

GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE 3D MUSKAT PROBLEM IN THE CRITICAL SOBOLEV SPACE

FRANCISCO GANCEDO AND OMAR LAZAR

ABSTRACT. We prove that the 3D stable Muskat problem is globally well-posed in the critical Sobolev space $\dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{W}^{1,\infty}$ provided that the semi-norm $\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}$ is small enough. Consequently, this allows the Lipschitz semi-norm to be arbitrarily large. The proof is based on a new formulation of the 3D Muskat problem that allows to capture the hidden oscillatory nature of the problem. The latter formulation allows to prove the \dot{H}^2 *a priori* estimates. In the literature, all the known global existence results for the 3D Muskat problem are for small slopes (less than 1). This is the first arbitrary large slope theorem for the 3D stable Muskat problem.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Main result	5
3. A new formulation of the 3D Muskat problem	6
4. Functional setting and notations	6
5. <i>A priori</i> estimates in \dot{H}^2	7
6. Estimates of the less singular term: $\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{T}_i$	8
6.1. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_1	8
6.2. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_2	8
6.3. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_3	9
6.4. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_4	9
6.5. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_5	10
7. Symmetrization and useful identities	10
8. Estimates of the most singular term : $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{S}_i$	13
8.1. Algebraic decomposition of the most singular term : \mathcal{S}	13
8.2. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_1	17
8.3. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_2	22
8.4. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_3	35
8.5. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_4	36
9. Sobolev energy inequality	40
10. Slope control and uniform bound using control of slope	40
Acknowledgments	41
References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study the 3D Muskat problem which models the dynamics of two incompressible and immiscible fluids with different densities and viscosities separated by a porous media (see [49]). This problem, initiated by Morris Muskat

in the early '30, has appeared in the first time in the study of science of geophysics mainly for petroleum engineering applications ([50]). His main contributions has been to introduce a mathematical concepts to the knowledge of flow of oil and gas in sands. Since then, many other applications such as in civil engineering or in modern biology have been studied (see e.g. [35]). Since the fluids are immiscible and separated by a porous media, they therefore lie in two different time dependent domains. Set $\Omega_1(t)$ and $\Omega_2(t)$ these two different fluid regions. We assume that ρ_i is the density of the fluid in the moving region $\Omega_i(t)$ and that the two fluids have the same viscosity (see e.g. [41] for the viscosity jump case). The velocity v_i in the fluid domain $\Omega_i(t)$ for $i = 1, 2$, is given by the following so-called Darcy's [31] law as follows

$$\frac{\mu}{\kappa} v_i = (0, 0, g\rho_i) - \nabla P_i, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot v_i = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Where g is the gravity, κ is the permeability of the porous media, μ is the viscosity. Since g , κ and μ are fixed constants, without loss for generality, we may assume that there are all equal to 1 for simplicity. The second identity means that the two fluids are incompressible. Recall that P_i is the pressure on the different fluid domains, while on the interface $\partial\Omega_1(t) = \partial\Omega_2(t)$ the pressure are equal that is $P_1 = P_2$. Lastly, since the density ρ_i is transported by the flow, it obeys the following equation

$$\partial_t \rho_i + v_i \cdot \nabla \rho_i = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

The coupling of equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) is the incompressible porous media equation ([49]). Note that all those physical quantities namely v_i, ρ_i, P_i are functions of $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$. In particular, since the two fluids have different densities, ρ_i is a step function, that is

$$\rho(x, t) = \rho_1 \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_1(t)}(x) + \rho_2 \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_2(t)}(x).$$

This problem is analogous to the so-called Hele-Shaw equation [37, 38]. We refer to [53, 43, 40, 21] for a complete picture of this analogy and to [2, 3] for some recent mathematical developments on this equation and related models.

Since $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$, we may assume that $\rho_1 < \rho_2$. In that case, the word "stable" Muskat problem means that $\Omega_2(t)$ corresponds to the heavier fluid domain which lies below $\Omega_1(t)$ which is the lighter fluid domain. This physical structure is preserved for any time as long as the interface is a graph of a regular enough function and this is the case as long as the Rayleigh-Taylor condition is satisfied (see [53]). Indeed, a common assumption when studying the moving fluid domains is to parametrize the interface as being the graph of a sufficiently regular function. In this case the Rayleigh-Taylor simplifies to $\rho_2 - \rho_1 > 0$. By using classical tools from potential theory, it was shown in [22] that the interface obeys a nice contour equation which is both nonlocal (unlike its Eulerian version) and nonlinear. This formulation gives a closed equation which is fully determined only by the dynamics of the interface itself. The dynamics of this moving interface is a function f which depends of the position $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and time $t \geq 0$, This gives rise to an evolution equation which is called the Muskat problem. We shall further assume that we are dealing with an interface which is flat at infinity and that there is no surface tension.

In this paper we shall focus on the 3D case. The 3D Muskat problem reads as follows

$$(\mathcal{M}_1) : \begin{cases} f_t(t, x) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} P.V. \int \nabla_x \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \frac{1}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)^{3/2}} dy, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T] \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where $\rho = \rho_2 - \rho_1 > 0$ and the operator $\Delta_y f(x, t) = \frac{f(x, t) - f(x - y, t)}{|y|}$. Note that the *p.v.* is mainly needed when y approaches 0, some models have been studied taking into account this fact (see e.g. [44]). Local existence for this equation in subcritical spaces either in 2D or 3D has been studied in several articles. Local existence in the Sobolev space in H^k , $k \geq 3$ and illposedness results in the unstable regime have been shown in [22]. In [14], Chang, Granero-Bellinchón and Shkoller proved local well-posedness in H^2 provided the norm $H^{3/2+\epsilon}$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ is small enough. In [19], Constantin, Gancedo, Schydkoy and Vicol were able to prove that the Muskat problem is locally-well posed in $\dot{W}^{2,p}$, $p > 1$. They also proved a regularity criteria in terms of the uniform continuity of the bounded slope (see also [43] where a very weak regularity criteria is proved). The later result has been recently extended in [1] to the 3D case and to the wider class of subcritical Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}$ where $s \in (1 + 1/p, 2)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. In [47], Matic proved local-wellposedness in the subcritical Sobolev space $H^{3/2+\epsilon}$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. By using a purely paradifferential approach, Nguyen and Pausader [39] were able to prove that the Muskat problem is locally-well posed in H^s , $s > 1 + d/2$ regardless of the characteristic of the fluids. In the 2D case, the homogeneous version of the result in [39] has been obtained by Alazard and the second author [4] using a parilinearization formula of the Muskat equation [4]. The latter allows to identify the most important terms in the study of the Cauchy problem.

Similarly, up to an integration by parts (see [22]), the 3D Muskat problem may be written as

$$(\mathcal{M}_1) : \begin{cases} f_t(t, x) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} P.V. \int \frac{\nabla f(x) \cdot y - (f(x, t) - f(x - y, t))}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)^{3/2}} \frac{dy}{|y|^3}, \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x). \end{cases}$$

The latter formulation is well adapted when dealing with the Cauchy problem for the Muskat equation with data in the Lipschitz class. Indeed, it has been used for instance the recent work by Cameron in [9] to prove global regularity for small slopes for the 3D Muskat problem. Besides being a physically relevant quantity when dealing with the geometry of the moving interface, the Lipschitz semi-norm is also a fundamental quantity in the Muskat problem (see the survey [43, 40]).

Importantly, the Muskat equation has a scaling. Namely, if f is a solution to 3D Muskat problem with initial data f_0 so does the whole family $\lambda^{-1}f(\lambda x, \lambda t)$ with initial data $\lambda^{-1}f_0(\lambda x)$, where $\lambda > 0$. Recall that a space is called critical if its norm (or semi-norm) is left invariant by the scaling of the equation. In the case of the 3D Muskat problem, it is not difficult to observe that the Lipschitz space, the Wiener space studied in [17], the homogeneous Sobolev space \dot{H}^2 or the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}$ are examples of critical spaces for the 3D Muskat problem. To get a first idea of the structure of the equation a classical idea consists in linearizing around the trivial solution. By doing so, one may check that the equation reduces to

$$\partial_t f(x, t) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \Lambda f$$

where in 2D,

$$\Lambda f(x, t) = \frac{P.V.}{\pi} \int \frac{f(x, t) - f(x - y, t)}{|y|^3} dy$$

This linearization shows that one needs $\rho > 0$ in order to ensure existence of a local solution to the "half" heat equation.

The Cauchy problem for equation \mathcal{M}_1 in the critical setting is delicate, even if one assumes smallness of the initial data. Indeed, the Muskat problem is not a fully parabolic PDE since regular enough solutions may blow-up as it has been show by Castro, Córdoba, Gancedo and Fefferman in [10] and Castro, Córdoba, Fefferman, Gancedo and López-Fernández in [13]). Indeed, they proved that there exists a class of smooth initial data which fails to be C^4 regular after sometimes and after a later time becomes a non-graph (see also [34]). The instablity of the Cauchy problem associated to regular enough initial data is also very well described in a series of papers by Córdoba, Gómez-Serrano and Zlatoš ([25, 26]). They were able to show some special dynamical scenarios are possible *e.g.* solutions passing from stable regime to unstable regime and finally go back to stable regime. Another kind of singularity are the so-called splash singularity (the curve self intersect in a point) or splat singularity (the curve self intersect in set a of Lebesgue measure > 0) while its regularity is preserved. For the Muskat problem, both splash [42] and splat singularities [24, 28] have been ruled out. In the one phase Muskat problem problem splash singularities are possible as it was shown by Castro, Córdoba, Fefferman, Gancedo and López-Fernández in [13]). These kind of singularities have been shown to exist or ruled out for water waves and related fluid equations (see [12, 15, 29, 30, 42]). Note that the Muskat can be seen as the "parabolic" version of the water-waves equations (see *e.g.* [43]).

All the singularity results known require initial data which are sufficiently regular and with sufficiently high slope. Global existence results for very small slopes have been obtained by Constantin and Pugh [28] or Escher-Matioc [36] they were able to ruled out turnover scenario. Actually, if one assumes that the initial data is sufficiently small in the critical Lipschitz space $\dot{W}^{1,\infty}$, then the Muskat problem turns out to be more stable. More precisely, there is a maximum principle for the slope ([23]) in the sense that, if the Lipschitz semi-norm is initially smaller than 1 so do the solutions for all time. In [18], Constantin, Córdoba, Gancedo, and Rodriguez-Piazza and Strain were able to prove that if the initial data is at least H^3 (to ensure local existence [22]) and if the initial data is smaller than $1/3$ in the Lipschitz class, then the 3D Muskat problem is globally well-posed. We refer also to [16] where decay estimates are obtained. Recently, Cameron [9] was able to construct global unique solution for initial data $\|\nabla_x f_0\|_{L^\infty} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$. He was able to improve the bound obtained in [18]. The unique solution can be unbounded provided that it grows sublinearly. However, unlike his result in the 2D case ([8]), the main results in the 3D case deals with small slopes only.

While arbitrary large slope results have been shown to exist globally for the 2D Muskat problem in :

- Deng, Lei, and Lin [32] (under a monotonicity assumption)
- Cameron [8] (under the condition that $\sup f'_0(x) \times \sup -f'_0(y) < 1$)
- Córdoba and the second author [27] (small data in the critical $\dot{H}^{3/2}$ space),

no large solutions in Lipschitz are known to exist for the 3D Muskat problem. In terms of the geometry of the interface, the condition of very small slopes (< 1) is quite restrictive.

The aim of this article is to show that the 3D Muskat problem is globally well-posed for any large initial data in Lipschitz. Indeed, we shall only assume smallness

in the critical \dot{H}^2 semi-norm. So the slope can be arbitrarily large, this is the first result of large slope solutions for the 3D Muskat problem.

Besides being mathematically challenging to prove global results without any smallness assumption on the Lipschitz semi-norm, it is also physically relevant since it would show that the interface can be highly oscillating in an arbitrarily short time. This is obviously impossible to observe if the slope is small. Also, allowing the slope to be arbitrarily large shows that there exist solutions which can be arbitrarily close to the turnover phenomena observed by Castro, Córdoba, Fefferman, Gancedo and López-Fernández in [13] but without ever reaching it.

When dealing with the Cauchy problem for data in the critical \dot{H}^2 space, both aforementioned formulations give rise to severe difficulties to close the *a priori* estimates for the most singular terms. This motivates the introduction of a new formulation to treat the Cauchy problem (1.4) for initial data in \dot{H}^2 . The idea behind this new formulation in terms of oscillatory integral was pioneered in an article by Córdoba and the second author [27] where they studied the Cauchy problem for 2D Muskat equation with regular enough data and small $\dot{H}^{3/2}$ semi-norm. The 3D case (2D interface) is not only more nonlinear than the 2D case (1D interface) but also more technical because of the fact that one has to deal with directional derivatives. The fact that the rational function in $\Delta_y f$ appearing in the Muskat equation cannot be seen as the restriction of the Fourier transform of some well chosen L^1 function (in the same spirit as [27]) generates some technical difficulties.

2. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}(x) = C(1 + x^2)^{-3/2}$, where $C > 0$ is a fixed constant. For any initial data $f_0 \in \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{W}^{1,\infty}$ with $\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2} < \mathcal{F}(\|f_0\|_{\dot{W}^{1,\infty}})$ small enough, then, there exists a unique global solution f to the 3D Muskat problem such that $f \in L^\infty([0, T], \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{W}^{1,\infty}) \cap L^2([0, T]; \dot{H}^{5/2})$ for all $T > 0$.*

Remark 1. This theorem allows the interface to be arbitrarily large in $\dot{W}^{1,\infty}$ which is the first result of this kind in the 3D case. Note that the smallness is only assumed on the critical \dot{H}^2 Sobolev semi-norm. Besides, this theorem is fully dealing with the critical setting in the sense that both the initial data and the smallness lie in critical spaces.

Remark 2. The proof of the *a priori* estimates in \dot{H}^2 is based on a series of decomposition of the terms together with estimates on homogeneous Besov spaces. This \dot{H}^2 control shows that there is a regularizing effect of order $L^2 \dot{H}^{5/2}$. The control of the slope by means of the $L^2 \dot{H}^{5/2}$ semi-norm is obtained thanks to a combination of the study of the evolution of the extrema (justified thanks to Rademacher's theorem) together with Besov estimates.

Remark 3. When performing \dot{H}^2 *a priori* estimates, the dissipation one hopes for is of fractional order. This amounts to take fractional derivatives into the nonlinear term. One would need to use multilinear estimates of singular integral operators together with estimates of composition functions ([7]). This may lead to tedious computations. However, the strategy to get the \dot{H}^2 *a priori* estimates presented in this paper avoids this difficulty.

The plan of the paper is the following, in the next section we shall introduce a new formulation of the 3D Muskat problem in terms of oscillatory integrals. In the second section, we shall give the definition of the functional spaces together with

notations of some operators that will be used throughout the article. The third section, which is the central part of the article, is devoted to the proof of the \dot{H}^2 *a priori* estimates. The fourth section contains the Sobolev energy inequality. The fifth and last section is the control of the slope together with a bootstrap argument to close the estimates with respect to critical quantities only.

3. A NEW FORMULATION OF THE 3D MUSKAT PROBLEM

Let us recall that the Muskat equation in \mathbb{R}^3 in the stable case and when the interface is parametrized as a graph is given by the following 2D equation

$$(\mathcal{M}_1) : \begin{cases} f_t(t, x) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} P.V. \int \nabla_x \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \frac{1}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)^{3/2}} dy \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x) \end{cases}$$

In this section we shall prove the following Proposition which gives an equivalent formulation of the 3D Muskat in terms of oscillatory integrals.

Proposition 3.1. *Consider the following Cauchy problem*

$$(\mathcal{M}_2) : \begin{cases} f_t(t, x) = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} P.V. \int \nabla_x \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy, \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$(\mathcal{M}_1) \iff (\mathcal{M}_2).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1 It suffices to remember that $\cos(\arctan(x)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$. The end of the proof follows from the new formulation introduced in the recent article [27]. \square

4. FUNCTIONAL SETTING AND NOTATIONS

As usually, for $s > 0$, \dot{H}^s denotes the homogeneous Sobolev space endowed with the semi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2}$$

The definition of the homogeneous Besov spaces that we shall use have been introduced by Oleg Vladimirovich Besov in [6]. Let $(p, q, s) \in [1, \infty]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, a tempered distribution f (we assume that its Fourier transform is locally integrable near 0) belongs to the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ if and only if the following semi-norm is finite

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \left\| \frac{\|\mathbb{1}_{]0,1[}(s) \delta_y f + \mathbb{1}_{[1,2[}(s) (\delta_y + \bar{\delta}_y f)\|_{L^p}}{|y|^s} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, |y|^{-2} dy)} < \infty,$$

where $\delta_y f(x) = f(x) - f(x - y)$ and $\bar{\delta}_y f(x) = f(x) - f(x + y)$.

We have the following embedding between homogeneous Besov spaces will be used (see e.g. [5], [46], [51]). We have for all $(p_1, p_2, r) \in [1, \infty]^3$

$$\dot{B}_{p_1, r}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p_2, r}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $s_1 + \frac{1}{p_2} = s_2 + \frac{1}{p_1}$ and $p_1 < p_2$. We also have for all $(p_1, s_1) \in [2, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\dot{B}_{p_1, r_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p_1, r_2}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}),$$

for all $(r_1, r_2) \in]1, \infty]$ such that $r_1 \leq r_2$.

Throughout the article, we shall use the following notations:

- $\Delta_y f(x, t) = \frac{f(x, t) - f(x - y, t)}{|y|}$
- $\delta_y f(x, t) = f(x, t) - f(x - y, t)$
- $\bar{\Delta}_y f(x, t) = \frac{f(x, t) - f(x + y, t)}{|y|}$
- $\bar{\delta}_y f(x, t) = f(x, t) - f(x + y, t)$
- $S_y f(x, t) = \frac{2f(x, t) - f(x - y, t) - f(x + y, t)}{|y|}$
- $s_y f(x, t) = 2f(x, t) - f(x - y, t) - f(x + y, t)$
- $D_y f(x, t) = \frac{f(x + y, t) - f(x - y, t)}{|y|}$
- $d_y f(x, t) = f(x + y, t) - f(x - y, t)$

The notation ∇_i will denote the gradient vector with respect to the variable $i \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The operator Δ will always mean the classical Laplacian with respect to x .

As well, $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a fixed constant $C > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$.

5. *A priori* ESTIMATES IN \dot{H}^2

We shall use an energy method. That is, we shall do \dot{H}^2 *a priori* estimates which allows us to get enough compactness to pass to the limit in a regularized equation. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\rho = 2\pi$. Introduce the following regularized Muskat equation.

$$(\mathcal{M}_\epsilon) : \begin{cases} \partial_t f_\epsilon(t, x) = \int \nabla_x \Delta_y f_\epsilon \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f_\epsilon)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f_\epsilon) dk dy \\ f_\epsilon(0, x) = f_0(x) * \rho_\epsilon(x). \end{cases} + \epsilon \Delta f_\epsilon.$$

If the regularized initial data $f_\epsilon(0, x) \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the existence of a local solution is ensured by the classical local theory (see e.g. Duchon and Robert [33]). The aim will be to prove that the associated solution will converge (as ϵ goes to 0) in some Banach spaces (assuming that the solution is further L^2 for the sake of simplicity). The strong compactness in $(L^2 L^2)_{loc}$ will be obtained in the usual way thanks to the Rellich compactness theorem (see e.g. [45]). To avoid redundancy, the details will be omitted since the arguments are classical. One may prove uniqueness by using the same technics to estimate the difference of two solutions and we shall omit the details. In the sequel we assume that the solution is from this regularized equation but we will omit to write the parameter ϵ .

By taking the Laplacian of the Muskat equation and multiplying by Δf and finally integrating in the space variable, one finds

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 = \int \Delta f \Delta \left(\int \nabla_x \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \right) dx$$

Then, by using classical formulas for the differential operator Δ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 &= \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta_y \Delta f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy dx \\ &+ 2 \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \Delta f \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cdot \nabla_x \left(\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx \\ &+ \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \nabla_x f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \Delta \left(\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 &= \underbrace{\int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta_y \Delta f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy dx}_{:= \mathcal{S}(\text{most singular term})} \\
&+ 2 \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \Delta f \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cdot \nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy dx \\
&+ 2 \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \Delta f \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cdot \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \nabla_x \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx \\
&+ \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \nabla_x f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \Delta (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy dx \\
&+ 2 \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \nabla_x f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \cdot \nabla_x \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx \\
&+ \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \nabla_x f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \Delta \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx \\
&:= \mathcal{S} + \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{T}_i
\end{aligned}$$

Our aim will be to control $\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$, we shall actually prove that $\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 < 0$ if the \dot{H}^2 is sufficiently small and the Lipschitz semi-norm does not blow-up. This, combining with the control of the Lipschitz semi-norm will give the main result by using a bootstrap argument.

6. ESTIMATES OF THE LESS SINGULAR TERM: $\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{T}_i$

6.1. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_1 . We start by estimating \mathcal{T}_1 , that is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_1 &= 2 \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \Delta f \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cdot \nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy dx \\
&\lesssim 2 \int |\Delta f| \int |\Delta_y \Delta f| \frac{1}{|y|} |\nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)))| dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, since an easy computation gives $|\nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)))| \lesssim |\nabla_x \Delta_y f|$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_1 &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int |\Delta_y \Delta f| \frac{1}{|y|} |\nabla_x \Delta_y f| dy dx \tag{6.1} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\Delta \delta_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^{3/2}} dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|\Delta f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1/2}} \|\nabla_x f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{1/2}} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

6.2. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_2 . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_2 &= 2 \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \Delta f \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cdot \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \nabla_x \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx \\
&\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int |\Delta_y \Delta f| \frac{1}{|y|^2} |\nabla_x \cos(k \Delta_y f)| dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using that $|\nabla_x \cos(k \Delta_y f)| \lesssim |\nabla_x \Delta_y f|$, one finds

$$\mathcal{T}_2 \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int |\Delta_y \Delta f| \frac{1}{|y|} |\nabla_x \Delta_y f| dy dx$$

which is the same estimate as (6.1), so we conclude as in the estimate of \mathcal{T}_2 that is

$$\mathcal{T}_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

6.3. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_3 . We have

$$\mathcal{T}_3 = \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \nabla_x f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \Delta (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy dx$$

So that,

$$\mathcal{T}_3 \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|} |\Delta (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)))| dy dx$$

Then, an easy estimate on $\Delta (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)))$ gives

$$\mathcal{T}_3 \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|} |\Delta_y \nabla_x f| dy dx + \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|} |\Delta_y \nabla_x f|^2 dy dx$$

Using the same step as (6.1) one may estimate the first term in the right hand side as \mathcal{T}_1 . For the second term, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|} |\Delta_y \nabla_x f|^2 dy dx &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} dy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{6,3}^{5/3}}^3 \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

then, using that $\dot{H}^{7/3} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{6,3}^{5/3}$ we find

$$\int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|} |\Delta_y \nabla_x f|^2 dy dx \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{7/3}}^3$$

and finally, using that $\dot{H}^{7/3} = [\dot{H}^2, \dot{H}^{5/2}]_{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}$ we finally find that

$$\int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|} |\Delta_y \nabla_x f|^2 dy dx \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{T}_3 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

6.4. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_4 . We have

$$\mathcal{T}_4 = 2 \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \nabla_x f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \cdot \nabla_x \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{T}_4 \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int |\Delta_y \nabla_x f| \frac{1}{|y|} |\nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)))| \left| \nabla_x \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) \right| dy dx$$

Using that

$$|\nabla_x (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)))| \lesssim |\Delta_y \nabla_x f|,$$

and that

$$\left| \nabla_x \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) \right| \lesssim |\Delta_y \nabla_x f|,$$

one finds,

$$\mathcal{T}_4 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} dy \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{6,3}^{5/3}}^3.$$

This is the same estimate as (6.2), hence following exactly the same step as the control of \mathcal{T}_2 we finally find that

$$\mathcal{T}_4 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

6.5. Estimate of \mathcal{T}_5 . We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_5 &= \int \Delta f \int \Delta_y \nabla_x f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \Delta \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|} \left| \Delta \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right) \right| dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $|\Delta \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk \right)| \lesssim |\Delta_y \nabla_x f|^2 + |\Delta_y \Delta f|$ we find

$$\mathcal{T}_5 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \left(\int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} dy + \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \delta_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^{3/2}} dy \right)$$

Hence, following the same step as (6.1) and (6.2) one finds that

$$\mathcal{T}_5 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \right)$$

We have therefore obtain that all the less singular terms \mathcal{T}_i for any $i = 1, \dots, 5$ are controlled as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{T}_i \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \right) \quad (6.3)$$

This ends the estimates of the less singular term. In the next section, we shall estimate the more singular term. The analysis of the singular term requires much more effort, the first part consist in symmetrizing in a tricky way.

7. SYMMETRIZATION AND USEFUL IDENTITIES

Throughout the article, we shall need to use some identities involving second finite differences. We collect all those identities in the following lemma

Lemma 7.1. *Set $K_y f := \frac{1}{1+\Delta_y^2 f}$ and $\bar{K}_y f := \frac{1}{1+\bar{\Delta}_y^2 f}$. The following equalities hold.*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_y \{ \arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) \} &= -\frac{1}{2} S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f \nabla_y D_y f \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (K_y f + \bar{K}_y f) \nabla_y S_y f \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_y \{ \arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) \} &= -\frac{1}{2} S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f \nabla_y S_y f \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (K_y f - \bar{K}_y f) \nabla_y D_y f \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Proof of Lemma 7.1 Set $A(x) := \nabla_y \{ \arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) \}$. One may write that,

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x) &= \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \underbrace{\frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} + \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f}}_{=0} + \frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \\
&= \nabla_y \Delta_y f \frac{(\Delta_y f + \bar{\Delta}_y f)(\bar{\Delta}_y f - \Delta_y f)}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)(1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f)} + \frac{\nabla_y S_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \\
&= -\nabla_y \Delta_y f \frac{S_y f D_y f}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)(1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f)} + \frac{\nabla_y S_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \tag{7.3}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x) &= \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} + \underbrace{\frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f}}_{=0} + \frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \\
&= \frac{\nabla_y S_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} + \nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f \left(\frac{1}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} - \frac{1}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} \right) \\
&= \frac{\nabla_y S_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} + \nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f \frac{S_y f D_y f}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)(1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f)}. \tag{7.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by combining (7.3) and (7.4) one gets (7.1). Analogously, set $B(x) := \nabla_y \{ \arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) \}$, then we write that

$$\begin{aligned}
B(x) &= \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \underbrace{\frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} + \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f}}_{=0} - \frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \\
&= \nabla_y \Delta_y f \left(\frac{1}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \frac{1}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \right) + \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f - \nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \\
&= -\nabla_y \Delta_y f \frac{(\Delta_y f + \bar{\Delta}_y f)(\Delta_y f - \bar{\Delta}_y f)}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)(1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f)} + \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f - \nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \\
&= -\nabla_y \Delta_y f \frac{S_y f D_y f}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)(1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f)} + \frac{\nabla_y D_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \tag{7.5}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we may write that

$$\begin{aligned}
B(x) &= \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \underbrace{\frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} + \frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f}}_{=0} - \frac{\nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \\
&= \frac{\nabla_y \Delta_y f - \nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} + \nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f \left(\frac{1}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \frac{1}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \right) \\
&= \frac{\nabla_y D_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \nabla_y \bar{\Delta}_y f \frac{S_y f D_y f}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)(1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f)}. \tag{7.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining (7.5) and (7.6) we get (7.2). \square

We shall need to compute gradients with respect to y of the operators S_y and D_y . The following lemma collects the main identities that we shall use.

Lemma 7.2. *We have*

$$D_y f = \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \nabla (f(x + (r-1)y) + f(x - (r-1)y) - 2f) dr \right) + 2\nabla f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} \quad (7.7)$$

Moreover,

$$y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f = \frac{1}{|y|} \int_0^1 y \cdot s_{(r-1)y} \nabla_x f dr + \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla_x s_y f \quad (7.8)$$

$$y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f = \frac{1}{|y|} s_y f(x) + \frac{y}{|y|} \nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f - \frac{y}{|y|} \nabla_x \delta_y f \quad (7.9)$$

Proof of Lemma 7.2 In order to prove (7.7), we first recall that since we have $D_y f = \frac{1}{|y|} (f(x+y) - f(x-y))$ one may readily check that

$$D_y f = \frac{1}{|y|} \int_0^1 (\nabla f(x + (r-1)y) \cdot y + \nabla f(x - (r-1)y) \cdot y - 2\nabla f(x) \cdot y) dr + 2\nabla f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|}$$

The proof of (7.7) is obtained as follows. First, we write that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_y D_y f &= \nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} (f(x+y) - f(x-y)) + \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_y (f(x+y) - f(x-y)) \\ &= \nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} (f(x+y) - f(x-y)) + \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_x f(x+y) + \nabla_x f(x-y) \\ &= \nabla_y \left(\frac{1}{|y|} \right) \int_0^1 \nabla f(x + (r-1)y) \cdot y + \nabla f(x - (r-1)y) \cdot y - 2\nabla f(x) \cdot y dr \\ &\quad + \frac{\nabla_x f(x+y) + \nabla_x f(x-y)}{|y|} + \nabla_y \left(\frac{2}{|y|} \right) (\nabla f(x) \cdot y) \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} \cdot y = -\frac{1}{|y|}$ and recalling that s_y denotes the second finite difference operator, we immediately find that

$$\begin{aligned} y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f &= -\frac{1}{|y|} \int_0^1 (\nabla f(x + (r-1)y) \cdot y + (\nabla f(x - (r-1)y) \cdot y) - 2\nabla f(x) \cdot y) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{\nabla_x f(x+y) + \nabla_x f(x-y) - 2\nabla_x f(x)}{|y|} \cdot y \\ &= \frac{1}{|y|} \int_0^1 y \cdot s_{(r-1)y} \nabla_x f dr + \frac{y \cdot \nabla_x s_y f}{|y|}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired identity (7.8). Let us prove (7.9). We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_y S_y f &= -\nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} (f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)) - \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_y f(x+y) + \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_y f(x-y) \\ &= -\nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} (f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)) - \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_x f(x+y) + \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_x f(x-y) \\ &= -\nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} (f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)) - \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_x (f(x+y) - f(x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_x (f(x-y) - f(x)) \\ &= s_y f \nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} + \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f - \frac{1}{|y|} \nabla_x \delta_y f \\ &= s_y f \nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} - \nabla_x D_y f. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\nabla_y S_y f = s_y f \nabla_y \frac{1}{|y|} - \nabla_x D_y f. \quad (7.10)$$

Therefore,

$$y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f = \frac{1}{|y|} s_y f(x) + \frac{y}{|y|} \nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f - \frac{y}{|y|} \nabla_x \delta_y f$$

Which is the wanted identity (7.9). This ends the proof of Lemma 7.1. \square

Finally, we state an easy lemma that will be systematically used throughout the article.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $r > 0$, we have*

$$\left| \nabla \cdot \frac{x}{|x|^r} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^r} \quad (7.11)$$

Proof of Lemma 7.3 A direct computation leads to the estimate. \square

8. ESTIMATES OF THE MOST SINGULAR TERM : $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{S}_i$

8.1. Algebraic decomposition of the most singular term : \mathcal{S} . Set $D_y f := \Delta_y f - \bar{\Delta}_y f$ and $S_y f := \Delta_y f + \bar{\Delta}_y f$. We shall prove the following Lemma

Lemma 8.1. *(symmetrization of the singular term) We have the following decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\ &+ \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\ &+ \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) + \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) - \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) (\cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right)) dk dy \\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{S}_i \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 8.1 We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \int (\Delta \nabla_x \Delta_y f - \Delta \nabla_x \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\ &\quad - \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) dk dy \end{aligned}$$

Then, by doing a change of variable ($y \rightarrow -y$), one may symmetrize and find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \int (\Delta \nabla_x \Delta_y f - \Delta \nabla_x \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
&- \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) dk dy \\
&- \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) dk dy
\end{aligned}$$

Hence find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \int (\Delta \nabla_x \Delta_y f - \Delta \nabla_x \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
&- \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) dk dy \\
&- \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
&- \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that the last term is nothing but $-\mathcal{S}(t)$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \times \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
&= \mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_2 + \mathcal{O}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, one observes that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} = & \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) + \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \times \\
& \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x (\Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f - \Delta \Delta_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \\
& \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
& + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy}_{=-\mathcal{O}_2} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x (\Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f - \Delta \Delta_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
& \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
& \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) + \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) dk dy \\
& + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) dk dy}_{=-\mathcal{O}_3}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} = & \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy dx \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) + \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
& \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla_x (\Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f - \Delta \Delta_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \\
& \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (\Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f - \Delta \Delta_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
& \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta \nabla_x \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
& \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) + \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) dk dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by noticing that the third and fourth terms cancel out, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) + \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta \nabla_x \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) + \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f)) dk dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, one observes that the first term, namely

$$L := \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy,$$

may be rewritten as

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy.$$

The idea is to try to symmetrize the latter integral. To this end, one writes

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) + \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos(k \Delta_y f) dk dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that the last integral is equal to $-L$ one may symmetrize and find that

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \frac{1}{8} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) - \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f)) dk dy \\
&+ \frac{1}{8} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) + \cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))) \\
&\times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) + \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{8} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) - \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f)) dk dy \\
&+ \frac{1}{8} \int (\nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f - \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f)) + \cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) + \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) + \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \times \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f) - \cos(k \Delta_y f)) dk dy \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} (\cos(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) - \cos(\arctan(\Delta_y f))) \times \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} (\cos(k \Delta_y f) + \cos(k \bar{\Delta}_y f)) dk dy
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by denoting $D_y f = \Delta_y f - \bar{\Delta}_y f$ and $S_y f = \Delta_y f + \bar{\Delta}_y f$ along with the use of trigonometry identities, we obtain the desired decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\
&+ \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) + \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \times \\
&\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) - \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\
&+ \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \\
&\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) (\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right) (\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(S_y f)\right)) dk dy \\
&:= \sum_{i=1}^4 \sigma_i(t)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, set $\mathcal{S}_i := (\Delta f, \sigma_i)$

8.2. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_1 . To estimate \mathcal{S}_1 one first needs to integrate by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\nabla_x (\delta_y \Delta f - \bar{\delta}_y \Delta f)}{|y|} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to make appear the more favorable second finite order differences it suffice to observe for instance that $\nabla_x(\delta_y f - \bar{\delta}_y f) = -\nabla_y(\delta_y f + \bar{\delta}_y f)$. Hence, we may integrate by parts (in y) and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta s_y f \nabla_y \cdot \left(\frac{y}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

Then, by using the identities (7.1) and (7.2) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta s_y f \left(\nabla \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &- \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} (K_y f + \bar{K}_y f) y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &- \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} (K_y f + \bar{K}_y f) y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) \\ &\quad dk dy dx \\ &:= \sum_i^7 \mathcal{S}_{1,i} \end{aligned}$$

8.2.1. **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{1,1}$.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int (\Delta s_y f) \left(\nabla \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left| \nabla \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{|y|^3}$ then we find that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,1} &\leq \frac{\Gamma(2)}{4} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|s_y f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^{5/2}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|\Delta f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $\dot{H}^{5/2} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}$ one finds

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

8.2.2. **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,2} &= \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{s_y \Delta f}{|y|^3} S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \\ &\quad \times \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

It not really difficult to observe that

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,2} \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f| |S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f| dy dx \tag{8.3}$$

From the inequality $\left| \frac{a^2 - b^2}{(1+a^2)(1+b^2)} \right| \leq 2$ valid for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ one gets that

$$\left| \frac{S_y f D_y f}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)(1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f)} \right| \leq 2.$$

Which means that

$$|S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f| \leq 2. \tag{8.4}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,2} \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f| dy dx \tag{8.5}$$

Then, using equality (7.8) and a classical scaling argument

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,2} &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int_0^1 \int \frac{\|s_y \Delta f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^3} \|s_{(r-1)y} \nabla_x f\|_{L^\infty} dy dr \\ &\quad + \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|s_y \Delta f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^3} \|\nabla_x s_y f\|_{L^\infty} dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,2} &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int_0^1 \left(\int \frac{\|s_y \Delta f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \frac{\|s_{(r-1)y} \nabla_x f\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} dy \right)^{1/2} dr \\ &\quad + \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \left(\int \frac{\|s_y \Delta f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \frac{\|\nabla_x s_y f\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} dy \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

So that one finally finds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,2} &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|\Delta f\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|\nabla_x f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{1/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \end{aligned}$$

8.2.3. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{1,3}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,3} &= -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} (K_y f + \bar{K}_y f) y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \\ &\quad \times \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Using that,

$$\left| \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk \right| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2)}{2} |S_y f|,$$

as well as the following bound

$$|K_y f + \bar{K}_y f| \leq 2 \tag{8.6}$$

one has

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,3} \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f| dy dx.$$

Now, we use the identity (7.9) that is

$$y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f = \frac{1}{|y|} s_y f(x) + \frac{y}{|y|} \nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f - \frac{y}{|y|} \nabla_x \delta_y f$$

So that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{1,3} &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f| \, dy \, dx \\
&\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^4} |s_y f| \, dy \, dx \\
&\quad + \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta f|}{|y|^3} (|\nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f| + |\nabla_x \delta_y f|) \, dy \, dx \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^4} \|s_y f\|_{L^\infty} \, dy \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} (\|\nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^\infty}) \, dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|s_y \Delta f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^4} \|s_y f\|_{L^\infty} \, dy \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \left(\int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \left(\int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \left(\int \frac{\|s_y \Delta f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \int \frac{\|s_y f\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^5} \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \left(\int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \bar{\delta}_y f\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \left(\int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y f\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \left(\|\Delta f\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} + \|\Delta f\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}} \|\nabla_x f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{1/2}} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

By using classical Besov embeddings, one finally finds that

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,3} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

8.2.4. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{1,4}$. We have using the identity (7.8) together with the bound (8.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{1,4} &= \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f \, y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) \, dk \, dy \, dx \\
&\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^4} |y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f| |S_y D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f| \, dy \, dx \\
&\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f| \, dy \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

One observes that this last estimate is exactly the same as (8.7)

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

8.2.5. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{1,5}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,5} &= -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} (K_y f + \bar{K}_y f) y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta s_y f|}{|y|^2} |\nabla_y D_y f| dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

One notices that this last estimate is exactly the same as (8.7). Therefore, one directly infers that

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,5} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

8.2.6. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{1,6}$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,6} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \frac{s_y \Delta f}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f| dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Then, it suffice to notice that this term may be estimated by means of (8.5), so that

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,6} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

8.2.7. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{1,7}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{1,7} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \frac{s_y \Delta f}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \\ &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^2} |y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f| dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Then, the analysis done for (8.5) allows one to get the same control as $\mathcal{S}_{1,4}$, that is

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,7} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

Finally, collecting all the estimates we have obtained that

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathcal{S}_{1,i} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \quad (8.8)$$

8.3. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_2 . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 &= \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy dx \end{aligned}$$

This term is too singular, we cannot estimate it directly. The idea is to try to balance the regularity. More precisely, we write that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 &= \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\ &- \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta f(x-y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $\Delta f \nabla_x \Delta f = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_x (\Delta f)^2$, we may integrate by parts in x and get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \right) \\ &- \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta f(x-y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \end{aligned}$$

Then we come back to the more favorable finite difference, that is, we write that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta \delta_y f \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x-y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \right) \\ &- \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta f(x-y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\ &= \mathcal{S}_{2,1} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2} + \mathcal{S}_{2,3}. \end{aligned}$$

8.3.1. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,1}$. In order to control $\mathcal{S}_{2,1}$, one observes that, by setting

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &:= \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.9)$$

one may easily notice that,

$$|T(f)| \lesssim \left| \frac{\nabla_x (f(x) - f(x \pm y))}{|y|} \right| |R(f)|, \quad (8.10)$$

where the operator $R(f)$ is uniformly bounded by a fixed constant.

Now, set $\delta_y^\pm f := f(x) - f(x \pm y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,1} &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^4} \int \frac{\|\Delta \delta_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|\nabla \delta_y^\pm f\|_{L^4}}{|y|^{3/2}} dy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|\Delta f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1/2}} \|\nabla f\|_{\dot{B}_{4,2}^{1/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Sobolev embedding $\dot{H}^{1/2} \hookrightarrow L^4$ and the fact that $\dot{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{4,2}^{1/2}$.

8.3.2. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2}$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x-y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x-y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x-y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\ &= \mathcal{S}_{2,2,1} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

• **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,1}$**

To estimate the term $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,1}$, it is not difficult to see that an estimate of the kind (8.10) does not work anymore. One needs to find a slightly more refined inequality. More precisely, we shall use the following Lemma.

Lemma 8.2. *The following inequality holds*

$$|\mathcal{S}_{3,2,1}| \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta f(x-y)|}{|y|^2} \left| \frac{\nabla_x (f(x) - f(x \pm y))}{|y|} \right| |S_y f| dx dy. \quad (8.11)$$

Proof of Lemma 8.2 Using twice the mean value theorem for instance, we have that $|\sin(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)))| \leq |S_y f|$ then if the derivative hits on one of the terms

$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy,$$

it will be easily controlled by $\left| \frac{\nabla_x (f(x) - f(x \pm y))}{|y|} \right|$ which proves that (8.11) holds. \square

Using Lemma 8.11 along with Sobolev embedding, we may estimate $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,1}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{S}_{2,2,1}| &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^4} \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|\nabla_x (f(x) - f(x \pm y))\|_{L^4}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|s_y f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^{5/2}} dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|\nabla_x f\|_{\dot{B}_{4,2}^{1/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|\nabla_x f\|_{\dot{H}^1} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

• **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2}$**

We now estimate the more delicate term $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2}$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x-y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \right) \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy.
\end{aligned}$$

To do so, we shall use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x \left(\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\nabla_x \Delta_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \frac{\nabla_x \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} \right) \\
&\quad \times \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right),
\end{aligned}$$

together with the fact that

$$\frac{\nabla_x \Delta_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} - \frac{\nabla_x \bar{\Delta}_y f}{1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f} = \underbrace{\frac{\nabla_x S_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f}}_{\text{delicate}} - \underbrace{\nabla_x D_y f \frac{S_y f D_y f}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)((1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f))}}_{\text{easy}}. \tag{8.13}$$

Hence, this decomposition gives rise to two terms, that are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x-y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x D_y f \frac{S_y f D_y f}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)((1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f))} \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x-y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \frac{\nabla_x S_y f}{1 + \Delta_y^2 f} \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \\
&= \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,1} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2}
\end{aligned}$$

The analysis of the first term of this last equality can be done by means of the Lemma 8.2. Indeed, since $\frac{|D_y f|}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)((1 + \bar{\Delta}_y^2 f))} < 1$ and since we have that $|\nabla_x D_y f| \lesssim \left| \frac{\nabla_x (f(x) - f(x \pm y))}{|y|} \right|$. We find that it is estimated as $\mathcal{S}_{3,2,1}$, that is we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,1}| &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\Delta f(x-y)|}{|y|^2} \left| \frac{\nabla_x (f(x) - f(x \pm y))}{|y|} \right| |S_y f| dx dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

The part involving the term $\frac{\nabla_x S_y f}{1+\Delta_y^2 f}$ in equation (8.13) is more delicate. The full term corresponds to $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2}$. One shall use another strategy since there is an obvious lack of regularity. The idea is to try to balance the derivatives. Since the rational function in $\Delta_y f$ is not regular enough, one has to make appear oscillatory terms in order to avoid regularity issues. More precisely, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 8.3. *The term $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2}$ may be rewritten as follows,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int (\Delta f(x-y) - \Delta f(x+y)) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \\ &\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x+y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 8.3 One starts by writing that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int (\Delta f(x-y) - \Delta f(x+y)) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \\ &\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \cos(\gamma \Delta_y f) \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x+y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} (\cos(\gamma \Delta_y f) + \cos(\gamma \bar{\Delta}_y f)) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \Delta f(x+y) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \cos(\gamma \bar{\Delta}_y f) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \end{aligned}$$

By doing the change of variable $y \rightarrow -y$, one observes that the last term is equal to $-R$ and that the two first terms may be symmetrized. More precisely, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2} &= -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int (\Delta f(x-y) - \Delta f(x+y)) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \\
&\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} (\cos(\gamma \Delta_y f) - \cos(\gamma \bar{\Delta}_y f)) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int (\Delta f(x-y) + \Delta f(x+y)) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \\
&\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} (\cos(\gamma \Delta_y f) + \cos(\gamma \bar{\Delta}_y f)) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

By using classical trigonometry formula and the fact that

$$\Delta(f(x-y) - f(x+y)) = -\nabla_y \cdot \nabla_x s_y f$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(f(x-y) + f(x+y)) &= \nabla_y \cdot \nabla_x (f(x) - f(x-y) + f(x+y) - f(x)) \\
&= \nabla_y \cdot \nabla_x (\delta_y f - \bar{\delta}_y f),
\end{aligned}$$

one may write that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int (\nabla_y \cdot \nabla_x s_y f) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int (\nabla_y \cdot \nabla_x d_y f) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int ((\nabla_y \cdot \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f)) \frac{y}{|y|^3} \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \\
&\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

□

By integrating by parts (with respect to y), one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \nabla_y \left(\frac{y}{|y|^3} \right) \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \nabla_y S_y f \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \nabla_y D_y f \\
&\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&- \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \\
&\quad \nabla_y(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&- \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&- \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&= \sum_i^7 \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,i}
\end{aligned}$$

One can now start estimating $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, 7$.

• *Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,1}$*

Using Lemma 7.3 together with the fact that $\dot{H}^{5/2} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{4,2}^2$, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,1} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \nabla_y \left(\frac{y}{|y|^3} \right) \cdot \nabla_x S_y f \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y^\pm f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|\nabla_x S_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^{5/2}} dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

• *Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2}$*

Using identity (7.10), one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we write that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2} &= \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x \cdot \left(\nabla_y \left(\frac{1}{|y|} \right) s_y f \right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x \cdot (\nabla_x D_y f) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&= \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,1} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,2}
\end{aligned}$$

One observes that the estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,1}$ is similar to $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,1}$ (see (8.14)). Indeed, we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,1} \lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y^\pm f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|\nabla_x S_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^{5/2}} dy$$

hence,

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \tag{8.15}$$

As for $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,2}$, using Sobolev embedding and that $\dot{H}^1 \hookrightarrow B_{4,2}^{1/2}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,2} &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^4} \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y^\pm f\|_{L^4}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|\Delta \delta_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^{3/2}} dy \\
&\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^4} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{4,2}^{3/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

Hence combining (8.15) and (8.16), one finds

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,2,2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

• **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3}$**

We split this term using identity (7.10), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3} &= \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \nabla_y S_y f \\
&\quad \times \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

So that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3} &= \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \cdot \nabla_y \left(\frac{1}{|y|} \right) s_y f \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \nabla_x D_y f \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \\
&\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\
&= \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3,1} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3,2}
\end{aligned}$$

For term $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3,1}$, it suffices to use that $\dot{H}^{\eta+1/2} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{4,4}^k$ and for $\eta = 3/2$ and $\eta = 2$, hence

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3,1} = \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x s_y f s_y f \nabla_y \left(\frac{1}{|y|} \right) \int_0^\infty \gamma \quad (8.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times e^{-\gamma} \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ & \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ & \lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y^\pm f\|_{L^4}}{|y|} \frac{\|\nabla_x s_y f\|_{L^4}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|s_y f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^{5/2}} dy \\ & \lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} \left(\int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y^\pm f\|_{L^4}^4}{|y|^4} dy \int \frac{\|\nabla_x s_y f\|_{L^4}^4}{|y|^6} dy \right)^{1/4} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{4,4}^{3/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{4,4}^2} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8.18)$$

$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3,2}$ is estimated as follows, using that $\dot{B}_{6,3}^{5/3} \hookleftarrow \dot{H}^{7/3} = [\dot{H}^{5/2}, \dot{H}^2]_{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}}$, one finds that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3,2} = -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x s_y f \nabla_x D_y f \quad (8.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ & \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ & \lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} dy \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{6,3}^{7/3}}^3 \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8.20)$$

Hence, combining (8.17) and (8.19), we find that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,3} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

- Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4}$

Using the identity (7.8), we may decompose $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4}$ as follows

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4} = \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \quad (8.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_y D_y f \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ & \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ & = \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{1}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \frac{1}{|y|} \end{aligned} \quad (8.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ & \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dr d\gamma dk dy dx \\ & - \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{1}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla_x s_y f \end{aligned} \quad (8.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \gamma e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ & \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ & = \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4,1} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4,2} \end{aligned} \quad (8.24)$$

In order to estimate $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4,1}$ one uses an easy scaling argument for the integral in r , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4,1} &= \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{1}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (8.25) \\ & \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty y \cdot s_{(r-1)y} \nabla_x f \gamma e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \\ & \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ & \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dr d\gamma dk dy dx \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} dy \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{6,3}^{7/3}}^3 \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8.26)$$

where we used again that $\dot{B}_{3,6}^{5/3} \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^{7/3} = [\dot{H}^{5/2}, \dot{H}^2]_{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}}$.

The estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4,2}$ is relatively easy, indeed, it suffices to observe that it is as regular as $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4,1}$. More precisely, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4,2} &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|s_y \nabla_x f\|_{L^4}^2}{|y|^{5/2}} \frac{\|s_y \nabla_x f\|_\infty}{|y|^{3/2}} dy \\ &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} dy \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

• **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5}$**

Recall that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \nabla_y (\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} (\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Using formula (7.2), we may decompose $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5} &= -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f \nabla_y S_y f \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} (\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x(s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) (K_y f + \bar{K}_y f) \nabla_y D_y f \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} (\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) d\gamma dk dy dx \\ &= \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,1} + \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,2} \end{aligned}$$

By means of inequality (8.4), one may write that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,1} \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f|}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{|s_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|^{5/2}} |y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f| dy dx \quad (8.27)$$

Then, using the formula (7.9) one immediately finds that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,1} \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f|}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{|s_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|^{5/2}} |s_y f(x)| dy dx \quad (8.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f|}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{|s_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|^{5/2}} |\nabla_x \delta_y^\pm f| dy dx \\ &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \left(\int \frac{\|\nabla_x \delta_y^\pm f\|_{L^4}}{|y|} \frac{\|\nabla_x s_y f\|_{L^4}}{|y|^{3/2}} \frac{\|s_y f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^{5/2}} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int \frac{\|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} dy \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8.29)$$

To control (8.28) one may follow the same steps as (8.17) and (8.25) and therefore

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \quad (8.30)$$

As for $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,2}$, using that $|K_y f + \bar{K}_y f| \leq 2$, we may write that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,1} \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \nabla_x f|^2}{|y|^4} |y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f| \, dy \, dx.$$

Using formula (7.8), one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5,1} &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f|}{|y|} \frac{|s_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|^3} \int_0^1 (|s_{(r-1)y} \nabla_x f| + |\nabla_x s_y f|) \, dr \, dy \, dx \\ &\lesssim \|\Delta f\|_{L^2} \int \frac{\|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f\|_{L^6}^3}{|y|^4} \, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the same steps as (8.25) hence, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,5} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

• **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,6}$**

Using identity (7.9), one finds that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,6} &= -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \cdot \nabla_y S_y f \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \\ &\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} (\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) \, d\gamma \, dk \, dy \, dx \\ &\lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|\delta_y^\pm \nabla_x f|}{|y|} \frac{|s_y \nabla_x f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y S_y f| \, dy \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, following the same steps as (8.27), hence we obtain the same control as (8.30), that is

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,6} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

It remains to estimate the last term, that is, $R_{1,7}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,7} &= -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x (s_y f + 2d_y f) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \nabla_x S_y f \cdot \nabla_y D_y f \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} D_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} (\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) \, d\gamma \, dk \, dy \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Up to some bounded harmless terms, $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,7}$ is analogous to $\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,4}$ (see (8.21)) and therefore we may directly conclude that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2,7} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

Finally, we have obtained that

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2,2,2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

8.3.3. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{2,3}$. It remains to estimate $\mathcal{S}_{3,3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,3} = & - \int \Delta f \int \nabla_x \Delta f(x-y) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ & \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \end{aligned}$$

Unlike $\mathcal{S}_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2,2}$ there are no derivative in x in the oscillatory terms, so it cannot be treated in the same way as these terms. It is rather clear that the term $\nabla_x \Delta f(x-y)$ is quite problematic. We would need a term of the kind $f(x-y) - f(x) = -\delta_y f$ in stead of $f(x-y)$. By using the fact that $\Delta \nabla_x f(x-y) = -\Delta \nabla_y \delta_y f$, one may integrate by parts in y and obtain a kind of regularization of this term. More precisely, we have that by integrating by parts in y

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{2,3} = & - \int \Delta f \int \Delta \delta_y f \nabla_y \cdot \left(\frac{y}{|y|^3} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \right. \\ & \left. \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy \right) \end{aligned}$$

This term may be controlled exactly the same way as \mathcal{S}_1 in (8.1). Indeed, the operator s_y in $\Delta s_y f$ may be replaced by $\Delta \delta_y f$. This is because of the fact that even if we would like to use the maximal regularity of $\Delta s_y f$ the operator s_y would not be helpful. Recall that $\dot{H}^{5/2}$ is the maximale regularity one can afford. Hence, if we replace $\Delta s_y f$ by $\Delta \delta_y f$ it will give the same outcome. Moreover, the action of the differential operator ∇_y , when one integrates by parts will give rise to the same terms up to some harmless bounded functions (essentially trigonometric functions and Gamma functions evaluated in special values). Therefore, we have the same control as (8.8) namely

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,3} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \quad (8.31)$$

8.4. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_3 . The estimate of \mathcal{S}_3 is analogous to \mathcal{S}_2 . Indeed, recall that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_3 = & \int \nabla_x \Delta \bar{\Delta}_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) + \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \times \\ & \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) - \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy. \end{aligned}$$

If we do the change of variable $y \leftarrow -y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_3 = & \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) + \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \times \\ & \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f) - \arctan(\Delta_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \sin\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy. \end{aligned}$$

Recall also that \mathcal{S}_3 is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_3 = & \int \nabla_x \Delta \Delta_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ & \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} S_y f\right) \cos\left(\frac{k}{2} D_y f\right) dk dy. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that they are equal up to interchanging the role of the sine and cosine functions. The role played by the oscillatory terms (that is all terms involving cosine and sine) in the estimate of \mathcal{S}_2 was not important since we finally estimated these terms by 1. Also, one notice that importantly, \mathcal{S}_3 and \mathcal{S}_2 have the same symmetry properties, that is, they are left invariant by the transformation $y \rightarrow -y$. Hence we may directly follow the same steps as the control of \mathcal{S}_2 for the term \mathcal{S}_3 . We deduce that,

$$\mathcal{S}_3 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \right). \quad (8.32)$$

Finally, we have proved that

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{S}_i \lesssim -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + K(t)^2)^{3/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \right). \quad (8.33)$$

8.5. Estimate of \mathcal{S}_4 . Recall that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right)\right) \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(S_y f)\right)\right) dk dy. \end{aligned}$$

In order to linearize we use the fact that $\cos(x) - 1 = -2\sin^2(x/2)$ twice, hence we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_4 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right)\right) dk dy \\ &\quad - \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{4}(S_y f)\right) dk dy \\ &= - \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{4}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right)\right) dk dy \\ &\quad - \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\ &\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{4}(S_y f)\right) dk dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right)\right) dk dy \\ &= \mathcal{S}_{4,1} + \mathcal{S}_{4,2} + \mathcal{S}_{4,3}. \end{aligned}$$

8.5.1. **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{4,1}$.** By integrating by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{4,1} &= \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{4}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\
&\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right)\right) dk dy dx \\
&- \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} y \cdot \nabla_y (\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) \\
&\quad \times \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right)\right) dk dy dx \\
&+ \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{4}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times y \cdot \nabla_y (\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f)) \times \\
&\quad \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right)\right) dk dy dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\Delta s_y f}{|y|^3} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{4}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \\
&\quad \times \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) y \cdot \nabla_y (D_y f) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty k e^{-k} \sin\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right) dk dy dx \\
&= \mathcal{S}_{4,1,1} + \mathcal{S}_{4,1,2} + \mathcal{S}_{4,1,3} + \mathcal{S}_{4,1,4}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $\mathcal{S}_{4,1,1}$ we use the embedding $\dot{H}^{5/2} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}$, hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{4,1,1} &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \int \frac{\|\Delta s_y f\|_{L^2}}{|y|^3} \frac{\|s_y f\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|} dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2} \|\Delta f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,2}^{1/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{3/2}} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{4,1,2}$ is not difficult since, it suffices for instance to use the formula (7.1) we get that,

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,1,2} \lesssim \int |\Delta f| \int \frac{|s_y \Delta f|}{|y|^3} |y \cdot \nabla_y D_y f| |S_y f D_y f K_y f \bar{K}_y f| dy dx.$$

Using the same step as (8.2) we finally find that

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,1,2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

It is not difficult to check that as well for $i = 2, 3, 4$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,1,i} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

8.5.2. **Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{4,2}$.** Recall that,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{4,2} &= - \int \nabla_x \Delta D_y f \cdot \frac{y}{|y|^2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) + \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \times \\
&\quad \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(\arctan(\Delta_y f) - \arctan(\bar{\Delta}_y f))\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(\frac{k}{2}(D_y f)\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{4}(S_y f)\right) dk dy
\end{aligned}$$

So that by integration by parts, it is easy to estimate

$$S_{4,2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

8.5.3. Estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{4,3}$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,3} = - \int \Delta f \int \frac{\delta_y f}{|y|^3} \cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right)\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right) dk dy dx$$

This term is absolutely fundamental since it plays a central role in the analysis of the Cauchy problem in the critical Sobolev space. Indeed, it contains the competition between the elliptic term and the diffusion. Of course, to see this competition one has to go through the term via the actions of "symmetrization" operators giving rise to sub-principal terms and the wanted ellipticity versus dissipative term. More precisely, one start by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{4,3} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\delta_y f}{|y|^3} \left(\cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right)\right) - \cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)\right)\right) \right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right) dk dy dx \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\delta_y f}{|y|^3} \left(\cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right)\right) + \cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)\right)\right) \right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right) dk dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{4,3} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\delta_y f}{|y|^3} \left(\cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right)\right) - \cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)\right)\right) \right) \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right) dk dy dx \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\delta_y f}{|y|^3} \left(\cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right)\right) + \cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)\right)\right) \right) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right) - \cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)\right) \right) dk dy dx \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \int \Delta f \int \frac{\delta_y f}{|y|^3} \left(\cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right)\right) + \cos\left(\arctan\left(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)\right)\right) \right) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)\right) + \cos\left(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)\right) \right) dk dy dx
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{4,3} &= -\frac{1}{8} \int \int \frac{|\Delta \delta_y f|^2}{|y|^3} \left(\cos(\arctan(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))) + \cos(\arctan(\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))) \right) \\
&\quad \int_0^\infty e^{-k} \left(\cos(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x)) + \cos(k \frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y)) \right) dk dy dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{|\Delta \delta_y f|^2}{|y|^3} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2}} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} + \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2} \right) dx dy \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{|\Delta \delta_y f|^2}{|y|^3} \\
&\quad \left(-\frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2} - \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2}} \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} \right) dk dy \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{|\Delta \delta_y f|^2}{|y|^3} \\
&\quad \times \left(-4 + 4 - \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2} - \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2}} \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} \right) dy dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \int \Delta f \int \frac{|\Delta \delta_y f|^2}{|y|^3} \\
&\quad \times \left(4 - \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2} - \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x-y))^2}} \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{y}{|y|} \cdot \nabla f(x))^2} \right) dy dx \\
&\leq \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 (4 - 4 \frac{1}{(1 + K^2)^{3/2}})}_{= -\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 (1 - \frac{1}{(1 + K^2)^{3/2}})}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, one finally finds

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,3} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + K(t)^2)^{3/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \quad (8.34)$$

where, $K(t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_x f|_{L^\infty}(t)$.

Remark 4. It is crucial to note that estimate (8.34) above shows the parabolic character of the Muskat problem whenever the slope does not blow-up. Indeed,

when $K(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ the regularizing effect disappear (as it was also observed in the 2D case [27]).

9. SOBOLEV ENERGY INEQUALITY

From the Section 6 (less singular terms) and from Section 8 (most singular terms) we have proved respectively inequality (6.3) and inequality (8.33) (see in particular (8.34)). Hence, combining all these estimates lead to

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2(1+K(t)^2)^{3/2}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \right). \quad (9.1)$$

Integrating in time $s \in [0, T]$, and multiplying by 2 one finds

$$\|f(\cdot, T)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{(1+K^2)^{3/2}} \int_0^T \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 ds \lesssim \|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 \right) ds,$$

where $K = \sup_{t \geq 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_x f(x, t)|$.

10. SLOPE CONTROL AND UNIFORM BOUND USING CONTROL OF SLOPE

In this section we show how to control $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}$ in terms of critical Sobolev norms. Recall that the Muskat problem can be written as follows

$$\partial_t f(t, x) = P.V. \int \frac{\nabla f(x) \cdot y - (f(x) - f(x-y))}{|y|^3} \frac{dy}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f)^{3/2}} \quad (10.1)$$

By taking one derivative in equation (10.1) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j f_t(x) = & \nabla \partial_j f(x) \cdot P.V. \int \frac{y}{|y|^3} \frac{dy}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f(x))^{3/2}} - P.V. \int \frac{(\partial_j f(x) - \partial_j f(x-y)) dy}{|y|^3 (1 + \Delta_y^2 f(x))^{3/2}} \\ & - 3P.V. \int \frac{\nabla f(x) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} - \Delta_y f(x)}{|y|} \Delta_y \partial_j f(x) \frac{\Delta_y f(x)}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f(x))^{5/2}} \frac{dy}{|y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $M(t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \partial_j f(x, t)$. Since we are considering a regular solution *e.g.* $f(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^2$, we have that $M(t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \partial_j f(x, t) = \partial_j f(x_t, t)$ and that $M'(t) = \partial_j f_t(x_t, t)$ are differentiable almost every time t (thanks to Rademacher's theorem). By evaluating the above evolution equation at $x = x_t$ one finds that the first term on the right is zero and the second has a sign. Omitting to write the *p.v* for simplicity, we find

$$\begin{aligned} M'(t) & \leq -3 \int \frac{\nabla f(x_t) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} - \Delta_y f(x_t)}{|y|} \Delta_y \partial_j f(x_t) \frac{\Delta_y f(x_t)}{(1 + \Delta_y^2 f(x_t))^{5/2}} \frac{dy}{|y|} \\ & \lesssim \int \frac{\|\nabla f(x_t) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} - \Delta_y f(x_t)\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|} \frac{\|\partial_j \delta_y f(x_t)\|_{L^\infty}}{|y|^2} dy \\ & \lesssim \left(\int \frac{\|\nabla f(x_t) \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} - \Delta_y f(x_t)\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \frac{\|\partial_j \delta_y f(x_t)\|_{L^\infty}^2}{|y|^3} dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$M'(t) \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{1/2}}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2.$$

Analogously, the same holds for the evolution of the minimum $m(t)$, so that by integrating in time

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}^2(t) \leq \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \int_0^t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2(s) ds. \quad (10.2)$$

From the Sobolev energy inequality of the previous section, we have

$$\partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2(t) + \frac{\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2}{(1 + \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}^2)^{3/2}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}(t) + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2(t) \right),$$

where $C > 0$ is a fixed constant. Since $(1 + x^2 + \mathcal{D}(t))^{-3/2} \leq (1 + x^2)^{-3/2}$ for any $\mathcal{D}(t) \geq 0$, then from inequality (10.2) we obtain that

$$\partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \frac{\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2}{(1 + \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \mathcal{D}(t))^{3/2}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2 \left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}(t) + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2(t) \right), \quad (10.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(t) = \int_0^t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2(s) ds, \quad \text{with } \mathcal{D}(0) = 0.$$

We consider the smallness conditions (to get control of the $L^2 H^{5/2}$ semi-norm) for $\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}$ given by

$$C(\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2) < \frac{1}{(2 + \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^\infty}^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (10.4)$$

together with

$$\frac{\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 (2 + \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^\infty}^2)^{3/2}}{1 - C(\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2)(2 + \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^\infty}^2)^{3/2}} < 1. \quad (10.5)$$

Therefore, after a short amount of time

$$\partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 < 0, \quad \text{together with } \mathcal{D}(t) < 1.$$

By integrating in time,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2(t) + \left(\frac{1}{(2 + \|\nabla f_0\|_{L^\infty}^2)^{3/2}} - C(\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2) \right) \mathcal{D}(t) \leq \|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2,$$

so that bootstrapping the argument, we are able to find above identity for all time $t > 0$, so that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2(t) \leq \|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2, \quad \text{together with } \mathcal{D}(t) < 1.$$

Assuming that there exists a first time t^* such that $\mathcal{D}(t^*) = 1$, gives a contradiction. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Both F.G. and O.L. were supported by the ERC through the Starting Grant project H2020-EU.1.1.-639227. F.G. were partially supported by the grant MTM2017-89976-P (Spain).

REFERENCES

- [1] Helmut Abels and Bogdan-Vasile Matioc. Well-posedness of the Muskat problem in subcritical Lp -Sobolev spaces. preprint arXiv:2003.07656
- [2] Thomas Alazard and Didier Bresh. Functional inequalities and strong Lyapunov functionals for free surface flows in fluid dynamics. preprint arXiv:2004.03440
- [3] Thomas Alazard, Nicolas Meunier, and Didier Smets. Lyapunov functions, identities and the Cauchy problem for the Hele-Shaw equation. To appear in *Comm. Math. Phys.*
- [4] Thomas Alazard and Omar Lazar. Paralinearization of the Muskat equation and application to the Cauchy problem. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, volume 237, pages 545-583 (2020)
- [5] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 343, Springer (2011). Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [6] Oleg Vladimirovich Besov. Investigation of a class of function spaces in connection with imbedding and extension theorems. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, Volume 60, 42-81, 1961.

- [7] Gérard Bourdaud and Yves Meyer. Le calcul fonctionnel sous-linéaire dans les espaces de Besov homogènes. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 22(2):725–746, 2006.
- [8] Stephen Cameron. Global well-posedness for the 2d Muskat problem with slope less than 1. *Anal. PDE*, Volume 12, Number 4 (2019), 997–1022.
- [9] Stephen Cameron. Global wellposedness for the 3D Muskat problem with medium size slope. arXiv:2002.00508
- [10] Ángel Castro, Diego Córdoba, Charles L. Fefferman and Francisco Gancedo. Breakdown of smoothness for the Muskat problem. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 208(3):805–909, 2013.
- [11] Ángel Castro, Diego Córdoba, Charles L. Fefferman, and Francisco Gancedo. Splash singularities for the one-phase Muskat problem in stable regimes. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 222(1):213–243, 2016.
- [12] Ángel Castro, Diego Córdoba, Francisco Gancedo, Charles L. Fefferman and Javier Gómez-Serrano Finite time singularities for the free boundary incompressible Euler equations. *Annals of Math.*, 178, no. 3, 1061–1134, 2013.
- [13] Ángel Castro, Diego Córdoba, Charles L. Fefferman, Francisco Gancedo, and María López-Fernández. Rayleigh-Taylor breakdown for the Muskat problem with applications to water waves. *Annals of Math. (2)*, 175(2):909–948, 2012.
- [14] C. H. Arthur Cheng, Rafael Granero-Belinchón, and Steve Shkoller. Well-posedness of the Muskat problem with H^2 initial data. *Adv. Math.*, 286:32–104, 2016.
- [15] Charles L. Fefferman, Alexandru D. Ionescu, Victor Lie. On the absence of splash singularities in the case of two-fluid interfaces. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 165(3):417–462, 201.
- [16] Neel Patel and Robert Strain. Large time decay estimates for the Muskat equation. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 42(6):977–999, 2017.
- [17] Peter Constantin, Diego Córdoba, Francisco Gancedo, and R.M. Strain. On the global existence for the Muskat problem. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 15:201–227, 2013.
- [18] Peter Constantin, Diego Córdoba, Francisco Gancedo, Luis Rodríguez-Piazza, and Robert M. Strain. On the Muskat problem: global in time results in 2D and 3D. *Amer. J. Math.*, 138(6):1455–1494, 2016.
- [19] Peter Constantin, Francisco Gancedo, Roman Shvydkoy, and Vlad Vicol. Global regularity for 2D Muskat equations with finite slope. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 34(4):1041–1074, 2017.
- [20] Peter Constantin and Mary Pugh. Global solutions for small data to the Hele-Shaw problem. *Nonlinearity*, 6, 393 – 415 (1993).
- [21] Antonio Córdoba, Diego Córdoba and Francisco Gancedo. Interface evolution: the Hele-Shaw and Muskat problems. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 173(1):477–542, 2011.
- [22] Diego Córdoba and Francisco Gancedo. Contour dynamics of incompressible 3-D fluids in a porous medium with different densities. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 273, no. 2, 445–471, 2007.
- [23] Diego Córdoba and Francisco Gancedo. A maximum principle for the Muskat problem for fluids with different densities. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 286, no. 2, 681–696, 2009.
- [24] Diego Córdoba and Francisco Gancedo. Absence of squirt singularities for the multi-phase Muskat problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 299, no. 2, 561–575, 2010.
- [25] Diego Córdoba, Javier Gómez-Serrano and Andrej Zlatoš. A note on stability shifting for the Muskat problem. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* 373 (2015), no. 2050, 20140278.
- [26] Diego Córdoba, Javier Gómez-Serrano and Andrej Zlatoš. A note on stability shifting for the Muskat problem II: Stable to Unstable and back to Stable. *Anal. PDE* 10(2):367–378, 2017.
- [27] Diego Córdoba and Omar Lazar. Global well-posedness for the 2d stable Muskat problem in $H^{3/2}$. To appear in *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*.
- [28] Diego Córdoba and Tania Pernas-Castaño. Non-splat singularity for the one-phase Muskat problem. *Transaction of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(1):711–754, 2017.
- [29] Daniel Coutand and Steve Shkoller. On the finite-time splash and splat singularities for the 3-D free-surface Euler equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 325:143–183, 2014.
- [30] Daniel Coutand and Steve Shkoller. On the impossibility of finite-time splash singularities for vortex sheets. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 221(2):987–1033, 2016
- [31] Henry Darcy. Les Fontaines Publiques de la Ville de Dijon. Dalmont, Paris, 1856.
- [32] Fan Deng, Zhen Lei, and Fanghua Lin. On the Two-Dimensional Muskat Problem with Monotone Large Initial Data. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 70(6):1115–1145, 2017.
- [33] Jean Duchon and Raoult Robert, Évolution d'une interface par capillarité et diffusion de volume I. Existence locale en temps, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non linéaire*, 1 (1984), 361–378.
- [34] Javier Gómez-Serrano and Rafael Granero-Belinchón. On turning waves for the inhomogeneous Muskat problem: a computer-assisted proof. *Nonlinearity*, 27(6):1471–1498., 2014.

- [35] Inwon Kim and Jiajun Tong. Interface dynamics in a two-phase tumor growth model. *preprint arXiv:2002.03487*
- [36] Joachim Escher and Bogdan Vasile Matioc. On the parabolicity of the Muskat problem: Well-posedness, fingering, and stability results. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 30(2):193-218, 2011.
- [37] H. S. Hele-Shaw. The flow of water. *Nature*, 58:34-36, 1898.
- [38] H. S. Hele-Shaw. On the motion of a viscous fluid between two parallel plates. *Trans. Royal Inst. Nav. Archit.*, 40:218, 1898.
- [39] Huy Q. Nguyen and Benoît Pausader. A paradifferential approach for well-posedness of the Muskat problem. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, to appear (2020).
- [40] Francisco Gancedo. A survey for the Muskat problem and a new estimate. *SeMA J.*, 74(1):21-35, 2017.
- [41] Francisco Gancedo, Eduardo García-Juárez, Neel Patel and Robert M. Strain. On the Muskat problem with viscosity jump: global in time results. *Adv. Math.* 345, 552597, 2019.
- [42] Francisco Gancedo and Robert M Strain. Absence of splash singularities for surface quasi-geostrophic sharp fronts and the Muskat problem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(2):635-639, 2014.
- [43] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Omar Lazar. Growth in the Muskat problem. *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.* Volume 15, 1-23, 2020.
- [44] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Stefano Scrobogna. On an asymptotic model for free boundary Darcy flow in porous media. *arXiv:1810.11798*
- [45] Pierre-Gilles Lemarié-Rieusset. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2002).
- [46] Pierre-Gilles Lemarié-Rieusset. *The Navier-Stokes problem in the 21st century*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [47] Bogdan Vasile Matioc. The Muskat problem in 2D: equivalence of formulations, well-posedness, and regularity results *Anal. PDE* 12(2):281-332, 2019.
- [48] Morris Muskat. Two fluid systems in porous media. The encroachment of water into an oil sand. *Physics*, 5(9):250-264, 1934.
- [49] Morris Muskat. *Physical Principles of Oil Production*. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1949.
- [50] T.N. Narasimhan. Hydraulic characterization of aquifers, reservoir rocks, and soils: A history of ideas. *Water Resources Research*, 34(1):33-46, 1998.
- [51] Jaak Peetre. New thoughts on Besov spaces. *Duke Univ. Math. Series I*. Mathematics Department, Duke University, Durham, N.C., 1976.
- [52] Lord Rayleigh. On the instability of jets. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-10(1):413, 1878.
- [53] Philip Geoffrey Saffman and Geoffrey Ingram Taylor. The penetration of a fluid into a porous medium or Hele-Shaw cell containing a more viscous liquid. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* 245, (1958), 312-329

Francisco Gancedo, Departamento de Análisis Matemático & IMUS Universidad de Sevilla , Spain.

Omar Lazar, Departamento de Análisis Matemático & IMUS, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain.