

Vertex representations of quantum N -toroidal algebras for type C

Naihuan Jing, Zhucheng Xu, and Honglian Zhang*

ABSTRACT. Quantum N -toroidal algebras are generalizations of quantum affine algebras and quantum toroidal algebras. In this paper we construct a level-one vertex representation of the quantum N -toroidal algebra for type C . In particular, we also obtain a level-one module of the quantum toroidal algebra for type C as a special case.

1. Introduction

The N -toroidal Lie algebra is the universal central extension of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$ associated to the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The most important subclasses are the 1-toroidal or the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the 2-toroidal Lie algebra or simply the toroidal Lie algebra. It is well-known that the affine Kac-Moody algebra is the one-dimensional universal central extension of the loop algebra, while the N -toroidal Lie algebra ($N \geq 2$) is an infinite-dimensional central extension of the loop algebra. Extensive studies have been done on representations of toroidal Lie algebras (cf. [26], [27] etc.), for instance, the vertex representations of the toroidal Lie algebras $T(\mathfrak{g})$ were constructed by Moody-Rao-Yokonuma [26] in simply laced types, generalizing the Frenkel-Kac construction [8].

As generalization of the quantum affine algebras, quantum toroidal algebras were introduced [12] in the study of geometric Langlands conjecture to algebraic surfaces. As quantum affinization, representations and structures of the quantum affine algebras have been studied first for finite-dimensional representations (cf. [2],[3],[14] etc.) and then the vertex representations (cf. [6], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21] etc.). Varagnolo and Vasserot [30] proved a Schur-Weyl duality between the quantum toroidal algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ and Cherednik's double affine Hecke algebras. The vertex representations of the quantum toroidal algebras in type A were constructed [28] as analogues of the Frenkel-Jing construction [6] of the quantum affine algebras, and then the basic (vertex) representations of the quantum toroidal algebras in the simply laced types were constructed [7] via the McKay correspondence. In a series of papers [23, 24, 25], Miki studied the structures of the quantum toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ exclusively in type A . Vertex operator realization of the quantum toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{tor})$ of type A was given in terms of the basic module for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N$ [9]. Much in depth work was done for the quantum toroidal algebras of type A (including the two parametric deformation) [4] [5], Yangians of toroidal types [11] [13] and also in the viewpoint of quantum affinization [15]. In spite of all these, it is still some away from full understanding of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 17B67.

Key words and phrases. quantum N -toroidal algebra, vertex operator, Fock space.

the quantum toroidal algebras in type A, and even less is known for the representation theory of other types.

Recently, quantum N -toroidal algebras have been introduced by Gao, Jing, Xia, and Zhang [10] as natural generalization of the quantum toroidal algebras by replacing the 2-torus with the N -torus. The new quantum algebras can be realized as quotient algebras of the quantum GIM algebras [22], which generalize Slodowy's GIM algebras in connection with algebraic geometry [29, 1]. This offers a new perspective to understand quantum toroidal algebras. As nontrivial realization, vertex representations of the quantum N -toroidal algebras for simply-laced types have been constructed in [10], generalizing [6].

The goal of this paper is to construct a level-one module for the quantum N -toroidal algebra of type C_n , which provides new example of realization of the algebra for non-simply laced types and a model to understand enlargement of the torus. Unlike the simply laced types [6], the vertex operators coming from the internal quantum Heisenberg subalgebra do not satisfy the Drinfeld commutation relations in the non-simply laced types. As in quantum affine algebras [20], we need to incorporate an auxiliary bosonic field to the vertex operator associated to each simple short root of the underlying Lie algebra. In our construction, one sees that $N - 1$ copies of the affine Heisenberg algebra stand at the common underlying lattice to represent additional dimension in the torus and similarly the auxiliary Heisenberg subalgebra also bears similar property. In the proof, we try to offer more details on those special for non-simply laced situations.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the definition of the quantum N -toroidal algebra for type C_n via generating functions. We construct the Fock space and vertex operators in section 3. In the last section, we state our main result of the construction and prove in detail that the module realizes the quantum N -toroidal algebra in type C_n at level one.

2. Quantum N -toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$

In the paper, we always assume that \mathfrak{g} is the simple Lie algebra of type C_n ($n \geq 3$). We review the notion of the quantum N -toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$ of type C_n in this section. For this, we start with some notations and data of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let $I = \{0, \dots, n\}$, $I_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $I_a = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Set \mathbb{R}^n as the real Euclidean space with the inner product $(\ | \)$ and fix an orthogonal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $(e_i | e_j) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}$. The root system of \mathfrak{g} is spanned by the simple roots α_i , where $\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$), $\alpha_n = 2e_n$. So $(\alpha_i | \alpha_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $(\alpha_n | \alpha_n) = 2$. Then the weight lattice and root lattice of \mathfrak{g} are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P} &= \{ \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_i | k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \\ \dot{Q} &= \{ \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \alpha_i | k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ the affine Lie algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} , let δ be the null root of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfying $(\alpha_i | \delta) = 0 = (\delta | \delta)$, $i \in I_0$, where the invariant bilinear form $(\ | \)$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ extends that of \mathfrak{g} . The set of simple roots for the root system of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (in type $C_n^{(1)}$) is given by

$$\Pi = \{ \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \},$$

where $\alpha_0 = \delta - 2\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \dots - 2\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$. The affine root and weight lattices are

$$Q = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i, \quad P = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z} \Lambda_i,$$

where Λ_i are defined by $\Lambda_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\Lambda_i(d) = 0$ for the gradation d . Here $\alpha_j^\vee = \frac{2\alpha_j}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}$ for $j \in I$. Then the affine Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is given by $a_{ij} = (\alpha_j | \alpha_i^\vee)$ for $i, j \in I$. So $d_i a_{ij} = (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = d_j a_{ji}$ and A is symmetrized by the diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_i | i \in I)$, where $(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n) = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ for type $C_n^{(1)}$.

As Lie algebra, the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ takes the form of the central extension of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$, that is, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}$ with the bracket $[x \otimes t^a, y \otimes t^b] = [x, y] \otimes t^{a+b} + a(x|y)\delta_{a+b,0}\mathbf{c}$, where $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathbf{c} is a nonzero central element.

For generic q , we set $q_i = q^{d_i}$, $i \in I$. Fix $N \geq 2$, let $J = \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $e_s = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ the s -th standard unit vector of the $(N-1)$ -dimensional lattice \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} . The general vector of \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} will be denoted by $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{N-1})$, and $\underline{0}$ is the $(N-1)$ -dimensional zero vector. For the formal variable $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{N-1})$, denote $\underline{z}^{\underline{k}} = \prod_{s=1}^{N-1} z_s^{k_s}$.

We also need formal generating functions in the variables \underline{z} and z , for instance, we set for each $s \in J$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^k, & x_i^\pm(\underline{z}) &= \sum_{\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1}} x_i^\pm(\underline{k}) \underline{z}^{-\underline{k}}, & x_{i,s}^\pm(z_s) &= \sum_{k_s \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{i,s}^\pm(k_s e_s) z_s^{-k_s}, \\ \phi_i^{(s)}(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \phi_i^{(s)}(m) z^{-m}, & \varphi_i^{(s)}(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \varphi_i^{(s)}(-n) z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Two versions of quantum numbers will be used in the paper. For a real number a , the q -analog of a is defined by $(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k)$. The quantum integer is defined as $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$ and the q -binomial numbers are $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m]!}{[m-n]![n]!}$.

DEFINITION 2.1. [10] The quantum N -toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N, \text{tor}})$ is the associative algebra with unit 1 generated by $\gamma_s^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $s \in J$ and the coefficients of the generating functions $x_i^\pm(\underline{z})$, $\phi_i^{(s)}(z_s)$, $\varphi_i^{(s)}(z_s)$, $i \in I$ satisfying the relations below:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \gamma_s^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \text{ are central such that } \gamma_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_s^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1, \\ (2.2) \quad & \phi_i^{(s)}(0) \varphi_j^{(s')}(0) = \varphi_j^{(s')}(0) \phi_i^{(s)}(0) = 1, \\ (2.3) \quad & \phi_i^{(s)}(z_s) \phi_j^{(s')}(w_{s'}) = \phi_j^{(s')}(w_{s'}) \phi_i^{(s)}(z_s), \quad \varphi_i^{(s)}(z_s) \varphi_j^{(s')}(w_{s'}) = \varphi_j^{(s')}(w_{s'}) \varphi_i^{(s)}(z_s), \\ (2.4) \quad & g_{ij} \left(z_s w_s^{-1} \gamma_s \right)^{\delta_{s,s'}} \varphi_i^{(s)}(z_s) \phi_j^{(s')}(w_{s'}) = g_{ij} \left(z_s w_s^{-1} \gamma_s^{-1} \right)^{\delta_{s,s'}} \phi_j^{(s')}(w_{s'}) \varphi_i^{(s)}(z_s), \\ (2.5) \quad & \varphi_i^{(s)}(z_s) x_j^\pm(\underline{w}) \varphi_i^{(s)}(z_s)^{-1} = g_{ij} \left(\frac{z_s}{w_s} \gamma_s^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\pm 1} x_j^\pm(\underline{w}), \\ (2.6) \quad & \phi_i^{(s)}(z_s) x_j^\pm(\underline{w}) \phi_i^{(s)}(z_s)^{-1} = g_{ij} \left(\frac{w_s}{z_s} \gamma_s^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\mp 1} x_j^\pm(\underline{w}), \\ (2.7) \quad & \lim_{z \rightarrow w} [x_{i,s}^\pm(z), x_{i,s'}^\pm(w)] = 0, \quad \text{for } s \neq s', \\ (2.8) \quad & (z - q^{\pm(\alpha_i | \alpha_j)} w) x_{i,s}^\pm(z) x_{j,s}^\pm(w) = (q^{\pm(\alpha_i | \alpha_j)} z - w) x_{j,s}^\pm(w) x_{i,s}^\pm(z), \\ (2.9) \quad & [x_{i,s}^+(z), x_{j,s}^-(w)] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(\phi_i^{(s)}(w \gamma_s^{\frac{1}{2}}) \delta\left(\frac{w \gamma_s}{z}\right) - \varphi_i^{(s)}(w \gamma_s^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \delta\left(\frac{w \gamma_s^{-1}}{z}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_i^{(s)}(r)$ and $\varphi_i^{(s)}(-r)$ ($r \geq 0$) such that $\phi_i^{(s)}(0) = K_i$ and $\varphi_i^{(s)}(0) = K_i^{-1}$ are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi_i^{(s)}(r) z^{-r} &= K_i \exp\left((q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(s)}(\ell) z^{-\ell}\right), \\ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{(s)}(-r) z^r &= K_i^{-1} \exp\left(-(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(s)}(-\ell) z^\ell\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \text{Sym}_{z_1, \dots, z_m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_{i,s}^\pm(z_1) \cdots x_{i,s}^\pm(z_k) x_{j,s}^\pm(w) x_{i,s}^\pm(z_{k+1}) \cdots x_{i,s}^\pm(z_m) = 0,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{z_k \rightarrow w} \sum_{k=0}^3 (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_{i,s}^\pm(z_1) \cdots x_{i,s}^\pm(z_k) x_{i,s'}^\mp(w) x_{i,s}^\pm(z_{k+1}) \cdots x_{i,s}^\pm(z_3) = 0, \quad s \neq s' \in J,$$

where $g_{ij}^\pm(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_{ijn}^\pm z^n$ is the Taylor series expansion of $g_{ij}^\pm(z) = \left(\frac{z q_i^{a_{ij}} - 1}{z - q_i}\right)^{\pm 1}$ at $z = 0$ in \mathbb{C} .

Alternatively, the quantum algebra has the following equivalent definition [10].

DEFINITION 2.2. The quantum N -toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$ is a complex unital associative algebra with generators $x_i^\pm(\underline{k})$, $a_i^{(s)}(r)$, K_i^\pm and $\gamma_s^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ ($i \in I$, $s \in J$, $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\}$) and the following relations:

$$(2.12) \quad \gamma_s^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \text{ are central, } K_i^{\pm 1} \text{ and } a_j^{(s)}(r) \text{ commute with each other,}$$

$$(2.13) \quad [a_i^{(s)}(r), a_j^{(s')}(l)] = \delta_{s,s'} \delta_{r+l,0} \frac{[r a_{ij}]_i \gamma_s^r - \gamma_s^{-r}}{r (q_j - q_j^{-1})},$$

$$(2.14) \quad K_i x_j^\pm(\underline{k}) K_i^{-1} = q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_j^\pm(\underline{k}),$$

$$(2.15) \quad [a_i^{(s)}(r), x_j^\pm(\underline{k})] = \pm \frac{[r a_{ij}]_i}{r} \gamma_s^{\mp \frac{|r|}{2}} x_j^\pm(r e_s + \underline{k}),$$

$$(2.16) \quad [x_i^\pm(k e_s), x_i^\pm(l e_{s'})] = 0, \quad \text{for } s \neq s' \text{ and } kl \neq 0,$$

$$(2.17) \quad [x_i^\pm((k+1)e_s), x_j^\pm(l e_s)]_{q^{\pm(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}} + [x_j^\pm((l+1)e_s), x_i^\pm(k e_s)]_{q^{\pm(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}} = 0,$$

$$(2.18) \quad [x_i^+(k e_s), x_j^-(l e_s)] = \delta_{ij} \left(\frac{\gamma_s^{\frac{k-l}{2}} \phi_i^{(s)}((k+l)) - \gamma_s^{\frac{l-k}{2}} \varphi_i^{(s)}((k+l))}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \right),$$

where $\phi_i^{(s)}(r)$ and $\varphi_i^{(s)}(-r)$ ($r \geq 0$) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi_i^{(s)}(r) z^{-r} &= K_i \exp\left((q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(s)}(\ell) z^{-\ell}\right), \\ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varphi_i^{(s)}(-r) z^r &= K_i^{-1} \exp\left(-(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(s)}(-\ell) z^\ell\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.19) \quad \text{Sym}_{k_1, \dots, k_m} \sum_{t=0}^{m=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^t \begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i x_i^\pm(k_t e_s) \cdots x_i^\pm(k_t e_s) x_j^\pm(\ell e_s) x_i^\pm(k_{t+1} e_s) \cdots x_i^\pm(k_m e_s) = 0,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \sum_{k=0}^3 (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_i^\pm(e_s m_1) \cdots x_i^\pm(e_s m_k) x_i^\mp(e_{s'} \ell) x_i^\pm(e_s m_{k+1}) \cdots x_i^\pm(e_s m_3) = 0,$$

for $i \in I_0$ and $m_1 m_2 m_3 \ell \neq 0$, $s \neq s' \in J$.

Here $[m]_i = [m]_{q_i}$ and the q -bracket is defined by $[a, b]_u \doteq ab - uba$.

REMARK 2.3. Relation (2.10) or (2.19) is void for $i = j$, as $m = -1$ in this case. The defining relations (2.17) to (2.20) are written in terms of the components $x_i^\pm(k e_s)$. Using relation (2.15), we can obtain the relations for the generators $x_i^\pm(\underline{k})$.

REMARK 2.4. By relations (2.13), (2.15) and (2.18), we have more identities as follows. It follows from (2.18) that

$$a_i^{(s)}(1) = K_i^{-1} \gamma_s^{1/2} [x_i^+(\underline{0}), x_i^-(e_s)], \quad a_i^{(s')}(-1) = K_j \gamma_{s'}^{-1/2} [x_i^+(-e_{s'}), x_i^-(\underline{0})].$$

Using the first relation to expand the bracket $[a_i^{(s)}(1), a_j^{(s')}(-1)]$ we obtain for $s \neq s'$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [a_i^{(s)}(1), a_j^{(s')}(-1)] = K_i^{-1} \gamma_s^{1/2} [[x_i^+(\underline{0}), x_i^-(e_s)], a_j^{(s')}(-1)] \\ &= K_i^{-1} \gamma_s^{1/2} \left([[x_i^+(\underline{0}), a_j^{(s')}(-1)], x_i^-(e_s)] + [x_i^+(\underline{0}), [x_i^-(e_s), a_j^{(s')}(-1)]] \right) \\ &= [-a_{ij}]_i K_i^{-1} \gamma_s^{1/2} \left(\gamma_{s'}^{-1/2} [x_i^+(-e_{s'}), x_i^-(e_s)] - \gamma_{s'}^{1/2} [x_i^+(\underline{0}), x_i^-(e_s - e_{s'})] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$[x_i^+(\underline{0}), x_i^-(e_s - e_{s'})] = \gamma_s^{-1} [x_i^+(-e_{s'}), x_i^-(e_s)].$$

Similarly expanding the bracket by using the second relation, we also get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [a_i^{(s)}(1), a_j^{(s')}(-1)] = K_j \gamma_{s'}^{-1/2} [a_i^{(s)}(1), [x_j^+(-e_{s'}), x_j^-(\underline{0})]] \\ &= K_j \gamma_{s'}^{-1/2} \left([[a_i^{(s)}(1), x_j^+(-e_{s'})], x_j^-(\underline{0})] + [x_j^+(-e_{s'}), [a_i^{(s)}(1), x_j^-(\underline{0})]] \right) \\ &= [a_{ij}]_i K_j \gamma_{s'}^{-1/2} \left(\gamma_s^{-1/2} [x_j^+(e_s - e_{s'}), x_j^-(\underline{0})] - \gamma_s^{1/2} [x_j^+(-e_{s'}), x_j^-(e_s)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$[x_j^+(e_s - e_{s'}), x_j^-(\underline{0})] = \gamma_s^{-1} [x_j^+(-e_{s'}), x_j^-(e_s)].$$

3. Fock space and vertex operators

We construct the Fock space and vertex operators for the quantum N -toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N, \text{tor}})$ for type C_n in this section.

3.1. Fock space. Let \tilde{Q} be an identical copy of the root lattice of type A_{n-1} , or the lattice of short roots in \mathfrak{g} , so $\tilde{Q} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\alpha}_i$. We equip $Q[A_{n-1}]$ with the inner product $(\tilde{\alpha}_i|\tilde{\alpha}_j) = (\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{|i-j|,1}$.

We also adopt the nondegenerate bilinear form $(\ |)$ on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}J$ defined by

$$(3.1) \quad (i|j) = \begin{cases} -1 & i \neq j \\ 0 & i = j \end{cases},$$

where the elements of J are written as $\{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$.

Let \mathcal{H} be the quantum Heisenberg Lie algebra generated by $a_i^{(s)}(n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}^\times, i \in I, s \in J$), $a_i(0)$ and $b_i^{(s)}(n), b_i(0)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}^\times, i \in I_a, s \in J$) satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_i^{(s)}(m), a_j^{(s')}(l)] &= \delta_{s,s'} \delta_{m,-l} \frac{[a_{ij}m]_i q^m - q^{-m}}{m q_j - q_j^{-1}}, \\ [b_i^{(s)}(m), b_j^{(s')}(l)] &= \delta_{s,s'} \delta_{m,-l} \frac{[(\tilde{\alpha}_i|\tilde{\alpha}_j)m]}{m} [m], \\ [a_i^{(s)}(m), b_j^{(s')}(l)] &= 0, \\ [a_i(0), a_j^{(s')}(m)] &= [b_i(0), b_j^{(s')}(m)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}^\times, s, s' \in J$ and $i, j \in I$ or I_a depending on $a_i^{(s)}(m)$ or $b_i^{(s)}(m)$.

Let $S(\mathcal{H}^-)$ be the symmetric algebra generated by $\{a_i^{(s)}(-m), b_j^{(s)}(-m) | m \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I, j \in I_a, s \in J\}$. We define the Fock space

$$\mathcal{V} = S(\mathcal{H}^-) \otimes \mathbb{C}[Q] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\tilde{Q}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}J],$$

where $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{Q}] = \mathbb{C}[\bigoplus_{i \in I_a} \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\alpha}_i]$ is the group algebra of \tilde{Q} , and similarly for $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}J]$.

The space \mathcal{V} becomes a natural \mathcal{H} -module by letting $a_i^{(s)}(-m), b_i^{(s)}(-m)$ ($m > 0$) act as multiplication operators, and $a_i^{(s)}(m), b_i^{(s)}(m)$ ($m > 0$) as differentiation operators subject to the Heisenberg relations.

Define the operators $a_i(0), b_j(0), s(0)$ ($i \in I, j \in I_a, s \in J$) and $e^\alpha, e^{\tilde{\alpha}}, e^s$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[Q], \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{Q}], s \in \mathbb{Z}J$) act on \mathcal{V} by

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(0)e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t &= (\alpha_i|\lambda) e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t, & b_j(0)e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t &= (\tilde{\alpha}_j|\tilde{\lambda}) e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t, & s(0)e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t &= (s|t) e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t, \\ e^\alpha e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} &= e^{\alpha+\lambda} e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t, & e^{\tilde{\alpha}} e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} &= e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\lambda}} e^t, & e^s e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} &= e^\alpha e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^{s+t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}[Q], \tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}[\tilde{Q}], t \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}J]$.

Let z be a complex variable, the above implies that

$$z^{a_i(0)} e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t = z^{(\alpha_i|\lambda)} e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t, \quad z^{b_j(0)} e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t = z^{(\tilde{\alpha}_j|\tilde{\lambda})} e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t, \quad z^{s(0)} e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t = z^{(s|t)} e^\lambda e^{\tilde{\lambda}} e^t.$$

We introduce the sign operators ε_i on \mathcal{V} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j &= (-1)^{2(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i, & \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, \\ \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j &= (-1)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j, & i \text{ or } j = 0, n, \\ (\varepsilon_i)^2 &= 1, & \forall i. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that these operators can be constructed from a cocycle on the abelian group \mathbb{Z}_2^{n+1} .

3.2. Normal order. The normal order $:$ is defined as usual:

$$\begin{aligned} : a_i^{(s)}(m) a_j^{(s)}(n) : &= \begin{cases} a_i^{(s)}(m) a_j^{(s)}(n), & m \leq n, \\ a_i^{(s)}(n) a_j^{(s)}(m), & m > n, \end{cases} \\ : e^\alpha a_i(0) : &= : a_i(0) e^\alpha := e^\alpha a_i(0), \\ : e^{\tilde{\alpha}} b_i(0) : &= : b_i(0) e^{\tilde{\alpha}} := e^{\tilde{\alpha}} b_i(0), \\ : e^s s'(0) : &= : s'(0) e^s := e^s s'(0), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $b_i^{(s)}(m)$. For commuting operators $a_i^{(s)}(m), a_i^{(s')}(m)$ the normal order product is the usual product.

It is easy to see that $a_i(0), b_j(0), s(0), e^{\alpha_j}, e^{\tilde{\alpha}_k}, e^{s'}$ commute with each other except that

$$[a_i(0), e^{\alpha_j}] = (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) e^{\alpha_j}, \quad [b_j(0), e^{\tilde{\alpha}_k}] = (\tilde{\alpha}_j | \tilde{\alpha}_k) e^{\tilde{\alpha}_k}, \quad [s(0), e^{s'}] = (\delta_{ss'} - 1) e^{s'}.$$

3.3. Vertex operators. Following [20], we introduce the main vertex operators of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$ as follows. First we define the normal order product $:$ of the vertex operators by moving the annihilation operators like $a_i^{(s)}(k), b_j^{(s)}(k)$ ($k > 0$) etc. to the right and keep the sign operators ε_i in the order inside (for $:XY:$ and like).

For $i \in I, j \in I_a, s \in J$ and $\epsilon = \pm$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{i,s}^\pm(z) &= \exp\left(\pm \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i^{(s)}(-k)}{[k/d_i]_i} q^{\mp \frac{k}{2}} z^k\right) \exp\left(\mp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i^{(s)}(k)}{[k/d_i]_i} q^{\mp \frac{k}{2}} z^{-k}\right) e^{\pm \alpha_i} z^{\pm a_i(0) + d_i} \varepsilon_i \\ &=: \exp\left(\mp \sum_{k \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i^{(s)}(k)}{[k/d_i]_i} q^{\mp \frac{|k|}{2}} z^{-k}\right) : e^{\pm \alpha_i} z^{\pm a_i(0) + d_i} \varepsilon_i, \\ Y_i^\pm(\underline{z}) &=: \exp\left(\mp \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k_s \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i^{(s)}(k_s)}{[k_s/d_i]_i} q^{\mp \frac{|k_s|}{2}} z_s^{-k_s}\right) : e^{\pm \alpha_i} \prod_{s \in J} z_s^{\pm a_i(0) + d_i} \varepsilon_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{j\epsilon,s}^\pm(z) &=: \exp\left(\sum_{k \neq 0}^{\infty} \left(\mp \frac{q^{\mp \frac{|k|}{2}} z^{-k}}{[k/d_j]_j} a_j^{(s)}(k) - \epsilon \frac{(q^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} z)^{-k}}{[k]} b_j^{(s)}(k)\right)\right) : \\ &\quad \cdot e^{\pm \alpha_j + \epsilon \tilde{\alpha}_j \pm s} z^{\pm a_j(0) + \epsilon b_j(0) + 1} q^{\frac{b_j(0)}{2} \pm s(0)} (-1)^{(1 \mp \epsilon) b_j(0)} \varepsilon_j, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{j\epsilon}^\pm(\underline{z}) &=: \exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k_s \neq 0}^{\infty} \left(\mp \frac{q^{\mp \frac{|k_s|}{2}} z_s^{-k_s}}{[k_s/d_j]_j} a_j^{(s)}(k_s) - \epsilon \frac{(q^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} z_s)^{-k_s}}{[k_s]} b_j^{(s)}(k_s)\right)\right) : \\ &\quad \cdot e^{\pm \alpha_j + \epsilon \tilde{\alpha}_j \pm s} \prod_{s=1}^{N-1} z_s^{\pm a_j(0) + \epsilon b_j(0) + 1} q^{\frac{b_j(0)}{2} \pm s(0)} (-1)^{(1 \mp \epsilon) b_j(0)} \varepsilon_j^{(s)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_i^{(s)}(z) &= q^{a_i(0)} \exp\left(\left(q_i - q_i^{-1}\right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(s)}(\ell) z^{-\ell}\right), \\ \Psi_i^{(s)}(z) &= q^{-a_i(0)} \exp\left(-\left(q_i - q_i^{-1}\right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(s)}(-\ell) z^{\ell}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used $\varepsilon_i^{(s)}$ to mean the action of ε_i on the subspace indexed by s . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}X_{i\epsilon, s}^{\epsilon'}(z) &=: \exp\left(-\sum_{k \neq 0}^{\infty} \left(\epsilon' \frac{q^{-\epsilon' \lfloor k \rfloor} z^{-k}}{[k/d_j]_j} a_i^{(s)}(k) + \epsilon \frac{(q^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} z)^{-k}}{[k]} b_i^{(s)}(k)\right)\right) : \\ &\quad \cdot e^{\epsilon' \alpha_i + \epsilon \tilde{\alpha}_i + \epsilon' s} z^{\epsilon' a_i(0) + \epsilon b_i(0) + 1} q^{\frac{b_j(0)}{2} + \epsilon' s(0)} (-1)^{(1 - \epsilon' \epsilon) b_i(0)} \varepsilon_i.\end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The operator product expansions (OPE) are given for $i, j \in I$, $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{\pm\}$ and $s \in J$ as follows.*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned}Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon}(z) Y_{j, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) &=: Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon}(z) Y_{j, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) : \\ &\begin{cases} 1, & (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = 0, \\ (z - w q^{-(\epsilon + \epsilon')/2})^{\pm \epsilon \epsilon'}, & (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = \pm 1, \\ (z - w q^{1 - (\epsilon + \epsilon')/2})^{\epsilon \epsilon'} (z - w q^{-1 - (\epsilon + \epsilon')/2})^{\epsilon \epsilon'}, & (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = 2, \\ f(z - w q^{-\frac{\epsilon + \epsilon'}{2}})^{\epsilon \epsilon'}, & (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned}X_{k\epsilon_1, s}^{\epsilon}(z) X_{l\epsilon_2, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) &=: X_{k\epsilon_1, s}^{\epsilon}(z) X_{l\epsilon_2, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) : \\ &\begin{cases} 1, & (\alpha_k | \alpha_l) = 0, \\ (z - q^{-(\epsilon + \epsilon')/2} w)^{\epsilon \epsilon'} (q^{\epsilon_1/2} z - q^{\epsilon_2/2} w)^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}, & (\alpha_k | \alpha_l) = 1, \\ f(z - q^{-\frac{\epsilon + \epsilon'}{2}} w)^{\epsilon \epsilon'} f(q^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}} z - q^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}} w)^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} (-1)^{(1 - \epsilon \epsilon_1)/2}, & (\alpha_k | \alpha_l) = -\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad X_{k\epsilon_1, s}^{\epsilon}(z) X_{k\epsilon_2, s'}^{\epsilon'}(w) =: X_{k\epsilon_1, s}^{\epsilon}(z) X_{k\epsilon_2, s'}^{\epsilon'}(w) : z^{\epsilon \epsilon' + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}, \quad \text{for } s \neq s',$$

where $f(a - x) = \frac{(xq^{3/2}/a; q^2)_{\infty}}{(xq^{1/2}/a; q^2)_{\infty}} a^{-1/2}$ a q -analog of the infinite series $(a - x)^{-1/2}$ at $x = 0$ [17].

$$(3.5) \quad Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon}(z) X_{k\epsilon, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) =: Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon}(z) X_{k\epsilon, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) : (z - q^{-(\epsilon + \epsilon')/2} w)^{-\epsilon \epsilon'}, \quad (\alpha_i | \alpha_k) = -1,$$

$$(3.6) \quad X_{k\epsilon, s}^{\epsilon}(z) Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) =: X_{k\epsilon, s}^{\epsilon}(z) Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) : (z - q^{-(\epsilon + \epsilon')/2} w)^{-\epsilon \epsilon'}, \quad (\alpha_i | \alpha_k) = -1.$$

REMARK 3.2. The normal order product : $X_{k\epsilon_1, s}^{\epsilon}(z) X_{l\epsilon_2, s}^{\epsilon'}(w)$: contains the term $(-1)^{\frac{1 - \epsilon \epsilon_1}{2} b_k(0)}$ $(-1)^{\frac{1 - \epsilon' \epsilon_2}{2} b_l(0)}$. Also $(-1)^{2b_k(0)} = 1$.

PROOF. It is enough to check (3.2), as (3.3) can be obtained similarly. Five cases need to be considered: $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = 0, 1, -1, 2$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$. Since all verifications are similar, we just give the case of $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = 1$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon}(z) Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) &=: Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon}(z) Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) : \exp\left(-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{[\epsilon \epsilon' n]}{n[n]} \left(\frac{w}{z q^{(\epsilon + \epsilon')/2}}\right)^n\right) z^{\epsilon \epsilon'} \\ &=: Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon}(z) Y_{i, s}^{\epsilon'}(w) : (z - w q^{-(\epsilon + \epsilon')/2})^{\epsilon \epsilon'}.\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Vertex representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$

The purpose of this section is to give the main result and the proof.

THEOREM 4.1. *The map π from $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$ to $End(\mathcal{V})$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(K_i) &= q^{a_i(0)}, \pi(a_i^{(s)}(m)) = a_i^{(s)}(m), \pi(\gamma_s) = q, \\ \pi(\phi_i^{(s)}(z)) &= \Phi_i^{(s)}(z), \pi(\varphi_i^{(s)}(z)) = \Psi_i^{(s)}(z), \\ \pi(x_{j,s}^\pm(z)) &= X_{j,s}^\pm(z) = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{\pm\}} X_{j\epsilon,s}^\pm(z), \pi(x_j^\pm(\underline{z})) = X_j^\pm(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{\pm\}} X_{j\epsilon}^\pm(\underline{z}), \\ \pi(x_{k,s}^\pm(z)) &= X_{k,s}^\pm(z) = Y_{k,s}^\pm(z), \pi(x_k^\pm(\underline{z})) = X_k^\pm(\underline{z}) = Y_k^\pm(\underline{z}), \end{aligned}$$

$i \in I, j \in I_a = \{1, \dots, n-1\}, k \in \{0, n\}$ gives a representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{N,tor})$.

REMARK 4.2. Since our construction already obeys the relations (2.1)-(2.4), we only need to check the relations (2.5)-(2.9).

4.1. Proof of relations (2.5) and (2.6). As relation (2.6) is similar to relation (2.5), it is enough to check relation (2.5). In fact, by moving $\Psi_i^{(s)}(z_s)$ across $Y_j^+(\underline{w})$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_i^{(s)}(z_s) Y_j^+(\underline{w}) \\ &= q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \exp\left(\sum_{l>0} \frac{q_i^{-l\alpha_{ij}} - q_i^{l\alpha_{ij}}}{l} \left(\frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z_s}{w_s}\right)^l\right) Y_j^+(\underline{w}) \Psi_i^{(s)}(z_s) \\ &= g_{ij} \left(\frac{z_s}{w_s} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) Y_j^+(\underline{w}) \Psi_i^{(s)}(z_s). \end{aligned}$$

4.2. Proof of relation (2.7). We just show relation (2.7) for the short roots, i.e. $i \in I_a$. For $s \neq s'$, we have that

$$X_{i,s}^+(z) X_{i,s'}^+(w) = \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} : X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z) X_{i\epsilon_2, s'}^+(w) : z^{1+\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}.$$

Therefore $\lim_{z \rightarrow w} [X_{i,s}^+(z), X_{i,s'}^+(w)] = 0$.

4.3. Proof of relation (2.8). There are five cases to consider: $(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = 0, -\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1$, and 2. The first and the last are trivial.

Now let $(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = -1$, by (3.2)-(3.3) it follows that

$$X_{n-1,s}^\pm(z) X_{n,s}^\pm(w) = : X_{n-1,s}^\pm(z) X_{n,s}^\pm(w) : (z - q^{\mp 1} w)^{-1}.$$

Since $: X_{n-1,s}^-(z) X_{n,s}^-(w) := - : X_{n,s}^-(w) X_{n-1,s}^-(z) :$, we get that

$$(z - q^{\mp 1} w) X_{n-1,s}^\pm(z) X_{n,s}^\pm(w) = (q^{\mp 1} z - w) X_{n,s}^\pm(w) X_{n-1,s}^\pm(z).$$

Take the case $(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $i, j \in I_a$. Note that $f(a-x)_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}} = f(a-x)$ and

$$(4.1) \quad f(a-x)f(a-q^{-1}x) = \frac{(xq^{\frac{3}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty (xq^{\frac{1}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty}{(xq^{\frac{1}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty (xq^{-\frac{1}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty} a^{-1} = (a-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)^{-1},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{f(a-q^{-1}x)}{f(a-qx)} = \frac{(xq^{\frac{1}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty (xq^{\frac{3}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty}{(xq^{-\frac{1}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty (xq^{\frac{5}{2}}/a; q^2)_\infty} = \frac{a-q^{\frac{1}{2}}x}{a-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x}.$$

It follows from (3.2)-(3.3) that

$$X_{i,s}^+(z)X_{j,s}^+(w) = \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} : X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z)X_{j\epsilon_2, s}^+(w) : f(z-q^{-1}w)f(zq^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}} - wq^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}})^{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2} (-1)^{\frac{1-\epsilon_1}{2}}.$$

Using (4.1)-(4.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (z-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w)X_{i,s}^+(z)X_{j,s}^+(w) &=: X_{i+}^+X_{j+}^+ : q^{-\frac{1}{4}}- : X_{i-}^+X_{j-}^+ : q^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad + : X_{i+}^+X_{j-}^+ : (q^{\frac{1}{4}}z - q^{-\frac{1}{4}}w)- : X_{i-}^+X_{j+}^+ : (q^{-\frac{1}{4}}z - q^{\frac{1}{4}}w) \\ &= (q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z - w)X_{j,s}^+(w)X_{i,s}^+(z), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $: X_{i\epsilon_1}^+(z)X_{j\epsilon_2}^+(w) := - : X_{j\epsilon_2}^+(w)X_{i\epsilon_1}^+(z) :$ or $\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j = -\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_i$.

4.4. Proof of relation (2.9). To prove (2.9) is to check that

$$[X_{i,s}^+(z), X_{j,s}^-(w)] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(\Phi_i^{(s)}(wq^{\frac{1}{2}})\delta\left(\frac{wq}{z}\right) - \Psi_i^{(s)}(wq^{-\frac{1}{2}})\delta\left(\frac{wq^{-1}}{z}\right) \right).$$

Note that the case of $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i) = 2$ was known in type A , so we will check the cases $(\alpha_i|\alpha_i) = 1$ and $(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = -\frac{1}{2}$ to show the new situation.

For $i \in I_a$, writing $: X_{i\epsilon}^+X_{j\epsilon'}^- := X_{i\epsilon, s}^+(z)X_{j\epsilon', s}^-(w) :$ etc., by (3.2)-(3.3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,s}^+(z)X_{i,s}^-(w) &=: X_{i+}^+X_{i+}^- : q^{\frac{1}{2}}+ : X_{i+}^+X_{i-}^- : (z-w)^{-1}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}z - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w)^{-1} \\ &\quad + : X_{i-}^+X_{i+}^- : (z-w)^{-1}(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z - q^{\frac{1}{2}}w)^{-1} + : X_{i-}^+X_{i-}^- : q^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Modifying the normal order to $\bullet X_i^\pm(z)X_j^\pm(w)\bullet$ by excluding zw , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} [X_{i,s}^+(z), X_{i,s}^-(w)] &= \bullet X_{i+,s}^+(z)X_{i-,s}^-(w)\bullet \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) - \delta\left(\frac{q^{-1}w}{z}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + \bullet X_{i-,s}^+(z)X_{i+,s}^-(w)\bullet \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\delta\left(\frac{qw}{z}\right) - \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \left(\Phi_i^{(s)}(wq^{\frac{1}{2}})\delta\left(\frac{qw}{z}\right) - \Psi_i^{(s)}(wq^{-\frac{1}{2}})\delta\left(\frac{q^{-1}w}{z}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the property of the δ -function and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet X_{i+,s}^+(z)X_{i-,s}^-(qw)\bullet &= \Phi_i^{(s)}(q^{1/2}z), \quad \bullet X_{i-,s}^+(z)X_{i+,s}^-(q^{-1}z)\bullet = \Psi_i^{(s)}(q^{-1/2}z), \\ \bullet X_{i+,s}^+(z)X_{i-,s}^-(z)\bullet &= \bullet X_{i-,s}^+(z)X_{i+,s}^-(z)\bullet. \end{aligned}$$

For the case $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = -\frac{1}{2}$, recalling (3.3) and (4.1) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,s}^+(z)X_{j,s}^-(w) &= :X_{i_+}^+X_{j_-}^- : (q^{\frac{1}{4}}z - q^{-\frac{1}{4}}w)_- :X_{i_+}^+X_{j_+}^- : (q^{-\frac{1}{4}}z - q^{\frac{1}{4}}w) \\ &\quad + :X_{i_-}^+X_{j_-}^- : q^{-\frac{1}{4}} - :X_{i_-}^+X_{j_+}^- : q^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{j,s}^-(w)X_{i,s}^+(z) &= :X_{j_-}^-X_{i_+}^+ : (q^{-\frac{1}{4}}w - q^{\frac{1}{4}}z)_- :X_{j_+}^-X_{i_+}^+ : (q^{\frac{1}{4}}w - q^{-\frac{1}{4}}z) \\ &\quad + :X_{j_-}^-X_{i_-}^+ : q^{\frac{1}{4}} - :X_{j_+}^-X_{i_-}^+ : q^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = -1/2$, we have $[X_{i,s}^+(z), X_{j,s}^-(w)] = 0$ due to $\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = -\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_i$.

4.5. Proof of relation (2.10). We will check some cases of $a_{0,1} = -1 = a_{n,n-1}$, as the other cases are similar. By (3.2)-(3.3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{0,s}^+(z_1)X_{0,s}^+(z_2)X_{1\epsilon,s}^+(w) \\ &=: X_{0,s}^+(z_1)X_{0,s}^+(z_2)X_{1\epsilon,s}^+(w) : \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - q^{-2}z_2)}{(z_1 - q^{-1}w)(z_2 - q^{-1}w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{0,s}^+(z_1)X_{0,s}^+(z_2)X_{1\epsilon,s}^+(w) - (q + q^{-1})X_{0,s}^+(z_1)X_{1\epsilon,s}^+(w)X_{0,s}^+(z_2) + X_{1\epsilon,s}^+(w)X_{0,s}^+(z_1)X_{0,s}^+(z_2) \\ &= F \cdot \left((w - q^{-1}z_1)(w - q^{-1}z_2) + [2](z_2 - q^{-1}w)(w - q^{-1}z_1) + (z_1 - q^{-1}w)(z_2 - q^{-1}w) \right) \\ &= F \cdot (q - q^{-1})w(z_2 - q^{-2}z_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $F = \frac{:X_{0,s}^+(z_1)X_{0,s}^+(z_2)X_{1\epsilon,s}^+(w):}{\prod_i (z_i - q^{-1}w)(w - q^{-1}z_i)}(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - q^{-2}z_2)$. Observe that $F \cdot (z_2 - q^{-2}z_1)$ is antisymmetric in z_i , so the Serre relation holds in this case.

When $a_{ij} = -1 = a_{ji}$ ($i, j \in I_a$), it follows from (3.2)-(3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{i\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{i\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2)X_{j\epsilon,s}^+(w) - [2]_i X_{i\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{j\epsilon,s}^+(w)X_{i\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2) + X_{j\epsilon,s}^+(w)X_{i\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{i\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2) \\ &=: X_{i\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{i\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2)X_{j\epsilon,s}^+(w) : (z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 q^{\epsilon_1/2} - z_2 q^{\epsilon_2/2})^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \\ &\quad \left(f(z_1 - q^{-1}w)f(q^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}}z_1 - q^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}}w)^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon} \cdot f(z_2 - q^{-1}w)f(q^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}}z_2 - q^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}}w)^{\epsilon_2 \epsilon} (-1)^{1 - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [2]_i f(z_1 - q^{-1}w)f(q^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}}z_1 - q^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}}w)^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon} \cdot f(w - q^{-1}z_2)f(q^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}}w - q^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}}z_2)^{\epsilon_2 \epsilon} (-1)^{1 - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f(w - q^{-1}z_1)f(q^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}}z_1 - q^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}}w)^{\epsilon_1 \epsilon} \cdot f(w - q^{-1}z_2)f(q^{\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}}w - q^{\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}}z_2)^{\epsilon_2 \epsilon} (-1)^{1 - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We claim that the above is antisymmetric with respect to the indices, i.e. under the action: $z_1 \rightarrow z_2, \epsilon_1 \rightarrow \epsilon_2$, which will immediately imply the Serre relation in this case. In fact, there are 8 subcases to verify. We check one complicated subcase $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon) = (+, -, +)$. Then the above expression (4.3) is simplified to

$$: X_{i_+,s}^+(z_1)X_{i_-,s}^+(z_2)X_{j_+,s}^+(w) : \frac{(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_1 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_2)^{-1}}{\prod_i (z_i - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w) \cdot (w - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1)} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})w(z_2 - q^{-1}z_1),$$

where we have used the following identity [20]:

$$\begin{aligned} & (w - az_1)(w - az_2) + (a + a^{-1})(z_1 - aw)(w - az_2) + (z_1 - aw)(z_2 - aw) \\ &= (a^{-1} - a)w(z_1 - a^2z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (4.3) at $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon) = (-, +, +)$ is equal to

$$: X_{i-,s}^+(z_1)X_{i+,s}^+(z_2)X_{j+,s}^+(w) : \frac{(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_1 - q^{\frac{1}{2}}z_2)^{-1}}{\prod_i (z_i - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}w) \cdot (w - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z_2)} (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})w(z_2 - q^{-1}z_1).$$

This means that (4.3) is indeed antisymmetric under $z_1 \rightarrow z_2, \epsilon_1 \rightarrow \epsilon_2$. Therefore we have proved that for $i, j \in I_a, (\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = -1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}_{1 \leftrightarrow 2} \left(X_{i\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{i\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2)X_{j\epsilon,s}^+(w) - [2]_i X_{i\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{j\epsilon,s}^+(w)X_{i\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2) \right. \\ \left. + X_{j\epsilon,s}^+(w)X_{i\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{i\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the case of $a_{1,0} = -2 = a_{n-1,n}$. By Prop. 3.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{1\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{1\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2)X_{1\epsilon_3,s}^+(z_3)X_{0,s}^+(w) \\ &=: X_{1\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1)X_{1\epsilon_2,s}^+(z_2)X_{1\epsilon_3,s}^+(z_3)X_{0,s}^+(w) : \frac{\prod_{i < j} (z_i - q^{-1}z_j)(q^{\epsilon_i/2}z_i - q^{\epsilon_j/2}z_j)^{\epsilon_i\epsilon_j}}{\prod_i (z_i - q^{-1}w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Pulling out the common factor we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^3 (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i X_{1\epsilon_1,s}^+(z_1) \cdots X_{1\epsilon_k,s}^+(z_k) X_{0,s}^+(w) X_{1\epsilon_{k+1},s}^+(z_{k+1}) \cdots X_{1\epsilon_3,s}^+(z_3) \\ &= F \left((z_1 - qw)(z_2 - qw)(z_3 - qw) + [3]_1 (z_1 - qw)(z_2 - qw)(w - qz_3) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + [3]_1 (z_1 - qw)(w - qz_2)(w - qz_3) + (w - qz_1)(w - qz_2)(w - qz_3) \right). \\ &= F \cdot (q^{-1} - q) \left(w^2(z_1 - (q + q^2)z_2 + q^3z_3) + w(z_1z_2 - (q + q^2)z_1z_3 + q^3z_2z_3) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $F =: X_{1\epsilon_1}^+(z_1) \cdots X_0^+(w) : \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (z_i - q^{-1}z_j)(q^{\epsilon_i/2}z_i - q^{\epsilon_j/2}z_j)^{\epsilon_i\epsilon_j}}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (z_i - q^{-1}w)(w - q^{-1}z_i)} q^{-3}$. Now consider the action of \mathfrak{S}_3 defined by $\sigma(z_i, \epsilon_i) = (z_{\sigma(i)}, \epsilon_{\sigma(i)})$. The factor F excluding $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (z_i - q^{-1}z_j)$ is antisymmetric under the action of \mathfrak{S}_3 . Recall the following identity [20]:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} (z_1 - (q + q^2)z_2 + q^3z_3)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_3)(z_2 - q^{-1}z_3) = 0.$$

Replacing z_i by $(z_1z_2z_3)/z_i$ and factoring out an appropriate factor, we also have the following identity

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} (z_2z_3 - (q + q^2)z_1z_3 + q^3z_1z_3)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_2)(z_1 - q^{-1}z_3)(z_2 - q^{-1}z_3) = 0.$$

Using these two identities, we have proved the following equation:

$$\text{Sym} \sum_{k=0}^{m=3} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_1 X_{1\epsilon_1, s}^\pm(z_1) \cdots X_{1\epsilon_k, s}^\pm(z_k) X_{0, s}^\pm(w) X_{1\epsilon_{k+1}, s}^\pm(z_{k+1}) \cdots X_{1\epsilon_m, s}^\pm(z_m) = 0,$$

where Sym runs through the action of \mathfrak{S}_m on (z_i, ϵ_i) , and this then implies (2.10).

For the last relation, without loss of generality, we take $i \in I_a$ in the “+” case as an example. Note that for $s \neq s'$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z_1) X_{i\epsilon_2, s}^+(z_2) X_{i\epsilon_3, s}^+(z_3) X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w) &=: X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z_1) X_{i\epsilon_2, s}^+(z_2) X_{i\epsilon_3, s}^+(z_3) X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w) : \\ &\cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (z_i - z_j q^{-1}) (z_i q^{\epsilon_i/2} - z_j q^{\epsilon_j/2})^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} (z_1 z_2 z_3)^{-1} (z_1^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2} z_3^{\epsilon_3})^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

When we move $X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w)$ across $X_{i\epsilon_i}^+(z_i)$ to the left, the contraction function only changes in the last three factors respectively to $(z_1 z_2 w)^{-1} (z_1^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2} w^{\epsilon_3})^\epsilon$, $(z_1 w^2)^{-1} (z_1^{\epsilon_1} w^{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3})^\epsilon$, and $(w^3)^{-1} (w^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3})^\epsilon$.

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{z_k \rightarrow w} \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm\}} \left(X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z_1) X_{i\epsilon_2, s}^+(z_2) X_{i\epsilon_3, s}^+(z_3) X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w) \right. \\ &\quad - [3]_i X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z_1) X_{i\epsilon_2, s}^+(z_2) X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w) X_{i\epsilon_3, s}^+(z_3) \\ &\quad + [3]_i X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z_1) X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w) X_{i\epsilon_2, s}^+(z_2) X_{i\epsilon_3, s}^+(z_3) \\ &\quad \left. - X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w) X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z_1) X_{i\epsilon_2, s}^+(z_2) X_{i\epsilon_3, s}^+(z_3) \right) \\ = &\lim_{z_k \rightarrow w} \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon_j \in \{\pm\}} : X_{i\epsilon_1, s}^+(z_1) X_{i\epsilon_2, s}^+(z_2) X_{i\epsilon_3, s}^+(z_3) X_{i\epsilon, s'}^-(w) : \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (z_i q^{\epsilon_i/2} - z_j q^{\epsilon_j/2})^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \\ &\times (z_i - z_j q^{-1}) \left((z_1 z_2 z_3)^{-1} (z_1^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2} z_3^{\epsilon_3})^\epsilon - [3]_i (z_1 z_2 w)^{-1} (z_1^{\epsilon_1} z_2^{\epsilon_2} w^{\epsilon_3})^\epsilon \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [3]_i (z_1 w^2)^{-1} (z_1^{\epsilon_1} w^{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3})^\epsilon - (w^3)^{-1} (w^{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3})^\epsilon \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

N. Jing would like to thank the support of Simons Foundation grant 523868 and NSFC grant 11531004. H. Zhang would like to thank the support of NSFC grant 11871325.

References

- [1] S. Berman and R. V. Moody, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and the intersection matrix algebras of Slowdow*, *Invent. Math.* **108** (1992), 323–347.
- [2] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [3] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*. Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., **16**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (1995), 59–78.
- [4] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Branching rules for quantum toroidal $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$* , *Adv. Math.* **300** (2016), 229–274.

- [5] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Representations of quantum toroidal \mathfrak{gl}_n* , J. Algebra **380** (2013), 78–108.
- [6] I. Frenkel and N. Jing, *Vertex representations of quantum affine algebras*, Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA. **85** (1998), 9373–9377.
- [7] I. B. Frenkel, N. Jing and W. Wang, *Quantum vertex representations via finite groups and the McKay correspondence*, Comm. Math. Phys. **211** (2000), 365–393.
- [8] I. Frenkel and V. G. Kac, *Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance models*, Invent. Math. **62** (1980), 23–66.
- [9] Y. Gao and N. Jing, *$U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ action on \mathfrak{gl}_N -modules and quantum toroidal algebras*, J. Algebra **273** (2004), 320–343.
- [10] Y. Gao, N. Jing, L. Xia and H. Zhang, *Quantum N -toroidal algebras and quantized GIM algebras of N -fold affinization*, 2019, arXiv: 1907.06301.
- [11] S. Gautam and V. Toledano-Laredo, *Yangians and quantum loop algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), 271–336.
- [12] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), 147–160.
- [13] N. Guay and X. Ma, *From quantum loop algebras to Yangians*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **86** (2012), 683–700.
- [14] D. Hernandez, *Drinfeld coproduct, quantum fusion tensor category and applications*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **95** (2007), 567–608.
- [15] D. Hernandez, *Quantum toroidal algebras and their representations*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **14** (2009), 701–725.
- [16] N. Jing, *Twisted vertex representations of quantum affine algebras*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 663–690.
- [17] N. Jing, *Higher level representations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2))$* , J. Algebra **182** (1996), 448–468.
- [18] N. Jing, *Quantum Kac-Moody algebras and vertex representations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **4** (1998), 261–271.
- [19] N. Jing, S.-J. Kang and Y. Koyama, *Vertex operators between level one irreducible representations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(D^{(1)})$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **174** (1995), 367–392.
- [20] N. Jing, Y. Koyama and K. C. Misra, *Level one representations of quantum affine algebras $U_q(C_n^{(1)})$* , Sel. Math. New Ser. **5** (1999), 243–255.
- [21] N. Jing and K. C. Misra, *Vertex operators for twisted quantum affine algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 1663–1690.
- [22] S. Kolb, *Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs*, Adv. Math. **267** (2014), 395–469.
- [23] K. Miki, *Toroidal and level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$ actions on $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{n+1})$ -modules* J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999), 3191–3210.
- [24] K. Miki, *Representations of quantum toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}, \text{tor})$ ($n > 2$)*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 7079–7098.
- [25] K. Miki, *Quantum toroidal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \text{tor})$ and R matrices*, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001), 2293–2308.
- [26] R. V Moody, S. E. Rao and T. Yokonuma, *Toroidal Lie algebras and vertex representations*, Geom. Ded. **35** (1990), 283–307.
- [27] S. Rao and R. Moody, *Vertex representations for N -toroidal Lie algebras and a generalization of the Virasoro algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), 239–264.
- [28] Y. Saito, *Quantum toroidal algebras and their vertex representations*, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ. **34** (1998), 155–177.
- [29] P. Slodowy, *Beyond Kac-Moody algebras, and inside*. Lie algebras and related topics (Windsor, Ont., 1984), pp. 361–371, CMS Conf. Proc., **5**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [30] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Schur duality in the toroidal setting*, Comm. Math. Phys. **182** (1996), 469–484.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, CHINA
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA,
E-mail address: jing@math.ncsu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, CHINA
E-mail address: hlzhangmath@shu.edu.cn