity of the map (30), we deduce that

$$g_{m,j} * \theta \to g_m * \theta$$
 in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$

as $j \to \infty$. It remains to observe that $\theta_{m,j} := g_{m,j} * \theta$ is a polynomial for all j and m, since

$$\theta_{m,j}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x-y)\theta(y) \, d\lambda_d(y)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (see, e.g., (3.3) in [8]). Thus $\theta_{m,j} \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. For the final assertion, pick a smooth function $h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq L$ and h(x) = 1 for all $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\gamma)$. Then $h\theta_{m,j} \to h\theta_m$ for $j \to \infty$ in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$, and $h\theta_m \to h\theta = \theta$ as $m \to \infty$. We can therefore replace $\theta_{m,j}$ with $h\theta_{m,j}$.

The following conclusion complements the case $\ell = \infty$ of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.3 Let F be a sequentially complete locally convex space, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed, convex subset with dense interior, and $\gamma \in C^{\infty}(R, F)$. Then there exist elements $\gamma_{k,n,m,j} \in F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$ for $k, n, m, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\gamma = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} \gamma_{k,n,m,j} \text{ in } C^{\infty}(R,F).$$
(31)

Proof. We may assume that $0 \in \mathbb{R}^0$. Given $\gamma \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, F)$, let $\gamma_k \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, F)$, $t_k \in]1, \infty[$ and and $\eta_k \in C^{\infty}(t_k \mathbb{R}^0, F)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 (applied with $\ell := \infty$). For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(K_{k,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a compact exhaustion of $t_k \mathbb{R}^0$ and $h_{k,n} : t_k \mathbb{R}^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a compactly supported smooth function such that $h_{k,n}(x) = 1$ for all $x \in K_{k,n}$. Then $h_{k,n}\eta_k \to \eta_k$ in $C^{\infty}(t_k \mathbb{R}^0, F)$ as $n \to \infty$ (cf. Lemma 2.15), entailing that

$$(h_{k,n}\eta_k)|_R \to \eta_k|_R = \gamma_k$$

in $C^{\infty}(R, F)$. Since $h_{k,n}\eta_k$ has compact support in the open subset R^0 of \mathbb{R}^d , we can extend $h_{k,n}\eta_k$ via 0 to a smooth function $\eta_{k,n} \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to F$. Then $\operatorname{supp}(\eta_{k,n})$

is compact, being a subset of supp $(h_{k,n})$. By Lemma 4.2, there are $\theta_{k,n,m,j} \in F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ for $m, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\eta_{k,n} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} \theta_{k,n,m,j}$$
 in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$.

Then $\gamma_{k,n,m,j} := \theta_{k,n,m,j}|_R \in F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(R,\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\gamma = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_{k,n}|_R = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} \gamma_{k,n,m,j}$$

in $C^{\infty}(R, F)$.

Lemma 4.1 and 4.3 are useful for us as they have consequences for functions to sequentially complete locally convex spaces. Recall that a map $f: X \to Y$ between topological spaces is said to be *sequentially continuous* if $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ as $n \to \infty$ for each $x \in X$ and sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $x_n \to x$. If f is continuous, then f is sequentially continuous.

Lemma 4.4 Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex subset with dense interior. If $\ell = \infty$, assume that R is closed in \mathbb{R}^d and F is sequentially complete. Let $E_0 \subseteq E$ be a vector subspace which is sequentially complete in the induced topology. If $f: C^{\ell}(R, F) \to E$ is a sequentially continuous map such that

$$f(F \otimes C^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})) \subseteq E_0,$$

then $f(C^{\ell}(R,F)) \subseteq E_0$.

Proof. If $v \in E$ and $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in E_0 with $v_n \to v$ as $n \to \infty$, then

$$v = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n \in E_0, \tag{32}$$

as E_0 is sequentially complete. The case $\ell < \infty$: For each $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R, F)$, Lemma 4.1 provides elements $\gamma_{k,n} \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(R, \mathbb{R})$ for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (28) holds. As f is assumed sequentially continuous, we deduce that

$$f(\gamma) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} f(\gamma_{k,n}).$$
(33)

Since $f(\gamma_{k,n}) \in E_0$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ by hypothesis, using (32) twice we deduce from (33) that $f(\gamma) \in E_0$. If $\ell = \infty$, we write γ as a fourfold limit as in (31) and argue as before, using (32) four times now to see that

$$f(\gamma) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} f(\gamma_{k,n,m,j})$$

is in E_0 .

We shall use a well-known fact:

Lemma 4.5 Let E and F be complete locally convex spaces, $E_0 \subseteq E$ and $F_0 \subseteq F$ dense vector subspaces and $\lambda: E_0 \to F_0$ be an isomorphism of topological vector spaces. Then the unique continuous linear map $\Lambda: E \to F$ with $\Lambda|_{E_0} = \lambda$ is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

Proof. Let $\Theta: F \to E$ be the unique continuous linear map which extends $\lambda^{-1}: F_0 \to E_0$. Then $\Theta \circ \Lambda|_{E_0} = \operatorname{id}_E|_{E_0}$, whence $\Theta \circ \Lambda = \operatorname{id}_E$. Likewise, $\Lambda \circ \Theta = \operatorname{id}_F$. Hence $\Theta = \Lambda^{-1}$.

4.6 Given locally convex spaces E and F, we write E'_{τ} for the space of continuous linear functionals on E, endowed with the Mackey topology (the topology of uniform convergence on absolutely convex weakly compact subsets of E). Write $\mathcal{L}(E'_{\tau}, F)_{\varepsilon}$ for $\mathcal{L}(E'_{\tau}, F)$, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on equicontinuous subsets of E'. Recall that the ε -topology on $E \otimes F$ is the initial topology with respect to the linear map

$$\psi \colon E \otimes F \to \mathcal{L}(E'_{\tau}, F)_{\varepsilon}$$

determined by $\psi(x, y)(\lambda) := \lambda(x)y$ for $x \in E$, $y \in F$ and $\lambda \in E'$ (cf. Definition 43.1 and §42 in [60]).

4.7 The locally convex topology on $\mathcal{L}(E', F)_{\varepsilon}$ is given by the seminorms

$$\|\cdot\|_{S,q} \colon \mathcal{L}(E',F) \to [0,\infty[, \quad \alpha \mapsto \sup_{\lambda \in S} q(\alpha(\lambda)),$$

for S ranging through the set of equicontinuous subsets of E' and q ranging through the set of all continuous seminorms on F. If Γ is a directed set of continuous seminorms defining the locally convex topology on F, then there exists $Q \in \Gamma$ and r > 0 such that $q \leq rQ$. As a consequence, $\|\cdot\|_{S,q} \leq$ $\|\cdot\|_{S,rQ} = \|\cdot\|_{rS,Q}$. Thus, it suffices to take $q \in \Gamma$. Moreover, after increasing S, we may assume that

$$S = V^{\circ} := \{ \lambda \in E' \colon \lambda(V) \subseteq [-1, 1] \}$$

is the polar of a 0-neighbourhood $V \subseteq E$. After replacing V with a smaller 0-neighbourhood (which increases the polar), we may assume that $V = \overline{B}_1^p(0)$ for a continuous seminorm p on E (and, conversely, $\overline{B}_1^p(0)^\circ$ is equicontinuous for each continuous seminorm p on E). Since

$$\overline{B}_1^p(0) = (\overline{B}_1^p(0)^\circ)_\circ := \{ y \in E : (\forall \lambda \in \overline{B}_1^p(0)^\circ) \ \lambda(y) \subseteq [-1,1] \}$$

by the Bipolar Theorem, we deduce that

$$p(y) = \sup \{ |\lambda(y)| \colon \lambda \in \overline{B}_1^p(0)^\circ \} = \sup_{\lambda \in S} |\lambda(y)|$$
(34)

for all $y \in E$. This well-known fact will be useful for us.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Since $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ is complete (see Lemma 2.14(d)) and $F \otimes C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$ is a dense vector subspace of both $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ (see Theorem 4.1) and $F \otimes_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$, it suffices to show that both $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ and $F \otimes_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$ induce the same topology on $F \otimes C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$; the unique continuous linear map

$$\widetilde{\Lambda} \colon C^{\ell}(R,F) \to F \,\widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R})$$

determined by $\widetilde{\Lambda}(\gamma v) = v \otimes \gamma$ for $v \in F$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$ then is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces (by Lemma 4.5). Let

$$\Lambda \colon Y \to F \otimes C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$$

be the unique linear map on $Y := F \otimes C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C^{\ell}(R, F)$ determined by $\Lambda(\gamma v) = v \otimes \gamma$. It suffices to show that the vector space isomorphism Λ is a homeomorphism if we use the topology induced by $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ on Y and the topology induced by $F \otimes_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$ on the range of Λ . The latter holds if and only if the linear map $h := \psi \circ \Lambda$ is a topological embedding, for

$$\psi \colon F \otimes C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{L}(F'_{\tau}, C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R}))_{\varepsilon}$$

as in 4.6. Note that $h(\gamma)(\lambda) = (\psi \circ \Lambda)(\gamma)(\lambda) = \lambda \circ \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in Y$ and $\lambda \in F'$, as $(\psi \circ \Lambda)(\gamma v)(\lambda) = \lambda(v)\gamma$ for all $v \in F$, $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$, and $\lambda \in F'$.

We claim that

$$\|h(\gamma)\|_{S,\|\cdot\|_{C^{j},K}} = \|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,p}^{\partial}$$
(35)

for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $j \leq \ell$, compact subset $K \subseteq R$, and continuous seminorm p on F, with $S := \overline{B}_1^p(0)^\circ \subseteq F'$. If this is true, then the injective linear map h is an embedding. In fact, for each continuous seminorm Q on $\mathcal{L}(F'_{\tau}, C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R}))_{\varepsilon}$, there exist a continuous seminorm p on F, a compact subset $K \subseteq R$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $j \leq \ell$ such that

$$Q \leq \|\cdot\|_{S,\|\cdot\|_{C^j,K}}$$

with $S := \overline{B}_1^p(0)^\circ$, by 4.7. Then $Q(h(\gamma)) \leq ||h(\gamma)||_{S,||\cdot||_{C^{j},K}} = ||\gamma||_{C^{j},K,p}^{\partial}$ for each $\gamma \in Y$, by (35), showing that the linear map h is continuous. If P is a continuous seminorm on Y, then there exist $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $j \leq \ell$, a compact subset $K \subseteq R$, and a continuous seminorm p on F such that

$$P(\gamma) \le \|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,p}^{\partial} = \|h(\gamma)\|_{S,\|\cdot\|_{C^{j},K}}$$

where $S := \overline{B}_1^p(0)^\circ$. The linear map $(h|^{h(Y)})^{-1}$ is therefore continuous, and thus h is a topological embedding. But

$$\begin{split} \|h(\gamma)\|_{S,\|\cdot\|_{C^{j},K}} &= \sup_{\lambda \in S} \|\lambda \circ \gamma\|_{C^{j},K} \\ &= \sup_{\lambda \in S} \max_{|\alpha| \le j} \sup_{x \in K} \underbrace{|\partial^{\alpha}(\lambda \circ \gamma)(x)|}_{=|\lambda(\partial^{\alpha}\gamma(x))|} \\ &= \max_{|\alpha| \le j} \sup_{x \in K} \sup_{\lambda \in S} \frac{|\lambda(\partial^{\alpha}\gamma(x))|}{|\alpha|_{C^{j},K,F}} \\ &= \lim_{|\alpha| \le j} \sup_{x \in K} \underbrace{|\lambda(\partial^{\alpha}\gamma(x))|}_{=p(\partial^{\alpha}\gamma(x))} \\ \end{split}$$

for each $\gamma \in Y$, using the Chain Rule and (34); this proves the claim. \Box **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let $\mathcal{E} \colon C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R}) \to C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R})$ be a continuous linear map such that $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|_R = \gamma$ for each $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$. Let \widetilde{F} be a completion of F such that $F \subseteq \widetilde{F}$. There is a unique continuous linear map

$$\operatorname{id}_{\widetilde{F}} \, \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{E} \colon \, \widetilde{F} \, \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R}) \to \widetilde{F} \, \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \widetilde{F})$$

mapping elementary tensors $v \otimes \gamma$ to $v \otimes \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ (see [60, Definition 43.5]). Using Proposition 1.2 twice (with domains R and \mathbb{R}^d , respectively), we now interpret $\mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{F}} \otimes_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}$ as a map

$$\mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{F}} \colon C^{\ell}(R, \widetilde{F}) \to C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, \widetilde{F}).$$

The restriction $f := \mathcal{E}_{\widetilde{F}}|_{C^{\ell}(R,F)}$ is a continuous linear map $C^{\ell}(R,F) \to C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,\widetilde{F})$ such that $f(F \otimes C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R})) \subseteq F \otimes \mathcal{E}(C^{\ell}(R,\mathbb{R})) \subseteq C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,F)$. Moreover, $C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,\widetilde{F})$ induces the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on $C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,F)$ (see Lemma 2.14(b)). Since $C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,F)$ is sequentially complete by Lemma 2.14(d), Lemma 4.4 shows that $f(C^{\ell}(R,F)) \subseteq C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,F)$. Thus f co-restricts to a continuous linear map

$$\mathcal{E}_F \colon C^\ell(R, F) \to C^\ell(\mathbb{R}^d, F).$$

Let $\rho: C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}(R, F), \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_R$ be the restriction map, which is continuous and linear. Since

$$\rho(\mathcal{E}_F(\gamma v)) = \rho(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)v) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma)|_R v = \gamma v$$

for all $v \in F$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$, passing to the linear span we deduce that $\rho(\mathcal{E}_F(\gamma)) = \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in F \otimes C^{\ell}(R, \mathbb{R})$. The continuous linear maps $\rho \circ \mathcal{E}_F$ and $\mathrm{id}_{C^{\ell}(R,F)}$ therefore coincide, as they coincide on a dense vector subspace of their domain. \Box

We close with a fact concerning convex sets mentioned in the introduction.

Lemma 4.8 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed, convex subset with non-empty interior. Then R satisfies the cusp condition.

Proof. To see that R has no narrow fjords, let $x \in R$. Pick r > 0. Then

$$K := \overline{B}_r(x) \cap R$$

is a compact neighbourhood of x in R (using the euclidean ball). Let $w \in \mathbb{R}^0$. If $y \neq z$ are points in K, we can choose $t \in [0, 1]$ so small that $t ||w-y||_2, t ||w-z||_2 \leq ||y-z||_2/2$. Then $y + s(w-y) \in \mathbb{R}^0$ and $z + s(w-z) \in \mathbb{R}^0$ for all $s \in [0, t]$ and the line segment joining y + t(w-y) and z + t(w-z) (which has length

$$||y + t(w - y) - z - t(w - z)||_2 = (1 - t)||y - z||_2)$$

is contained in \mathbb{R}^0 . The polygonal path γ with edges y, y+t(w-y), z+t(w-z), z therefore has length

$$t||w - y||_2 + (1 - t)||y - z||_2 + t||w - z||_2 \le 2||y - z||_2,$$

using $1 - t \leq 1$ and the choice of t. We can therefore take C := 2 and n = 1 in the definition of no narrow fjords.

To see that R has at worst polynomial outward cusps, let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set. Pick $w \in \mathbb{R}^0$; there is $\rho > 0$ such that $B_{\rho}(w) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^0$. For each $z \in K \cap \partial \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, setting $x := z + \varepsilon(w - z)$ we have

$$B_{\rho\varepsilon}(x) = B_{\rho\varepsilon}(z+\varepsilon(w-z)) = z+\varepsilon(w-z) + B_{\rho\varepsilon}(0)$$

= $z+\varepsilon(w-z)+\varepsilon B_{\rho}(0) = z+\varepsilon(B_{\rho}(w)-z) \subseteq R^0 \subseteq R$

Thus, the condition in Definition 1.4(b) is satisfied with $\varepsilon_0 := 1$ and r := 1. \Box

5 Proof of Proposition 1.7 and Corollary 1.8

We now prove the two results related to Hanusch's work.

Proof of Proposition 1.7. Let the constants $C_i \in [1, \infty[$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \leq \ell$ be as in part 2) of [32, Theorem 1]. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $j \leq \ell, K \subseteq]a, \infty[\times R$ and $L \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times E$ be compact subsets, and q be a continuous seminorm on F. After increasing K and L, we may assume that $K = [\alpha, \beta] \times A$ with $a < \alpha < \tau < b < \beta$ and a compact subset $A \subseteq R$, and $L = [-r, r] \times B$ for some $r \geq 10$ and a compact subset $B \subseteq E$ such that $0 \in B$. If $\ell \geq 1$, we may assume that $j \geq 1$. By part 2) of [32, Theorem 1], we have

$$q(\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\gamma)(t,x)) \leq \sup\{q(\gamma(s,x)) \colon s \in [\tau,b]\} \leq \|\gamma\|_{[\alpha,\beta] \times A,q}$$

for all $t \in [b, \beta]$ and $x \in A$. Thus

$$q(\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\gamma)(t,x)) \leq \|\gamma\|_{[\alpha,\beta] \times A,q}$$

holds for all $(t, x) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times A$, as $\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\gamma)(t, x) = \gamma(t, x)$ in the remaining case where $(t, x) \in [\alpha, b] \times A$ (making the inequality a triviality). We therefore have

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\gamma)\|_{K,q} \le \|\gamma\|_{K,q} \tag{36}$$

for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(]a,b] \times R, F)$; if j = 0 (and thus $\ell = 0$), this establishes continuity of \mathcal{E}_{τ} . If $j \ge 1$, consider $i \in \{1, \ldots, j\}$ now. Abbreviate $D := \{(1,0)\} \cup (\{0\} \times B) \subseteq [-r,r] \times B \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times E$. Then

$$q(d^{(i)}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau}\gamma)((t,x),(t_{1},y_{1}),\ldots,(t_{i},y_{j}))) \leq C_{i}r^{i} \|\gamma\|_{C^{i},([\tau,b]\times\{x\}),D,q}$$

$$\leq C_{i}r^{i} \|\gamma\|_{C^{i},[\alpha,\beta]\times A,[-r,r]\times B,q}$$

for all $t \in [b, \beta]$, $x \in A$, $y_1, \ldots, y_i \in B$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_i \in [-r, r]$, using that $\max\{1, |t_1|, \ldots, |t_i|\}^i \leq r^i$. Thus

$$q(d^{(i)}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau}\gamma)((t,x),(t_1,y_1),\ldots,(t_i,y_j))) \leq C_i r^i \|\gamma\|_{C^i,K,L,q}$$
(37)

holds for all $(t, x) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times A$ and $(t_1, y_1), \dots, (t_i, y_i) \in [-r, r] \times B$, as

$$d^{(i)}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau}\gamma)((t,x),(t_1,y_1),\ldots,(t_i,y_i)) = d^{(i)}\gamma((t,x),(t_1,y_1),\ldots,(t_i,y_i))$$

in the remaining case where $t \in [\alpha, b]$ (making the inequality a triviality). Now

$$C := \max\{C_i r^i : i \in \{1, \dots, j\}\} \ge 1.$$

For all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}([a, b] \times R, F)$, we have $\|\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\gamma)\|_{K,q} \leq \|\gamma\|_{K,q} \leq \|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,L,q} \leq C \|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,L,q}$, by (36). Moreover, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, j\}$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\gamma)\|_{i,K,L,q} \leq C_{i}r^{i}\|\gamma\|_{C^{i},K,L,q} \leq C \|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,L,q},$$

by (37). Thus

$$\|\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\gamma)\|_{C^{j},K,L,q} \leq C \|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,L,q}$$

for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(]a, b] \times R, F)$. As a consequence, \mathcal{E}_{τ} is continuous. \Box .

The following observations prepare the proof of Corollary 1.8.

Lemma 5.1 Let E_1 and E_2 be finite-dimensional vector spaces, E and F be locally convex spaces, $R \subseteq E$ be a regular subset, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $f: U_1 \to U_2$ be a C^{ℓ} -diffeomorphism between open subsets $U_1 \subseteq E_1$ and $U_2 \subseteq E_2$, and S_1 be a closed, regular subset of U_1 . Let $S_2 := f(S_1)$. If the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(U_1 \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(S_1 \times R, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse $\mathcal{E} : C^{\ell}(S_1 \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(U_1 \times R, F)$, then $\mathcal{F} := C^{\ell}((f^{-1}) \times \mathrm{id}_R, F) \circ \mathcal{E} \circ C^{\ell}(f|_{S_1}^{S_2} \times \mathrm{id}_R, F) : C^{\ell}(S_2 \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(U_2 \times R, F)$

is a continuous linear map and a right inverse for the restriction mapping $C^{\ell}(U_2 \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(S_2 \times R, F).$

Proof. The composition \mathcal{F} is continuous and linear as a composition of continuous linear maps (using Lemma 2.14(e)). For $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(S_2 \times R, F)$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma)(x,y) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma \circ (f|_{S_1} \times \mathrm{id}_R))(f^{-1}(x),y) = (\gamma \circ (f|_{S_1} \times \mathrm{id}_R))(f^{-1}(x),y) = \gamma(x,y)$$

for all $x \in S_2$ and $y \in R$ (using that $f^{-1}(x) \in S_1$). Thus $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)|_{S_2 \times R} = \gamma$. \Box

Lemma 5.2 Let E_1 , E_2 , and F be locally convex spaces, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset and $R_1 \subseteq E_1$, $R_2 \subseteq E_2$, and $S \subseteq U$ be regular subsets such that S is closed in U. If the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(U \times R_1 \times R_2, F) \to C^{\ell}(S \times R_1 \times R_2, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse

$$\mathcal{E}\colon C^{\ell}(S\times R_1\times R_2, F)\to C^{\ell}(U\times R_1\times R_2, F),$$

then also the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(R_1 \times U \times R_2, F) \to C^{\ell}(R_1 \times S \times R_2, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse $C^{\ell}(R_1 \times S \times R_2, F) \to C^{\ell}(R_1 \times U \times R_2, F)$.

Proof. The map $f: U \times R_1 \times R_2 \to R_1 \times U \times R_2$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto (y, x, z)$ is a C^{ℓ} -diffeomorphism between regular subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d \times E_1 \times E_2$ and $E_1 \times \mathbb{R}^d \times E_2$, respectively. Then

$$C^{\ell}(f^{-1},F) \circ \mathcal{E} \circ C^{\ell}(f|_{S \times R_1 \times R_2},F) \colon C^{\ell}(R_1 \times S \times R_2,F) \to C^{\ell}(R_1 \times U \times R_2,F)$$

is the desired right inverse.

Remark 5.3 It is now clear that Hanusch's extension operators as in (2) are continuous. If fact, given $\tau_j \in]a_j, b_j[$ we have Hanusch's extension operator

$$C^{\ell}([a_j, b_j] \times R_j, F) \to C^{\ell}([a, j, \infty[\times R_j, F)]$$

for the regular subset $R_j :=]a_1, \infty[\times \cdots \times]a_{j-1}, \infty[\times]a_{j+1}, b_j] \times \cdots \times]a_n, b_n] \times R$ $\subseteq \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times E)$. Using Lemma 5.2, we have a corresponding extension operator

$$\mathcal{E}_{j} \colon C^{\ell}(]a_{1}, \infty[\times \cdots \times]a_{j-1}, \infty[\times]a_{j}, b_{j}] \times \cdots \times]a_{n}, b_{n}] \times R, F) \\ \to C^{\ell}(]a_{1}, \infty[\times \cdots \times]a_{j}, \infty[\times]a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}] \times \cdots \times]a_{n}, b_{n}] \times R, F).$$

Then $\mathcal{E}_n \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{E}_1$ is continuous linear and is the extension operator in (2).

Proof of Corollary 1.8. Consider an extension operator

$$C^{\ell}(]-\infty,0]^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d-m}, F) \to C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$$

by Hanusch (analogous to (2)), which is continuous linear by Remark 5.3. Using Lemma 5.1, we have a corresponding continuous linear extension operator $C^{\ell}([0, \infty[^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d-m}, F) \to C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F))$, as asserted.

To prove the final assertion, we claim that there exist continuous linear extension operators

$$\mathcal{E}_j \colon C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^{j-1} \times [0,1]^{1+d-j}, F) \to C^{\ell}(R^j \times [0,1]^{d-j}, F)$$
(38)

for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. If this is true, then

$$\mathcal{E}_d \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{E}_1 \colon C^{\ell}([0,1]^d,F) \to C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d,F)$$

is a continuous linear extension operator, as desired. In view of Lemma 5.2, operators as in (38) will exist if we can show that the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R} \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}([0, 1] \times R, F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse for each regular subset R of a locally convex space E. The restriction map

$$\rho_1 \colon C^\ell(\mathbb{R} \times R, F) \to C^\ell(]0, \infty[\times R, F)$$

is continuous and linear, and so are the restriction maps $\rho_2 \colon C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R} \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(] - \infty, 1[\times R, F), r_1 \colon C^{\ell}([0, 1] \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(]0, 1] \times R, F)$ and $r_2 \colon C^{\ell}([0, 1] \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}([0, 1] \times R, F)$. Lemma 2.14(f) entails that the linear map

$$(\rho_1, \rho_2): C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R} \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(]0, \infty[\times R, F) \times C^{\ell}(]-\infty, 1[\times R, F)$$

is a topological embedding. Now Hanusch's work provides a continuous linear extension operator

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \colon C^{\ell}(]0,1] \times R, F) \to C^{\ell}(]0,\infty[\times R,F).$$

Using Lemma 5.1, we obtain a corresponding continuous linear extension operator

$$\mathcal{F}_2\colon C^{\ell}([0,1[\times R,F]\to C^{\ell}(]-\infty,1[\times R,F]).$$

For all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}([0,1] \times R, F), x \in]0,1[$ and $y \in R$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{1}(\gamma|_{[0,1]\times R})(x,y) = \gamma(x,y) = \mathcal{F}_{2}(\gamma|_{[0,1[\times R])}(x,y)$$

and thus

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 \circ r_1)(\gamma)|_{]0,1[\times R} = (\mathcal{F}_2 \circ r_2)|_{]0,1[\times R]}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma)(x,y) := \begin{cases} (\mathcal{F}_1 \circ r_1)(\gamma) & \text{if } (x,y) \in]0, \infty[\times R; \\ (\mathcal{F}_2 \circ r_2)(\gamma) & \text{if } (x,y) \in]-\infty, 1[\times R; \end{cases}$$

yields a well-defined C^{ℓ} -map $\mathcal{F}(\gamma) \in C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R} \times R, F)$ and clearly $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)$ is linear in γ . As

$$\rho_j \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_j \circ r_j$$

is continuous for all $j \in \{1,2\}$, we deduce that \mathcal{F} is continuous. By contruction, $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)(x,y) = \gamma(x,y)$ for all $(x,y) \in [0,1] \times R$. In fact, if $x \in [0,1]$, then $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)(x,y) = \mathcal{F}_1(r_1(\gamma))(x,y) = r_1(\gamma)(x,y) = \gamma(x,y)$. The case $x \in [0,1[$ is analogous. \Box

6 Proof of Proposition 1.9 and a C^{ℓ} -analogue

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.9 and an analogue for C^{ℓ} -maps.

Proof of Proposition 1.9. Let $\mathcal{E}: C^{\infty}(S, F) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ be the continuous linear right inverse to the restriction map. Since S is locally compact, the map

$$\Phi \colon C^{\infty}(R \times S, F) \to C^{\infty}(R, C^{\infty}(S, F)), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\vee}$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces, where $\gamma^{\vee}(x)(y) := \gamma(x)(y)$ (see [27]; if R and S are locally convex sets, see already [3]). Likewise, the map

$$\Psi \colon C^{\infty}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\infty}(R, C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\vee}$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces and $\Psi^{-1}(\eta) =: \eta^{\wedge}$ is given by $\eta^{\wedge}(x,y) := \eta(x)(y)$ for $\eta \in C^{\infty}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F)$. Now

$$C^{\infty}(R,\mathcal{E})\colon C^{\infty}(R,C^{\infty}(S,F))\to C^{\infty}(R,C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d},F)), \quad \gamma\mapsto \mathcal{E}\circ\gamma$$

is a smooth (and hence continuous) linear map (cf. Lemma 2.14(g)). As a consequence, also

$$\mathcal{F} := \Psi^{-1} \circ C^{\infty}(R, \mathcal{E}) \circ \Phi \colon C^{\infty}(R \times S, F) \to C^{\infty}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F)$$

is continuous linear. It remains to observe that

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma)(x,y) = (\mathcal{E} \circ \gamma^{\vee})(x)(y) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma^{\vee}(x))(y) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma(x,\cdot))(y) = \gamma(x,y)$$

for all $x \in R$ and $y \in S$, whence \mathcal{F} is a right inverse for the restriction map $C^{\infty}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\infty}(R \times S, F)$. \Box

Proposition 6.1 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed, regular subset, F be a locally convex space, and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Assume that there exists an (i + 1)-tupel $(\mathcal{E}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_\ell)$ of right inverses

$$\mathcal{E}_i \colon C^i(S, F) \to C^i(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$$

for the restriction map $C^i(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^i(S, F)$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, \ell\}$ which are compatible in the sense that

$$\mathcal{E}_i(\gamma) = \mathcal{E}_j(\gamma) \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq j \leq \ell \text{ and } \gamma \in C^j(S, F).$$

Then also the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}(R \times S, F)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse, for each locally convex space E and regular subset $R \subseteq E$.

Proof. For all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $i+j \leq \ell$, the following maps are isomorphisms of topological vector spaces:

$$\Phi_{ij} \colon C^{i,j}(R \times S, F) \to C^i(R, C^j(S, F)), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\vee};$$

$$\Psi_{ij} \colon C^{i,j}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^i(R, C^j(\mathbb{R}^d, F)), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\vee}$$

(see [27]; if R and S are locally convex sets, see already [3]). Now

$$C^{i}(R,\mathcal{E}_{j})\colon C^{i}(R,C^{j}(S,F))\to C^{i}(R,C^{j}(\mathbb{R}^{d},F)), \quad \gamma\mapsto\mathcal{E}_{j}\circ\gamma$$

is a smooth (and hence continuous) linear map (cf. Lemma 2.14(g)). As a consequence, also

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij} := \Psi_{ij}^{-1} \circ C^i(R, \mathcal{E}_j) \circ \Phi_{ij} \colon C^{i,j}(R \times S, F) \to C^{i,j}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F)$$

is continuous linear. If $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(R \times S, F)$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij}(\gamma)(x,y) = \mathcal{E}_j(\gamma(x,\cdot))(y) = \mathcal{E}_0(\gamma(x,\cdot))(y) = \mathcal{E}_{00}(\gamma)(x)(y)$$

is independent of i and j for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. As the inclusion map $C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R} \times S, F) \to C^{i,j}(\mathbb{R} \times S, F)$ is continuous linear for all i, j as before and the map

$$C^{\ell}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \to \prod_{i+j \le \ell} C^{i,j}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F), \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma)_{i+j \le \ell}$$

is linear and a topological embedding (see [27]), we deduce that the map

$$\mathcal{F}\colon C^{\ell}(R\times S,F)\to C^{\ell}(R\times \mathbb{R}^d,F), \quad \gamma\mapsto \mathcal{E}_{00}(\gamma)$$

makes sense, is linear, and continuous. It remains to observe that

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma)(x,y) = \mathcal{E}_{00}(\gamma)(x,y) = \mathcal{E}_0(\gamma(x,\cdot))(y) = \gamma(x,y)$$

for all $x \in R$ and $y \in S$, whence \mathcal{F} is a right inverse for the restriction map $C^{\ell}(R \times \mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}(R \times S, F)$. \Box

7 Applications to spaces of sections and manifolds of mappings

We now describe some applications of our results, combined with techniques and concepts from [53] and [27]. The applications deal with continuous linear extension operators between spaces of sections in vector bundles, and locally defined smooth extension operators between manifolds of mappings of the form $C^{\ell}(M, N)$. Such manifolds of mappings are well known in various special cases (as recalled below); the construction of [27] in the context of *rough manifolds* is particularly well adapted to the extension questions.

7.1 Given a set \mathcal{E} of locally convex spaces and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on \mathcal{E} is a Hausdorff topological space M, together with a maximal set \mathcal{A} of homeomorphisms $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi}$ ("charts") from open subsets $U_{\phi} \subseteq M$ onto regular subsets $V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ for some $E_{\phi} \in \mathcal{E}$, such that the domains U_{ϕ} cover M and the transition maps $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ take the interior $\psi(U_{\phi} \cap U_{\psi})^0$ relative E_{ψ} inside the interior $\phi(U_{\phi} \cap U_{\psi})^0$ relative E_{ϕ} and are C^{ℓ} (see [27] for details). If $\mathcal{E} = \{E\}$ is a singleton, then M is called a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on E; such manifolds are also called pure manifolds. Note that $E_{\phi} \cong E_{\psi}$ if $U_{\phi} \cap U_{\psi} \neq \emptyset$; therefore every rough C^{ℓ} -manifold admits a partition into open submanifolds which can be considered as pure C^{ℓ} -manifolds. The rough C^{ℓ} -manifolds we consider are a slight generalization of the C^{ℓ} -manifolds with rough boundary discussed in [29], where V_{ϕ} is assumed regular and locally convex. Every rough C^{ℓ} -manifold M has a formal interior M° (the set of $x \in M$ such that $\phi(x) \in V_{\phi}^{0}$ for each chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi}$ with $x \in U_{\phi}$) and a formal boundary $\partial^{\circ}M := M \setminus M^{\circ}$.

If a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold M is locally compact, then the locally convex space E_{ϕ} is locally compact and thus finite-dimensional for each chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ of M such that $U_{\phi} \neq \emptyset$. We can therefore model M on a set of finite-dimensional vector spaces.

7.2 Let M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold. For each C^{ℓ} -manifold L (without boundary) modelled on locally convex spaces, or each C^{ℓ} -manifold L with rough boundary in the sense of [29] (e.g., a C^{ℓ} -manifold with corners), one can define C^{ℓ} -maps $M \to L$ as continuous maps which are C^{ℓ} in local charts (see [27]). If, more generally, L is any rough C^{ℓ} -manifold, we define RC^{ℓ} -mappings $f: M \to N$ ("restricted C^{ℓ} -maps") as continuous mappings from M to N such that $f(M^{\circ}) \subseteq L^{\circ}$ and f is C^{ℓ} in local charts. If L is a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary, then $RC^{\ell}(M,L) \subseteq C^{\ell}(M,L)$ and (for $M \neq \emptyset$) equality holds if and only if $\partial^{\circ}L$ is empty.¹⁷ Thus $RC^{\ell}(M,L) = C^{\ell}(M,L)$ if L is an ordinary C^{ℓ} -manifold (without boundary) modelled on locally convex spaces.

We mention another (elementary) source of extension operators.

Definition 7.3 For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we call a subset L of a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold M a split RC^{ℓ} -submanifold (or also: a split rough submanifold) if, for each $x \in L$, there exists a chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ of M around x such that $\phi(x) = 0$ and which is RC^{ℓ} -adapted to L in the sense that

- (a) $V_{\phi} = W_1 + W_2$ with $W_1 := V_{\phi} \cap E_1$ and $W_2 := V_{\phi} \cap E_2$ for some vector subspaces E_1 and E_2 of the modelling space E_{ϕ} such that $E_{\phi} = E_1 \oplus E_2$ (internally) as a topological vector space;
- (b) W_1 and W_2 are regular subsets of E_1 and E_2 , respectively;
- (c) $\phi(U_{\phi} \cap L) = W_1$; and
- (d) $0 \in W_2^0$ relative E_2 ,

whence $U_{\phi} \cap L$ is open in L in the induced topology, and the map

$$\phi_L \colon U_\phi \cap L \to W_1, \ y \mapsto \phi(y)$$

is a homeomorphism. Moreover, we require

(e) For all charts $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ and $\psi: U_{\psi} \to V_{\psi} \subseteq E_{\psi}$ which are RC^{ℓ} -adapted to L, we have for all $y \in L \cap U_{\phi} \cap U_{\psi}$

$$\phi_L(y) \in \phi_L(L \cap U_\phi)^0 \iff \psi_L(L \cap U_\psi)^0$$

for the interiors relative span $\phi_L(L \cap U_{\phi})$ (= E_1 in (a)) and span $\psi_L(L \cap U_{\psi})$, respectively.

If M is a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary, we say that a chart ϕ is C^{ℓ} -adapted to L if (a), (b), and (c) hold and V_{ϕ} is convex. If, moreover, the following condition (e)' holds, we call L a *split submanifold* of M.

¹⁷Pick $y \in \partial^{\circ}L$ and let $f: M \to L$ be the constant map $x \mapsto y$. Then f is C^{ℓ} but not RC^{ℓ} .

(e)' For all charts $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ and $\psi: U_{\psi} \to V_{\psi} \subseteq E_{\psi}$ which are C^{ℓ} -adapted to L, we have for all $y \in L \cap U_{\phi} \cap U_{\psi}$

$$\phi_L(y) \in \phi_L(L \cap U_\phi)^0 \iff \psi_L(L \cap U_\psi)^0$$

for the interiors relative span $\phi_L(L \cap U_\phi)$ and span $\psi_L(L \cap U_\psi)$, respectively.

Remark 7.4 If M is a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $L \subseteq M$ a rough C^{ℓ} -submanifold, then the maximal C^{ℓ} -atlas containing the charts ϕ_L for all charts ϕ of M which are RC^{ℓ} -adapted to L turns L into a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold such that L carries the topology induced by M and the inclusion map $L \to M$ is an RC^{ℓ} -map.¹⁸

Likewise, if M is a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary modelled on locally convex spaces and $L \subseteq M$ a C^{ℓ} -submanifold, then the maximal C^{ℓ} -atlas of charts with locally convex ranges containing the charts ϕ_L for all charts ϕ of M which are C^{ℓ} -adapted to L turns L into a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary such that Lcarries the topology induced by M and the inclusion map $L \to M$ is a C^{ℓ} -map.

Remark 7.5 If E_1 in condition (a) of Definition 7.3 is always finite-dimensional (e.g., if each modelling space of M has finite dimension), then condition (e) (resp., (e)') is automatically satisfied, by Invariance of Domain.

Moreover, condition (e)' is automatic if $\ell > 0$, by [29, Lemma 3.5.6].

7.6 In the situation of Definition 7.3, the projection $\operatorname{pr}_1: V_{\phi} \to W_1, w_1 + w_2 \mapsto w_1$ is RC^{ℓ} . Hence

$$r: U_{\phi} \to U_{\phi} \cap L, \ y \mapsto \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{pr}_1(\phi(y)))$$

is an RC^{ℓ} -map such that r(y) = y for each $y \in U_{\phi} \cap L$ (an RC^{ℓ} -retraction from U_{ϕ} onto $U_{\phi} \cap L$). As a consequence, the map

$$C^{\ell}(r,F) \colon C^{\ell}(U_{\phi} \cap L,F) \to C^{\ell}(U_{\phi},F), \ \gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ r$$

is a continuous linear right inverse for the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(U_{\phi}, F) \to C^{\ell}(U_{\phi} \cap L, F), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_{U_{\phi} \cap L},$$

for each locally convex space F.

Further concepts of submanifolds will be useful, which are analogous to Definitions 3.1 and 6.1 in [53].

Definition 7.7 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces and $L \subseteq M$ be a subset.

(a) We say that L is a full-dimensional rough submanifold of M if, for each $x \in L$, there exists a chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ of M around x such that $\phi(U_{\phi} \cap L)$ is a regular subset of V_{ϕ} .

¹⁸Condition (d) ensures that the inclusion map $L \to M$ takes L° into M° .

- (b) We say that L is a full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary of M if, for each $x \in L$, there exists a chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ of M around x such that $\phi(U_{\phi} \cap L)$ is a regular subset of V_{ϕ} and locally convex.
- (c) If M has finite-dimensional modelling spaces, we say that $L \subseteq M$ is a full-dimensional submanifold with corners if, for each $x \in L$, there exists a chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ of M around x for some $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$ and $\phi(U_{\phi} \cap L)$ is a relatively open subset of $[0, \infty]^m \times \mathbb{R}^{d-m}$ for some $m \in \{0, \ldots, d\}$.

Note that every full-dimensional submanifold with corners is a full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary; every full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary is a full-dimensional rough submanifold.

Remark 7.8 It is easy to verify that the following conditions are equivalent for a subset L of a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold M modelled on locally convex spaces:

- (a) L is a full-dimensional rough submanifold of M.
- (b) L is a regular subset of M.
- (c) For every chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi} \subseteq E_{\phi}$ of M, the image $\phi(U_{\phi} \cap L)$ is a regular subset of V_{ϕ} .

In this case, the functions $\phi|_{U_{\phi}\cap L} \colon U_{\phi}\cap L \to \phi(U_{\phi}\cap L)$ form an atlas of charts for L which (after passing to a maximal C^{ℓ} -atlas containing it) turns L into a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold with formal boundary

$$\partial^{\circ}L = \partial L \cup (L \cap \partial^{\circ}M), \tag{39}$$

where ∂L is the boundary of L as a subset of the topological space M. The inclusion map $L \to M$ is an RC^{ℓ} -map. Likewise, every full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary can be turned into a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary, and every full-dimensional submanifold with corners can be turned into a C^{ℓ} -manifold with corners.

Definition 7.9 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold. Let $L \subseteq M$ be a closed subset, endowed with a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold structure which is compatible with the topology induced by M on L and makes the inclusion map $j: L \to M$ an RC^{ℓ} -map¹⁹ (for example, L can be any closed, regular subset of M). Given a locally convex space F, we say that M locally admits extension operators for F-valued C^{ℓ} -functions around L if M has the following property: For each $x \in L$, each x-neighbourhood in L contains an open x-neighbourhood $P_x \subseteq L$ for which there exists a continuous linear operator

$$\mathcal{E}_x \colon C^\ell(P_x, F) \to C^\ell(Q_x, F) \tag{40}$$

 $^{^{19}}$ If M is a $C^\ell\text{-manifold}$ with rough boundary, it suffices to assume that j is a $C^\ell\text{-map}.$

for some open x-neighbourhood $Q_x \subseteq M$ such that $Q_x \cap L \subseteq P_x$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|_{Q_x \cap L} = \gamma|_{Q_x \cap L}$$

for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(P_x, F)$. We shall refer to \mathcal{E}_x as *local extension operator* around x.

Remark 7.10 If \mathcal{E}_x is a local extension operator around $x \in L$ as in (40) and $Q \subseteq M$ is an open x-neighbourhood, then we can replace Q_x with $Q_x \cap Q$ as the restriction map $C^{\ell}(Q_x,F) \to C^{\ell}(Q_x \cap Q,F)$ is continuous linear (see Lemma 2.14(c)). We can therefore choose \mathcal{E}_x such that $Q_x \subseteq Q$ for a given x-neighbourhood $Q \subseteq M$.

Definition 7.11 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces. A partition of unity $(h_i)_{i \in J}$ on the topological space M is called a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity on M if each h_j is a C^{ℓ} -function. The rough C^{ℓ} -manifold M is said to be C^{ℓ} -paracompact if for each open cover $(U_i)_{i \in J}$ of M, there exists a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity $(h_j)_{j \in J}$ on M which is subordinate to $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ in the sense that $h_j^{-1}(]0,1]) \subseteq U_j$ for each $j \in J$. Then $(h_j)_{j \in J}$ can be chosen such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq U_j$ for each $j \in J$, by standard arguments.²⁰

We shall see that every paracompact, locally compact rough C^{ℓ} -manifold is C^{ℓ} paracompact (Lemma 9.1).

For terminology concerning vector bundles, we refer to Section 9.

Proposition 7.12 Let \mathcal{F} be a set of locally convex spaces, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold. Let $L \subseteq M$ be a closed subset, endowed with a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold structure making the inclusion map $L \to M$ an RC^{ℓ} -map.²¹ If M locally admits extension operators for F-valued C^{ℓ} -functions around L, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then the following holds for each C^{ℓ} -vector bundle $E \to M$ all of whose fibres are isomorphic to vector spaces $F \in \mathcal{F}$:

(a) If M is C^{ℓ} -paracompact, then the restriction map

$$\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_L)$$

between spaces of C^{ℓ} -sections admits a continuous linear right inverse;

(b) If M is locally compact and paracompact, then the restriction map

$$\Gamma_{C_c^\ell}(E) \to \Gamma_{C_c^\ell}(E|_L)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse (for the spaces of compactly supported C^{ℓ} -sections in the vector bundles).

²⁰Since M is paracompact, M is normal and hence a regular topological space, whence each $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood W_x whose closure $\overline{W_x}$ in M is contained in $U_{j(x)}$ for some $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood w_x whose closure w_x in M is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{j(x)}$ for some $j(x) \in J$. Let $(V_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite open cover of M such that, for each $i \in I$, we have $V_i \subseteq W_{x(i)}$ for some $x(i) \in M$ and thus $\overline{V_i} \subseteq U_{j(x(i))}$. Then also $(\overline{V})_{i \in I}$ is locally finite. If we set $I(j) := \{i \in I: j(x(i)) = j\}$, then the sets $Q_j := \bigcup_{i \in I(j)} V_i$ form an open cover of Mand $\overline{Q_j} = \bigcup_{i \in I(j)} \overline{V_i} \subseteq U_j$, using that $(\overline{V_i})_{i \in I(j)}$ is locally finite. If we choose a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity $(h_j)_{j \in J}$ subordinate to $(Q_j)_{j \in J}$, then $\operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq \overline{Q_j} \subseteq U_j$. ²¹If M is a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary, it suffices to assume that the inclusion map

 $L \to M$ is a C^{ℓ} -map.

Theorem 7.13 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, F be a locally convex space and M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold. Let $L \subseteq M$ be a closed subset. Then M locally admits extension operators for F-valued C^{ℓ} -functions around L in each of the following cases:

- (a) l = ∞ holds, M is a σ-compact, finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, L ⊆ M a regular subset satisfying the cusp condition with respect to the metric arising from Riemannian metric (as in [53, Definition 3.1]) and F has finite dimension (as shown in [53, §4]);
- (b) l = ∞ holds, M is locally compact, L ⊆ M a full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary and F sequentially complete;
- (c) M is locally compact and $L \subseteq M$ a full-dimensional submanifold with corners; or
- (d) $L \subseteq M$ is a split RC^{ℓ} -submanifold.

7.14 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If $\ell \geq 1$, let M be a paracompact, locally compact, rough C^{ℓ} -manifold; if $\ell = 0$, let M be a paracompact, locally compact topological space. Let N a smooth manifold (without boundary) modelled on a set \mathcal{F} of locally convex spaces such that N admits a local addition (see, e.g., [4] for this concept). Then $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ admits a smooth manifold structure independent of the local addition ([27]; special cases and references to the literature were recalled in the Introduction).

Let M be as in 7.14 and $L \subseteq M$ be a closed subset; if $\ell \geq 1$, assume that L is endowed with a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold structure making the inclusion map $L \to M$ an RC^{ℓ} -map. Then the restriction map

$$\rho \colon C^{\ell}(M,N) \to C^{\ell}(L,N), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_{L}$$

is smooth, as it locally looks like the restriction map

$$\rho_{\gamma} \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_{c}}(\gamma^{*}(TN)) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_{c}}((\gamma|_{L})^{*}(TN))$$

between pullback bundles in standard charts around $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(M, N)$ and $\gamma|_{L}$ and this restriction map is continuous and linear (see Section 9 for details). Hence ρ will be a smooth submersion (as in Definition 2.18) when each ρ_{γ} admits a continuous linear right inverse. Criteria for this were compiled in the preceding conditions (a)–(d), and we shall deduce the following result:

Theorem 7.15 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M be a paracompact, locally compact, rough C^ℓ -manifold and $L \subseteq M$ be a closed subset. Let N be a smooth manifold modelled on a set \mathcal{F} of locally convex spaces. If N admits a smooth local addition, then the restriction map

$$C^{\ell}(M,N) \to C^{\ell}(L,N), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_{L}$$

is a smooth submersion in each of the following cases:

- (a) $\ell = \infty$, *M* is a Riemannian manifold without boundary, $L \subseteq M$ is a regular subset satisfying the cusp condition, and dim $(F) < \infty$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ (cf. [53]);
- (b) $\ell = \infty$, L is a full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary of M and each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is sequentially complete;
- (c) L is a full-dimensional submanifold with corners of M; or
- (d) $L \subseteq M$ is a split RC^{ℓ} -submanifold.

Note that ℓ and \mathcal{F} are arbitrary in (c); in (d), all of M, ℓ , and the $F \in \mathcal{F}$ are arbitrary. A special case of (d) (when M and L are compact manifolds without boundary, $\ell < \infty$ and N is a Banach manifold) was already considered in [13].

As shown in [26], every smooth submersion admits smooth local sections. The preceding theorem therefore subsumes the existence of locally defined smooth extension operators around each function in the image of the restriction map $C^{\ell}(M, N) \to C^{\ell}(L, N)$, in all of the cases (a)–(d).

Remark 7.16 If M is not only a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold, but a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary, then it suffices to assume that L is a split submanifold in Theorem 7.13(d) and thus also in Theorem 1.10(d); likewise in Theorem 7.15(d). Moreover, the local compactness of M is inessential for the conclusion (i) of Theorem 1.10, assuming its hypothesis (d) (or its variant just described); it suffices that M be C^{ℓ} -paracompact. The proofs in Section 9 apply just as well in these situations.

In the case $\ell = 0$, the manifold structure on M is inessential for the preceding results. Let us set up notation:

7.17 If $E \to X$ is a locally trivial topological vector bundle over a Hausdorff topological space X whose fibres are locally convex spaces, we endow the vector space $\Gamma_{C^0}(E)$ of continuous sections with the compact-open topology and the closed vector subspace $\Gamma_{C_K^0}(E)$ of sections supported in a closed subset $K \subseteq X$ with the induced topology. If X is locally compact, we give $\Gamma_{C_c^0}(E) = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \Gamma_{C_K^0}(E)$ the locally convex direct limit topology.

7.18 If X is a paracompact, locally compact topological space and N a C^{∞} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces such that N has a local addition, then C(X, N) can be made a smooth manifold in such a way that the modelling space at $\gamma \in C(X, N)$ is $\Gamma_{C_{c}^{0}}(\gamma^{*}(TN))$, as already mentioned in 7.14 (see [27]).

Proposition 7.19 Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. Then the following holds:

(a) If X is paracompact and Y is locally compact and metrizable in the induced topology, then the restriction map Γ_{C⁰}(E) → Γ_{C⁰}(E|_Y) has a continuous linear right inverse, for each topological vector bundle E → X over X whose fibres are locally convex spaces.

- (b) If X is metrizable and there exists a metric d on X defining its topology for which each x ∈ Y has a neighbourhood Z in Y such that (Z, d|_{Z×Z}) is complete, then the restriction map Γ_{C⁰}(E) → Γ_{C⁰}(E|_Y) has a continuous linear right inverse, for each topological vector bundle E → X over X whose fibres are locally convex spaces.
- (c) If X is paracompact and locally compact and Y is metrizable, then the restriction map $\Gamma_{C_c^0}(E) \to \Gamma_{C_c^0}(E|_Y)$ has a continuous linear right inverse, for each topological vector bundle $E \to X$ over X whose fibres are locally convex spaces.
- (d) If X is paracompact and locally compact and Y is metrizable, then the restriction map C(X, N) → C(Y, N) is a smooth submersion between the manifolds of maps in 7.18, for each smooth manifold N modelled on locally spaces such that N has a local addition.

Remark 7.20 Note that the hypothesis of Proposition 7.19(b) is satisfied if Y is locally compact in the induced topology²² (duplicating a special case of (a)) or $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$ is complete (take Z := Y).

Applying Proposition 7.19(a) to a trivial vector bundle $X \times F \to X$, we get:

Corollary 7.21 If X is a paracompact topological space, $Y \subseteq X$ a closed subset which is locally compact and metrizable in the induced topology and F a locally convex space, then the restriction map

$$C(X,F) \to C(Y,F)$$

has a continuous linear right inverse (using the compact-open topology).

8 Proof of Proposition 1.14 and related results

This section prepares the proof of Proposition 7.19. We begin with a review of Dugundji's result.

8.1 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset and F be a locally convex space. Dugundji [15] constructed a continuous extension

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma) \colon X \to F$$

for $\gamma \in C(Y, F)$ in such a way that the map

$$\mathcal{E}: C(Y, F) \to C(X, F)$$

is linear (cf. [15, p. 359]) and the image $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(X)$ is contained in the convex hull of the image $\gamma(Y)$ (loc. cit.). Since convex hulls of bounded sets are bounded, we deduce that $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ is a bounded continuous function if γ is so and the restriction

$$BC(Y, F) \to BC(X, F), \quad \gamma \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$$

²²Take any compact neighbourhood Z of x.

of \mathcal{E} to a map between spaces of bounded continuous functions is continuous with respect to the topology of uniform convergence (see already [15, Theorem 5.1] if $F = \mathbb{R}$). In fact,

$$\|\mathcal{E}(\gamma)\|_{\infty,q} = \|\gamma\|_{\infty,q}$$

for each continuous seminorm q on F (if $\gamma(Y) \subseteq \overline{B}_r^q(0)$ for some r > 0, then also $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(X) \subseteq \overline{B}_r^q(0)$). If $K := Y \subseteq X$ is compact, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{E}\colon C(K,F) = BC(K,F) \to BC(X,F) \subseteq C(X,F)$$
(41)

is continuous for the compact-open topologies on C(K, F) and C(X, F).

To establish Proposition 7.19 in full generality, Dugundji's results would not be sufficient. To get (a) in general, They rely on Proposition 1.14 and its consequences.

Proof of Proposition 1.14. The proof is based on a simplified variant of Dugundji's construction.

8.2 Let (X, d) be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed, non-empty subset. Then the distance function

$$d_Y \colon X \to [0, \infty[, x \mapsto \inf\{d(x, y) \colon y \in Y\}]$$

is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1, and hence continuous. Hence

$$W_n := \{ x \in X \colon d_Y(x) \in]2^{-n-1}, 2^{-n+1}[\}$$

is an open subset of X for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$X \setminus Y = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n.$$

Note that

$$(\forall k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}) \ W_k \cap W_\ell \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow |k - \ell| \le 1.$$
 (42)

We let \mathcal{U}_n be a cover of W_n by open subsets $U \subseteq W_n$ of diameter $\leq 2^{-n+1}$ and $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}_n$. Since $X \setminus Y$ is metrizable and hence paracompact, there exists a partition of unity $(h_j)_{j \in J}$ on $X \setminus Y$ such that, for each $j \in J$, there exists $n(j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $U(j) \in \mathcal{U}_{n(j)}$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq U(j).$$

After replacing J with $\{j \in J: h_j \neq 0\}$, we may assume that $h_j \neq 0$ for each $j \in J$. We choose $x(j) \in \text{supp}(h_j)$. Since $x(j) \in U(j) \subseteq W_{n(j)}$, we have $d_Y(x(j)) < 2^{-n(j)+1}$ and find $y(j) \in Y$ such that $d(x(j), y(j)) < 2^{-n(j)+1}$. Then

$$d(x, y(j)) < d(x(j), y(j)) + 2^{-n(j)+1} < 2^{-n(j)+2} \text{ for all } x \in \operatorname{supp}(h_j).$$
(43)

Given a locally convex space F and $\gamma \in C(Y, F)$, the assignment

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x) := \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in J} h_j(x) \gamma(y_j) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus Y \\ \gamma(x) & \text{if } x \in Y \end{cases}$$

defines a function $\mathcal{E}(\gamma) \colon X \to F$.

We now establish the following properties of $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ as constructed in 8.2 (thus establishing Proposition 1.14):

Lemma 8.3 In the situation of 8.2, we have:

- (a) For each $\gamma \in C(Y, F)$, the map $\mathcal{E}(\gamma) \colon X \to F$ is continuous. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|_Y = \gamma$ and $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(X)$ is contained in the convex hull of $\gamma(Y)$.
- (b) The map $\mathcal{E} : C(Y, F) \to C(X, F)$ is linear.
- (c) If $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$ is complete or Y is locally compact, then the linear map $\mathcal{E}: C(Y, F) \to C(X, F)$ is continuous.

Proof. (a) By construction, $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|_Y = \gamma$ and $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(X) \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(\gamma(Y))$. Each $x \in X \setminus Y$ has an open neighbourhood $Q \subseteq X \setminus Y$ such that $J_Q := \{j \in J : h_j | Q \neq 0\}$ is finite, whence $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|_Q = \sum_{j \in J_Q} h_j|_Q$ is continuous. Thus $f|_{X \setminus Y}$ is continuous. To see that $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ is also continuous at each $x \in Y$, let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n \to x$. Let q be a continuous seminorm on F and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since γ is continuous, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$q(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x)) \le \varepsilon$$
 for all $y \in Y$ such that $d(y, x) < 2^{-m}$. (44)

There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(x_n, x) < 2^{-m-5}$$
 for all $n \ge n_0$. (45)

Let $n \ge n_0$. If $x_n \in Y$, then $q(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x_n) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x)) = q(\gamma(x_n) - \gamma(x)) \le \varepsilon$, by (44). If $x_n \in X \setminus Y$, then $x_n \in W_{k(n)}$ for some $k(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$; thus

$$2^{-k(n)-1} < d_Y(x_n) < 2^{-k(n)+1}.$$
(46)

For each $j \in J$, the condition $x_n \in \text{supp}(h_j)$ implies that $\text{supp}(h_j) \cap W_{k(n)} = \emptyset$ and hence

$$W_{n(j)} \cap W_{k(n)} \neq \emptyset,$$

whence $|n(j) - k(n)| \le 1$ (see (42)) and thus

$$d(x_n, y(j)) < 2^{-n(j)+2} \le 2^{-k(n)+3} < 2^4 d_Y(x_n) \le 2^4 d(x_n, x) < 2^{-m-1},$$

using (43), (46), and (45). As a consequence, $d(x, y(j)) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, y(j)) < 2^{-m}$ (recalling (45)) and thus $q(\gamma(y(j)) - \gamma(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ if $x_n \in \text{supp}(h_j)$. Then $h_j(x_n)q(\gamma(y(j)) - \gamma(x)) \leq h_j(x_n)\varepsilon$ for all $j \in J$ and hence

$$q(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x_n) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma(x))) = q(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x_n) - \gamma(x)) = q\left(\sum_{j \in J} h_j(x_n)(\gamma(y(j)) - \gamma(x))\right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{j \in J} h_j(x_n)q(\gamma(y(j)) - \gamma(x)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus $q(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x_n) - \mathcal{E}(\gamma(x))) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$, and thus $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ is continuous at x.

(b) The linearity is clear from the definition.

(c) Let $K \subseteq X$ be a compact subset, $J_K := \{j \in J : \operatorname{supp}(h_j) \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ and

$$P := (K \cap Y) \cup \{y(j) \colon j \in J_K\}.$$
(47)

We claim that the closure $L := \overline{P}$ of P in Y is compact. If this is true, then we have for each continuous seminorm q on F that

$$\|\mathcal{E}(\gamma)\|_{K,q} \le \|\gamma\|_{L,q}$$

for all $\gamma \in C(Y, F)$, and thus \mathcal{E} is continuous. In fact, $q(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x)) = q(\gamma(x)) \leq \|\gamma\|_{L,q}$ for all $x \in K \cap Y$, as $K \cap Y \subseteq L$. For all $x \in K \setminus Y$, we have

$$q(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(x)) = q\left(\sum_{j \in J_K} h_j(x)\gamma(y(j))\right) \le \sum_{j \in J_K} h_j(x)\underbrace{q(\gamma(y(j)))}_{\le \|\gamma\|_{L,q}} \le \|\gamma\|_{L,q}$$

as well.

We now prove the claim, starting with the case that $(Y, d_{Y \times Y})$ is complete. If we can show that P is precompact (totally bounded) in Y, then its closure Lwill be precompact and complete, and thus L will be compact.

For $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, write $B_{\varepsilon}(x) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$; if $S \subseteq X$ is a subset, abbreviate

$$B_{\varepsilon}[S] := \bigcup_{x \in S} B_{\varepsilon}(x).$$

To establish the precompactness of P, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since K is compact and hence precompact, we find a finite subset $\Phi \subseteq X$ such that $K \subseteq B_{\varepsilon/2}[\Phi]$, whence

$$K \cap Y \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}[\Phi] \tag{48}$$

in particular. There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$2^{-n_0+3} < \varepsilon.$$

Since

$$H := \{ x \in K \colon d_Y(x) \ge 2^{-n_0 - 1} \}$$

is a compact subset of $X \setminus Y$, the set

$$J_H := \{ j \in J : \operatorname{supp}(h_j) \cap H \neq \emptyset \}$$

is finite. Thus

$$\Psi := \{ y(j) \colon J_H \}$$

is a finite subset of Y. Given $j \in J_K$, there exists $x \in \operatorname{supp}(h_j) \cap K$. If $n(j) \ge n_0$, then

$$d(y(j), x) < 2^{-n(j)+2} \le 2^{-n_0+2} < \varepsilon/2$$

by (43), and thus

$$y(j) \in B_{\varepsilon/2}[K] \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}[\Phi] \text{ if } n(j) \ge n_0.$$

$$\tag{49}$$

If $n(j) < n_0$, then $\operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq W_{n(j)}$ entails that $d_Y(x) > 2^{-n(j)-1} > 2^{-n_0-1}$, whence $x \in H$ and thus $j \in J_H$. Hence

$$y(j) \in \Psi \text{ if } n(j) < n_0.$$
(50)

By (48), (49), and (50), $P \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}[\Phi \cup \Psi] = \bigcup_{z \in \Phi \cup \Psi} B_{\varepsilon}(z)$. Thus P is precompact.

If Y is locally compact, then $K \cap Y$ has a relatively compact, open neighbourhood Q in Y. There exists an open subset $V \subseteq X$ such that $Q = Y \cap V$. After replacing V with $V \cup (X \setminus Y)$, we may assume that $K \subseteq V$. Since K is compact, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}[K] \subseteq V$. Then

$$B_{\varepsilon}[K] \cap Y \subseteq V \cap Y = Q$$

is relatively compact in Y and

$$K \cap Y \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}[K] \cap Y. \tag{51}$$

If we define n_0 , H, J_H , and the finite subset $\Psi \subseteq Y$ as in the proof for complete Y, then (49) and (50) show that

$$\{y(j): j \in J_K\} \subseteq (B_{\varepsilon}[K] \cap Y) \cup \Psi.$$

Combining this with (51), we see that

$$P \subseteq (B_{\varepsilon}[K] \cap Y) \cup \Psi$$

is a subset of the compact set $\overline{Q} \cup \Psi$ and thus relatively compact.

Remark 8.4 If $F \neq \{0\}$ and the extension operator $\mathcal{E}: C(Y, F) \to C(X, F)$ given by (8.2) is continuous, then the set P defined in (47) must be relatively compact in Y for each compact subset $K \subseteq X$, as is easy to see.

If X is a set and $R \subseteq X \times X$ a subset, we write

$$R^{-1} := \{ (y, x) \in X \times X : (x, y) \in R \},\$$

$$R \circ R := \{ (x, z) \in X \times X : (\exists y \in X) (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in R \}$$

and let $R^{\circ 3}$ be the set of all $(x, a) \in X \times X$ for which there exist $y, z \in X$ such that $(x, y), (y, z), (z, a) \in R$.

Recall that a uniform space is a set X, together with a filter \mathcal{U} of subsets $U \subseteq X \times X$ which are supersets of the diagonal Δ_X , such that $U^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \circ V \subseteq U$ (see [55, II.1.3], cf. [62] and [17]). The elements $U \in \mathcal{U}$ are called *entourages*; an entourage U is called *symmetric* if $U = U^{-1}$. Every entourage U contains a symmetric entourage, namely $U \cap U^{-1}$. Every uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) defines a topology on X; a basis of neighbourhoods of $x \in X$ is given by the sets $U[x] := \{y \in X : (x, y) \in U\}$ for $U \in \mathcal{U}$. A Hausdorff topology arises from a uniform structure if and only if it is completely regular (see [55] or [17]).

Proposition 8.5 Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. Assume that the induced filter $\mathcal{U}_Y := \{U \cap (Y \times Y) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ on $Y \times Y$ is generated by a countable filter basis. Then the restriction map

$$C(X, F) \to C(Y, F)$$

admits a linear right inverse $\mathcal{E}: C(Y,F) \to C(X,F)$ for each locally convex space F, such that $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(X)$ is contained in the convex hull of $\gamma(Y)$ for each $\gamma \in C(Y,F)$. If, moreover, Y is locally compact in the induced topology or the uniform space (Y,\mathcal{U}_Y) is complete, then \mathcal{E} as before can be chosen as a continuous linear map with respect to the compact-open topologies on domain and range.

Proof. Let $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable filter basis for \mathcal{U}_Y . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $W_n = U_n \cap (Y \times Y)$. Let $V_0 := X \times X$. Recursively, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V_0, \ldots, V_{n-1} \in \mathcal{U}$ have been have been determined, let $V_n \in \mathcal{U}$ be a symmetric entourage such that $V_n \subseteq U_n$ and $V_n^{\circ 3} \subseteq V_{n-1}$. Then there exists a pseudometric

$$\rho\colon X\times X\to [0,\infty[$$

on X such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\{(x,y)\in X\times X\colon \rho(x,y)<2^{-n}\}\subseteq V_n\subseteq \{(x,y)\in X\times X\colon \rho(x,y)\leq 2^{-n}\}$$

(see [17, Theorem 8.1.10]). By [17, Proposition 8.1.9], ρ is continuous. Since

$$B_n := \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y \colon \rho(x, y) < 2^{-n}\} \subseteq V_n \cap (Y \times Y) \subseteq W_n$$

and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = \Delta_Y$, we deduce that $\rho|_{Y \times Y}$ is a metric on Y such that $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a filter basis for $\mathcal{U}|_Y$, whence $\rho|_{Y \times Y}$ defines the topology induced by X on Y, and Cauchy sequences in Y with respect to \mathcal{U}_Y and those with respect to $\rho|_{Y \times Y}$ coincide. For $x, y \in X$, write $x \sim y$ if $\rho(x, y) = 0$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation on X. Let X_ρ be the set of equivalence classes [x] and $q: X \to X_\rho, x \mapsto [x]$ be the canonical map. Then

$$l: X_{\rho} \times X_{\rho} \to [0, \infty[, ([x], [y]) \mapsto \rho(x, y)]$$

is a well-defined metric on X_{ρ} . Note that $q|_Y$ is injective and $d([x], [y]) = \rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in Y$, whence

$$\phi \colon q|_Y \colon (Y, \rho|_{Y \times Y}) \to (q(Y), d|_{q(Y) \times q(Y)})$$

is an isometry (notably, a homeomorphism). The map q is continuous as $q^{-1}(\{[y] \in X_{\rho} : d([x], [y]) < \varepsilon\}) = \{y \in X : \rho(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ is open in X for all $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$\mathcal{E}: C(q(Y), F) \to C(X_{\rho}, F)$$

is the extension operator defined in 8.2, then

$$\mathcal{F} := C(q, F) \circ \mathcal{E} \circ C(\phi^{-1}, F) \colon C(Y, F) \to C(X, F), \quad \gamma \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\gamma \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ q$$

is a linear right inverse for the restriction map $C(X, F) \to C(Y, F)$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma)(X) = \mathcal{E}(\gamma \circ \phi^{-1})(X_{\rho}) \subseteq \operatorname{conv} \gamma(\phi^{-1}(q(Y))) = \operatorname{conv} \gamma(Y)$$

for all $\gamma \in C(Y, F)$. If (Y, \mathcal{U}_Y) is complete, then $(q(Y), d|_{q(Y) \times q(Y)})$ is complete, being isometric to $(Y, \rho|_{Y \times Y})$. If Y is locally compact, then also q(Y) (being homeomorphic to Y). In both cases, \mathcal{E} is continuous and hence also \mathcal{F} . \Box

Corollary 8.6 Let X be a completely regular topological space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a compact, metrizable subset. For each locally convex space F, the restriction map

$$C(X, F) \to C(Y, F)$$

then admits a continuous linear right inverse $\mathcal{E}: C(Y, F) \to C(X, F)$ such that $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(X)$ is contained in the convex hull of $\gamma(Y)$ for each $\gamma \in C(Y, F)$.

Proof. Let *d* be a metric on *Y* defining its topology. Since *X* is completely regular, its topology arises from a uniform structure \mathcal{U} on *X*, and $\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}} = \Delta_X$ as *X* is Hausdorff. On the compact space *Y*, there is only one uniform structure defining its topology and the latter is complete (see Theorems 8.3.13 and 8.3.15 in [17]). Hence (Y, \mathcal{U}_Y) is complete and \mathcal{U}_Y has a countable filter basis (as it coincides with the uniform structure given by *d*). So Proposition 8.5 applies. \Box

9 Proofs for Section 7

In this section, we prove Proposition 7.12, Theorem 7.13, Theorem 7.15, and Proposition 7.19. We begin with preparations. As in the case without boundary, partitions of unity are available (as we shall check in Appendix A).

Lemma 9.1 If $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M is a paracompact, locally compact rough C^{ℓ} -manifold and $(U_j)_{j \in I}$ an open cover of M, then there exists a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity $(h_j)_{j \in J}$ on M such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq U_j$ for all $j \in J$.

Definition 9.2 Let M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold and \mathcal{F} be a set of locally convex spaces. A rough C^{ℓ} -manifold E, together with an RC^{ℓ} -map $\pi \colon E \to M$ and a vector space structure on $E_x := \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$ for each $x \in M$, is called a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle over M with fibres in \mathcal{F} if each $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq M$ such that $E|_U := \pi^{-1}(U)$ is trivializable, i.e., there exists an RC^{ℓ} diffeomorphism

$$\theta: E|_U \to U \times F$$

for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\operatorname{pr}_2 \circ \theta$ restricts to vector space isomorphism $E_y \to F$ for all $y \in U$, and $\theta = (\pi|_{E|_U}, \operatorname{pr}_2 \circ \theta)$ (then θ is called a *local trivalization* for E). If $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$ is a singleton in the preceding situation, then E is called a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle with typical fibre F. If U = M can be chosen, then $\theta \colon E \to M \times F$ is called a *global trivialization* for E and E is called C^{ℓ} -trivializable. **Definition 9.3** If $\pi: E \to M$ is a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle with fibres in \mathcal{F} , let $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E)$ be the set of all C^{ℓ} -sections of E (i.e., RC^{ℓ} -maps $\sigma: M \to E$ with $\pi \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_M$). Then $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E)$ is a vector space under pointwise operations and the compactopen C^{ℓ} -topology²³ induced by $RC^{\ell}(M, E)$ is a locally convex vector topology (see [27]).

Remark 9.4 In the situation of Definition 9.3, we have (see [27]):

(a) If $U \subseteq M$ is an open subset, then the restriction map $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_U)$ is continuous and linear.

(b) If $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ is an open cover of M, then the linear map

$$\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E) \to \prod_{j \in J} \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_{U_j}), \quad \sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_{U_j})_{j \in J}$$

is a topological embedding with closed image.

(c) If $\theta: E|_U \to U \times F$ is a local trivialization, then the map

$$\Theta \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_{U}) \to RC^{\ell}(U,F), \quad \sigma \mapsto \mathrm{pr}_{2} \circ \theta \circ \sigma \tag{52}$$

an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

Definition 9.5 If $K \subseteq M$ is a closed subset in the situation of Definition 9.3, we endow the closed vector subspace

$$\Gamma_{C_{K}^{\ell}}(E) := \{ \sigma \in \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E) \colon (\forall x \in M \setminus K) \; \sigma(x) = 0 \}$$

with the topology induced by $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E)$.

Remark 9.6 (a) If $U \subseteq M$ is an open neighbourhood of K in M, then the restriction map

$$\Gamma_{C^{\ell}_{\nu}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_{\nu}}(E|_{U})$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces (apply Remark 9.4(b) to the open cover $\{U, M \setminus K\}$ of M). The inverse map takes $\sigma \in \Gamma_{C_{K}^{\ell}}(E|_{U})$ to

$$\widetilde{\sigma} \colon M \to E, \quad x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in U; \\ 0 \in E_x & \text{if } x \in M \setminus K. \end{array} \right.$$

(b) If $h \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^{ℓ} -function with support K, then the mutiplication operator

$$\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_{\nu}}(E), \quad \sigma \mapsto h \cdot \sigma$$

is linear and continuous (as follows from parts (b) and (c) in Remark 9.4 and Lemma 2.14(g)).

²³For rough C^{ℓ} -manifolds M and L, the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on $RC^{\ell}(M, L)$ is defined as the initial topology with respect to the mappings $RC^{\ell}(M, L) \to C(T^{j}M, T^{j}N), \gamma \mapsto T^{j}\gamma$ to spaces of continuous mappings between iterated tangent bundles, endowed with the compactopen topology.

Definition 9.7 If M is locally compact, we endow

$$\Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(E) := \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}(M)} \Gamma_{C_K^{\ell}}(E)$$

with the locally convex direct limit topology.

Remark 9.8 In the situation of Definition 9.7, the inclusion mapping $\Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E)$ is linear, and it is continuous (by the locally convex direct limit property) as all its restrictions to inclusion maps $\Gamma_{C_K^{\ell}}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E)$ are continuous. Thus $\Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(E)$ is Hausdorff and induces the given topology on each of its vector subspaces $\Gamma_{C_K^{\ell}}(E)$.

Vector bundles can be pulled back along C^{ℓ} -maps.

9.9 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold and $\pi \colon E \to M$ be a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle with fibres in a set \mathcal{F} of locally convex spaces. If L is a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold and $f \colon L \to M$ an RC^{ℓ} -map (or a C^{ℓ} -map if M is a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary), then

$$f^*(E) := \bigcup_{x \in L} \{x\} \times E_{f(x)} \subseteq L \times E$$

can be made a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle over L with fibres in \mathcal{F} in a natural way, with the projection $\operatorname{pr}_1: f^*(E) \to L, (x, y) \mapsto x$ to the base L; for each local trivialization $\theta: E|_U \to U \times F$ of E and second component $\theta_2: E|_U \to F$, the map

$$\theta^{f} \colon f^{*}(E)|_{f^{-1}(U)} \colon f^{*}(E) \cap (f^{-1}(U) \times E) \to f^{-1}(U) \times F, \quad (x,y) \mapsto (x,\theta_{2}(y))$$

is a local trivialization for $f^*(E)$, with inverse map $(x, z) \mapsto (x, \theta^{-1}(f(x), z))$ (see [27]).

If L is a subset of M here and $f: L \to M$ the inclusion map, we also write $E|_L := f^*(E)$. Then $\operatorname{pr}_2: E|_L \to E$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$ is an injective map and one may identify $E|_L$ with the image of pr_2 , but we shall mainly do so when dealing explicitly with an open subset L of M (to retain the meaning of $E|_U$ in Definition 9.2).

Remark 9.10 Let \mathcal{F} be a set of locally convex spaces and assume that M locally admits extension operators for F-valued C^{ℓ} -functions around L for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, where ℓ , M, and L are as in Definition 7.9. Let $\pi \colon E \to M$ be a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle with fibres in \mathcal{F} . If $x \in L$, $P \subseteq L$ is an x-neighbourhood and $\theta \colon E|_U \to U \times F$ is a local trivialization for E with $x \in U$, then there exists a local extension operator

$$\mathcal{E}_x \colon C^\ell(P_x, F) \to C^\ell(Q_x, F)$$

for an open x-neighbourhood $P_x \subseteq L$ with $P_x \subseteq P \cap U$ and an open xneighbourhood $Q_x \subseteq M$ with $Q_x \subseteq U$, by Remark 7.10. Let $E|_L$ be the pullback-bundle $j^*(E)$ for the inclusion map $j: L \to M$. Then

$$\theta_L := \theta|_{(E|_L)|_{P_x}} \colon (E|_L)|_{P_x} \to P_x \times F$$

is a local trivialization for $E|_L$. Let

$$\Theta \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_{Q_x}) \to C^{\ell}(Q_x, F) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_L \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}((E|_L)|_{P_x}) \to C^{\ell}(P_x, F)$$

be the isomorphisms of topological vector spaces associated to $\theta|_{E|_{Q_x}}$ and θ_L , respectively (analogous to (52)). Then

$$\mathcal{F}_x := \Theta^{-1} \circ \mathcal{E}_x \circ \Theta_L \colon \Gamma_{C^\ell}((E|_L)|_{P_x}) \to \Gamma_{C^\ell}(E|_{Q_x})$$
(53)

is a continuous linear map with $\mathcal{F}_x(\sigma)|_{L\cap Q_x} = \sigma|_{L\cap Q_x}$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_{C^\ell}((E|_L)|_{P_x})$. We shall also refer to \mathcal{F}_x as a *local extension operator* around x.

Proof of Proposition 7.12. For each $x \in L$, there exists a local trivialization $\theta_x \colon E|_{U_x} \to U_x \times F$ of E such that $x \in U_x$; if M is locally compact, we assume, moreover, that U_x is relatively compact in M. Using Remark 9.10, we find a local extension operator

$$\mathcal{F}_x\colon \Gamma_{C^\ell}((E|_L)|_{P_x})\to \Gamma_{C^\ell}(E|_{Q_x})$$

for an open x-neighbourhood $P_x \subseteq U_x \cap L$ and an open x-neighbourhood $Q_x \subseteq U_x$ such that $Q_x \cap L \subseteq P_x$. Then $(Q_x)_{x \in L}$, together with $M \setminus L$, is an open cover of M. We let $(h_x)_{x \in L}$, together with h, be a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity for M such that $K_x := \operatorname{supp}(h_x) \subseteq U_x$ for all $x \in L$ and $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq M \setminus L$. Set $J := \{x \in L : h_x \neq 0\}$. Then $(h_x)_{x \in J}$, together with h, is a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity for M which are compact if M is locally compact). Moreover, $(h_x|_L)_{x \in J}$ is a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity for L.

(a) If $\sigma \in \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_L)$, then the sum

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma) := \sum_{x \in J} (h_j \cdot \mathcal{F}_x(\sigma|_{P_x}))^{\sim}$$

converges in $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E)$. In fact, by local finiteness of $(K_x)_{x \in J}$, each $z \in M$ has an open neighbourhood U in M such that

$$I := \{ x \in J \colon U \cap K_x \neq \emptyset \}$$

is finite and

$$\sum_{x \in J} (h_j \cdot \mathcal{F}_x(\sigma|_{P_x})) \widetilde{|}_U = \sum_{x \in I} (h_j \cdot \mathcal{F}_x(\sigma|_{P_x})) \widetilde{|}_U$$

a finite sum (as all other summands vanish), from which convergence follows using Remark 9.4(b). To see that the map

$$\mathcal{E}\colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_L) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E)$$

is continuous, by Remark 9.4(b) we only need to show that the previous restrictions are continuous mappings of σ to $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_U)$. It suffice to show continuity of the summand for each $x \in I$. But this summand is the composition

$$\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}((E|_L)|_{P_x}) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_U), \ \sigma \mapsto (h_x \cdot \mathcal{F}_x(\sigma))^{\sim}|_U,$$

which is continuous by continuity of \mathcal{F}_x , the multiplication operator (analogous to Remark 9.6(b)), the extension map as in Remark 9.6(a), and a restriction map (see Remark 9.4(a)). Finally, observe that \mathcal{E} is linear by construction. If $y \in L$ and $y \in K_x$ for some $x \in J$, then $x \in Q_x \cap L$ and thus

$$\mathcal{F}_x(\sigma|_{P_x})(y) = \sigma(y).$$

If $x \in J$ and $y \notin K_x$, then $(h_x \cdot \mathcal{F}_x(\sigma|_{P_x}))(y) = 0 = h_x(y)\sigma(y)$. Hence

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma)(y) = \sum_{x \in J} h_x(y)\sigma(y) = \sigma(y)$$

and thus $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)|_L = \sigma$.

(b) If $\sigma \in \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(E|_L)$, then

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma) := \sum_{x \in J} (h_j \cdot \mathcal{F}_x(\sigma|_{P_x}))^{\sim}$$

is a finite sum an hence an element of $\Gamma_{C_{c}^{\ell}}(E)$. By construction, the map

$$\mathcal{F}\colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_{c}}(E|_{L}) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_{c}}(E)$$

is linear. By the locally convex direct limit property, it will be continuous if we can show that its restriction to a map

$$\mathcal{F}_K \colon \Gamma_{C_K^\ell}(E|_L) \to \Gamma_{C_C^\ell}(E)$$

is continuous for each compact subset $K \subseteq L$. Now $I := \{x \in J : K \cap K_x \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set, and thus $B := \bigcup_{x \in I} K_x$ is a compact subset of M. The image of \mathcal{F}_K is contained in $\Gamma_{C_B^\ell}(E)$. We therefore only need to show that \mathcal{F}_K is continuous as a map

$$\mathcal{F}_K \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_K}(E|_L) \to \Gamma_{C^{\ell}_B}(E).$$

But this map is a restriction of the continuous map \mathcal{E} and hence continuous. \Box

Proof of Theorem 7.13. As mentioned in the theorem, (a) can be found in [53]. All of (b), (c), and (d) follow immediately from Proposition 7.12. In fact, M locally admits extension operators for F-valued C^{ℓ} -functions around Lin each case: In case (b), the local extension operators are provided by Corollary 1.6. In case (c), the local extension operators are provided by Corollary 1.8. In case (d), the local extension operators are provided by 7.6. \Box

Before we prove Theorem 7.15, let us recall an intrinsic description of the smooth manifold structure on $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ (without recourse to the embedding into a fine box product that was mentioned earlier).

9.11 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a paracompact, locally compact rough C^{ℓ} -manifold. Let N be a C^{∞} -manifold modelled on a locally convex space such that N admits a local addition. Then the smooth manifold structure on

 $C^\ell(M,N)$ can be obtained as follows:

Pick a local addition $\Sigma \colon U \to N$ for N. For $f \in C^{\ell}(K, N)$, we identify the space

 $\Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(f^*(TN))$

of compactly supported C^{ℓ} -sections of the pullback-bundle $f^*(TN) \to M$ with the set Γ_f of all $\tau \in C^{\ell}(M, TN)$ such that $\pi_{TN} \circ \tau = f$ and

$$\operatorname{supp}(\tau) := \overline{\{x \in M \colon \tau(x) \neq 0_{f(x)}\}} \subseteq M$$

is compact. Transport the locally convex direct limit topology from $\Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(f^*(TN))$ to Γ_f . Then

$$\mathcal{D}_f := \{ \tau \in \Gamma_f \colon \tau(M) \subseteq \Omega \}$$

is an open 0-neighbourhood in Γ_f . We endow $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ with the final topology with respect to the mappings

$$\mathcal{O}_f \to C^\ell(M, N), \quad \tau \mapsto \Sigma \circ \tau.$$

Then the image \mathcal{O}'_f of each of these maps becomes open in $C^{\ell}(M, N)$, the map

$$\phi_f \colon \mathcal{O}_f \to \mathcal{O}'_f, \quad \tau \mapsto \Sigma \circ \tau$$

becomes a homeomorphism, and $\{\phi_f^{-1}: f \in C^{\ell}(M, N)\}$ is an atlas of charts which defines a smooth manifold structure on $C^{\ell}(M, N)$, modelled on the set $\{\Gamma_f: f \in C^{\ell}(M, N)\}$ of locally convex spaces (see [27] for details).

Proof of Theorem 7.15. Consider the restriction map

$$\rho \colon C^{\ell}(M,N) \to C^{\ell}(L,N), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_{L}$$

Let $\Sigma: U \to N$ be a local addition for N. Given $f \in C^{\ell}(M, N)$, let Γ_f , the open set $\mathcal{O}_f \subseteq \Gamma_f$, and the C^{∞} -diffeomorphism

$$\phi_f \colon \Omega_f \to \Omega'_f \subseteq C^\ell(M, N)$$

be as in 9.11. Define $\mathcal{O}_{f|_l} \subseteq \Gamma_{f|_L} \subseteq C^{\ell}(L,N)$ and $\phi_{f|_L} : \mathcal{O}_{f|_L} \to \mathcal{O}_{f|_L} \subseteq C^{\ell}(L,N)$ analogously. Then

$$\gamma|_L \in \Omega'_{f|_L}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Omega_f$ and

$$(\phi_{f|_L})^{-1} \circ \rho \circ \phi_f$$

equals the restriction map

$$\Omega_f \to \Omega_{f|_L}$$

which is a restriction of the restriction map

$$\Gamma_f \to \Gamma_f|_L, \quad \tau \mapsto \tau|_L$$

which corresponds to the restriction map

$$r \colon \Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(f^*(TN)) \to \Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}(f^*(TN)|_L) = \Gamma_{C_c^{\ell}}((f|_L)^*(TN)), \ \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_L.$$

As the latter has a continuous linear right inverse by Theorem 7.13, we deduce that ρ is a smooth submersion. \Box

9.12 Let M be a Hausdorff topological space and \mathcal{F} be a set of locally convex spaces. A Hausdorff topological space E, together with a continuous map $\pi: E \to M$ and a vector space structure on $E_x := \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$ for each $x \in M$, is called a *topological vector bundle* (or also: C^0 -vector bundle) over M with fibres in \mathcal{F} if each $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq M$ such that $E|_U := \pi^{-1}(U)$ is trivializable, i.e., there exists a homeomorphism

$$\theta \colon E|_U \to U \times F$$

for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\operatorname{pr}_2 \circ \theta$ restricts to vector space isomorphism $E_y \to F$ for all $y \in U$, and $\theta = (\pi|_{E|_U}, \operatorname{pr}_2 \circ \theta)$. If $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$ is a singleton in the preceding situation, then E is called a topological vector bundle with typical fibre F.

Remark 9.13 Replacing C^{ℓ} and RC^{ℓ} with C^{0} and M with X, Remark 9.4 remains valid for a topological vector bundle $\pi: E \to X$ over a Hausdorff topological space X, whose fibers are locally connvex spaces, by well-known facts concerning the compact-open topology (parts (a), (b), and (c) follow from Remark A.5.10, Lemma A.5.11 and Lemma A.5.3 in [29]). Likewise, Remark 9.6 carries over (the part (b) follows from [29, Lemma A.5.2]).

9.14 Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. We say that X locally admits extension operators around Y if, for each $x \in Y$ and locally convex space F, each x-neighbourhood in Y contains an open x-neighbourhood $P_x \subseteq Y$ for which there exists a continuous linear operator

$$\mathcal{E}_x \colon C(P_x, F) \to C(Q_x, F) \tag{54}$$

for some open x-neighbourhood $Q_x \subseteq X$ such that $Q_x \cap Y \subseteq P_x$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma)|_{Q_x \cap Y} = \gamma|_{Q_x \cap Y}$$

for all $\gamma \in C(P_x, F)$ (using the compact-open topology in (54)).

Proposition 9.15 Let X be a paracompact topological space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset such that X locally admits extension operators around Y. If $E \to X$ is a vector bundle whose fibres are locally convex spaces, then we have:

(a) The restriction map

$$\Gamma_{C^0}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^0}(E|_Y)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse;

(b) If, moreover, X is locally compact, then the restriction map

$$\Gamma_{C^0_c}(E) \to \Gamma_{C^0_c}(E|_Y)$$

admits a continuous linear right inverse.

Proof. In view of Remark 9.13, we can repeat the proof of Proposition 7.12 with X in place of M, Y in place of L, and $\ell = 0$.

Proof of Proposition 7.19. (a) Let $x \in Y$ and P be an x-neighbourhood in Y. Since Y is locally compact, we find a compact x-neighbourhood P_x in Y such that $P_x \subseteq P$. Let Q_x be an open subset of X such that $Q_x \cap Y$ equals the interior P_x^0 of P_x relative Y. Let F be a locally convex space. As X, being paracompact, is normal and hence completely regular, Corollary 8.6 provides a continuous linear extension operator

$$C(P_x, F) \to C(X, F).$$

Composing the latter with the restriction map $C(X, F) \to C(Q_x, F)$, we obtain a local extension operator $C(P_x, F) \to C(Q_x, F)$ as in 9.14. Thus Proposition 9.15(a) applies.

(b) Let $x \in Y$ and P be an x-neighbourhood in Y. Since Y is metrizable, we find a closed x-neighbourhood A in Y such that $A \subseteq P$. By hypothesis, there exists an x-neighbourhood Z in Y such that $(Z, d|_{Z \times Z})$ is complete. Then also $P_x := Z \cap A$ is complete in the metric induced by d, being closed in Z. Moreover, $P_x \subseteq P$. Being complete, P_x is closed in X. Let Q_x be an open subset of X such that $Q_x \cap Y$ equals the interior P_x^0 of P_x relative Y. Let F be a locally convex space. Proposition 1.14 provides a continuous linear extension operator

$$C(P_x, F) \to C(X, F).$$

Composing the latter with the restriction map $C(X, F) \to C(Q_x, F)$, we obtain a local extension operator $C(P_x, F) \to C(Q_x, F)$ as in 9.14. Thus Proposition 9.15(a) applies.

(c) If X is, moreover, locally compact, the proof of (a) shows that Proposition 9.15(b) applies.

(d) We can repeat the proof of Theorem 7.15, using part (c) of the current proposition in place of Theorem 7.13 and Proposition 7.12. \Box

Remark 9.16 Let *F* be a locally convex space, *X* be a topological space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subset. If a linear map

$$\mathcal{E}\colon C(Y,F)\to C(X,F)$$

has the property that

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma)(X) \subseteq \operatorname{conv} \gamma(Y) \text{ for each } \gamma \in C(Y, F),$$
(55)

then \mathcal{E} restricts to a continuous linear operator

$$BC(Y,F) \to BC(X,F)$$

with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on domain and range (cf. 8.1). The property (55) is satisfied by the extension operators $C(Y, F) \rightarrow C(X, F)$ we have constructed, in each of the following situations:

- (a) Proposition 1.14 (as stated in the conclusion).
- (b) Proposition 8.5 (as stated in the conclusion).
- (c) Corollary 7.21, if Proposition 9.15 is applied in the proof of Proposition 7.19 in appropriate form. Namely, in the proof of Proposition 9.15 (which re-uses the proof of Proposition 7.12), let each θ_x be the global trivialization $pr_1: X \times F \to X$.

10 Density of test functions in mapping groups

In this section, we prove density of the set of compactly supported smooth functions in various mapping groups.

Lie groups of mappings

Let G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space F, with neutral element e. Let us recall various constructions of Lie groups of G-valued mappings.

10.1 The group C(K, G) of *G*-valued continuous mappings on a compact topological space *K* can be turned in a Lie group modelled on C(K, F), endowed with the topology of uniform convergence, as is well known; more generally,

$$C_K(X,G) := \{ \gamma \in C(X,G) \colon \gamma|_{X \setminus K} = e \}$$

is a Lie group modelled on $C_K(X, F)$ for each locally compact topological space X and compact subset $K \subseteq X$ (see [21]). If $\phi: U \to V \subseteq F$ is a chart of G with $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$, then $C_K(X, U) := \{\gamma \in C_K(X, G) : \gamma(K) \subseteq U\}$ is open in $C_K(X, G)$ and the map

$$C_K(X,\phi) \colon C_K(X,U) \to C_K(X,V) \subseteq C_K(X,F), \quad \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$$

is a chart for $C_K(X,G)$ around its neutral element, the constant function e (see [27]).²⁴

10.2 For G and F as before and locally compact space X which is not compact, let $X^* := X \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one-point compactification of X and $C_0(X, G)$ be the group of continuous functions $\gamma \colon X \to G$ which vanish at infinity in the sense that, for each *e*-neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$, there is a compact set $K \subseteq X$ with

$$\gamma(X \setminus K) \subseteq U.$$

Then

$$\gamma^* \colon X^* \to G, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \gamma(x) & \text{if } x \in X; \\ e & \text{if } x = \infty \end{array} \right.$$

 $^{^{24}}$ In [21], we get this for small U, which would be good enough for the following proofs.

is a continuous function and the map

$$C_0(X,G) \to C(X^*,G)_*, \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma^*$$

is an isomorphism of groups (whose inverse takes $\eta \in C(X^*, G)_*$ to $\eta|_X$), where

$$C(X^*, G)_* := \{ \eta \in C(X^*, G) \colon \eta(\infty) = e \}$$

If $\phi: U \to V \subseteq F$ is a chart for G with $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$, then

$$C(X^*,\phi)(C(X^*,G)_*) = C(X^*,F)_* \cap C(X^*,V),$$

whence $C(X^*, G)_*$ is a submanifold of $C(X^*, G)$, modelled on the complemented vector subspace $C(X^*, F)_*$ of $C(X^*, F)$, and thus a Lie group. As a consequence, $C_0(X, G)$ is a Lie group modelled on $C_0(X, F)$; for each chart ϕ as before, the subset $C_0(X, G)$ of U-valued functions is open in $C_0(X, G)$ and

$$C_0(X,\phi)\colon C_0(X,U)\to C_0(X,V)\subseteq C_0(X,F), \quad \gamma\mapsto\phi\circ\gamma$$

is a chart for $C_0(X, G)$.

10.3 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If $\ell \geq 1$, let M be a locally compact, rough C^{ℓ} -manifold; if $\ell = 0$, let M be a locally compact topological space and write C^0 for continuous functions. If $\gamma: M \to G$ is a C^{ℓ} -function, we let

$$\operatorname{supp}(\gamma) := \overline{\{x \in M \colon \gamma(x) \neq e\}} \subseteq M$$

be its support. We let

$$C_c^{\ell}(M,G)$$

be the group of all C^{ℓ} -functions $\gamma \colon M \to G$ with compact support. For each compact subset $K \subseteq M$,

$$C_K^\ell(M,G) := \{ \gamma \in C^\ell(M,G) \colon \operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subseteq K \}$$

is a Lie group modelled on $C_K^{\ell}(M, F)$, endowed with the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology (see [21] for the C^0 -case and ordinary manifolds, [27] for the generalization to rough manifolds). If $\phi: U \to V \subseteq F$ is a chart of G with $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$, then $C_K^{\ell}(M, U) := \{\gamma \in C_K^{\ell}(M, G) : \gamma(K) \subseteq U\}$ is open in $C_K^{\ell}(M, G)$ and the map

$$C^{\ell}_{K}(M,\phi) \colon C^{\ell}_{K}(M,U) \to C^{\ell}_{K}(M,V) \subseteq C^{\ell}_{K}(M,F), \quad \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$$

is a chart for $C_K^{\ell}(M,G)$. If M is paracompact, then $C_c^{\ell}(M,G)$ is a Lie group modelled on the locally convex direct limit

$$C_c^{\ell}(M,F) := \lim_{\longrightarrow} C_K^{\ell}(M,F);$$

for each ϕ as above, $C_c^{\ell}(M,U) := \{ \gamma \in C_c^{\ell}(M,U) : \gamma(M) \subseteq U \}$ is an open identity neighbourhood in $C_c^{\ell}(M,G)$ and the map

$$C^{\ell}_{c}(M,\phi) \colon C^{\ell}_{c}(M,U) \to C^{\ell}_{c}(M,V) \subseteq C^{\ell}_{c}(M,F), \quad \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$$

is a C^{∞} -diffeomorphism (see [27]; for σ -compact locally compact spaces or ordinary manifolds, cf. already [21]; cf. also [1] for special cases). Each of the groups $C_{K}^{\ell}(M,G)$ is a closed subset of $C_{c}^{\ell}(M,F)$ and a submanifold, as $C_{c}^{\ell}(M,\phi)$ takes $C_{c}^{\ell}(M,U) \cap C_{K}^{\ell}(M,G) = C_{K}^{\ell}(M,U)$ onto $C_{c}^{\ell}(M,V) \cap C_{K}^{\ell}(M,F) = C_{K}^{\ell}(M,V)$.

10.4 Let F be a locally convex space and X be a locally compact space. Note that $C_K(X,F) \subseteq C_0(M,F)$ for each compact set $K \subseteq X$ and that $C_0(X,F)$ induces the given topology on $C_K(X,F)$. In fact, the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{q,\infty}$ given by

$$\|\gamma\|_{q,\infty} := \sup_{x \in M} q(\gamma(x))$$

define the locally convex vector topology on $C_0(X, F)$, for q ranging through the set of continuous seminorms on F. For $\gamma \in C_K(X, F)$, we have $\|\gamma\|_{q,\infty} = \|\gamma\|_{K,q}$, from which the assertion follows.

If G is a Lie group modelled on F, then $C_K(X,G) \subseteq C_0(X,G)$ apparently and this is a closed subset (the point evaluations $C_0(X,G) \to G$, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(x)$ being continuous) and a smooth submanifold as $C_0(X,\phi)$ takes

$$C_0(X,U) \cap C_K(X,G) = C_K(X,U)$$

onto $C_0(X, V) \cap C_K(X, F) = C_K(X, V)$ and restricts to the chart $C_K(X, \phi)$ of $C_K(X, G)$, for each chart $\phi: U \to V$ of G such that $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$.

10.5 Let *F* be a locally convex space, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset and \mathcal{W} be a set of continuous functions $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ which contains the constant function 1. We write

$$C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)$$

for the vector space of all C^{ℓ} -functions $\gamma \colon \Omega \to F$ such that

$$\|\gamma\|_{q,f,k} := \max_{j \in \{0,\dots,k\}} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| \|\delta_x^f \gamma\|_q < \infty$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq \ell$, all $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and all continuous seminorms q on F, using notation as in (11 (with $E = \mathbb{R}^d$ and a fixed norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E), cf. [61, Definition 3.4.1]. If $Q \subseteq \Omega$ is an open subset, we write $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(Q, F)$ as a shorthand for $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{V}}(Q, F)$ with $\mathcal{V} := \{f|_Q : f \in \mathcal{W}\}$. Moreover, we abbreviate

$$\|\gamma\|_{q,f,k} := \|\gamma\|_{q,f|_Q,k}$$

for $\gamma \in C^k(Q, F)$ and q, f, k as above. We let

$$C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet} \subseteq C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)$$

be the vector subspace of all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)$ with the following property: For all $f \in \mathcal{W}, \varepsilon > 0$ and each continuous seminorm q on F, there exists a compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$ such that

$$\|\gamma\|_{\Omega\setminus K}\|_{q,f,k} < \varepsilon.$$

For each open 0-neighbourhood $V \subseteq F$,

$$C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, V)^{\bullet} := \{ \gamma \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet} \colon \gamma(\Omega) \subseteq V \}$$

is an open 0-neighborhood in $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{S}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$ (see [61, Lemma 3.4.19]). If G is a Lie group modelled on F, consider the set

 $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ex}}$

of all C^{ℓ} -functions $\gamma \colon \Omega \to G$ for which there exists a chart $\phi \colon U \to V \subseteq F$ of G with $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$, a compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$ and a function $h \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ which is constant 1 on a neighbourhood Q of K in Ω , such that

$$(1-h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma)|_{\Omega \setminus K} \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega \setminus K, F)^{\bullet}$$
(56)

(see [61, Definition 6.2.6]). The choices of ϕ and h do not play a role: If $\gamma \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)$ and $\phi: U \to V$ is any chart of G with $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$, then there exists a compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\gamma(\Omega \setminus K) \subseteq U$ and (56) holds for all $h \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ which are constant 1 on a neighbourhood of K (see [61, Lemma 6.2.8(b)]). By [61, Theorem 6.2.17], $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{ex}$ can be given a unique Lie group structure modelled on $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)$ such that, for each chart $\phi: U \to V \subseteq F$ of G with $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$, there exists an open 0-neighbourhood $V_0 \subseteq V$ such that $\phi^{-1} \circ C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, V_0)^{\bullet}$ is open in $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{ex}$ and

$$\phi^{-1} \circ C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, V_0)^{\bullet} \to C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, V_0)^{\bullet}, \quad \gamma \mapsto \phi \circ \gamma$$
(57)

is a chart for $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ around the neutral element.

10.6 In the situation of (56), the extension

$$g \colon \Omega \to F, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} (1 - h(x))\phi(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus K; \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in Q \end{cases}$$

is C^{ℓ} and an element of $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$. In fact, for $f \in \mathcal{W}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq \ell$, a continuous seminorm q on F and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $L \subseteq \Omega \setminus K$ with

$$\|f\|_{(\Omega\setminus K)\setminus L}\|_{q,f,k} < \varepsilon.$$

But $K \cup L$ is compact in Ω and $||g|_{\Omega \setminus (K \cup L)}||_{q,f,k} = ||f|_{(\Omega \setminus K) \setminus L}||_{q,f,k}$.

10.7 We mention that $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \subseteq C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$ for each compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$, and that the inclusion map

$$j: C^{\ell}_K(\Omega, F) \to C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$$

is a topological embedding. In fact, given $f \in \mathcal{W}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq \ell$ and a continuous seminorm q on F, we have

$$\|\gamma\|_{q,f,k} \le C \|\gamma\|_{C^k,K,q} < \infty.$$

for each $\gamma \in C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ with $C := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in K\}$, whence j is continuous. Choosing $f := 1 \in \mathcal{W}$, we get

$$\|\gamma\|_{C^{k},K,q} = \|\gamma\|_{q,f,k},$$

entailing that j is an embedding.

10.8 We mention that $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, G) \subseteq C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{\text{ex}}^{\bullet}$ for each compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$, and that the inclusion map

$$j: C_K^\ell(\Omega, F) \to C_W^\ell(\Omega, F)^{ullet}$$

is a C^{∞} -diffeomorphism onto a submanifold of $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ which is a closed subset. In fact, given $\gamma \in C^{\ell}_{K}(G)$ and a chart $\phi \colon U \to V \subseteq F$ of G with $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$, we have $\gamma(\Omega \setminus K) \subseteq \{e\} \subseteq U$ and

$$(1-h) \cdot (\phi \circ \gamma|_{\Omega \setminus K}) = 0 \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega \setminus KI, F)$$

for each $h \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ which is 1 on a neighbourhood of K. Thus $\gamma \in C_W^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{\text{ex}}^{\bullet}$. Moreover, for $V_0 \subseteq V$ as in (57) and $U_0 := \phi^{-1}(V_0)$, we have

$$C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, V_0)^{\bullet} \cap C^{\ell}_K(\Omega, F) = C^{\ell}_K(\Omega, V_0)$$

and applying ϕ^{-1} , we obtain the subset $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, U_0)$ of the domain D of the chart (57) which is contained in $D \cap C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, G)$ and equals the letter as D consists of U_0 -valued functions and so $D \cap C^{\ell}(\Omega, G) \subseteq C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, U_0)$. Thus $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, G)$ is a submanifold of $C_W^{\ell}(\Omega, G)$ and as the chart (57) restricts to the chart $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, \phi|_{U_0}^{V_0})$ of $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, G)$, the submanifold structure copincides with the given Lie group structure. Closedness of $C_K^{\ell}(\Omega, G)$ in $C_W^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{\text{ex}}^{\bullet}$ follows from the continuity of the point evaluations $C_W^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{\text{ex}}^{\bullet} \to G, \gamma \mapsto \gamma(x)$.

10.9 In the preceding situation, with $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^d$, let us call \mathcal{W} a set of *BCR*-weights if it has the following properties:

- (a) $f \ge 1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{W}$;
- (b) For all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{W}$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $f_1(x) \leq f(x)$ and $f_2(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$;
- (c) For each $f_1 \in \mathcal{W}$ there exists $f_2 \in \mathcal{W}$ such that

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0) (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}) ||x|| \ge n \text{ or } f_1(x) \ge n \Rightarrow f_1(x) \le \varepsilon f_2(x).$$

For a set \mathcal{W} of BCR-weights, [61, Definition 6.2.23] provides a group $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G, \mathcal{W})$ of \mathcal{W} -rapidly decreasing smooth G-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^d , which coincides with the corresponding group considered in [10] in the situation of the latter (i.e., when G is a so-called LE-Lie group), see [61, Remark 6.2.29]. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G, \mathcal{W})$ is a Lie group and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G, \mathcal{W}) = C^{\infty}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)^{\bullet}_{ex}$ actually, by [61, Lemma 6.2.28]. We mention that weights $f \in \mathcal{W}$ are not assumed continuous in [61] and may take the value ∞ ; likewise, BCR-weights are defined in a more general setting in [61, Definition 6.2.18] (including infinite values). But the above special cases are natural for our ends. Notably, they subsume the Lie groups

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G) := \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G, \mathcal{W})$$

of rapidly decreasing smooth G-valued functions obtained by the choice $\mathcal{W} := \{x \mapsto (1 + \|x\|_2^2)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d .

Density of $C_c^{\infty}(M,G)$ in $C_0(M,G)$

Let us start with the case of vector-valued functions. We are mostly interested in the following results in the case $r = \infty$.

Lemma 10.10 Let F be a locally convex space.

- (a) If X is a locally compact space, then $F \otimes C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_0(X, F)$.
- (b) If $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M is a locally compact rough C^r -manifold, then $F \otimes C_c^r(M, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_0(M, F)$.
- (c) In the situation of (b), $F \otimes C_c^r(M, \mathbb{R})$ is also dense in C(M, F), endowed with the compact-open topology.
- (d) If $K \subseteq M$ is compact and L a compact neighbourhood of K in M in the situation of (b), then

$$C_K(M,F) \subseteq \overline{F \otimes C_L^r(M,\mathbb{R})}$$

holds for the closure in C(M, F).

(e) In (a), $F \otimes C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in C(M, F) in the compact-open topology.

Proof. (a) Let q be a continuous seminorm on F, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in C_0(X, F)$. There is a compact subset $K \subseteq X$ such that $q(\gamma(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X \setminus K$. We let L be a compact subset of X such that $K \subseteq L^0$. Each $x \in K$ has an open neighbourhood $U_x \subseteq L^0$ such that

$$q(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x)) \leq \varepsilon$$
 for all $y \in U_x$.

We let $(h_x)_{x\in B}$, together with $g: L \to \mathbb{R}$, be a continuous partition of unity on L such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_x) \subseteq U_x$ for each $x \in K$ and $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq L \setminus K$. Then $h_x|_{L^0} \in C_c(L^0, \mathbb{R})$ for each $x \in K$; extending by 0, we get a continuous function $\tilde{h}_x \in C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$. Now

$$\Phi := \{ x \in K \colon \operatorname{supp}(h_x) \cap K \neq \emptyset \}$$

is a finite set, whence

$$\eta(y) := \sum_{x \in \Phi} \widetilde{h}_x(y) \gamma(x)$$

for $y \in X$ defines a function $\eta = \sum_{x \in \Phi} \gamma(x) \otimes \tilde{h}_x \in F \otimes C_c(X, \mathbb{R})$. For $y \in K$, we have $\sum_{x \in \Phi} \tilde{h}_x(y) = 1$, whence $\gamma(y) = \sum_{x \in \Phi} \tilde{h}_x(y)\gamma(y)$ and thus

$$q(\gamma(y) - \eta(y)) \le \sum_{x \in \Phi} h_x(y)q(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x)) \le \varepsilon,$$

since $h_x(y) \neq 0$ implies $y \in U_x$. If $y \in X \setminus K$, then

$$q(\gamma(y) - \eta(y)) \le q(\gamma(y)) + q(\eta(y)) \le \varepsilon + \sum_{x \in \Phi} \underbrace{\widetilde{h}_x(y)q(\gamma(x))}_{\le 2\varepsilon \widetilde{h}_x(y)} \le 3\varepsilon$$

since $h_x(y) \neq 0$ implies $y \in U_x$ and thus $q(\gamma(x)) \leq q(\gamma(y)) + q(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x)) \leq 2\varepsilon$. By the preceding, $\|\gamma - \eta\|_{q,\infty} \leq 3\varepsilon$.

(b) We can copy the proof of (a) with X := M, with the following changes: After replacing L with $\overline{L^0}$, we may assume that L is a regular subset of M and hence a full-dimensional submanifold. Moreover, we choose the h_x and g in $C^r(L, \mathbb{R})$, i.e., we choose a C^r -partition of unity.

(c) follows from (b), using that the linear inclusion map $C_0(M, F) \to C(M, F)$ is continuous (as $\|\gamma\|_{K,q} \leq \|\gamma\|_{q,\infty}$ for each compact set $K \subseteq M$, continuous seminorm q on F and $\gamma \in C_0(M, F)$) and has dense image. In fact, for K and q as before and $\gamma \in C(M, F)$, we find a compact subset $L \subseteq M$ with $K \subseteq L^0$ and a continuous function $h: M \to [0, 1]$ with $h|_K = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq L^0$. Then $h\gamma \in C_L(M, F) \subseteq C_0(M, F)$ and $\|h\gamma - \gamma\|_{K,q} = 0$.

(d) Let $\gamma \in C_K(M, F)$. By (c), there exists a net $(\gamma_a)_{a \in A}$ in $F \otimes C^r(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma_a \to \gamma$ in C(M, F). Let $h: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be aC^r -function such that $h|_K = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq L$. Then $h\gamma_a \in F \otimes C_L^r(M, \mathbb{R})$ for all $a \in A$ and $h\gamma_a \to h\gamma = \gamma$ in C(M, F), using that the multiplication operator $C(M, F) \to C(M, F), \eta \mapsto h\eta$ is continuous (see, e.g., [29, Lemma A.5.24(f)]).

(e) Arguing as in (c), we see that (e) follows from (a). \Box

We now pass to the group case. The following lemma can be re-used later when we discuss density of $C_c^{\infty}(M, G)$ in $C_c^{\ell}(M, G)$.

Lemma 10.11 Let G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space F and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If r > 0, let M be a locally compact, rough C^{ℓ} -manifold; if r = 0, let M be a locally compact topological space. Let $K \subseteq M$ be a compact subset, $\gamma \in C_K^{\ell}(M,G)$ and $(\gamma_a)_{a \in A}$ be a net in $C_K^{\ell}(M,G)$. If each $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood $S_x \subseteq M$ with compact closure $K_x \subseteq M$ such that $\gamma_a|_{K_x} \to \gamma|_{K_x}$ in $C^{\ell}(K_x,G)$, then $\gamma_a \to \gamma$ in $C_K^{\ell}(M,G)$.

Proof. There is a finite subset $\Phi \subseteq K$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \Phi} S_x$. Then

$$C^{\ell}(M,F) \to C^{\ell}(M \setminus K,F) \times \prod_{x \in \Phi} C^{\ell}(K_x,F), \ \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{M \setminus K}, (\gamma|_{K_x})_{x \in \Phi})$$

is a topological embedding (see [27]), entailing that

$$\rho \colon C_K^\ell(M,F) \to \prod_{x \in \Phi} C^\ell(K_x,F), \ \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{K_x})_{x \in \Phi}$$

is a topological embedding. Let $\phi: U \to V \subseteq F$ be a chart of G such that $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$. For each $x \in \Phi$, we find $a_x \in A$ such that $(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_a)|_{K_x} \in C^\ell(K_x, U)$ for all $a \ge a_x$ in A. Since (A, \le) is directed, there exists $b \in A$ such that $b \ge a_x$ for all $x \in \Phi$. For all $a \in A$ with $a \ge b$, we now have $(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_a)(K_x) \subseteq U$ for all $x \in \Phi$ and thus $\gamma^{-1}\gamma_a \in C^\ell_K(M, U)$. Since

$$(\rho \circ C_K^{\ell}(M,\phi))(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_a) = (C^{\ell}(K_x,\phi)((\gamma^{-1}\gamma_a)|_{K_x}))_{x\in\Phi} \rightarrow (C^{\ell}(K_x,\phi)(e))_{x\in\Phi} = (0)_{x\in\Phi} = (\rho \circ C_K^{\ell}(M,\phi))(e),$$

we deduce that $\gamma^{-1}\gamma_a \to e$ in $C_K^{\ell}(M, G)$.

Proposition 10.12 Let G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space F, and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If r > 0, let M be a locally compact, rough C^r -manifold. If r = 0, let M be a locally compact topological space. Then we have:

(a) If K and L are compact subsets of M such that $K \subseteq L^0$, then

$$\overline{C_L^r(M,G)} \supseteq C_K(M,G)$$

holds for the closure in $C_0(M,G)$, which equals the closure in $C_L(M,G)$.

(b) $C_c^r(M,G)$ is dense in $C_0(M,G)$.

Proof. As the point evaluations $C_0(M, G) \to G$, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(x)$ are continuous, $C_L(M, G)$ is closed in $C_0(M, G)$, whence the closures in (a) coincide.

(a) Excluding a trivial case, we may assume that $r \geq 1$. To enable re-using the proof, set $\ell := 0$. Let $\gamma \in C_K^{\ell}(M, G)$ and W be an open neighbourhood of γ in $C_L^{\ell}(M, G)$. For each $x \in K$, there exists a chart $\phi_x : U_x \to V_x$ of Gsuch that V_x is convex and $\gamma(x) \in U_x$. Let Q_x be a relatively compact, open xneighbourhood in L^0 such that $\gamma(\overline{Q_x}) \subseteq U_x$. Let P_x be an open x-neighbourhood with compact closure $\overline{P_x} \subseteq Q_x$. Let $h_x : Q_x \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^r -function with compact support $K_x := \operatorname{supp}(h_x) \subseteq Q_x$ such that $h_x(Q_x) \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $h_x|_{\overline{P_x}} = 1$. By compactness of K, we find a finite subset $\Phi \subseteq K$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \Phi} P_x$. Let x_1, \ldots, x_m be the elements of Φ . Let $\gamma_0 := \gamma$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, we now construct functions²⁵

$$\gamma_j \in W \cap \bigcap_{y \in \Phi} \lfloor \overline{Q_y}, U_y \rfloor \tag{58}$$

with the following properties:

(i) $\gamma_j|_{P_{x_j}}$ is C^r ;

²⁵We write $\lfloor B, U \rfloor = \{\eta \in C(M, G) : \eta(B) \subseteq U\}$ if $B \subseteq M$ is compact and U and open subset of G, as in 2.10.

(ii) If $U \subseteq M$ is open and $\gamma_{j-1}|_U$ is C^r , then also $\gamma_j|_U$ is C^r .

Then γ_m is C^r on $M \setminus K$ (like $\gamma_0 = \gamma$) and on $P_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{x_m}$, and hence on all of M. Thus $\gamma_m \in C_L^r(M, G)$. Since $\gamma_m \in W$, we deduce that γ is in the closure of $C_L^r(M, G)$.

If $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and γ_{j-1} has already been constructed, abbreviate $x := x_j$. Lemma 10.10(c) provides a net $(\eta_{j,a})_{a \in A_j}$ in $F \otimes C^r(\overline{Q_x}, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq C^r(Q_x, F)$ with

$$\eta_{j,a} \to \phi_x \circ \gamma_{j-1} |_{\overline{Q_x}}$$

in $C^{\ell}(\overline{Q_x}, F)$. Then

$$\zeta_{j,a} := (1 - h_x) \cdot (\phi_x \circ \gamma_{j-1}|_{\overline{Q_x}}) + h_x \cdot \eta_{j,a}$$

is in $C_{K_x}^{\ell}(\overline{Q_x}, F)$ and C^r on each open subset of $\overline{Q_x}$ on which γ_{j-1} is C^r . Moreover,

$$\zeta_{j,a} \to \phi_x \circ \gamma_{j-1} |_{\overline{Q_x}}$$

in $C^{\ell}(\overline{Q_x}, F)$ as multiplication operators are continuous linear. We therefore find $a_i \in A_i$ such that

$$\zeta_{j,a}(\overline{Q_x}) \subseteq V_x \text{ for all } a \ge a_j.$$

For $a \geq a_j$, we define functions $\gamma_{j,a} \colon M \to G$ via

$$\gamma_{j,a}(z) := \begin{cases} \phi_x^{-1}(\zeta_{j,a}(z)) & \text{ if } z \in Q_x^0; \\ \gamma_{j-1}(z) & \text{ if } z \in M \setminus K_x \end{cases}$$

Since $\zeta_{j,a}|_{Q_x^0\setminus K_x} = \phi_x \circ \gamma_{j-1}|_{Q_x^0\setminus K_x}$, the function $\gamma_{j,a}$ is well defined and C^{ℓ} . It is C^r on each open set on which γ_{j-1} is C^r . Moreover, $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma_{j,a}) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\gamma_{j-1}) \cup K_x \subseteq L$. Note that $\gamma_{j,a} \to \gamma_{j-1}$ in $C_L^{\ell}(M,G)$ as a consequence of Lemma 10.11 (which we apply with $S_y := W_x$ for each $y \in W_x$, and with open neighbourhoods $S_y \subseteq M \setminus K_x$ around points $y \in L \setminus W_x$). We therefore find a $b_j \ge a_j$ such that $\gamma_j := \gamma_{j,b_j}$ satisfies (58). This completes the recursive construction and completes the proof of (a).

(b) Let $\gamma \in C_0(M, G)$ and $W \subseteq C_0(M, G)$ be a neighbourhood of γ . Let $\phi: U \to V$ be a chart of G such that $e \in U$, $\phi(e) = 0$, and V is convex. There exists a compact subset $K \subseteq M$ such that $\gamma(M \setminus K) \subseteq U$ and a compact subset $L \subseteq M$ such that $K \subseteq L^0$. Let $h \in C(M, [0, 1])$ such that $h|_Q = 1$ for an open neighbourhood Q of K in L^0 , and $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq L^0$. Then

$$\zeta \colon M \to F, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} (1 - h(x))\phi(\gamma(x)) & \text{ if } x \in M \setminus K; \\ 0 & \text{ if } x \in Q \end{cases}$$

is a continuous function; in fact, $\zeta \in C_0(M, V)$. Thus $\gamma_1 := \phi^{-1} \circ \zeta \in C_0(M, G)$; let $\gamma_2 := \gamma^{-1} \gamma$. There are a γ_1 -neighbourhood $W_1 \subseteq C_0(M, G)$ and a γ_2 neighbourhood $W_2 \subseteq C_0(M, G)$ such that $W_1 W_2 \subseteq W$. Lemma 10.10(b) yields a net $(\zeta_a)_{a \in A}$ in $C_c^r(M, F)$ which converges to ζ in $C_0(M, F)$; we may assume that $\zeta_a \in C_0(M, V)$ for all a. Then $\phi^{-1} \circ \zeta_a \to \phi^{-1} \circ \zeta = \gamma_1$ in $C_0(M, G)$. We therefore find a such that $\eta_1 := \phi \circ \zeta_a \in W_1$. Then $\eta_1 \in C_c^r(M, G)$. By (a), we find $\eta_2 \in W_2 \cap C_L^r(M, G)$. Then $\eta_1 \eta_2 \in W_1 W_2 \subseteq W$ and $\eta_1 \eta_2 \in C_c^r(M, G)$. \Box

Density of $C_c^{\infty}(M,G)$ in $C_c^{\ell}(M,G)$

We now discuss density in Lie groups of compactly supported C^{ℓ} -functions.

Proposition 10.13 Let $r, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ with $\ell \leq r$ and G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space F. If $\ell = 0$, let M be a locally compact, rough C^r -manifold; if $\ell > 0$, let M be a locally compact C^r -manifold with rough boundary. Then the following holds:

(a) If K and L are compact subsets of M such that $K \subseteq L^0$, then

$$C_K^{\ell}(M,G) \subseteq \overline{C_L^r(M,G)}$$

holds for the closure in $C_L^{\ell}(M,G)$.

(b) If M is paracompact, then $C_c^r(M,G)$ is dense in $C_c^\ell(M,G)$.

Proof. (a) For the case $\ell = 0$, see Proposition 10.12(a). Now assume that $\ell \geq 1$. Let $\gamma \in C_K^{\ell}(M, G)$ and W be an open neighbourhood of γ in $C_L^{\ell}(M, G)$. We can repeat the proof of Proposition 10.12(a), except that the sets Q_x have to be chosen more carefully. Having chosen U_x as in the cited proof, let $\kappa_x \colon B_x \to D_x$ be a chart for M around x such that $\gamma(B_x) \subseteq U_x$ and D_x is a locally convex subset with dense interior of \mathbb{R}^d for some $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now $\kappa_x(x)$ has a compact neighbourhood C_x in D_x , and C_x contains a convex $\kappa_x(x)$ -neighbourhood E_x . For some convex, open $\kappa_x(x)$ -neighbourhood A_x in \mathbb{R}^d , we have $A_x \cap D_x \subseteq E_x$. Then $A_x \cap D_x = A_x \cap E_x$ is convex, open in D_x , and relatively compact in D_x , whence $Q_x := \kappa_x^{-1}(A_x \cap D_x)$ is an open x-neighbourhood with compact closure $\overline{Q_x} \subseteq B_x$. Moreover, Q_x is C^r -diffeomorphic to the convex subset $A_x \cap D_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with dense interior. We can now repeat the proof of Proposition 10.12(a), with Lemma 4.1 in place of Lemma 10.10(c).

(b) is immediate from (a).

Density of $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, G)$ in $C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{ex}^{\bullet}$

To obtain a density result, we need to impose conditions on the set \mathcal{W} of weights.

10.14 In this section, we endow \mathbb{R}^d with some norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset. We lat \mathcal{W} be a set of smooth functions $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the constant function 1 belongs to \mathcal{W} and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $f(x) \ge 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and $x \in \Omega$;
- (b) For each $x \in \Omega$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{W}$ such that f(x) > 0;

(c) For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_1, \ldots, f_N \in \mathcal{W}$ and $k_1, \ldots, k_N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k_1, \ldots, k_N \leq r$, there exist C > 0 and $f \in \mathcal{W}$ such that

$$\|\delta_x^{k_1} f_1\|_q \cdot \ldots \cdot \|\delta_x^{k_N} f_N\|_q \leq C f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega,$$

with notation as in (11) and using the norm $q: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, y \mapsto |y|$.

The conditions (a)-(c) imposed on \mathcal{W} imply a crucial property:

Lemma 10.15 If \mathcal{W} is a set of weights as in 10.14, then $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, F)$ is dense in $C_{\mathcal{W}}^r(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$ for each $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and locally convex space F. In fact, $F \otimes C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ is dense.

Proof. If F is finite-dimensional, the assertion is immediate from the scalarvalued case treated in [19, V.7 a), p. 224]. For the general case, one first replaces F with a completion \tilde{F} and reworks the proof of [19, V.7 a), p. 224], with minor modifications.²⁶ Then, in the last line of [19, p. 226], one replaces $(T_{m_1,m_2}f)(x_i^{(m_4)}) \in \tilde{F}$ by a nearby element in F.

Proposition 10.16 Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, let G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space F; let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ be a set of weights as in 10.14. Then $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega, G)$ is dense in the Lie group $C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{ex}^{\bullet}$. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\ell = \infty$ and \mathcal{W} is, moreover, a set of BCRweights, then $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G, \mathcal{W})$. Notably, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{\text{ex}}^{\bullet}$ and $W \subseteq C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{\text{ex}}^{\bullet}$ be a γ -neighbourhood. Let $\phi: U \to V$ be a chart of G such that $e \in U$ and $\phi(e) = 0$. Let $V_0 \subseteq V$ be an open 0-neighbourhood such that $\phi^{-1} \circ C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, V_0)$ is an open identity neighbourhood in $C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, G)_{\text{ex}}^{\bullet}$ with $U_0 := \phi^{-1}(V_0)$; after shrinking V_0 , we may assume that V_0 is convex. There exists a compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\gamma(\Omega \setminus K) \subseteq U_0$ and a C_c^{∞} -function $h: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with $h(\Omega) \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $h|_Q = 1$ for some open neighbourhood Q of K in Ω and $(1 - h)(\phi \circ \gamma)|_{\Omega \setminus K} \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega \setminus K, F)$. Then

$$\zeta \colon \Omega \to F, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} (1 - h(x))\phi(\gamma(x)) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus K; \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in Q \end{cases}$$

is a C^{ℓ} -function and in fact $\zeta \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, V_0)^{\bullet}$, by 10.6. Thus $\gamma_1 := \phi^{-1} \circ \zeta \in C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ex}}$; let $\gamma_2 := \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma$. There are a γ_1 -neighbourhood $W_1 \subseteq C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and a γ_2 -neighbourhood $W_2 \subseteq C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ such that $W_1W_2 \subseteq W$. Lemma 10.15 yields a net $(\zeta_a)_{a \in A}$ in $C^{\infty}_c(\Omega, F)$ which converges to ζ in $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$; we may assume that $\zeta_a \in C^{\infty}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, V_0)$ for all a. Then $\phi^{-1} \circ \zeta_a \to \phi^{-1} \circ \zeta = \gamma_1$ in $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, G)^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{ex}}$. We therefore find a such that $\eta_1 := \phi \circ \zeta_a \in W_1$. Then $\eta_1 \in C^{\infty}_c(\Omega, G)$. By Proposition 10.13(a), we find $\eta_2 \in W_2 \cap C^{\infty}_L(\Omega, G)$. Then $\eta_1\eta_2 \in W_1W_2 \subseteq W$ and $\eta_1\eta_2 \in C^{\infty}_c(M, G)$.

²⁶The completeness of \tilde{F} ensures that the relevant vector-valued (weak) integrals exist. As one continuous seminorm q on F suffices to describe a typical neighbourhood of a given function in $C^r_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$, the proof goes through if we replace the absolute value $|\cdot|$ by q.

Remark 10.17 Let G be a Lie group modelled on a locally convex space F. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is a set of BCR-weights satisfying the conditions (a)–(c) formulated in 10.14, then $C^{\infty}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)^{\bullet}_{ex} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G, \mathcal{W})$ as already mentioned, and thus $C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G, \mathcal{W})$, by Proposition 10.16. Note that $\mathcal{W} := \{x \mapsto (1 + ||x||_2^2)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a set of BCR-weights and satisfies the conditions (a)–(c); thus $C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, G)$.

11 More on density and the function spaces

In this section, we prove the following result concerning tensor product realizations for spaces of vector-valued functions (which were previously known in special cases). Moreover, we prove nuclearity for $C^{\infty}(M, F)$ under natural hypotheses (Proposition 11.5).

Recall that a Hausdorff topological space X is said to be a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space if for all functions $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, continuity of f is equivalent to continuity of $f|_K$ for all compact subsets $K \subseteq X$. Every locally compact space and every metrizable topological space is a k-space²⁷ and hence a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space.

Proposition 11.1 Let F be a complete locally convex space. Then we have:

(a) Using the compact-open topology,

$$C(X,F) \cong F \otimes_{\varepsilon} C(X,\mathbb{R})$$

for each topological space X which is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space and such that each compact subset $K \subseteq X$ has a closed, paracompact neighbourhood in X; for instance, X may be any paracompact $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space (e.g., any metrizable topological space), or any locally compact topological space.

(b) Using the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology,

 $C^{\ell}(M,F) \cong F \,\widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}(M,\mathbb{R})$

holds for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and each locally compact C^{ℓ} -manifold M with rough boundary.

(c) $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet} \cong F \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})^{\bullet}$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, each open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and each set $\mathcal{W} \subseteq C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ of weights satisfying the conditions (a)–(c) in 10.14. Notably, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \cong F \widetilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$.

The proofs of the following three lemmas, which are mostly variants of results from earlier sections, have been relegated to the appendix (Appendix B).

Lemma 11.2 Let F be a locally convex space and X be a topological space such that every compact subset of X has a closed, paracompact neighbourhood in X (e.g., X might be any paracompact topological space, or any locally compact topological space). Then $F \otimes C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in C(X, F), endowed with the compact-open topology.

²⁷Subsets $A \subseteq X$ are closed if and only if $A \cap K$ is closed for each compact set $K \subseteq X$.

Lemma 11.3 Let F be a locally convex space, $r, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ with $\ell \leq r$, and M be a locally compact C^r -manifold with rough boundary. Then we have:

(a) If $K \subseteq M$ is compact and L a compact neighbourhood of K in M, then

$$C_K^\ell(M,F) \subseteq \overline{F \otimes C_L^r(M,\mathbb{R})}$$

holds for the closure in $C^r(M, F)$.

(b) $F \otimes C_c^r(M, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C^{\ell}(M, F)$ in the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology.

If F is a locally convex space and q a continuous seminorm on E, then $||x + q^{-1}(\{0\})||_q := q(x)$ defines a norm on $F_q := F/q^{-1}(\{0\})$. We let \widetilde{F}_q be a completion of F_q with $F_q \subseteq \widetilde{F}_q$ and write $|| \cdot ||_q$ also for the norm on \widetilde{F}_q . Let

$$\alpha_q \colon F \to F_q, \quad x \mapsto x + q^{-1}(\{0\})$$

be the canonical map and $\tilde{\alpha}_q := j_q \circ \alpha_q$, where $j_q \colon F_q \to \tilde{F}_q$ is the inclusion map. For all continuous seminorms p, q on F with $p \leq q$ (in the sense that $p(x) \leq q(x)$ for all $x \in F$), the linear map

$$\alpha_{p,q} \colon F_q \to F_p, \quad x + q^{-1}(\{0\}) \to x + p^{-1}(\{0\})$$

is continuous and has a unique continuous linear extension $\widetilde{\alpha}_{p,q} \colon \widetilde{F}_q \to \widetilde{F}_p$. Let $\operatorname{Sem}(F)$ be the set of continuous seminorms on F. We use the fact: If F is complete, then $(F, (\widetilde{\alpha}_q)_{q \in \operatorname{Sem}(F)})$ is a projective limit of the projective system

$$((F_q)_{q\in \mathrm{Sem}(F)}: (\widetilde{\alpha}_{p,q})_{p\leq q})$$

in the category of locally convex spaces and continuous linear mappings.

Lemma 11.4 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset. Let F be a locally convex space.

(a) Let F, together with continuous linear maps λ_j: F → F_j, be a projective limit of a projective system S := ((F_j)_{j∈J}, (λ_{i,j})_{i≤j}) of locally convex spaces F_i and continuous linear maps λ_{i,j}: F_j → F_i. Then C^ℓ(Ω, F), together with the continuous linear maps C^ℓ(Ω, λ_j): C^ℓ(Ω, F) → C^ℓ(Ω, F_j), γ ↦ λ_j ∘ γ, is a projective limit of the projective system

$$((C^{\ell}(\Omega, F_j))_{j \in J}, (C^{\ell}(\Omega, \lambda_{i,j}))_{i \leq j})$$

$$(59)$$

in the category of locally convex spaces and continuous linear mappings.

(b) Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ be a set of continuous weights such that, for each $x \in \Omega$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{W}$ with $f(x) \neq 0$. If F is complete, then $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)$, together with the continuous linear maps $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, \tilde{\alpha}_q)^{\bullet}$ for $q \in \text{Sem}(F)$, is a projective limit of the projective system

$$((C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega,\widetilde{F}_q)^{\bullet})_{q\in\operatorname{Sem}(F)}, (C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega,\widetilde{\alpha}_{p,q})^{\bullet})_{p\leq q})$$

in the category of locally convex spaces and continuous linear mappings. Moreover, $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)$ is complete. **Proof of Proposition 11.1.** We can argue as in the proof of Proposition 1.2, with the following modifications:

(a) As X is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, C(X, F) is complete (see, e.g., [29, Lemma A.5.24(d)]). By Lemma 11.2, $F \otimes C(X, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in C(X, F). Now use the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{K,q}$ with continuous seminorms q on F and compact $K \subseteq X$.

(b) $C^{\ell}(M, F)$ is complete (see [29, Proposition 3.6.20]) and $F \otimes C^{\ell}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C^{\ell}(M, F)$, by Lemma 11.3. Now use the seminorms $\gamma \mapsto \|\gamma \circ \phi^{-1}\|_{C^{k}, K, q}$ with continuous seminorms q on $F, k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $k \leq \ell$, charts $\phi: U \to V$ for M and compact subsets $K \subseteq V$.

(c) $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$ is complete (see Lemma 11.4(b)) and $F \otimes C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})^{\bullet}$ is dense in it by Lemma 10.15. Now argue as above using the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{a,f,k}$. \Box

We record a property of spaces of smooth scalar-valued functions.

Proposition 11.5 Let M be a locally compact C^{∞} -manifold with rough boundary (e.g., any finite dimensional C^{∞} -manifold with corners). Then we have:

- (a) $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$, endowed with the compact-open C^{∞} -topology, is complete and a nuclear locally convex space. Moreover, $C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is nuclear for each nuclear locally convex space F.
- (b) If M is, moreover, σ-compact, then C[∞](M, ℝ) is a nuclear Fréchet space and so is C[∞](M, F) for each nuclear Fréchet space F.

Proof. (a) For each $x \in M$, there exists a chart $\phi_x : U_x \to V_x$ for M such that $x \in U_x$, $\phi_x(x) = 0$ and V_x is a locally convex subset with dense interior of a finite-dimensional vector space E_x . Let K_x be a compact 0-neighbourhood in V_x ; there exists a closed, convex 0-neighbourhood B_x in V_x such that $B_x \subseteq K_x$. Then B_x is compact and hence closed in E_x . As a consequence of Corollary 1.6, $C^{\infty}(B_x, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to a complemented vector subspace of $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. Since $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is a nuclear Fréchet space (cf. Example 3 in [54, Chapter III, §8]), it follows that also $C^{\infty}(B_x, \mathbb{R})$ is a nuclear Fréchet space (see [52, Satz 5.1.1]) and so is $C^{\infty}(A_x, \mathbb{R}) \cong C^{\infty}(B_x, \mathbb{R})$, considering $A_x := \phi_x^{-1}(B_x)$ as a full-dimensional submanifold with rough boundary in M. Since the linear map

$$C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R}) \to \prod_{x \in M} C^{\infty}(A_x,\mathbb{R}), \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{A_x})_{x \in M}$$

is a topological embedding, we deduce with [52, Satz 5.2.3] that $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is nuclear. If F is a nuclear locally convex space, then its completion \widetilde{F} is nuclear (see [52, Satz 5.3.1]). As the inclusion map $C^{\infty}(M, F) \to C^{\infty}(M, \widetilde{F})$ is linear and a topological embedding, it suffices to show that $C^{\infty}(M, \widetilde{F})$ is nuclear (see [52, Satz 5.1.1]). Since $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is nuclear (as just shown) and \widetilde{F} is nuclear, also

$$\widetilde{F} \otimes_{\varepsilon} C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

is nuclear (see [52, Satz 5.4.1]). But $C^{\infty}(M, \widetilde{F}) \cong \widetilde{F} \otimes_{\varepsilon} C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ by Proposition 11.1(b), whence also $C^{\infty}(M, \widetilde{F})$ is nuclear.

(b) If the locally compact smooth manifold M with rough boundary is σ compact and F is a Fréchet space, then also $C^{\infty}(M, F)$ is a Fréchet space
(cf. [29, Proposition 3.6.20]). The assertion therefore follows from (a).

12 More constructions of smoothing operators

Smoothing operators can be constructed in further situations. In this section, we record additional results, relegating most proofs to the appendix (Appendix C).

We start with a proposition devoted to regularizing operators, which replace general continuous functions with compactly supported functions whose image has finite-dimensional span. It is a topological analogue of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 12.1 Let X be a σ -compact, locally compact space which is metrizable, $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ be a compact exhaustion of X and F be a locally convex space. There is a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous linear operators $S_n \colon C(X, F) \to C(X, F)$ with the following properties:

- (a) $S_n(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in C(X, F) as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for γ in compact subsets of C(X, F);
- (b) $S_n(\gamma) \in F \otimes C_{K_{n+1}}(X, \mathbb{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C(X, F)$; and
- (c) $S_m(\gamma) \in F \otimes C_{K_{n+1}}(X, \mathbb{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge n$, and $\gamma \in C_{K_n}(X, F)$.

Note that S_n is also continuous as a map to $C_c(X, F) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} C_{K_n}(X, F)$, as a consequence of (b).

In this connection, we mention:

Proposition 12.2 For every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, every paracompact, locally compact, rough C^{ℓ} -manifold is metrizable.

Using extension operators as a tool, we construct smoothing operators on cubes.

Lemma 12.3 For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there are continuous linear operators $S_n : C^{\ell}([0,1]^d, F) \to C^{\infty}([0,1]^d, F)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with image in $F \otimes C^{\infty}([0,1]^d, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$S_n(\gamma) \to \gamma$$

in $C^{\ell}([0,1]^d, F)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for γ in compact subsets of $C^{\ell}([0,1]^d, F)$.

Proof. We let $\mathcal{E}: C^{\ell}([0,1]^d, F) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$ be a continuous linear right inverse for the restriction map $C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\ell}([0,1]^d, F)$, as provided by Corollary 1.8. We write

$$H_n: C^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F)$$

for the smoothing operator S_n in Theorem 1.1, applied with $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^d$. Finally, we let $\rho \colon C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, F) \to C^{\infty}([0, 1]^d, F)$ be the restriction map, which is continuous and linear. Then

$$S_n := \rho \circ H_n \circ \mathcal{E} \colon C^{\ell}([0,1]^d, F) \to C^{\infty}([0,1], F)$$

is continuous and linear. If $K \subseteq C^{\ell}([0,1]^d, F)$ is a compact set, then $\mathcal{E}(K)$ is compact, whence $H_n(\eta) \to \eta$ uniformly in $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(K)$ as $n \to \infty$ (see Remark 3.7). The continuous linear map ρ being uniformly continuous, we deduce that

$$S_n(\gamma) = \rho(H_n(\mathcal{E}(\gamma))) \to \rho(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)) = \gamma$$

as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $\gamma \in K$. Moreover, $H_n(\mathcal{E}(\gamma)) = \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j v_j$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}, v_1, \ldots, v_m \in F$ and suitable functions $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$S_n(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j|_{[0,1]^d} v_j \in F \otimes C^{\infty}([0,1]^d, \mathbb{R}),$$

which completes the proof.

Proposition 12.4 Let F be a locally convex space, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\ell \leq r$. If $\ell = 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact rough C^r -manifold; if $\ell > 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact C^r -manifold with corners. Let $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ be a compact exhaustion of M. Then there exists a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous linear operators

$$S_n \colon C^\ell(M, F) \to C^r_{K_{n+1}}(M, F)$$

with the following properties:

- (a) $S_n(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in $C^{\ell}(M, F)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for γ in compact subsets of $C^{\ell}(M, F)$;
- (b) $S_n(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^r_{K_{n+1}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(M,F)$; and
- (c) $S_m(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^r_{K_{n+1}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge n$, and $\gamma \in C^\ell_{K_n}(M, F)$.

13 Properties of evaluation and composition

If X, Y, and Z are Hausdorff topological spaces, it is well known that the evaluation map

$$C(X,Y) \times X \to Y, \quad (\gamma,x) \mapsto \gamma(x)$$

and the composition map

$$C(Y,Z) \times C(X,Y) \to C(X,Z), \quad (\gamma,\eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$$

is continuous if Y is locally compact (see Theorem 3.4.3 and Proposition 2.6.11 in [17] for the first statement, [17, Theorem 3.4.2] for the second). In the case of locally convex spaces and their subsets, differentiability properties for evaluation of C^{ℓ} -maps and composition are well-known, again based on local compactness of Y (see, e.g., [2], [3], [25], and [29]). As a tool for the next section, we show that evaluation always is sequentially continuous (irrespective of local compactness)

and has certain differentiability properties which enable a limited version of the Chain Rule. As the observations may be useful elsewhere, we also record analogous findings for composition maps.

In this section, spaces of continuous functions are endowed with the compactopen topology. Spaces of C^{ℓ} -functions (or RC^{ℓ} -functions) are endowed with the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology. We first discuss evaluation maps, starting with a topological setting.

Proposition 13.1 Let X and Y be Hausdorff topological spaces. Then the evaluation map

ev: $C(X,Y) \times X \to Y$, $(\gamma, x) \mapsto \gamma(x)$

has the following properties:

- (a) For each compact subset $K \subseteq X$, the restriction of ev to a mapping $C(X,Y) \times K \to Y$ is continuous.
- (b) For each compact subset $K \subseteq C(X, Y) \times X$, the restriction $ev|_K \colon K \to Y$ is continuous. Notably, ev(K) is a compact subset of Y.
- (c) ev is sequentially continuous.
- (d) Let Z be a Hausdorff topological space and $f: Z \to C(X, Y)$ as well as $f: Z \to X$ be continuous mappings. If Z is a k-space or Z is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space and Y completely regular, then

$$\operatorname{ev} \circ (f,g) \colon Z \to Y, \quad x \mapsto f(x)(g(x))$$

is a continuous mapping.

Remark 13.2 With a view towards (d), recall that every metrizable topological space Z is a k-space. Every topological vector space Y (and every topological group) is completely regular.

Proof of Proposition 13.1. (a) Let $K \subseteq X$ be compact. The restriction map $\rho_K \colon C(X,Y) \to C(K,Y), \gamma \mapsto \gamma|_K$ is continuous (see, e.g., [29, Remark A.5.10]). Since K is locally compact, the evaluation map $\varepsilon \colon C(K,Y) \times K \to Y$, $(\zeta, x) \mapsto \zeta(x)$ is continuous (as just recalled). Hence

$$\operatorname{ev}|_{C(X,Y)\times K} = \varepsilon \circ (\rho_K \times \operatorname{id}_K)$$

is continuous.

(b) Let $\operatorname{pr}_2: C(X,Y) \times X \to X$ be the projection $(\gamma, x) \mapsto x$. If K is a compact subset of $C(X,Y) \times X$, then $L := \operatorname{pr}_2(K)$ is compact in X. By (a),

$$\operatorname{ev}|_{K} = (\operatorname{ev}|_{C(X,Y) \times L})|_{K}$$

is continuous. As a consequence, $ev(K) = ev|_K(K)$ is compact.

(c) If $(\gamma_n, x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent sequence in $C(X, Y) \times X$ with limit (γ, x) , then $K := \{(\gamma_n, x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(\gamma, x)\}$ is a compact subset of $C(X, Y) \times X$. Using (b), we see that

$$\operatorname{ev}(\gamma_n, x_n) = \operatorname{ev}|_K(\gamma_n, x_n) \to \operatorname{ev}|_K(\gamma, x) = \operatorname{ev}(\gamma, x)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

(d) For each compact subset $L \subseteq Z$, the image K := (f,g)(L) is compact in $C(X,Y) \times X$. Hence $\operatorname{ev} \circ (f,g) = \operatorname{ev} |_K \circ (f,g)|^K$ is continuous, by (b). As Z is a k-space (or Z a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space and Y complete regular), the continuity of the restrictions $\operatorname{ev} \circ (f,g)|_L$ implies continuity of the map $\operatorname{ev} \circ (f,g): Z \to Y$. \Box

Using Proposition 13.1, we get a version of the Chain Rule for the evaluation map on C^{ℓ} -functions. We shall use a consequence of the Mean Value Theorem:

13.3 If E and F are locally convex spaces, $U \subseteq E$ is an open subset and $f: U \to F$ a C^1 -map, then $U^{[1]} := \{(x, y, t) \in U \times E \times \mathbb{R} : x + ty \in U\}$ is an open subset of $U \times E \times \mathbb{R}$ and the map

$$f^{[1]}: U^{[1]} \to F, \ (x, y, t) \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{f(x+ty) - f(x)}{t} & \text{if } t \neq 0; \\ df(x, y) & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

is continuous (see [29, Lemma 1.2.10], cf. [6, Proposition 7.4]). The final conclusion remains valid of $U \subseteq E$ is a locally convex, regular subset (see [29, Lemma 1.4.9]).

Proposition 13.4 Let E and F be locally convex spaces and $V \subseteq E$ be a locally convex, regular subset. Let X be a locally convex space and $U \subseteq X$ a regular subset. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f: U \to C^{\ell}(V, F)$ as well as $g: U \to V \subseteq E$ be C^{ℓ} -maps. If U and $U \times X$ are $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces, then

$$h: U \to F, \quad x \mapsto f(x)(g(x))$$

is a C^{ℓ} -map. Moreover,

$$dh(x,y) = df(x,y)(g(x)) + df(g(x), dg(x,y))$$
(60)

for all $(x, y) \in U \times X$, if $\ell \geq 1$.

The same conclusion holds if $V \subseteq E$ is any regular subset, $f: U \to RC^{\ell}(V, F)$ is C^{ℓ} and $g: U \to V$ is an RC^{ℓ} -map.

Remark 13.5 Note that U and $U \times X$ are k-spaces (and hence $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces) in the situation of Proposition 13.4 whenever X is metrizable.

Proof of Proposition 13.4. We prove Proposition 13.4 under the stronger hypothesis that $U \times X^{2^{j-1}}$ is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $j \leq \ell$. The proof of the general case, which is more technical, can be found in Appendix D.

We may assume that $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$; the proof is by induction. The case $\ell = 0$ holds by Proposition 13.1(d). Now assume that $\ell \geq 1$ and assume that the assertion holds for $\ell - 1$ in place of ℓ . Let

$$\varepsilon \colon C^{\ell-1}(V \times E, F) \times (V \times E) \to F$$

be the evaluation map and $\operatorname{pr}_1: U \times X \to U$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ be the projection. We claim that (60) holds for all $(x, y) \in U^0 \times X$. If this is true, then h is C^1 and

$$dh = \operatorname{ev} \circ (df, g \circ \operatorname{pr}_1) + \varepsilon \circ (df, (g \circ \operatorname{pr}_1, dg)), \tag{61}$$

as the right-hand side is a continuous function by Proposition 13.1(d) and extends $d(h|_{U^0})$. In fact, the right-hand side of (61) is $C^{\ell-1}$ by the inductive hypothesis. Since h is C^1 and dh is $C^{\ell-1}$, the map h is C^{ℓ} . By (61), the identity (60) holds for all $(x, y) \in U \times X$.

To establish the claim, let $(x, y) \in U^0 \times X$. Let $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x + t_n y \in U^0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\frac{f(x+t_ny)(g(x+t_ny)) - f(x)(g(x))}{t_n} = \frac{f(x+t_ny) - f(x)}{t_n}(g(x+t_ny)) + \frac{f(x)(g(x+t_ny)) - f(x)(g(x))}{t_n}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that the first summand tends to df(x, y)(g(x)) as $n \to \infty$ by sequential continuity of the evaluation map. The second summand can be written as

$$(f|_{U^0})^{[1]}\left(g(x), \frac{g(x+t_ny)-g(x)}{t_n}, t_n\right)$$

and hence converges to $(f|_{U^0})^{[1]}(g(x), dg(x, y), 0) = df(g(x), dg(x, y))$ as $n \to \infty$. The claim is established. \Box

Before we discuss composition maps, it is useful to record facts and observations concerning regularity properties of the compact-open topology, and compact-open C^{ℓ} -topologies.

13.6 Let X and Y be Hausdorff spaces. If Y is regular, then also C(X, Y) is regular when endowed with the compact-open topology (see [17, Theorem 3.4.13]). If Y is completely regular, then also C(X, Y) is completely regular (see [17, Theorem 3.4.15]).

13.7 If $\pi: Y \to X$ is a locally trivial fibre bundle over a regular topological space X whose fibres are regular topological spaces, then Y is a regular topological space.

[Let $y \in Y$ and V be an open neighbourhood of y in Y. Then $x := \pi(y)$ has an open neighbourhood U in X for which there exists a homeomorphism $\theta : \pi^{-1}(U) \to U \times F_x$ for a regular topological space F_x , such that $\operatorname{pr}_1 \circ \theta = \pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}$. Since X is regular, also its subset U is regular and hence also $U \times F_x$,

entailing that $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is regular. We therefore find a neighbourhood B of y in $\pi^{-1}(U)$ which is closed in $\pi^{-1}(U)$ and such that $B \subseteq \pi^{-1}(U) \cap V$. Since X is regular, there exists a neighbourhood A on x in U which is a closed subset of X. Then $\pi^{-1}(A)$ is closed in Y and so is its closed subset $C := B \cap \pi^{-1}(A)$. Moreover, C is a neighbourhood of y in Y and $C \subseteq V$.]

Recall that a Hausdorff topological space X is completely regular if and only if, for each $x \in X$ and neighbourhood U of x in X, we find a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq U$.

Lemma 13.8 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \infty$ and M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces. Then the following holds:

- (a) The topological space underlying the manifold M is regular if and only if M is completely regular.
- (b) If M is regular, then the iterated tangent bundle T^k(M) is regular for all k ∈ N₀ such that k ≤ ℓ.
- (c) If N is a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary and M is regular, then $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ is regular when endowed with the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology.
- (d) If N is a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold and M is regular, then $RC^{\ell}(M, N)$ is regular when endowed with the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology.

Proof. (a) If M is regular, let $x \in M$ and U be a neighbourhood of x in M. let $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi}$ be a chart of M such that $x \in U_{\phi}$. Then V_{ϕ} is a subset of a locally convex space E. Since M is regular, there exists a closed subset A of Msuch that $A \subseteq U \cap U_{\phi}$ and A is a neighbourhood of x in M. As E is completely regular, also V_{ϕ} is completely regular. We therefore find a continuous function $h: V_{\phi} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(\phi(x)) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq \phi(A)$. Then

$$f \colon M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } y \in M \setminus A; \\ h(\phi(y)) & \text{if } y \in U_{\phi} \end{array} \right.$$

is a continuous function such that $f(x) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq A \subseteq U$.

(b) If $\ell \geq 1$, then TM is regular by 13.7. The assertion follows by induction.

(c) By (b), $T^k N$ is regular for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k \leq \ell$. Hence $T^k N$ is completely regular (by (a)) whence also $C(T^k M, T^k N)$ is completely regular, as recalled in 13.6. The topology on $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ is initial with respect to the mapping $T^k \colon C^{\ell}(M, N) \to C(T^k M, T^k N)$, and the topology on $C(T^k M, T^k N)$ is initial with respect to the set of all continuous maps $f \in C(T^k M, T^k N) \to \mathbb{R}$. The topology on $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ is therefore initial with respect to the continuous real-valued mappings $f \circ T^k \colon C^{\ell}(M, N) \to \mathbb{R}$. The assertion follows.

(d) We can repeat the proof of (c) with $RC^{\ell}(M, N)$ in place of $C^{\ell}(M, N)$. \Box

Proposition 13.9 Let X, Y, and Z be Hausdorff topological spaces. Then the composition map

$$c_{X,Y,Z}: C(Y,Z) \times C(X,Y) \to C(X,Z), \ (\gamma,\eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$$

has the following properties:

- (a) For each compact subset $K \subseteq C(X, Y)$, the restriction of $c_{X,Y,Z}$ to a map $C(Y,Z) \times K \to C(X,Z)$ is continuous.
- (b) For each compact subset $K \subseteq C(Y,Z) \times C(X,Y)$, the restriction $c_{X,Y,Z}|_K$ is continuous. Notably, $c_{X,Y,Z}(K)$ is compact.
- (c) $c_{X,Y,Z}$ is sequentially continuous.
- (d) Let A be a Hausdorff topological space and $f: A \to C(Y, Z)$ as well as $g: A \to C(X, Y)$ be continuous mappings. If A is a k-space or A is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space and Z completely regular, then

$$c_{X,Y,Z} \circ (f,g) \colon A \to C(X,Z), \quad x \mapsto f(x) \circ g(x)$$

is a continuous mapping.

Proof. (a) Given a compact subset $K \subseteq C(X, Y)$, consider $h: C(Y, Z) \times K \to C(X, Z)$, $(\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \gamma \circ \eta$. The compact-open topology \mathcal{O} on C(X, Z) is initial with respect to the restriction maps $\rho_L: C(X, Z) \to C(L, Z)$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$; in fact, each ρ_L is continuous (see, e.g., [29, Remark A.5.10]) and the pre-images $\rho_K^{-1}(C(L, U)) = \lfloor L, U \rfloor$ generate \mathcal{O} for $L \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and U ranging through the open subsets of Z. We therefore only need to show that $\rho_L \circ h$ is continuous for all $L \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. Now

$$B := \{ \gamma(x) \colon \gamma \in K, \ x \in L \}$$

is a compact subset of Y by Proposition 13.1(b) and $\eta|_L \in C(L, B)$ for all $\eta \in K$. The restriction maps $r_B \colon C(Y, Z) \to C(B, Z)$ and $s_L \colon C(X, Y) \supseteq K \to C(L, Y)$ are continuous and also the composition map $c_{L,B,Z}$ is continuous, by local compactness of B. Since

$$\rho_L \circ h = c_{L,B,Z} \circ (r_B \times s_L),$$

we see that $\rho_L \circ h$ (and hence h) is continuous.

(b) Let $\operatorname{pr}_2: C(Y, Z) \times C(X, Y) \to C(X, Y), (\gamma, \eta) \mapsto \eta$ be the projection. Given K as in (b), also $L := \operatorname{pr}_2(K)$ is compact. Using (a), we see that

$$c_{X,Y,Z}|_K = (c_{X,Y,Z}|_{C(Y,Z)\times L})|_K$$

is continuous. Hence $c_{X,Y,Z}(K) = (c_{X,Y,Z}|_K)(K)$ is compact.

(c) $(\gamma_n, \eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $C(Y, Z) \times C(X, Y)$ which converges to $(\gamma, \eta) \in$

 $C(Y,Z) \times C(X,Y)$. Then $K := \{(\gamma_n, \eta_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(\gamma, \eta)\}$ is a compact subset of $C(Y,Z) \times C(X,Y)$. Then

$$(\gamma_n \circ \eta_n) = c_{X,Y,Z}|_K(\gamma_n, \eta_n) \to c_{X,Y,Z}|_K(\gamma, \eta) = \gamma \circ \eta$$

as $n \to \infty$, using (b).

(d) Note that if Z is completely regular, then also C(X, Z) is completely regular. In fact, as the map

$$\phi\colon Z\to \prod_{f\in C(Z,\mathbb{R})}\mathbb{R}=:P$$

taking $x \in Z$ to $(f(x))_{f \in C(Z,\mathbb{R})}$ is a topological embedding, also

$$C(X,\phi)\colon C(X,Z)\to C(X,P), \quad \gamma\mapsto\phi\circ\gamma$$

is a topological embedding (see, e.g., [29, Lemma A.5.5]). Now P is a locally convex space and hence also C(X, P) is a locally convex space, entailing that C(X, P) (and hence also C(X, Z)) is completely regular.

If A is a k-space or A is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space and Z completely regular, then the map $c_{X,Y,Z} \circ (f,g) \colon A \to C(X,Z)$ will be continuous if we can show that its restriction to L is continuous for each compact subset $L \subseteq A$. But K := (f,g)(L) is a compact subset of $C(Y,Z) \times C(X,Y)$. Hence $c_{X,Y,Z} \circ (f,g)|_L = c_{X,Y,Z}|_K \circ (\gamma,\eta)|_L^K$ is continuous, by (b).

We mention a direct consequence.

Proposition 13.10 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and L, M, and N be C^{ℓ} -manifolds with rough boundary, which are modelled on locally convex spaces. Then the composition map

$$c^\ell_{L,M,N}\colon C^\ell(M,N)\times C^\ell(L,M)\to C^\ell(L,N), \quad (\gamma,\eta)\mapsto \gamma\circ\eta$$

has the following properties:

- (a) For each compact subset $K \subseteq C^{\ell}(L, M)$, the restriction of $c_{L,M,N}^{\ell}$ to a map $C^{\ell}(M, N) \times K \to C(L, N)$ is continuous.
- (b) For each compact subset $K \subseteq C^{\ell}(M, N) \times C(L, M)$, the restriction $c_{L,M,N}^{\ell}|_{K}$ is continuous.
- (c) $c_{L,M,N}^{\ell}$ is sequentially continuous.
- (d) Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and $f: X \to C^{\ell}(M, N)$ as well as $g: X \to C^{\ell}(L, M)$ be a continuous map. If X is a k-space or X is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space and N is completely regular, then

$$c_{L,M,N}^{\ell} \circ (f,g) \colon X \to C^{\ell}(L,N), \quad x \mapsto f(x) \circ g(x)$$

is a continuous mapping.

Proof. Let γ_n converge to γ in $C^{\ell}(M, N)$ and η_n converge to η in $C^{\ell}(L, M)$. The topology on $C^{\ell}(K, M)$ is initial with respect to the maps $T^j: C^{\ell}(K, M) \to C(T^jK, T^jM)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $j \leq \ell$, using the compact-open topology on spaces of continuous functions. To see that $\gamma_n \circ \eta_n \to \gamma \circ \eta$ in $C^{\ell}(L, N)$, we therefore only need to show that $T^j(\gamma_n \circ \eta_n) \to T^j(\gamma \circ \eta)$ in $C(T^jL, T^jN)$ as $n \to \infty$, for all j as before. But

$$T^{j}(\gamma \circ \eta) = (T^{j}\gamma) \circ (T^{j}\eta) = c_{T^{j}L,T^{j}M,T^{j}N}(T^{j}\gamma_{n},T^{j}\eta_{n})$$

$$\rightarrow c_{T^{j}L,T^{j}M,T^{j}N}(T^{j}\gamma,T^{j}\eta) = T^{j}\gamma \circ T^{j}\eta = T^{j}(\gamma \circ \eta),$$

by Proposition 13.9.

The same conclusion holds if M and N are as before but L merely is a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces. It also holds for the composition map on spaces of RC^{ℓ} -maps between rough C^{ℓ} -manifolds L, M, and N.

We shall not use the following more technical result, the proof of which can be looked up in Appendix D.

Proposition 13.11 Let E, F, X, and Z be locally convex spaces, $A \subseteq Z$, $R \subseteq X$, and $S \subseteq E$ be regular subsets, and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\gamma: A \to C^{\ell+k}(S, F)$ and $\eta: A \to C^{\ell}(R, E)$ be C^k -maps such that $\eta(z)(R) \subseteq S$ for all $z \in A$; if S is not locally convex, assume, moreover, that $\eta(z)(R^0) \subseteq S^0$ for all $z \in A$. If $\ell = 0$, assume that R is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space; if $\ell \geq 1$, assume that $R \times X$ is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. If, moreover, $A \times Z$ is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, then

$$\zeta \colon A \to C^{\ell}(R, F), \quad z \mapsto \gamma(z) \circ \eta(z)$$

is a C^k -map.

If $\ell = 0$, the conclusion holds more generally if A and Z are as before and R is any Hausdorff topological space which is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space.

Recall that the space $\mathcal{L}(X, F)$ of continuous linear operators is a closed vector subspace of $C^{\infty}(X, F)$ for all locally convex spaces X and F; moreover, the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{L}(X, F)$ coincides with the compact-open C^{∞} topology (see Lemma 3.4). We therefore get the following immediate corollary to Proposition 13.11 and Proposition 13.9:

Corollary 13.12 Let Z, X, E, and F be locally convex spaces, $A \subseteq Z$ be a regular subset and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If $\gamma: A \to \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $\eta: A \to \mathcal{L}(X, E)$ are C^k -maps and $A \times Z$ is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space (or k = 0 and A is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space), then also the following map is C^k :

$$A \to \mathcal{L}(X, F), \quad z \mapsto \gamma(z) \circ \eta(z).$$

Here $\mathcal{L}(X, E)$, $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$, and $\mathcal{L}(X, F)$ carry the compact-open topology.

Likewise, Proposition 13.4 implies:

Corollary 13.13 Let X, E, and F be locally convex spaces, $R \subseteq X$ be a regular subset and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. If $\gamma \colon R \to \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $\eta \colon R \to E$ are C^k -maps and $R \times X$ is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, then also the following map is C^k :

$$R \to F$$
, $z \mapsto \gamma(z)(\eta(z))$.

If k = 0, the conclusion also holds if R is any Hausdorff topological space which is $k_{\mathbb{R}}$, by Proposition 13.1.

Remark 13.14 We mention that special cases have been recorded before. It is well known that the evaluation map $\varepsilon \colon \mathcal{L}(E, F) \times E \to F$ is a hypocontinuous²⁸ bilinear map for all locally convex spaces E and F, when the compact-open topology is used on the space $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ of continuous linear maps $E \to F$ (see [23], cf. [11]). Hence Corollary 13.13 follows from [23, Theorem 2.5] if X^n is a k-space for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \subseteq X$ is open (the general setting of the cited theorem). Moreover, the composition map $\mathcal{L}(E, F) \times \mathcal{L}(X, E) \to \mathcal{L}(X, F)$ is hypocontinuous if the compact-open topologies are used (see Proposition 9 in [11, Ch. III, §5, no. 5]). Thus Corollary 13.12 follows from [23, Theorem 2.5] as well (for X and R as just explained). The compact-open topology can be replaced with the topology of bounded convergence (see [23, Corollary 2.6]).

For differential calculi based on k-spaces and k-refinements of topologies on direct products, cf. also [57] and [59].

14 Smoothing of sections in fibre bundles

In this section, we consider fibre bundles in the following generality:

Definition 14.1 Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a σ -compact, locally compact, rough C^r -manifold. A C^r -fibre bundle over M is a pair (N, π) , where N is a rough C^r -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces and $\pi \colon N \to M$ a surjective RC^r -map which is *locally trivial* in the following sense: For each $x \in M$, there exists a C^r -manifold N_x (without boundary) modelled on locally convex spaces and an RC^r -diffeomorphism

$$\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \colon N|_U \to U \times N_x$$

(where $N|_U := \pi^{-1}(U)$) such that $\theta_1(y) = \pi(y)$ for all $y \in U$ (a local trivialization around x).

Note that θ restricts to a bijection $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \to \{x\} \times N_x \cong N_x$; when convenient, we may therefore assume that $N_x = \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$ and $\theta_2|_{N_x}$ is the identity map.

Definition 14.2 Given a C^r -fibre bundle $\pi: N \to M$ over M and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ with $\ell \leq r$, we let $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$ be the set of all C^{ℓ} -sections σ of π , i.e., RC^{ℓ} -functions $\sigma: M \to N$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \operatorname{id}_M$.

 $^{^{28}}$ We mean hypocontinuity with respect to the set of compact subsets of the second factor.

Definition 14.3 Let M be a σ -compact, locally compact rough C^{ℓ} -manifold with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and N be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces. Let $RC^{\ell}(M, N)$ be the set of all restricted C^{ℓ} -maps from M to N. We define the Whitney C^{ℓ} -topology on $RC^{\ell}(M, N)$ as the initial topology with respect to the mapping

$$RC^{\ell}(M,N) \to \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} {}^{b}RC^{\ell}(M_{n},N), \quad \gamma \mapsto (\gamma|_{M_{n}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$
(62)

where $RC^{\ell}(M_n, N)$ is endowed with the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology and $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a locally finite sequence of (possibly empty) relatively compact, regular subsets of M whose interiors M_n^0 cover M. The direct product in (62) is endowed with the box topology. The Whitney C^{ℓ} -topology is independent of the choice of the sequence $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (see [27] for details, where also topologies on $RC^{\infty}(M, N)$ are discussed in analogy to classical concepts as in [34], [43], [36], [35] and the references therein).

Definition 14.4 For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\ell \leq r$ and a C^r -fibre bundle $\pi: N \to M$, we give $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$ the topology induced by $RC^{\ell}(M, N)$, endowed with the Whitney C^{ℓ} -topology.

Our goal is the next theorem that generalizes a result in [65] devoted to the case $(\ell, r) = (0, \infty)$ (which assumes that M is a connected C^{∞} -manifold with corners, and gives less detailed information concerning properties of the homotopies). Wockel's result, in turn, generalizes a classical fact by Steenrod (§6.7 in [58]).

Theorem 14.5 Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\ell \leq r$. If $\ell = 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact rough C^r -manifold; if $\ell > 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact C^r -manifold with corners. Let $\pi \colon N \to M$ be a C^r -fibre bundle over M, as in Definition 14.1. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma_{C^\ell}(M \leftarrow N)$, $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma_{C^\ell}(M \leftarrow N)$ be a neighbourhood of σ in the Whitney C^ℓ -topology, $U \subseteq M$ be open and $A \subseteq M$ be a closed subset such that σ is RC^r on an open neighbourhood of $A \setminus U$ in M. Then there exists a section $\tau \in \Omega$ and a homotopy $H \colon [0,1] \times M \to N$ from $\sigma = H(0, \cdot)$ to $\tau = H(1, \cdot)$ such that $H_t := H(t, \cdot) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and the following holds:

- (a) $\sigma|_{M \setminus U} = H_t|_{M \setminus U}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$;
- (b) For every open subset $V \subseteq M$ such that $\sigma|_V$ is RC^r , also $H_t|_V$ is RC^r for all $t \in [0, 1]$.
- (c) There exists an open neighbourhood W of A in M such that $H_t|_W$ is RC^r for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Moreover, one can achieve that H is $RC^{0,\ell}$, the restriction $H|_{]0,1]\times M}$ is $RC^{r-\ell,\ell}$, and that, for each V as in (b), the restriction of H to a map $]0,1]\times (V\cup W) \to N$ is $RC^{0,r}$ (resp., RC^{∞} if $r = \infty$).

Specializing to trivial fibre bundles, we deduce:

Corollary 14.6 Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\ell \leq r$. If $\ell = 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact rough C^r -manifold; if $\ell > 0$, let M be a σ -compact, locally compact C^r -manifold with corners. Let N be a C^r -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces. Let $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(M, N)$, $\Omega \subseteq C^{\ell}(M, N)$ be a neighbourhood of σ in the Whitney C^{ℓ} -topology, $U \subseteq M$ be open and $A \subseteq M$ be a closed subset such that γ is C^r on an open neighbourhood of $A \setminus U$ in M. Then there exists a function $\eta \in \Omega$ and a continuous homotopy $H : [0, 1] \times M \to N$ from $\gamma = H(0, \cdot)$ to $\eta = H(1, \cdot)$ such that $H_t := H(t, \cdot) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and the following holds:

- (a) $\gamma|_{M \setminus U} = H_t|_{M \setminus U}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$;
- (b) For every open subset $V \subseteq M$ such that $\gamma|_V$ is C^r , also $H_t|_V$ is C^r for all $t \in [0, 1]$.
- (c) There exists an open neighbourhood W of A in M such that $H_t|_W$ is C^r for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Moreover, one can achieve that H is $C^{0,\ell}$, the restriction $H|_{]0,1]\times M}$ is $C^{r-\ell,\ell}$, and that, for all V as in (b), the restriction of H to $]0,1] \times (V \cup W)$ is $C^{0,r}$ (resp., C^{∞} if $r = \infty$). \Box

See Section 15 for typical applications of Corollary 14.6 (or also its more limited precursors in [58] and [65]).

It will be useful for the proof of Theorem 14.5 to interpolate the smoothing operators obtained earlier, so that we get families with a parameter $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 14.7 Let F be a locally convex space, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact, regular subset. If $\ell > 0$, assume that $L = [0,1]^d$. There is a family $(S_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ of continuous linear operators

$$S_t \colon C^\ell(L,F) \to C^\infty(L,F)$$

with the following properties:

- (a) $S_t(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^{\infty}(L, \mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$;
- (b) The map $[0,1] \to \mathcal{L}(C^{\ell}(L,F), C^{\infty}(L,F)), t \mapsto S_t$ is smooth for each locally convex vector topology on the space $\mathcal{L}(C^{\ell}(L,F), C^{\infty}(L,F))$ of continuous linear operators;
- (c) $S_t(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in $C^{\ell}(L, F)$ as $t \to 0$ uniformly for γ in compact subsets of $C^{\ell}(L, F)$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us write H_n for the operator S_n in Lemma 12.3 (if $\ell > 0$) and Proposition 12.4 (if $\ell = 0$, with $K_n := L$ for all n), respectively. There exists a monotonically increasing smooth function $\rho \colon [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\rho|_{[0,\varepsilon]} = 0$$
 and $\rho|_{[1-\varepsilon,1]} = 1$

for some $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}[$. We choose $1 = t_1 > t_2 > \cdots$ with $t_n \to 0$ and define

$$S_t := H_{j+1} + \rho\left(\frac{t-t_{j+1}}{t_j-t_{j+1}}\right)(H_j - H_{j+1})$$

if $t \in]t_{j+1}, t_j]$. As $H_j \to \text{id}$ uniformly on compact sets and the S_t are convex combinations of H_j and H_{j+1} , we get (c). The convex combinations depend smoothly on $t \in]t_{j+1}, t_j[$ and are constant on a neighbourhood of t_j ; thus (b) holds. Since $H_j(\gamma)(\Omega) \subseteq F$ has finite-dimensional span for all j, the same holds if H_j is replaced with a convex combination of H_j and H_{j+1} , like S_t for $t \in [t_{j+1}, t_j]$; thus (a) holds.

Remark 14.8 If we define $S_0(\gamma) := \gamma$ for $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$, then (b) and (c) in Lemma 14.7 imply that the map

$$[0,1] \to \mathcal{L}(C^{\ell}(L,F), C^{\ell}(L,F)), \quad t \mapsto S_t$$

is continuous for the topology of compact convergence on the space of continuous linear operators.

Proof of Theorem 14.5. Let V be the largest open subset of M such that $\sigma|_V$ is RC^r (the union of all such open sets). By hypothesis, V is a neighbourhood of $A \setminus U$ in M. If $A \subseteq V$, we can set $H(t, x) := \sigma(x)$ for all $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times M$. Now assume that $A \setminus V$ is not empty. There exists a locally finite family $(Q_j)_{j \in J}$ of full-dimensional compact submanifolds Q_j of M and compact regular subsets $P_j \subseteq Q_j^0$ such that $(P_j^0)_{j \in J}$ is a cover of M and (i)–(iii) hold for all $j \in J$:

- (i) $Q_j \subseteq M \setminus A$ or $Q_j \subseteq U$;
- (ii) If $\ell = 0$, there exists an RC^r -diffeomorphism $\kappa_j : Q_j \to L_j$ for a compact, non-empty, regular subset $L_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_j}$ for some $d_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\ell > 0$, there exists an RC^r -diffeomorphism $\kappa_j : Q_j \to L_j := [0, 1]^{d_j} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_j}$ for for some $d_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (where $[0, 1]^0 := \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^0$);
- (iii) Q_j is contained in an open subset $M_j \subseteq M$ such that there exists a local trivialization

$$\theta_j = (\theta_{j,1}, \theta_{j,2}) \colon N|_{M_j} \to M_j \times N_j$$

for some C^r -manifold N_j modelled on locally convex spaces, and $\theta_{j,2}(Q_j) \subseteq U_j$ holds for a chart $\phi_j: U_j \to V_j$ of N_j such that V_j is an open, convex subset of a locally convex space F_j .

Let $J_0 := \{j \in J : Q_j \cap A \setminus V \neq \emptyset\}$; then $Q_j \subseteq U$ for all $j \in J_0$. We may assume that $J_0 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq n_0\}$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

The topology on $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$ is initial with respect to the map

$$\rho \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N) \to \prod_{j \in J} {}^{b} RC^{\ell}(Q_{j}, N), \ \tau \mapsto (\tau|_{Q_{j}})_{j \in J}$$

and also with respect to the co-restriction

$$\rho \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N) \to \prod_{j \in J}{}^{b} \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(Q_{j} \leftarrow N|_{Q_{j}})$$

of the latter. The map

$$f_j \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(Q_j \leftarrow N|_{Q_j}) \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, N_j), \ \tau \mapsto \theta_{j,2} \circ \tau$$

is a homeomorphism, whence also

$$f := \prod_{j \in J} f_j \colon \prod_{j \in J} {}^b \Gamma_{C^\ell}(Q_j \leftarrow N|_{Q_j}) \to \prod_{j \in J} {}^b C^\ell(Q_j, N_j), \ (\tau_j)_{j \in J} \mapsto (f_j(\tau_j))_{j \in J}$$

is a homeomorphism. As a consequence,

$$f \circ \rho \colon \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N) \to \prod_{j \in J} {}^{b}C^{\ell}(Q_{j}, N_{j})$$

is a topological embedding. Note that $Y := \prod_{j \in J} C^{\ell}(M_j, U_j)$ is an open subset of $\prod_{j \in J} C^{\ell}(M_j, N_j)$ which contains $f(\rho(\sigma))$; thus $\Omega' := (f \circ \rho)^{-1}(Y)$ is an open neighbourhood of σ in $\Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$. It consists of all $\tau \in \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$ such that

$$\theta_{j,2}(\tau(Q_j)) \subseteq U_j \quad \text{for all } j \in J.$$
 (63)

Now

$$g_j \colon C^{\ell}(Q_j, U_j) \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, V_j) \subseteq C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j), \ \gamma \mapsto \phi_j \circ \gamma$$

is a homeomorphism, whence also

$$g := \prod_{j \in J} g_j \colon \prod_{j \in J} {}^b C^\ell(Q_j, U_j) \to \prod_{j \in J} {}^b C^\ell(Q_j, V_j)$$

is a homeomorphism. Hence

$$g \circ f \circ \rho|_{\Omega'} \colon \Omega' \to \prod_{j \in J} {}^b C^\ell(Q_j, V_j)$$

is a topological embedding. We therefore find open neighbourhoods W_j of $\phi_j \circ \theta_{j,2} \circ \sigma|_{Q_j}$ in $C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j)$ such that $(g \circ f \circ \rho)(\Omega \cap \Omega')$ contains the set

$$(g \circ f \circ \rho)(\Omega') \cap \prod_{j \in J} W_j.$$

After shrinking the σ -neighbourhood Ω , we may assume that Ω is the set of all $\tau \in \Omega'$ such that

$$\phi_j \circ \theta_{j,2} \circ \tau|_{Q_j} \in W_j \text{ for all } j \in J.$$
(64)

For each $j \in J_0$, choose operators $S_{j,t} \colon C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j) \to C^r(Q_j, F_j)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ as in Lemma 14.7 (identifying $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j)$ with $\gamma \circ \kappa_j^{-1} \in C^{\ell}(L_j, F_j)$ and $\eta \in C^{\infty}(L_j, F_j) \subseteq C^r(L_j, F_j)$ with $\eta \circ \kappa_j \in C^r(Q_j, F_j)$). Let $S_{j,0} \colon C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j) \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j)$ be the identity map. Choose $\xi_j \in C^r(Q_j, \mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\xi_j) \subseteq Q_j^0$ such that $\xi_j(x) = 1$ for x in a neighbourhood of P_j in Q_j^0 , and $\xi_j(Q_j) \subseteq [0, 1]$.

Define $H_0: [0,1] \times M \to N$, $(t,x) \mapsto \sigma(x)$. For $j \in J_0$, we now construct $C^{0,\ell}$ -mappings

$$H_j: [0,1] \times M \to N$$

with $H_j|_{[0,1]\times M}$ a $C^{r-\ell,\ell}$ -map, such that $H_j|_{[0,1]\times P_1^0\cup\cdots\cup P_j^0\cup V}$ is $C^{0,r}$ (if $r < \infty$), resp., C^{∞} (if $r = \infty$) and, moreover, $H_j(t, \cdot) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$H_j(t,x) = H_{j-1}(t,x)$$
 for all $x \in M \setminus \operatorname{supp}(\xi_j)$.

Suppose that H_{j-1} has already been constructed. Since $H_{j-1}|_{[0,1]\times P_j}$ is an $\mathbb{R}C^{0,\ell}$ -map with $H_j([0,1]\times Q_j)\subseteq \theta_j^{-1}(Q_j\times U_j)$ and $\theta_{j,2}$ as well as ϕ_j are $\mathbb{R}C^r$ (and thus $\mathbb{R}C^{\ell}$), also the composition

$$a_j : [0,1] \times Q_j \to F_j, \quad (t,x) \mapsto (\phi_j \circ \theta_{j,2} \circ H_{j-1})(t,x)$$

is $C^{0,\ell}$, by the Chain Rule. As a consequence,

$$a_j^{\vee} \colon [0,1] \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j), \quad t \mapsto a_j(t, \cdot)$$

is a continuous map. Hence

$$K_j := \{\phi_j \circ \theta_{j,2} \circ H_{j-1}(t, \cdot) | Q_j : t \in [0, 1]\} = a_j^{\vee}([0, 1])$$

is a compact subset of W_i . The linear map

$$O_{j,t} \colon C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j) \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j), \ \gamma \mapsto (1 - \xi_j) \cdot \gamma + \xi_j \cdot S_{j,t}(\gamma)$$

is continuous for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Since multiplication operators are continuous linear and hence uniformly continuous, we see that

$$O_{j,t}(\gamma) \to \gamma$$

in $C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j)$ as $t \to 0$, uniformly in $\gamma \in K_j$. We therefore find $t_j \in [0, 1]$ such that $O_{j,t}(\gamma) \in C^{\ell}(Q_j, V_j)$ for all $t \in [0, t_j]$ and $\gamma \in K_j$. For $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in M$, we define

$$h_j(s,t,x) := \begin{cases} H_{j-1}(t,x) & \text{if } x \in M \setminus \text{supp}(\xi_j); \\ \theta_j^{-1}(x,\phi_j^{-1}(O_{j,s\cdot t}(a_j^{\vee}(t))(x))) & \text{if } x \in Q_j^0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the map

$$[0,1]^2 \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j), \quad (s,t) \mapsto O_{t \cdot t_j}(a_j^{\vee}(t))$$

is continuous, by Corollary 13.13 and Remark 14.8. Hence

$$[0,1]^2 \to C^{\ell}(Q_j^0, F_j), \quad (s,t) \mapsto \phi_j \circ \theta_{j,2} \circ h_j(s,t,\cdot)|_{Q_j^0}$$

is a continuous map and hence also

$$[0,1]^2 \to C^{\ell}(Q_j^0,N), \quad t \mapsto h_j(s,t,\cdot)|_{Q_j^0},$$

We find relatively compact, open subsets Z_a of $M \setminus \text{supp}(\xi_j)$ for some index set A which together with Q_j^0 form a locally finite cover of M. Since

$$[0,1]^2 \to C^{\ell}(Z_a,N), \quad (s,t) \mapsto h_j(s,t,\cdot)|_{Z_a}$$

is a constant map for each $a \in A$, the map

$$[0,1]^2 \to C^{\ell}(Q_j^0, N) \times \prod_{a \in A}{}^b C^{\ell}(Z_a, N), \ (s,t) \mapsto (h_j(s,t,\cdot)|_{Q_j^0}, (h_j(s,t,\cdot)|_{Z_a})_{a \in A})$$

is continuous. Hence $h_j^{\vee}: [0,1]^2 \to C^{\ell}(M,N)$, $(s,t) \mapsto h_j(s,t,\cdot)$ is continuous with respect to the Whitney C^{ℓ} -topology, by the description in (62). Since $h_j^{\vee}(0,t) = H_{j-1}(t,\cdot) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, we find $s_j \in [0,1]$ such that $h_j(s,t,\cdot) \in \Omega$ for all $s \in [0,s_j]$ and $t \in [0,1]$. Let $H_j := h_j(s_j,\cdot): [0,1] \times M \to N$; thus

$$H_{j}(t,x) := \begin{cases} H_{j-1}(t,x) & \text{if } x \in M \setminus \text{supp}(\xi_{j}); \\ \theta_{j}^{-1}(x,\phi_{j}^{-1}(O_{j,s_{j}}, t(a_{j}^{\vee}(t))(x))) & \text{if } x \in Q_{j}^{0}. \end{cases}$$

To see that H_j is a $C^{0,\ell}$ -map, recall that $H_j^{\vee}: [0,1] \to \Omega, t \mapsto H_j(t,\cdot) = h_j(s_j,t,\cdot)$ is continuous. As a consequence, the map

$$c_{i,j} \colon [0,1] \to C^{\ell}(Q_i, F_i), \ t \mapsto \phi_i \circ \theta_{i,2} \circ H_j(t, \cdot)|_{Q_i}$$

is continuous for all $i \in J$. Since Q_i is compact and hence locally compact, the Exponential Law shows that

$$c_{i,j}^{\wedge} \colon [0,1] \times Q_i \to F_i, \quad (t,x) \mapsto c_{i,j}(t)(x)$$

is a $C^{0,\ell}$ -map. By the Chain Rule, also

$$H_j|_{[0,1]\times Q_i} \colon [0,1]\times Q_i \to N, \ (t,x) \mapsto \theta_i^{-1}(x,\phi_i^{-1}(c_{i,j}^{\wedge}(t,x)))$$

is a $C^{0,\ell}$ -map, entailing that H_j is $C^{0,\ell}$.

We know that $H_j|_{[0,1]\times(M\setminus \text{supp}(\xi_j))} = H_{j-1}|_{[0,1]\times(M\setminus \text{supp}(\xi_j))}$ is $C^{r-\ell,\ell}$. Since $H_{j-1}|_{[0,1]\times Q_j}$ is $C^{r-\ell,\ell}$, also the map

$$b_j: [0,1] \times Q_j \to F_j, \ (t,x) \mapsto (\phi_j \circ \theta_{j,2} \circ H_{j-1})(t,x)$$

is $C^{r-j,\ell}$. Hence

$$b_j^{\vee}$$
: $]0,1] \to C^{\ell}(Q_j,F_j), \ t \mapsto b_j(t,\cdot)$

is $C^{r-\ell}$. As the mapping

$$]0,1] \to \mathcal{L}(C^{\ell}(Q_j,F_j), C^{\infty}(Q_j,F_j)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(C^{\ell}(Q_j,F_j), C^{\ell}(Q_j,F_j)), \ t \mapsto S_{j,s_j \cdot t}$$

is C^{∞} and hence $C^{r-\ell}$ by Lemma 14.7, we deduce with Corollary 13.13 that the map

$$[0,1] \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, F_j), \ t \mapsto S_{j,s_j \cdot t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))$$

and hence also the map $t \mapsto \xi_j \cdot S_{j,s_j \cdot t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))$ is $C^{r-\ell}$. Since Q_j is locally compact, using the Exponential Law we deduce that the second summand in

$$O_{j,s_j \cdot t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))(x) = (1 - \xi_j(x))b_j(t, x) + \xi_j(x)S_{j,s_j \cdot t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))(x)$$

is $C^{r-\ell,\ell}$ in $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times Q_j$; the first summand is $C^{r-\ell,\ell}$ since b_j is so. As a consequence, $H_j(t,x) = \theta_j^{-1}(x,\phi_j^{-1}(O_{j,s_j}\cdot t(b_j^{\vee}(t))(x)))$ is $C^{r-\ell,\ell}$ in $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times Q_j^0$.

Let $R_j := V \cup P_1^0 \cup \cdots \cup P_j^0$. We now show that $H_j|_{[0,1]\times R_j}$ is $C^{0,r}$ (if $r > \infty$), resp., C^{∞} (if $r = \infty$). Setting $R_{j-1} := V \cup P_1^0 \cup \cdots \cup P_{j-1}^0$, we know that $H_{j-1}|_{[0,1]\times R_{j-1}}$ is $C^{0,r}$ (if $r < \infty$), resp., C^{∞} (if $r = \infty$). Hence

$$H_{j}|_{[0,1]\times(R_{j-1}\setminus \text{supp}(\xi_{j}))} = H_{j-1}|_{[0,1]\times(R_{j-1}\setminus \text{supp}(\xi_{j}))}$$

is $C^{0,r}$ and C^{∞} , respectively. It remains to show that $H_j|_{[0,1]\times(R_j\cap Q_j^0)}$ is $C^{0,r}$ and C^{∞} , respectively. Like H_{j-1} , the map

$$(t,x) \mapsto (1-\xi_j(x))(\phi_j \circ \theta_{j,2} \circ b_j(t,x))$$
(65)

is $C^{0,r}$ (resp., C^{∞}) for $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times R_{j-1} \cap Q_j^0$ and also for $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times P_j^0$, as the map vanishes for the latter arguments. Hence (65) is a $C^{0,r}$ -map (resp., C^{∞} -map) $[0, 1] \times (R_j \cap Q_j^0) \to F_j$. We have shown that the first summand in

$$O_{j,s_j}(t)(x) = (1 - \xi_j(x))b_j(t, x) + \xi_j(x)S_{j,s_j}(t)b_j^{\vee}(t))(x)$$

is $C^{0,r}$ (resp., C^{∞}) in $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times (R_j \cap Q_j^0)$. We show that the second summand is $C^{0,r}$ (resp., C^{∞}) even for $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times Q_j$; as a consequence, $H_j(t,x) = \theta_j^{-1}(x,\phi_j^-(O_{j,s_j,t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))(x)))$ will be $C^{0,r}$ (resp., C^{∞}) in $(t,x) \in$ $[0,1] \times (R_j \cap Q_j)$, as required. The map $[0,1] \to \mathcal{L}(C^{\ell}(Q_j,F_j), C^{\infty}(Q_j,F_j)) \subseteq$ $\mathcal{L}(C^{\ell}(Q_j,F_j), C^r(Q_j,F_j)), t \mapsto S_{j,s_j,t}$ is C^{∞} by Lemma 14.7 and hence C^0 (resp., C^{∞}). Since b_j^{\vee} is $C^{r-\ell}$ and thus C^0 (if $r < \infty$) and C^{∞} (if $r = \infty$) respectively, we deduce with Corollary 13.13 that the map

$$[0,1] \rightarrow C^r(Q_j, F_j), t \mapsto S_{j,s_j \cdot t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))$$

and hence also the map $t \mapsto \xi_j \cdot S_{j,s_j \cdot t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))$ is C^0 and C^{∞} , respectively. Since Q_j is locally compact, using the Exponential Law we deduce that the map $]0,1] \times Q_j \to F_j, (t,x) \mapsto \xi_j(x)S_{j,s_j \cdot t}(b_j^{\vee}(t))(x)$ is $C^{0,r}$ and C^{∞} , respectively.

If n_0 is finite, we let $H := H_{n_0}$. If $n_0 = \infty$, given $j \in J_0 = \mathbb{N}$ we find $i_j > j$ such that

$$Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset$$
 for all $i \ge i_j$.

Thus $H_i|_{[0,1]\times Q_i^0} = H_{i_j}|_{[0,1]\times Q_i^0}$ for all $i \ge i_j$, showing that

$$H(t,x) := \lim_{i \to \infty} H_i(t,x)$$

exists for all $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times Q_j^0$. As j was arbitrary, we obtain a function $H: [0, 1] \times M \to N$. By the preceding,

$$H|_{[0,1] \times Q_j^0} = H_{i_j}|_{[0,1] \times Q_j^0}$$
 for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus $H(t, \cdot) \in \Gamma_{C^{\ell}}(M \leftarrow N)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, we see that H (like each H_{i_j}) is $C^{0,\ell}$. Also, if we set $W := V \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} P_j^0$, then $H|_{]0,1] \times W}$ is $C^{0,r}$ (if $r < \infty$) resp. C^{∞} (if $r = \infty$), and H has all of the asserted properties. \Box

15 Smoothing in algebraic topology

In this section, we compile some typical applications of smoothing results in algebraic topology. See also [58] and [46].

Smooth homotopies can be juxtaposed to smooth homotopies if they are constant near t = 0 and t = 1 (see 15.1(d)), as is well known. We shall use the following terminology for this standard idea.

15.1 (a) If X and Y are topological spaces, we say that a continuous map $F: [0,1] \times X \to Y$ is a homotopy with collar if there exists $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}[$ such that F(t,x) = F(0,x) for all $t \in [0,\varepsilon]$ and $x \in X$, and F(t,x) = F(1,x) for all $t \in [1-\varepsilon, 1]$ and x in X.

(b) If there exists a homotopy F from $\gamma: X \to Y$ to $\eta: X \to Y$, then there also exists a homotopy with collar from γ to η . To this end, pick a smooth function $\tau: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ with image in [0,1] such that $\tau|_{[0,\frac{1}{3}]} = 0$ and $\tau|_{[\frac{2}{3},1]} = 1$. Then

$$F^c: [0,1] \times X \to Y, \quad (t,x) \mapsto F(\tau(t),x)$$

has the desired properties. If F is a homotopy relative $A \subseteq X$, then also F^c .

(c) If X and Y are C^{∞} -manifolds with rough boundary and F is a homotopy with collar such that $F(0, \cdot)$ and $F(1, \cdot)$ are smooth, then F is smooth on $([0, \varepsilon[\cup]1 - \varepsilon, 1]) \times X$ for some $\varepsilon \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$. Also note that if F is smooth in the situation of (b), then also F^c is smooth.

(d) If $F, G: [0, 1] \times X \to Y$ are smooth homotopies with collar such that $G(0, \cdot) = F(1, \cdot)$, then the juxtaposed homotopy $F * G: [0, 1] \times X \to Y$, (F * G)(t, x) := F(2t, x) for $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, (F * G)(t, x) := G(2t - 1, x) for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ is smooth.

(e) Let X and Y be C^{∞} -manifolds with rough boundary and $\gamma, \eta, \zeta \colon X \to Y$ be smooth maps. If there exists a smooth homotopy F from γ to η and a smooth homotopy G from η to ζ , then $F^c * G^c$ is a smooth homotopy from γ to η . If both F and G are homotopies relative A for a subset $A \subseteq X$, then also $F^c * G^c$ is a homotopy relative A.

(f) Let (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) be pointed topological spaces. We call a continuous map $f: X \to Y$ a pointed map with collar if $f|_W = y_0$ for an x_0 -neighbourhood $W \subseteq X$.

(g) If X and Y are C^{∞} -manifolds with rough boundary, then a pointed map $(X, x_0) \to (Y, y_0)$ with collar is smooth on an open x_0 -neighbourhood.

(h) Let (Y, y_0) be a pointed topological space, X be a locally compact C^{∞} manifold with rough boundary and $x_0 \in X$. Then every pointed mapping $\gamma: (X, x_0) \to (Y, y_0)$ is homotopic relative $\{x_0\}$ to a pointed map with collar.

To see this, let $\phi: P \to Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a chart for X with $x_0 \in P$ such that $\phi(x_0) = 0$. After shrinking Q, we may assume that Q is convex. There exists a compact 0-neighbourhood $K \subseteq Q$ and a function $h \in C_c^{\infty}(Q, \mathbb{R})$ with image in [0,1] such that $h|_K = 1$. Abbreviate $\chi := 1 - h$ and $L := \operatorname{supp}(h)$. Then $F: [0,1] \times X \to Y$,

$$(t,x) \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma(x) & \text{if } x \in M \setminus \phi^{-1}(L);\\ \gamma(\phi^{-1}((1-t)\phi(x) + t\chi(\phi(x)) \cdot \phi(x))) & \text{if } x \in P \end{cases}$$

$$(66)$$

is a homotopy relative $\{x_0\}$ from γ to $\gamma^c := F(1, \cdot)$. Note that $\gamma^c|_Z$ is constant (with value x_0) on the x_0 -neighbourhood $Z := \phi^{-1}(K)$.

Example 15.2 Let N be a smooth manifold modelled on locally convex spaces, $n_0 \in N$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $e := (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{S}_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. Then we have:

(a) Every homotopy class $[\gamma] \in \pi_k(N, n_0) = [(\mathbb{S}_k, e), (N, n_0)]_*$ contains a smooth representative $\eta \colon \mathbb{S}_k \to N$.

(b) If two smooth maps $\alpha : \mathbb{S}_k \to N$ and $\beta : \mathbb{S}_k \to N$ with $\alpha(e) = \beta(e) = n_0$ are homotopic relative $\{e\}$, then there exists a smooth base-point preserving homotopy from α to β .

[To prove (a), let $\gamma: \mathbb{S}_k \to N$ be a continuous map such that $\gamma(e) = n_0$. By (h) and (g) in 15.1, we may assume that γ is smooth on an open neighbourhood Yof e in \mathbb{S}_k . Applying Corollary 14.6 to γ with $r = \infty$, $\ell = 0$, $A := M := \mathbb{S}_k$, $U := \mathbb{S}_k \setminus \{e\}$ and $\Omega := C(M, N)$, we find a homotopy $H: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}_k \to N$ from γ to a smooth map $\eta: \mathbb{S}_k \to N$ such that $H(t, e) = \gamma(e) = n_0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

(b) Let $\phi: P \to Q$ be a chart of \mathbb{S}_k such that $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ is convex, $e \in P$, and $\phi(e) = 0$. Let χ , K, and L be as in 15.1(h) (with $X := \mathbb{S}_k, Y := N$). Suppose that α and β are smooth maps $\mathbb{S}_k \to N$ with $\alpha(e) = \beta(e) = n_0$ such that their exists a continuous homotopy F relative $\{e\}$ from α to β . As the homotopy in (66), applied with α in place of γ , and the corresponding one for β are smooth, using 15.1(e) it suffices to find a smooth homotopy relative $\{e\}$ between α^c and β^c . Now F^c (as in 15.1(b)) is a homotopy relative $\{e\}$ with collar from α to β . Moreover, F^c is smooth on the open neighbourhood $Z := ([0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup]\frac{2}{3}, 1]) \times \mathbb{S}_k$ of

 $\{0,1\} \times \mathbb{S}_k$ in $[0,1] \times \mathbb{S}_k$. Now

$$G: [0,1] \times \mathbb{S}_k \to N, \ (t,x) \mapsto \begin{cases} F^c(t,x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{S}_k \setminus \phi^{-1}(L); \\ F^c(t,\phi^{-1}(\chi(\phi(x)) \cdot \phi(x))) & \text{if } x \in P \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy relative $\{e\}$ with colar from α^c to β^c such that $G|_{[0,1]\times\phi^{-1}(K)} = n_0$. Thus G is smooth on the open neighbourhood $Z \cup ([0,1]\times\phi^{-1}(K^0))$ of the closed subset $C := (\{0,1\}\times\mathbb{S}_k)\cup([0,1]\times\{e\})$ in $A := M := [0,1]\times\mathbb{S}_k$. Applying Corollary 14.6 with G in place of γ , $\Omega := C(M,N)$ and $U := ([0,1]\times\mathbb{S}_k)\setminus C$, we get a smooth function $\eta : [0,1]\times\mathbb{S}_k \to N$ such that $\eta|_C = G|_C$, whence $\eta(0,\cdot) = \alpha^c$, $\eta(1,\cdot) = \beta^c$, and $\eta(t,e) = n_0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Definition 15.3 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold N modelled on locally convex spaces is RC^{ℓ} -contractible if there exists a homotopy $F: [0,1] \times N \to N$ from id_N to the constant function $c_{x_0}: N \to N, x \mapsto x_0$ for some $x_0 \in N$, such that F is an RC^{ℓ} -map. If N is a C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary modelled on locally convex spaces and there exists a homotopy $F: [0,1] \times N \to N$ from id_N to the constant function $c_{x_0}: N \to N, x \mapsto x_0$ for some $x_0 \in N$, such that F is a C^{ℓ} -map, then N is called C^{ℓ} -contractible (or also smoothly contractible, if $\ell = \infty$).

Example 15.4 (a) If a σ -compact finite-dimensional smooth manifold N is contractible, then N is also smoothly contractible.

[Let $F: [0,1] \times N \to N$ be a homotopy from id_N to the constant function $c_{x_0}: N \to N, x \mapsto x_0$ for some $x_0 \in N$. Then F^c (as in 15.1(b)) is a homotopy with collar from id_N to c_{x_0} and smooth on $([0,\frac{1}{3}]\cup]\frac{2}{3},1]) \times M$. Applying Corollary 14.6 with $A := M := [0,1] \times N, \gamma := F^c, U :=]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}[\times M \text{ and } \Omega := C(M,N)$, we obtain a smooth function $\eta: [0,1] \times N \to N$ which coincides with F^c on $([0,\frac{1}{4}]\cup[\frac{3}{4},1]) \times N$ and thus is a homotopy from id_N to $c_{x_0}.]$

(b) If a singleton $\{x_0\}$ is a strong deformation retract of N, then there exists a smooth map $f: N \to N$ which is a strong deformation retraction to $\{x_0\}$.

[Let $\phi: P \to Q, \chi, K$, and L be as in 15.1(h), with X := Y := N. For $\gamma := \mathrm{id}_N$, the homotopy F from id_X to id_X^c defined in (66) is smooth and a homotopy relative $\{x_0\}$. If G is a homotopy relative $\{x_0\}$ from id_N to c_{x_0} , then also G^c is so. Moreover, G^c is smooth on the open neighbourhood $Z := ([0, \frac{1}{3}[\cup]\frac{2}{3}, 1]) \times N$ of $\{0, 1\} \times N$ in $[0, 1] \times N$. Now

$$J\colon [0,1] \times N \to N, \ (t,x) \mapsto \begin{cases} G^c(t,x) & \text{if } x \in N \setminus \phi^{-1}(L); \\ G^c(t,\phi^{-1}(\chi(\phi(x)) \cdot \phi(x))) & \text{if } x \in P \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy relative $\{x_0\}$ with colar from id^c to c_{x_0} such that $J|_{[0,1]\times\phi^{-1}(K)} = x_0$. Thus J is smooth on the open neighbourhood $Z \cup ([0,1] \times \phi^{-1}(K^0))$ of the closed subset $C := (\{0,1\}\times N) \cup ([0,1]\times \{x_0\})$ in $A := M := [0,1]\times N$. Applying Corollary 14.6 with J in place of γ , $\Omega := C(M,N)$, and $U := ([0,1]\times N) \setminus C$, we get a smooth function $\eta : [0,1] \times N \to N$ such that $\eta|_C = J|_C$, whence $\eta(0,\cdot) = \operatorname{id}^c_X, \eta(1,\cdot) = c_{x_0}$, and $\eta(t,e) = x_0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Let $H := \eta$. Now

 $F^c * H^c$ is a smooth homotopy relative $\{x_0\}$ from id_N to c_{x_0} .]

As before, let N be a σ -compact, finite-dimensional smooth manifold. Let $S \subseteq N$ be a submanifold which is a closed subset.

(c) If S is a retract of N, then there exists a smooth retraction $\eta: N \to S$.

[We shall use the fact that S has a tubular neighbourhood in N (see, e.g., [41]). Thus, there exists an open subset $T \subseteq N$ with $S \subseteq T$ and a C^{∞} -diffeomorphism $\psi: T \to B$ onto an open subset $B \subseteq E$ for some smooth vector bundle $\pi: E \to S$ such that B contains $0_x \in E_x$ for all $x \in S$. After shrinking B, we may assume²⁹ that $tv \in B$ for all $v \in B$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, enabling us to define the smooth map

$$[0,1] \times T \to T, \quad (t,x) \mapsto tx := \psi^{-1}(t\psi(x)).$$

Since N is a normal topological space, there exists a neighbourhood C of S in T which is closed in M. There exists $h \in C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$ with $h(N) \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq C$ such that such that $h|_{O} = 1$ for x for some open neighbourhood O of S in T. Set $\chi := 1 - h$. If $r : N \to S$ is a continuous retraction, then

$$\gamma \colon N \to S, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} r(x) & \text{if } x \in N \setminus \text{supp}(h); \\ r(\chi(x) \cdot x) & \text{if } x \in T \end{cases}$$

is a retraction and smooth on O, as $\gamma(x) = r(0.x) = \pi(\psi(x))$. Applying Corollary 14.6 with A := M := N, S in place of N, $U := N \setminus S$ and $\Omega := C(N, S)$, we get a smooth function $\eta \colon N \to S$ such that $\eta|_S = \gamma|_S$ and thus $\eta|_S = \mathrm{id}_S$. Moreover, there is a homotopy $H \colon [0,1] \times N \to S$ from γ to η such that $H(t, \cdot)|_S = \gamma|_S = \mathrm{id}_S$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $H|_{[0,1] \times N}$ is smooth, which will be useful in (d).]

(d) Let $j: S \to N$ be the inclusion map.

If S is a deformation retract of N, then there exists a smooth homotopy $[0,1] \times N \to N$ from id_N to $j \circ \rho$ for a retraction $\rho \colon N \to S$.

[Let the tubular neighbourhood and corresponding notation be as in the proof of (b). Then

$$R\colon [0,1] \times N \to N, \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in N \setminus \text{supp}(h);\\ \psi^{-1}((1-t)\psi(x) + t\chi(x)\psi(x)) & \text{if } x \in T \end{cases}$$

is a smooth homotopy relative S from id_M to $R(1, \cdot)$. Let $F: [0, 1] \times N \to N$ be a homotopy from id_N to $j \circ r$ for a retraction $r: N \to S$. Then

$$G: N \to N, \quad (t, x) \mapsto \begin{cases} F(t, x) & \text{if } x \in N \setminus \text{supp}(h); \\ F(t, \chi(x) \cdot x) & \text{if } x \in T \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy from $R(1, \cdot)$ to $j \circ \gamma$ for a retraction $\gamma \colon N \to S$ which is smooth on the tubular neighbourhood T of S in N. By the proof of (c), there is a homotopy

²⁹Each $x \in S$ has an open neighbourhood $U_x \subseteq S$ such that $V_x := \theta_x^{-1}(U_x \times B_x) \subseteq B$ for some local trivialization θ_x of E around x and some balanced, open 0-neighbourhood B_x in the typical fibre of the vector bundle at x. Replace B with the union of the V_x .

$$\begin{split} H\colon [0,1]\times N &\to S \text{ from } \gamma \text{ to a retraction } \rho:=H(1,\cdot) \text{ such that } H(t,\cdot)|_S=\mathrm{id}_S\\ \text{for all } t\in[0,1] \text{ and } H|_{]0,1]\times N} \text{ is smooth. Then } (R^c\ast G^c)\ast (j\circ H)^c\colon [0,1]\times N\to N\\ \text{ is a homotopy with collar from id}_N \text{ to } j\circ H(1,\cdot) \text{ which is smooth on the open}\\ \text{ neighbourhood } ([0,\frac{1}{4}[\times N)\cup (]\frac{2}{3},1]\times N) \text{ of the closed subset } C:=\{0,1\}\times N \text{ of }\\ A:=M:=[0,1]\times N. \text{ Applying Corollary 14.6 with } U:=M\setminus C, \ (R^c\ast G^c)\ast H^c\\ \text{ in place of } \gamma \text{ and } \Omega:=C(M,N), \text{ we obtain a smooth function } \eta\colon [0,1]\times N\to N\\ \text{ such that } \eta|_C=(R^c\ast G^c)\ast H^c|_C \text{ and thus } \eta(0,\cdot)=\mathrm{id}_N \text{ and } \eta(1,\cdot)=j\circ H(1,\cdot). \end{split}$$

(e) If S is a strong deformation retract of N, then there exists a smooth homotopy $[0,1] \times N \to N$ relative S from id_N to $j \circ \rho$ for a retraction $\rho: N \to S$.

[In fact, we can then choose F as a homotopy relative S from id_N to $j \circ r$ in the proof of (d). Then also G is a homotopy relative S. Since also R and H are homotopies relative S, so are R^c , G^c , H^c , and $(R^c * G^c) * H^c$. Moreover, $(R^c * G^c) * H^c$ is smooth on the open neighbourhood $([0, \frac{1}{4}[\times N) \cup ([0, 1] \times T) \cup (]\frac{3}{4}, 1] \times N)$ of the closed subset $C := (\{0, 1\} \times N) \cup ([0, 1] \times S)$ in $A := M := [0, 1] \times N$. Applying Corollary 14.6 with $U := M \setminus C$, $(R^c * G^c) * H^c$ in place of γ and $\Omega := C(M, N)$, we obtain a smooth function $\eta : [0, 1] \times N \to N$ such that $\eta|_C = (R^c * G^c) * H^c|_C$ and thus $\eta(0, \cdot) = \mathrm{id}_N$, $\eta(1, \cdot) = j \circ \rho$, and $\eta(t, x) = x$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in S$.]

(f) Let us give an easy example: If E is a locally convex space and $R \subseteq E$ a regular subset which is star-shaped, then R is RC^{∞} -contractible (in fact there exists a strong deformation retraction from R onto $\{x_0\}$ which is an RC^{∞} -map).

[We may assume that $x_0 = 0$. The map

$$H \colon R \times [0,1] \to R, \ (x,t) \mapsto tx$$

is smooth as a map to E and takes (t, x) in the interior $]0, 1[\times R^0$ of its domain to $tx \in tR^0 \subseteq R^0$; it therefore is an RC^{∞} -map. Moreover, H(0, x) = 0 for all $x \in R, H(1, x) = x$ and H(t, 0) = 0 for all $t \in [0, 1]$.]

We close with related results concerning pull-backs of vector bundles. In particular, we shall see that all smooth vector bundles over an RC^{∞} -contractible, rough C^{∞} -manifold are trivial. Our arguments vary those in [33, pp. 20–21], where finite-dimensional topological vector bundles over paracompact topological spaces are considered. We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 15.5 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces. Let a < b be real numbers and $\pi: E \to ([a, b] \times M)$ be a C^{ℓ} vector bundle over $[a, b] \times M$ whose typical fibre is a locally convex space F. If there exist real numbers $\alpha < \beta$ in]a, b[such that $E|_{[a,\beta[\times M]}$ and $E|_{]\alpha,b] \times M}$ are trivializable C^{ℓ} -vector bundles, then E is C^{ℓ} -trivializable.

Proof. Let $\theta_1: E|_{[a,\beta[\times M]]} \to [\alpha,\beta[\times M \times F \text{ and } \theta_2: E|_{]\alpha,b]\times M} \to]\alpha,b] \times M \times F$ be C^{ℓ} -trivializations, with second components $\theta_{1,2}$ and $\theta_{2,2}$, respectively. Then

$$]\alpha, \beta[\times M \times F \to]\alpha, \beta[\times M \times F, (t, x, y) \mapsto \theta_i(\theta_i^{-1}(t, x, y))$$
(67)

is a C^{ℓ} -diffeomorphism for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ and linear in the final argument. We let $g_{i,j}:]\alpha, \beta[\times M \times F \to F$ be the third component of the diffeomorphism in (67); thus

$$\theta_1(\theta_2^{-1}(t, x, y)) = (t, x, g_{1,2}(t, x, y))$$

Pick r < s in $]\alpha, \beta[$. There is a C^{ℓ} -map $\tau:]\alpha, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that τ is monotonically increasing, $\tau(t) = s$ for all $t \in [s, b]$ and $\tau(t) = t$ for all $t \in]\alpha, r]$. Define $\theta: E \to [a, b] \times M \times F$ via

$$\theta(v) := \begin{cases} \theta_1(v) & \text{if } t \in [a, r[; \\ (t, x, g_{1,2}(\tau(t), x, \theta_{2,2}(v)) & \text{if } t \in]\alpha, b] \end{cases}$$

for $v \in E$, with $(t,x) := \pi(v)$. Then θ is a C^{ℓ} -diffeomorphism, as we readily check that the inverse is the map taking $(t, x, y) \in [a, b] \times M \times F$ to $\theta_1^{-1}(t, x, y)$ and $\theta_2^{-1}(t, x, g_{2,1}(\tau(t), x, y))$ if $t \in [a, r[$ and $t \in]\alpha, b]$, respectively. Then θ is a global C^{ℓ} -trivialization for E.

Lemma 15.6 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M be a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces, a < b be real numbers, and $\pi \colon E \to [a, b] \times M$ be a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle over $[a, b] \times M$ whose typical fibre is a locally convex space F. Then each $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq M$ such that $E|_{[a,b] \times U}$ is C^{ℓ} -trivializable.

Proof. For each $s \in [a, b]$, there exists an open neighbourhood V_s of (s, x) in $[a, b] \times M$ such that $E|_{V_s}$ is C^{ℓ} -trivializable. We may assume that $V_s = J_s \times U_s$ for open subsets $J_s \subseteq [a, b]$ and $U_s \subseteq M$. Let $\delta > 0$ be a Lebesgue number for the open cover $(J_s)_{s \in [a,b]}$ of the compact metric space [a, b]. Pick $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$ such that $t_j - t_{j-1} < \delta/3$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Set $t_{-1} := t_0$ and $t_{n+1} := t_n$. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we find $s_j \in [a, b]$ such that

$$[t_{j-2}, t_{j+1}] \subseteq J_{s_j}$$

as the interval on the left has length $< \delta$. Then

$$U := U_{s_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{s_n}$$

is an open neighbourhood of x in M. A straightforward induction based on Lemma 15.5 shows that $E|_{[a,t_{j+1}]\times U}$ is C^{ℓ} -trivializable for all $j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Notably, $E|_{[a,b]\times U}$ is C^{ℓ} -trivializable.

If M is a rough C^{ℓ} -manifold and $\pi_j : E_j \to M$ are C^{ℓ} -vector bundle for $j \in \{1, 2\}$ with locally convex fibres, we call a map $f : E_1 \to E_2$ an *isomorphism of* C^{ℓ} vector bundles over id_M if f is a C^{ℓ} -diffeomorphism, $\pi_2 \circ f = \pi_1$ and the restriction of f to a map $(E_1)_x \to (E_2)_x$ is linear for all $x \in M$.

Proposition 15.7 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a C^{ℓ} -paracompact C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary modelled on locally convex spaces. Let a < b be real numbers and $\pi: E \to [a, b] \times M$ be a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle whose fibres are locally convex spaces. For $t \in [a, b]$, let $\lambda_t: M \to [a, b] \times M$ be the map $x \mapsto (t, x)$. Then there exists a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle isomorphism $\lambda_a^*(E) \to \lambda_b^*(E)$ over id_M . **Proof.** Abbreviate I := [a, b]. By Lemma 15.6, M admits a cover \mathcal{U} by open sets $U \subseteq M$ such that $E|_{I \times U}$ is C^{ℓ} -trivializable. By C^{ℓ} -paracompactness, we find a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity $(h_j)_{j \in J}$ on M such that $S(j) := \operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq U(j)$ for some $U(j) \in \mathcal{U}$, for each $j \in J$. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of finite subsets of J. For $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$, we define

$$h_{\Phi} := a + (b - a) \sum_{j \in \Phi} h_j \colon M \to I$$

and consider the pullback bundle $f_{\Phi}^*(E)$ over M determined by the C^{ℓ} -map $f_{\Phi} \colon M \to I \times M, x \mapsto (h_{\Phi}(x), x)$. Thus $f_{\emptyset} = \lambda_a$. For $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$, the interior

$$W(\Phi) := \{ x \in M \colon h_{\Phi}(x) = b \}^{\mathsf{C}}$$

is an open subset of M such that the open C^{ℓ} -vector subbundle $f_{\Phi}^{*}(E)|_{W(\Phi)}$ of $f_{\Phi}^{*}(E)$ coincides as a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle over $W(\Phi)$ with the open C^{ℓ} -vector subbundle $\lambda_{b}^{*}(E)|_{W(\Phi)}$ of $\lambda_{b}^{*}(E)$; thus

$$f_{\Phi}^*(E)|_{W(\Phi)} = \lambda_b^*(E)|_{W(\Phi)}$$

Likewise,

$$f_{\Phi}^*(E)|_{M\setminus S(\Phi)} = \lambda_a^*(E)|_{S(\Phi)}$$

With $S(\Phi) := \bigcup_{j \in \Phi} \operatorname{supp}(h_j)$. We now construct a family $(\psi_{\Phi})_{\Phi \in \mathcal{F}}$ of C^{ℓ} -vector bundle isomorphisms

 $\alpha_{\Phi} \colon \lambda_a^*(E) \to f_{\Phi}^*(E)$

over id_M such that, for all $\Phi, \Theta \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\Psi \subseteq \Theta$, we have

$$\alpha_{\Phi}(v) = \alpha_{\Theta}(v) \text{ for all } v \in \lambda_a^*(E)|_{W(\Phi)}.$$
(68)

Once this is accomplished, we get a well-defined map

$$\alpha \colon \lambda_a^*(E) \to \lambda_b^*(E)$$

if we send $v \in \lambda_a^*(E)$ to

$$\alpha(v) := \alpha_{\Phi}(v),$$

independent of the choice of $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $v \in \lambda_a^*(E)|_{W(\Phi)}$. By construction, α is an isomorphism of C^{ℓ} -vector bundles over id_M .

To construct the isomorphisms α_{Φ} , we fix a total order \leq on J (e.g., a wellordering). For $j \in J$, we let $\theta_j : E|_{I \times U(j)} \to I \times U(j) \times F(j)$ be a C^{ℓ} -trivialization of $E|_{I \times U(j)}$, with second component $\theta_{j,2} : E|_{I \times U(j)} \to F(j)$. We let α_{\emptyset} be the identity map $\lambda_a^*(E) \to \lambda_a^*(E)$. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ has n > 1 elements, we write $\Phi = \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ with $j_1 < \cdots < j_n$ and set $\Psi := \{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1}\}$. To define a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle isomorphism

$$\alpha_{\Phi,\Psi} \colon f_{\Psi}^*(E) \to f_{\Phi}^*(E) \tag{69}$$

over id_M , recall that the local trivialization θ_{j_n} of E yields local trivializations

$$\theta_{j_n}^{\Phi} \colon f_{\Phi}^*(E)|_{U(j_n)} \to U(j_n) \times F(j_n), \ (x,y) \mapsto (x,\theta_{j_n,2}(y))$$

 $\theta_{j_n}^{\Psi} \colon f_{\Psi}^*(E)|_{U(j_n)} \to U(j_n) \times F(j_n), \ (x,y) \mapsto (x,\theta_{j_n,2}(y))$

of the pullback bundles $f_{\Phi}^*(E)$ and $f_{\Psi}^*(E)$, respectively (for $x \in U(j_n)$ and $y \in E_{f_{\Phi}(x)}$, resp., $y \in E_{f_{\Psi}(x)}$). Note that

$$(\theta_{j_n}^{\Phi})^{-1}(x,z) = (x,\theta_j^{-1}(h_{\Phi}(x),x,z))$$

for $(x, z) \in U(j_n) \times F(j_n)$, and an analogous formula holds with Ψ in place of Φ . Since $h_{\Phi}(x) = h_{\Psi}(x)$ if $x \in U(j_n) \setminus S(j_n)$, we see that

$$(\theta_{j_n}^{\Phi})^{-1}|_{(U(j_n)\setminus S(j_n))\times F(j_n)} = (\theta_{j_n}^{\Psi})^{-1}|_{(U(j_n)\setminus S(j_n))\times F(j_n)}.$$
(70)

We define a map $\alpha_{\Phi,\Psi}$ as in (69) via $\alpha_{\Phi,\Psi}(v) := v$ if $v \in f_{\Psi}^*(E)|_{M \setminus S(j_n)}$ and

$$\alpha_{\Phi,\Psi}(v) := ((\theta_{j_n}^{\Phi})^{-1} \circ \theta_{j_n}^{\Psi})(v)$$

for $v \in f_{\Psi}^*(E)|_{U(j_n)}$; the map is well defined by (70). By construction, $\alpha_{\Phi,\Psi}$ is a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle isomorphism over id_M . Moreover,

$$Y := f_{\Phi}^*(E)|_{M \setminus S(j_n)} = f_{\Psi}^*(E)|_{M \setminus S(j_n)} \text{ and } \alpha_{\Phi,\psi}|_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y.$$

$$(71)$$

For $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, abbreviate

$$\Phi(k) := \{j_1, \ldots, j_k\}.$$

We obtain a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle isomorphism $\alpha_{\Phi} \colon \lambda_a^*(E) \to f_{\Phi}^*(E)$ over id_M via

$$\alpha_{\Phi} := \alpha_{\Phi(n), \Phi(n-1)} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{\Phi(1), \Phi(0)}.$$

Now let $\Phi, \Theta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\Phi \subseteq \Theta$. Write $\Theta = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_m$ and set $\Theta(k) := \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ for $k \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. Note that for each $i_k \in \Theta \setminus \Phi$, we have $S(i_k) \cap W(\Phi) = \emptyset$ and thus $\alpha_{\Theta(k),\Theta(k-1)}|_{W(\Phi)} = \text{id (cf. (71))}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\Theta}|_{W(\Phi)} &= \alpha_{\Theta(m),\Theta(m-1)}|_{W(\Phi)} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{\Theta(1),\Theta(0)}|_{W(\Phi)} \\ &= \alpha_{\Phi(n),\Phi(n-1)}|_{W(\Phi)} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{\Phi(1),\Phi(0)}|_{W(\Phi)} = \alpha_{\Phi}|_{W(\Phi)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Remark 15.8 (a) If t = a or t = b, then λ_t does not take M^0 inside the interior $]a, b[\times M^0$ of the range, so that λ_t is not an RC^{ℓ} -map. Thus, we cannot simply consider rough C^{ℓ} -manifolds instead of C^{ℓ} -manifolds with rough boundary in Proposition 15.7. Yet, the author expects the proposition to remain valid.

(b) If M is a C^{ℓ} -paracompact rough C^{ℓ} -manifold and $\pi \colon E \to I \times M$ is a C^{ℓ} -vector bundle for some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $[a, b] \subseteq I^0$, then we obtain the conclusion of Proposition 15.7 for $E|_{[a,b]\times M}$. In fact, we can pick $\alpha < a$ and $\beta > b$ with $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq I$ and repeat the proof of Proposition 15.7 with $I := [\alpha, \beta]$ in place of I := [a, b].

98

and

The following version of the Chain Rule will help us to create a situation as in Remark 15.8(b), and hence to dodge the problem described in Remark 15.8(a). The lemma also allows C^{∞} -manifolds with rough boundary to be replaced with rough C^{∞} -manifolds in parts (c), (d), and (e) of 15.1.

Lemma 15.9 Let X, E_1 , E_2 , and F be locally convex spaces, $R \subseteq X$ and $S \subseteq E_2$ be regular subsets, $V \subseteq E_1$ be a locally convex, regular subset, and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $g_1 \colon R \to V$ be a C^{ℓ} -map, $g_2 \colon R \to S$ be an RC^{ℓ} -map and $f \colon V \times S \to F$ be a C^{ℓ} -map. Then $h := f \circ (g_1, g_2) \colon R \to F$ is C^{ℓ} ; if $\ell \geq 1$, then

$$dh(x,y) = df(g_1(x), g_2(x), dg_1(x,y), dg_2(x,y))$$
 for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$. (72)

Proof. We may assume that $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and proceed by induction. For $\ell = 0$ the assertion holds as compositions of continuous maps are continuous. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume the assertion holds for $\ell - 1$ in place of ℓ . Abbreviate $g := (g_1, g_2) \colon R \to V \times S \subseteq E_1 \times E_2$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^0$, $y \in X$, and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with $x + ty \in \mathbb{R}^0$, we have

$$\frac{h(x+ty) - h(x)}{t} = (f|_{V \times R^0})^{[1]} \left(g(x), \frac{g(x+ty) - g(x)}{t}, t\right)$$
$$\to (f|_{V \times R^0})^{[1]} (g(x), dg(x, y), 0) = df(g(x), dg(x, y))$$

as $t \to 0$, showing that $h|_{R^0}$ is C^1 with dh(x, y) = df(g(x), dg(x, y)). As h is continuous and the right-hand side of (72) defines a continuous F-valued map on $R \times X$ which extends $d(h|_{R^0})$, we deduce that h is C^1 and (72) holds. Now

 $\phi \colon (V \times E_1) \times (S \times E_2) \to F, \ ((y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2)) \mapsto df((y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2))$

is $C^{\ell-1}$ and

 $dh = \phi \circ (Tg_1, Tg_2),$

where $Tg_1: R \times X \to V \times E_1$, $(x, y) \mapsto (g_1(x), dg_1(x, y))$ is $C^{\ell-1}$ and $Tg_2: R \times X \to S \times E_2$, $(x, y) \mapsto (g_2(x), dg_2(x, y))$ is $RC^{\ell-1}$. Thus dh is $C^{\ell-1}$, by the inductive hypothesis, and thus h is C^{ℓ} .

We conclude that vector bundles over a C^{ℓ} -contractible base are trivial, in larger generality than previously known. Recall that a paracompact, finite-dimensional C^{ℓ} -manifold M (without boundary) is C^{ℓ} -contractible if and only if it is contractible as a topological space (see Example 15.4(a) and its C^{ℓ} -analogue).³⁰

Corollary 15.10 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and M be a C^{ℓ} -paracompact, RC^{ℓ} -contractible rough C^{ℓ} -manifold modelled on locally convex spaces (or a C^{ℓ} -paracompact, C^{ℓ} contractible C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary modelled on locally convex spaces). Then every C^{ℓ} -vector bundle $E \to M$ with typical fibre a locally convex space Fis C^{ℓ} -isomorphic over id_M to the trivial bundle $M \times F$.

 $^{^{30}\}mathrm{If}\;M$ is contractible, then M is connected. Any paracompact, connected $C^\ell\text{-manifold}$ is $\sigma\text{-compact}.$

Proof. Let $H: [0,1] \times M \to M$ be an RC^{ℓ} -map (resp., a C^{ℓ} -map) which is a homotopy from id_M to the constant map $c_{x_0}: M \to M$ for some $x_0 \in M$. Using Lemma 15.9 (resp. the Chain Rule), we see that the corresponding homotopy with collar $H^c: [0,1] \times M \to M$ as in 15.1(b) is C^{ℓ} (as already observed in a special case in 15.1(c)). By Proposition 15.7 and Remark 15.8(b) (resp., by Proposition 15.7), the C^{ℓ} -vector bundles $E = H(\frac{1}{4}, \cdot)^*(E)$ and $H(\frac{3}{4}, \cdot)^*(E) \cong$ $M \times F$ are C^{ℓ} -isomorphic over id_M .

Using analogous arguments, it can be shown that all C^{ℓ} -fibre bundles over C^{ℓ} -contractible bases which are C^{ℓ} -manifolds with rough boundary (or RC^{ℓ} -contractible bases which are rough C^{ℓ} -manifolds) are C^{ℓ} -trivializable.

A Details for Sections 2, 3, and 9

We now prove Proposition 2.4, Lemma 2.14(c), Lemma 2.15, Lemma 3.6, and Lemma 9.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. See Proposition 1.3.10 and Lemma 1.4.5 in [29] for the equivalence of (a) and (b) if R is locally convex (or [20, Lemma 1.14] if R is open); the general case can be proved by the same arguments (see [27]).

Now assume that $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If (b) holds, then $\partial^{\alpha}(f|_{R^0})(x)$ exists for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n_0$ with $k := |\alpha| \leq \ell$ and $x \in R^0$, and is given by

$$\partial^{\alpha}(f|_{R^0})(x) = d^{(k)}f(x, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k})$$

with

$$(i_1, \dots, i_k) = (\underbrace{n, \dots, n}_{\alpha_n}, \cdots, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\alpha_1}).$$
(73)

A continuous extension $\partial^{\alpha} f \colon R \to F$ is obtained via

$$\partial^{\alpha}(f)(x) = d^{(k)}f(x, e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}),$$
(74)

and thus (c) holds. Now assume that (c) holds; we shall show that f is C^{ℓ} and

$$d^{(k)}f(x,y_1,\ldots,y_k) = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k=1}^n y_{1,i_1}\cdots y_{k,i_k} \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_k}\cdots \partial x_{i_1}}(x)$$
(75)

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k \leq \ell$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in R$ and $y_j = (y_{j,1}, \ldots, y_{j,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Let us assume first that R is open; we may assume that $R = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$ is a product of open intervals. Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $k := |\alpha| \leq \ell$ Let i_1, \ldots, i_k be as in (73). As a consequence of (c), the iterated directional derivatives

$$d^{(\alpha)}f(x, y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,\alpha_1}, \dots, y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,\alpha_n})$$

:= $(D_{y_{1,\alpha_1}e_1} \cdots D_{y_{1,1}e_1} \cdots D_{y_{n,\alpha_n}e_n} \cdots D_{y_{n,1}e_n}f)(x)$

exist for all $x \in R$ and $y = (y_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{1,\alpha_1}, \ldots, y_{n,1}, \ldots, y_{n,\alpha_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and are given by

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha_j} y_{j,i}\right) \partial^{\alpha} f(x),$$

which is a continuous functon of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Hence f is a C^{α} -function in the sense of [2]. Since f is C^{α} for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $|\alpha| \leq k$, the map f is C^k , by [2, Lemma 3.12] and a straightforward induction (see [37], also for generalizations).

If $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is any regular subset, then $f|_{R^0}$ is C^{ℓ} by the preceeding and

$$d^{(k)}(f|_{R^0})(x, y_1, \dots, y_k) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n y_{1,i_1} \cdots y_{k,i_k} \frac{\partial^k f|_{R^0}}{\partial x_{i_k} \cdots \partial x_{i_1}}(x)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k \leq \ell$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^0$ and $y_j = (y_{j,1}, \ldots, y_{j,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, using that $d^{(k)}(f|_{\mathbb{R}^0})(x, \cdot) \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to F$ is k-linear. Since (75) defines a continuous F-valued function of $(x, y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^k$ which extends $d^{(k)}(f|_{\mathbb{R}^0})$, we see that (b) is satisfied by f. \Box

Proof of Lemma 2.14(c). By definition as an initial topology, the compactopen C^{ℓ} -topology on $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ turns the linear injective map

$$C^{\ell}(R,F) \to \prod_{\mathbb{N}_0 \ni j \le \ell} C(R \times E^j,F), \quad \gamma \mapsto (d^{(j)}\gamma)_{\mathbb{N}_0 \ni j \le \ell}$$

into a topological embedding. For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $j \leq \ell$, the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{K \times L^j, q}$ with $q \in \Gamma, K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ define the locally convex topology on $C(R \times E^j, F)$ by 2.11. The locally convex topology on $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ is therefore defined by the seminorms $\gamma \mapsto \|d^{(j)}\gamma\|_{K \times L^j, q}$, and hence also by $\|\cdot\|_{K, q}$ and the pointwise suprema $\|\cdot\|_{C^k, K, L, q}$ of finitely many such seminorms, as in (c). \Box

Proof of Lemma 2.15. As a consequence of (74), we have

$$\|\cdot\|_{C^{j},K,q}^{\partial} \leq \|\cdot\|_{C^{j},K,L,q}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \leq \ell$, compact subsets $K \subseteq R$ and compact subsets $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and each continuous seminorm q on F, if we use a compact subset $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \subseteq L$. Conversely, given j, K, and q as before and $L \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists $r \in [1, \infty[$ such that $L \subseteq [-r, r]^n$. Using (75), we see that

$$\|d^{(j)}\gamma\|_{K\times L^{j},q} \leq n^{j}r^{j}\|\gamma\|_{C^{j},K,q}^{\partial}$$

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq \ell$, taking the maximum over $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and the estimate $\|\cdot\|_{K,q} \leq \|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,q}^{\partial}$, we deduce that

$$\|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,L,q} \le n^k r^k \|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,q}^{\partial}$$

The locally convex vector topologies on $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ defined by the families of seminorms in Lemma 2.14(c) and Lemma 2.15 therefore concide. As a consequence, the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology on $C^{\ell}(R, F)$ is also initial with respect to the maps ∂^{α} (arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.14(c), with ∂^{α} instead of the $d^{(j)}$). \Box

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Abbreviate $B := \overline{B}_1(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. If $K \in \mathcal{K}, q \in \Gamma, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq \ell$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then

$$\sup_{x \in K} \|\delta_x^j \gamma\|_q = \sup_{x \in K} \sup_{y \in B} q(\delta_x^j \gamma(y)) = \sup_{x \in K} \sup_{y \in B} q(d^{(j)} \gamma(x, y, \dots, y))$$
$$\leq \|d^{(j)} \gamma\|_{K \times B^j, q} \leq \|\gamma\|_{C^k, K, B, q}$$

for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$, whence

$$\|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,q} \le \|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,B,q}.$$

Conversely, let $K \in \mathcal{K}$, $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact, $q \in \Gamma$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq \ell$. Then $L \subseteq [-r, r]^d = \overline{B}_r(0)$ for some $r \in [1, \infty[$. For all $i \leq j$ in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$, we have

$$\underbrace{L + \dots + L}_{i} \subseteq \overline{B}_{ir}(0) = riB \subseteq rjB$$

for the j-fold sum. Using the Polarization Formula (7), we deduce that

$$q(d^{(j)}\gamma(x,y_1,\ldots,y_j)) \leq \frac{(2rj)^j}{j!} \|\delta_x^j\gamma\|_q \leq \frac{(2rk)^k}{k!} \|\gamma\|_{C^k,K,q}$$

for all $x \in K$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in L$, whence

$$\|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,L,q} \leq \frac{(2rk)^k}{k!} \|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,q}$$

The family of seminorms on $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ described in Lemma 3.6) therefore defines the same locally convex topology as the family of seminorms given in Lemma 2.14(c). \Box

Locally compact rough C^{ℓ} -manfolds are C^{ℓ} -regular in the following sense.

Lemma A.1 Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, M be a locally compact, rough C^{ℓ} -manifold, $x \in M$ and $U \subseteq M$ be an x-neighbourhood. Then there exists a C^{ℓ} -function $\xi \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support $\operatorname{supp}(\xi) \subseteq U$ such that $\xi(M) \subseteq [0,1]$ and $\xi(x) = 1$.

Proof. There exists a chart $\phi: U_{\phi} \to V_{\phi}$ of M with $x \in U_{\phi}$ and $U_{\phi} \subseteq U$, such that V_{ϕ} a regular subset of \mathbb{R}^d for some $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. As M is locally compact, we find a compact x-neighbourhood $B \subseteq U_{\phi}$; then $\phi(B)$ is a compact $\phi(x)$ neighbourhood in V_{ϕ} . As V_{ϕ} carries the topology induced by \mathbb{R}^d , we find an open x-neighbourhood $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$V_{\phi} \cap W \subseteq \phi(B).$$

Let $h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with compact support $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq W$ such that $h(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq [0,1]$ and $h(\phi(x)) = 1$. Then

$$\xi \colon M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} h(\phi(y)) & \text{if } y \in U_{\phi}; \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in M \setminus B \end{array} \right.$$

has the desired properties.

Proof of Lemma 9.1. For each $x \in M$, there exists $j(x) \in J$ such that $x \in U_{j(x)}$. By [17, Theorem 5.1.27], there exists a family $(M_a)_{a \in A}$ of σ -compact, open subsets M_a of M such that $M = \bigcup_{a \in A} M_a$ and $M_a \cap M_b = \emptyset$ for all $a \neq b$ in M. For each $a \in A$, we let

$$K_{a,1} \subseteq K_{a,2} \subseteq \cdots$$

be a compact exhaustion³¹ of M_a . We define $K_{a,0} := K_{a,-1} := \emptyset$. Let $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $x \in K_{a,n} \setminus K_{a,n-1}^0$, Lemma A.1 provides a C^{ℓ} -function $\xi_{a,n,x} \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ with image in [0, 1] such that

$$L_{a,n,x} := \operatorname{supp} \xi_{a,n,x} \subseteq (K_{a,n+1}^0 \setminus K_{a,n-2}) \cap U_{j(x)}$$

and $\xi_{a,n,x}(x) = 1$. The sets $\{y \in M : \xi_{a,n,x} > 0\}$ form an open cover of the compact set $K_{a,n} \setminus K^0_{a,n-1}$ for $x \in K_{a,n} \setminus K^0_{a,n-1}$. We therefore find a finite subset $\Phi_{a,n} \subseteq K_{a,n} \setminus K^0_{a,n-1}$ such that

$$\sum_{x \in \Phi_{a,n}} \xi_{a,n,x}(y) > 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in K_{a,n} \setminus K^0_{a,n-1}.$$

Let $I := \{(a, n, x) : a \in A, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \Phi_{a,n}\}$. Then the family $(L_{a,n,x})_{(a,n,x)\in I}$ of compact subsets of M is locally finite. In fact, if $z \in M$, then $z \in K_{a,n} \setminus K_{a,n-1}^0$ for some $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $W := K_{a,n+1}^0 \setminus K_{a,n-2}$ is an open neighbourhood of z in M such that

$$W \cap L_{b,m,y} \not\models \emptyset$$

for $(b, m, y) \in I$ implies a = b and $|n - m| \leq 2$, whence $\{(b, m, y) \in I : L_{b,m,y} \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set. Hence

$$s \colon M \to]0, \infty[, \quad z \mapsto \sum_{(b,m,y) \in I} \xi_{(b,m,y)}(z)$$

is a $C^\ell\text{-}\mathrm{function}$ and also

$$g_{a,n,x} \colon M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad z \mapsto \frac{\xi_{a,n,x}(z)}{s(z)}$$

is a C^{ℓ} -function for all $(a, n, x) \in I$. By construction, $(g_{a,n,x})_{(a,n,x)\in I}$ is a C^{ℓ} -partition of unity on M such that $\operatorname{supp}(g_{a,n,x}) = L_{a,n,x} \subseteq U_{j(x)}$ for all $(a, n, x) \in I$. Given $j \in J$, let

$$I_j := \{(a, n, x) \in I : j(x) = j\}.$$

³¹Each $K_{a,n}$ is a compact subset of M_a with $K_{a,n} \subseteq K^0_{a,n-1}$, and $M_a = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_{a,n}$.

Then

$$h_j \colon M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad h_j(z) := \sum_{(a,n,x) \in I_j} g_{a,n,x}(z)$$

is a C^{ℓ} -function and $(h_j)_{j \in J}$ is a C^{ℓ} -partiton of unity on M such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_j) = \bigcup_{(a,n,x) \in I_j} L_{a,n,x} \subseteq U_j$ for all $j \in J$. \Box

B Details for Section 11

We now prove Lemmas 11.2, 11.3, and 11.4.

Proof of Lemma 11.2. Let $\gamma \in C(X, F)$, $K \subseteq M$ be a compact subset, q be a continuous seminorm on F, and $\varepsilon > 0$. By hypothesis, there exists a closed, paracompact subset $L \subseteq M$ such that $K \subseteq L^0$. Each $x \in K$ has an open neighbourhood $V_x \subseteq L^0$ such that

$$(\forall y \in V_x) \quad q((\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)) \le \varepsilon.$$

We let $(h_x)_{x \in K}$, together with $g: L \to [0, 1]$, be a partition of unity on L such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_x) \subseteq V_x$ for each $x \in K$ and $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq L \setminus K$. By local finiteness, $A := \bigcup_{x \in K} \operatorname{supp}(h_x)$ is closed in L and hence in X; moreover, $A \subseteq L^0$. Thus

$$\eta \colon X \to F, \quad y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \sum_{x \in K} h_x(y) \gamma(x) & \text{ if } y \in L^0; \\ 0 & \text{ if } y \in X \setminus A \end{array} \right.$$

is a continuous function. Since $h_x(y) \neq 0$ implies $y \in V_x$, we get for $y \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} q(\gamma(y) - \eta(y)) &= q\left(\sum_{x \in K} h_x(y)(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x))\right) \leq \sum_{x \in K} h_x(y)q(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x)) \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in K} h_x(y)\varepsilon = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\gamma - \eta\|_{K,q} \leq \varepsilon$. \Box

Proof of Lemma 11.3. (a) For each $x \in K$, the linear map $\phi_x := \operatorname{id}_F$ is a C^r -diffeomorphism and thus a chart for F. Given an open neighbourhood $W \subseteq C_L^{\ell}(M, F)$ of γ in the compact-open C^{ℓ} -topology, we set $\gamma_0 := \gamma$ and find $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ as in the proof of Proposition 10.12, which we vary as described in the proof of Proposition 10.13. Then $\gamma_m \in W \cap C_L^r(M, F)$. We show that the subset

$$\gamma_i((M \setminus K) \cup P_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup P_{x_i})$$

of F has finite-dimensional span for all $j \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$. If this is true, then $\gamma_m(M)$ has finite-dimensional span and thus $\gamma_m \in F \otimes C_L^r(M, \mathbb{R})$ (using that $M = (M \setminus K) \cup P_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{x_m}$).

The induction starts as $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ and $\gamma(M \setminus K) \subseteq \{0\}$ has finite-dimensional span.

Let $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and assume that the assertion holds for j - 1 in place of j. Since γ_j and γ_{j-1} coincide on $M \setminus Q_{x_j}^0$, the image of

$$((M \setminus K) \cup P_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup P_{x_{j-1}}) \cap (M \setminus Q^0_{x_j})$$

under γ_j has finite-dimensional span. If $y \in P_{x_j}$ or

$$y \in ((M \setminus K) \cup P_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup P_{x_{j-1}}) \cap Q_{x_j}^0 =: S_j,$$

then $\gamma_j(y)$ is a linear combination of elements of $\eta_{j,b_j}(Q_{x_j}^0)$ and $\gamma_{j-1}(S_j)$, which are subsets of F with finite-dimensional span.

(b) is immediate from (a). \Box

Proof of Lemma 11.4. (a) As S is a projective system, $\lambda_{j,j} = \mathrm{id}_{E_j}$ for all $j \in J$ and $\lambda_{i,j} \circ \lambda_{j,k} = \lambda_{i,k}$ for $i \leq j \leq k$. The limit maps λ_j satisfy $\lambda_{i,j} \circ \lambda_j = \lambda_i$ for all $i \leq j$ in J and $(F, (\lambda_j)_{j \in J})$ has the familiar universal property. If

$$P := \left\{ (y_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} F_j \colon (\forall i \le j) \ \lambda_{i,j}(y_j) = y_i \right\}$$

is the standard projective limit of \mathcal{S} , then the map

$$\lambda \colon F \to P, \quad y \mapsto (\lambda_j(y))_{j \in J}$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces. For $i \in J$, let $\operatorname{pr}_i : P \to F_i$, $(y_j)_{j \in J} \mapsto y_i$ be the projection. As $C^{\ell}(\Omega, \lambda_{j,j})$ is the identity map on $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F_j)$ and $C^{\ell}(\Omega, \lambda_{i,j}) \circ C^{\ell}(\Omega, \lambda_{j,k}) = C^{\ell}(\Omega, \lambda_{i,k})$ if $i \leq j \leq k$, indeed (59) is a projective system of locally convex spaces and continuous linear maps. Let

$$Q := \left\{ (\gamma_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} C^{\ell}(\Omega, F_j) \colon (\forall i \le j) \ \lambda_{i,j} \circ \gamma_j = \gamma_i \right\}$$

be the standard projective limit of (59), endowed with initial topology with respect to the linear maps $\pi_i \colon P \to C^{\ell}(\Omega, F_i), (\gamma_j)_{j \in J} \mapsto \gamma_i$ (the topology induced by $\prod_{j \in J} C^{\ell}(\Omega, F_j)$). If $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$, then $\lambda_j \circ \gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F_j)$ for all $j \in J$ and $\lambda_{i,j} \circ (\lambda_j \circ \gamma) = \lambda_i \circ \gamma$ if $i \leq j$. Hence

$$\phi(\gamma) := (\lambda_j \circ \gamma)_{j \in J} \in Q$$

and we obtain a map $\phi: C^{\ell}(\Omega, F) \to Q$ which is continuous and linear as $\pi_i \circ \phi = C^{\ell}(\Omega, \lambda_i)$ is so for each $i \in I$. Like the maps pr_i on P, the $\lambda_i = \operatorname{pr}_i \circ \lambda$ separate points on F. This entails that the $C^{\ell}(\Omega, \lambda_i) = \pi_i \circ \phi$ separate points on $C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ and thus ϕ is injective. If $(\gamma_j)_{j \in J} \in Q$, then for all $x \in \Omega$ we have $\lambda_{i,j}(\gamma_j(x)) = \gamma_i(x)$ for all $i \leq j$ in J whence $(\gamma_j(x))_{j \in J} \in P$ and

$$\gamma(x) := \lambda^{-1} \left((\gamma_j(x))_{j \in J} \right) \in F$$

Consider $\eta: \Omega \to P$, $x \mapsto (\gamma_j(x))_{j \in J}$. As the components of η are C^{ℓ} , the map η is C^{ℓ} as a map to $\prod_{j \in J} F_j$ (see [29, Lemma 1.3.3]); as η takes its values

in the closed vector subspace P of the direct product, also η is C^{ℓ} (see [29, Lemma 1.3.19]). Hence also $\gamma = \lambda^{-1} \circ \eta$ is C^{ℓ} . For all $j \in J$ and $x \in \Omega$,

$$\phi(\gamma)(x) = \lambda_j(\phi(x)) = \operatorname{pr}_j(\lambda(\phi(x))) = \operatorname{pr}_j(\eta(x)) = \gamma_j(x).$$

Thus $\phi(\gamma) = (\gamma_j)_{j \in J}$, whence ϕ is surjective and an isomorphism of vector spaces. For $i \in I$ and continuous seminorms q on F_i , the seminorms $q_i \circ \lambda_i$ on Fare continuous and define its locally convex topology. As a consequence, the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{C^k, K, q \circ \lambda_i}$ define the locally convex topology on $C^\ell(\Omega, F)$, for $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq \ell$. Recall that $\phi^{-1}((\gamma_j)_{j \in J})(x) = \lambda^{-1}((\gamma_j(x))_{j \in J})$, whence $(\lambda_i \circ \phi^{-1}((\gamma_j)_{j \in J}))(x) = (\operatorname{pr}_i \circ \lambda \circ \lambda^{-1})((\gamma_j(x))_{j \in J} = \gamma_i(x)$ and thus

$$(\lambda_i \circ \phi^{-1})((\gamma_j)_{j \in J} = \gamma_i = \pi_i((\gamma_j)_{j \in J})$$

As a consequence, $\|\phi^{-1}((\gamma_j)_{j\in J})\|_{C^k,K,q\circ\lambda_i} = \|\pi_i((\gamma_j)_{j\in J})\|_{C^k,K,q}$ and thus $\|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,q\circ\lambda_i} \circ \phi^{-1} \leq \|\cdot\|_{C^k,K,q} \circ \pi_i$, where the right-hand side is a continuous seminorm on Q. Hence ϕ^{-1} is continuous.

(b) If $S \subseteq \prod_{q \in \Gamma} C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, \tilde{F}_q)^{\bullet}$ is the standard projective limit, with projections $\pi_q \colon S \to C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, \tilde{F}_q)^{\bullet}$. then $\psi(\gamma) \coloneqq (\tilde{\alpha}_q \circ \gamma)_{q \in \Gamma} \in S$ for each $\gamma \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$. The map $\psi \colon C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet} \to S$ so obtained is linear, and continuous as $\| \cdot \|_{\|\cdot\|_q, f, k} \circ \pi_q \circ \psi = \| \cdot \|_{q, f, k} \leq \| \cdot \|_{q, f, k}$. It is injective as the $\tilde{\alpha}_q$ separate points on F. Write ψ^{-1} as a shorthand for $(\psi|^{\operatorname{im}(\phi)})^{-1}$. Since $\| \cdot \|_{q, f, k} \circ \psi^{-1} = \| \cdot \|_{\|\cdot\|_q, f, k} \circ \pi_q \leq \| \cdot \|_{\|\cdot\|_q, f, k} \circ \pi_q$, the map ψ^{-1} is continuous. If $(\gamma_q)_{q \in \Gamma} \in S$, then $(\gamma_q)_{q \in \Gamma}$ is also an element of the standard projective limit Q of $((C^{\ell}(\Omega, \tilde{F}_q)_{q \in \Gamma}, (C^{\ell}(\Omega, \tilde{\alpha}_{p,q}))_{p \leq q})$, as in (a). By (a), there exists $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(\Omega, F)$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}_q \circ \gamma = \gamma_q$ for all $q \in \Gamma$. Then $\alpha_q \circ \gamma \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, F_q)^{\bullet}$ actually. Thus $\gamma \in C_{\mathcal{W}}^{\ell}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet}$ and $\psi(\gamma) = (\tilde{\alpha}_q \circ \gamma)_{q \in \Gamma} = (\gamma_q)_{q \in \Gamma}$, whence ψ is surjective and hence an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

Note that the weighted function spaces and their topologies are unchanged if we add the functions

 $|f_1| + \dots + |f_n|$

to the set \mathcal{W} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{W}$. By [61, Lemma 3.4.9], $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, \widetilde{E}_q)^{\bullet}$ is a closed vector subspace of a certain locally convex space $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, \widetilde{E}_q)$, which is complete by [61, Corollary 3.2.11]. Hence S is complete, being a closed vector subspace of a direct product of complete locally convex spaces. As a consequence, also $C^{\ell}_{\mathcal{W}}(\Omega, F)^{\bullet} \cong S$ is complete. \Box

C Details for Section 12

We prove Proposition 12.1 and Proposition 12.4.

Proof of Proposition 12.1. Let d be a metric on X defining its topology.

For $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, write $B_{\varepsilon}(x) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. There are positive integers $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$ such that

$$B_{2/m_n}(K_n) := \bigcup_{x \in K_n} B_{2/m_n}(x) \subseteq K_{n+1}^0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $(h_{n,x})_{x \in X}$ be a continuous partition of unity on X such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_{n,x}) \subseteq B_{1/m_n}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then

$$\Phi_n := \{ x \in X \colon \operatorname{supp}(h_{n,x}) \cap K_n \neq \emptyset \}$$

is a finite set. For $\gamma \in C(X, F)$, we set

$$S_n(\gamma) := \sum_{x \in \Phi_n} h_{n,x} \gamma(x) \in F \otimes C_c(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

If $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \cap K_n \neq \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subseteq B_{2/m_n}(K_n) \subseteq K_{n+1}^0$, by the triangle inequality. Hence

$$\operatorname{supp}(S_n(\gamma)) \subseteq K_{n+1}^0$$

If q is a continuous seminorm on F, then

$$q(S_n(\gamma)(y)) \le \sum_{x \in \Phi_n} h_{n,x}(y)q(\gamma(x)) \le \|\gamma\|_{K_{n+1},q} \sum_{x \in \Phi_n} h_{n,x}(y) \le \|\gamma\|_{K_{n+1},q}$$

for all $y \in X$, whence $||S_n(\gamma)||_{K_{j,q}} \leq ||\gamma||_{K_{n+1,q}}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $S_n \colon C(X, F) \to C(X, F)$ is continuous. Let $B \subseteq C(X, F)$ be a compact set. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a continuous seminorm q on F and $\varepsilon > 0$, we use that the map

$$B \times K_{n+1} \to F, \quad (\gamma, y) \mapsto \gamma(y)$$

is continuous and hence uniformly continuous, by compactness of $B \times K_{n+1}$. As a consequence, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$q(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x)) \le \varepsilon$$

for all $\gamma \in B$ and $x, y \in K_{n+1}$ such that $d(x, y) \leq \delta$. Let $j_0 \geq n$ be an integer such that $1/m_{j_0} \leq \delta$. Let $j \geq j_0$. For each $\gamma \in B$, $y \in K_n$, and $x \in \Phi_j$, if $h_{j,x}(y) \neq 0$ then $y \in B_{1/m_j}(x)$ and thus $q(\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)) \leq \varepsilon$. Now $\sum_{x \in \Phi_j} h_{j,x}(y)$ for all $y \in K_n$ (as this actually holds for all $y \in K_j$) and hence

$$q(\gamma(y) - S_j(\gamma)(y)) = q\left(\sum_{x \in \Phi_j} h_{j,x}(y)\gamma(y) - \sum_{x \in \Phi_j} h_{j,x}(y)\gamma(x)\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{x \in \Phi_j} \underbrace{h_{j,x}(y)q(\gamma(y) - \gamma(x))}_{\leq h_{j,x}(y)\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus $\|\gamma - S_j(\gamma)\|_{K_n,q} \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\gamma \in B$ and $j \geq j_0$, whence $S_j \to \text{id uniformly}$ on compact sets. Thus (a) and (b) are established.

To prove (c), let $\gamma \in C_{K_n}(X, F)$ and $j \geq n$. Then $\gamma(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in \Phi_j$ implies that $x \in K_n$, whence $\operatorname{supp}(h_{j,x}) \subseteq B_{1/m_j}(K_n) \subseteq B_{2/m_n}(K_n) \subseteq K_{n+1}^0$. Thus $\operatorname{supp}(S_j(\gamma)) \subseteq K_{n+1}^0$ and thus $S_j(\gamma) \in C_{K_{n+1}}(X, F) \cap (F \otimes C_{K_{j+1}}(X, \mathbb{R})) =$ $F \otimes C_{K_{n+1}}(X, \mathbb{R})$. \Box

C.1 Recall that a topological sum (disjoint union) $X = \coprod_{j \in J} X_j$ of topological spaces X_j is metrizable if every X_j is so (see [17, Theorem 4.2.1]).

C.2 If X is a paracompact topological space and $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ a locally finite open cover of X, then there exists a locally finite open cover $(V_j)_{j \in J}$ of X such that $\overline{V_j} \subseteq U_j$ holds for the closure in X, for each $j \in J$.

[Given $x \in X$, there exists $j(x) \in J$ such that $x \in U_{j(x)}$. Since every paracompact space is normal and hence regular, there exists a closed x-neighbourhood A_x such that $A_x \subseteq U_{j(x)}$. By paracompactness, there exists a locally finite open cover $(W_x)_{x \in X}$ of X such that $W_x \subseteq A_x^0$ for each $x \in X$. Thus

$$\overline{W_x} \subseteq A_x \subseteq U_{j(x)}$$

For $j \in J$, let $\Phi_j := \{x \in X : j(x) = j\}$. Then $V_j := \bigcup_{x \in \Phi_j} W_x \subseteq U_j$, whence the open cover $(V_j)_{j \in J}$ is locally finite; moreover, $\overline{V_j} = \bigcup_{x \in \Phi_j} \overline{W_x} \subseteq U_j$.

Proof of Proposition 12.2. Since X is a topological sum of σ -compact open subsets, we may assume that X is σ -compact. Since X is a topological sum of the open subsets admitting \mathbb{R}^d -charts for a fixed d, we may assume that X is a pure manifold modelled on \mathbb{R}^d .

We choose a locally finite open cover $(U_j)_{j\in J}$ of M subordinate to an open cover of relatively compact chart domains. Thus, for each each $j \in J$ there exists a C^{ℓ} -diffeomorphism $\phi_j: U_j \to V_j$ onto a regular subset $V_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Using C.2 twice, we find locally finite open covers $(Q_j)_{j\in J}$ and $(P_j)_{j\in J}$ of X such that $\overline{Q_j} \subseteq U_j$ and $\overline{P_j} \subseteq Q_j$. Then $\overline{Q_j}$ and $\overline{P_j}$ are compact. After replacing J with the set of all $j \in J$ such that $P_j \neq \emptyset$, we may assume that $P_j \neq \emptyset$ for all $j \in J$. We pick a C^{ℓ} -map $g_j \in C^{\ell}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(g_j) \subseteq Q_j, g_j(M) \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $g_j|_{\overline{P_j}} = 1$ (cf. Lemma 9.1). Moreover, we choose a C^{ℓ} -map $h_j \in C^{\ell}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq U_j, h_j(M) \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $h_j|_{\overline{Q_j}} = 1$. Then

$$f_j \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} (h_j(x)\phi_j(x), g_j(x)) & \text{if } x \in U_j; \\ (0,0) & \text{if } x \in M \setminus \operatorname{supp}(h_j) \end{cases}$$

is a $C^\ell\text{-}\mathrm{function.}$ Hence

$$f := (f_j)_{j \in J} \colon M \to (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})^J, \quad x \mapsto (f_j(x))_{j \in J}$$

is a continuous function. Since M is σ -compact, the set J is countable and thus $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})^J$ is metrizable in the product topology. The proof will be complete if we can show that f is a topological embedding. To see that f is injective, let $x, y \in M$ such that f(x) = f(y). There is $j \in J$ such that $x \in P_j$. Then f(x) = f(y) implies that $1 = g_j(x) = g_j(y)$, whence $y \in \text{supp}(g_j) \subseteq Q_j$ and thus $h_j(y) = 1 = h_j(x)$. Now $\phi_j(x) = f_j(x) = f_j(y) = \phi_j(y)$ implies that x = y. It remains to show that f is open onto its image, which will hold if we can show that f(U) is open in f(M) for each $j \in J$ and open subset $U \subseteq P_j$. Let $\text{pr}_j: (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})^J \to \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ be the projection onto the *j*th component. Then

$$f(U) = f(M) \cap \operatorname{pr}_{i}^{-1}(\phi_{j}(U) \times]0, \infty[)$$

is indeed open in f(U). \Box

We can say more for manifolds modelled on locally convex sets. Recall that a continuous map $X \to Y$ between Hausdorff spaces is called *proper* if all preimages of compact subsets of Y are closed in X. If Y is a k-space, then every proper map is a closed mapping, viz., each closed subset of X has a closed image in Y (see [51]).

Proposition C.3 Let M be a σ -compact, locally compact C^{ℓ} -manifold with rough boundary, with $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. If M is modelled on \mathbb{R}^d , then there exists a C^{ℓ} -map $M \to \mathbb{R}^{2d+2}$ which is a proper map and a topological embedding.

The following lemma is useful for the proof (cf. [34, Lemma after Theorem 1.3.5] for manifolds without boundary). We let λ_n be Lebesgue-Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma C.4 Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and M be a σ -compact, locally compact C^1 -manifold with rough boundary, such that M is modelled on \mathbb{R}^m .

- (a) If n > m and $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^1 -map, then f(M) is a Borel set of measure $\lambda_n(f(M)) = 0$.
- (b) If $g: M \to N$ is a C^1 -map to a C^1 -manifold N with rough boundary modelled on \mathbb{R}^n with n > m, then $N \setminus f(M)$ is dense in N.

Proof. (a) For each $x \in M$, there exists a chart $\phi_x \colon U_x \to V_x$ such that $x \in U_x$ and V_x is a locally convex subset of \mathbb{R}^m with dense interior. There exists a compact, convex neighbourhood K_x of $\phi_x(x)$ in V_x . Then $f \circ \phi_x^{-1}|_{K_x} \colon K_x \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^1 -map on a compact, convex, regular subset and thus Lipschitz continuous, (see, e.g., [29, Lemma 1.5.6]). The image $f(\phi_x^{-1}(K_x))$ is compact and hence a Borel set. Since m < n, a standard covering argument with balls shows that $\lambda_n(f(\phi_x^{-1}(K_x))) = 0$. As M is σ -compact, there exists a countable subset $\Phi \subseteq M$ such that $M = \bigcup_{x \in \Phi} \phi_x^{-1}(K_x)$. Then $f(M) = \bigcup_{x \in \Phi} f(\phi_x^{-1}(K_x))$ is a Borel set and $\lambda_n(f(M)) = 0$.

Let $\phi: U \to V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a chart for N and $W := g^{-1}(U)$. By (a), $\phi(g(W))$ is a Borel set of measure $\lambda_n(g(W)) = 0$, whence $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \phi(g(W))$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n . As a consequence, $V^0 \setminus \phi(g(W))$ is dense in V^0 and hence in V, whence $V \setminus \phi(g(W))$ is dense in V. Thus $U \setminus g(W)$ is dense in U. The assertion follows. \Box

Proof of Proposition C.3. Let $J, \phi_j : U_j \to V_j, Q_j, P_j, f_j, g_j, h_j$, and f be as in the proof of Proposition 12.2. Let $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ be a compact exhaustion of M and set $K_{-1} := K_0 := \emptyset$.

(a) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a C^{ℓ} -map $\theta_n \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\theta_n(M) \subseteq [0,1]$, $\theta_n|_{K_{n-1}} = 0$ and $\theta_n|_{M \setminus K_n^0} = 1$ (cf. Lemma 9.1). Then $\theta(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n(x)$ defines a non-negative C^{ℓ} -map $\theta \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

 $\theta(x) \in [n-1, n]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in K_n \setminus K_{n-1}$.

Hence, if $\theta(x) \in [n-1, n]$, then $x \in K_n \setminus K_{n-2}$. By the preceding, θ is proper. (b) It suffices to find an injective C^{ℓ} -map $g \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$.

In fact, then $(g, \theta) \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{2d+2}$ will be an injective C^{ℓ} -map and proper, hence a closed map and therefore a topological embedding.

(c) If $W \subseteq M$ is open and $h: M \to \mathbb{R}^m$ a C^{ℓ} -map with $m \geq 2d + 2$ such that $h|_W$ is injective, then there exists a C^{ℓ} -map $g: M \to \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ with $g|_W$ injective. In fact, the map

$$\kappa \colon (W \times W) \setminus \Delta_W \to \mathbb{S}_{m-1}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \frac{h(x) - h(y)}{\|h(x) - h(y)\|_2}$$

is C^{ℓ} , where $\Delta_W := \{(x, x) \colon x \in W\}$. By Lemma C.4, there exists $v \in \mathbb{S}_{m-1}$ which is not in the image of κ , nor in the image of $-\kappa$ (as this union of images can be interpreted as the image of a C^{ℓ} -map on the disjoint union of two copies of $(W \times W) \setminus \Delta_W$). Hence, if we write π_v for the orthogonal projection

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}v \oplus (\mathbb{R}v)^{\perp} \to (\mathbb{R}v)^{\perp}.$$

then $\pi_v(h(x) - h(y)) \neq 0$ for all $x \neq y$ in W and thus $\pi_v(h(x)) \neq \pi_v(h(y))$. We can therefore take $g := \pi_v \circ h$, identifying $(\mathbb{R}v)^{\perp}$ with \mathbb{R}^{m-1} .

(d) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $J_n := \{j \in J : \operatorname{supp}(h_j) \cap K_{n+1} \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite. Since $f_j|_{K_{n+1}} = 0$ for $j \in J \setminus J_n$, we see that $(f_j)_{j \in J_n} : M \to (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})^{J_n}$ is a C^{ℓ} -map whose restriction to K_{n+1} is injective. Thus, there exists a C^{ℓ} -map $\psi_n : M \to \mathbb{R}^{k_n}$ for an integer $k_n \geq 2d + 1$ such that $\psi_n|_{K_{n+1}^0}$ is injective. Iterating (c), we may assume that $k_n = 2d + 1$.

(e) We claim that there exists an injective C^{ℓ} -map $h: M \to \mathbb{R}^{6d+4}$. If this is true, then (c) yields an injective C^{ℓ} -map $g: M \to \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$; by (b), this finishes the proof. To prove the claim, let $L_{-3} := L_{-2} := L_{-1} := L_0 := \emptyset$ and recursively find $\chi_n \in C_c^{\ell}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\chi_n|_{K_n \setminus K_{n-2}^0} = 1$, $\chi_n(M) \subseteq [0, 1]$, and

$$L_n := \operatorname{supp}(\chi_n) \subseteq K_{n+1}^0 \setminus (L_{-2} \cup \dots \cup L_{n-3}) \subseteq K_{n+1}^0 \setminus K_{n-3}$$

Then $L_n \cap L_m \neq \emptyset$ implies $|n - m| \leq 2$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^{\ell}(M, \mathbb{R}^{2d+1})$ via

$$\alpha(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{3k-2}(x)\psi_{3k-2}(x), \quad \beta(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{3k-1}(x)\psi_{3k-1}(x),$$

and $\gamma(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{3k}(x) \psi_{3k}(x)$ for $x \in M$. Then

$$h := (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d+1} \times \mathbb{R}$$

is a C^{ℓ} -map and injective. In fact, assume that h(x) = h(y), with $x, y \in M$. Then $\theta(x) = \theta(y) \in [n-1, n[$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence $x, y \in K_n \setminus K_{n-2}$ (see (a)) and thus $\chi_n(x) = 1 = \chi_n(y)$. Assume that $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$ (if $n \equiv 1$ or $n \equiv 2 \mod 3$, use α and β , respectively, in place of γ). Thus n = 3k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\psi_n(x) = \psi_{3k}(x) = \gamma(x) = \gamma(y) = \psi_{3k}(y) = \psi_n(y)$ implies x = y. \Box

Of course, the preceding discussion varies familiar arguments from the proof of the Whitney Embedding Theorem (notably, cf. Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 in [34]).

Proof of Proposition 12.4. If $\ell = 0$, we can construct the operators S_n as in the proof of Proposition 12.1, replacing all continuous partitions of unity occurring in the proof with C^r -partitions of unity. Now assume that $\ell \geq 1$. Excluding a trivial case, we may assume that $M \neq \emptyset$. Let $K_0 := \emptyset$. Let $m_0 := 0$. Recursively, we find positive integers $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots$ and full-dimensional compact submanifolds Q_j with corners of $K_{n+1}^0 \setminus K_{n-1}$ for $j \in \{m_{n-1}+1,\ldots,m_n\}$ such that Q_j is diffeomorphic to $[0,1]^{d_j}$ for some $d_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and regular compact subsets $P_j \subseteq Q_j^0$ whose interiors P_j^0 cover

$$K_n \setminus (P_1^0 \cup \dots \cup P_{m_{n-1}}^0)$$

for $j \in \{m_{n-1} + 1, \dots, m_n\}$.

[In fact, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and m_0, \ldots, m_{n-1} and P_j as well as Q_j have been found for $j \in \{1, \ldots, m_{n-1}\}$, let $\kappa_{n,x} \colon B_{n,x} \to D_{n,x}$ be a chart for M around $x \in K_n \setminus (P_1^0 \cup \cdots \cup P_{m_{n-1}}^0)$ such that $D_{n,x}$ is a relatively open subset of $[0, \infty[d^{(n,x)}]$ for some $d(n, x) \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For suitable $0 \leq \alpha_i < \beta_i$ (depending on n and x), we have that

$$C_{n,x} := [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times \cdots \times [\alpha_{d(n,x)}, \beta_{d(n,x)}] \subseteq D_{n,x}.$$

and $\kappa_{n,x}(x)$ is in the interior $C_{n,x}^0$ of $C_{n,x}$ relative $D_{n,x}$. Then $Q_{n,x} := \kappa_x^{-1}(C_x)$ is compact and a full-dimensional submanifold with corners in M which is diffeomorphic to $[0,1]^d$, and such that $x \in Q_{n,x}^0 = \kappa_{n,x}^{-1}(C_{n,x}^0)$. Let $P_{n,x} \subseteq Q_{n,x}^0$ be a compact x-neighbourhood. By compactness, there is a finite subset Ψ_n of $K_n \setminus (P_1^0 \cup \cdots \cup P_{m_{n-1}}^0)$ with

$$K_n \setminus (P_1^0 \cup \dots \cup P_{m_{n-1}}^0) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \Psi_n} P_{n,x}^0.$$

Write $x_{m_{n-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{m_{n+1}}$ for the elements of Ψ_n (without repetitions) and abbreviate $Q_j := Q_{n,x_j}$ and $P_j := P_{n,x_j}$ for $j \in \{m_{n-1}+1, \ldots, m_n\}$.]

Let $n_0 := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K_n \setminus K_{n-1} \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Also, define $m := \sup\{m_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \ \Phi := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \leq m\}$, and the set $\Phi_n := \{m_{n-1} + 1, \ldots, m_n\}$. Let $(h_j)_{j \in \Phi}$ be a C^r -partition of unity on M such that $L_j := \operatorname{supp}(h_j) \subseteq P_j^0$ for each $j \in \Phi$ (see Lemma 9.1). By Lemma 12.3, for

each $j \in \Phi$ there exists a sequence $(S_{j,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous linear operators $S_{j,k} \colon C^{\ell}(Q_j, F) \to C^r(Q_j, F)$ such that $S_{j,k}(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^r(Q_j, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(Q_j, F)$ and $S_{j,k}(\gamma) \to \gamma$ in $C^{\ell}(Q_j, F)$ as $k \to \infty$, uniformly for γ in compact subsets of $C^{\ell}(Q_j, F)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \Phi$, let $H_{n,j} \colon C^{\ell}(M, F) \to C^r_{L_j}(M, F)$ be the map given by

$$H_{n,j}(\gamma)(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in M \setminus L_j, \\ h_j(x)S_{n,j}(\gamma|Q_j) & \text{if } x \in Q_j^0 \end{cases}$$

for $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(M, F)$ and $x \in M$. Then $H_{n,j} \in F \otimes C^r_{L_j}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\gamma \in C^{\ell}(M, F)$, entailing that

$$S_n(\gamma) := \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} H_{n,j}(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^r_{K_{n+1}}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

(as required in (b)), using that $L_j \subseteq K_{n+1}$ for all $j \leq m_n$. To see that each $H_{j,n}$ (and hence also $S_n \colon C^{\ell}(M, F) \to C^r_{K_{n+1}}(M, F)$) is continuous, note that the restriction operator

$$\rho_j \colon C^r_{L_j}(M, F) \to C^r_{L_j}(Q^0_j, F)$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces and the restriction maps $C^{\ell}(M, F) \rightarrow C^{\ell}(Q_j, F)$ and $C^r(Q_j, F) \rightarrow C^r(Q_j^0, F)$ are continuous and linear. Moreover, the multiplication operator

$$C^r(Q_j^0, F) \to C^r_{L_j}(Q_j^0, F), \quad \eta \mapsto h_j|_{Q_j^0} \cdot \eta$$

is continuous and linear. Hence

$$H_{n,j}(\gamma) = \rho_j^{-1}(h_j|_{Q_j^0} \cdot S_{n,j}(\gamma)|_{Q_j^0})$$

is continuous and linear in γ . If $\gamma \in C_{K_n}^{\ell}(M, F)$, then $Q_j \cap K_n = \emptyset$ for all $j > m_n$, whence

$$S_k(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} H_{k,j}(\gamma) \in F \otimes C^r_{K_{n+1}}(M, \mathbb{R})$$

for all $k \geq n$ (establising (c)). If $Y \subseteq C^{\ell}(M, F)$ is a compact subset, then $Y_j := \{\gamma | Q_j : \gamma \in Y\}$ is a compact subset of $C^{\ell}(Q_j, F)$, as the restriction operator $C^{\ell}(M, F) \to C^{\ell}(Q_j, F)$ is continuous. Thus $S_{n,j}(\gamma | Q_j) \to \gamma | Q_j$ in $C^{\ell}(Q_j, F)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $\gamma \in Y$. As the restriction map $R_j : C^{\ell}(Q_j, F) \to C^{\ell}(Q_j^0, F)$, the multiplication operator $\mu_j : C^{\ell}(Q_j^0, F) \to C^{\ell}_{L_j}(Q_j^0, F), \eta \mapsto h_j |_{Q_j^0} \cdot \eta$ and the inverse r_j^{-1} of the restriction map $C^{\ell}_{L_j}(M, F) \to C^{\ell}_{L_j}(Q_j^0, F)$ are continuous linear maps and thus uniformly continuous, we deduce that $H_{n,j}(\gamma) = (r_j^{-1} \circ \mu_j \circ R_j \circ S_{n,j})(\gamma)$ converges to

$$(r_j^{-1} \circ \mu_j \circ R_j)(\gamma|_{Q_j}) = h_j \cdot \gamma$$

in $C^{\ell}(M, F)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $\gamma \in Y$. Hence

$$S_n(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} H_{n,j}(\gamma) \to \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} h_j \cdot \gamma$$

in $C^{\ell}(M, F)$ as $n \to \infty$, uniformly in $\gamma \in Y$. This establishes (a) and completes the proof. \Box

D Differentiability properties of composition

We now prove Proposition 13.11 and deduce Proposition 13.4 from it (in full generality). The following lemma concerning Gâteaux differentials will be useful.

Lemma D.1 Let E and F be locally convex spaces, $R \subseteq E$ be a regular subset, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and $f: R \to F$ be a continuous map. If

$$\delta_x^j f(y) := \frac{d^j t}{dt^j} \Big|_{t=0} f(x+ty)$$

exists for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j \leq \ell$ and $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^0 \times E$, and the mappings $U \times E \to F$ so obtained admit continuous extensions

$$\delta^j f \colon R \times E \to F,$$

then f is a C^{ℓ} -map.

Proof. For finite-dimensional E and R open, we can follow the detailed outline in [29, Exercise 1.7.10] (which profited from the proof of the implication $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ in Boman's Theorem, [40, p. 26]).

In the general case, given $x \in \mathbb{R}^0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \leq \ell$ and y_1, \ldots, y_j in a finitedimensional vector subspace H of E with $x \in H$, we deduce from the case of finite-dimensional domains that

$$d^{(j)}(f|_{R^0})(x, y_1, \dots, y_j) = d^{(j)}(f|_{R^0 \cap H})(x, y_1, \dots, y_j)$$

exists and is symmetric *j*-linear in $y_1, \ldots, y_j \in H$, entailing that the map $d^{(j)}(f|_{R^0})(x, \cdot) \colon E^j \to F$ is symmetric *j*-linear and can be recovered from $\delta_x^j f$ via the Polarization Formula. However, applying the Polarization Formula to $\delta_x^j f$ for arbitrary $x \in R$ we get a continuous map

$$d^{(j)}f \colon R \times E^j \to F$$

which, by the preceding, extends $d^{(j)}(f|_{\mathbb{R}^0})$. Hence f is C^{ℓ} .

Proof of Proposition 13.11. We may assume that $k < \infty$. Since $C^{\infty}(R, F) = \lim_{\leftarrow} C^{\ell}(R, F)$ for finite ℓ , we may also assume that $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We prove by induction on $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that the assertion holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and, moreover, that there are

non-negative integers m_{k,i,j,a_1,\ldots,a_j} for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_j = k - i$ (independent of E, F, X, Z, R, S, and A) such that, for all C^k -maps γ and η as in the proposition, the map $\zeta \colon A \to C^{\ell}(R, F), x \mapsto \gamma(x) \circ \gamma(x)$ has its k-th Gâteaux differential $\delta_x^k \zeta(y)$ given by the following expression (*):

$$\delta_x^k \gamma(y) \circ \eta(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-i} \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_j = k-i} m_{k,i,j,a} d^{(j)}(\delta_x^i \gamma(y)) \circ (\eta(x), \delta_x^{a_1} \eta(y), \dots, \delta_x^{a_j} \eta(y)) = 0$$

Let $\ell = 0$ and R be a topological space which is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. If k = 0 and A is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, the assertion holds by Proposition 13.9(d). Now assume that k > 0and assume the assertion holds for k - 1 in place of k. As we assume that R is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, the map

$$C(R, E) \to \prod_{K \in \mathcal{K}(R)} C(R, E), \ \zeta \mapsto (\zeta|_K)_{K \in \mathcal{K}(R)}$$

is linear and a topological embedding with closed image. We therefore only need to show that the mappings $A \to C(K, F)$, $x \mapsto \gamma(x) \circ \eta(x)|_K$ are C^k . We may therefore assume now that R is compact. For $x \in A^0$, $y \in Z$, and $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x + ty \in A^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma(x+ty)\circ\eta(x+ty)-\gamma(x)\circ\eta(x)}{t} \\ &= \frac{\gamma(x+ty)\circ\eta(x+ty)-\gamma(x)\circ\eta(x+ty)}{t} \\ &+ \frac{\gamma(x)\circ\eta(x+ty)-\gamma(x)\circ\eta(x)}{t} \\ &= \gamma|_{A^0}^{[1]}(x,y,t)\circ\eta(x+ty) + \frac{C(R,\gamma(x))(\eta(x+ty)) - C(R,\gamma(x))(\eta(x))}{t} \\ &\to d\gamma(x,y)\circ\eta(x) + d(\gamma(x))\circ(\eta(x),d\eta(x,y)) \end{aligned}$$

in C(R, F) as $t \to 0$, using Proposition 13.9(d) (or (c)) to get the convergence of the first summand, and standard differentiability properties of maps of the form C(R, f) (as in [21]) for the second. Then $\zeta \colon A \to C(R, F), x \mapsto \gamma(x) \circ \eta(x)$ is C^1 and

$$d\zeta(x,y) = d\gamma(x,y) \circ \eta(x) + d(\gamma(x)) \circ (\eta(x), d\eta(x,y)),$$
(76)

as the right-hand side of this equation defines a C(R, F)-valued continuous function of $(x, y) \in A \times Z$, by Proposition 13.9(d). It remains to note that (76) can be rewritten as

$$\delta_x^1 \zeta(y) = \delta_x^1 \gamma(y) \circ \eta(x) + d(\gamma(x)) \circ (\eta(x), \delta_x^1 \eta(y)).$$

Now assume that $\gamma: A \to C^{k-1}(S, F)$ and $\eta: A \to C(R, E)$ are C^{k+1} and assume we already know that ζ is a C^k and that the formula (*) for $\delta^k_x \zeta(y)$ holds. Then

$$\delta_x^{k+1}\zeta(y) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\delta_{x+ty}^k\zeta(y)$$

exists for all $x \in A^0$ and $y \in Z$, as we can apply the case k = 1 to each summand in (*). Calculating derivatives with the rule (76), we obtain the following derivatives for the summands in (*): The first summand has derivative

$$\begin{split} \delta_x^{k+1}\gamma(y) &\circ \eta(x) + d(\delta_x^k\gamma(y)) \circ (\eta(x), d\eta(x, y)) \\ &= \delta_x^{k+1}\gamma(y) \circ \eta(x) + d(\delta_x^k\gamma(y)) \circ (\eta(x), \delta_x^1\eta(y)). \end{split}$$

The summand corresponding to (i, j, a_1, \ldots, a_j) has a derivative which is $m_{k,i,j,a}$ times the sum

$$\begin{aligned} d^{(j)}(\delta^{i+1}_{x}\gamma(y)) &\circ (\eta(x), \delta^{a_{1}}_{x}\eta(y), \dots, \delta^{a_{j}}_{x}\eta(y)) \\ &+ d^{(j+1)}(\delta^{i}_{x}\gamma(y)) \circ (\eta(x), \delta^{a_{1}}_{x}\eta(y), \dots, \delta^{a_{j}}_{x}\eta(y), \delta^{1}_{x}\eta(y)) \\ &+ \sum_{\theta=1}^{j} d^{(j)}(\delta^{i}_{x}\gamma(y)) \circ (\eta(x), \delta^{a_{1}}_{x}\eta(y), \dots, \delta^{a_{\theta-1}}_{x}\eta(y), \delta^{a_{\theta}+1}_{x}\eta(y), \delta^{a_{\theta+1}}_{x}\eta(y), \dots, \delta^{a_{j}}_{x}\eta(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Combining these summands, we get a formula for $\delta_x^{k+1}\zeta(y)$ analogous to (*), for $(x, y) \in A^0 \times R$. As the formula defines a continuous function $\delta^{k+1}\zeta$ of $(x, y) \in R \times Z$, Lemma D.1 shows that ζ if C^{k+1} , with Gâteaux differential $\delta^{k+1}\zeta$ as just described. This completes the induction on k for $\ell = 0$.

To pass to general $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we use that the map

$$C^\ell(R,F) \to \prod_{j \leq \ell} C(T^jR,T^jF), \ \phi \mapsto T^j\phi$$

is a linear topological embedding with closed image. We therefore only need to show that the mappings

$$T^{j} \circ \zeta \colon A \to C(T^{j}R, T^{j}F), \quad x \mapsto T^{j}(\gamma(x) \circ \eta(x)) = T^{j}(\gamma(x)) \circ T^{j}(\eta(x))$$

are C^k for all $j \leq \ell$. But this holds by the case $\ell = 0$, as the mappings $T^j \circ \gamma \colon A \to C^k(T^jS, T^jF)$ and $T^j \circ \eta \colon A \to C(T^jR, T^jE)$ are C^k . \Box

Proof of Proposition 13.4. Let $R := X := \{0\}$. Then evaluation at 0,

$$\operatorname{ev}_0 \colon C(R, E) \to E, \quad \theta \mapsto \theta(0)$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces. Since $g \colon U \to V \subseteq E$ is C^k , also the map

$$\widetilde{g} := (\operatorname{ev}_0)^{-1} \circ g \colon U \to C(R, E)$$

is C^k . Using Proposition 13.11, we see that the map

$$\zeta \colon U \to C(R, F), \ x \mapsto f(x) \circ \widetilde{g}(x)$$

is C^k . Hence also $h = \operatorname{ev}_0 \circ \zeta$ is C^k . \Box

References

- Albeverio, S., R. Høegh-Krohn, J.A. Marion, D.H. Testard, and B.S. Torrésani, "Noncommutative Distributions," Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [2] Alzaareer, A., Differential calculus on multiple products, Indag. Math. 30 (2019), 1036–1060.
- [3] Alzaareer, H. and A. Schmeding, Differentiable mappings on products with different degrees of differentiability in the two factors, Expo. Math. 33 (2015), 184–222.
- [4] Amiri, H., H. Glöckner, and A. Schmeding, Lie groupoids of mappings taking values in a Lie groupoid, preprint, arXiv:1811.02888.
- [5] Bastiani, A., Applications différentiables et variétés différentiables de dimension infinie, J. Anal. Math. 13 (1964), 1–114.
- [6] Bertram, W., H. Glöckner, and K.-H. Neeb, Differential calculus over general base fields and rings, Expo. Math. 22 (2004), 213–282.
- [7] Bierstone, E., Differentiable functions, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Math. 11 (1980), 139–189.
- [8] Birth, L. and H. Glöckner, Continuity of convolution of test functions on Lie groups, Canadian J. Math. 66 (2014), 102–140.
- [9] Bochnak, J. and J. Siciak, Polynomials and multilinear mappings in topological vector spaces, Studia Math. 39 (1971), 59–76.
- [10] Boseck, H., G. Czichowski and K.-P. Rudolph, "Analysis on Topological Groups – General Lie Theory," B.G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1981.
- [11] Bourbaki, N., "Topological Vector Spaces," Chapters 1–5, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [12] Cerf, J., Topologie de certains éspaces de plongements, Bull. Soc. Math. France 89 (1961), 227–380.
- [13] Dierkes, T., "Einschränkungsoperatoren zwischen Mannigfaltigkeiten von Abbildungen," Master's thesis, University of Paderborn, October 2019 (advisor: H. Glöckner)
- [14] Douady, A., Variétés à bord anguleux et voisinages tubulaires, Séminaire Henri Cartan, 1961/62, Exp. 1, 11 pp.
- [15] Dugundji, J., An extension of Tietze's theorem, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), 353–367.
- [16] Eells, J. jun., On the geometry of function spaces, pp. 303–308 in: Sympos. internac. Topología algebráica, 1958.

- [17] Engelking, R., "General Topology," Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [18] Frerick, L., Extension operators for spaces of infinite differentiable Whitney jets, J. Reine Angew. Math. 602 (2007), 123–154.
- [19] Garnier, H. G., M. De Wilde and J. Schmets, "Analyse fonctionelle," Volume 3, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1973.
- [20] Glöckner, H., Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions, pp. 43–59 in: Strasburger, A. et al. (eds.), "Geometry and Analysis on Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups," Banach Center Publications 55, Warsaw, 2002.
- [21] Glöckner, H., Lie group structures on quotient groups and universal complexifications for infinite-dimensional Lie groups, J. Funct. Anal. 194 (2002), 347–409.
- [22] Glöckner, H., Lie groups of measurable mappings, Canad. J. Math. 55 (2003), 969–999.
- [23] Glöckner, H., Applications of hypocontinuous bilinear maps in infinitedimensional differential calculus, pp. 171–186 in: S. Silvestrov, E. Paal, V. Abramov and A. Stolin (eds.), "Generalized Lie Theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond," Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [24] Glöckner, H., Homotopy groups of ascending unions of infinite-dimensional manifolds, to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble); cf. arXiv:0812.4713.
- [25] Glöckner, H., Lie groups over non-discrete topological fields, preprint, arXiv:math/0408008.
- [26] Glöckner, H., Fundamentals of submersions and immersions between infinite-dimensional manifolds, preprint, arXiv:1502.05795.
- [27] Glöckner, H., Manifolds of mappings on rough manifolds and manifold structures on box products, in preparation.
- [28] Glöckner, H. and N. Masbough, Products of regular locally compact spaces are k_ℝ-spaces, Topology Proc. 55 (2020), 35–38.
- [29] Glöckner, H. and K.-H. Neeb, "Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups," book in preparation.
- [30] Grothendieck, A., Topological tensor products and nuclear spaces, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1955), 196+140 pp.
- [31] Hamilton, R.S., The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982), 65–222.
- [32] Hanusch, M., A C^k-Seeley-extension theorem for Bastiani's differential calculus, to appear in Canadian J. Math. (cf. arXiv:2002.05125v1).

- [33] Hatcher, A., "Vector Bundles and K-Theory," book manuscript, version 2.2, November 2017, https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/VBKT/VB.pdf
- [34] Hirsch, M. W., "Differential Topology," Springer, New York, 1976.
- [35] Hjelle, E. O. and A. Schmeding, Strong topologies for spaces of smooth maps with infinite-dimensional target, Expo. Math. 35 (2017), 13–53.
- [36] Illman, S., The very-strong C[∞]-topology on C[∞](M, N) and K-equivariant maps, Osaka J. Math. 40 (2003), 409–428.
- [37] Ingrisch, S., "Gemischte Differenzierbarkeit von Fixpunkten und Impliziten Funktionen", Master's thesis, University of Paderborn, 2019 (advisor: H. Glöckner).
- [38] Keller, H. H., "Differential Calculus in Locally Convex Spaces", Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [39] Kihara, H., Smooth homotopy of infinite-dimensional C^{∞} -manifolds, preprint, arXiv:2002.03618.
- [40] Kriegl, A. and P. W. Michor, "The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis," AMS, Providence, 1997.
- [41] Lang, S., "Fundamentals of Differential Geometry," Springer, New York, 1999.
- [42] Margalef-Roig, J. and Outerelo Dominguez, E., "Differential Topology", North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [43] Michor, P. W., "Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings", Shiva Publ., Orpington, 1980.
- [44] Michor, P. W. Manifolds of mappings for continuum mechanics, preprint, arXiv:1909.00445v2.
- [45] Milnor, J., Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups, pp. 1007–1057 in:
 B. S. DeWitt and R. Stora (eds.), "Relativité, groupes et topologie II," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [46] Müller, C. and C. Wockel, Equivalences of smooth and continuous principal bundles with infinite-dimensional structure group, Adv. Geom. 9 (2009), 605–626.
- [47] Neeb, K.-H., Central extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, Ann. Inst. Fourier 52 (2002), 1365–1442.
- [48] Neeb, K.-H., Current groups for non-compact manifolds and their central extensions, pp. 109–183 in: Wurzbacher, T. (Ed.), "Infinite-dimensional groups and manifolds," IRMA Lecture Notes in Math. and Theor. Physics, de Gruyter, 2004.

- [49] Neeb, K.-H., Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups, Jpn. J. Math. 1 (2006), 291–468.
- [50] Noble, N., The continuity of functions on cartesian products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), 187–198.
- [51] Palais, R. S., When proper maps are closed, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 835–836.
- [52] Pietsch, A., "Nukleare Lokalkonvexe Räume," Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, ²1969.
- [53] Roberts, D. M. and A. Schmeding, Extending Whitney's extension theorem: nonlinear function spaces, preprint, arXiv:1801.04126v4.
- [54] Schaefer, H. H. and M. P. Wolff, "Topological Vector Spaces," Springer, New York, ²1999.
- [55] Schubert, H., "Topologie," B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1964.
- [56] Seeley, R. T., Extension of C[∞]-functions defined in a half space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 625–626.
- [57] Seip, U., "Kompakt erzeugte Vektorräume und Analysis," Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [58] Steenrod, N., "The Topology of Fibre Bundles," Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [59] Thomas, E. G. F., Calculus in locally convex spaces, Preprint W-9604, University of Groningen, 1996.
- [60] Tréves, F., "Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels," Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [61] Walter, B., "Weighted Diffeomorphism Groups of Banach Spaces and Weighted Mapping Groups," Diss. Math. 484 (2012), 126 pp.
- [62] Weil, A., "Sur les espaces à structure uniforme et sur la topologie générale," Hermann, Paris, 1938.
- [63] Wittmann, J., The Banach manifold $C^k(M, N)$, Differ. Geom. Appl. 63 (2019), 166–185.
- [64] Wockel, C., Smooth extensions and spaces of smooth and holomorphic mappings, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. 5 (2006), 118–126.
- [65] Wockel, C., A generalization of Steenrod's approximation theorem, Arch. Math. Brno 45 (2009), 95–104.

Helge Glöckner, Universität Paderborn, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany; glockner@math.uni-paderborn.de