The development of nanosized sensors with novel quantum technologies is aiming at nanoscale imaging of biological tissues for unveiling the biophysics of pathologies at such relevant scales [1–4]. Such imaging proposals are still based on invasive techniques. By contrast, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has proven to be an excellent tool for acquiring non-invasive images, being applied on a daily basis for clinical diagnosis. However, the weak sensitivity for detecting the nuclear spins inherent to the biological tissues, typically limits the spatial resolution of in-vivo MRI to hundreds of micrometers in pre-clinical scanners and to millimeters in clinical systems. This limitation imposes a challenge for existing methods to early detect diseases that produce changes at the cellular level [5–9]. Detecting these kind of pathologies in a development stage based on quantitative imaging of tissue microstructure parameters, will allow MRI to advance towards a new early diagnostic paradigm [7–13].

Diffusion Weighted MRI (DWI) is a promising tool to probe microstructure information based on monitoring the dephasing of the nuclear spin precession due to Brownian molecular motion [10, 14, 15]. The diffusion dynamics of molecules depends on tissue properties such as cell sizes, density, and other morphological features. A strong magnetic field gradient is applied to sense the microscopic motion of spins so that the the precession frequency depends on their instantaneous position. In addition, modulating the gradient strength as a function of time allows to probe the time dependent diffusion process of the molecules within tissues [15–18]. These dynamical control techniques are based on the Hahn spin-echo concept [19] and its generalization to multiple echoes [20]. Within DWI they are called modulated gradient spin-echo (MGSE) sequences, where the phase accumulated by the spin’s precession is refocused at given times allowing to infer microscopic parameters in tissues and porous media [16, 18, 21–23]. However, obtaining detailed microstructure information is still challenging on in-vivo studies, as it requires tens of images demanding about an hour of acquisition time [11, 13, 23–26].

The decay of the nuclear spin signal under MGSE sequences is typically characterized by a decay-rate [14, 15]. Here, we report that these decaying signals manifest a ‘decay-shift’ that can be exploited to selectively probe microstructure-sizes. We develop size-filters based on the Non-uniform Oscillating Gradient Spin-Echo (NOGSE) concept that contrast the signal generated by two spin-echo sequences [17, 18]. The method probes different diffusion time scales while factoring out other relaxation mechanisms induced by gradient-modulation imperfections and $T_2$ effects. We exploit the NOGSE modulations to selectively probe the spin-echo decay-shift for producing quantitative images based on contrast intensities that reflect the probability of finding a specific microstructure-size. The present approach requires only two measurements, therefore significantly reducing the acquisition time compared to state-of-the-art methods that typically require tens of measurements to obtain quantitative information on microstructure-sizes based on fitting parameters [11, 13, 23–27]. We analytically and experimentally demonstrate that these microstructure-size filters can be implemented with current technologies presenting a novel mechanism for quantitative and precision imaging diagnostic tools.

MRI of molecular-diffusion. The nuclear spins $S = \frac{1}{2}$ in molecules intrinsic to biological tissues, mainly from water’s protons, are typically observed on in-vivo MRI. They
interact with an external uniform magnetic-field $B_0 \hat{z}$ and a magnetic field gradient $G\hat{r}$ applied along the direction $\hat{r}$ for spatial encoding. In a frame rotating at the resonance frequency $\gamma B_0$, the precession frequency $\omega(t) = \gamma G r(t)$ fluctuates reflecting the random motion of the molecular diffusion process [14, 15]. Here, $r(t)$ is the instantaneous position of the nuclear spin along the gradient direction and $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the nucleus. The effective diffusion coefficient, and $\tau_e$ is the correlation time of the diffusion process. The restriction length $l_c$ of the molecule is given by the Einstein-Diffusion equation $l_c^2 = D_0 \tau_c$ [15]. Then, by monitoring the spin-echo decay by applying suitable control sequences, one can infer microstructure sizes [17, 18, 21–23, 27].

**Spin-echo decay-shift as a probing-microstructure paradigm.** The spin-echo magnetization decay is usually characterized by its decay-rate [14, 15]. Under the control sequences discussed in Fig. 1a,b, the decay-rate is typically reduced as the number of refocusing periods $N$ increases [20]. This effect is shown in Fig. 1a-c, where the Hahn spin-echo ($N=1$) decay is compared with the signal after a CPMG sequence ($N > 1$) with multiple echoes [20]. However, in the restricted diffusion regime when the refocusing periods are longer than the correlation time $\tau_c$, the spin-echo decays as $M(T_E)/M(0) \approx e^{-\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^2 (T_E-\tau_c)}$ (see Methods). Then, the spins have been fully scrambled within the compartment, and the dephasing cannot be refocused leading to a decay-rate $\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^2$ independent of $N$. Yet, the spin-echo retains information of the transition from the free to the restricted diffusion regime. This information is manifested as a “decay-shift” $\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^2 (1+2N)$ independent of the evolution time on the spin-echo decay signal, as shown in Fig. 1c. We here demonstrate that this shift can be exploited to selectively probe microstructure sizes as it is $\propto l_c^6$.

As this decay-shift depends on $N$, it can be selectively probed by concatenating a Hahn with a CPMG gradient modulation and changing the ratio between the relative refocusing periods of each component of the sequence as shown in Fig. 1d. This control sequence produces an effective modulated gradient $G(t)$, that conforms the Non-uniform Oscillating-Gradient Spin-Echo (NOGSE) [17, 18]. This sequence also factorizes out other relaxation mechanisms allowing to probe selectively the diffusion induced decay.

We define the NOGSE contrast (NOGSeC) as the amplitude $\Delta M$ given by the difference between the CPMG and the Hahn signal (Fig. 1c). It is obtained by evaluating NOGSE at the refocusing periods $t_C = t_D$ and then at $t_C \rightarrow 0$ using the definitions shown in Fig. 1d. Then both measurements are subtracted (see Methods). Within the restricted diffusion regime, this contrast amplitude is

$$\Delta M \approx e^{-\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^2 (t_C/t_D-3)} \left( e^{\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^2 2(N-1) - 1} \right),$$

which is very sensitive to the restricted diffusion length $l_c$ as it has a parametric dependence $l_c^6 \propto \tau_c^{12}$ provided by the spin-echo decay-shift [17, 18].

**NOGSE as a selective microstructure-size filter.** NOGSeC $\Delta M$ has a maximum as a function of the normalized echo-time $T_E/\tau_c$ as shown in Fig. 1e. We exploit this maximum contrast to enhance the relative contribution to the signal from specific restriction lengths $l_c$ from a size-distribution.

In order to perform a general analysis, Eq. (3) can be expressed in terms of dimensionless lengths $L_D = l_D/l_c$, $L_c = l_c/l_G$ (see Methods). Here, $l_c = \sqrt{D_0 \tau_c}$, $l_D = \sqrt{D_0 T_E}$, $l_G = \sqrt{D_0 / \gamma G}$ are the restriction length, the diffusion length that the spin can diffuse freely during $T_E$ and the dephasing diffusion length that provides a phase shift of $2\pi$, respectively [15] (Fig. 1c). Then, $\Delta M$ as a function of $L_c$ can be approximated by a Gaussian function when $L_D/L_c \gg 1$, $L_c^6 \ll 1$ and $L_D \gg 1$ (see Methods),

$$\Delta M \approx 2(N-1)e^{-3/2} \left( L_c^2 \right)^6 \exp \left[ -12 \left( \frac{L_c - L_c^6}{L_c^6} \right)^2 \right].$$

NOGSeC therefore acts as a microstructure-size “bandpass-filter” with $L_c^6$ as the filter-center size (see Fig. 2a)

$$L_c^6 \approx (3/2) \pi L_D^{1/2}.$$

The filter-band selectivity is defined by the ratio between the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) and $L_c^6$, $FWHM/L_c^{6} \approx \sqrt{\frac{ln2}{6}} \approx 0.5$. 


The maximum of $\Delta M$ at the size $L_c^f$ can be tuned to highlight a given restriction length $l_c$ based on choosing properly the sequence control parameters, i.e. the gradient strength $G$ and the evolution time $T_E$ (see Fig. 2a).

The filtered size decreases with increasing the control parameter $L_D$ according to Eq. (5). At the same time, increasing $L_D$ decreases the contrast amplitude which is $\propto (L_c^D/\delta G)^\alpha \propto 1/L_c^D$. Therefore, the minimum size that can be filtered in practice is limited by the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) and the maximum achievable gradient strength as $L_c^D \propto \sqrt{G}$. This decrease of $\Delta M$ can be compensated linearly with increasing the number of refocusing periods $N$, as long as $L_c^D/L_c^N = T_E/\tau_e N \gg 1$ to reach the restricted regime (see Eq. (4) and Fig. 2b).

A practical limitation is also the unavoidable transversal $T_2$-relaxation due to the intrinsic dephasing of the nuclear spins. NOGSeC decays then as

$$\Delta M_{T_2} = \Delta M e^{-L_c^D/L_c^2},$$

where we have defined $L_2 = \frac{l_c^D}{\delta G}$ as the $T_2$ diffusion dimensionless length with $l_c^D = (D_0T_2)^{1/2}$. The $T_2$-relaxation effect is shown in Fig. 2c for different values of $L_2^D$. Remarkably, the filter shape and center remains the same as the case of $T_2 \rightarrow \infty$.

Selective size-filtering in typical microstructure-size distributions. Our results show that one can produce quantitative images based on a signal contrast generated by specific microstructure-sizes from a size-distribution. This method avoids extracting the microstructure-size by fitting a curve, which is time consuming as it typically requires several measurements [11, 23–27]. The filter amplitude $\Delta M$ in Eq. (4) remains constant by varying the gradient strength and the evolution time keeping fixed the parameter $L_D$, as shown in Fig. 2d-e. We exploit this property for a proof-of-principle evaluation of the performance of the NOGSeC filter applied to microstructural size-distribution inherent to heterogeneous biological tissues as shown in Fig. 3. Typical size-distributions $P(l_c)$ are log-normal and bi-modal functions [7, 24, 26, 29, 30] as shown in Figs. 3a-b. NOGSeC for a size-distribution is given by

$$\Delta M = \int_{l_c} P(l_c) \Delta M(l_c) dl_c,$$

where $\Delta M(l_c)$ is the contribution for a given restriction length $l_c$. Figures 3c-d show $\Delta M$ as a function of $l_c^f = L_c^f/l_c$, where $G$ and $T_E$ are changed simultaneously keeping $L_c^D$ constant. The center of the filter at $l_c^f = L_c^D/l_c$ is therefore swept while the filter amplitude is kept constant. The resulting filtered signal as a function of the filter center $l_c^f$ therefore resembles the original size-distributions, where the log-normal peak and both Gaussian peaks are clearly identified. The effects of $T_2$-relaxation are shown in Fig. 3c for typical values of white-matter tissue. To further demonstrate the filter selectivity, Figure 3d shows that if one chooses $l_c^f = l_c$ equal to the center of one of the two Gaussian distributions, the other component is filtered-out. These simulations demonstrate...
of the distribution, compared to the weaker gradient that acts as a “high-pass” filter of the larger sizes (Fig. 4b). Therefore Fig. 4a clearly highlights zones of the CC with complementary colors depending on the microstructure-size. This is demonstrated quantitatively in Fig. 4b-c for three regions-of-interest (ROI). The average \( \Delta M \) as a function of the gradient for the ROIs is shown in Fig. 4c together with fitted curves derived from our theoretical model following Eq. (7) (see Methods). The inferred microstructure-size distributions and the \( \Delta M \) filter shapes are shown in Fig. 4b. The excellent agreement between the model and the experimental data fully demonstrates the reliability of the quantitative images shown in panel a based on the NOGSE microstructure-size filter and the assumed log-normal model.

**Conclusions.** The presented results introduce a method for performing non-invasive quantitative images of selective microstructure-sizes based on probing nuclear-spin dephasing induced by molecular diffusion with magnetic resonance. Conversely to standard diffusion-weighted imaging approaches that are based on observing the decay-rate of the spin signal, we exploit dynamical control with oscillating gradients to selectively probe a decay-shift on spin-echo decays. This decay-shift contains quantitative information of microstructure-sizes that restrict the molecular
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The transversal \( T_2 \)-relaxation limits the largest microstructure-size that can be filtered, as the restricted diffusion regime has to be achieved. A good SNR for \( \Delta M \) is only obtained for \( L_c < L_D < L_2 \). For short \( T_2 \), the strategy is therefore using the lowest possible value of \( L_D \) and reducing the number of refocusing periods \( N \) so as to remain in the restricted regime. We consider this scenario in proof-of-principle experiments and perform a size-filter sweep varying only the gradient amplitude while keeping \( T_F \sim T_2 \) constant. We implement the microstructure-size filter method on an ex-vivo mouse brain focusing on the Corpus Callosum (CC) region (see experimental details in Methods). The CC contains aligned axons and is a paradigmatic model for log-normal size-distributions [7, 24, 26, 29, 30]. Figure 4a shows images of \( \Delta M \) for two gradient strengths. The largest gradient acts as a “bandpass” Gaussian-filter of the lower microscopic sizes
Figure 4. Non-invasive NOGSE-imaging of selective microstructure-sizes in ex-vivo mouse brain. (a) Two images based on NOGSeC of the Corpus Callosum region of a mouse brain for two gradient strengths. The $\Delta \mathcal{M}$ contrast highlights different zones with complementary colors when comparing the images for the two gradients. The color scale covers the full range of contrast signal in each image. Three regions-of-interest (ROI) are indicated in black contours. The images were acquired for $N = 2$ and $T_E = 21.5$ ms. Pixel-size $78 \times 78 \mu m^2$. (see more details in Methods). (b) Size-distributions (solid-lines) that best fit the experimental data for the selected ROIs. The dashed lines show $\Delta M(l_c)$ predicted by our model for the two gradients used in (a). The predicted overlap between the reconstructed distribution and the $\Delta M(l_c)$ filter is consistent with the signal contrast shown in (a and c): ROI 1 has lower sizes than ROI 2 and 3, and therefore ROI 1 has higher $\Delta M$ for $G = 800 \text{mT/m}$; conversely ROI 2 and 3 have higher contrast amplitude for $G = 125 \text{mT/m}$. (c) Average NOGSeC signal (symbols) as a function of the gradient strength $G$ for the three ROIs. The vertical dashed lines mark the gradient strengths used in (a). The solid lines are fits to the experimental data of our theoretical model following Eq. (7) for a log-normal distribution. The fitted parameters are the median $1.08 \pm 0.06$, $2.82 \pm 0.01$ and $1.87 \pm 0.04 \mu m$ and the geometric standard deviation $2.58 \pm 0.03$, $2.39 \pm 0.02$ and $2.91 \pm 0.04 \mu m$ for ROI 1, 2 and 3, respectively. We considered a uniform $D_0 = 0.7 \mu m^2/\text{ms}$ as a representative diffusion coefficient to fit the size-distribution.

**Acknowledgments.** We acknowledge Soledad Esposito and Micaela Kortsarz for preparing the ex-vivo mouse brain, and Federico Turco for scripting assistance to process the experimental data. We thank Lucio Frydman and Jorge Jovicich for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by CNEA, ANPCyT-FONCyT PICT-2017-3447, PICT-2017-3699, PICT-2018-04333, PIP-CONICET (11220170100486CO), UNCUYO SIIP Tipo I 2019-C028, Instituto Balseiro. A.Z. and G.A.A. are members of the Research Career of CONICET. M.C. and P.J. acknowledge support from the Instituto Balseiro’s fellowships.

---

* analia.zwick@cab.cnea.gov.ar
* gonzalo.alvarez@cab.cnea.gov.ar


METHODS

Magnetization decay of an spin ensemble under dynamical control. The magnetization signal observed from an ensemble of non-interacting and equivalent spins, under the effect of dynamical control, is \( M(t) = \langle e^{-i\phi(t)} \rangle M(0) \). Here, the brackets denote the ensemble average over the random phases \( \phi(t) \) acquired by the spins during the evolution time \( t \). For the considered dynamical control with modulated gradient spin-echo sequences, the average phase becomes null, \( \langle \phi(t) \rangle = 0 \). Then, as \( \phi(t) \) typically follows a Gaussian distribution \([28]\), the signal will depend on the random phase variance \( M(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \phi^2(t) \rangle} M(0) \).

The variance expressed in terms of the control applied to the system by \( G(t) \) and the molecular displacement autocorrelation function \( \langle \Delta r(t) \Delta r(0) \rangle = D_0 \tau_r e^{-|t|/\tau_r} \) \([16-18]\) is given in Eq. (2) of the main text.

For a piecewise constant modulation \( G(t) \), that switches \( N \) times its sign at times \( t_i \) with \( i = 0..N - 1 \) during the evolution time \( T_E \). The quadratic phase of the magnetization decay is

\[
\langle \phi^2(T_E) \rangle = \gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{-|t-t'|/\tau_c} (-1)^i (-1)^j dt' dt
\]

\[
= \gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (-1)^i (-1)^j \left[ \begin{array}{c}
2 \tau_c t_j - \tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_j-t_i}{\tau_c}} & t_j \leq t_i \\
-\tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_j-t_i}{\tau_c}} & t_i < t_j
\end{array} \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( \begin{array}{c}
2 \tau_c t_{j+1} - \tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_{j+1}-t_j}{\tau_c}} & t_{j+1} \leq t_i+1 \\
-\tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_{j+1}-t_j}{\tau_c}} + 2 \tau_c t_i & t_i+1 < t_{j+1}
\end{array} \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c}
2 \tau_c t_j - \tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_{j+1}-t_j}{\tau_c}} & t_j \leq t_{i+1} \\
-\tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_{j+1}-t_j}{\tau_c}} + 2 \tau_c t_{i+1} & t_{i+1} < t_j
\end{array} \right)
\]

Magnetization decay within the restricted diffusion regime. In the restricted diffusion regime all terms \( \propto e^{-|t_i-t_j|/\tau_c} \rightarrow 0 \) as \( |t_i-t_j| \gg \tau_c \) for all \( i \neq j \), therefore the non-null terms in Eq. (8) are those with \( i = j \). The phase variance is then

\[
-\frac{1}{2} \langle \phi^2(T_E) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (-1)^i (-1)^j \left[ \begin{array}{c}
2 \tau_c t_j - \tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_j-t_i}{\tau_c}} & \delta_{ij} + \left( 2 \tau_c t_{j+1} - \tau_c^2 e^{-\frac{t_{j+1}-t_j}{\tau_c}} \right) \delta_{i+1,j+1}
\end{array} \right]
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c \sum_{i=1}^{N} (t_i - t_{i-1}) - \tau_c^2 (4N + 2)
\]

\[
= -\gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c^2 [T_E - (2N + 1) \tau_c].
\]

Then, the decay-rate is \( \frac{d\langle \phi^2(T_E) \rangle}{dT_E} = \gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c^3 \), and the decay-shift is the time-independent term \( \gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c^3 (2N + 1) \), which can also be derived from

\[
\gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c^3 (2N + 1) = \gamma^2 G^2 D_0^2 \tau_c (2N + 1) \int_0^\infty dt \langle \Delta r(0) \Delta r(t) \rangle.
\]

NOGSE contrast amplitude. One can obtain an analytical expression for the magnetization decay in Eq. (8) for the Hahn, CPMG and NOGSE spin-echo sequences described in
Fig. (1) of the main text. In the restricted diffusion regime $T_E, t_H, t_C \gg \tau_c$, they result

$$M_{\text{Hahn}}(t_H) = \exp\{-\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^3 \frac{t_H}{\tau_c} - 3\},$$
$$M_{\text{CPMG}}(N t_C, N) = \exp\{-\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^3 \frac{N t_C}{\tau_c} - (2N + 1)\},$$
$$M_{\text{NOGSE}}(T_E, N, t_C) = \exp\{-\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^3 \frac{T_E}{\tau_c} - (2N + 1)\},$$
(13)

with $T_E = (N - 1)t_C + t_H$.

We define the NOGSE contrast (NOGSEc) amplitude $\Delta M$ to the difference between $M_{\text{NOGSE}}(T_E, N, t_C = t_H) = M_{\text{CPMG}}(T_E, N)$ and $M_{\text{NOGSE}}(T_E, N, t_C \to 0) \simeq M_{\text{Hahn}}(T_E)$, i.e.

$$\Delta M(T_E, N) = M_{\text{CPMG}}(T_E, N) - M_{\text{Hahn}}(T_E).$$
(14)

Then, we arrive to Eq. (3) of the main text by introducing Eq. (13) into Eq. (14)

$$\Delta M \approx e^{-\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^3 \frac{T_E}{\tau_c} - 3} \left( e^{\gamma^2 G^2 D_0 \tau_c^3 2(N-1) - 1} \right).$$
(15)

NOGSEc results

$$\Delta M(L_D, L_C, N) = e^{-L_f^2(L_D^2 - 3L_C^2)}(e^{2(N-1)L_f^2} - 1),$$
(16)

within the restricted diffusion regime, using the dimensionless variables $L_D, L_C$ defined in the main text.

The general expression for $\Delta M$ that includes all diffusion time scales can be obtained from Eq. (8) by replacing the time intervals as defined in Fig. 1c of the main text.

Gaussian microstructure-size filter derivation. The NOGSEc amplitude in the restricted diffusion regime, Eq. (16), can be approximated by

$$\Delta M \approx e^{-L_f^2(L_D^2 - 3L_C^2)2(N-1)L_f^6},$$
(17)

for $L_C \ll 1$. The maximum of $\Delta M$ occurs at $\frac{d\Delta M}{dL_C} = 0$. In the asymptotic limit of $L_D \gg 1$, it is achieved for

$$L_c = L_f \approx \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^\frac{1}{2} L_D^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(L_D^{-\frac{3}{2}}),$$
(18)

where $L_f$ is the center of the filter as described in Eq. (5) of the main text.

The NOGSEc amplitude can be approximated by

$$\Delta M \approx e^{-3/2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (N-1) L_D^{-3} - 36e^{-3/2} (N-1) L_D^{-2}(L_c - L_f^2)O((L_c - L_f^2)^4),$$
(19)

with a Taylor expansion in $L_c$ at $L_c \approx L_f^2$ of the expression given in Eq. (17). We use this expansion to define the first moments of the Gaussian filter function of Eq. (4) in the main text, obtaining

$$\Delta M \approx 2(N-1)e^{-3/2} (L_f^6)^2 \exp\left[-12 \left( \frac{L_c - L_f^2}{L_f^2} \right)^2 \right].$$
(20)

This expression is then verified to approximate very well the exact expression derived from Eq. (8) within the regime of $L_D \gg 1$ and $L_C \ll 1$.

Ex-vivo mouse brain preparation. The experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Comision Nacional de Energía Atómica under protocol number 0818. One mouse was sacrificed by isoflurane overdose and its brain was fixed in formaline. The brain was washed twice with PBS prior to the insertion into a 15 ml falcon tube filled with PBS. The brain was left in the magnet for at least three hours prior to the reported experiments to reach thermal equilibration.

MRI experiments. The experiments were performed on a 9.4T Bruker Avance III HD WB NMR spectrometer with a 1H resonance frequency of $\omega_z = 400.15$ MHz. We use a Micro 2.5 probe capable of producing gradients up to 1500 mT/m in three spatial directions. The experiments temperature was stabilized at 21°C. We programmed and implemented with Paravision 6 the NOGSE MRI sequence shown in Fig. 5. The sequence parameters were: Repetition time 2000 ms, $T_{\text{echo time}} = 55ms$, FOV = 15x15 mm$^2$ with a matrix size of 192x192, leading to an in-plane resolution of 78 x 78 µm$^2$, and slice thickness of 1 mm with 128 signal averages. The two images were acquired with echo planar imaging (EPI) encoding with 4 segments (image acquisition time $\approx 17$ min) and then subtracted to generate $\Delta M$. The NOGSE modulation time was $T_E = 21.5$ms with $N = 2$. The NOGSE gradients were applied perpendicular to the main axis of the axons in the corpus callosum. NOGSEc $\Delta M$ is determined from an image generated with $t_H = t_C = 10.75$ms for the CPMG modulation and with $t_H = 0.5$ms and $t_C = 21$ms for the Hahn modulation. The set of parameter values were chosen for achieving good SNR for performing the proof-of-principle experiments. Further studies should be considered to explore the optimal values for acquiring the images in the shortest possible time.

Experimental data analysis. The mean signal from the pixels in the ROIs of Fig. 4a of the main text was analyzed, and plotted as a function of $G$ in Fig. 4c. Fittings to the theoretical model were done assuming a uniform $D_0 = 0.7\mu m^2/\text{ms}$ and a log-normal distribution $P(l_c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_l} e^{-\frac{(\ln(l_c) - \mu)^2}{2\sigma_l^2}}$ with median $e^\mu$ and geometric standard deviation $e^\sigma$. This implies that no extra assumptions were considered for the tissue model (e.g., intra/extracellular compartments). Therefore a single log-normal distribution was thus fitted to the experimental data, regardless of the potential heterogeneity. This means that all underlying compartments (e.g., extracellular, intracellular, etc.)
Figure 5. **Scheme for the experimental implementation of the NOGSE sequence.** An initial selective RF-excitation \( \frac{\pi}{2} \)-pulse is applied to select a tissue slice. It is followed by a NOGSE gradient modulation of duration \( T_E \) following the scheme described in Fig. 1d of the main text. During the evolution time \( T_E \), the gradient strength and sign is modulated with trapezoidal shapes. Then a selective RF \( \pi \)-pulse is applied to refocus magnetic field inhomogeneities. At the end a spatial EPI-encoding is applied for acquiring an image. Three gradients are applied in the three spatial directions for slide selection \( G_{sl} \), for read orientation \( G_{RO} \) and phase encoding \( G_{PE} \). The NOGSE gradients can be applied in arbitrary orientations.

Reflected in the diffusion weighted are assumed to be described by a single log-normal distribution. We considered a distribution of restriction lengths \( l_c \) without assuming particular geometries. Remarkably the excellent agreement of the fitted curves to the experimental data in Fig. 4c is consistent with these simple assumptions.