

Langevin equation with nonlocal boundary conditions involving a ψ -Caputo fractional operator

Arjumand Seemab¹, Jihad Alzabut^{2,*}, Mujeeb ur Rehman¹
Yacine Adjabi³, Mohammed S. Abdo⁴

¹School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology, Islamabad Pakistan.
Email: mrehan@sns.nust.edu.pk

²Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, 11586 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
Email: jalzabut@psu.edu.sa.

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences University of M'hamed Bougara, UMBB, Algeria.
Email: adjabiy@yahoo.fr

⁴Department of Mathematics, Hodeidah University, Al-Hodeidah, Yemen.
Email: msabdo1977@gmail.com

Abstract. This paper studies Langevin equation with nonlocal boundary conditions involving a ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives operator. By the aide of fixed point techniques of Krasnoselskii and Banach, we derive new results on existence and uniqueness of the problem at hand. Further, the ψ -fractional Gronwall inequality and ψ -fractional integration by parts are employed to prove Ulam–Hyers and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability for the solutions. Examples are gifted to demonstrate the advantage of our major results. The proposed results here are more general than the existing results in the literature and obtain them as particular cases.

1 Introduction

Lately, fractional calculus has played a very significant role in various scientific fields; see for instance [1, 2] and the references cited therein. As a result of this, fractional differential equations have caught the attention of many investigators working in different disciplines [3, 4]. However, most of researchers works have been conducted by using fractional derivatives that mainly rely on Riemann–Liouville, Hadamard, Katugampola, Grunwald Letnikov and Caputo approaches.

Fractional derivatives of a function with respect to another function have been considered in the classical monographs [1, 5] as a generalization of Riemann–Liouville derivative. This fractional derivative is different from the other classical fractional derivative as the kernel appears in terms of another function ψ . We will call this derivative as ψ -fractional derivative. Recently, this derivative has been reconsidered by Almeida in [7] where the Caputo–type regularization of the existing definition and some interesting properties are provided. Several properties of this operator could be found in [1, 5, 6, 8, 9]. For some particular cases of ψ , one can realize that ψ -fractional derivative can be reduced to the Caputo fractional derivative [1], the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative [10] and the Caputo–Erdélyi–Kober fractional derivative [11].

On the other hand, the investigation of qualitative properties of solutions for different fractional differential (and integral) equations is the key theme of applied mathematics research.

⁰AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 34A08, 26A33, 34A12, 34D20.

⁰ **Keywords:** Generalized fractional operators; ψ -Caputo derivative; ψ -fractional Langevin type equation; Existence and uniqueness; U-H stability type; Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem; ψ -fractional Gronwall inequality.

^{0*} **Corresponding author:** email: jalzabut@psu.edu.sa.

Numerous interesting results concerning the existence, uniqueness, multiplicity, and stability of solutions or positive solutions by applying some fixed point techniques. However, most of the proposed problems have been handled concerning the classical fractional derivatives of the Riemann–Liouville and Caputo [17–27].

In parallel with the intense investigation of fractional derivative, a normal generalization of the Langevin differential equation appears to be replacing the classical derivative by a fractional derivative to produce fractional Langevin equation (FLE). FLE was first introduced in [31] and then different types of FLE were the object of many scholars [33–39]. In [40], the authors studied a nonlinear FLE involving two fractional orders at various intervals with three-point boundary conditions. FLE involving a Hadamard derivative type was considered in [34, 35].

Alternatively, the stability problem of differential equations was discussed by Ulam in [44]). Thereafter, Hyers in [45] developed the concept of Ulam stability in the case of Banach spaces. Rassias provided a fabulous generalization of the Ulam–Hyers (U–H) stability of mappings by taking into account variables. His approach was referred to as Ulam–Hyers–Rassias (U–H–R) stability [47]. Recently, the Ulam stability problem of implicit differential equations was extended into fractional implicit differential equations by some authors [48–51]. A series of papers was devoted to the investigation of existence, uniqueness and U–H stability of solutions of the FLE within different kinds of fractional derivatives.

Motivated by the recent developments in ψ -fractional calculus, in the present work, we investigate the existence, uniqueness and stability in the sense U–H–R of solutions for the following FLE within ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives involving nonlocal boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \right) \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda \right) [u] = f(t, u(t), {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} [u]), \quad t \in (a, T), \\ u(a) = 0, \quad u(\eta) = 0, \quad u(T) = \mu \left(J_{a+,\varsigma}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [u], \quad \lambda, \mu > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\left(J_{a+,\varsigma}^{\delta,\psi} \right)$ and $\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\theta,\psi} \right)$ are ψ -fractional integral of order δ , ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $\theta \in \{\varrho, \varsigma, \delta\}$ respectively, $0 \leq a < \eta < \varsigma < T < \infty$, $1 < \varrho \leq 2$, $0 < \delta < \varsigma \leq 1$ and $f : [a, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function. It is worth mentioning here that the proposed results in this paper which rely on ψ -fractional integrals and ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives can generalize the existing results in the literature and obtain them as particular cases.

The major contributions of the work are as follows: Some lemmas and definitions on ψ -fractional calculus theory are recalled in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions for problem (1.1) via applying fixed point theorems. Section 4 devotes to discuss different types of stability results for the problem (1.1) by the aid of ψ -Gronwall's inequality and ψ -fractional integration by parts. Examples are given in Section 5 to check the applicability of the theoretical findings. We end the paper by a conclusion in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries and essential Lemmas

The standard Riemann–Liouville fractional integral of order $Re(\varrho) > 0$, namely

$$\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho} \right) [u] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_a^t (t - \tau)^{\varrho-1} u(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > a. \quad (2.1)$$

The left-sided fractional integrals and fractional derivatives of a function u with respect to another function ψ in the sense of Riemann-Liouville are defined as follows [6, 7]

$$\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_a^t \psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{\varrho-1} u(\tau) d\tau \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\left(D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \left(J_{a+,t}^{n-\varrho,\psi}\right)[u], \quad (2.3)$$

respectively, where $n = [\varrho] + 1$

Analogous formulas can be offered for the right fractional (integral and derivative) as follows:

$$\left(J_{t,b-}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \int_t^b \psi'(\tau) (\psi(\tau) - \psi(t))^{\varrho-1} u(\tau) d\tau, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\left(D_{t,b-}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = \left(-\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \left(J_{t,b-}^{n-\varrho,\psi}\right)[u]. \quad (2.5)$$

The left (right) ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives of u of order ϱ are given by

$$\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = \left(J_{a+,t}^{n-\varrho,\psi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right)^n [u] \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\left({}^c D_{t,b-}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = \left(J_{t,b-}^{n-\varrho,\psi}\right) \left(\frac{-1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right)^n [u], \quad (2.7)$$

respectively. In particular, when $\varrho \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = \left(J_{a+,t}^{1-\varrho,\psi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right) [u] \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\left({}^c D_{t,b-}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[u] = - \left(J_{t,b-}^{1-\varrho,\psi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right) [u], \quad (2.9)$$

where $u, \psi \in \mathcal{C}^n[a, b]$ two functions such that ψ is increasing and $\psi'(t) \neq 0$, for all $t \in [a, b]$.

We propose the remarkable paper [9] in which some generalizations using ψ -fractional integrals and derivatives are described. In particular, we have

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } \psi(t) \longrightarrow t, & \text{then } J_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \longrightarrow J_{a+,t}^{\varrho} \\ \text{if } \psi(t) \longrightarrow \ln t, & \text{then } J_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \longrightarrow {}^H J_{a+,t}^{\varrho} \\ \text{if } \psi(t) \longrightarrow t^\rho, & \text{then } J_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \longrightarrow {}^\rho J_{a+,t}^{\varrho}, \rho > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $J_{a+,t}^{\varrho}$, ${}^H J_{a+,t}^{\varrho}$, ${}^\rho J_{a+,t}^{\varrho}$ are classical Riemann-Liouville, Hadamard, and Katugampola fractional operators.

Lemma 2.1. [7] Given $u \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$ and $v \in \mathcal{C}^n([a, b])$, we have that for all $\varrho > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b v(\tau) \left({}^c D_{a+, \tau}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) [u] d\tau &= \int_a^b u(\tau) \left({}^c D_{\tau, b-}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) \left[\frac{v}{\psi'}\right] \frac{d}{d\tau} \psi(\tau) d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(-\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right)^k \left(J_{\tau, b-}^{n-\varrho, \psi}\right) \left[\frac{v}{\psi'}\right] u_{\psi}^{[n-k-1]}(\tau) \Bigg|_{\tau=a}^{\tau=b}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u_{\psi}^{[k]}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right)^k u(t).$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $\varrho > 0$ and $u, \psi \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$. Then

$$\left\| J_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi} [u] \right\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq K_{\psi} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}}, \quad K_{\psi} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\varrho)} (\psi(b) - \psi(a))^{\varrho}.$$

For all $n-1 < \varrho < n$,

$$\left\| {}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi} [u] \right\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq K_{\psi} \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{[n]}_{\psi}}, \quad K_{\psi} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1-\varrho)} (\psi(b) - \psi(a))^{n-\varrho}.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $\varrho, \varsigma > 0$, consider the functions [7]

$$\left(J_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) \left[(\psi(\tau) - \psi(a))^{\varsigma-1}\right] = \frac{\Gamma(\varsigma)}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varsigma)} (\psi(t) - \psi(a))^{\varrho + \varsigma - 1},$$

$$\left(J_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) [1] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\varrho)} (\psi(t) - \psi(a))^{\varrho}$$

and

$$\left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) \left[(\psi(\tau) - \psi(a))^{\varsigma-1}\right] = \frac{\Gamma(\varsigma)}{\Gamma(\varsigma - \varrho)} (\psi(t) - \psi(a))^{\varsigma - \varrho - 1},$$

$$\left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) [1] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\varrho)} (\psi(t) - \psi(a))^{-\varrho}, \quad \varrho > 0.$$

Note that

$$\left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) \left[(\psi(\tau) - \psi(a))^k\right] = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

The subsequent properties are valid: if $\varrho, \varsigma > 0$, then

$$\left(J_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varsigma, \psi}\right) [u] = \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi}\right) [u] \quad \text{and} \quad \left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) \left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varsigma, \psi}\right) [u] = \left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi}\right) [u], \quad (2.10)$$

$$\left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varsigma, \psi}\right) [u] = \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varsigma - \varrho, \psi}\right) [u]. \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.4. Given a function $u \in \mathcal{C}^n[a, b]$ and $\varrho > 0$, we have

$$J_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi} \left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) [u] = u(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt}\right)^j u(a)\right] (\psi(t) - \psi(a))^j.$$

In particular, given $\varrho \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$J_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi} \left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi}\right) [u] = u(t) - u(a).$$

Lemma 2.5. Given a function $u \in C^n[a, b]$ and $1 > \varrho > 0$, we have

$$\left\| \left(J_{a+, t_2}^{\varrho, \psi} \right) [u] - \left(J_{a+, t_1}^{\varrho, \psi} \right) [u] \right\| \leq \frac{2 \|u\|_{\infty}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^{\varrho}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(J_{a+, t_2}^{\varrho, \psi} \right) [u] - \left(J_{a+, t_1}^{\varrho, \psi} \right) [u] \right| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \left| \int_a^{t_2} \psi'(\tau) \left[(\psi(t_2) - \psi(\tau))^{\varrho-1} - (\psi(t_1) - \psi(\tau))^{\varrho-1} \right] u(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varrho)} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \psi'(\tau) (\psi(t_2) - \psi(\tau))^{\varrho-1} u(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|u\|_{\infty}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} \left[(\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^{\varrho} + (\psi(t_1) - \psi(a))^{\varrho} - (\psi(t_2) - \psi(a))^{\varrho} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\|u\|_{\infty}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^{\varrho} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \|u\|_{\infty}}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^{\varrho}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.6. [41] Let \mathcal{B}_r be the closed ball of radius $r > 0$, centred at zero, in a Banach space X with $Y : \mathcal{B}_r \rightarrow X$ a contraction and $Y(\partial \mathcal{B}_r) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_r$. Then, Y has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{B}_r .

Theorem 2.7. [41] (Let \mathcal{M} be a closed, convex, non-empty subset of a Banach space $X \times X$. Suppose that \mathbb{E} and \mathbb{F} map \mathcal{M} into X and that

- (i) $\mathbb{E}u + \mathbb{F}v \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (ii) \mathbb{E} is compact and continuous;
- (iii) \mathbb{F} is a contraction mapping.

Then the operator equation $\mathbb{E}w + \mathbb{F}w = w$ has at least one solution on \mathcal{M} .

Definition 2.8. The problem (1.1) is U-H stable if there exists a real number $c_f > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $\tilde{u} \in C[a, T]$ of the inequality

$$\left| \left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\varrho, \psi} \right) \left({}^c D_{a+, t}^{\zeta, \psi} + \lambda \right) [\tilde{u}] - f(t, u(t), {}^c D_{a+, t}^{\delta, \psi} [\tilde{u}]) \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in [a, T], \quad (2.12)$$

there exists a solution $u \in C[a, T]$ of the problem (1.1) with

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)| \leq \epsilon c_f.$$

Definition 2.9. The problem (1.1) is generalized U-H stable if there exists $\Phi(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $\tilde{u} \in C[a, T]$ of inequality (2.12), there exists a solution $u \in C[a, T]$ of problem (1.1) with

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)| \leq \Phi(\epsilon), \quad t \in [a, T],$$

where $\Phi(\epsilon)$ is only dependent on ϵ .

Definition 2.10. The problem (1.1) is U-H-R stable if there exists a real number $c_f > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}[a, T]$ of the inequality

$$\left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \right) \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda \right) [\tilde{u}] - f(t, u(t), {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} [\tilde{u}]) \right| \leq \epsilon \Phi(t), \quad t \in [a, T], \quad (2.13)$$

there exists a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}[a, T]$ of the problem (1.1) with

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)| \leq \epsilon c_f \Phi(t).$$

Definition 2.11. The problem (1.1) is generalized U-H-R stable with respect to Φ if there exists $c_f > 0$ such that for each solution $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}[a, T]$ of the inequality

$$\left| {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda \right) [u] - f(t, u(t), {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} [u]) \right| \leq \Phi(t), \quad t \in [a, T], \quad (2.14)$$

there exists a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}[a, T]$ of the problem (1.1) with

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)| \leq c_f \Phi(t).$$

Below, we generalize Gronwall's inequality for ψ -fractional derivative proved by Shi-you Lin in [43].

Lemma 2.12. Let u, v be two integrable functions, with domain $[a, b]$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^1[a, b]$ an increasing function such that $\psi'(t) \neq 0, \forall t \in [a, b]$. Assume that

- (i) u and v are nonnegative;
- (ii) The functions $(g_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ are the bounded and monotonic increasing functions on $[a, b]$
- (iii) The constants $\varrho_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). If

$$u(t) \leq v(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t) \int_a^t \psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{\varrho_i-1} u(\tau) d\tau,$$

then

$$u(t) \leq v(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{1'2',3',\dots,k'=1}^n \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (g_{i'}(t) \Gamma(\varrho_{i'}))}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \varrho_{i'}\right)} \int_a^t \left[\psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{\sum_{i=1}^k \varrho_{i'}-1} \right] v(\tau) d\tau \right).$$

Remark 2.13. For $n = 2$ in the hypotheses of Lemma 2.12. Let $v(t)$ be a nondecreasing function on $a \leq t < T$. Then we have

$$u(t) \leq v(t) \left[E_{\varrho_1, \psi} (g_1(t) \Gamma(\varrho_1) (\psi(t))^{\varrho_1}) + E_{\varrho_2, \psi} (g_2(t) \Gamma(\varrho_2) (\psi(t))^{\varrho_2}) \right],$$

where $E_{\varrho_1, \psi}$ is the Mittag-Leffler function defined below.

Definition 2.14. [52] The Mittag–Leffler function is given by the series

$$E_{\varrho}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\varrho k + 1)}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\varrho) > 0$ and $\Gamma(z)$ is a gamma function. In particular, if $\varrho = 1/2$ in (2.15) we have

$$E_{1/2}(z) = \exp(z^2) [1 + \operatorname{erf}(z)],$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(z)$ error function.

The remaining portion of the paper, we make use of the next suppositions:

(A₁) For each $t \in [a, T]$, there exist a constant $L_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that

$$|f(t, u_1, v_1) - f(t, u_2, v_2)| \leq L_1 |u_1 - u_2| + L_2 |v_1 - v_2|, \text{ for all } u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(A₂) There exists an increasing function $\chi(t) \in (C[a, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$, for any $t \in [a, T]$,

$$|f(t, u, v)| \leq \chi(t), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(A₃) There exist a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, u, v)| \leq L, \text{ for any } t \in [a, T], \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(A₄) There exists an increasing function $\Phi(t) \in (C[a, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and there exists $l_{\Phi} > 0$ such that for any $t \in [a, T]$,

$$\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)[\Phi] \leq l_{\Phi} \Phi(t), \quad \varrho > 0.$$

We adopt the following conventions:

$$f_u(t) = f(t, u(t), {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}[u]) \text{ and } \mathcal{K}(t; a) = \psi(t) - \psi(a). \quad (2.16)$$

$$\sigma_{11} = \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\zeta,\psi}\right)[1] \text{ and } \sigma_{12} = \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\zeta,\psi}\right)[\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)], \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\sigma_{21} = \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi}\right)[1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi}\right)[1] \text{ and } \sigma_{22} = \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi}\right)[\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi}\right)[\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)]\right). \quad (2.18)$$

Further, we assume

$$|\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}| \neq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

where σ_{ij} are constants.

3 Existence and uniqueness of solution

In order to study the nonlinear FLE (1.1), We first consider the linear associated FLE and conclude solving it.

3.1 Linear boundary problem

The following Lemma regards a linear variant of problem

$$\begin{cases} \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right) \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda\right) [u] = F(t), \quad t \in (a, T), \\ u(a) = 0, \quad u(\eta) = 0, \quad u(T) = \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\delta,\psi}\right) [u], \quad a < \eta < \xi < T, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $F \in \mathcal{C}([a, T], \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 3.1. *The unique solution of the ψ -Caputo linear problem (3.1) is given by the integral equation*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right) [F] \\ & + \frac{(\mathcal{K}(t;a))^{\varsigma}(\mathcal{K}(t;\eta))}{\Gamma(\varsigma+2)\Delta} \left\{ \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right) [F] - \lambda \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right) [u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right) [F] + \mu \lambda \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right) [u] \right\} \\ & - \frac{(\mathcal{K}(t;a))^{\varsigma}}{\Delta(\mathcal{K}(\eta;a))^{\varsigma}} \left(\frac{(\mathcal{K}(T;a))^{\varsigma}(\mathcal{K}(T;t))}{\Gamma(\varsigma+2)} - \frac{\mu(\mathcal{K}(\xi;a))^{\varsigma+\delta}[(\varsigma+1)(\mathcal{K}(\xi;t)) - \delta(\mathcal{K}(t;a))]}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\delta+2)(\varsigma+1)} \right) \\ & \times \left\{ \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right) [F] - \lambda \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right) [u] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Delta = \left[\frac{(\mathcal{K}(T;a))^{\varsigma}\mathcal{K}(T;\eta)}{\Gamma(\varsigma+2)} - \frac{\mu(\mathcal{K}(\xi;a))^{\varsigma+\delta}[(\varsigma+1)\mathcal{K}(\xi;\eta) - \delta\mathcal{K}(\eta;a)]}{\Gamma(\varsigma+\delta+2)(\varsigma+1)} \right] \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Applying $\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right)$ on both sides of (3.1-a), we have

$$\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda\right) [u] = \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi}\right) [F] + c_1 + c_2(\psi(t) - \psi(a)), \quad (3.4)$$

for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now applying $\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right)$ to both sides of (3.4), we get

$$u(t) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right) [F] + c_1 \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right) [1] + c_2 \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right) [\mathcal{K}(\tau;a)] + c_3, \quad (3.5)$$

where $c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using the boundary conditions in (3.1-b), we obtain $c_3 := c_3(F) = 0$ and

$$J_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} [u] = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right) [F] + c_1 \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right) [1] + c_2 \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right) [\mathcal{K}(\tau;a)]. \quad (3.6)$$

Then we also get a system of linear equations with respect to c_1, c_2 as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$b_1 = \lambda \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right) [u] - \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right) [F] \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$b_2 = \lambda \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [u] \right) - \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\zeta,\psi} \right) [F] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [F] \right). \quad (3.9)$$

We note

$$\Delta \equiv \det(\sigma) = |\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}|. \quad (3.10)$$

Because the determinant of coefficient for $\Delta \neq 0$. Thus, we have

$$c_1 := c_1(F) = \frac{\sigma_{22}b_1 - \sigma_{12}b_2}{\Delta} \text{ and } c_2 := c_2(F) = \frac{\sigma_{11}b_2 - \sigma_{21}b_1}{\Delta}. \quad (3.11)$$

Substituting these values of c_1 and c_2 in (3.6), we finally obtain (3.2) as

$$u(t) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta+\zeta,\psi} \right) [F] + \frac{\sigma_{22}b_1 - \sigma_{12}b_2}{\Delta} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + \frac{\sigma_{11}b_2 - \sigma_{21}b_1}{\Delta} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)], \quad (3.12)$$

i.e., the integral equation (3.12) can be written as (3.2) and

$$\left(J_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [u] = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [F] + \frac{\sigma_{22}b_1 - \sigma_{12}b_2}{\Delta} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] + \frac{\sigma_{11}b_2 - \sigma_{21}b_1}{\Delta} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)]. \quad (3.13)$$

Differentiating the above relations one time we obtain (3.1-a), also it is easy to get that the condition (3.1-b) is satisfied. The proof is complete. \square

For convenience, we define the following functions

$$d_{11}(t) = -\frac{1}{\Delta} \left(\sigma_{22} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \sigma_{21} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)] \right), d_{21}(t) = -d_{11}(t) \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$d_{12}(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left(\sigma_{12} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \sigma_{11} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)] \right), d_{22}(t) = d_{12}(t). \quad (3.15)$$

3.2 Nonlinear problem

Thanks to Lemma 3.1, the following result is an immediate consequence.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\lambda > 0$. Then the problem (1.1) is equivalent to the integral equation*

$$u(t) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta+\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_u] + \phi_u(f), \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_u(f) &= d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\zeta+\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_u] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [f_u] \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda d_{21}(t) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [u] - \lambda d_{22}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [u] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

and d_{ij} are defined in (3.14) and (3.15).

From the expression of (1.1-a) and (3.16), we can see that if all the conditions in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 are satisfied, the solution is a $\mathcal{C}[a, T]$ solution of the ψ -Caputo fractional boundary value problem (1.1).

In order to lighten the statement of our result, we adopt the following notation.

$$\varsigma_{11} = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + \rho_{11} + L_1 \left(\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + \rho_{12} \right) \right|, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\varsigma_{12} = L_2 \varsigma_{13} \text{ where } \varsigma_{13} = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] \right| + \rho_{12}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\varsigma_{21} = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma - \delta, \psi} \right) [1] + \rho_{21} + L_1 \left(\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma - \delta, \psi} \right) [1] + \rho_{22} \right) \right|, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\varsigma_{22} = L_2 \varsigma_{23} \text{ where } \varsigma_{23} = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma - \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right| + \rho_{22}, \quad (3.21)$$

with

$$\rho_{11} = \lambda \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left(|d_{21}(t)| \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + |d_{22}(t)| \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right) \right), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\rho_{12} = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left(|d_{11}(t)| \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + |d_{12}(t)| \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varrho + \varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right) \right), \quad (3.23)$$

$$\rho_{21} = \lambda \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left(\left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{21}] \right| \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{22}] \right| \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right) \right), \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\rho_{22} = \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left(\left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{11}] \right| \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{12}] \right| \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varrho + \varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right) \right), \quad (3.25)$$

We are now in a position to establish the existence and uniqueness results. Fixed point theorems are the main tool to prove this.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ be a Banach space of all continuous functions defined on $[a, T]$ endowed with the usual supremum norm. Define the space

$$E = \{u : u \in \mathcal{C}^3([a, T], \mathbb{R}), \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u] \in \mathcal{C}\}, \quad (3.26)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_E = \max \left\{ \|u\|_\infty, \left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u] \right\|_\infty \right\}. \quad (3.27)$$

Then, we may conclude that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space.

To introduce a fixed point problem associated with (3.16) we consider an integral operator $\Psi : E \rightarrow E$ defined by

$$(\Psi u)(t) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_u] + \phi_u(f). \quad (3.28)$$

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $f : [a, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function that satisfies (A_1) . If we suppose that

$$0 < \varsigma = \max \{\varsigma_{11}, \varsigma_{12}, \varsigma_{21}, \varsigma_{22}\} < 1, \quad (3.29)$$

holds. Then the problem (1.1) has a unique solution on E .

Proof. The proof will be given in two steps.

Step 1. The operator Ψ maps bounded sets into bounded sets in E .

For our purpose, consider a function $u \in E$. It is clear that $\Psi u \in E$. Also by (2.11), (3.17) and (3.28), we have

$$\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)(\Psi u) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma-\delta,\psi}\right)[u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma-\delta,\psi}\right)[f_u] + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[\phi_u(f)]. \quad (3.30)$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to prove that for any $r > 0$, for each $u \in B_r = \{u \in E : \|u\|_E \leq r\}$, we have $\|\Psi u\|_E \leq r$.

Denoting

$$L_0 = \sup_{t \in [a,T]} \{|f(t,0,0)| : t \in [a,T]\} < \infty \text{ and } L_B = L_1 \sup_{t \in [a,T]} |u(t)| + L_2 \sup_{t \in [a,T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[u] \right| + L_0. \quad (3.31)$$

By (A₁) we have for each $t \in [a, T]$

$$|f_u(t)| = |f_u(t) - f_0(t) + f_0(t)| \leq |f_u(t) - f_0(t)| + |f_0(t)| \leq L_B, \quad (3.32)$$

Firstly, we estimate $|\phi_u(f)|$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_u(f)| \\ &= \left| \lambda d_{21}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right)[u] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right)[|f_u - f_0| + f_0] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right)[|f_u - f_0| + f_0] \right) \right| \\ &+ \left| \lambda d_{22}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right)[u] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right)[u] \right) + d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right)[|f_u - f_0| + f_0] \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_u(f)| &\leq \left| d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right)[L_B] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right)[L_B] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right)[L_B] \right) \right| \\ &+ \left| \lambda d_{21}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right)[u] + \lambda d_{22}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right)[u] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right)[u] \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Taking the maximum over $[a, T]$, we get

$$\sup_{t \in [a,T]} |\phi_u(f)| \leq \rho_{11} \sup_{t \in [a,T]} |u(t)| + \rho_{12} \left(L_1 \sup_{t \in [a,T]} |u(t)| + L_2 \sup_{t \in [a,T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[u] \right| + L_0 \right), \quad (3.35)$$

where ϕ_u , $d_{ij}(t)$ and ρ_{ij} defined by (3.17), (3.14-3.15) and (3.22-3.25) respectively.

Using (3.28) and (3.35), we obtain

$$\|(\Psi u)\|_\infty \leq \varsigma_{11} \sup_{t \in [a,T]} |u(t)| + \varsigma_{12} \sup_{t \in [a,T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[u] \right| + \varsigma_{13} L_0, \quad (3.36)$$

where ς_{ij} defined by (3.18-3.19). On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[\phi_u(f)] \right| \\ &= \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[d_{11} \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right)[f_u] + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[d_{12} \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi}\right)[f_u] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right)[f_u] \right) \\ &+ \lambda \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[d_{21} \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right)[u] - \lambda \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi}\right)[d_{22} \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varsigma,\psi}\right)[u] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi}\right)[u] \right)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Taking the maximum over $[a, T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [\phi_u(f)] \right| \\ & \leq \rho_{21} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t)| + \rho_{22} \left(L_1 \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t)| + L_2 \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u] \right| + L_0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Using (3.30) and (3.38), we obtain

$$\left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) (\Psi u) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \varsigma_{21} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t)| + \varsigma_{22} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u] \right| + \varsigma_{23} L_0, \quad (3.39)$$

Consequently, by (3.36) and (3.39), we have

$$\|(\Psi u)\|_E \leq \varsigma \|u\|_E + L_0 \max \{\varsigma_{13}, \varsigma_{23}\} \leq \varsigma r + (1 - \varsigma) r = r, \quad (3.40)$$

where ς is defined by (3.29) and choose

$$r > \frac{L_0 \max \{\varsigma_{13}, \varsigma_{23}\}}{(1 - \varsigma)}, \quad 0 < \varsigma < 1. \quad (3.41)$$

The continuity of the functional f_u would imply the continuity of (Ψu) and $\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) (\Psi u)$. Hence, Ψ maps bounded sets into bounded sets in E .

Step 2. Now we show that Ψ is a contraction. By (A₁) and (3.28), for $u, v \in E$ and $t \in [a, T]$, we have

$$|(\Psi u)(t) - (\Psi v)(t)| \leq \lambda \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right| + \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_u - f_v] \right| + |\phi_u(f) - \phi_v(f)|, \quad (3.42)$$

where

$$\left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_u - f_v] \right| \leq \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] \right| \left(L_1 |u - v| + L_2 \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right| \right) \quad (3.43)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_u(f) - \phi_v(f)| \\ & = d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_u - f_v] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_u - f_v] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \tilde{\varsigma}}^{\varrho + \varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [f_u - f_v] \right) \\ & + \lambda d_{21}(t) \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [u - v] - \lambda d_{22}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [u - v] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \tilde{\varsigma}}^{\varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

for all $t \in [a, T]$, which implies

$$|\phi_u(f) - \phi_v(f)| \leq (\rho_{11} + L_1 \rho_{12}) \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t) - v(t)| + \rho_{12} L_2 \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right|. \quad (3.45)$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Psi u)(t) - (\Psi v)(t)| \\ & \leq \lambda \left| \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] \right| \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t) - v(t)| + \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] \right| \\ & \left(L_1 \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t) - v(t)| + L_2 \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right| \right) + \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |\phi_u(f) - \phi_v(f)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Consequently,

$$\|(\Psi u) - (\Psi v)\|_\infty \leq \varsigma_{11} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t) - v(t)| + \varsigma_{12} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right|. \quad (3.47)$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [(\Psi u) - (\Psi v)] \right| \\ &= \lambda \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma - \delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right| + \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma - \delta, \psi} \right) [f_u - f_v] \right| + \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [\phi_u(f) - \phi_v(f)] \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [\phi_u(f) - \phi_v(f)] \right| \\ & \leq (\rho_{21} + L_1 \rho_{22}) \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t) - v(t)| + \rho_{22} L_2 \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right| + \rho_{22} L_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

Combining (3.48) and (3.49), we obtain

$$\left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) (\Psi u) - \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) (\Psi v) \right\|_\infty \leq \varsigma_{21} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |u(t) - v(t)| + \varsigma_{22} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u - v] \right|. \quad (3.50)$$

Consequently, by (3.47) and (3.50), we have

$$\|(\Psi u) - (\Psi v)\|_E \leq \varsigma \|u - v\|_E \quad (3.51)$$

and choose $\varsigma = \max \{\varsigma_{11}, \varsigma_{12}, \varsigma_{21}, \varsigma_{22}\} < 1$. Hence, the operator Ψ is a contraction, therefore Ψ maps bounded sets into bounded sets in E . Thus, the conclusion of the theorem follows by the contraction mapping principle. \square

For simplicity of presentation, we let

$$\Lambda_{11} = \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho + \varsigma - \delta, \psi} \right) [1] + \left(d_{11}(T) + \left({}^c D_{a+,T}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{11}] \right) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1], \quad (3.52)$$

$$\Lambda_{12} = \left(d_{12}(T) + \left({}^c D_{a+,T}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{12}] \right) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho + \varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right), \quad (3.53)$$

$$\Lambda_{21} = \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + d_{21}(T) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + d_{22}(T) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right), \quad (3.54)$$

$$\Lambda_{22} = \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma - \delta, \psi} \right) [1] + \left({}^c D_{a+,T}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{21}] \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] + \left({}^c D_{a+,T}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [d_{22}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varsigma + \delta, \psi} \right) [1] \right). \quad (3.55)$$

We consider the space defined by (3.26) equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_E = \|u\|_\infty + \left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [u] \right\|_\infty. \quad (3.56)$$

It is easy to know that $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space with norm (3.56). On this space, by virtue of Lemma 3.2, we may define the operator $\Psi : E \longrightarrow E$ by

$$(\Psi u)(t) = (\Psi_1 u)(t) + (\Psi_2 u)(t) = \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [u] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_u] + \phi_u(f) \right), \quad (3.57)$$

where Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 the two operators defined on B_r by

$$(\Psi_1 u)(t) = \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [f_u] + d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [f_u] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [f_u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi} [f_u] \right) \right) \right) \right) \quad (3.58)$$

and

$$(\Psi_2 u)(t) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} [u] + \lambda d_{21}(t) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varsigma,\psi} [u] - \lambda d_{22}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma,\psi} [u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi} [u] \right) \right) \right) \right), \quad (3.59)$$

where $d_{ij}(t)$ defined by (3.14) and (3.15).

Applying $\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right)$ on both sides of (3.58) and (3.59), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [\Psi_1 u] &= \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma-\delta,\psi} [f_u] + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [f_u] \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [f_u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi} [f_u] \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [\Psi_2 u] &= -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma-\delta,\psi} [u] + \lambda \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{21}] \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varsigma,\psi} [u] \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{22}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varsigma,\psi} [u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varsigma+\delta,\psi} [u] \right) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

Thus, Ψ is well-defined because Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are well-defined. The continuity of the functional f_u confirms the continuity of $(\Psi u)(t)$ and $\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [\Psi u](t)$, for each $t \in [a, T]$. Hence the operator Ψ maps E into itself.

In what follows, we utilize fixed point techniques to demonstrate the key results of this paper. In light of Lemma 3.2, we turn problem (3.16) as

$$u = \Psi u, \quad u \in E. \quad (3.62)$$

Notice that problem (3.16) has solutions if the operator Ψ in (3.62) has fixed points. Doubtless that the fixed points of Ψ are solutions of (1.1). Consider the operator $\Psi : E \longrightarrow E$. For $u, v \in B_r$, we find that

$$\|\Psi u\|_E = \|\Psi_1 u\|_E + \|\Psi_2 u\|_E. \quad (3.63)$$

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $f : [a, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function and the assumption (A_3) holds. If

$$0 < \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) < 1, \quad (3.64)$$

then, the problem (1.1) has at least one fixed point on $[a, T]$.

Proof. The proof will be specified in sundry steps:

Step 1. Firstly, we prove that, for any $u, v \in B_r$, $\Psi_1 u + \Psi_2 v \in B_r$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Psi_1 u)\|_E &= \|(\Psi_1 u)\|_\infty + \left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_1 u) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left[\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [1] + d_{11}(T) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [1] + d_{12}(T) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi} [1] \right) \right) \right) \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \left[\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma-\delta,\psi} [1] + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [1] \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \left[\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi} [1] \right) \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

(3.65)

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Psi_1 u)\|_E \times \|f_u\|_\infty^{-1} \\
&= \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + \left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) [1] + \left(d_{11}(T) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \right) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] \\
&+ \left(d_{12}(T) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] \right) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right). \tag{3.66}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\|(\Psi_1 u)\|_E \leq (\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12}) \times \|f_u\|_\infty, \quad \Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12} < \infty, \tag{3.67}$$

which yields that Ψ_1 is bounded. On the opposite side

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\lambda} \|(\Psi_2 v)\|_E \times \|v\|_E^{-1} \\
&\leq \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) + d_{21}(T) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{22}(T) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \\
&+ \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) [1] + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{21}] \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{22}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right), \tag{3.68}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|(\Psi_2 v)\|_E \leq \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) \times \|v\|_E. \tag{3.69}$$

Then, from (3.67) and (3.69), it follows that

$$\|\Psi(u, v)\|_E \leq (\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12}) \times \|f_u\|_\infty + \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) \times \|v\|_E. \tag{3.70}$$

By (A₃) and (3.70), we have that

$$(\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12}) \times \|f_u\|_\infty + \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) \times \|v\|_E \leq (\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12}) \times L + \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) \times r \leq r. \tag{3.71}$$

Then

$$r > \frac{(\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12}) \times L}{1 - \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22})}, \quad 0 < \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) < 1, \tag{3.72}$$

which concludes that $\Psi_1 u + \Psi_2 v \in B_r$ for all $u, v \in B_r$.

Step 2. Next, for $u, v \in B_r$, Ψ_2 is a contraction. From (3.59) and (3.61), we have

$$\|(\Psi_2 u) - (\Psi_2 v)\|_E = \|(\Psi_2 u) - (\Psi_2 v)\|_\infty + \left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_2 u) - \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_2 v) \right\|_\infty, \tag{3.73}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Psi_2 u) - (\Psi_2 v)\|_\infty \leq \\
& \lambda \left(\left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{21}(T) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{22}(T) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \right) \|u - v\|_\infty. \tag{3.74}
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.74), we can write

$$\|(\Psi_2 u) - (\Psi_2 v)\|_\infty \leq \lambda \Lambda_{21} \times \|u - v\|_\infty. \tag{3.75}$$

On the other hand

$$\left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_2 u) - \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_2 v) \right\|_{\infty} \times \|u - v\|_{\infty}^{-1} \leq \lambda \left(\left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) [1] + \left({}^c D_{a+,T}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{21}] \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + \left({}^c D_{a+,T}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{22}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \right),$$

which yields

$$\left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_2 u) - \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_2 v) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda \Lambda_{22} \times \|u - v\|_{\infty}. \quad (3.76)$$

So, using (3.75) and (3.76), it follows that

$$\|(\Psi_2 u) + (\Psi_2 v)\|_E \leq \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) \times \|u - v\|_E. \quad (3.77)$$

and choose $0 < \lambda (\Lambda_{21} + \Lambda_{22}) < 1$. Hence, the operator Ψ_2 is a contraction.

Step 3. The continuity of Ψ_1 follows from that of f_u . Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in E . Then for each $t \in [a, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi_1 u_n)(t) - (\Psi_1 u)(t)| &= \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_{u_n} - f_u] + d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_{u_n} - f_u] \\ &\quad + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_{u_n} - f_u] - \mu \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [f_{u_n} - f_u] \right). \end{aligned}$$

By last equality with equation (3.58), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi_1 u_n)(t) - (\Psi_1 u)(t)| &\leq \left[\left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \right] \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |f_{u_n} - f_u|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.65) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Psi_1 u_n) - (\Psi_1 u)\|_E &= \|(\Psi_1 u_n) - (\Psi_1 u)\|_{\infty} + \left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) ((\Psi_1 u_n) - (\Psi_1 u)) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \\ &\left[\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) + d_{11}(T) \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) + d_{12}(T) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) - \mu \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) \right) \right] \|f_{u_n} - f_u\|_{\infty} \\ &+ \left[\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) - \mu \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) \right) \right] \|f_{u_n} - f_u\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

By (3.78), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Psi_1 u_n) - (\Psi_1 u)\|_E \|f_{u_n} - f_u\|_{\infty}^{-1} &\leq \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} [1] \right) + \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta-\delta,\psi} [1] \right) + \left(d_{11}(T) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \right) \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} [1] \right) \\ &+ \left(d_{12}(T) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] \right) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} [1] \right) - \mu \left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} [1] \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

Consequently, by (3.79), we have

$$\|(\Psi_1 u_n) - (\Psi_1 u)\|_{\infty} \leq (\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12}) \times \|f_{u_n} - f_u\|_{\infty}, \quad \Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12} < \infty. \quad (3.80)$$

Since f_u is a continuous function, then by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem which implies

$$\|(\Psi_1 u_n) - (\Psi_1 u)\|_E \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.81)$$

Furthermore, Ψ_1 is uniformly bounded on B_r as $\|(\Psi_1 u)\|_E \leq (\Lambda_{11} + \Lambda_{12}) \times \|f_u\|_\infty$, due to (3.67).

Step 4. Finally, we establish the compactness of Ψ_1 . Let $u, v \in B_r$, for $t_1, t_2 \in [a, T]$, $t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Psi_1 u)(t_2) - (\Psi_1 u)(t_1)\|_\infty \\
& \leq \left[\left(J_{a+,t_2}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{11}(t_2) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{12}(t_2) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty \\
& - \left[\left(J_{a+,t_1}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{11}(t_1) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + d_{12}(t_1) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty \\
& \leq \left[\left(\left(J_{a+,t_2}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \left(J_{a+,t_1}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) + \Lambda_{41} \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \Lambda_{42} \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty. \tag{3.82}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_1 u)(t_2) - \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) (\Psi_1 u)(t_1) \right\|_\infty \\
& \leq \left[\left(J_{a+,t_2}^{q+\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t_2}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t_2}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty \\
& - \left[\left(J_{a+,t_1}^{q+\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t_1}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) + \left({}^c D_{a+,t_1}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty \\
& \left[\left(\left(J_{a+,t_2}^{q+\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) - \left(J_{a+,t_1}^{q+\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) \right) + \Lambda_{43} \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) + \Lambda_{44} \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty. \tag{3.83}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.82) and (3.83), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Psi_1 u)(t_2) - (\Psi_1 u)(t_1)\|_E \\
& \leq \left[\left(\left(J_{a+,t_2}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \left(J_{a+,t_1}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) + \left(\left(J_{a+,t_2}^{q+\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) - \left(J_{a+,t_1}^{q+\zeta-\delta,\psi} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\Lambda_{41} + \Lambda_{43}) \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] + (\Lambda_{42} + \Lambda_{44}) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [1] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [1] \right) \right] \|f_u\|_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{41} = d_{11}(t_2) - d_{11}(t_1) \text{ and } \Lambda_{42} = d_{12}(t_2) - d_{12}(t_1)$$

and

$$\Lambda_{43} = \left({}^c D_{a+,t_2}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] - \left({}^c D_{a+,t_1}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{11}] \text{ and } \Lambda_{44} = \left({}^c D_{a+,t_2}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}] - \left({}^c D_{a+,t_1}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [d_{12}].$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|(\Psi_1 u)(t_2) - (\Psi_1 u)(t_1)\| \times \|f_u\|^{-1} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2. \tag{3.84}$$

Thus, Ψ_1 is relatively compact on B_r . Hence, by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, Ψ_1 is completely continuous on B_r . Therefore, according to Theorem 2.7, the problem (1.1) has at least one solution on B_r . This completes the proof. \square

4 Stability of solutions

Hereafter, we discuss the U-H and U-H-R stability of solutions of the FLE (1.1). In the proofs of Theorems 4.4 and 4.9, we use integration by parts in the settings of ψ -fractional operators.

Denoting

$$\varphi_1(t) = \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [1] \text{ and } \varphi_2(t) = \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\mathcal{K}(\tau; a)]. \quad (4.1)$$

Remark 4.1. For every $\epsilon > 0$, a function $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a solution of the inequality

$$\left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \right) \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda \right) [\tilde{u}] - f(t, \tilde{u}(t), {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} [\tilde{u}]) \right| \leq \epsilon \Phi(t), \quad t \in [a, T], \quad (4.2)$$

where $\Phi(t) \geq 0$ if and only if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{C}$, (which depends on \tilde{u}) such that

- (i) $|g(t)| \leq \epsilon \Phi(t), \quad \forall t \in [a, T].$
- (ii) $\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \right) \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda \right) [\tilde{u}] = f(t, \tilde{u}(t), {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} [\tilde{u}]) + g(t).$

Lemma 4.2. If $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a solution of the inequation (4.2) then \tilde{u} is a solution of the following integral inequation

$$\left| \tilde{u}(t) - \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] + \phi_{\tilde{u}}(f) \right) \right| \leq C_{\Phi}(t), \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$C_{\Phi}(t) = \epsilon \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\Phi] + c_1(\epsilon \Phi) \varphi_1(t) + c_2(\epsilon \Phi) \varphi_2(t) + c_3(\epsilon \Phi), \quad (4.4)$$

where $c_1(\epsilon \Phi), c_2(\epsilon \Phi), c_3(\epsilon \Phi)$ are real constants with $f_{\tilde{u}} = \Phi$ and C_{Φ} is independent of $\tilde{u}(t)$ and $f_{\tilde{u}}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ be a solution of the inequality (4.2). Then by Remark 4.1-ii, we have that

$$\tilde{u}(t) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} + g] + \phi_{\tilde{u}}(f_{\tilde{u}} + g), \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\phi_{\tilde{u}}(f_{\tilde{u}} + g) = c_1(f_{\tilde{u}} + g) \varphi_1(t) + c_2(f_{\tilde{u}} + g) \varphi_2(t) + c_3(f_{\tilde{u}} + g), \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$c_j(f_{\tilde{u}} + g) = c_j(f_{\tilde{u}}) + c_j(g), \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.7)$$

In view of (A₁) and (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tilde{u}(t) - \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] + \phi_{\tilde{u}}(f) \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [g] + c_1(g) \varphi_1(t) + c_2(g) \varphi_2(t) + c_3(g) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\epsilon \Phi] + c_1(\epsilon \Phi) \varphi_1(t) + c_2(\epsilon \Phi) \varphi_2(t) + c_3(\epsilon \Phi) \right| = C_{\Phi}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

□

As an outcome of Lemma 4.2 we have the following result:

Corollary 4.3. Assume that $f_{\tilde{u}}$ is a continuous function that satisfies (A₁). If $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a solution of the inequality

$$\left| {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varrho,\psi} \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} + \lambda \right) u(t) - f(t, u(t), {}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} [u](t)) \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in [a, T], \quad (4.9)$$

then \tilde{u} is a solution of the following integral inequality

$$\left| \tilde{u}(t) - \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] + \phi_{\tilde{u}}(f) \right) \right| \leq C_{\epsilon}, \quad (4.10)$$

with

$$\tilde{u}(a) = 0, \tilde{u}(\eta) = 0, \tilde{u}(T) = \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\delta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}], \quad a < \eta < \xi < T, \quad 0 < \mu, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$C_\epsilon = \epsilon \varsigma_{13}, \quad (4.12)$$

where ς_{13} is given by (3.19).

Proof. By Remark 4.1-ii, (4.5), and by using (3.17) with the conditions (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\tilde{u}}(f + g) &= d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{q+\varsigma+\delta, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda d_{21}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] - \lambda d_{22}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{\varsigma+\delta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] \right) \\ &\quad + d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [g] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [g] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{q+\varsigma+\delta, \psi} \right) [g] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

The solution of the problem (4.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \tilde{u}(t) - \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+, t}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] + \phi_{\tilde{u}}(f) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(J_{a+, t}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [g] + d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+, \eta}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [g] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+, T}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [g] - \mu \left(J_{a+, \xi}^{q+\varsigma+\delta, \psi} \right) [g] \right) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

which implies that

$$\left| \tilde{u}(t) - \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+, t}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] + \phi_{\tilde{u}}(f) \right) \right| \leq \Phi_\epsilon(t), \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\Phi_\epsilon(t) = \left(J_{a+, t}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [\epsilon] + c_1(\epsilon) \varphi_1(t) + c_2(\epsilon) \varphi_2(t) + c_3(\epsilon) \quad (4.16)$$

with

$$C_\epsilon \equiv \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |\Phi_\epsilon(t)| = \epsilon \varsigma_{13}, \quad (4.17)$$

which is the desired inequality (4.10). \square

This corollary is obtained from Lemma 4.2 by setting $\Phi(t) = 1$, for all $t \in [a, T]$, with (4.11).

Theorem 4.4. Assume that $f_{\tilde{u}}$ is a continuous function that satisfies (A_1) and (A_4) . The equation (1.1-a) is H-U-R stable with respect to Φ if there exists a real number $l_\Phi > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $\tilde{u} \in C^3([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ of the inequality (4.2), there exists a solution $u^* \in C^3([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ of (1.1-a) with

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| \leq \epsilon l_\Phi \Phi(t). \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. Using (4.2) and (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(t) &= -\lambda \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+, t}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} + g] + c_1(f_{\tilde{u}} + g) \varphi_1(t) + c_2(f_{\tilde{u}} + g) \varphi_2(t) + c_3(f_{\tilde{u}} + g) \\ &= \theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f_{\tilde{u}} + g) + c_1(f + g) \varphi_1(t) + c_2(f + g) \varphi_2(t) + c_3(f + g) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(t) &= -\lambda \left(J_{a+, t}^{\varsigma, \psi} \right) [u^*] + \left(J_{a+, t}^{q+\varsigma, \psi} \right) [f_{u^*}] + c'_1(f_{u^*}) \varphi_1(t) + c'_2(f_{u^*}) \varphi_2(t) + c'_3(f_{u^*}) \\ &= \theta_{u^*}(t, f) + c'_1(f_{u^*}) \varphi_1(t) + c'_1(f_{u^*}) \varphi_1(t) + c'_2(f_{u^*}) \varphi_2(t) + c'_3(f_{u^*}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$\theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f) = -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}]. \quad (4.21)$$

By using (4.18) and (4.19), we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| &\leq |\tilde{u}(t) - (\theta_{u^*}(t, f) + c_1'(f_{u^*})\varphi_1(t) + c_2'(f_{u^*})\varphi_2(t) + c_3'(f_{u^*}))| \\ &\leq |\tilde{u}(t) - (\theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f) + c_1(f_{\tilde{u}})\varphi_1(t) + c_1(f_{\tilde{u}})\varphi_1(t) + c_2(f_{\tilde{u}})\varphi_2(t) + c_3(f_{\tilde{u}}))| \\ &\quad + |\theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f) - \theta_{u^*}(t, f)| + |(c_1(f_{\tilde{u}}) - c_1'(f_{u^*}))\varphi_1(t)| \\ &\quad + |(c_2(f_{\tilde{u}}) - c_2'(f_{u^*}))\varphi_2(t) + (c_3(f_{\tilde{u}}) - c_3'(f_{u^*}))|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

By setting

$$c_{33} = c_3(f_{\tilde{u}}) - c_3'(f_{u^*}) = \tilde{u}(a) - u^*(a), \quad c_{11} = c_1(f_{\tilde{u}}) - c_1'(f_{u^*}) \text{ and } c_{22} = c_2(f_{\tilde{u}}) - c_2'(f_{u^*}), \quad (4.23)$$

and

$$w(\eta) = \tilde{u}(\eta) - u^*(\eta) + \theta_{\tilde{u}}(\eta, f) - \theta_{u^*}(\eta, f) \text{ and } w(T) = \tilde{u}(T) - u^*(T) + \theta_{\tilde{u}}(T, f) - \theta_{u^*}(T, f). \quad (4.24)$$

It follows from (4.18) and (4.19), that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(\eta) & \varphi_2(\eta) \\ \varphi_1(T) & \varphi_2(T) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} \\ c_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w(\eta) \\ w(T) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.25)$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 and from estimation (4.21), it follows

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| \leq C_{\Phi}(t) + |\theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f) - \theta_{u^*}(t, f)| + c_{11}\varphi_1(t) + c_{22}\varphi_2(t) + c_{33}, \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f) - \theta_{u^*}(t, f)| &= \left| -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] - \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [u^*] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{u^*}] \right| \\ &\leq \left| -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} - f_{u^*}] \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Using Lemma 2.3 and (A₁), we have

$$\left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) \left[\left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] \right] = z_0(t) - \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma-\delta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$\left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} - f_{u^*}] \right| \leq \left| L_1 \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] \right| + L_2 \left| z_0(t) - \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma-\delta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] \right|, \quad (4.29)$$

where

$$z_0(t) = \frac{|\tilde{u}(a) - u^*(a)|}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varsigma)} \times \left(J_{a,t-}^{1-\delta,\psi} \right) \left[(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^{\varrho+\varsigma-1} \right]. \quad (4.30)$$

Set

$$q(t) = \mathcal{G}(t) + L_2 \frac{|\tilde{u}(a) - u^*(a)|}{\Gamma(\varrho + \varsigma)} \times \left(J_{a,t-}^{1-\delta,\psi} \right) \left[(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^{\varrho+\varsigma-1} \right], \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = C_{\Phi}(t) + c_{11}\varphi_1(t) + c_{22}\varphi_2(t) + c_{33}. \quad (4.32)$$

with

$$C_{\Phi}(t) = \epsilon \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\Phi] + c_1 (\epsilon \Phi) \varphi_1(t) + c_2 (\epsilon \Phi) \varphi_2(t) + c_3 (\epsilon \Phi). \quad (4.33)$$

This means that

$$p(t) \leq q(t) + \lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] + L_1 \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] + L_2 \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma-\delta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*]. \quad (4.34)$$

Using Lemma 2.12, the above inequality implies the estimation for $p(t)$ such as

$$p(t) \leq q(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\lambda \Gamma(\varsigma))^k}{\Gamma(k\varsigma)} \int_a^t \left[\psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{k\varsigma-1} \right] q(\tau) d\tau \\ & + \frac{(L_1 \Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma))^k}{\Gamma(k(\varrho+\varsigma))} \int_a^t \left[\psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{k(\varrho+\varsigma)-1} \right] q(\tau) d\tau \\ & + \frac{(L_2 \Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma-\delta))^k}{\Gamma(k(\varrho+\varsigma-\delta))} \int_a^t \left[\psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{k(\varrho+\varsigma-\delta)-1} \right] q(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned} \right). \quad (4.35)$$

Therefore, with (A_4) , the inequality (4.34) can be rewritten as

$$p(t) = |\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| \leq \epsilon l_{\Phi} \Phi(t). \quad (4.36)$$

By Remark 2.13, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) \leq q(t) & \left[E_{\varsigma,\psi} \left(\lambda \Gamma(\varsigma) (\psi(t))^{\varsigma} \right) + E_{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \left(\lambda \Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma) (\psi(t))^{\varrho+\varsigma} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + E_{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi} \left(\lambda \Gamma(\varrho+\varsigma+\delta) (\psi(t))^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we complete the proof. \square

Theorem 4.5. Assume that the assumptions (A_1) and (A_4) . If a continuously differentiable function $\tilde{u} : [a, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (4.2), where $\Phi : [a, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function with (A_3) , then there exists a unique continuous function $u^* : [a, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of problem (1.1) such that

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| \leq \epsilon l_{\Phi} \Phi(t), \quad (4.37)$$

with

$$|\tilde{u}(a) - u^*(a)| = |\tilde{u}(\eta) - u^*(\eta)| = |\tilde{u}(T) - u^*(T)| = 0. \quad (4.38)$$

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}^3([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the (4.2). In view of proof of Theorem 4.4, we get

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = C_{\Phi}(t) + c_{11} \varphi_1(t) + c_{22} \varphi_2(t) + c_{33} = C_{\Phi}(t) \quad (4.39)$$

with the conditions (4.38), we have

$$C_{\Phi}(t) = \epsilon \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\Phi] + \left(J_{a+,\eta}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\Phi] d_{11}(t) + \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\varrho+\varsigma,\psi} \right) [\Phi] - \mu \left(J_{a+,\xi}^{\varrho+\varsigma+\delta,\psi} \right) [\Phi] \right) d_{12}(t) \right|. \quad (4.40)$$

Set $q(t) = C_{\Phi}(t)$. Using Theorem 4.4 and (A_4) , we conclude that, the estimation for $p(t) = |u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)|$ such as (4.34). So the inequality (4.34) can be rewritten as

$$p(t) = |u(t) - \tilde{u}(t)| \leq \epsilon l_{\Phi} \Phi(t). \quad (4.41)$$

By Remark 2.13, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) \leq & q(t) \left[E_{\zeta, \psi} \left(\lambda \Gamma(\zeta) (\psi(t))^\zeta \right) + E_{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \left(\lambda \Gamma(\varrho + \zeta) (\psi(t))^{\varrho + \zeta} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + E_{\varrho + \zeta + \delta, \psi} \left(\lambda \Gamma(\varrho + \zeta + \delta) (\psi(t))^{\varrho + \zeta + \delta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the problem (1.1) is H-U-R stable. \square

Theorem 4.6. Assume that the assumptions (A_2) , (A_4) and (4.2) hold. Then the equation (1.1-a) is H-U-R stable.

Proof. By (A_2) and (4.21), we have

$$\left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}}] - \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [f_u] \right| \leq \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{\chi}] - \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [\chi] \right| \quad (4.42)$$

and

$$|\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| \leq C_\Phi(t) + |\theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f + g) - \theta_{u^*}(t, f)| + c_{11} |\varphi_1(t)| + c_{22} |\varphi_2(t)| + c_{33}, \quad (4.43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & |\theta_{\tilde{u}}(t, f + g) - \theta_{u^*}(t, f)| \\ &= \left| -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u}] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u} + g] - \left(-\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta, \psi} \right) [u^*] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [f_{u^*}] \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| -\lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] + \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} - f_{u^*}] \right| + \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [g] \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$p(t) \leq q(t) + \lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*], \quad (4.45)$$

where

$$q(t) = \mathcal{G}(t) + \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [\tilde{\chi}] - \left(J_{a+,t}^{\varrho + \zeta, \psi} \right) [\chi] \right|, \quad (4.46)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = C_\Phi(t) + c_{11} \varphi_1(t) + c_{22} \varphi_2(t) + c_{33}. \quad (4.47)$$

From the above, it follows

$$p(t) \leq q(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \Gamma(\zeta))^k}{\Gamma(k\zeta)} \int_a^t \left[\psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{k\zeta - 1} \right] q(\tau) d\tau. \quad (4.48)$$

By Remark 2.13, one can obtain

$$p(t) \leq q(t) E_{\zeta, \psi} \left(\lambda \Gamma(\zeta) (\psi(t))^\zeta \right).$$

\square

Remark 4.7. If $\Phi(t)$ is a constant function in the inequalities (4.2), then we say that (1.1-a) is H-U stable.

Corollary 4.8. Assume that the assumptions (A_2) , (A_4) and (4.2) hold. Then the equation (1.1-a) with (4.37) is H-U-R stable.

Proof. Using Theorem 4.6, we have

$$p(t) \leq q(t) + \lambda \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*], \quad (4.49)$$

where

$$p(t) = |\tilde{u}(t) - u(t)| \text{ and } q(t) = C_\Phi(t) + \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{\chi}] - \left(J_{a+,t}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [\chi] \right|. \quad (4.50)$$

We conclude that

$$p(t) \leq q(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \Gamma(\zeta))^k}{\Gamma(k\zeta)} \int_a^t \left[\psi'(\tau) (\psi(t) - \psi(\tau))^{k\zeta-1} \right] q(\tau) d\tau. \quad (4.51)$$

By Remark 2.13, one can obtain

$$p(t) \leq q(t) E_{\zeta,\psi}(\lambda \Gamma(\zeta) (\psi(t))^\zeta).$$

□

Theorem 4.9. Assume that the assumptions (A_1) and (4.2) with (4.38) hold. Then the problem (1.1) is U-H stable and consequently generalized U-H stable.

Proof. Let u^* be a unique solution of the fractional Langevin type problem (1.1), that is, $u^*(t) = (\Psi u^*)(t)$. Assume that $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}([a, T], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the (4.2) By using the estimation

$$|(\Psi \tilde{u})(t) - (\Psi u^*)(t)| \leq \lambda \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] \right| + \left| \left(J_{a+,t}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_{u^*} - (f_{\tilde{u}} + g)] \right| + |\phi_{\tilde{u}}(f + g) - \phi_{u^*}(t, f)|, \quad (4.52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_{\tilde{u}}(f + g) - \phi_{u^*}(t, f)| \\ &= d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+,t}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} - f_{u^*}] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} - f_{u^*}] - \mu \left(J_{a+,t}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [f_{\tilde{u}} - f_{u^*}] \right) \\ &+ \lambda d_{21}(t) \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] - \lambda d_{22}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{\zeta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] - \mu \left(J_{a+,t}^{\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] \right) \\ &+ d_{11}(t) \left(J_{a+,t}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [g] + d_{12}(t) \left(\left(J_{a+,T}^{q+\zeta,\psi} \right) [g] - \mu \left(J_{a+,t}^{q+\zeta+\delta,\psi} \right) [g] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.53)$$

Taking the maximum over $[a, T]$, we get

$$\sup_{t \in [a, T]} |(\Psi \tilde{u})(t) - (\Psi u^*)(t)| \leq \varsigma_{11} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| + \varsigma_{12} \sup_{t \in [a, T]} \left| \left({}^c D_{a+,t}^{\delta,\psi} \right) [\tilde{u} - u^*] \right| + \epsilon \varsigma_{13}, \quad (4.54)$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and (4.53), we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [a, T]} |(\Psi \tilde{u})(t) - (\Psi u^*)(t)| \leq (\varsigma_{11} + \varsigma_{12} \kappa_0) \sup_{t \in [a, T]} |\tilde{u}(t) - u^*(t)| + \epsilon \varsigma_{13}, \quad (4.55)$$

where

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} (\psi(T) - \psi(a))^{1-\delta}; \quad (4.56)$$

We conclude that

$$\|\tilde{u} - u^*\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon \varsigma_{13}}{(1 - \varsigma_{11} - \varsigma_{12} \kappa_0)}, \quad 0 < 1 - \varsigma_{11} - \varsigma_{12} \kappa_0 < 1. \quad (4.57)$$

Thus problem (1.1) is U-H stable. Further, using implies that solution of (1.1) is generalized U-H stable. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.10. *Let the conditions of Theorem 4.9 hold. Then the problem (1.1) is generalized U-H-R stable.*

Proof. Set $\epsilon = 1$ in the proof of Theorem 4.9, we get

$$\|\tilde{u} - u^*\|_\infty \leq \frac{\zeta_{13}}{(1 - \zeta_{11} - \zeta_{12}\kappa_0)}, \quad 0 < 1 - \zeta_{11} - \zeta_{12}\kappa_0 < 1. \quad (4.58)$$

□

Remark 4.11. (i) Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.5, we consider (1.1) and the inequality (4.2), one can renew the same procedure to confirm that (1.1) is U-H stable.

(ii) Other stability results for the equation (1.1) can be discussed similarly.

5 Applications

Will be provided in the revised submission.

6 Conclusion

The Langevin equation has been introduced to characterize dynamical processes in a fractal medium in which the fractal and memory features with a dissipative memory kernel are incorporated. Therefore, the consideration of Langevin equation in frame of fractional derivatives settings would be providing better interpretation for real phenomena. Consequently, scholars have considered different versions of Langevin equation and thus many interesting papers have been reported in this regard. However, one can notice that most of existing results have been carried out with respect to the classical fractional derivatives.

In this paper, we have tried to promote the current results and considered the FLE in a general platform. The boundary value problem of nonlinear FLE involving ψ - fractional operator was investigated. We employ the the newly accommodated ψ - fractional calculus to prove the following for the considered problem:

- (i.) The existence and uniqueness of solutions: Techniques of fixed point theorems are used to prove the results. Prior to the main theorems, the forms of solutions are derived for both linear and nonlinear problems.
- (ii.) Stability in sense of Ulam: We adopt the required definitions of U-H stability with respect to ψ - fractional derivative. The U-H-R and generalized U-H-R stability of the solution are discussed. Gronwall inequality and integration by parts in frame of ψ - fractional drivative are also employed to complete the proofs.
- (iii.) Applications: Couple of particular examples are addressed at the end of the paper to show the consistency of the theoretical results.

We claim that the results of this paper are new and generalize some earlier results. For further investigation, one can propose to study the properties of the solution of the considered problem via some numerical computations and simulations. We leave this as promising future work. Results obtained in the present paper can be considered as a contribution to the developing field of fractional calculus via generalized fractional derivative operators.

Availability of data and material

Not applicable.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Not applicable.

Author's contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly to this paper. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

J. Alzabut would like to thank Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group Nonlinear Analysis Methods in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM) group number RG-DES-2017-01-17.

References

- [1] A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (2006).
- [2] R. Herrmann, *Fractional Calculus for Physicist*, world scientific publ. (2014).
- [3] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, San Diego, California, (1999).
- [4] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2010).

- [5] S.G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Mariche, *Fractional integrals and derivatives*, translated from the 1987 Russian original. Yverdon: Gordon and Breach, (1993).
- [6] T. J. Osler, *Fractional derivatives of a composite function*. SIAM J Math Anal **1** (1970), 288-293.
- [7] R. Almeida, *A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function*, Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat **44**(2017), 460-481.
- [8] V. Kiryakova, *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*. Longman & J. Wiley, Harlow, New York (1994).
- [9] O. P. Agrawal, *Some generalized fractional calculus operators and their applications in integral equations*, Fract Calc Anal Appl **15**, **4** (2012).
- [10] M. D. Kassim, N.-E. Tatar, *Stability of logarithmic type for a Hadamard fractional differential problem*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. **11**(2020), 447-466.
- [11] Y. Luchko and J.J. Trujillo, *Caputo-type modification of the erdélyi-kober fractional derivative*, Fract Calc Appl Anal. **3**, **10** (2007), 249-67.
- [12] B. Ahmad and S. K. Ntouyas, *A fully Hadamard type integral boundary value problem of a coupled system of fractional differential equations*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **17**(2014), 348- 360.
- [13] B. Ahmad and S. K. Ntouyas, *Initial value problems of fractional order Hadamard-type functional differential equations*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2015**, **77**. Zbl 1320.34109, (2015).
- [14] M. M. Matar, *Solution of sequential Hadamard fractional differential equations by variation of parameter technique*, Abs. Appl. Anal., Vol. **2018**(2018), 9605353, 7 pages, .
- [15] M. M. Matar and O. A. Al-Salmy, *Existence and uniqueness of solution for Hadamard fractional sequential differential equations*, IUG J. of Natural Studies, March, 2017(2017), 141-147.
- [16] Y. Adjabi, F. Jarad , D. Baleanu and T. Abdeljawad, *On Cauchy problems with Caputo Hadamard fractional derivatives*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **4**, **21** (2016) , 661-681.
- [17] B. Ahmad, M. M. Matar and O. M. EL-Salmy, *Existence of solutions and ulam stability for Caputo type sequential fractional differential equations of order $\varrho \in (2,3)$* , Int. J. Anal. Appl. Vol. **15**, **1**(2017), 86-101.
- [18] M. A. Darwich and S. K. Ntouyas, *Existence results for a fractional functional differential equation of mixed type*, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. **15**(2008), 47-55.
- [19] V. Obukhovskii, P. Zecca and M. Afanasova, *On Some boundary Value problems for fractional feedback control systems*, Differential Eq. Dyn. Sys. DOI: 10.1007/s12591 – 018-0435-5, (2018).
- [20] M. El-Shahed, *Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **18**, (2007).
- [21] E. M. Elsayed, *On the existence and stability of solution of boundary value problem for fractional integro-differential equations with complex order*, Filomat **32**, No 8, (2018).

- [22] R. A. Yan, S. R. Sun and Z. L. Han, *Existence of solutions of boundary value problems for Caputo fractional differential equations on time scales*, Bulletin of The Iranian Mathematical Society Article 3, Vol. **42**, 2(2016), 247- 262.
- [23] Y. Zhao, S. Sun and Z. Han and Q. Li, *The existence of multiple positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Commu. in Nonlinear Sci. and Num. Simu. Vol. **16**, 4(2011), 2086-2097.
- [24] H. Qin, X. Zuo and J. Liu, *Existence and controllability results for fractional impulsive integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces*, Abs. Appl. Anal. Vol. 2013, Article ID 295837, 12 pages, (2013).
- [25] R. Sakthivel, Y. Ren and N. I. Mahmudov, *On the approximate controllability of semilinear fractional differential systems*, Comput. Math. Appl., **62**, pp. 1451-1459, (2011).
- [26] G. Rajchakit, A. Pratap, R. Raja, J. Cao, J. Alzabut, C. Huang, *Hybrid control scheme for projective lag synchronization of Riemann Liouville sense fractional order memristive BAM neural networks with mixed delays* Mathematics 2019, **7**, 759; doi : 10.3390/math7080759.
- [27] M. Ismail, U. Saeed, J. Alzabut, M. ur Rehman, *Approximate solutions for fractional boundary value problems via Green-CAS method*, Mathematics 2019, **7**, 1164; doi : 10.3390/math7121164.
- [28] P. Langevin, *Sur la théorie du mouvement brownien [On the theory of Brownian motion]*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. **146** : pp. 530-533,.(1908).
- [29] R. Kubo, *The fluctuation-dissipation theorem*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **29**, pp. 255-284, (1966).
- [30] E. Lutz, *Fractional Langevin equation*. Phys. Rev. E **64**, 051106, pp. 1-4, (2001).
- [31] F. Mainardi and P. Pironi, *The fractional Langevin equation: Brownian motion revisited*. Extracta Math., Vol. **10**, pp. 140-154, (1996).
- [32] S.C. Lim, M. Li and L.P. Teo, *Langevin equation with two fractional orders*, Phys. Lett. A **372**(2008), 6309-6320.
- [33] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi and S. Salem, *On a nonlocal integral boundary value problem of nonlinear Langevin equation with different fractional orders*, Adv. Differ. Equ. 2019 : **57** , <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2003-u>, (2019).
- [34] C. Kiataramkul, K. N. Sotiris, J. Tariboon and A. Kijjathanakorn, *Generalized Sturm-Liouville and Langevin equations via Hadamard fractional derivatives with anti-periodic boundary conditions*, Bou. Val. Prob., (2016).
- [35] W. Sudsutad, S. K.Ntouyas and J. Tariboon, *Systems of fractional Langevin equations of Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard types*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2015 : **235** (2015).
- [36] X. Li, S. Sun and Y. Sun, *Existence of solutions for fractional Langevin equation with infinite-point boundary conditions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **53**(1)(2016), 1-10.

- [37] W. Yukunthorn, S. K. Ntouyas and J. Tariboon, *Nonlinear fractional Caputo–Langevin equation with nonlocal Riemann–Liouville fractional integral conditions*, Adv. Differ. Equ. 2014, **315**, (2014).
- [38] Z. Zhou and Y. Qiao, *Solutions for a class of fractional Langevin equations with integral and anti-periodic boundary conditions*, Bou. Val. Prob., <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13661-018-1070-3>, 2018 : **152**, (2018).
- [39] H. Zhou, J. Alzabut and L. Yang, *On fractional Langevin differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions*, The Europ. Phy. J. Special Top. **226**(2017), 3577-3590.
- [40] B. Ahmad, J. J. Nieto, A. Alsaedi and M. El-Shahed, *A study of nonlinear Langevin equation involving two fractional orders in different intervals*, Nonlinear Anal. **13**(2012), 599-606.
- [41] D. R. Smart, *Fixed point theorems*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1980).
- [42] S.Y. Lin, *Generalized Gronwall inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2013 : **549** (2013) , 9 pages.
- [43] S.Y. Lin, *Generalized Gronwall inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations*, J. of Ineq. and Appl. **2013** (2013): 549.
- [44] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of mathematical problems*, Interscience, New York, (1968).
- [45] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **27**(1941), 222-224.
- [46] T. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Applicandae Mathematica **62** (1) (2000), 23-130.
- [47] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**(1978), 297 -300.
- [48] J. R. Wang and Z. Lin, *Ulam’s type stability of Hadamard type fractional integral equations*, Filomat **28**, 7(2014), 1323-1331.
- [49] S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations in mathematical analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, (2001).
- [50] M. Ahmad, A. Zada, J. Alzabut, *Hyers–Ulam Stability of Coupled System of Fractional Differential Equations of Hilfer–Hadamard Type*, Demonstr. Math. 2019; **52** : 283 – 295.
- [51] M. Ahmad, A. Zada, J. Alzabut, *Stability analysis for a nonlinear coupled implicit switched singular fractional differential system with p -Laplacian*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2019 : **436**, 2019.
- [52] R. Gorenflo, A. A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi and S. Rogosin, *Mittag-Leffler Functions, Related Topics and Applications*, Springer, Berlin, (2014).
- [53] A. Salem, F. Alzahrani and L. Almaghamisi, *Fractional Langevin equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions*, Mathematics, **7**, 402 (2019) , 10 pages.