

A regularity criterion for three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations in Besov spaces of negative regular indices

Maria Alessandra Ragusa*

Department of Mathematics, University of Catania,

Viale Andrea Doria No. 6, Catania 95128, Italy

RUDN University,

6 Miklukho -Maklay St, Moscow, 117198, Russia

Fan Wu†

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan Normal University,

Changsha, Hunan 410081, China

Abstract: In this article, we study regularity criteria for the 3D micropolar fluid equations in terms of one partial derivative of the velocity. It is proved that if

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} dt < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

then, the solutions of the micropolar fluid equations actually are smooth on $(0, T)$. This improves and extends many previous results.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35Q35, 35B65, 76N10

Keywords: Micropolar fluid equations; Regularity criteria; Besov spaces

1 Introduction

We are interested in the regularity of weak solutions to the incompressible micropolar fluid equations in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla \pi = \Delta u + \nabla \times \omega, \\ \partial_t \omega + (u \cdot \nabla)\omega + 2\omega = \Delta \omega + \nabla \nabla \cdot \omega + \nabla \times u, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \omega(x, 0) = \omega_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \geq 0$, $u = u(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\omega = \omega(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\pi = \pi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ denote the velocity field, the micro-rotation field and the pressure, respectively.

*maragusa@dmi.unict.it

†wufan0319@yeah.net

Micropolar fluids represent a class of fluids with nonsymmetric stress tensor (called polar fluids) such as fluids consisting of suspending particles, dumbbell molecules, (see, e.g., [6, 7, 8]). Mathematically, many authors [19, 21, 4] treated the well-posedness and large-time behaviour of solutions to system (1.1). However, the issue of global regularity of weak solutions to (1.1) remains an open problem. In the absence of micro-rotational effects ($\omega = 0$), this system reduces to well-known incompressible Navier Stokes equations. Therefore, it is an interesting thing that regularity of a given weak solution of the 3D micropolar fluids or the 3D Navier-Stokes equations can be shown under some additional conditions, and over the years different criteria for regularity of the weak solutions have been proposed. Some fundamental Serrin-type regularity criteria for system (1.1) in terms of the velocity only were carried out in [22, 23, 3] independently. Recently, some improvement and extension were made on the basis of the present paper (see e.g. [5, 14, 25, 20] and the references therein) were derived to guarantee the regularity of the weak solution.

In this paper, we are concerned with the regularity conditions of partial components of three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations. In this respect, Jia et al. [17] showed that if

$$\partial_3 u \in L^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}(0, T; L^{p, \infty}) \quad \text{with} \quad 3 < p \leq \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

or

$$\partial_1 u_1, \partial_2 u_2 \in L^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}}(0, T; L^{p, \infty}) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

then the solution is smooth on $(0, T)$. Later, in [10], the authors extended the regularity criterion (1.2) to the Morrey-Campanato spaces. More precisely, they obtained following interesting regularity criterion

$$\partial_3 u \in L^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(0, T; \dot{M}_{2, \frac{3}{r}}) \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < r < 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Recently, many authors refine earlier results from the Lebesgue spaces to Besov spaces. Zhang [25] and Yuan [24] refined the BKM criterion

$$u \in L^{\frac{2}{1-r}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^r) \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\nabla_h u, \nabla_h \omega \in L^1(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^0), \quad (1.6)$$

or

$$\nabla_h u, \nabla_h \omega \in L^{\frac{8}{3}}(0, T; \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}), \quad (1.7)$$

respectively. Readers interested in regularity criteria for other incompressible models may refer to [11, 12, 13, 18, 14, 2, 9, 15, 16, 26].

Motivated by the references mentioned above, the purpose of the present paper is to extend the regularity criterion of weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations (1.1) in terms of one partial derivative of the velocity in the framework of the homogeneous Besov space, which improves the recently results of [17, 10].

Now, we state our results as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that the initial velocity and micro-rotation field $(u_0, \omega_0) \in H^1(R^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$. Let (u, ω) be a weak solution to the system (1.1) on some interval $[0, T]$ with $0 < T < \infty$. If additionally

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} dt < \infty \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < r < 1 \quad (1.8)$$

then, the solution (u, ω) smooth on $[0, T]$.

Remark 1.1 For $2 \leq p \leq \frac{3}{r}$ and $0 \leq r < \frac{3}{2}$, we have following inclusion relations:

$$L^{\frac{3}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{3}{r}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{M}_{p, \frac{3}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{M}_{2, \frac{3}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.1 can be regarded as an extension of condition (1.2) and (1.4).

Remark 1.2 If let $\omega = 0$ in system (1.1), it is clear that Theorem 1.1 improve and extend the results in [14, 18] for the 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations.

Remark 1.3 Different from the literatures [17, 10], we estimate the first equation of (1.1) to obtain the priori estimate of the velocity field u , and then use the iterative method to estimate the micro-rotation field ω to obtain the regularity condition of the solution for the three-dimensional micropolar fluid equation.

Next, in order to derive the regularity criterion of weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations (1.1), we introduce the definition of a weak solution.

Definition 1.1 Let $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$, $\omega_0 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. A measurable \mathbb{R}^3 -valued pair (u, ω) is called a weak solution to system (1.1) on $(0, T)$, provided that following two conditions hold,

- (1) $(u, \omega) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$;
- (2) (u, ω) verifies system (1.1) in the distributions sense.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We shall present some preliminary on functional settings and some useful lemmas in Section 2, while the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be presented in Section 3.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some lemmas and introduce some basic facts on Littlewood-Paley theory, which will be used in the proof of our main results.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing functions. Given $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f = \hat{f}$ is defined as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Let (χ, φ) be a couple of smooth functions valued in $[0, 1]$ such that χ is supported in $B = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$, φ is supported in $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ such that

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}.$$

Denoting $\varphi_j = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)$, $h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi$ and $\tilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi$, the dyadic blocks are defined as follows, respectively.

$$\Delta_j f = \varphi(2^{-j}D)f = 2^{3j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(2^j y) f(x - y) dy,$$

$$\dot{S}_j f = \sum_{k \leq j-1} \Delta_k f = \chi(2^{-j}D)f = 2^{3j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{h}(2^j y) f(x - y) dy.$$

Furthermore, the above dyadic decomposition has nice properties of quasi-orthogonality, namely,

$$\dot{\Delta}_j \dot{\Delta}_q f \equiv 0 \quad \text{with } |j - q| \geq 2.$$

We have the following formal decomposition:

$$f = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\Delta}_j f \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the set of polynomials, which can be found in [1] about the details of the Littlewood-Paley decomposition theory.

Lets now recall the homogeneous Besov spaces.

Definition 2.1 Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, (p, q) \in [1, \infty]^2$, the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s$ is defined by

$$\dot{B}_{p,q}^s = \{f \in \mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^3); \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \begin{cases} (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|\Delta_j f\|_p^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} & q < \infty, \\ \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_p & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathcal{Z}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the dual space of

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3); D^\alpha \hat{f}(0) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3\}.$$

Finally, for completeness, we give following Bernstein's inequalities and interpolation inequality (see [1]), which plays an important role in the proof of main results.

Lemma 2.1 Let $1 \leq q < p < \infty$ and α be a positive real number. A constant C exists such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{1-\theta}}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{q,q}^\beta}^\theta, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\beta = \alpha(\frac{p}{q} - 1)$ and $\theta = \frac{q}{p}$.

Lemma 2.2 [2] Let μ, θ, λ and κ be four numbers satisfying the following relations

$$1 \leq \mu, \theta, \lambda, \kappa < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\kappa} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{3}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\kappa}.$$

Assume that $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with $\partial_1 \varphi \in L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^3), \partial_2 \varphi \in L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\partial_3 \varphi \in L^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(\theta, \lambda, \kappa)$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\mu} \leq C \|\partial_1 \varphi\|_{L^\theta}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 \varphi\|_{L^\lambda}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L^\kappa}^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Especially, when $\theta = \lambda = 2$ and $1 \leq \kappa < \infty$, there exists a constant $C = C(\kappa)$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{3\kappa}} \leq C \|\partial_1 \varphi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 \varphi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 \varphi\|_{L^\kappa}^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (2.3)$$

which holds for any φ with $\partial_1 \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \partial_2 \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\partial_3 \varphi \in L^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is based on the establishment of a priori estimates under condition (1.8).

Multiplying the first equation of (1.1) by u , and the second equation of (1.1) by ω , integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , then we add the resulting equations, it yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^2}^2 = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Integrating in time, we get the fundamental energy estimate

$$\frac{1}{2} \|(u, \omega)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|(\nabla u, \nabla \omega)(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t 2\|\omega(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla \cdot \omega(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(u_0, \omega_0)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1 Assume that $u_0, \omega_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$, let $T > 0$, (u, ω) is the weak solution to the system (1.1). If there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that the following conditions holds,

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} dt < K \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad (3.3)$$

then, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C, \quad (3.4)$$

where constant C depending only on u_0, ω_0, T and K .

Proof: Differentiating the first equation of system (1.1) about space variable x_3 , then multiplying the resulting equation by $\partial_3 u$, and integrating it we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_3 u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3(\nabla \times \omega) \cdot \partial_3 u dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By Young inequality, standard multiplicative inequality, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we can estimate I_1 and I_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3 u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_3 u dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{H}^r} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{1-r} \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^r \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} (\|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{2-r}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{2 \cdot \frac{1-r}{2-r}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{2-r}})^{\frac{2-r}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{2-r}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{2 \cdot \frac{1-r}{2-r}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{2-r}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C [(\|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{1-r}})^{\frac{1-r}{2-r}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2-r}}] \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{2 \cdot \frac{1-r}{2-r}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-r}}^{\frac{2}{1-r}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$|I_2| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3(\nabla \times \omega) \cdot \partial_3 u dx \right| \leq \|\partial_{33} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) together, then we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(1 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2)(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\frac{2}{1-r}}}^2). \quad (3.8)$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality to (3.8) yields that

$$(1 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2) \leq (1 + \|\partial_3 u_0\|_{L^2}^2) \exp\{C \int_0^T (1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{\frac{2}{1-r}}}^2) d\tau\}, \quad (3.9)$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (3.10)$$

Next, multiplying the first equation of system (1.1) by Δu , integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \Delta u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \omega) \cdot \Delta u dx \\ &= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

For J_1 , by using the interpolation inequality and Lemma 2.2 (with $\kappa = 2$ in (2.3)), it is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^3 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_1 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= C (\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3 \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3 \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

For J_2 , we have

$$J_2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Substituting (3.12), (3.13) in (3.11), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + e)(\|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 1). \quad (3.14)$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality yields that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq (e + \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2) \exp\left\{\int_0^T (\|\nabla \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) d\tau\right\}, \quad (3.15)$$

which gives that

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)). \quad (3.16)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Taking the inner product of system (1.1)₁ with $-\Delta u$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \Delta u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times \omega \cdot \Delta u dx. \quad (3.17)$$

On the other hand, testing (1.1)₂ by $-\Delta \omega$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla \omega \cdot \Delta \omega dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times u \cdot \Delta \omega dx. \quad (3.18)$$

Plugging (3.17) and (3.18) together, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \Delta u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times \omega \cdot \Delta u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla \omega \cdot \Delta \omega dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times u \cdot \Delta \omega dx \\ &= K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

By using Hölder and Young inequalities, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 + K_4 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times \omega \cdot \Delta u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times u \cdot \Delta \omega dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \times \omega\|_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla \times u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq 2C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2C \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Combining (3.19) and (3.20), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq 4C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 4C \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \Delta u dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla \omega \cdot \Delta \omega dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

By the Hölder inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Sobolev inequality, the last two terms of (3.21) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \Delta u dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \nabla \omega \cdot \Delta \omega dx \\ &\leq 2 \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + 2 \|u \cdot \nabla \omega\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|u \cdot \nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) + \epsilon \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) + \epsilon \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2)^2 + 2\epsilon \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Plugging estimates (3.22) into (3.21), give us to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \omega\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \omega\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq 4C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 4C \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Setting $Y(t) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + e$, from (3.23) we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln Y(t) \leq 4C + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) \ln Y(t). \quad (3.24)$$

Due to the Gronwall inequality and Lemma 3.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln Y(t) &\leq \left(\ln(e + \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega_0\|_{L^2}^2) + 4CT \right) \exp \int_0^T C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ &\leq \left(C + 4CT \right) \exp C \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Combining the basic energy estimate (3.2) and taking the exponential function on both side of (3.25), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2) \leq \exp\{(C + 4CT) \exp\{CT(\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_0\|_{L^2}^2)\}\} < \infty, \quad (3.26)$$

thus

$$u, \omega \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments

The first author is partially supported by I.N.D.A.M.-G.N.A.M.P.A. 2019 and the “RUDN University Program 5-100”.

References

- [1] Bahouri H, Chemin J Y, Danchin R. Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [2] Cao C, Wu J. Two regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations. Journal of Differential Equations, 2010, 248(9): 2263-2274.
- [3] Dong B, Chen Z. Regularity criteria of weak solutions to the three-dimensional micropolar flows[J]. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 2009, 50(10): 103525.
- [4] Dong B, Zhang Z. Global regularity of the 2D micropolar fluid flows with zero angular viscosity. Journal of Differential Equations, 2010, 249(1): 200-213.
- [5] Dong B, Zhang W. On the regularity criterion for three-dimensional micropolar fluid flows in Besov spaces. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 2010, 73(7): 2334-2341.
- [6] Erdogan M. Polar effects in the apparent viscosity of a suspension. Rheologica Acta, 1970, 9(3): 434-438.
- [7] Eringen A. Theory of micropolar fluids. Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, 1966, 16: 1-18.
- [8] Eringen A. Micropolar fluids with stretch. International Journal of Engineering Science, 1969, 7(1): 115-127.

- [9] Gala S. On regularity criteria for the three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations in the critical Morrey-Campanato space. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 2011, 12(4): 2142-2150.
- [10] Gala S, Ragusa M A. A regularity criterion for 3D micropolar fluid flows in terms of one partial derivative of the velocity. *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, 2016, 116: 217-228.
- [11] Gala S, Liu Q, Ragusa M A. Logarithmically improved regularity criterion for the nematic liquid crystal flows in $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$ space. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 2013, 65(11): 1738-1745.
- [12] Gala S, Liu Q, Ragusa M A. A new regularity criterion for the nematic liquid crystal flows. *Applicable Analysis*, 2012, 91(9): 1741-1747.
- [13] Gala S, Ragusa M A. A remark on the Beale-Kato-Majda criterion for the 3D MHD equations with zero magnetic diffusivity. *AIP Conference Proceedings*. AIP Publishing, 2016, 1738(1): 480115.
- [14] Guo Z, Gala S. A regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of one directional derivative of the velocity field. *Analysis and Applications*, 2012, 10(04): 373-380.
- [15] Ji E, Lee J. Some regularity criteria for the 3D incompressible magnetohydrodynamics. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2010, 369(1): 317-322.
- [16] Jia X. A new scaling invariant regularity criterion for the 3D MHD equations in terms of horizontal gradient of horizontal components. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 2015, 50: 1-4.
- [17] Jia Y, Zhang X, Zhang W, et al. Remarks on the regularity criteria of weak solutions to the three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, 2013, 29(4): 869-880.
- [18] Liu Q. A Regularity Criterion for the Navier-Stokes Equations in Terms of One Directional Derivative of the Velocity. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 2015, 140(1): 1-9.
- [19] Rojas-Medar M. Magneto-micropolar fluid motion: existence and uniqueness of strong solution. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 1997, 188(1): 301-319.
- [20] Wang Y, Yuan H. A logarithmically improved blow-up criterion for smooth solutions to the 3D micropolar fluid equations. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 2012, 13(4): 1904-1912.
- [21] Yamazaki K. Global regularity of the two-dimensional magneto-micropolar fluid system with zero angular viscosity. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst*, 2015, 35: 2193-2207.
- [22] Yuan B. On regularity criteria for weak solutions to the micropolar fluid equations in Lorentz space. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 2010, 138(6): 2025-2036.
- [23] Yuan B. Regularity of weak solutions to magneto-micropolar fluid equations. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 2010, 30(5): 1469-1480.
- [24] Yuan B, Li X. Blow-up criteria of smooth solutions to the three-dimensional micropolar fluid equations in Besov space. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series S*, 2016, 9(6): 2167-2179.
- [25] Zhang Z. A regularity criterion for the three-dimensional micropolar fluid system in homogeneous Besov spaces. *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, 2016, 2016(104): 1-6.
- [26] Zhang Z, Yang X. Remarks on the blow-up criterion for the MHD system involving horizontal components or their horizontal gradients. *Annales Polonici Mathematici. Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk*, 2016, 116: 87-99.