

Constrained low-rank quaternion approximation for color image denoising by bilateral random projections

Jifei Miao and Kit Ian Kou

Abstract—In this letter, we propose a novel low-rank quaternion approximation (LRQA) model by directly constraining the quaternion rank prior for effectively removing the noise in color images. The LRQA model treats the color image holistically rather than independently for the color space components, thus it can fully utilize the high correlation among RGB channels. We design an iterative algorithm by using quaternion bilateral random projections (Q-BRP) to efficiently optimize the proposed model. The main advantage of Q-BRP is that the approximation of the low-rank quaternion matrix can be obtained quite accurately in an inexpensive way. Furthermore, color image denoising is further based on nonlocal self-similarity (NSS) prior. The experimental results on color image denoising illustrate the effectiveness and superiority of the proposed method.

Index Terms—Color image denoising, quaternion, bilateral random projections, low-rank, non-local similarity priors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Image denoising is an important task in the field of image processing. Among numerous image denoising methods, low-rank matrix approximation (LRMA) methods have made a great success [1]–[4]. These methods generally adopt certain rank approximation regularizers, *e.g.*, the nuclear norm which has been proven the tightest convex relaxation of the NP-hard rank minimization function [5]. To better approximate the rank function, the authors in [2] considered assigning different weights to different singular values and proposed the weighted nuclear norm minimization (WNNM) algorithm. Although most of the existing LRMA methods have achieved excellent performance for grayscale image denoising, when handling color images, they may suffer from performance degradation. These methods are inherently designed for grayscale image denoising, when extending them to color images, they usually process each color channel independently using the monochromatic model

or processes the concatenation of three color channels using the concatenation model [6], [7]. However, these two schemes ignore the inter-relationship among RGB channels, thus they may produce hue distortions in the reconstruction results [8].

Recently, quaternion, as an elegant color image representation tool, has achieved great success for color image processing [9]–[11]. Adopting quaternion algebra, a color image encoded as a pure quaternion matrix is processed holistically and the coupling between the color channels is handled naturally [12]. More recently, the authors in [8] and [7] extended the traditional LRMA methods to quaternion field, and respectively proposed LRQA (including nuclear norm, Laplace function, German function, and weighted Schatten norm) and quaternion weighted nuclear norm minimization (QWNNM) algorithms. These methods show promising performance for color image denoising. However, these methods, especially for the large size color images, suffer from the heavy burden of computing quaternion singular value decompositions (QSVD) which are calculated by their equivalent complex matrices with twice sizes. Therefore, these motivate us to develop a novel model with a fast and accurate algorithm for efficient color image denoising.

The main contributions of this letter are summarized as follows:

- Representing a color image as a pure quaternion matrix, we propose a novel constrained low-rank quaternion approximation model for effective color image noise removal.
- Instead of computing QSVD, we design a quaternion bilateral random projections algorithm (Q-BRP) to efficiently solve the proposed model, which can accurately and quickly approximate the low-rank quaternion matrix.
- The proposed method is further based on the non-local self-similarity (NSS) [13] prior and used for color image denoising task. The experimental results demonstrate the competitive performance of

The authors are with the Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macau 999078, China (e-mail: jifmiao@163.com; kikou@umac.mo)

the proposed approach compared to the state-of-the-art methods in color image denoising task.

The remainder of this letter is organized as follows. Section II briefly introduces some notations and preliminaries for quaternion algebra. Section III gives the proposed model and algorithm. Section IV provides some experiments to illustrate the performance of our algorithm, and compare it with several state-of-the-art methods. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

A. Notations

In this letter, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{H} respectively denote the real space and quaternion space. A scalar, a vector, and a matrix are written as a , \mathbf{a} , and \mathbf{A} , respectively. \dot{a} , $\dot{\mathbf{a}}$, and $\dot{\mathbf{A}}$ respectively represent a quaternion scalar, a quaternion vector, and a quaternion matrix. $(\cdot)^*$, $(\cdot)^{-1}$, and $(\cdot)^H$ denote the conjugation, inverse, and conjugate transpose, respectively. $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ are respectively the modulus and the Frobenius norm. $\text{tr}\{\cdot\}$ and $\text{rank}(\cdot)$ denote the trace and rank operators, respectively.

B. Basic knowledge of quaternion algebras

Quaternion space \mathbb{H} was first introduced by W. Hamilton [14] in 1843. A quaternion $\dot{q} \in \mathbb{H}$ is defined as

$$\dot{q} = q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k, \quad (1)$$

where $q_l \in \mathbb{R}$ ($l = 0, 1, 2, 3$), and i, j, k are imaginary number units and obey the quaternion rules that

$$\begin{cases} i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1, \\ ij = -ji = k, jk = -kj = i, ki = -ik = j. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

\dot{q} can be decomposed into a real part $\Re(\dot{q}) := q_0$ and an imaginary part $\Im(\dot{q}) := q_1i + q_2j + q_3k$ such that $\dot{q} = \Re(\dot{q}) + \Im(\dot{q})$. If the real part $\Re(\dot{q}) = 0$, \dot{q} is named a pure quaternion. Given two quaternions \dot{p} and $\dot{q} \in \mathbb{H}$, the sum and multiplication of them are respectively

$$\dot{p} + \dot{q} = (p_0 + q_0) + (p_1 + q_1)i + (p_2 + q_2)j + (p_3 + q_3)k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}\dot{q} = & (p_0q_0 - p_1q_1 - p_2q_2 - p_3q_3) \\ & + (p_0q_1 + p_1q_0 + p_2q_3 - p_3q_2)i \\ & + (p_0q_2 - p_1q_3 + p_2q_0 + p_3q_1)j \\ & + (p_0q_3 + p_1q_2 - p_2q_1 + p_3q_0)k. \end{aligned}$$

It is noticeable that the multiplication of two quaternions is not commutative so that in general $\dot{p}\dot{q} \neq \dot{q}\dot{p}$.

The conjugate and the modulus of a quaternion \dot{q} are, respectively, defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}^* &= q_0 - q_1i - q_2j - q_3k, \\ |\dot{q}| &= \sqrt{\dot{q}\dot{q}^*} = \sqrt{q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, a quaternion matrix $\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = (\dot{q}_{mn}) \in \mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ is written as $\dot{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Q}_0 + \mathbf{Q}_1i + \mathbf{Q}_2j + \mathbf{Q}_3k$, where $\mathbf{Q}_l \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ ($l = 0, 1, 2, 3$), $\dot{\mathbf{Q}}$ is named a pure quaternion matrix when $\Re(\dot{\mathbf{Q}}) := \mathbf{Q}_0 = \mathbf{0}$. The Frobenius norm of quaternion matrix is defined as

$$\|\dot{\mathbf{Q}}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N |\dot{q}_{mn}|^2} = \sqrt{\text{tr}\{(\dot{\mathbf{Q}})^H \dot{\mathbf{Q}}\}}.$$

More details about quaternion algebra can be found in [15], [16] and their references.

III. MAIN RESULTS

A. The proposed model

Color images are represented as pure quaternion matrix. We aim to recover the clear image $\dot{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ from its noisy observation

$$\dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \dot{\mathbf{X}} + \dot{\mathbf{G}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\dot{\mathbf{G}}$ is assumed to be Gaussian noise [7], [8]. Supposing that $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$ is low-rank with $\text{rank}(\dot{\mathbf{X}}) \leq r$, we formulate the following model

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\dot{\mathbf{X}}} \quad & \|\dot{\mathbf{Y}} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}\|_F^2, \\ \text{s.t.,} \quad & \text{rank}(\dot{\mathbf{X}}) \leq r. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

B. The proposed algorithm

The optimal $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$ in (4) can be obtained by truncated QSVD of $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}$. The QSVD in [7] and [8] was calculated by their equivalent complex matrices with twice sizes, which generally requires $\min(\mathcal{O}(MN^2), \mathcal{O}(M^2N))$ flops, thus it is impractical when $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}$ is of large size. To efficiently solve the problem (4) we develop a Q-BRP algorithm, which replaces the truncated QSVD, and significantly reduces the time cost.

Definition 1. (Q-BRP) For $\dot{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ (w.l.o.g., $M > N$), the Q-BRP of $\dot{\mathbf{Q}}$ can be constructed, i.e., $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 = \dot{\mathbf{Q}}\dot{\mathbf{A}}_1$, and $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_2 = \dot{\mathbf{Q}}^H \dot{\mathbf{A}}_2$, wherein $\dot{\mathbf{A}}_1 \in \mathbb{H}^{N \times r}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2 \in \mathbb{H}^{M \times r}$ are random quaternion matrices.

Then, the Q-BRP based r rank approximation of $\dot{\mathbf{Q}}$ is

$$\dot{\mathbf{Q}} \approx \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 (\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2^H \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1)^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{P}}_2^H. \quad (5)$$

For random quaternion matrices $\dot{\mathbf{A}}_1$ and $\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2$, we pick matrices with *i.i.d.* Gaussian entries for real and imaginary parts. These two random quaternion matrices are

used to iteratively project $\dot{\mathbf{Q}}$ to r -dimensional subspaces. And note that $\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2^H \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1$ is invertible with probability one. Step (5) is an approximation of the truncated QSVD, which is similar to the case in the real setting as explained in [17]. Then, following the framework in [18], the constrained low-rank quaternion approximation algorithm by bilateral random projections (CLQA-BRP) is summarized in TABLE I

TABLE I
CLQA-BRP ALGORITHM.

Input: $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}$, r , maximum iterations T .
1: **Initialize** $\dot{\mathbf{X}}^0 = \dot{\mathbf{0}}$, iteration index $t = 0$.
2: **for** $t = 1 : T$ **do**
3: $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 = \dot{\mathbf{Y}} \dot{\mathbf{A}}_1$, $\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2 = \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1$.
4: $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_2 = \dot{\mathbf{Y}}^H \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1$, $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 = \dot{\mathbf{Y}} \dot{\mathbf{P}}_2$.
5: **if** $\text{rank}(\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2^H \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1) < r$ **then**
6: $r := \text{rank}(\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2^H \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1)$, regenerate the random quaternion matrix $\dot{\mathbf{A}}_1$, and go to the first step.
7: **end if**
8: $\dot{\mathbf{X}}^t = \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 (\dot{\mathbf{A}}_2^H \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1)^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{P}}_2^H$
9: **end for**
Output: $\dot{\mathbf{X}}^t$.

Remark 1: (Computation complexity) The computation of $\dot{\mathbf{X}}^t$ consists of an inverse of an $r \times r$ ($r \ll \min(M, N)$) quaternion matrix and three quaternion matrix multiplications. Hence, for $\dot{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ with $\text{rank}(\dot{\mathbf{X}}) \leq r$, $\mathcal{O}(MNr)$ flops are required to perform Q-BRP, $\mathcal{O}(r^2(2M + r) + MNr)$ flops are required to compute $\dot{\mathbf{X}}^t$. We can find that the computational complexity is much less than QSVD-based approximation method.

Remark 2: In the denoising task, we let the maximum iterations $T = 1$, which is enough since increasing the number of iterations would not noticeably improve the result.

C. CLQA-BRP for color image denoising

Except for low-rank prior, we further consider the NSS property for image denoising tasks. We follow the similar procedure of NSS used in [7]. Consequently, given a noisy color image $\dot{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$, the whole procedure of our color image denoising method is listed as follows:

Step 1: Divide the noisy image $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}$ into overlapped patches with size $w \times w$, and vectorize each patch as a quaternion column vector $\dot{\mathbf{y}}_i \in \mathbb{H}^{w^2}$, then find its n nearest neighbor patches (including $\dot{\mathbf{y}}_i$ itself) within its local searching window. At last, the n similar patches are stacked as quaternion column vectors of quaternion matrix $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}_i \in \mathbb{H}^{w^2 \times n}$.

Step 2: For each $\dot{\mathbf{Y}}_i$, adopt the proposed CLQA-BRP algorithm to estimate the clear color image patche $\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i$.

Step 3: Aggregate $\{\dot{\mathbf{X}}_i\}$ together to form the final clear image $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$.

Generally, to obtain a better result, several rounds (denoted by K) for Step 1 and Step 2 are needed before going to Step 3.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Some experiments on 8 widely used color images (*see* Fig.1) are conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method. The additive white Gaussian noise with zero mean and variance σ_n^2 ($\sigma_n = 50, 70$ are considered in our experiments) is added to these clean color images. We compare the proposed method with several latest state-of-the-art methods including WNNM [2] (a weighted nuclear norm minimization algorithm), QNNM [7] (a quaternion nuclear norm minimization algorithm labeled as LRQA-1 in [7]), QWNNM [8] (a quaternion weighted nuclear norm minimization algorithm) and LRQA-WSNN [7] (a quaternion weighted Schatten norm minimization algorithm labeled as LRQA-4 in [7]). We set the same parameters in the NSS procedure for all the methods. For instance, when $\sigma_n = 50, 70$, we set patch size to 8×8 and 9×9 respectively. The number of similar patches group is set to 120 and 140, respectively. The parameters of each compared algorithm are optimally set or selected as suggested in the source papers. For the proposed CLQA-BRP algorithm, we set $T = 1$, and select r from $\{7, 9, 15\}$. We employ two widely used quantitative quality indexes (including the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and the structure similarity (SSIM)) for performance evaluation. For WNNM, we perform it on each channel of the test color images individually. For quaternion-based methods, we use the same quaternion toolbox¹.



Fig. 1. The 8 color images (from left to right, Image(1) ~ Image (8)). are selected from Kodak PhotoCD Dataset (Kodak)² all with size $512 \times 768 \times 3$.

TABLE II lists the quantitative PSNR and SSIM values (and the average values of PSNR) of all denoising methods. Fig.2 displays the visual comparison between the proposed method and all compared methods on the Image(3) with $\sigma_n = 70$. Fig.3 shows the runtime comparison of all the quaternion-based methods. According to the obtained results, the following conclusions can be found:

¹<https://sourceforge.net/projects/qtfm/>

²<http://r0k.us/graphics/kodak/>

TABLE II
QUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT INDEXES (PSNR/SSIM) OF
DIFFERENT METHODS ON THE EIGHT COLOR IMAGES (**BOLD FONTS**
DENOTE THE BEST PERFORMANCE; UNDERLINE ONES REPRESENT
THE SECOND-BEST RESULTS).

Methods:	WNNM [2]	QNNM [7]	QWNNM [8]	LRQA-WSNN [7]	CLQA-BRP
Images:	$\sigma_n = 50$				
Image(1)	23.936/0.713	23.958/0.753	24.213/0.751	<u>24.540/0.768</u>	24.633/0.774
Image(2)	30.025/0.932	29.509/0.923	30.279/0.943	30.241/0.943	30.294/0.950
Image(3)	28.889/0.945	28.321/0.944	<u>29.033/0.953</u>	<u>29.031/0.953</u>	29.082/0.959
Image(4)	24.150/0.780	23.372/0.754	24.437/0.793	24.418/0.789	<u>24.421/0.789</u>
Image(5)	24.210/0.800	23.500/0.785	24.048/0.798	<u>24.394/0.804</u>	24.436/0.810
Image(6)	25.368/0.758	24.840/0.756	25.557/0.769	<u>25.574/0.768</u>	25.579/0.768
Image(7)	26.001/0.822	25.392/0.818	26.248/0.843	<u>26.139/0.833</u>	26.034/0.831
Image(8)	30.130/0.946	29.521/0.939	30.356/0.956	<u>30.211/0.953</u>	<u>30.225/0.950</u>
Aver.	26.589	26.052	26.771	<u>26.818</u>	26.838
Images:	$\sigma_n = 70$				
Image(1)	23.137/0.703	22.200/0.672	23.262/0.714	<u>23.362/0.715</u>	23.403/0.720
Image(2)	28.215/0.913	27.908/0.916	<u>28.967/0.933</u>	28.586/0.930	29.063/0.935
Image(3)	27.628/0.927	26.563/0.931	<u>27.959/0.944</u>	27.742/0.938	27.982/0.946
Image(4)	22.490/0.711	21.323/0.654	22.747/0.717	<u>22.863/0.723</u>	22.883/0.723
Image(5)	22.557/0.750	21.162/0.685	23.070/0.765	<u>22.908/0.762</u>	22.875/0.752
Image(6)	24.153/0.714	23.037/0.702	<u>24.294/0.727</u>	<u>24.346/0.729</u>	24.377/0.724
Image(7)	24.217/0.732	23.734/0.769	<u>24.702/0.800</u>	24.701/0.803	24.717/0.805
Image(8)	28.624/0.926	27.606/0.928	29.136/0.946	<u>29.093/0.940</u>	28.992/0.940
Aver.	25.128	24.192	<u>25.517</u>	25.450	25.537




Fig. 2. Color image denoising results on Image(3). (a) is the original image. (b) is the observed image ($\sigma_n = 70$). (c)-(g) are the recovery results of WNNM, QNNM, QWNNM, LRQA-WSNN and CLQA-BRP, respectively.

- The quaternion-based methods (QWNNM, LRQA-WSNN, and CLQA-BRP) outperform WNNM in all color images. The QNNM has a relatively poor performance, since it, relative to WNNM, QWNNM, and LRQA-WSNN, does not assign different singular values with different weights.
- The performance of QWNNM, LRQA-WSNN, and




Fig. 3. The runtime comparison of all the quaternion-based methods. (a) $\sigma_n = 50$, (b) $\sigma_n = 70$.

our proposed CLQA-BRP are very close, even so, CLQA-BRP has the best average PSNR values in both $\sigma_n = 50$ and $\sigma_n = 70$.

- From Figure 3, we can see that the runtime of CLQA-BRP is much shorter than that of other quaternion-based methods (about half of them). This means that CLQA-BRP is more practical and efficient.

V. CONCLUSION

We introduced a novel constrained low-rank quaternion approximation model for removing the noise in color images. Then we design an iterative algorithm by using Q-BRP for efficiently solving the proposed model, which can significantly accelerate the approximation of the low-rank quaternion matrix. Experimental results on color image denoising demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed CLQA-BRP. In the future, we tend to apply the CLQA-BRP algorithm to color image inpainting and other color image processing tasks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by The Science and Technology Development Fund, Macau SAR (File no. FDCT/085/2018/A2) and University of Macau (File no. MYRG2019-00039-FST).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Parekh and I. W. Selesnick, “Enhanced low-rank matrix approximation,” *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 493–497, 2016.
- [2] S. Gu, L. Zhang, W. Zuo, and X. Feng, “Weighted nuclear norm minimization with application to image denoising,” in *2014 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2014, Columbus, OH, USA, June 23-28, 2014*, 2014, pp. 2862–2869.
- [3] Y. Xie, S. Gu, Y. Liu, W. Zuo, W. Zhang, and L. Zhang, “Weighted Schatten p -norm minimization for image denoising and background subtraction,” *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 4842–4857, 2016.
- [4] X. Zhou, C. Yang, H. Zhao, and W. Yu, “Low-rank modeling and its applications in image analysis,” *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 36:1–36:33, 2014.
- [5] E. J. Candès and B. Recht, “Exact matrix completion via convex optimization,” *Found. Comput. Math.*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 717–772, 2009.
- [6] J. Mairal, M. Elad, and G. Sapiro, “Sparse representation for color image restoration,” *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 53–69, 2008.
- [7] Y. Chen, X. Xiao, and Y. Zhou, “Low-rank quaternion approximation for color image processing,” *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 29, pp. 1426–1439, 2020.
- [8] Y. Yu, Y. Zhang, and S. Yuan, “Quaternion-based weighted nuclear norm minimization for color image denoising,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 332, pp. 283–297, 2019.
- [9] Y. Li, “Quaternion polar harmonic transforms for color images,” *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 803–806, 2013.
- [10] Y. N. Li, P. Wang, and Y. T. Su, “Robust image hashing based on selective quaternion invariance,” *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 2396–2400, 2015.
- [11] M. Pedone, E. Bayro-Corrochano, J. Flusser, and J. Heikkilä, “Quaternion wiener deconvolution for noise robust color image registration,” *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1278–1282, 2015.
- [12] B. Chen, Q. Liu, X. Sun, X. Li, and H. Shu, “Removing gaussian noise for colour images by quaternion representation and optimisation of weights in non-local means filter,” *IET Image Processing*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 591–600, 2014.
- [13] W. Dong, G. Shi, and X. Li, “Nonlocal image restoration with bilateral variance estimation: A low-rank approach,” *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 700–711, 2013.
- [14] W. Rowan Hamilton, “Ii. on quaternions; or on a new system of imaginaries in algebra,” *Phil. Mag., 3rd Ser.*, vol. 25, 01 1844.
- [15] F. Zhang, “Quaternions and matrices of quaternions,” *Linear Algebra And Its Applications*, vol. 251, no. 2, pp. 21–57, 1997.
- [16] P. R. Girard, *Quaternions, Clifford Algebras and Relativistic Physics*, 2007.
- [17] M. Fazel, E. Candès, B. Recht, and P. Parrilo, “Compressed sensing and robust recovery of low rank matrices,” in *2008 42nd Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, 2008, pp. 1043–1047.
- [18] T. Zhou and D. Tao, “Godec: Randomized low rank & sparse matrix decomposition in noisy case,” in *the 28th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML, 2014*, pp. 33–40.