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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be a rearrangement invariant (r.i.) function space on $[0,1]$, and let $Z_{E}$ consist of all measurable functions $f$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f^{*} \chi_{[0,1]} \in E$ and $f^{*} \chi_{[1, \infty)} \in L^{2}$. We reveal close connections between properties of the generalized Rosenthal's space, corresponding to the space $Z_{E}$, and the behaviour of independent symmetrically distributed random variables in $E$. The results obtained are applied to consider the problem of the existence of isomorphisms between r.i. spaces on $[0,1]$ and $(0, \infty)$. Exploiting particular properties of disjoint sequences, we identify a rather wide new class of r.i. spaces on $[0,1]$ "close" to $L^{\infty}$, which fail to be isomorphic to r.i. spaces on $(0, \infty)$. In particular, this property is shared by the Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right)$, with $0<\alpha \leq 1$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $p>2$. Given any sequence $w=\left(w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive scalars such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{n}^{2 p /(p-2)}=\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{n}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we define $X_{p, w}$ to be the space of all sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of scalars satisfying

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{p}<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} w_{n}^{2}<\infty
$$

under the norm

$$
\left\|\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right\|:=\max \left\{\left\|\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{p},\left\|\left(a_{n} w_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{2}\right\}
$$

where $\left\|\left(a_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid\right\|_{r}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{r}\right)^{1 / r}, 1 \leq r<\infty$. Note that, up to isomorphism, the definition of the space $X_{p, w}$ does not depend on the sequence $w$, i.e., $X_{p, w} \approx$ $X_{p, w^{\prime}}$, as long as both $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ satisfy (11); [26, Theorem 13]. Hence, we can denote the space $X_{p, w}$ simply by $X_{p}$.
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The space $X_{p}$, introduced by Rosenthal in 1970 (see [26]), turned out to be very useful when studying the geometric structure of $L^{p}$-spaces. Specifically, $X_{p}$ is isomorphic to the complemented subspace of $L^{p}$ spanned by a certain sequence of independent 3 -valued symmetrically distributed random variables (r.v.'s) [26, p. 282-283]. Moreover, for each $p>2$ and an arbitrary sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq L^{p}[0,1]$ of mean zero independent r.v.'s, the mapping $T: X_{p} \rightarrow L^{p}$, defined by

$$
T\left(a_{n}\right):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} f_{n}
$$

is an isomorphic embedding; [26, Theorem 3 and p. 280].
Later on, Johnson, Maurey, Schechtman, and Tzafriri introduced, in the memoir [16] (see p. 218), the following generalized space of Rosenthal type. Let $Y$ be an arbitrary rearrangement invariant (r.i.) space on $(0, \infty)$. Suppose that $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of disjoint measurable subsets of $(0, \infty)$ of positive measure such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1, \quad m\left(A_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m\left(A_{n}\right)=\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $m$ is the Lebesgue measure). Then, the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{Y}$ is defined as a Banach space which is isomorphic to the closed linear span of the sequence $\left\{\chi_{A_{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $Y$. It is worth to note that, up to isomorphism, the latter span does not depend on the particular choice of sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying conditions (22) [16, Lemma 8.7]. The sequence $\left\{\left\|\chi_{A_{n}}\right\|_{Y}^{-1} \chi_{A_{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, clearly is equivalent to an unconditional basis in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{Y}$. Moreover, if the space $Y(0,1)$ is not equal to $L^{\infty}(0,1)$ up to an equivalent renorming, $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{Y}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $Y$.

To establish a link between the concepts so far introduced, recall a further important definition from [16] (see also [22, §2f]). Given a r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$, we define the r.i. space $Z_{E}$ on $(0, \infty)$ consisting of all measurable functions $f$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{Z_{E}}:=\left\|f^{*} \chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{E}+\left\|f^{*} \chi_{[1, \infty)}\right\|_{L^{2}}<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{*}$ is the non-increasing left-continuous rearrangement of $|f|$ (observe that $\|\cdot\|_{Z_{E}}$ is a quasinorm, which is equivalent to a norm; [22, Theorem 2.f.1]).

Then, denoting $\mathcal{U}_{E}:=\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{Z_{E}}$, it can be checked that Rosenthal's space $X_{p}$ coincides, up to equivalence of norms, with the space $\mathcal{U}_{L^{p}[0,1]}$ (in particular, we choose $w_{n}=m\left(A_{n}\right)^{1 / 2-1 / p}$, see details in [16, p. 221]).

The main aim of this paper is to reveal close connections between properties of the space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ and the behaviour of independent r.v.'s in the corresponding r.i. space $E$.

Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$. According to [17, Theorem 1$]$, if $L^{q}[0,1] \subseteq E$ for some $q<\infty$, then there is a constant $C=C(q)>0$ such that for every sequence
$\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s from $E$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{x}_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sequence $\left\{\bar{x}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ consists of pairwise disjoint functions defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\bar{x}_{n}$ and $x_{n}$ are equimeasurable for each $n=1,2, \ldots$ (it is worth to mention that the opposite inequality holds in every r.i. space $E$ ). More recently, in [9] (for a simpler proof see [10, Theorem 25]), the latter result was sharpened; it was proved that inequality (4) holds in every r.i. space $E$ that has the so-called Kruglov property (for definitions see the next section). Observe, for instance, that the exponential Orlicz space $\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}$, generated by an Orlicz function equivalent to the function $e^{u^{p}}$ for large $u>0$, has the Kruglov property if and only if $0<p \leq 1$ (clearly, $\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}$ does not contain $L^{q}$ for any $q<\infty$ ).

In the first part of the paper we show that inequality (4) is fulfilled for the class of independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s in a r.i. space $E$ with the Fatou property whenever a similar estimate holds for the subspace $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ of $Z_{E}$. More precisely, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of disjoint measurable subsets of $(0, \infty)$ satisfying (2), then inequality (4) is a consequence of the following much weaker condition: there is a constant $C>0$ such that for every set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, with $\sum_{n \in S} m\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1$, and all $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \chi_{A_{n}}\right\|_{Z_{E}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{n}$ are independent symmetrically distributed functions, equimeasurable with the characteristic functions $\chi_{A_{n}}$ (see Theorem (1). Moreover, we prove in Theorem 2 that estimate (5) combined with a certain geometrical property of the subspace $\left[u_{n}\right]$ of a r.i. space $E$ ensures that $E \approx Z_{E}$.

Next, we apply the results obtained to consider the problem of the existence of isomorphisms between r.i. spaces on $[0,1]$ and $(0, \infty)$, which was first posed by Mityagin in [23]. This and other closely related questions were intensively studied in the memoir [16] (see also [22]), by using the approach based on a construction of the stochastic integral with respect to a symmetrized Poisson process. In particular, it was shown that a r.i. space $E$ is isomorphic to the space $Z_{E}$ whenever $0<\alpha_{E} \leq \beta_{E}<1$, where $\alpha_{E}$ and $\beta_{E}$ are the Boyd indices of $E$ (see [16, Theorem 8.6] or [22, Theorem 2.f.1]). Later on, in [5], this result was improved: it turned out that non-triviality of the Boyd indices of $E$ can be replaced with a weaker condition that both spaces $E$ and its Köthe dual $E^{\prime}$ have the Kruglov property.

However, there exist r.i. spaces on $[0,1]$ which are not isomorphic to r.i. spaces on $(0, \infty)$. Roughly speaking, this property is shared by some r.i. spaces, which are located "very close" to the extreme r.i. spaces on $[0,1], L^{1}$ and $L^{\infty}$. For instance, this holds for the Orlicz space $L_{F_{\alpha}}, 0<\alpha<1 / 2$, where $F_{\alpha}(u)$ is an Orlicz function equivalent to the function $u \log ^{\alpha} u$ for large $u>0$ [16, p. 235]. Observe that the only r.i. space on $(0, \infty)$, which can be isomorphic to $L_{F_{\alpha}}$ is
the space $Z_{L_{F_{\alpha}}}$ (see [16, Corollary 8.15 and subsequent remarks]). In such a case the result follows easily from the fact that either the space $E$ itself or its dual $E^{*}$ does not contain sequences equivalent to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis, because both spaces $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ and $Z_{E}$, clearly, contain such sequences. Indeed, if we assume that $L_{F_{\alpha}} \approx Z_{L_{F_{\alpha}}}$, with $0<\alpha<1 / 2$, then it would imply by duality that $\operatorname{Exp} L^{1 / \alpha} \approx Z_{\operatorname{Exp} L^{1 / \alpha}}$ (see Lemma (1). But this is a contradiction because the exponential Orlicz space $\operatorname{Exp} L^{r}$, for $r>2$, contains no sequences equivalent to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis (for instance, this is a consequence of Proposition 4 with its proof combined with the well-known fact that any disjoint sequence in $\operatorname{Exp} L^{r}$ contains a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector $c_{0}$-basis; see e.g. [27]).

Here, we present more non-trivial examples of r.i. spaces $E$ of such a sort, showing that even the existence of complemented subspaces isomorphic to $\ell^{2}$ does not guarantee that $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ is isomorphically embedded into $E$. Specifically, exploiting particular properties of disjoint sequences, we identify a rather wide new class of r.i. spaces on $[0,1]$ "close" to $L^{\infty}$, which fail to be isomorphic to r.i. spaces on $(0, \infty)$ (see Theorems 3, 4 and 5). Furthermore, in Corollary 2, we provide examples of Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_{2}(\varphi)$ containing plenty of complemented subspaces isomorphic to $\ell^{2}$, but without subspaces isomorphic to the corresponding Rosenthal's spaces and not isomorphic to r.i. spaces on $(0, \infty)$. In particular, these properties are shared by the Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right.$ ), with $0<\alpha \leq 1$ (see Corollary (3).

In the concluding part of the paper, in Theorem 6, we prove a partial result related to the problem if the Kruglov property of a r.i. space $E$ is a necessary condition for the existence of an isomorphic embedding $T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$. We consider the case when $T$ sends the basis functions $\chi_{A_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$, of $Z_{E}$ to some independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s in $E$.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Rearrangement invariant spaces. For a detailed account of basic properties of rearrangement invariant spaces, we refer to the monographs [11, 20, 22 .

Let $I=[0,1]$ or $(0, \infty)$. A Banach lattice $E$ on $I$ is said to be a rearrangement invariant (in brief, r.i.) (or symmetric) space if from the conditions: functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are equimeasurable, i.e.,

$$
m\{t \in I:|x(t)|>\tau\}=m\{t \in I:|y(t)|>\tau\} \text { for all } \tau>0
$$

and $y \in E$ it follows $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_{E}=\|y\|_{E}$ (throughout, $m$ denotes the Lebesgue measure).

In particular, every measurable on $I$ function $x(t)$ is equimeasurable with the non-increasing, right-continuous rearrangement of $|x(t)|$ given by

$$
x^{*}(t):=\inf \{\tau>0: m\{s \in I:|x(s)|>\tau\} \leq t\}, \quad t>0 .
$$

We note that for any r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$ we have: $L^{\infty}[0,1] \subseteq E \subseteq L^{1}[0,1]$. Denote by $E_{0}$ the closure of $L^{\infty}[0,1]$ in the r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$ (the separable part of $E$ ). The space $E_{0}$ is r.i., and it is separable if $E \neq L^{\infty}$. The fundamental function $\phi_{E}$ of a symmetric space $E$ is defined by $\phi_{E}(t):=\left\|\chi_{[0, t]}\right\|_{E}, t>0$. In what
follows, $\chi_{A}$ is the characteristic function of a set $A$. The function $\phi_{E}$ is quasiconcave, that is, it is nonnegative and increases, $\phi_{X}(0)=0$, and the function $\phi_{E}(t) / t$ decreases. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{E}=1$ for every r.i. space $E$.

It is well known that the dilation operator $\sigma_{\tau} x(t):=x(t / \tau) \chi_{[0, \min (1, \tau)]}(t), 0 \leq$ $t \leq 1$, is bounded on every r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$ and $\left\|\sigma_{\tau}\right\|_{E \rightarrow E} \leq \max (1, \tau)$ (see e.g. [20, Ch.II, $\S 4.3]$ ). The numbers $\alpha_{E}$ and $\beta_{E}$ given by

$$
\alpha_{E}:=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \left\|\sigma_{\tau}\right\|_{E}}{\ln \tau}, \quad \beta_{E}:=\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left\|\sigma_{\tau}\right\|_{E}}{\ln \tau}
$$

satisfy the inequalities $0 \leq \alpha_{E} \leq \beta_{E} \leq 1$ and are called the Boyd indices of $E$.
The Köthe dual $E^{\prime}$ of a r.i. space $E$ on $I$ consists of all measurable functions $y$ such that

$$
\|y\|_{E^{\prime}}:=\sup \left\{\int_{I}|x(t) y(t)| d t: x \in E,\|x\|_{E} \leq 1\right\}<\infty .
$$

If $E^{*}$ denotes the Banach dual of $E$, then $E^{\prime} \subset E^{*}$ and $E^{\prime}=E^{*}$ if and only if $E$ is separable. A r.i. space $E$ on $I$ is said to have the Fatou property if whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq E$ and $x$ measurable on $[0,1]$ satisfy $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ a.e. on $I$ and $\sup _{n=1,2, \ldots}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E}<\infty$, it follows that $x \in E$ and $\|x\|_{E} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E}$. It is well-known that a r.i. space $E$ has the Fatou property if and only if the natural embedding of $E$ into its Köthe bidual $E^{\prime \prime}$ is a surjective isometry.

An important example of r.i. spaces are the Orlicz spaces. Let $\Phi$ be an Orlicz function, i.e., increasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\Phi(0)=0$. Then, the Orlicz space $L_{\Phi}:=L_{\Phi}(I)$ consists of all measurable on $I$ functions $x$ such that the Luxemburg-Nakano norm

$$
\|x\|_{L_{\Phi}}:=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{I} \Phi(|x(t)| / \lambda) d t \leq 1\right\}
$$

is finite (see e.g. [19]). In particular, if $\Phi(u)=u^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, then $L_{\Phi}=L^{p}$. If $\Phi(u)$ is equivalent for large $u>0$ to the function $e^{u^{p}}, p>0$, we obtain the exponential Orlicz space $\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}[0,1]$.

Every increasing concave function on $[0,1]$, with $\varphi(0)=0$, and $1 \leq q<\infty$ generate the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{q}(\varphi)$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{\Lambda_{q}(\varphi)}:=\left(\int_{0}^{1} x^{*}(t)^{q} d \varphi(t)\right)^{1 / q}
$$

2.2. The Kruglov property and comparison of sums of independent finctions and their disjoint copies in r.i. spaces. Let $f$ be a measurable function on $[0,1]$. Denote by $\pi(f)$ the random variable (in brief, r.v.) $\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}$, where $f_{i}$ are independent copies of $f$ (that is, independent r.v.'s equidistributed with $f$ ) and $N$ is a r.v. independent of the sequence $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ and having the Poisson distribution with parameter 1. The following property has its origin in Kruglov's paper [21]
and was actively studied and used by Braverman [12]. We say that a r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$ has the Kruglov property if the relation $f \in E$ implies that $\pi(f) \in E$.

Roughly speaking, a r.i. space $E$ has the Kruglov property if it is located sufficiently "far away" from the space $L^{\infty}$. In particular, if $E$ contains $L^{p}$ with some $p<\infty$, then $E$ has the Kruglov property. However, the latter condition is not necessary; for instance, the exponential Orlicz space $\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}$ has the Kruglov property if and only if $0<p \leq 1$ (see [12, §2.4], [8]), but clearly $\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}$ does not contain $L^{q}$ with any $p>0$ and $1 \leq q<\infty$.

The Kruglov property is closely related to the famous Rosenthal inequality [26] and more generally to the problem of the comparison of sums of independent functions and their disjoint copies in r.i. spaces.

Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$. As was already mentioned in Section 1 , by [17, Theorem 1], if $L^{q}[0,1] \subseteq E$ for some $q<\infty$, then the inequality (4) holds for some constant $C=C(q)>0$ and for each sequence of independent symmetrically distributed functions $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset E$. Here, $\bar{x}_{n}$ are disjoint copies of $x_{n}$ defined on the semi-axis $[0, \infty)$ (for instance, we may take $\bar{x}_{n}(t)=x_{n}(t-n+1) \chi_{[n-1, n)}(t), n=$ $1,2, \ldots)$. We will refer such a sequence $\left\{\bar{x}_{n}\right\}$ as a disjointification of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Using an operator approach initiated in [8] (see also [10]), Astashkin and Sukochev have showed that inequality (4) holds for a wider class of r.i. spaces with the above-defined Kruglov property.

It is easy to check that the above r.v. $\pi(f)$ is equidistributed with the sum

$$
K f(t):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{n, i}(t) \chi_{E_{n}}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

where $E_{n}$ are disjoint subsets of $[0,1], m\left(E_{n}\right)=1 /(e n!), n=1,2, \ldots$, and $f_{n, i}$ are functions identically distributed with $f, i=1, \ldots, n, n=1,2, \ldots$ such that $f_{n, 1}, \ldots, f_{n, n}, \chi_{E_{n}}$ are independent for each positive integer $n$. It turns out that the above mapping $K$ can be treated as a linear operator defined on suitable r.i. spaces (see [10, p. 1029]). Moreover, given a r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$, the space $E$ has the Kruglov property if and only if the operator $K$ is bounded in $E$. For this reason, $K$ is called the Kruglov operator.

We will say that subsets $F_{n}$ of $[0,1], n=1,2, \ldots$, are independent if the characteristic functions $\chi_{F_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$, are independent on $[0,1]$.

Standard Banach space notation is used throughout. In particular, $X \approx Y$, where $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces, means that $X$ and $Y$ are isomorphic. We will write $Y \subsetneq X$ if there is an isomorphic embedding $T: Y \rightarrow X$. The notation $f \asymp g$ will mean that there exists a constant $C>0$ not depending on the arguments of the quantities (norms) $f$ and $g$ such that $C^{-1} \cdot f \leq g \leq C \cdot f$. Finally, in what follows, $C, c$ etc. denote constants whose value may change from line to line.

## 3. Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ and comparison of sums of independent FINCTIONS AND THEIR DISJOINT COPIES IN R.I. SPACES.

Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary (fixed) sequence of disjoint measurable subsets of $(0, \infty)$ satisfying conditions (2). Denote by $u_{n}$ independent symmetrically
distributed r.v.'s supported on $[0,1]$ and equimeasurable with the characteristic functions $\chi_{A_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$ As it was mentioned in Section [1 if a r.i. space $E$ has the Kruglov property (see Section (2.2), then there is a constant $C>0$ such that for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s from $E$ inequality (4) holds. Clearly, then the above r.v.'s $u_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, satisfy condition (5). In this section, assuming that a r.i. space $E$ has the Fatou property, we prove the converse non-trivial implication: from (5) it follows (4). Moreover, starting with this result we will show that estimate (5) combined with a geometrical property of the closed linear span $\left[u_{n}\right]$ in $E$ implies that $E \approx Z_{E}$.

First, we consider independent r.v.'s $v_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, which are identically distributed with the characteristic functions $\chi_{A_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$

Proposition 1. Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$. Suppose that there exists $C>0$ such that for every set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n \in S} m\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1$ and all $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} v_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \chi_{A_{n}}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the Kruglov operator $K$ is bounded from $E$ into $E^{\prime \prime}$.
Remark 1. Clearly, from the condition $\sum_{n \in S} m\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1$ and definition of the norm in $Z_{E}$ (see (3)) it follows that (6) can be equivalently rewritten as

$$
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} v_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \chi_{A_{n}^{\prime}}\right\|_{E}
$$

where sets $A_{n}^{\prime} \subseteq[0,1]$ are pairwise disjoint and $m\left(A_{n}^{\prime}\right)=m\left(A_{n}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$
Proof. According to [10, Theorem 22(i)], it suffices to prove that there is a constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that for every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{l} \subseteq E$ of independent functions, with $\sum_{n=1}^{l} m\left(\left\{t: x_{n}(t) \neq 0\right\}\right) \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} x_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} \bar{x}_{n}\right\|_{E} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\bar{x}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{l}$ is a disjointification of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{l}$ (we may and will assume that all the functions $\bar{x}_{n}$ are supported on $[0,1]$ ). Moreover, without loss of generality, we suppose that $x_{n} \geq 0, n=1, \ldots, l$. For arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$
G_{n}^{k}:=\left\{t: \varepsilon(k-1)<x_{n}(t) \leq \varepsilon k\right\}, \quad F_{n}^{k}:=\left\{t: \varepsilon(k-1)<\bar{x}_{n}(t) \leq \varepsilon k\right\} .
$$

Observe that, for every $n=1,2, \ldots, l$, the sets $G_{n}^{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ (resp. $F_{n}^{k}$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n=1,2, \ldots, l)$ are pairwise disjoint. Due to properties (2), for each $n=1, \ldots, l$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find pairwise disjoint sets $S_{n}^{k} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(G_{n}^{k}\right)=m\left(F_{n}^{k}\right)=\sum_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} m\left(A_{i}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now the step-functions

$$
y_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon k \cdot \chi_{G_{n}^{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon k \cdot \chi_{F_{n}^{k}}, \quad n=1, \ldots, l .
$$

Clearly, the functions $y_{n}, n=1, \ldots, l$, are independent and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \leq y_{n}, \quad n=1, \ldots, l \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $n=1,2, \ldots, l$. Then, the sets $G_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, thanks to (8), we can represent the set $G_{n}^{k}$ in the form

$$
G_{n}^{k}=\bigcup_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} G_{n}^{k, i}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where $G_{n}^{k, i} \subseteq[0,1]$ are pairwise disjoint for all $i \in S_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m\left(G_{n}^{k, i}\right)=$ $m\left(A_{i}\right), i \in S_{n}^{k}$. Furthermore, we see that

$$
y_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon k \sum_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} \chi_{G_{n}^{k, i}}, \quad n=1, \ldots, l .
$$

Next, denote by $v_{n}^{k, i}$ independent copies of the characteristic functions $\chi_{G_{n}^{k, i}}$, $i \in S_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}, n=1,2, \ldots, l$. Then, for each $n=1,2, \ldots, l$, the sequence $\left\{\varepsilon k \cdot \chi_{G_{n}^{k, i}}\right\}_{i \in S_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a disjointification of the sequence $\left\{\varepsilon k \cdot v_{n}^{k, i}\right\}_{i \in S_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (see Section (2.2). Therefore, if

$$
f_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon k \sum_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} v_{n}^{k, i}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, l
$$

then, by [15, Proposition 1] (see also [10, Proposition 7]), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(\left\{t: y_{n}(t)>\tau\right\}\right) & \leq 2 m\left(\left\{t: \sup _{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \\
i \in S_{n}^{h}}} \varepsilon k \cdot v_{n}^{k, i}(t)>\tau\right\}\right) \\
& \leq 2 m\left(\left\{t: f_{n}(t)>\tau\right\}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $y_{n}, n=1, \ldots, l$ (respectively, $f_{n}, n=1, \ldots, l$ ) are nonnegative independent r.v.'s, the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{l}$ (resp. $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{l}$ ) has the same distribution as the sequence $\left\{y_{n}^{*}\left(t_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{l}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left\{f_{n}^{*}\left(t_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{l}\right)$, which is defined on the probability space $\left([0,1]^{l}, \prod_{n=1}^{l} m_{n}\right)$ (for each $n=1, \ldots, l, m_{n}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$ ). Furthermore, from (10) and definition of the rearrangement of a measurable function it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1 / 2}\left(y_{n}^{*}\right)\left(t_{n}\right)=y_{n}^{*}\left(2 t_{n}\right) \leq f_{n}^{*}\left(t_{n}\right), \quad 0 \leq t_{n} \leq 1 / 2 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can easily be checked that the functions $\sigma_{1 / 2} y_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots, l$, are independent on the interval $[0,1 / 2]$. Indeed, for arbitrary intervals $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{l}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(\left\{t \in[0,1 / 2]:\left(\sigma_{1 / 2} y_{j}\right)(t) \in I_{j},\right.\right. & j=1, \ldots, l\}) \\
& =m\left(\left\{t \in[0,1 / 2]: y_{j}(2 t) \in I_{j}, j=1, \ldots, l\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} m\left(\left\{t \in[0,1]: y_{j}(t) \in I_{j}, j=1, \ldots, l\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=1}^{l} m\left(\left\{t \in[0,1]: y_{j}(t) \in I_{j}\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{l+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} m\left(\left\{t \in[0,1 / 2]: y_{j}(2 t) \in I_{j}\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{l+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} m\left(\left\{t \in[0,1 / 2]:\left(\sigma_{1 / 2} y_{j}\right)(t) \in I_{j}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sigma_{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{l} y_{n}\right)\right\|_{E} & =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} \sigma_{1 / 2}\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|_{E} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l}\left(\sigma_{1 / 2} y_{n}\right)^{*}\left(t_{n}\right)\right\|_{E\left([0,1]^{l}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} f_{n}^{*}\left(t_{n}\right)\right\|_{E\left([0,1]^{l}\right)} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} f_{n}\right\|_{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|\sigma_{\tau}\right\|_{E \rightarrow E} \leq \max (1, \tau)$ (see Section 2.1 or [20, Ch.II, §4.3]), from this inequality it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} y_{n}\right\|_{E} & =\left\|\sigma_{2}\left(\sigma_{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{l} y_{n}\right)\right)\right\|_{E} \\
& \leq 2\left\|\sigma_{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{l} y_{n}\right)\right\|_{E} \leq 2\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} f_{n}\right\|_{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, combining this together with (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} x_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq 2\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} f_{n}\right\|_{E} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (8) it follows that there are pairwise disjoint sets $F_{n}^{k, i} \subseteq[0,1]$ such that $m\left(F_{n}^{k, i}\right)=m\left(A_{i}\right), i \in S_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}, n=1, \ldots, l$, and

$$
F_{n}^{k}=\bigcup_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} F_{n}^{k, i}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, n=1, \ldots, l
$$

Moreover, by the above definitions, $v_{n}^{k, i}$ are being independent copies of the characteristic functions $\chi_{A_{i}}, i \in S_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}, n=1,2, \ldots, l$. Since the sets $A_{i}$ (resp. $\left.F_{n}^{k, i}\right), i \in S_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}, n=1,2, \ldots, l$, are pairwise disjoint and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} m\left(A_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{l} m\left(\left\{t: x_{n}(t) \neq 0\right\}\right) \leq 1
$$

by the hypothesis of the proposition (see also Remark (1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} f_{n}\right\|_{E} & \leq C\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon k \sum_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} \chi_{A_{i}}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \\
& =C\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon k \sum_{i \in S_{n}^{k}} \chi_{F_{n}^{k, i}}\right\|_{E} \\
& =C\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} z_{n}\right\|_{E} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon k \cdot \chi_{F_{n}^{k}}, n=1,2, \ldots, l$.
Further, for every $n=1, \ldots, l, k=2,3, \ldots$ and all $t \in F_{n}^{k}$ we have

$$
\bar{x}_{n}(t)>\varepsilon(k-1) \geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon k=\frac{1}{2} z_{n}(t) .
$$

Hence, taking into account the disjointness of the sets $F_{n}^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}, n=1, \ldots, l$, we obtain

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} \bar{x}_{n}\right\|_{E} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} z_{n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \chi_{F_{n}^{k}}\right\|_{E}
$$

Additionally, since the sets $F_{n}^{1} \subseteq[0,1], n=1,2, \ldots, l$, are pairwise disjoint, then

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} z_{n} \chi_{F_{n}^{1}}\right\|_{E} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\chi_{0,1]}\right\|_{E}=\varepsilon
$$

As a result, from inequalities (12) and (13) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} x_{n}\right\|_{E} & \leq 2 C\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} z_{n}\right\|_{E} \\
& \leq 2 C\left(\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} z_{n} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \chi_{F_{n}^{k}}\right\|_{E}+\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} z_{n} \chi_{F_{n}^{1}}\right\|_{E}\right) \\
& \leq 4 C\left(\varepsilon+\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{l} \bar{x}_{n}\right\|_{E}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (7) with $C^{\prime}=4 C$.
Next, we proceed with comparing the sequence $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ with the sequence $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ of independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s equimeasurable with the characteristic functions $\chi_{A_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots$.
Proposition 2. Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$. Then, for every $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} m\left(A_{i}\right) \leq 1$ and all $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i \in S$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} v_{i}\right\|_{E} \leq 16 e \cdot\left\|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} u_{i}\right\|_{E} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, since $u_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, are independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is 1-unconditional in $E$ (see, e.g., [12, Proposition 1.14]). Therefore, we may (and will) assume that coefficients $a_{i}, i \in S$, are nonnegative.

For each $i \in S$, recalling that $m\left(A_{i}\right)>0$, we denote by $\alpha_{i}$ the least root of the equation

$$
2 t(1-t)=\frac{1}{4} m\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

Straightforward calculations show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{8} m\left(A_{i}\right)<\alpha_{i}<\frac{1}{2} m\left(A_{i}\right), \quad i \in S \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{G_{i}, H_{i}\right\}_{i \in S}$ be a family of independent subsets of $[0,1]$ such that $m\left(G_{i}\right)=$ $m\left(H_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}, i \in S$. Then, clearly, $h_{i}:=\chi_{H_{i}}-\chi_{G_{i}}, i \in S$, are independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s. Moreover, since $m\left(\left\{t:\left|u_{i}(t)\right|=1\right\}\right)=m\left(A_{i}\right)$ for each $i \in S$, and, due to independence,

$$
m\left(\left\{t:\left|h_{i}(t)\right|=1\right\}\right)=2 \alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{4} m\left(A_{i}\right), \quad i \in S,
$$

we have

$$
m\left(\left\{t:\left|h_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \leq m\left(\left\{t:\left|u_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right), \quad \tau>0 .
$$

Hence, by the well-known Kwapien-Rychlik inequality (see e.g. [28, Ch. V, Theorem 4.4]), for all $a_{i} \geq 0$ and $\tau>0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} h_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \leq 2 m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} u_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, denoting $h:=\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} h_{i}$, we represent $h=h^{\prime}-h^{\prime \prime}$, where

$$
h^{\prime}:=\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} \chi_{H_{i}}, \quad h^{\prime \prime}:=\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} \chi_{G_{i}} .
$$

Since $h^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime \prime}$ are independent, for each $\tau>0$ it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
m(\{t:|h(t)|>\tau\}) & \geq m\left(\left\{t:\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right|>\tau\right\} \cap\left\{t: h^{\prime \prime}(t)=0\right\}\right) \\
& =m\left(\left\{t:\left|h^{\prime}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \cdot m\left(\left\{t: h^{\prime \prime}(t)=0\right\}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, since $G_{i}$ are independent, by (15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(\left\{t: h^{\prime \prime}(t)=0\right\}\right) & \geq m\left(\bigcap_{i \in S}\left([0,1] \backslash G_{i}\right)\right)=\prod_{i \in S}\left(1-m\left(G_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{i \in S}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) \geq \prod_{i \in S}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} m\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, from the elementary inequality

$$
\log (1-x) \geq-\frac{x}{1-x}, \quad 0 \leq x<1
$$

and the assumption that $\sum_{i \in S} m\left(A_{i}\right) \leq 1$ it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\prod_{i \in S}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} m\left(A_{i}\right)\right)\right) & =\sum_{i \in S} \log \left(1-\frac{1}{2} m\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in S} \frac{m\left(A_{i}\right)}{1-\frac{1}{2} m\left(A_{i}\right)} \\
& \geq-\sum_{i \in S} m\left(A_{i}\right) \geq-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the latter inequality with (17) and (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} h_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \geq \frac{1}{e} m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} \chi_{H_{i}}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, one can easy see that, by (15), for all $i \in S$

$$
m\left(\left\{t: v_{i}(t)>\tau\right\}\right) \leq 8 m\left(\left\{t: \chi_{H_{i}}(t)(t)>\tau\right\}\right), \quad \tau>0
$$

Therefore, by passing to the rearrangements of r.v.'s $v_{i}$ and $\chi_{H_{i}}, i \in S$, in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 1, we deduce that for all $\tau>0$ and $a_{i} \geq 0$

$$
m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} \chi_{H_{i}}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \geq \frac{1}{8} m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} v_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) .
$$

Summing up this inequality, (16) and (19), we arrive at the estimate

$$
m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} v_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \leq 16 e \cdot m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{i \in S} a_{i} u_{i}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right), \quad \tau>0
$$

As a result, applying [20, Ch.II, $\S 4.3$, Corollary 2], we obtain (14).

Now, from Propositions 1, 2, [9] (or [10, Theorem 25]), and [5, Theorem 2.4] it follows the first main result of the paper.
Theorem 1. Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$. Suppose there is a constant $C>0$ such that for every set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, with $\sum_{n \in S} m\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1$, and all $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in S$, we have (5), that is,

$$
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \chi_{A_{n}}\right\|_{Z_{E}},
$$

where $u_{n}$ are independent symmetrically distributed functions, equimeasurable with $\chi_{A_{n}}$. Then, the Kruglov operator $K$ is bounded from $E$ into $E^{\prime \prime}$.

Therefore, if $E$ has the Fatou property, then it possesses the Kruglov property and hence there is a constant $C>0$, depending only on $E$, such that for every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s from $E$ inequality (4) holds, that is,

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{x}_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}}
$$

where $\left\{\bar{x}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a disjointification of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.
If we assume that, additionally, for some constant $C>0$ and every $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n \in S} m\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1$ and all $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in S$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{E^{\prime}} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \chi_{A_{n}}\right\|_{Z_{E^{\prime}}}
$$

then the spaces $E$ and $Z_{E}$ are isomorphic.
Theorem 1 asserts that $E \approx Z_{E}$ under some conditions related to both spaces $E$ and $E^{\prime}$. Next, we prove a statement, showing that the same result holds provided that, along with inequality (5), the subspace $\left[u_{n}\right]$ of $E$ has a certain geometrical property.

We will repeatedly use the following auxiliary result.
Lemma 1. For every r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$, we have $\left(Z_{E}\right)^{\prime}=Z_{E^{\prime}}$. Moreover, if $E$ has the Fatou property (resp. is separable), then so has (resp. is) $Z_{E}$.
Proof. Since $Z_{E}$ is a r.i. space on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$
\|y\|_{\left(Z_{E}\right)^{\prime}}=\sup _{\|x\|_{Z_{E}} \leq 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{*}(t) y^{*}(t) d t
$$

(see, for instance, [20, Ch.II, §2.2, property $\left.14^{0}\right]$ ). Hence, by definition of the norm in $Z_{E}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|y\|_{\left(Z_{E}\right)^{\prime}} & \asymp \sup _{\|x\|_{E} \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{*}(t) y^{*}(t) d t+\sup _{\left\|\left(x^{*}(k)\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}\right\|_{l_{2}} \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{*}(k) y^{*}(k) \\
& =\left\|y^{*} \chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{E^{\prime}}+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y^{*}(k)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \asymp\|y\|_{Z_{E^{\prime}}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the first assertion of the lemma follows.
Next, suppose that $E$ has the Fatou property. Let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq Z_{E}$ satisfy the conditions $0 \leq x_{n} \uparrow x$ and $\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}}<\infty$. Observe that then $x_{n}^{*} \uparrow x^{*}$ a.e. on $[0,1]$ (see e.g. [20, Ch.II, $\S 2.2$, property $\left.11^{0}\right]$ ). Therefore, by the hypothesis and the inequality

$$
\max \left\{\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}^{*} \chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{E}, \sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}^{*} \chi_{[1, \infty)}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right\} \leq \sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}}<\infty
$$

we have $x^{*} \chi_{[0,1]} \in E$ and $x^{*} \chi_{[1, \infty)} \in L^{2}(0, \infty)$. As a result, $x \in Z_{E}$ and $\|x\|_{Z_{E}}=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}}$. This means that $Z_{E}$ has the Fatou property.

It remains to prove that $Z_{E}$ is separable provided if $E$ is. To this end, in view of [20, Ch.II, $\S 4.5$, Theorem 4.8], it suffices to show that each nonnegative function $x \in Z_{E}$ can be approximated in $Z_{E}$ by its truncations, i.e., we need to deduce that $\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|x-x^{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $x_{n}:=x \chi_{[0, n]}$ and $x^{n}:=\min (x, n), n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary. Since $E$ and $L^{2}(0, \infty)$ are separable r.i. spaces, there is $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[0, \delta]}\right\|_{E},\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[1,1+\delta]}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right\}<\varepsilon \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, taking into account that $m\{t>0: x(t)>\varepsilon\}<\infty$ and $\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, \infty)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can find a positive integer $N$ satisfying the conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\{t>N: x(t)>\varepsilon\})<\delta \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[N, \infty)}\right\|_{L^{2}[0, \infty)}<\varepsilon \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From definition of the rearrangement of a measurable function and inequality (21) it follows that, for all $n \geq N$,

$$
m\left(\left\{t>0:\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*}(t)>\varepsilon\right\}\right)=m(\{t>n: x(t)>\varepsilon\})<\delta .
$$

Combining this inequality with (20), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{E} & \leq\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[0, \delta]}\right\|_{E}+\left\|\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \chi_{[\delta, 1]}\right\|_{E} \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left(1+\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{E}\right)=2 \varepsilon \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

(because $\left\|\chi_{[0,1]}\right\|_{E}=1$; see Section 2.1). Moreover, since

$$
m\left(\left\{t>0: x(t) \chi_{[n, \infty)}(t)>x^{*}(N)\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

there exists a positive integer $M>N$ such that for all $n \geq M$

$$
m\left(\left\{t>0:\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*}(t)>x^{*}(N)\right\}\right)=m\left(\left\{t>0: x(t) \chi_{[n, \infty)}(t)>x^{*}(N)\right\}\right)<\delta
$$

Hence, from (20) it follows that

$$
\left\|\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \chi_{[1, \infty)} \chi_{\left\{\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*}>x^{*}(N)\right\}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[1,1+\delta]}\right\|_{L^{2}}<\varepsilon, \quad n \geq M
$$

On the other hand, in view of (22),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \chi_{\left\{\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \leq x^{*}(N)\right\}}\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq\left\|x^{*} \chi_{\left\{x^{*} \leq x^{*}(N)\right\}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[N, \infty)}\right\|_{L^{2}}<\varepsilon, \quad n \geq M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up the last inequalities, we have that for all $n \geq M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \chi_{[1, \infty)}\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq\left\|\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \chi_{[1, \infty)} \chi_{\left\{\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*}>x^{*}(N)\right\}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& +\left\|\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \chi_{\left\{\left(x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right)^{*} \leq x^{*}(N)\right\}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2 \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality and (23) imply that $\left\|x \chi_{[n, \infty)}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \leq 4 \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq M$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, this yields $\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, we prove a similar assertion for the upper truncations $x^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that, as above, $\delta>0$ satisfies condition (20). Then, if a positive integer $N^{\prime}$ is sufficiently large, we have $m\left(\left\{t>0: x(t)>N^{\prime}\right\}\right)<\delta$. Combining this inequality with (20), for all $n \geq N^{\prime}$ we get

$$
\left\|x-x^{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}}=\left\|x \chi_{\{x \geq n\}}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \leq\left\|x^{*} \chi_{[0, \delta]}\right\|_{E}<\varepsilon
$$

whence $\left\|x-x^{n}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of $(0, \infty)$ satisfying conditions (2). Moreover, let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$ and $\phi_{E}$ its fundamental function. Denoting by $u_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, supported on $[0,1]$ independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s, which are equimeasurable with the characteristic functions $\chi_{A_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}:=\frac{\chi_{A_{n}}}{\phi_{E}\left(m\left(A_{n}\right)\right)}, \quad g_{n}:=\frac{\chi_{A_{n}}}{\phi_{E^{\prime}}\left(m\left(A_{n}\right)\right)}, \\
& \tilde{f}_{n}:=\frac{u_{n}}{\phi_{E}\left(m\left(A_{n}\right)\right)}, \quad \tilde{g}_{n}:=\frac{u_{n}}{\phi_{E^{\prime}}\left(m\left(A_{n}\right)\right)}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\phi_{E^{\prime}}(t)=t / \phi_{E}(t), 0<t \leq 1$ [20, Ch.II, §4.6], then $\left\{f_{n}, g_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{f}_{n}, \tilde{g}_{n}\right\}$ are biorthogonal systems in $E$. Also, we denote

$$
\langle f, g\rangle:=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) g(t) d t, \quad f \in E, g \in E^{\prime}
$$

Proposition 3. Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$, and let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\sum_{i \in S} m\left(A_{i}\right) \leq 1$. Suppose that the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
P f:=\sum_{n \in S}\left\langle f, \tilde{g}_{n}\right\rangle \tilde{f}_{n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bounded projection on $E$. Then, there is a constant $C>0$, which depends only on $E$ and $\|P\|$, such that for all $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} u_{n}\right\|_{E^{\prime}} \leq C\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \chi_{A_{n}}\right\|_{Z_{E^{\prime}}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \tilde{g}_{n}\right\|_{E^{\prime}} & =\sup \left\{\left\langle\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \tilde{g}_{n}, f\right\rangle:\|f\|_{E} \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left\langle\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \tilde{g}_{n}, P f\right\rangle:\|f\|_{E} \leq 1\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\left\langle\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \tilde{g}_{n}, P f\right\rangle:\|P f\|_{E} \leq\|P\|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left\langle\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \tilde{g}_{n}, P f\right\rangle=\sum_{n \in S} a_{n}\left\langle f, \tilde{g}_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} g_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{m \in S}\left\langle f, \tilde{g}_{m}\right\rangle f_{m}\right) d t
$$

and since $f_{m}$ are disjoint copies of the functions $\tilde{f}_{m}, m \in S$, by [17, Theorem 1], there is $C^{\prime}>0$, depending only on $E$, such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{m \in S}\left\langle f, \tilde{g}_{m}\right\rangle f_{m}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|\sum_{m \in S}\left\langle f, \tilde{g}_{m}\right\rangle \tilde{f}_{m}\right\|_{E}=C^{\prime}\|P f\|_{E}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \tilde{g}_{n}\right\|_{E^{\prime}} \leq \\
\sup \left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} g_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{m \in S}\left\langle f, \tilde{g}_{m}\right\rangle f_{m}\right) d t\right. \\
\left.:\left\|\sum_{m \in S}\left\langle f, \tilde{g}_{m}\right\rangle f_{m}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \leq C^{\prime}\|P\|\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\left(Z_{E}\right)^{\prime}=Z_{E^{\prime}}$, by Lemma 1, the latter inequality yields that for all $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain the inequality

$$
\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} \tilde{g}_{n}\right\|_{E^{\prime}} \leq C^{\prime}\|P\|\left\|\sum_{n \in S} a_{n} g_{n}\right\|_{Z_{E^{\prime}}}
$$

which is equivalent to desired estimate (261).
From Theorem 1 and Proposition 3 it follows
Theorem 2. Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$ with the Fatou property. Suppose that there exists $C>0$ such that for every set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, with $\sum_{n \in S} m\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 1$, and all $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in S$, inequality (5) holds and the projection $P$ corresponding to such $a$ set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ (see (24) and (25)) is bounded on $E$. Then, $E \approx Z_{E}$.
4. Existence of an isomorphic embedding $T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$ : the case when

$$
T\left(\chi_{A_{n}}\right), n=1,2, \ldots, \text { ARE "ALMOST" DISJOINT. }
$$

As was said in Section 1, if a r.i. space $E$ and its Köthe dual $E^{\prime}$ possess the Kruglov property, then the spaces $E$ and $Z_{E}$ are isomorphic (see [5]). In turn, according to Theorem 11, a r.i. space $E$ with the Fatou property has the Kruglov property whenever there is an isomorphic embedding of Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ into $E$. Moreover, in the proof of the latter result the functions $T\left(\chi_{A_{n}}\right)\left(=u_{n}\right)$,
$n=1,2, \ldots$, were independent, symmetrically distributed and equimeasurable with the characteristic functions $\chi_{A_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$ A natural question appears: Let $T$ be an isomorphic embedding of Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ into $E$. What we can say about the functions $T\left(\chi_{A_{n}}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$ ? Further, we consider two different cases, when these functions are "almost" disjoint and independent. As a consequence, we will obtain new examples of r.i. spaces $E$ such that $E \not \approx Z_{E}$.

We begin with an auxiliary result, which was proved earlier in the separable case by Raynaud (see [25, Proposition 1]). However, for the reader's convenience we provide here a simple alternative proof of this fact. Let $G$ denote the separable part of the exponential Orlicz space $\operatorname{Exp} L^{2}$ (i.e., the closure of $L^{\infty}$ in $\operatorname{Exp} L^{2}$ ).

Proposition 4. Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq E$ with $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E} \asymp\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}, n=1,2, \ldots$, which is equivalent in $E$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis. Then, $E \supset G$.
Proof. Clearly, it can be assumed that $E \neq L^{1}$. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent in $E$ to the unit $\ell^{2}$-basis, we have $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $E$ and so $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $L^{1}$. Hence, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has no convergent subsequences in $L^{1}$. Applying then the wellknown result by Aldous and Fremlin [2], we select a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\} \subseteq\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that for some $c>0$ and all $a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} x_{n_{k}}\right\|_{L^{1}} \geq c\left\|\left(a_{k}\right)\right\|_{2}
$$

Combining this inequality with the assumptions and with the embedding $E \subseteq L^{1}$, we conclude that the norms of $E$ and $L^{1}$ are equivalent on the infinite-dimensional subspace $\left[x_{n_{k}}\right]$ in $E$.

In other words, the canonical embedding $I: E \rightarrow L^{1}$ is not strictly singular. Assuming that $E \nsupseteq G$, by [7, Theorem 2], we obtain that this embedding is not disjointly strictly singular. This means that there is a sequence of pairwise disjoint functions $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ from $E$ such that the norms of $E$ and $L^{1}$ are equivalent on the closed linear span $\left[h_{i}\right]$. But this is a contradiction. Indeed, if the norms of $E$ and $L^{1}$ were equivalent on the span $\left[h_{i}\right]$ of pairwise disjoint functions $h_{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots$, one can easily check that there exists $\delta>0$ such that for every $i=1,2, \ldots$

$$
m\left(\left\{t \in[0,1]:\left|h_{i}(t)\right|>\delta\left\|h_{i}\right\|_{E}\right\}\right)>\delta
$$

(see also [18, Theorem 1]). Clearly, the sets

$$
U_{i}(\delta):=\left\{t \in[0,1]:\left|h_{i}(t)\right|>\delta\left\|h_{i}\right\|_{E}\right\}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots
$$

are pairwise disjoint and $m\left(U_{i}(\delta)\right)>\delta$. Hence,

$$
m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{i}(\delta)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m\left(U_{i}(\delta)\right)=\infty
$$

which is not possible because the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{i}(\delta)$ is contained in $[0,1]$ (other proofs of this and some close results see in [24] and [4, Corollary 3]).

Corollary 1. Suppose $E$ is a separable r.i. space on $[0,1]$ such that $E \not \supset G$. Then, if $E$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ equivalent in $E$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis, then there is a disjoint sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset E$ with the same property.

Proof. By Proposition 4, we may assume that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E} /\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, by the Kadec-Pełczyński alternative [18], there is subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\} \subseteq$ $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that for some disjoint sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\} \subseteq E$ we have

$$
\left\|x_{n_{j}}-z_{j}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n_{j}}\right\}$ is equivalent in $E$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis, applying now the principle of small perturbations (see e.g. [1, Theorem 1.3.9]), we can assume that $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent in $E$ to the $\ell^{2}$-basis as well.

It is clear that for every r.i. space $E$ on $[0,1]$ Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ (as a subspace of $Z_{E}$ ) contains a subspace isomorphic to $\ell^{2}$. Hence, if $\mathcal{U}_{E} \subsetneq E$, the space $E$ must share the above property. So, if a r.i. space $E$ does not contain a subspace isomorphic to $\ell^{2}, \mathcal{U}_{E}$ cannot be embedded isomorphically into $E$, which implies that $E \not \approx Z_{E}$. So, if $E$ is a separable r.i. space such that $E \not \supset G$ and it does not contain disjoint sequences equivalent to the unit vector basis of $\ell^{2}$, then $\mathcal{U}_{E} \notin E$ (see Corollary (1). In particular, if $p>2$, the separable part $\left(\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}\right)_{0}$ of the exponential Orlicz space $\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}$ has the latter properties since each disjoint sequence of this space contains a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of $c_{0}$ (see, e.g., [27]). As a result, we obtain the simplest examples of r.i. spaces $E$ such that $E \not \approx Z_{E}$.

Further, it is known that, if a r.i. space $E$ is not equal to $L^{\infty}(0,1)$ up to an equivalent renorming, Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ contains a complemented subspace of $Z_{E}$ isomorphic to $\ell^{2}$ [16, Lemma 8.7 and subsequent Remark]. Therefore, if we know that $E \approx Z_{E}$, then $E$ must contain a complemented subspace, which is isomorphic to $\ell^{2}$ as well. According to [16, Proposition 8.17], there are some Orlicz spaces, "close" to $L^{1}$, that fail to contain such subspaces and hence that are not isomorphic to $Z_{E}$ (in fact, they are not isomorphic to any r.i. space on $(0, \infty)$; see [16, Corollary 8.15]). The simplest example of such a space is the Orlicz space $L_{F_{\alpha}}$, where $F_{\alpha}(u)$ is an Orlicz function equivalent to the function $u \log ^{\alpha} u$ for large $u>0$, where $0<\alpha<1 / 2$ (see also a discussion in the concluding part of Section (1).

Here, we prove results showing that the existence of complemented subspaces isomorphic to $\ell^{2}$ does not guarantee that $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ is isomorphically embedded into $E$ and, a fortiori, that $E \approx Z_{E}$. Specifically, we will provide examples of Lorentz spaces containing plenty of complemented subspaces isomorphic to $\ell^{2}$, but without subspaces isomorphic to the corresponding Rosenthal's spaces.

First, we introduce a lattice version of a notion from [26, see p. 293]. We say that a Banach lattice $E$ has the disjoint $Q_{2}$-property (in brief, $E \in \mathcal{D} Q_{2}$ ) whenever there is a constant $C_{E}>0$ (depending only on $E$ ) such that given a disjoint sequence $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ with $\left\|h_{n}\right\|_{E}=1$, which is equivalent to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis, there exists a subsequence $\left\{h_{n_{i}}\right\} \subseteq\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ that is $C_{E}$-equivalent to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis.

Let a Banach lattice $E$ have the $\mathcal{D} Q_{2}$-property (with the constant $C_{E}$ ). Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset E$ is a disjoint sequence, which is equivalent to the unit $\ell^{2}$-basis and semi-normalized (i.e., $C^{-1} \leq\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E} \leq C$ for some $C>0$ and all $n=1,2, \ldots$ ). Then, it is obvious that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains a subsequence, which is $C_{E}^{\prime}$-equivalent to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis, where $C_{E}^{\prime}:=C_{E} \cdot C$.

Theorem 3. Let $E$ be a separable r.i. space, $E \in \mathcal{D} Q_{2}$. If $\mathcal{U}_{E} \subseteq E$, then $E \supseteq G$.
Proof. Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of disjoint subsets of $(0, \infty)$ satisfying conditions (2). Then, for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there are pairwise disjoint sets $S_{i}^{l} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $i=1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
\sum_{n \in S_{i}^{l}} m\left(A_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{l}
$$

Denote $B_{i}^{l}:=\bigcup_{n \in S_{i}^{l}} A_{n}, i=1,2, \ldots$ Consider the block-basis $\left\{\chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\{\chi_{A_{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. According to definition of the norm in $Z_{E}$ (see (3)), each set consisting of $l$ distinct functions $\chi_{B_{i}^{l}}$ is isometrically equivalent in $Z_{E}$ to the set $\left\{\chi_{((i-1) / l, i / l)}\right\}_{i=1}^{l}$ in $E$, i.e., for all distinct $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j} \chi_{B_{i_{j}}^{l}}\right\|_{Z_{E}}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{l} a_{i} \chi_{((i-1) / l, i / l)}\right\|_{E} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [26, Corollary 8]).
On the other hand, the sequence $\left\{\chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is $C_{l}$-equivalent in $Z_{E}$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis. Indeed, for arbitrary $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a set $S_{l}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with card $S_{l}^{\prime}=l$, such that, with constants depending of $l$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\|_{Z_{E}} & =\left\|\sum_{i \in S_{l}^{\prime}} a_{i} \chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\|_{E}+\left\|\sum_{i \notin S_{l}^{\prime}} a_{i} \chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \xlongequal{C_{l}}\left\|\sum_{i \in S_{l}^{\prime}} a_{i} \chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\sum_{i \notin S_{l}^{\prime}} a_{i} \chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \xlongequal{ } C_{l}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \chi_{((i-1) / l, i / l)}\right\|_{L^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}\left\|\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{2} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

From the hypothesis, there exists an isomorphism $T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$. Then, if $y_{i}^{l}:=$ $T\left(\chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right), i=1,2, \ldots$, by (28), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{E}\|T\|\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}\left\|\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constants depending on $l$ and $\|T\|$.
In the case when $\left\|y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{E} \asymp\left\|y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{L^{1}}, i=1,2, \ldots$, for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, all the conditions of Proposition 4 are satisfied, and so the desired result follows.

Assume, conversely, that for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{L^{1}}}{\left\|y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{E}}=0
$$

Denoting $u_{i}^{l}:=\left(1 / \phi_{E}(1 / l)\right) y_{i}^{l}, i, l=1,2, \ldots$, where $\phi_{E}$ is the fundamental function of the space $E$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\|^{-1} \leq\left\|u_{i}^{l}\right\|_{E} \leq\|T\|, \quad i, l=1,2, \ldots \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and clearly for every $l=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|u_{i}^{l}\right\|_{L^{1}}}{\left\|u_{i}^{l}\right\|_{E}}=0
$$

Then again, by the Kadec-Pełczyński alternative [18], for each $l=1,2, \ldots$ there is subsequence $\left\{u_{i_{j}}^{l}\right\} \subseteq\left\{u_{i}^{l}\right\}$, where a sequence $\left\{i_{j}\right\}$ depends on $l \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for some disjoint sequence $\left\{z_{j}^{l}\right\} \subseteq E$ it holds

$$
\left\|u_{i_{j}}^{l}-z_{j}^{l}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty
$$

Applying the principle of small perturbations (see e.g. [1, Theorem 1.3.9]), we can assume that $\left\{z_{j}^{l}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is 2-equivalent in $E$ to the sequence $\left\{u_{i_{j}}^{l}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, and so, by (30),

$$
(2\|T\|)^{-1} \leq\left\|z_{j}^{l}\right\|_{E} \leq 2\|T\|, \quad j, l=1,2, \ldots
$$

which means that for every $l=1,2, \ldots$ the sequence $\left\{z_{j}^{l}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is semi-normalized with a constant independent of $l$. Moreover, taking into account (29), we see that $\left\{z_{j}^{l}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent in $E$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis (with constants depending on $l=1,2, \ldots)$. Since $E \in \mathcal{D} Q_{2}$, for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left\{z_{j}^{l}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ contains a further subsequence $\left\{z_{j_{k}}^{l}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (where $\left\{j_{k}\right\}$ also depends on $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ) that is $C_{E^{-}}^{\prime}$ equivalent to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis. Clearly, then the sequence $\left\{u_{i_{j_{k}}}^{l}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is $2 C_{E}^{\prime}$-equivalent to the same basis, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} u_{i_{j_{k}}}^{l}\right\|_{E} 2 \check{C}_{E}^{\prime}\left\|\left(a_{k}\right)\right\|_{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (27) and the above notation it follows that

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} u_{i_{j_{k}}}^{l}\right\|_{E}\|\underset{\asymp}{ }\| \frac{1}{\phi_{E}(1 / l)}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \chi_{B_{i_{j_{k}}}^{l}}\right\|_{Z_{E}}=\frac{1}{\phi_{E}(1 / l)}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j} \chi_{((j-1) / l, j / l)}\right\|_{E}
$$

for all $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$. Combining this with (31), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j} \chi_{((j-1) / l, j / l)}\right\|_{E} \asymp \phi_{E}(1 / l)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constants independent of $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next, one can easily check that equivalence (32) implies that $\phi_{E}(t) \asymp t^{1 / 2}$, $0<t \leq 1$. Indeed, for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\chi_{(0,1)}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \chi_{(i-1) / l, i / l)}
$$

whence, by (32),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\left\|\chi_{(0,1)}\right\|_{E} \asymp \sqrt{l} \phi_{E}(1 / l) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\phi_{E}(1 / l) \asymp 1 / \sqrt{l}, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Combining this together with the quasiconcavity of $\phi_{E}$, we obtain that $\phi_{E}(t) \asymp \sqrt{t}, 0<t \leq 1$. As a consequence, from (32) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j} \chi_{((j-1) / l, j / l)}\right\|_{E} & \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{l} a_{j} \chi_{((j-1) / l, j / l)}\right\|_{L^{2}}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

with constants independent of $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, this implies that $E \approx L^{2}$, and the desired result follows.

Theorem 4. Let $E$ be a separable r.i. space on $[0,1]$ such that both $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ have the $\mathcal{D} Q_{2}$-property. If $E \approx Z_{E}$, then $G \subseteq E \subseteq G^{\prime}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3 that we need only to prove that $E \subseteq G^{\prime}$.
Suppose that $T$ is an isomorphism from $Z_{E}$ onto $E$. Clearly, then $T^{*}$ is an isomorphism from $E^{*}$ onto $\left(Z_{E}\right)^{*}$. Since $E$ is separable, we have $E^{*}=E^{\prime}$ and, by Lemma 1 , $Z_{E}$ is a separable space with $\left(Z_{E}\right)^{*}=\left(Z_{E}\right)^{\prime}=Z_{E^{\prime}}$. Thus, $E^{\prime} \approx Z_{E^{\prime}}$, and hence, by Theorem 3, $E^{\prime} \supseteq G$, which implies $E \subseteq E^{\prime \prime} \subseteq G^{\prime}$.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Recall that a Banach lattice $E$ is said to be p-disjointly homogeneous ( $p-\mathcal{D H}$ ) if every disjoint normalized sequence contains a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector $\ell^{p}$-basis ( $c_{0}$-basis if $p=\infty$ ). Moreover, $E$ is called uniformly $p-\mathcal{D H}$ if there is a constant $C_{E}$, which depends only on $E$, such that from every disjoint normalized sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ we can select a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\} \subseteq$ $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, which is $C_{E}$-equivalent to the $\ell^{p}$-basis (for a detailed account of these properties see the survey [14 and references therein).

Every $p-\mathcal{D H}$ Banach lattice for $1<p<\infty$ is reflexive [3]. Also, it is obvious that each uniformly $2-\mathcal{D H}$ lattice has the $\mathcal{D} Q_{2}$-property.

Theorem 5. Let $E$ be a uniformly 2-DH r.i. space on $[0,1]$. Suppose that at least one of the following conditions holds:
(i) Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ is isomorphically embedded into the space $E$;
(ii) $E$ is isomorphic to a r.i. space on $(0, \infty)$.

Then, $E \supseteq G$.
Moreover, if additionally the Köthe dual $E^{\prime}$ is uniformly 2-DH and $E^{\prime}$ satisfies at least one of the conditions (i) and (ii), then $G \subseteq E \subseteq G^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $E$ is a uniformly 2- $\mathcal{D H}$, then the condition (i) implies the embedding $E \supseteq G$ by Theorem 3 ,

Let now $E$ be isomorphic to a r.i. space $Y$ on $(0, \infty)$. Denote $x_{n, i}:=\chi_{[(i-1) / n, i / n)}$, $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume first that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\left\{x_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent in $Y$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis. Then, if $T$ is an isomorphism of $Y$ onto $E$, each sequence $\left\{y_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $y_{n, i}:=T\left(x_{n, i}\right), n, i \in \mathbb{N}$, is equivalent in $E$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis as well. In the case when $\left\|y_{n, i}\right\|_{E} \asymp\left\|y_{n, i}\right\|_{L^{1}}$, $i=1,2, \ldots$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the desired result follows, as above, by Proposition 4. Hence, it remains to consider the case when for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|y_{n, i}\right\|_{L^{1}}}{\left\|y_{n, i}\right\|_{E}}=0
$$

Then, denoting $u_{n, i}:=\left(1 / \phi_{E}(1 / n)\right) y_{n, i}, i, n=1,2, \ldots$ and reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4, we can find, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a subsequence $\left\{u_{n, i_{j}}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, which is 2-equivalent in $E$ to some disjoint semi-normalized (with a constant independent of $n$ ) sequence $\left\{z_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Thanks to the uniform 2-DH property of $E$, passing if it necessary to a further subsequence, we can assume that there is a constant $D^{\prime}>0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left\{u_{n, i_{j}}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is $D^{\prime}$ equivalent in $Y$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis. On the other hand, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left\{y_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ (together with $\left\{x_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in $Y$ ) is $B$-symmetric in $E$ for some $B>0$. Hence, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left\{u_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and hence the sequence $\left\{\left(1 / \phi_{E}(1 / n)\right) x_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is $D$-equivalent in $Y$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis for some $D>0$, i.e.,

$$
D^{-1} \phi_{E}(1 / n)\left\|\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x_{n, i}\right\|_{Y} \leq D \phi_{E}(1 / n)\left\|\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|_{2}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(a_{i}\right) \in \ell^{2}$. Clearly, this implies that $Y=L^{2}(0, \infty)$ (see the concluding part of the proof of Theorem (4). Since $E \approx Y$ by condition, we infer that $E=L^{2}[0,1]$ (with equivalence of norms), and so in this case everything is done.

Conversely, suppose that the sequence $\left\{y_{1, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not equivalent in $Y$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis; then, the same is true also for all sequences $\left\{y_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. As was said above, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left\{y_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is $B$-symmetric in $E$ for some $B>0$. Moreover, since $\left\{x_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, spans an 1-complemented subspace in $Y$ (see e.g. [20, Ch. II, §3.2]), we can assume that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the span $\left[y_{n, i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right]$ is a $B$-complemented subspace in $E$. Then, according to [16, Lemma 8.10], there is a constant $A^{\prime}>0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left\{y_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is $A^{\prime}$-equivalent in $E$ to a disjoint sequence in $E$. Since the latter space is uniformly $2-\mathcal{D H}$ and $\left\{x_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a $B$-symmetric sequence in $E$, we conclude that there is a constant $A>0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\left\{\left(1 / \phi_{E}(1 / n)\right) x_{n, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is $A$-equivalent in $Y$ to the unit vector $\ell^{2}$-basis. As above, this yields that $Y=L^{2}(0, \infty)$ and hence $E=L^{2}[0,1]$ (with equivalence of norms), which completes the proof.

It is well known that every Lorentz space $\Lambda_{2}(\varphi)$ has the uniform 2- $\mathcal{D H}$ property (see e.g. [13, Theorem 5.1]). Therefore, since the embedding $\Lambda_{2}(\varphi) \supseteq G$ is equivalent to the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(e^{-k}\right)<\infty$ (see e.g. [6, Lemma 3]), we get the following consequence of Theorem 5.

Corollary 2. Let $\varphi$ be an increasing concave function on $[0,1]$ with $\varphi(0)=0$. Suppose that at least one of the following conditions holds:
(i) Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{\Lambda_{2}(\varphi)}$ is isomorphically embedded into the space $\Lambda_{2}(\varphi)$;
(ii) the space $\Lambda_{2}(\varphi)$ isomorphic to a r.i. space on $(0, \infty)$.

Then, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(e^{-k}\right)<\infty$.
In particular, we get the following new examples of r.i. spaces on $[0,1]$ that are not equivalent to any r.i. spaces on $(0, \infty)$.

Corollary 3. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$. Then, the Lorentz space $\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right)$ has the following properties:
(a) any disjoint sequence in $\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right)$ contains a subsequence 2-equivalent to the unit vector basis of $\ell^{2}$, which spans a 2 -complemented subspace in $\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right)$;
(b) Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right)}$ fails to be isomorphically embedded into $\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right)$ and $\Lambda_{2}\left(\log ^{-\alpha}(e / u)\right)$ is not isomorphic to any r.i. space on $(0, \infty)$.

## 5. Existence of an isomorphic embedding $T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$ : The case when $T\left(\chi_{A_{n}}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, ARE INDEPENDENT.

In the final section, we treat the special case when there is an isomorphic embed$\operatorname{ding} T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$ such that the functions $T\left(\chi_{A_{n}}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, are independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s.

Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of disjoint measurable subsets of $(0, \infty)$ satisfying conditions (21). In the same way as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we find pairwise disjoint sets $S_{i}^{l} \subseteq \mathbb{N}, i=1,2, \ldots$, such that $\sum_{n \in S_{i}^{l}} m\left(A_{n}\right)=1 / l$ and denote $B_{i}^{l}:=\bigcup_{n \in S_{i}^{l}} A_{n}, i=1,2, \ldots$.

Next, suppose that $E$ is a r.i. space such that $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ is isomorphically embedded into $E, T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism, $y_{i}^{l}:=T\left(\chi_{B_{i}^{l}}\right), i, l \in \mathbb{N}$. In contrast to the preceding section, we assume that sequences $\left\{y_{i}^{l}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, l \in \mathbb{N}$, do not contain "almost" disjoint subsequences, which means (see the proof of Theorem 3) that $\left\|y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{E} \asymp\left\|y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{L^{1}}, i=1,2, \ldots$, for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, it is easy to check (see also [18]) that for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_{l}>0$ such that

$$
m\left(\left\{t:\left|y_{i}^{l}(t)\right|>\varepsilon_{l}\left\|y_{i}^{l}\right\|_{E}\right\}\right) \geq \varepsilon_{l} .
$$

However, we will need the following stronger condition: there are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma>0$, an infinite sequence $\left\{l_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$, and a sequence of sets $F_{k} \subset \mathbb{N}, k=1,2, \ldots$, such that $\gamma l_{k} \leq \operatorname{card} F_{k} \leq l_{k}$ and for each $i \in F_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\left\{t:\left|y_{i}^{l_{k}}(t)\right|>\alpha\right\}\right) \geq \frac{\beta}{l_{k}} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let us consider the family $\left\{B_{i}^{l_{k}}, i \in F_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. One can readily check now that definition of the sets $B_{i}^{l}, i, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and the conditions imposed on
the sets $F_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, assure that the latter family satisfies requirements (2). Since Rosenthal's space $\mathcal{U}_{E}$ is invariant (up to isomorphism) on the particular choice of a sequence of sets satisfying (21) [16, Lemma 8.7], without loss of generality, we can replace the initial sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the family $\left\{B_{i}^{l_{k}}, i \in F_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
Theorem 6. Let $E$ be a r.i. space on $[0,1]$ such that there exists an isomorphic embedding $T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$. Suppose that there is a sequence $\left\{l_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the functions $y_{i}^{l_{k}}:=T\left(\chi_{B_{i}^{l_{k}}}\right), k, i \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s satisfying the above conditions (34). Then, the Kruglov operator $K$ is bounded from $E$ into $E^{\prime \prime}$.

Moreover, there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{E}\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{2 l_{k}}\right)^{\gamma l_{k}}\right) \leq \frac{C}{l_{k}}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{E}$ is the fundamental function of the space $E$.
Proof. First, for each $k=1,2, \ldots$, we compare the finite sequences $\left\{y_{i}^{l_{k}}\right\}_{i \in F_{k}}$ and $\left\{u_{i}^{l_{k}}\right\}_{i \in F_{k}}$, where $u_{i}^{l}$ are, as above, independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s equimeasurable with the characteristic functions $\chi_{B_{i}^{l_{k}}}, k, i=1,2, \ldots$ From (34) it follows that for all $\tau>0$

$$
m\left(\left\{t:\left|y_{i}^{l_{k}}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \geq \beta m\left(\left\{t: \alpha\left|u_{i}^{l_{k}}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right), \quad i \in F_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Hence, applying the result of Kwapien-Rychlik, [28, Ch.V, Theorem 4.4.], for all $\tau>0$ and $a_{i}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$
m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{l_{k}}(t)\right|>\tau\right\}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\beta} m\left(\left\{t:\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} y_{i}^{l_{k}}(t)\right|>\beta \alpha \tau\right\}\right)
$$

So, by [20, Ch.II, §4.3, Corollary 2],

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{l_{k}}\right\|_{E} \leq \frac{2}{\beta^{2} \alpha}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} y_{i}^{l_{k}}\right\|_{E}
$$

On the other hand, since $T$ is an isomorphism, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} y_{i}^{l_{k}}\right\|_{E}\|T\|\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} \chi_{B_{i}^{l_{k}}}\right\|_{Z_{E}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the last inequalities, we infer that

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} u_{i}^{l_{k}}\right\|_{E} \leq \frac{2\|T\|}{\beta^{2} \alpha}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in F_{k}} a_{i}^{k} \chi_{B_{i}^{l_{k}}}\right\|_{Z_{E}}
$$

Applying now Theorem ( 1 to the family $\left\{B_{i}^{l_{k}}, i \in F_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ ), we complete the proof of the first assertion.

Further, since card $F_{k} \leq l_{k}$ and $m\left(B_{i}^{l_{k}}\right)=1 / l_{k}$, from (36) it follows that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i \in F_{k}} y_{i}^{l_{k}}\right\|_{E} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|\sum_{i \in F_{k}} \chi_{B_{i}^{l_{k}}}\right\|_{E} \leq C^{\prime}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Moreover, taking into account the fact that $y_{i}^{l_{k}}, i \in F_{k}$, are independent symmetrically distributed r.v.'s, the inequality card $F_{k} \geq \gamma l_{k}$ and (34), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{i \in F_{k}} y_{i}^{m_{k}}\right\|_{E} & \geq \alpha \gamma m_{k} \cdot\left\|\chi_{\bigcap_{i \in F_{k}}\left\{y_{i}^{m_{k}} \geq \alpha\right\}}\right\|_{E} \\
& =\alpha \gamma m_{k} \cdot \varphi_{E}\left(\prod_{i \in F_{k}} m\left(\left\{y_{i}^{m_{k}} \geq \alpha\right\}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \alpha \gamma m_{k} \cdot \varphi_{E}\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{2 m_{k}}\right)^{\gamma m_{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these inequalities, we obtain (35).
Corollary 4. Let $E$ be the exponential Orlicz space ExpL ${ }^{p}$, $p>0$. Then, there exists an isomorphic embedding $T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$, satisfying the conditions of Theorem [6, if and only if $0<p \leq 1$.
Proof. One can easily check that, for $E=\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}$, we have $\varphi_{E}(u) \asymp \log ^{-1 / p}(e / u)$, $0<u \leq 1$. Therefore, a direct calculation shows that (35) is fulfilled in this case if and only if $0<p \leq 1$. Moreover, if $0<p \leq 1$, the space $\operatorname{Exp} L^{p}$ has the Kruglov property (see [12, the beginning of §2.4] and [10, 4.3.1]), which implies that there exists an isomorphic embedding $T: \mathcal{U}_{E} \rightarrow E$, satisfying the conditions of Theorem 66 (indeed, we take $u_{i}^{m}$ for $y_{i}^{m}$, an arbitrary sequence of positive integers $\left\{m_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and any set of cardinality $m_{k}$ for $F_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ ). Thus, the desired result follows.

## References

[1] F. Albiac, N. J. Kalton, Topics in Banach space theory (Springer, New York, 2006).
[2] D. Aldous and D. Fremlin, Colacunary sequences in L-spaces, Studia Math. 71 (1982), 297-304.
[3] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, Positive operators, Springer, 2006.
[4] S. V. Astashkin, Disjointly strictly singular inclusions of symmetric spaces, Math. Notes 65 (1999), 3-12.
[5] S. V. Astashkin, Rademacher series and isomorphisms of rearrangement invariant spaces on the semi-axis, J. Funct. Anal. 260 (2010), 195-207.
[6] S. V. Astashkin, Compact and strictly singular operators in rearrangement invariant spaces and Rademacher functions, Positivity (to appear).
[7] S. V. Astashkin, F. L. Hernández, and E. M. Semenov, Strictly singular inclusions of rearrangement invariant spaces and Rademacher spaces, Studia Math. 193 (2009), 269283.
[8] S. V. Astashkin and F. A. Sukochev, Sums of independent random variables in rearrangement invariant spaces: an operator approach, Isr. J. Math., 145 (2005), 125-156.
[9] S. V. Astashkin and F. A. Sukochev, Series of independent, mean zero random variables in rearrangement-invariant spaces having the Kruglov property, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 148 (2008), 795-809.
[10] S. V. Astashkin and F. A. Sukochev, Independent functions and the geometry of Banach spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 65 (2010), 1003-1081.
[11] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
[12] M. Sh. Braverman, Independent random variables and rearrangement invariant spaces, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 194, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1994.
[13] T. Fiegel, W. B. Johnson, and L. Tzafriri, On Banach lattices and spaces having local unconditional structure, with applications to Lorentz function spaces, J. Approx. Theory 13 (1975), 395-412.
[14] J. Flores, F. L. Hernández, and P. Tradacete, Disjointly homogeneous Banach lattices and applications. Ordered Structures and Applications: Positivity VII. Trends in Mathematics, Springer, 179-201 (2016).
[15] P. Hitczenko and S. Montgomery-Smith, Measuring the magnitude of sums of independent random variables, Ann. Probab. 29 (2001), 447-466.
[16] W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman, and L. Tzafriri, Symmetric structures in Banach spaces, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 19 (1979) 298 pp.
[17] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtman, Sums of independent random variables in rearrangement invariant function spaces, Ann. Probab. 17 (1989), 789-808.
[18] M. I. Kadec and A. Pełczyński, Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the spaces $L_{p}$, Studia Math. 21 (1961/1962), 161-176.
[19] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Ya. B. Rutickii, Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
[20] S. G. Krein, Ju. I. Petunin and E. M. Semenov, Interpolation of Linear Operators (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R. I., 1982).
[21] V. M. Kruglov, A remark on the theory of infinitely divisible laws, Teor. Veroyatn. i Primenen. 15 (1970), 331-336 (in Russian).
[22] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces vol. II (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).
[23] B. S. Mityagin, The homotopy structure of the linear group of a Banach space, Russian Math. Surveys 25 (1970), 59-103.
[24] S. Ya. Novikov, A characteristic of subspaces of a symmetric space, in: Studies in the Theory of Functions of Several Variables (in Russian), Yaroslavl State Univ. (1980), 140148.
[25] Y. Raynaud, Complemented Hilbertian subspaces in rearrangement invariant function spaces, Illinois J. Math. 39 (1995), 212-250.
[26] H. P. Rosenthal, On the subspaces of $L^{p}(p>2)$ spanned by sequences of independent random variables, Israel J. Math. 8 (1970), 273-303.
[27] E. V. Tokarev, On subspaces of some symmetric spaces, Teor. Funkcii, Functional. Anal. i Prilozen. 24(1975), 156-161 (in Russian).
[28] N. N. Vakhania, V. I. Tarieladze and S. A. Chobanyan, Probability distributions in Banach spaces, Kluwer Academic Publ. (1991).

Department of Mathematics, Samara National Research University, Moskovskoye Shosse 34 , 443086, Samara, Russia

E-mail address: astash56@mail.ru
Facultad de Matemáticas \& Instituto de Matemáticas (IMUS), Universidad de Sevilla, Calle Tarfia s/n, Sevilla 41012, Spain

E-mail address: curbera@us.es

