

A unifying perspective on linear continuum equations prevalent in science. Part I: Canonical forms for static, steady, and quasistatic equations

Graeme W. Milton

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, USA – milton@math.utah.edu.

Abstract

Following some past advances, we reformulate a large class of linear continuum science equations in the format of the extended abstract theory of composites so that we can apply this theory to better understand and efficiently solve those physical equations. Here in part I we elucidate the form for many static, steady, and quasistatic equations.

1 Introduction

We are interested in linear science equations that can be manipulated into the form

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{s}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{J} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

as encountered in the extended abstract theory of composites. Until Part V [71] we will consider these equations in a medium of infinite extent, possibly, though not necessarily, periodic. The first equation is called the constitutive law and it is typically taken to be local in space with points represented by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, i.e., $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$. The field $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ is the source term, while $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ is a projection operator in Fourier space. Thus if \mathbf{L} or $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ act on a field \mathbf{F} to produce a field \mathbf{G} then we have, respectively, that $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})\hat{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{k})$ or that $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$, in which $\hat{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{k})$ are the Fourier components of \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{F} , and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ represents a point in Fourier space. By multiplying the constitutive law by $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ the solution to (1.1) is easily found to be

$$\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{L}\mathbf{\Gamma}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{s} \quad (1.2)$$

where the inverse is to be taken on the space \mathcal{E} onto which $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ projects. In Part VI [72] we will see that the solution can be written in various alternative forms that are useful for generating rapidly converging series expansions for the solution.

The prototypical example is the conductivity equation (that we will revisit later):

$$\mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{j}' = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1 = \nabla(\nabla^2)^{-1}\nabla \cdot, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ is the conductivity tensor, while $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{s}$, and \mathbf{e} are the current source, current, and electric field, and $(\nabla^2)^{-1}$ is the inverse Laplacian (there is obviously considerable flexibility in the choice of $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$, the only constraints being square integrability and that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$ equals the current source). An interesting twist is that we write the equations in this form, rather than in the more conventional form involving \mathbf{j} directly, but this is exactly what we need to keep the left hand side of the constitutive law divergence free. As current is conserved, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$, implying $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}' = 0$, which is clearly equivalent to $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{j}' = 0$. To show that $\mathbf{e} = \nabla(\nabla^2)^{-1}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}$, we let V be the solution of Poisson's equation $\nabla^2 V = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}$ (with $V(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty$), i.e. $V = -(\nabla^2)^{-1}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}$. Then integrating this gives $\mathbf{e} = -\nabla V = \nabla(\nabla^2)^{-1}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{\Gamma}_1\mathbf{e}$. These steps are much easier done in Fourier space, where $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k})^{-1}\mathbf{k}^T$.

In this Part I we cast a multitude of static, steady, and quasistatic equations in this form. In Part II [68] we continue with time harmonic science equations. In Part III [69] we express in the desired form a host of dynamic

equations in stationary media; dynamic equations where the material is moving and where the moduli vary with time. Part IV [70] includes equations involving higher order gradients of the fields. In Part V [71] we review how one can get bounds on the spectrum of the relevant operator; and reformulate the Gibiansky-Cherkaev transformation that we will also discuss in this part, and obtain Stieltjes type integral representations for the resolvent of non-selfadjoint operators. In Part VI [71] we review iterative methods for solving for the fields \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{E} given \mathbf{L} , $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$, and \mathbf{s} . These iterative methods are based on series expansions. In Part VII we address how boundary value problems and scattering problems can also be cast in this framework. All parts are largely based on Chapter 2 of [64] (reviewed in [3, 91]), and the book [78] (reviewed in [37, 93]), but extend the theory further.

The fields in (1.1) are square integrable over all space, or if periodic, integrable over the unit cell of periodicity. We allow for nonperiodic fields in periodic media provided they are square integrable over all space. At each point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ the fields take values in a space \mathcal{T} of supertensors, by which we mean a finite collection of scalars, vectors, and tensors. For most purposes the tensorial nature of the fields is not important and, using an appropriate basis to provide a representation, we can just consider $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ to be vector fields and $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ to be matrix valued fields in real and Fourier space respectively. Given two fields $\mathbf{P}_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{P}_2(\mathbf{x})$ in this space of fields, we define the inner product of them to be

$$(\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{P}_1(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{P}_2(\mathbf{x}))_{\mathcal{T}} d\mathbf{x}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a suitable inner product on the space \mathcal{T} such that the projection $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ is selfadjoint with respect to this inner product, and thus the space \mathcal{E} onto which $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ projects is orthogonal to the space \mathcal{J} onto which $\mathbf{\Gamma}_2 = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ projects. When we have periodic fields in periodic media the integral in (1.4) should be taken over the unit cell of periodicity.

The reason for keeping the subscript 1 on $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ is that if $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is nonsingular, (1.1) can be rewritten in the dual form

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{s}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_2\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_2\mathbf{E} = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where now $\mathbf{\Gamma}_2 = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ plays the role that $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ played in (1.1), and $-\mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{s}$ plays the role that \mathbf{s} played.

We are only selectively reviewing the literature in this series of articles, mainly because of its broad scope. The reader is encouraged to look at the papers and books referenced and when a subject appeals, to delve further by following the articles and books referenced in those papers, or alternatively to seek papers or books that reference them. The exposition here is slanted by the author's perspective, thereby accounting for the many references to his and his collaborators work.

To make contact with conventional representations of physics equations, we follow Section 12.2 of [64] and note that typically $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ (or its blocks, or the corresponding blocks in $\mathbf{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{k})$) has the factorization

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k})]^{-1}\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k}), \quad (1.6)$$

in which $\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})$ is a (scalar, vector, tensor, or supertensor) polynomial function of $i\mathbf{k}$ and the inverse in (1.6) is to be taken on the range of $\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger$, defined as the adjoint of $\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})$. The action of $\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger$ in Fourier space is equivalent in real space to the action of the differential operator $\mathbf{D}(\nabla)$ and we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D}(\nabla) \mathbf{\Psi} = \mathbf{f}, \quad (1.7)$$

that may be solved for the (possibly multicomponent) potential $\mathbf{\Psi}$, given a source term \mathbf{f} . We can identify \mathbf{f} with $\mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \mathbf{s}$, and the potential field $\mathbf{\Psi}$ may be obtained from \mathbf{E} through their Fourier transforms:

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Psi}}(\mathbf{k}) = [\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k})]^{-1} \mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (1.8)$$

Conversely, given \mathbf{f} and a solution $\mathbf{\Psi}$ we have that

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{D}(\nabla) \mathbf{\Psi}, \quad \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{s}, \quad (1.9)$$

provided \mathbf{s} is chosen so that $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \mathbf{s}$. Note that the source \mathbf{s} is not uniquely determined, as reflected in the equations (1.1) – given a field \mathbf{J}_0 such that $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1 \mathbf{J}_0 = 0$ we can add it to \mathbf{s} and subtract it from \mathbf{J} , without disturbing \mathbf{E} or $\mathbf{\Psi}$. We can move back and forth between the two different formulations as we please, provided $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ has the factorization (1.6). It is not always simpler to introduce potentials. For instance, the symmetric second order stress field $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, satisfying $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0$ is the double curl of the Beltrami stress tensor [9], still a symmetric second order field.

The representation (1.1) has the advantages:

- it unifies a large class of linear science equations, allowing general tools for solving them to be applied to, or developed for, any equation in the class. Conversely scientists developing theory for one type of equation should see if their theory applies to the whole class, or has already been derived in that context. The situation is quite reminiscent of scientists developing results for the conductivity equation, in the context of different physics problems, without realizing that essentially they were all solving the same problem, not being aware of the commonality. For this reason different names have been attached to the same basic equations, such as the different names for the Clausius-Mossotti approximation, and for Bruggeman’s effective medium theory [50];
- that problems sharing the same $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$, or some of the blocks of $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$, may have unexpected deeper connections. If $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ shares the same block diagonal structure as $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$ (perhaps after making some manipulations, such as those of Milgrom and Shtrikman [60]: see also Chapter 6 of [64] and references therein), then the equations decouple into a subset of equations. Conversely, the off diagonal blocks of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ can be viewed as coupling this subset of equations together;
- that the transition to its dual form (1.5) is automatic;
- that the form of \mathbf{L} often suggests additional “bianisotropic type [92]” couplings in the time harmonic wave equations at constant frequency, or for thermal conductivity equations in the Laplace domain;
- that for these wave equations in lossy media, and for thermal or particle diffusion with complex frequencies, or more generally for problems with a non Hermitian tensor \mathbf{L} a host of variational minimization principles naturally follow from it [23, 24, 29, 63, 67, 76, 77, 82] that are not easy to extend to equations written in the form (1.7);
- that the fields all lie in the same space, so that \mathbf{L} and its adjoint \mathbf{L}^\dagger act on the same space, making it sensible to add or subtract them, as required for the just mentioned manipulations;
- that, as will be seen in Part V, one can solve the equations using rapidly convergent series expansions;
- that, importantly, the space in which we solve (1.1) is just the space of square integrable fields. Thus one can take the viewpoint that Sobolev spaces can be dispensed with in the context of solving these linear science equations. Gone are the derivatives of the fields and potentials. Instead one is left with the projection $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$. One may assert that sophisticated mathematical analyses are needed to establish existence and uniqueness of solutions. However, I believe that they are best addressed within the framework of (1.1): see also Part V;
- that the formulation allows one to implement ideas developed in the theory of exact relations for composites [34, 39] (see also Chapter 17 of [64] and the book [36]) to obtain universal (geometry independent) exact identities satisfied by the infinite body Green’s function for inhomogeneous media when the material tensor $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ takes values on certain manifolds \mathcal{M} in tensor space, i.e., $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{M}$ for all \mathbf{x} . These also lead to a flood of new conservation laws called boundary field equalities [75].

It also has disadvantages:

- in real space the equations are nonlocal, not just involving differential operators;
- the source term \mathbf{s} may be less localized, particularly when the physical sources are $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$. For instance, in dielectrics, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$ represents the charge density, so for just two well separated charges there must be a flux of \mathbf{s} between them. It may be necessary to require that the net charge be zero to ensure square integrability of the fields. This is the case in two dimensions (corresponding to three dimensional line charges);
- the original equations typically involve fewer variables (the potentials) rather than the fields directly;
- in some cases the representation is a lot more cumbersome, and less physically transparent.

It is not always the case that $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k})$ in (1.6) is a scalar or proportional to the identity matrix (examples being the time harmonic electromagnetic equations and Mindlin plate equations). Nevertheless, in all cases I have encountered Γ_1 is block diagonal with block diagonal elements of the form $\mathbf{G}(i\mathbf{k})/g(k^2)$ (or $\mathbf{G}(i\mathbf{k}, \omega)/g(k^2, \omega^2)$ for time dependent problems) where $\mathbf{G}(i\mathbf{k})$ and $g(k^2)$ are polynomials in $i\mathbf{k}$ and k^2 respectively. Thus, the action of $\mathbf{G}(i\mathbf{k})$ is equivalent to the action of $\mathbf{G}(\nabla)$ in real space, and in Fourier space one only needs to compute the action of $1/f(k^2)$. That

many equations of science can be represented in the form (1.7) has been noted, for example, by Strang [98,99] [see also chapter 2 of [100]]. Here and in Parts II, III, and IV we show that equations which can be represented in the form (1.1) are ubiquitous in science.

Clearly (1.7) has the solution

$$\Psi = \underline{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{f}, \quad \text{where} \quad \underline{\mathbf{R}} = [\mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D}(\nabla)]^{-1}. \quad (1.10)$$

In the same way that the fundamental solution (Green's function) for Ψ is obtained by taking a delta function source $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}_0 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$, so too is the fundamental solution for \mathbf{E} in (1.1) obtained by taking a delta function source $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{s}_0 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ in (1.2).

In many problems of interest, including many time harmonic wave equations including the time harmonic Schrödinger equation, $\mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D}(\nabla)$ can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D}(\nabla) = \underline{z} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \underline{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{D}(\nabla). \quad (1.11)$$

Thus, for example, for typical wave equations $\underline{z} = \omega^2$, where ω is the frequency, and for the Schrödinger equation $\underline{z} = E$, where E is the energy. However, we emphasize that for time harmonic wave equations in inhomogeneous media, the moduli and hence $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$ also typically depend on ω due to the dispersive nature of the materials.

Substituting (1.11) back in (1.10) gives

$$\underline{\mathbf{R}} = [\underline{z} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}(\nabla)^\dagger \underline{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{D}(\nabla)]^{-1}, \quad (1.12)$$

and we have the resolvent problem that many scientists focus on solving, rather than (1.1). Here $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$ acts in a different space than where \mathbf{f} and Ψ live. We will come back to studying resolvents in Parts V and VI.

One can treat the case where $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$ has blocks that factor in a similar way. Thus, for example, suppose that $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$ has the factorization

$$\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_1(i\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{k})]^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D}_2(i\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{F}_2(\mathbf{k})]^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.13)$$

where $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{D}_1(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger \mathbf{D}_1(i\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{F}_2(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{D}_2(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger \mathbf{D}_2(i\mathbf{k})$. Then an equivalent problem is to solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_1(\nabla)^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D}_2(\nabla)^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D}_1(\nabla) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D}_2(\nabla) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}_1 \\ \mathbf{f}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.14)$$

While the examples given here and in Parts II, III, and IV, which are mostly drawn from Chapter 2 of [64] and Chapter 1 of [78], are extensive, they are by no means exhaustive. Huge families of equations that can be expressed in this form are given in Section 12.2 of [64] and in [65] (with an addendum in [66]).

We emphasize that the form (1.1) only requires one to specify $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$ or $\Gamma_2(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{I} - \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$. From a mathematical viewpoint is not even necessary to identify what \mathbf{J} , \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{s} represent physically, although this can be helpful to give an intuitive understanding of the solutions and their physical significance.

The way of writing the equations in the desired form is not unique. Even so, a good way of doing this is sometimes by no means obvious. One learns as one manipulates more and more equations into the appropriate form. A general procedure that always works is hard to identify. It helps to find Γ_1 from the derivatives of the potentials, although to complicate matters potentials can also occur on the left hand side of the constitutive relation. With enough struggling one typically meets with success, if necessary by introducing appropriate auxiliary fields. The underlying reason for this success is not so clear except in the cases where the equations result from some energy minimization associated with thermodynamic considerations. A deeper understanding is clearly needed. It is also puzzling that a few equations do not seem to be amenable to be put in this form. Examples include perturbations to the Navier Stokes equations with viscous terms, and, even neglecting shear viscosity, perturbations to the magnetohydrodynamics of the ionosphere [44] and perturbations to the equations governing atmospheric behavior [85].

In some cases the operator \mathbf{L} has a nontrivial null space, and this brings into question the solution (1.2). To avoid this one can often shift $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ by a multiple c of a “null- \mathbf{T} operator” $\mathbf{T}_{nl}(\mathbf{x})$ (acting locally in real space), defined to have the property that

$$\Gamma_1 \mathbf{T}_{nl} \Gamma_1 = 0, \quad (1.15)$$

that then has an associated quadratic form (possibly zero) that is a “null-Lagrangian”. Clearly the equations (1.1) still hold, with $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ unchanged and $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$ replaced by $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) + c\mathbf{T}_{nl}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ if we replace $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ with $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) + c\mathbf{T}_{nl}(\mathbf{x})$. We

will come back to discussing “null- \mathbf{T} operators” in Section 3 of Part V. In a few other cases \mathbf{L} may contain ∞ (or ∞ ’s) on its diagonal. If one can remove any degeneracy of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$, we can consider the dual problem (1.5) and then, if desired, try to shift $\mathbf{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ by a multiple of a “null- \mathbf{T} operator” $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{nl}(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying $\mathbf{\Gamma}_2 \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{nl} \mathbf{\Gamma}_2 = 0$ to remove its degeneracy.

The relevant physical fields are progressively defined, and we do not typically remind the reader of their definitions in subsequent equations. We emphasize that when space derivatives occur in the fields entering the constitutive relations, one can easily rewrite them in a form where no space derivatives occur in the fields entering the constitutive relations. Rather such differential constraints on the fields are embodied in $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ and the formula for it can be deduced from the differential constraints on the fields that are explicit in Parts I, II, III, and IV.

To avoid taking unnecessary transposes, we let $\nabla \cdot$ act on the first index of a field, and the action of ∇ produces a field, the first index of which is associated with ∇ . References are provided to those equations that might not be familiar to the general reader.

2 Electrical conductivity and similar equations, both statics and quasistatics

These are the simplest of all the equations and take the form

$$\mathbf{j}' = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{s}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}' = 0, \quad \mathbf{e} = -\nabla V, \quad (2.1)$$

where, for electrical conductivity, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{s}$, \mathbf{e} , and V are the source of electrical current, electric current, electric field, and potential, that are complex in quasistatics. For fluid flow in porous rocks (Darcy’s law), thermally conducting materials (Fick’s law), and in materials where particle diffusion occurs, the same equations apply with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{s}$, \mathbf{e} , being replaced by the appropriate fields. Respectively, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}$ is a source of fluid in a porous medium, a source of heat flux, or a source of particle flux; $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{s}$ gets replaced by the macroscopic fluid velocity field \mathbf{v} , heat flux \mathbf{q} , and particle current; \mathbf{e} is replaced by the pressure gradient, temperature gradient, particle concentration gradient; V by the pressure, temperature, or particle concentration.

Bubbly flow in a nonviscous fluid, with the bubbles rising or moving along a pipe all with the same velocity is described by the conductivity equations when one is in a frame of reference moving with the bubbles [94]. The bubbles carry along with them some fluid when they rise and hence have a virtual mass. For an ensemble of moving bubbles the effective virtual mass density corresponds to the effective conductivity. Sedimenting particles that all sediment at the same rate can be treated similarly.

For dielectrics, after expressing the free charge density ρ_f as $\rho_f = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}_\rho$, the electrostatic equations take the form (2.1) with \mathbf{j}' replaced by $\mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}_\rho$, where \mathbf{d} is the electric displacement field and \mathbf{s} is replaced by $\mathbf{s}_d = \mathbf{s}_\rho - \mathbf{p}$ where \mathbf{p} is the permanent electric polarization plus the polarization due to the pyroelectric effect when the temperature is changed.

Now for all these equations we have:

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}}{k^2} = \frac{\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})\mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger}{k^2}, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{D}(\nabla) = \nabla, \quad (2.2)$$

where for conductivity materials, dielectrics, porous rocks, thermally conducting materials, and in materials where particle diffusion occurs $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ is the conductivity tensor, electric permittivity $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})$, rock permeability $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x})$ divided by the dynamic viscosity of the fluid μ , thermal conductivity $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x})$, and diffusivity $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$ respectively.

For magnetism, after expressing the free current \mathbf{j}_f as $\mathbf{j}_f = \nabla \times \mathbf{s}_j$, the equations become

$$\mathbf{h}' = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{s}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{h}' = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

in which $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{s}_j$, where \mathbf{m} is the permanent magnetization, $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{s}_j$ is the magnetic field, and \mathbf{b} the magnetic induction. We then have

$$\mathbf{L} = [\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}}{k^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$ is the magnetic permeability tensor. These equations are dual to those in (2.1) and (2.2) and we may reexpress them as

$$\mathbf{b} = \boldsymbol{\mu}\mathbf{h}' + \boldsymbol{\mu}\mathbf{s}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{h}' = \mathbf{h}', \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{b} = 0, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}}{k^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

which, after swapping the indices in $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1$ and $\mathbf{\Gamma}_2$, is then of the same form as (2.1) and (2.2).

The equations for stellar radiative transfer of energy also take this form, where over a small frequency interval from ω to $\omega + \epsilon$, V would be the energy density U_ω in that frequency interval, and \mathbf{j} is then the radiative flux in this frequency interval. Replacing σ is $D_\omega \mathbf{I}$ where the diffusion constant D_ω is given by $D_\omega = \frac{1}{3} c \ell_\omega$ in which c is the speed of light and ℓ_ω is the mean free path of photons having frequency ω . One can also write $\ell_\omega = 1/(\rho \kappa_\omega)$ where ρ is the density and κ_ω is the opacity coefficient at frequency ω [87]. The energy density can be connected to the temperature T through the Planck distribution:

$$U_\omega = \frac{8\pi h \omega^3}{c^3 (e^{h\omega/k_B T} - 1)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where h is Planck's constant and k_B is Boltzman's constant. Using $\nabla U_\omega = [\partial U_\omega / \partial T] \nabla T$ and integrating over frequencies, gives again a conductivity (Fick's law) equation with ∇V being the temperature gradient and \mathbf{j} now being the total radiative flux, with σ being related to T^3 times the reciprocal of the Rosseland mean opacity κ [87]:

$$\sigma = \frac{4acT^3}{\kappa\rho}, \quad \frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{\int_0^\infty [\partial U_\omega / \partial T] / \kappa_\omega d\omega}{\int_0^\infty [\partial U_\omega / \partial T] d\omega} = \frac{1}{4aT^3} \int_0^\infty [\partial U_\omega / \partial T] / \kappa_\omega d\omega, \quad (2.7)$$

where a is the radiation constant, $a = 8\pi^5 k_B^4 / (15h^3 c^3)$. As an approximation one may take the opacity coefficient κ_ω to be independent of ω , giving $\kappa_\omega = \kappa$. Then σ scales as T^3 . If one has radial symmetry so that $\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \partial / \partial r$, where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the radial unit vector, then by integrating over r we see that the total energy flux scales as T^4 which is the Stefan-Boltzmann law.

Additionally, the two dimensional conductivity equations hold for torsion for small twists around the x_3 -axis provided one assumes the elasticity tensor $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x})$ only depends on x_1 and x_2 and is invariant with respect to reflection about the $x_3 = 0$ plane. Thus all elements C_{ijkl} of the elasticity tensor are zero if the set of indices $\{i, j, k, \ell\}$ contains an odd number of 3's. Then, in Saint Venant's theory of torsion [4, 30], the components of the displacement field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ take the form

$$u_1 = -\tau x_3 x_2, \quad u_2 = +\tau x_3 x_1, \quad u_3 = u(x_1, x_2), \quad (2.8)$$

where τ is the amplitude of the twist, and $u(x_1, x_2)$ is the warping function. The linear elasticity equations imply

$$\sigma'_3 \equiv \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \sigma'_{13} \\ \sigma'_{23} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{L} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \partial u / \partial x_1 \\ \partial u / \partial x_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{E}} - \underbrace{\left[\mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \tau x_2 \\ -\tau x_1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{s}' \right]}_{\mathbf{s}}, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma'_{13}}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \sigma'_{23}}{\partial x_2} = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}'(x_1, x_2)$ is a shear forcing in the vertical direction (not the torque force which is applied to surfaces of constant x_3 at the ends of the cylinder, that may have arbitrary cross section), and the shear vector field $\sigma_3 = \sigma'_3 + \mathbf{s}$ has components $\sigma_{13}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_{31}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\sigma_{23}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_{32}(\mathbf{x})$ that are the only nonzero components of the stress field σ . We have

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{1313} & C_{1323} \\ C_{1323} & C_{2323} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_1 = \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} / k^2. \quad (2.10)$$

The square integrability of \mathbf{s} , assuming \mathbf{s}' is appropriately chosen is ensured if $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is zero beyond some distance from the x_3 -axis. While the linear elasticity assumption that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ is small is not satisfied at sufficiently large $|x_3|$, this does not matter as what is important is that the stresses and strains be small enough: the equation (2.10) is independent of x_3 which reflects the fact that the physics is the same, modulo a rotation, when we change x_3 .

Antiplane elasticity is a special case of torsion where $\tau = 0$, but the shear forcing \mathbf{s}' can be nonzero. Then the displacement field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ is aligned with the x_3 -direction, i.e. $u_1(\mathbf{x}) = u_2(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.

3 Thermoelectricity and magnetoelectricity

For thermoelectricity and magnetoelectricity the equations take the form [22]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{j}'_N \\ \mathbf{j}'_U \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla(\mu/T) \\ \nabla(1/T) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{s}_N \\ \mathbf{s}_U \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}'_N = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}'_U = 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}' \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{h}' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_d \\ \mathbf{s}_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}' = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{e} = \nabla \times \mathbf{h}' = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

For thermoelectricity, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}_N$ and $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}_U$ are the sources of electrons and energy, $\mathbf{j}_N = \mathbf{j}'_N + \mathbf{s}_N$ and $\mathbf{j}_U = \mathbf{j}'_U + \mathbf{s}_U$ are the current densities of the number of electrons and energy; μ and T are the electrochemical potential per particle and the temperature. For magnetoelectricity, the fields are those in the previous section, including for \mathbf{s}_d contributions coming from the free charge density and permanent polarization; and for \mathbf{s}_b contributions coming from the free current density and permanent magnetization - also note that $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{s}_j$ is the magnetic field, where $\nabla \times \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{j}_f$ is the free current.

The tensor \mathbf{L} in these, and other coupled equations of the conductivity type, takes the form

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{L}_{11} & \mathbf{L}_{12} \\ \mathbf{L}_{21} & \mathbf{L}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{k^2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{k^2} \mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{D}(\nabla) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where generally $\mathbf{L}_{21} = (\mathbf{L}_{12})^T$. Effects associated with the thermoelectric coupling are the Seebeck effect, where a temperature gradient causes a current, and Peltier effect, where an electric current causes a temperature gradient, [22]. Since 1976 thermoelectrics have been used to convert heat into power in spacecraft.

Generally, ordinary materials do not have a significant magnetoelectric coupling. An exception is Cr_2O_3 . We will come across other sources of magnetoelectric couplings shortly. Multiferroics have the interesting property that the magnetic source term (magnetization) is linked with the electric source term (electric polarization).

4 Quasistatic complex dielectric equations

Associated with (2.1) are quasistatic equations where the fields and $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ are complex. In quasistatics the physical fields are the real parts of $e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{j}$, $e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{e}$, $e^{-i\omega t} V$, and $e^{-i\omega t} \mathbf{s}$ respectively. The quasistatic electric and dielectric equations get mixed, as time varying displacement fields and free charge densities give current fields and free current fields:

$$\mathbf{j} = -i\omega \mathbf{d}, \quad \mathbf{s}_j = -i\omega \mathbf{s}_\rho, \quad \mathbf{j}' = -i\omega \mathbf{d}'. \quad (4.1)$$

We assume that the permanent electric polarization fields and magnetic polarization fields are absent, though one could easily include in the source field time varying electric polarization fields resulting from the pyroelectric effect with a temperature oscillating at frequency ω . Due to this mixing, the complex conductivity (admittivity) tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is related to the complex permittivity tensor $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ via $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -i\omega \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, where ω is the frequency.

To understand the origin of the quasistatic equations in electromagnetism note that the time harmonic electromagnetic equations imply

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{e} = i\omega \mathbf{b}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{j}_f = -i\omega \mathbf{d}, \quad (4.2)$$

where \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{h} are the magnetic field and magnetizing field. If the gradients in \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{h} are very large, or if ω is such that the structure or inhomogeneities in material properties are much smaller than the wavelengths or attenuation lengths, then one can neglect the right hand sides of the above equations that act like source terms: this is the quasistatic approximation. Thus in the quasistatic approximation there is a decoupling into the quasistatics dielectric equations and the quasistatic magnetic equations. The quasistatics equations are valid in the context of dielectrics, magnetic materials, flow in porous materials, and in materials where particle diffusion occurs, but not for thermal conduction. For flow in porous media, the imaginary part of the permeability \mathbf{k} is due to the dynamic viscosity arising from the viscous interaction of the fluid with the pore surface, related to what is called the tortuosity reflecting its increase when the fluid passes through a more tortuous pore geometry [42]). The form of the equation for dynamic thermal conduction is presented in Section 2.1 of Part III.

The equations (2.1) can be manipulated into the extended Cherkaev-Gibiansky form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e} \\ -i\mathbf{d}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} -i\mathbf{d}' \\ \mathbf{e} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{s}_0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}' = 0, \quad \mathbf{e} = -\nabla V, \quad (4.3)$$

where \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{d}' are complex ($\mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{s}_\rho$, where \mathbf{d} is the displacement field, should not be confused with the real part of \mathbf{d}), but \mathbf{L} is Hermitian. This is a modification of the form originally proposed by Cherkaev and Gibiansky [24] with source terms added [77], and with no splittings of \mathbf{e} , \mathbf{d}' and \mathbf{s} into their real and imaginary parts (which is not necessary, as we will see in Section 7 of part V). They are now coupled equations with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} &= \begin{pmatrix} [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}']^{-1} & i[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}']^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \\ -i\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}']^{-1} & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'' + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}']^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{s}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}']^{-1} \mathbf{s} \\ (\mathbf{I} + i\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}']^{-1}) \mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{k^2} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{k^2} \mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{D}(i\mathbf{k})^\dagger, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{D}(\nabla) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \times & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where the additional source term is that introduced in [77] and where ε' and ε'' are the real and imaginary parts of the complex electrical permittivity tensor $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon'(\mathbf{x}) + i\varepsilon''(\mathbf{x})$. The advantage of this reformulation is that \mathbf{L} is Hermitian and is positive definite if and only if $\varepsilon''(\mathbf{x})$ is positive definite and this allows one to use minimization variation principles to obtain bounds on the complex effective permittivity tensor of a periodic composite [63]. The imaginary parts of the permittivity tensor, or equivalently the real part of the conductivity tensor accounts for energy loss due to resistive heating and thus $\varepsilon''(\mathbf{x})$ is positive semidefinite, and typically positive definite if $\varepsilon'(\mathbf{x})$ is not positive definite. By multiplying (2.1), and hence \mathbf{L} by $e^{i\vartheta}$ where $\vartheta > 0$ is small, we can convert to an equivalent problem where $\varepsilon''(\mathbf{x})$ is positive definite.

We remark that with respect to bounding the effective tensors of composites (or the associated problem of bounding the Dirichlet to Neumann map governing the response of inhomogeneous bodies – see chapters 3, 4, and 5 in [78]), that beside variational principles, a parallel approach for multicomponent media has been to obtain bounds using the analytic properties developed in [12, 32, 33] of the effective moduli as a function of the component moduli. The analytic properties when $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is piecewise constant taking N values $\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2, \dots, \mathbf{L}_N$ corresponding to an N -phase medium extend to all the equations encountered in this paper: see Chapter 18 of [64], and Chapters 3 and 4 in [78]. There is no need to rederive them for individual equations. These analytic properties are generally Herglotz type properties, with (i) the effective tensor (or Dirichlet to Neumann map) being a homogeneous analytic function of degree 1 of the component moduli, or component tensors, (ii) being analytic when appropriate component moduli have positive imaginary parts, or component tensors have positive definite imaginary parts, and then (iii) with the imaginary part of the effective tensor (or the imaginary part of the Dirichlet to Neumann operator) being positive definite. This reflects the causal nature of the response, and typically the fact that the composite absorbs energy when the component moduli absorb energy. In fact, the original bounds on the complex effective electrical permittivity of a composite of two isotropic phases (with sufficient symmetry that the effective conductivity tensor is isotropic) were first derived using the analytic properties [13, 61]. More recently they have been improved [46] using variational methods based on the formulation (4.4). Generally, when there are more than two component moduli, the variational method usually yields tighter bounds, applies to media with continuously varying moduli (not just two phase composites) and moreover is easier to implement. Some exceptions are that it remains to be seen if variational methods can recover bounds correlating the values the effective electrical permittivity takes at more than two frequencies [25, 62] or recover bounds on the response of lossy media at a specific time [55, 57].

As briefly mentioned in Section 14, the customarily accepted notion that conduction at low enough frequencies is governed by the equations

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (4.5)$$

is not always correct. In the frequency domain this corresponds to a complex conductivity $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0(\mathbf{x}) - i\omega\varepsilon_0(\mathbf{x})$ or a complex electrical permittivity $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{x}) + i\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0(\mathbf{x})/\omega$. Now consider, for example, a checkerboard array of conducting square cylinders with complex electrical permittivity $\varepsilon_1(\omega) = \varepsilon_1 + i\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1/\omega$, alternating with a second nonconducting material with a real electrical permittivity ε_2 . In the transverse plane the electrical permittivity is $\varepsilon^*(\omega) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1(\omega)\varepsilon_2(\omega)}$ (see [27]) having a real part that diverges as the $\omega \rightarrow 0$ and correspondingly the current $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a nonlocal convolution in time of $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, t)$. A similar divergence of the real part of the complex permittivity constant as $\omega \rightarrow 0$ occurs in porous rocks containing conducting salt water: see [101] and references therein. For most purposes, one can get rid of such nonlocalities by approximating the response in the frequency domain by a (perhaps matrix or operator valued) rational function. Then the response can be modeled using a multifield approach [54] by introducing hidden variables such as, for example, collections of oscillators. This works even for the Maxwell equations [89].

With $\omega = ip$, p being real, $e^{-i\omega t} = e^{pt}$ is also real and hence so too are all the fields, implying that $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ (and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$) is real in this case. It follows from this and from the analyticity, implied by causality, of $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ as a function of ω in the domain where ω has positive imaginary part, that

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \overline{\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, -\bar{\omega})}, \quad (4.6)$$

where the overline denotes complex conjugation.

In plasmonic materials, such as metals such as silver or gold, at infrared wavelengths the real part of $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ can be negative with a small imaginary part. The sea of electrons in these metals oscillate with respect to the applied electric field and when the oscillation is near 180° out of phase one gets an $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ with these properties. It can be the case, such as in laminated composites where these plasmonic materials are layered with normal dielectric materials, that the real part of the effective tensor $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_*$, being the homogenized $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ can have both negative and positive eigenvalues

with a small imaginary part so that in the effective medium the conductivity equation (2.1) becomes essentially a wave equation. Such media have the power of subwavelength resolution [41, 90]. Also, surprisingly, they can convert an incoming plane wave into beams (both going in the same direction as the incident wave or in an opposite direction) originating from the boundary of a cylindrical hole in the material [74].

In nonpassive materials the real part of $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$, or equivalently the imaginary part of $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$, can be negative. An example is a tunnel diode where the current through it is not a monotonic function of the voltage across it (see, for example, Section 7.5 in [84]). As a consequence the tangent moduli become negative and the diode becomes unstable, producing an oscillatory response. It can become a “battery” producing energy at the oscillation frequency. At optical frequencies, organic dyes and other gain media used in lasers can have a negative imaginary part of $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$, again acting as an energy source now driven by the pumping of the material into a higher energy state.

5 Magnetotransport, the Faraday effect, and convective diffusion in an incompressible stationary convective flow

In the presence of a magnetic field the electrical conductivity equations (2.1) still hold true but the conductivity tensor depends on the magnetic field and is not symmetric due to a breaking of time reversal symmetry. The nonsymmetric part is tied to the Hall effect where, for example, in an isotropic conductor a magnetic field induces an additional electric field perpendicular to both the magnetic field and the electrical current. Hall effect sensors provide a useful tool for measuring magnetic field (which is how the compass in your cell phone works).

Similarly, the effective permittivity tensor is not symmetric in the presence of a magnetic field, causing a phenomena known as the Faraday effect where there is a nonreciprocal rotation of the plane of polarization of electromagnetic waves (to be distinguished from the reciprocal rotation associated with optical activity). Additionally the magnetic permeability tensor is not symmetric at microwave frequencies in ferrites with an external biasing magnetic field, and also leads to a much stronger Faraday effect [40]. An important application is in the construction of gyrators, circuit elements that ensure one way propagation of signals: see, for example, [40, 88].

Likewise, the convective diffusion equations governing temperature or particle concentration diffusion in an incompressible stationary convective flow can also be manipulated into the form (2.1) with a “conductivity tensor” σ having an antisymmetric part σ_a determined by the fluid flow field velocity \mathbf{v} [29]: one chooses a $\sigma_a(\mathbf{x})$ such that $\nabla \cdot \sigma_a = \mathbf{v}$, which is possible if the fluid is incompressible, i.e., $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. Then the conductivity equation implies the stationary heat equation with heat source $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$:

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = \nabla \cdot (\sigma_s + \sigma_a) - \mathbf{s} = \nabla \cdot \sigma_s \nabla T - \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T + \text{Tr}(\sigma_a \nabla \nabla T), \quad (5.1)$$

where the last term vanishes because σ_a is antisymmetric, while $\nabla \nabla T$ is symmetric.

Manipulations based on the Cherkasov-Gibiansky transformation [24] result in an equivalent formulation [63] (see also [29, 82]) taking the form (4.4). Specifically, \mathbf{d}' is replaced by \mathbf{j}' , or the heat flux minus \mathbf{s} , or the particle current minus \mathbf{s} , and \mathbf{e} remains the same for magnetotransport but is replaced by the temperature or particle concentration gradients for convective diffusion, and \mathbf{L} given by

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_s^{-1} & -\sigma_s^{-1} \sigma_a \\ \sigma_a \sigma_s^{-1} & \sigma_s - \sigma_a \sigma_s^{-1} \sigma_a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{k^2} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

where σ_s and σ_a are the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of $\sigma = \sigma_s + \sigma_a$. For convective diffusion σ_s can be identified with the thermal conductivity tensor $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x})$ or diffusivity tensor $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$. The tensor \mathbf{L} is real and symmetric and is positive definite if and only if $\sigma_s(\mathbf{x})$ is positive definite. This form of the equations leads to tight bounds on magnetotransport in composites [21], thus proving its worth. Similarly, the variational principle met with success when applied to convective diffusion in periodic stationary incompressible flows [29]. Of course, more generally, one can do such manipulations when $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is not Hermitian, and then the resulting tensor entering the constitutive law will be Hermitian, and additionally will be positive definite if and only if the Hermitian part of \mathbf{L} is positive definite.

6 Linear elasticity, with or without prestress, for both statics and quasistatics

We consider linear elasticity in the presence of body forces \mathbf{f} that we write as $\mathbf{f} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}_f$. These body forces may include gravity or forces generated by electric charges (when there is an electric field) or electric dipoles (when there is a gradient in the electric field) embedded in the material (such materials are called electrets [49]) or due to magnetic fields if the material is magnetic. Then the elasticity equations take the form [4, 30]:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}' = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})\nabla\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{s}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T]/2, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}' + \mathbf{s}_f$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, \mathbf{u} , are the stress, strain, and displacement field, while $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{p}_e + \mathbf{s}_f$ where \mathbf{p}_e is the “free elastic polarization” due to prestress (that may perhaps arise during manufacturing), swelling due to humidity, or thermal expansion. Dislocations can also be handled by adding appropriate source terms [11, 15].

The bending of thin plates is also described by (6.1), as will be discussed further in Section 4.1 of Part IV. Prestresses are not time harmonic, but \mathbf{s} may be time harmonic, with the quasistatic equations applying, if there is a time harmonic humidity or expansion due to temperature fluctuations, or time harmonic electric fields acting on an electret.

In all these circumstances we have

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) = \underline{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (6.2)$$

where $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x})$ is the fourth order elasticity tensor (which for quasistatics has an imaginary part reflecting the viscoelastic contribution) and $\underline{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the projection operator that projects onto matrices of the form $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{a}$. Its action on a second order tensor \mathbf{C} is given by

$$\underline{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{C} = [(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k} \otimes (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{k})] / k^2 - [(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{C}\mathbf{k})\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}] / k^4, \quad (6.3)$$

and it has elements

$$\{\underline{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{k})\}_{ij\ell m} = \frac{1}{2} (k_i\delta_{j\ell}k_m + k_i\delta_{jm}k_\ell + k_j\delta_{i\ell}k_m + k_j\delta_{im}k_\ell) / k^2 - k_i k_j k_\ell k_m / k^4. \quad (6.4)$$

If one is interested in the response to temperature fluctuations accounting for the entropy, one should consider the full equations of linear thermoelasticity, which we introduce now.

7 Static linear thermoelasticity and static poroelasticity

We now have the equations [81]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ \zeta \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_e \\ \mathbf{s}_f \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T]/2, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}' = 0, \quad (7.1)$$

where θ , the temperature increment, is independent of \mathbf{x} . For thermoelasticity the strain source term \mathbf{s}_e can include all the source terms mentioned in the previous section (that were associated with stress but equivalently can be associated with strain), except the thermal expansion contributions that we are accounting for separately here, and \mathbf{s}_f can be a source of heat content, while θ and ζ are increase in temperature and entropy per unit volume over that when $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0$ and $\theta = 0$. The poroelastic equations are the homogenized equations for a porous elastic material containing fluid, in particular porous rocks containing oil or salt water. The strain source term \mathbf{s}_e can include the same sources mentioned in the previous section now contributing to the strain in the solid phase, while \mathbf{s}_f can be a source of fluid, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is the strain in the solid phase, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the confining stress, and ζ and θ are increment of the fluid content measuring the net flow of fluid in or out of a region and the negative of the pore fluid pressure. Here θ is constant while ζ is not subject to any differential constraints.

Associated with (7.1) we have that

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}) & \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) & c(\mathbf{x})/T_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} - \underline{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{k} \neq 0, \quad (7.2)$$

where for thermoelasticity $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})$ is the fourth order compliance tensor (the inverse of the elasticity tensor $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x})$), $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ is the symmetric second order tensor of thermal expansion, $c(\mathbf{x})$ is the specific heat per unit volume at constant

stress (this specific heat measures the amount of additional heat energy or, more precisely, entropy that is stored in the material when the temperature is increased at constant stress). If we are not interested in keeping account of the heat energy then (7.2) reduces to the elasticity equations (6.2) with an additional source term $\mathbf{s} = -\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\theta$, where θ is the temperature increase. For poroelasticity $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x})$ represents the compliance tensor of the drained frame, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ represents the tensor of fluid pressure induced expansion at constant confining stress, and the constant $c(\mathbf{x})$ relates the increment of fluid content to the fluid pressure, again at constant confining stress.

8 Couplings between static elastic, electric, and magnetic fields

In many media, unless symmetry considerations prevent it, there are couplings between static elastic, electric, and magnetic fields that are more pronounced in some materials than others. The equations take the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ \mathbf{d}' \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ -\nabla V \\ \mathbf{h}' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s}_e \\ \mathbf{s}_d \\ \mathbf{s}_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}' = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}' = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u})^T]/2, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{h}' = 0, \quad (8.1)$$

in which \mathbf{s}_e , \mathbf{s}_d , and \mathbf{s}_b represent the elastic, electric, and magnetic sources, as detailed previously, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$ is related to the stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ as in Section 6, $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}' + \mathbf{s}_p$ is the electric displacement field, while $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}' - \mathbf{s}_j$ is the magnetic field, where \mathbf{s}_j is such that $\nabla \times \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{j}_f$, in which \mathbf{j}_f is the free current. We have

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}) & \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) & \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathcal{D}^T(\mathbf{x}) & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) & \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathcal{Q}^T(\mathbf{x}) & \boldsymbol{\beta}^T(\mathbf{x}) & \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.2)$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x})$ is the compliance tensor when electric and magnetic fields are zero, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})$ is the permittivity tensor when there is no strain and no magnetic field, $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$ is the magnetic permeability when there is no strain and no electric field, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})$ is a piezoelectric coupling term, $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ is a piezomagnetic coupling term, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{x})$ is a magnetoelectric coupling term. Canonical examples of materials with piezoelectric and piezomagnetic couplings are BaTiO₃ and CoFe₂O₄ respectively. These can be used as actuators, for ultrasonic sound generation, and for hydrophone applications [6]. Some of these coupling terms could be zero. Interestingly, one can have a composite where $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ everywhere, yet the effective tensor has a nonzero magnetoelectric coupling term (see, for example, [5])—this being an example of product properties [1]. Physically an electric field in the piezoelectric phase creates a stress that stresses the piezomagnetic phase, producing a magnetic field. Magnetoelectric couplings are also achieved in multiferroics [96], through couplings of the electric Maxwell stress and magnetic Maxwell stress [52] (discussed later in Section 13) and by depositing charges on the interface between two layers of materials that differ in their magnetic properties [102].

9 Quasistatic viscoelasticity equations

These are given by (6.1) with the moduli, fields and source term complex. The actual fields and source terms are then the real parts of $e^{-i\omega t}$ times the complex fields and source term. The imaginary parts of the moduli account for viscous losses [30].

Besides the elasticity setting the quasistatic viscoelasticity equations are valid for small oscillations in a possibly compressible fluid containing possibly compressible particles, assuming the small oscillations are superimposed on a base state where the fluid is at rest. The shear modulus is then $\mu = -i\omega\eta$ where η is the shear viscosity of the fluid (the real part of μ is zero because the fluid, assuming it is Newtonian, cannot support static shearing). The complex bulk modulus κ can have both a real and imaginary part, with the imaginary part corresponding to a bulk viscosity. The homogenized equations take the same form as for the homogenized elasticity with an effective shear viscosity η_* and an effective complex bulk modulus κ_* . Back in 1905 Einstein had calculated the effective shear viscosity of a suspension of rigid spheres in a fluid [28].

The complex equations may be expressed in the modified Cherkaev-Gibiansky form [24], as gave (4.4), to obtain from (6.1) the equivalent formulation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ -i\boldsymbol{\sigma}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} -i\boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{s}_0, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}' = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T]/2, \quad (9.1)$$

as first presented in [24], then extended to include source terms [77], and finally with splittings of the fields into their real and imaginary parts avoided as outlined in Section 7 of part V. These developments entirely parallel those for the complex conductivity equation, and similar to (4.4), (9.1) holds with \mathbf{u} and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$ being complex and

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} [\mathbf{c}'']^{-1} & i[\mathbf{c}'']^{-1}\mathbf{c}' \\ -i\mathbf{c}'[\mathbf{c}'']^{-1} & \mathbf{c}'' + \mathbf{c}'[\mathbf{c}'']^{-1}\mathbf{c}' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{s}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -[\mathbf{c}'']^{-1}\mathbf{s} \\ (\mathbf{I} + i\mathbf{c}'[\mathbf{c}'']^{-1})\mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.2)$$

This reformulation allows one to use minimization variational principles [24] to obtain bounds on the complex bulk and shear moduli of viscoelastic composites [31, 73]. These variational principles are appropriate when one is interested in bounds on the response to oscillations at a constant frequency ω , when the microstructure is much smaller than the wavelength. Allowing for more general time dependencies, Carini and Mattei [23, 56] split the time interval that the source has been switched on into half and then apply Gibiansky-Cherkaev type transformations, like those leading to (5.2). Thus they obtain variational principles and bounds for the viscoelastic response in the time domain that interlink the responses in the first and second time periods. They also mention a connection with the work of Tonti [104], but this misses the main point of transformations similar to (4.4) or (5.2): that one transforms to another problem of the type (1.1), and that, for composites, the effective tensor (or operator) of this problem is the transform of the effective tensor (or operator) of the original problem. Otherwise, one may just as well minimize the integral of the square of the equation, with possible weightings, and this is essentially what Tonti does.

10 Steady viscous flow of an incompressible liquid around an object

The Oseen equations describe the steady flow of fluid around an object moving with steady velocity \mathbf{V} . The fluid is assumed to be viscous, incompressible with \mathbf{V} small enough that the Reynolds number is negligible. Far enough from the object the fluid can be considered to be at rest. In the moving frame of reference where the object is stationary the equations [8] are

$$-\rho(\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w} = -\nabla P + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{f}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0, \quad (10.1)$$

in which the total flow velocity in this moving frame of reference is $\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ is a body force moving at the same speed as the object, and ρ and η are the fluid density and shear viscosity. One has the boundary conditions that $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{U}$ on the surface of the object and $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. The equations become

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_s - P\mathbf{I} \\ \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_s - P\mathbf{I}) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10.2)$$

with

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_s + \infty \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_h & 0 \\ \rho \mathbf{V} \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k}), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} i\mathbf{k} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -i\mathbf{k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{1+k^2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} & i\mathbf{k} \\ -i\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10.3)$$

in which $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k})$ only acts on the first index of the matrix in *(matrix, vector)* fields, $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_s$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_h$ are projections onto trace free symmetric matrices, including $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_s$, and matrices proportional to \mathbf{I} , respectively, ∞ should be considered to be a large parameter that we let approach infinity. In this limit $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}$ is forced to zero, corresponding to the incompressibility of the flow, while $P(\mathbf{x})$ is unconstrained, except through the ∇P term in (10.2). Heat is generated from the viscous flow and the corresponding temperature increase will modify η as it is temperature dependent. Thus one can expect that η should depend on \mathbf{x} . One could use an iterative procedure to solve the equations, where one begins with a constant η and then at each iteration updates $\eta(\mathbf{x})$ according to the temperature distribution derived from estimates of the heat generated obtained from the solution for $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})$ at each iteration. Of course to obtain this temperature distribution one will also need to take into account the flow of heat and the heat capacity of the liquid.

There are no analogous linear equations for compressible flows around an object since the conservation of mass requirement that $\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$ is a nonlinear constraint as ρ is related to the pressure through the equation of state.

11 Steady viscous electron flow in graphene

The linearized equations for two-dimensional viscous electron flow, such as in a sheet of graphene [7, 86], are

$$\sigma_0 \nabla \phi + D_\ell \nabla^2 \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0, \quad (11.1)$$

where $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x})$ is the electrical current, σ_0 the diffusive conductivity, and D_ℓ a diffusion type coefficient representing the length over which the flow's momentum diffuses, and ϕ the electric potential. When $D_\ell = 0$ this reduces to the standard conductivity equation (Ohm's law). These can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} (D_\ell/\sigma_0)\mathbf{\Lambda}_r + \infty\mathbf{\Lambda}_f & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sigma_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11.2)$$

where $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ is a matrix valued flux, that takes the form $\mathbf{Q} = \phi\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Q}_f$ where $\mathbf{Q}_f(\mathbf{x})$ is trace free, though not necessarily symmetric and $\mathbf{\Lambda}_h$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}_f$ are the projections onto matrices proportional to \mathbf{I} and trace free matrices, respectively. Thus we have that $\mathbf{Q}_f = \mathbf{\Lambda}_f \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}_h + \mathbf{\Lambda}_f = \mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is the fourth order identity tensor. Also ∞ represents a parameter that we should let approach infinity: this forces $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$ and $\mathbf{Q}_h = \mathbf{\Lambda}_h \mathbf{Q} = \phi$ represents a sort of pressure. Accordingly, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1 = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k})$ where $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k})$ is given by (10.3).

12 Perturbations of magnetohydrostatic equations

The magnetohydrostatic equations describe stationary states of a current carrying, nonmagnetic conducting fluid such as a plasma. As such, they have been important in describing fluorescent lights, astrophysical jets, the solar corona, the solar wind, and to the development of nuclear fusion in devices like tokamaks. Assuming the fluid is perfectly conducting, highly compressible, and light enough that one can neglect gravity, the equations take the simple form [16],

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{b} = \mu_0 \mathbf{j}, \quad \nabla P = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{b}. \quad (12.1)$$

These are nonlinear due to the term $\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{b}$ so we look for equations satisfied by the first order perturbations. We replace \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{j} , and P with $\mathbf{b} + \epsilon \mathbf{b}'$, $\mathbf{j} + \epsilon \mathbf{j}'$, and $P + \epsilon P'$. Substituting these back in (12.1) and collecting all terms of order ϵ gives the equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \quad \nabla P = \mathbf{j}' \times \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{b}' = -\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{b}' / \mu_0 + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{b}', \quad (12.2)$$

where μ_0 is the magnetic permeability of the vacuum. These imply

$$\begin{pmatrix} P' \\ \nabla P' \\ \mathbf{g}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}' \\ \mathbf{b}' \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{b}' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{g}' = 0, \quad (12.3)$$

where \mathbf{g}' is an auxillary field that the constitutive law with

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \infty & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta(\mathbf{j}) & -\eta(\mathbf{b})/\mu_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12.4)$$

forces $\mathbf{g}' = 0$: it is introduced to make the form of $\mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ clear. Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) &= \begin{pmatrix} i\mathbf{k}^T \\ \mathbf{I} \\ i\eta(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} [\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{I} - \eta(\mathbf{k})\eta(\mathbf{k})]^{-1} (-i\mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{I} \quad i\eta(\mathbf{k})) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2 + 1} \begin{pmatrix} i\mathbf{k}^T \\ \mathbf{I} \\ i\eta(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} (-i\mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{I} \quad i\eta(\mathbf{k})), \end{aligned} \quad (12.5)$$

where the action of the second order tensor $\eta(\mathbf{k})$ on vector \mathbf{a} gives $\eta(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{a}$, and we have used the identity $\eta(\mathbf{k})\eta(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k} - k^2\mathbf{I}$. As in the previous section, the ∞ in $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ should be considered to be a large parameter that we let approach infinity. In this limit $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}'$ is forced to zero, while $P'(\mathbf{x})$ is unconstrained, except through the ∇P term in (12.3).

13 Perturbations with Maxwell Stesses Present

The contribution to the stress from static electric fields, in the absence of magnetic fields, is the Maxwell stress

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})[\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x})] - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x})[\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x})]. \quad (13.1)$$

Let us replace \mathbf{e} by $\mathbf{e} + \epsilon\mathbf{e}'$ and correspondingly replace, to first order in ϵ , the electric displacement field \mathbf{d} with $\mathbf{d} + \epsilon\mathbf{d}'$, the permanent polarization \mathbf{p} by $\mathbf{p} + \epsilon\mathbf{p}'$, the elastic displacement field \mathbf{u} with $\mathbf{u} + \epsilon\mathbf{u}'$, the total stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ with $\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \epsilon\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$, and the body force density \mathbf{f} with $\mathbf{f} + \epsilon\mathbf{f}'$. Then, in the absence of any magnetic fields, these perturbed fields are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \mathbf{d}' \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \mathbf{u}' \\ \mathbf{u}' \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}' \\ \mathbf{e}' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{f}' \\ -\mathbf{p}' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13.2)$$

Note that ρ_c , the density of charges embedded in the material, does not appear in the source term for \mathbf{d}' because we are only considering the perturbed fields. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C} & 0 & \mathbf{Y}_e(\mathbf{e}) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{e} \otimes [\nabla \rho_c - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p})] & 0 & (\rho_c - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{p})\mathbf{I} \\ 0 & (\nabla \mathbf{p})^T + [(\nabla \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\mathbf{e}]^T & 0 & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & (\nabla \rho_c)^T & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (13.3)$$

in which the action of the second order tensor $\mathbf{Y}_e(\mathbf{e})$ on \mathbf{e}' is given by

$$\mathbf{Y}_e(\mathbf{e})\mathbf{e}' = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}[\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}' + \mathbf{e}' \otimes \mathbf{e} - (\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}')\mathbf{I}], \quad (13.4)$$

in which $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k})$ is given by (10.3) and the first $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k})$ in the $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ here only acts on the first index of the matrix in (*matrix, vector*) fields while the second $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k})$ in $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ acts on (*vector, scalar*) fields. The terms $\nabla \rho_c$, $\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p})$ in (13.3) are due to the movement of the embedded electrical charges and embedded dipoles associated with the permanent polarization field, while the term $[(\nabla \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\mathbf{e}]^T$ is due to movement of the medium.

Similar results hold in when one has static magnetic fields in the absence of electric and current fields. The contribution to the stress from the magnetic induction \mathbf{b} is the Maxwell stress:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m(\mathbf{x}) = [\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \otimes \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2}[\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{x})]^{-1}[\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x})]. \quad (13.5)$$

We replace \mathbf{b} by $\mathbf{b} + \epsilon\mathbf{b}'$ and correspondingly replace, to first order in ϵ , the magnetic field \mathbf{h} with $\mathbf{h} + \epsilon\mathbf{h}'$, the permanent magnetization \mathbf{m} with $\mathbf{m} + \epsilon\mathbf{m}'$, elastic displacement field \mathbf{u} with $\mathbf{u} + \epsilon\mathbf{u}'$, the total stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ with $\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \epsilon\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$, and the body force density \mathbf{f} with $\mathbf{f} + \epsilon\mathbf{f}'$. Then, in the absence of any electric fields and currents, the perturbed fields are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \mathbf{h}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \mathbf{u}' \\ \mathbf{u}' \\ \mathbf{b}' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{f}' \\ \mathbf{m}' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13.6)$$

We have

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C} & 0 & \mathbf{Y}_m(\mathbf{b}) \\ 0 & \mathbf{b} \otimes [\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m})] & (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m})\mathbf{I} \\ 0 & (\nabla \mathbf{m})^T + [(\nabla \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1})\mathbf{b}]^T & \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}/k^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13.7)$$

in which the action of the second order tensor $\mathbf{Y}_m(\mathbf{b})$ on \mathbf{b}' is given by

$$\mathbf{Y}_m(\mathbf{b})\mathbf{b}' = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}[\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b}' + \mathbf{b}' \otimes \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{b}' \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{I}]. \quad (13.8)$$

Of course in the case where both electric fields and magnetic field but no current fields are present, the perturbed equations take the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \\ \mathbf{d}' \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}' \\ \mathbf{h}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{L} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \mathbf{u}' \\ \mathbf{u}' \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}' \\ \mathbf{e}' \\ \mathbf{b}' \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{f}' \\ -\mathbf{p}' \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{m}' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}/k^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13.9)$$

In a composite a magnetic field can induce Maxwell stress that then induces an electric field. This is again a product property, that may account for the magnetic compass in birds [52].

14 Generating equations of the desired form from other linear and nonlinear equations

Equations of the form (1.1) give rise to similar equations if $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and \mathbf{s} are slightly perturbed. Replacing \mathbf{J} , \mathbf{E} , \mathbf{L} , and \mathbf{s} with $\mathbf{J} + \epsilon\mathbf{J}'$, $\mathbf{E} + \epsilon\mathbf{E}'$, $\mathbf{L} + \epsilon\mathbf{L}'$, and $\mathbf{s} + \epsilon\mathbf{s}'$ gives, to first order in ϵ ,

$$\mathbf{J}' = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{E}' + \mathbf{L}'\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{s}', \quad (14.1)$$

which is essentially the same equation but with a new source term $\mathbf{s}' - \mathbf{L}'\mathbf{E}$. Thus the new source term is generally nonzero even if $\mathbf{s} = 0$.

Small changes in the permittivity constant of materials can be due to photoelasticity. Maxwell [58] found that the photoelastic effect, discovered by Brewster [18], was linear in the strain. The photoelastic effect can easily be seen if one looks at layers of stressed transparent sticky tape on a glass surface or stressed transparent plastic utensils between two crossed polarizing sunglasses. It is used to detect cracks through the associated stress causing a photoelastic effect. The change $(\epsilon^{-1})'$ to the inverse permittivity tensor ϵ^{-1} takes the form

$$(\epsilon^{-1})' = \tilde{\mathbf{S}}\epsilon + \mathcal{R}[\nabla\mathbf{u} - (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T]/2, \quad (14.2)$$

where $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}[\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T]$ is the strain, the fourth order tensor $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is the photoelastic tensor, while the fourth order tensor \mathcal{R} is the rotoelastic tensor [79]. Besides the photoelastic effect there is the acousto-optic effect, which also has many applications: see the references in [95] where they show that the acousto-optic properties of layered structures can be enhanced beyond those of the constituent materials.

Small nonsymmetric contributions to the conductivity $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$, permittivity $\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ and permeability $\mu(\mathbf{x})$ can come from weak magnetic fields due to the Hall effect, electric Faraday effect, and magnetic Faraday effect, as discussed in Section 5. Other changes to \mathbf{L} may come from variations in the temperature, humidity, pressure, frequency (in quasistatics), or other fields. An interesting example of where $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is perturbed by the temperature is for thermoelectricity and this leads to the Thomson effect where there is heating or cooling of a conductor carrying an electrical current and having a temperature gradient along it [22].

Furthermore, many physical equations become an equation of a different type or separate into subsets of uncoupled equations when the fields $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ are all independent of $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ for some vector \mathbf{n} , meaning that they are constant in one direction. Thus, for example, the conductivity or dielectric equation becomes a two dimensional equation of the pyroelectric type. To see this, we may take \mathbf{n} aligned with the x_3 axis. Then for ∇V to be independent of x_3 the potential $-V(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ must be of the form $e_3 x_3 - V'(x_1, x_2)$ where e_3 is constant and can be identified with the third component of the electric field \mathbf{e} . The dielectric equations become

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{11} & \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{21} & \epsilon_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{23} \end{pmatrix} e_3, \quad \frac{\partial d_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial x_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad (14.3)$$

which then have the form of two dimensional pyroelectric equations with e_3 playing the role of temperature (and d_3 not being subject to any differential constraint). Similarly, the elasticity equations become a sort of two dimensional piezoelectric equation coupled with a scalar field, and for certain choices of moduli this can decouple into an equation of the thermoelastic type and an equation of the dielectric (conductivity) type, or into an equation of two dimensional elasticity and a two dimensional pyroelectric type: see Sections 2.6 and 2.7 of [64]. Another example is the well known splitting [53] of the fixed frequency electromagnetic equations into transverse electric waves, transverse magnetic waves, and transverse electromagnetic waves, with the first two satisfying fixed frequency acoustic time harmonic type equations and the last satisfying a complex dielectric type equation.

For a large class of nonlinear equations one has that

$$\mathbf{J}_0 = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E}_0) - \mathbf{s}_0, \quad \Gamma_1 \mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{E}_0, \quad \Gamma_1 \mathbf{J}_0 = 0, \quad (14.4)$$

where $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E}_0)$ is some nonlinear function of \mathbf{E}_0 , often monotone in the sense that

$$(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E}_1) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E}_2), \mathbf{E}_1 - \mathbf{E}_2)_T > 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{E}_2 \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{E}_1 \neq \mathbf{E}_2, \quad (14.5)$$

which ensures existence and uniqueness of solutions.

Considering a small perturbation of these fields, replacing \mathbf{J}_0 , \mathbf{E}_0 , and \mathbf{s}_0 with $\mathbf{J}_0 + \mathbf{J}$, $\mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{E}$, and $\mathbf{s}_0 + \mathbf{s}$ at first order in these perturbations we arrive back at the equations (1.1) with $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ being the “tangent” tensor $\mathbf{L} = \partial \mathbf{F} / \partial \mathbf{E}|_{\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}_0}$. An important example is the nonlinear conductivity equation (also called the generalized Poisson equation) which arises in a number of very different physical systems [59]. We emphasize that in nonlinear conductivity the corresponding “tangent” tensor $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is not necessarily symmetric and this breaking of symmetries occurs for other equations too, including elasticity [83].

In many cases of interest the equations (14.4) arise as the Euler-Lagrange equations associated with the minimization (assuming a minimum exists) of

$$\min_{\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [W(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})) - 2\mathbf{s}_0(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})] d\mathbf{x}, \quad (14.6)$$

where $W(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}))$ is a nonlinear function (usually the free energy) that is often, but not necessarily, convex (instead quasiconvexity would suffice), while \mathcal{E} is the space of fields onto which Γ_1 projects (so that $\Gamma_1 \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}$). Then the minimizer $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0$ satisfies (14.4) with $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E}_0) = \partial W(\mathbf{E}) / \partial \mathbf{E}|_{\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}_0}$. Small perturbations of the fields satisfy (1.1) with $\mathbf{L} = \partial^2 W(\mathbf{E}) / \partial \mathbf{E}^2|_{\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{E}_0}$ being symmetric.

15 Periodic solutions in periodic media, and almost periodic solutions in periodic and nearly periodic media

If $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$, and $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ are all periodic, with unit cell Ω then, in the absence of sources and with $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ being constant, the field equations are satisfied with constant fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{J} . So \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{J} no longer live in orthogonal spaces. Rather one has to introduce the space \mathcal{U} of constant fields and the projection Γ_0 onto it. Thus, we can generally write \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{E} in the form

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_0 + \underline{\mathbf{J}}, \quad \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_0 + \underline{\mathbf{E}}, \quad \mathbf{J}_0 = \Gamma_0 \mathbf{J}, \quad \mathbf{E}_0 = \Gamma_0 \mathbf{E}, \quad (15.1)$$

where now $\underline{\mathbf{J}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ live in orthogonal spaces $\underline{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$, with the projections $\underline{\Gamma}_1$ and $\underline{\Gamma}_2$ onto these subspaces satisfying

$$\Gamma_0 + \underline{\Gamma}_1 + \underline{\Gamma}_2 = \mathbf{I}, \quad \Gamma_0 \underline{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_0 \underline{\Gamma}_2 = \underline{\Gamma}_1 \underline{\Gamma}_2 = 0, \quad (15.2)$$

where in Fourier space

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) &= 0, & \underline{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) &= \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}), & \underline{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{k}) &= \Gamma_2(\mathbf{k}), & \text{for } \mathbf{k} \neq 0, \\ \Gamma_0(\mathbf{k}) &= \mathbf{I}, & \underline{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) &= 0, & \underline{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{k}) &= 0, & \text{for } \mathbf{k} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15.3)$$

Here \mathbf{k} takes values on the discrete reciprocal lattice in Fourier space, discussed for example in Chapter 2 of [48].

Thus the equations now become

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{s}, \quad \underline{\Gamma}_1 \mathbf{J} = 0, \quad \underline{\Gamma}_2 \mathbf{E} = 0. \quad (15.4)$$

For a fixed source \mathbf{s} and a given \mathbf{E}_0 (that is called the applied field) one solves the equations for $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$, \mathbf{J}_0 , and $\underline{\mathbf{J}}$. This is now directly a problem in the theory of composites. Assuming a solution exists and is unique for every choice of \mathbf{E}_0 , one observes that \mathbf{J}_0 is linearly related to \mathbf{E}_0 and this linear relation

$$\mathbf{J}_0 = \mathbf{L}_* \mathbf{E}_0 + \mathbf{s}_* \quad (15.5)$$

defines \mathbf{L}_* which is called the effective tensor, and \mathbf{s}_* which may be called the effective source term. Here \mathbf{L}_* can be obtained by solving the equations for various values of \mathbf{E}_0 with $\mathbf{s} = 0$, while \mathbf{s}_* can be obtained by solving the equations with $\mathbf{E}_0 = 0$ (which does not imply $\mathbf{E} = 0$). Typically, \mathbf{L}_* depends nonlinearly on \mathbf{L} and in a way that usually depends on the microstructure, and \mathbf{s}_* also typically depends nonlinearly on \mathbf{L} but linearly on \mathbf{s} , again in a way that usually depends on the microstructure (i.e., on the precise form of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ rather than say just on their volume averages). As \mathbf{s}_* depends linearly on \mathbf{s} it suffices to solve for the fundamental solutions (periodic Green’s functions) to calculate \mathbf{s}_* : these are the solutions with $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ being an Ω -periodic arrays of delta functions. Since the solution depends on the placement of the array with respect to $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$, and cannot be obtained analytically,

it is usually better to avoid introducing these Green's functions if one is interested in \mathbf{s}_* for a particular $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$. (On the other hand, periodic Green's functions in homogeneous media are very helpful for obtaining \mathbf{L}_* for simple geometries such as arrays of spheres: see [17] and references therein). In N -phase composites $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ is often piecewise constant and then \mathbf{s}_* only depends on $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ through its values $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n$ in the N phases, and this relation is then governed by a response tensor \mathbf{S}_* dependent nonlinearly on $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\mathbf{s}_* = \mathbf{S}_* \mathbf{S}, \quad (15.6)$$

where $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n)$. In the context on thermoelasticity one can think of \mathbf{S}_* as relating the effective thermal expansion tensor to the thermal expansion tensors of the phases. Such response tensors were introduced by Dvorak and Benveniste [26] and the information contained in them along with \mathbf{L}_* is embodied in the associated \mathbf{Y} -tensor for multiphase materials: see Section 19.3 of [64].

Effective tensors and effective sources play a pivotal role in the theory of homogenization [10, 64, 103, 105]. If the size of the unit cell of periodicity is small compared to macroscopic variations in the fields, then often the macroscopic response is almost the same as for a homogeneous "effective medium" with constant tensor \mathbf{L}_* and a constant supplementary source \mathbf{s}_* that may be in addition to a macroscopic source field $\mathbf{s}_m(\mathbf{x})$. The macroscopic fields are

$$\mathbf{E}_m(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{V(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}', \quad \mathbf{J}_m(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{V(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}', \quad \mathbf{s}_m(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{V(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}', \quad (15.7)$$

where $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ is the unit cell of periodicity, with volume $V(\Omega)$, shifted (by an amount not generally corresponding to a lattice vector) so that its center at \mathbf{x} . Note that $\mathbf{s}_m(\mathbf{x})$ washes out the fluctuations in $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}')$ within each period cell and these fluctuations along with $\mathbf{s}_m(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$, are what determines \mathbf{s}_* . We define the fluctuating component $\mathbf{s}_f(\mathbf{x})$ of $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ as

$$\mathbf{s}_f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}_m(\mathbf{x}), \quad (15.8)$$

and we assume this is almost periodic.

The macroscopic fields approximately satisfy

$$\mathbf{E}_m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{L}_*(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{J}_m(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}_*(\mathbf{x}), \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{E}_m = \mathbf{E}_m, \quad \Gamma_1(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{J}_m = 0 \quad (15.9)$$

where we have allowed \mathbf{L}_* and \mathbf{s}_* to depend on \mathbf{x} , on the macroscopic scale, as $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{s}_f(\mathbf{x})$ may not be quite periodic. Not quite periodic functions $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ can be obtained from functions $\underline{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ that are periodic in \mathbf{y} (called the fast variable) but are smooth functions of \mathbf{x} (the slow variable). Then by setting

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}/\epsilon), \quad \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}/\epsilon) \quad (15.10)$$

where ϵ is a small parameter, one obtains almost periodic functions $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{s}_f(\mathbf{x})$ [10]. For small ϵ , the resulting fields $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$ are also in correspondence with two variable functions $\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}/\epsilon), \quad \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \underline{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}/\epsilon). \quad (15.11)$$

This is the basis of two scale homogenization [2, 80].

From the definitions (15.7) it follows that the macroscopic fields $\mathbf{E}_m(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{J}_m(\mathbf{x})$ satisfy exactly the same differential constraints as the local fields $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$. However, to determine \mathbf{L}_* and \mathbf{s}_* it may suffice to work with a set of uncoupled equations each having a $\tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(j)}(\mathbf{k})$, indexed by j , associated with different powers of \mathbf{k} in $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$. These $\tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(j)}(\mathbf{k})$ satisfy $\tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|)$. The reason for the uncoupling is that there is a separation of length scales when one is interested in taking the homogenization limit as the size of the unit cell tends to zero. Examples are the time harmonic acoustic, electromagnetic, and elastic wave equations (for which the $\Gamma_1(\mathbf{k})$ will be introduced in the next section). Then the $\tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(j)}(\mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|)$ are those associated with the corresponding quasistatic equations, and solving these in the periodic setting enables one to determine \mathbf{L}_* and \mathbf{s}_* .

Although rarely discussed, effective source terms are relevant whenever one has sources $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x})$ with the same periodicity as $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$. An example of where effective sources arise is in elastostatics with thermal expansion as in (6.1). Then $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{x}) = \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$, where θ is the constant temperature rise and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ is the thermal expansion tensor field, and

$\mathbf{s}_* = \theta \boldsymbol{\alpha}_*$ where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_*$ is the effective tensor of thermal expansion. For isotropic composites of two isotropic components having bulk moduli κ_1, κ_2 and thermal expansion tensors α_1 and α_2 there is Levin's formula [51]:

$$\alpha_* = \frac{\alpha_1(1/\kappa_* - 1/\kappa_2) - \alpha_2(1/\kappa_* - 1/\kappa_1)}{1/\kappa_1 - 1/\kappa_2}, \quad (15.12)$$

that links the effective thermal expansion tensor $\alpha_* \mathbf{I}$ to the effective bulk modulus κ_* . It can be explained by the observing that with the right combination of temperature change and pressure increase, both phases expand at exactly the same rate, and hence the composite must expand at this rate.

This formula is an example of an exact relation, an identity that is independent of the microstructure. More generally an exact relation is a manifold \mathcal{M} of supertensors such that $\mathbf{L}_* \in \mathcal{M}$ whenever $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{M}$ for all \mathbf{x} (assuming $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ some constraints that ensure \mathbf{L}_* exists) [34]. In the context of Levin's result, \mathbf{L} is the tensor entering (7.1). For a long while exact relations were obtained one at a time by dozens of researchers, some quite famous (see, for example, Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 6 in [64]). Grabovsky and Sage [34] launched the unifying theory of exact relations by identifying manifolds \mathcal{M}_L such that $\mathbf{L}_* \in \mathcal{M}_L$ whenever $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{M}_L$ for all \mathbf{x} , under the restriction that $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is in the class of hierarchical laminate geometries (obtained by laminating tensors in \mathcal{M}_L in different directions on well separated length scales). This then led to a general theory that guaranteed an exact relation would hold for all geometries [39] not just laminate ones. An example of a relation which holds for laminate geometries but not more general ones was discovered by Grabovsky [38]. The theory is reviewed, for example, in Chapter 17 of [64], the article [35], and more comprehensively in the book [36]. When it boils down to it, the theory of exact relations consists of identifying subspaces \mathcal{K} in the space of supertensors with the algebraic property that

$$\mathbf{K}_1 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{K}_2 \in \mathcal{K}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{k} \neq 0, \quad \text{and for all } \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (15.13)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k}) [\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 \mathbf{L}_0 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1, \quad (15.14)$$

in which the inverse is to be taken on the space on which $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1(\mathbf{k})$ projects, and $\mathbf{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. The relation between \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{M} is that $\mathbf{L} \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{L}_0) [\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}_0) (\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{L}_0)]^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (15.15)$$

This relation between \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{M} is independent of the choices of $\mathbf{L}_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathbf{k}_0 \neq 0$. This systematized the study of exact relations, and resulted in a flood of new ones derived by Yury Grabovsky and collaborators, dwarfing the total number previously obtained, many listed in [36]. So far studies have been confined to manifolds \mathcal{M} that have suitable rotational invariance properties so that (15.13) only needs to be checked for one value of \mathbf{k} .

Falling under the umbrella of exact relations are links where, for example, one establishes relations between the effective tensors $\mathbf{L}_*^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{L}_*^{(2)}$ of two different problems, that could even be physically unlike each other. In this setting it suffices to look for manifolds where \mathbf{L} has two blocks along its diagonal, corresponding to the two problems we hope to link, thus with \mathbf{L}_* having $\mathbf{L}_*^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{L}_*^{(2)}$ as blocks on its diagonal.

The theory of exact relations for composites has more recently led to universal (geometry independent) exact identities satisfied by the infinite body Green's function for inhomogeneous media when the tensor field $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ (no longer restricted to be periodic) takes values in \mathcal{M} , i.e. $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{M}$ for all \mathbf{x} . These lead to exact relations satisfied by the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map of bodies when $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{M}$ inside the body, and to a flood of new conservation laws called boundary field equalities [75], when one has suitable boundary conditions. Note that $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{M}$ can just be viewed as a constraint on the fields inside the body, and then this constraint is independent of \mathbf{x} .

16 Some general results that apply to the effective tensor

Lets show that if \mathbf{L} is replaced by its adjoint \mathbf{L}^\dagger , then the effective tensor \mathbf{L}_* is replaced by $(\mathbf{L}^\dagger)_* = (\mathbf{L}_*)^\dagger$. Here the adjoint is taken with respect to the inner product given by (1.4) where the integral is taken over the unit cell of periodicity. Consider, with $\mathbf{s} = 0$, one solution to (15.4) and a second solution to the adjoint equation:

$$\mathbf{J}^{(1)} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E}^{(1)}, \quad \mathbf{J}^{(2)} = \mathbf{L}^\dagger \mathbf{E}^{(2)}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{I}}^{(1)} \mathbf{J}^{(1)} = \underline{\mathbf{I}}^{(1)} \mathbf{J}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \underline{\mathbf{I}}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \underline{\mathbf{I}}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}^{(2)} = 0. \quad (16.1)$$

Using the orthogonality of the subspaces \mathcal{U} , \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{J} we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, (\mathbf{L}_*)^\dagger \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)})_{\mathcal{T}} &= (\mathbf{L}_* \mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)})_{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathbf{J}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)})_{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathbf{J}^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}^{(2)}) = (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{E}^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}^{(2)}) = (\mathbf{E}^{(1)}, \mathbf{L}^\dagger \mathbf{E}^{(2)}) \\ &= (\mathbf{E}^{(1)}, \mathbf{J}^{(2)}) = (\mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{J}_0^{(2)})_{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, (\mathbf{L}^\dagger)_* \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)})_{\mathcal{T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (16.2)$$

which implies $(\mathbf{L}^\dagger)_* = (\mathbf{L}_*)^\dagger$. In particular, if \mathbf{L} is self adjoint then so is \mathbf{L}_* .

A simple formula can be obtained for the change in the effective tensor \mathbf{L}_* when the tensor field $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x})$ is perturbed slightly. Suppose \mathbf{L} depends on some parameter η , $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(\eta)$, while $\mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{E}_0^{(2)}$ do not. Then following Section 16.1 in [64] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\left[\frac{d}{d\eta}(\mathbf{L}_*(\eta)) \right] \mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)} \right)_\mathcal{T} &= \frac{d}{d\eta}(\mathbf{L}_*(\eta) \mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)})_\mathcal{T} = \frac{d}{d\eta}(\mathbf{L}(\eta) \mathbf{E}^{(1)}(\eta), \mathbf{E}^{(2)}(\eta)) \\ &= \left(\frac{d\mathbf{L}(\eta)}{d\eta} \mathbf{E}^{(1)}(\eta), \mathbf{E}^{(2)}(\eta) \right) + \left(\frac{d\mathbf{E}^{(1)}(\eta)}{d\eta}, \mathbf{L}^\dagger(\eta) \mathbf{E}^{(2)}(\eta) \right) + \left(\mathbf{L}(\eta) \mathbf{E}_1(\eta), \frac{d\mathbf{E}^{(2)}(\eta)}{d\eta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16.3)$$

Since $d\mathbf{E}^{(1)}(\eta)/d\eta$ and $d\mathbf{E}^{(2)}(\eta)/d\eta$ both lie in the space $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$, while $\mathbf{J}^{(2)} = \mathbf{L}^\dagger(\eta) \mathbf{E}^{(2)}(\eta)$ and $\mathbf{J}^{(1)} = \mathbf{L}(\eta) \mathbf{E}^{(1)}(\eta)$ lie in $\mathcal{U} \oplus \underline{\mathcal{J}}$, it follows that the last two terms in (16.3) are zero and we have

$$\left(\left[\frac{d}{d\eta}(\mathbf{L}_*(\eta)) \right] \mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)} \right)_\mathcal{T} = \left(\frac{d\mathbf{L}(\eta)}{d\eta} \mathbf{E}^{(1)}(\eta), \mathbf{E}^{(2)}(\eta) \right). \quad (16.4)$$

In other words, if \mathbf{L} is perturbed to $\mathbf{L} + \epsilon \mathbf{L}$ then to first order in ϵ , \mathbf{L}_* will get perturbed to $\mathbf{L}_* + \epsilon \mathbf{L}'_*$ where

$$(\mathbf{L}'_* \mathbf{E}_0^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}_0^{(2)})_\mathcal{T} = (\mathbf{L}' \mathbf{E}^{(1)}, \mathbf{E}^{(2)}). \quad (16.5)$$

In other words, one can calculate \mathbf{L}'_* just from the fields that solve the unperturbed problem. In particular, this perturbation could be due to a small magnetic field, giving a formula for the Hall coefficient in terms of the fields solving the conductivity equations with no magnetic field present [14, 19]. This was used to show that in certain geometries of interlinked rings, suggested by chain mail artist Dylan Whyte, the sign of the Hall coefficient could be reversed from the sign of the Hall coefficient of the constituent materials [19]. It disproved the common perception that the sign of the Hall coefficient determines the sign of the charge carriers. The perception was based on the model where the electrons travel in straight lines, which is certainly not the case in these microgeometries. Later the design was considerably simplified [43] and the sign change of the Hall coefficient demonstrated in experiments with the interlinked ring geometries, that had a semiconductor surface coating, amazingly replicated using three dimensional laser lithography [45]. In suitable geometries one can also get novel effects such as the parallel Hall effect where the electric field induced by the magnetic field are both parallel rather than perpendicular [20, 47]. The perturbation analysis also applies to piezoelectric, thermoelectricity, and any other coupled equation where the coupling is weak, and can be used in an inverse fashion to determine or bound the variance of the field \mathbf{E} : see Sections 16.2 and 16.4 of [64] and references therein.

Acknowledgements

GWM thanks the National Science Foundation for support through grant DMS-1814854, and Christian Kern for helpful comments on the manuscript, and for providing additional references. The work was largely based on the books [64, 78] and in the context of the latter book I would like to thank Nelson Beebe for his enormous help in preparing it, and Maxence Cassier, Kirill Cherednichenko, Elena Cherkhev, Richard Craster, Vikram Gavani, Davit Harutyunyan, Michael Fisher, Richard James, Hyeonbae Kang, Paul Martin, Ornella Mattei, Mordehai Milgrom, Alexander Movchan, Mihai Putinar, Pierre Seppecher, Ping Sheng, Fernando Guevara Vasquez, Martin Wegener, Aaron Welters, and John Willis for their helpful feedback on it. I am grateful to Yury Grabovsky and Pradeep Sharma for their reviews of it. Those books and the present paper were heavily influenced and propelled by the ideas of many mentors, colleagues, and friends, particularly Andrej Cherkhev, Yury Grabovsky, Ross McPhedran, Alexander Movchan, George Papanicolaou, Pierre Seppecher, and John Willis. Aaron Welters and Christian Kern are thanked for bringing the Faraday effect to the attention of the author.

References

- [1] W. Albers. Physical properties of composite materials. In *Proceedings of the Conference on in situ Composites, Sept. 5–8, 1972, Lakeville, Connecticut*, volume 3, pages 1–19, Washington, D.C., 1973. National Academy of Sciences. Publication NMAB-308-III.

- [2] Grégoire Allaire. Homogenization and two-scale convergence. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 23(6):1482–1518, 1992.
- [3] Grégoire Allaire. Book review: The theory of composites, 2003. Math Reviews MR1899805 (2003d:74077).
- [4] R. J. Atkin and N. Fox. *An Introduction to the Theory of Elasticity*. Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, Essex, UK, 1980.
- [5] Marco Avellaneda and G. Harshé. Magnetolectric effect in piezoelectric/magnetostrictive multilayer (2 – 2) composites. *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, 5(4):501–513, July 1994.
- [6] Marco Avellaneda and Pieter J. Swart. Calculating the performance of 1–3 piezocomposites for hydrophone applications: An effective medium approach. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 103(3):1449–1467, March 1998.
- [7] D. A. Bandurin, I. Torre, R. Krishna Kumar, M. Ben Shalom, A. Tomadin, A. Principi, G. H. Auton, E. Kheshtanova, K. S. Novoselov, I. V. Grigorieva, L. A. Ponomarenko, A. K. Geim, and M. Polini. Negative local resistance caused by viscous electron backflow in graphene. *Science*, 351(6277):1055–1058, March 2016.
- [8] G. K. Batchelor. *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*, pages 240–246, section 4.10. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, June 2000.
- [9] Eugenio Beltrami. Osservazioni sulla nota precedente (Italian). *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Serie V*, 1(1):141–142, 1892. Available at http://villafarnesina.it/pubblicazioni/rendicontiFMN/rol/pdf/S5V1T1A1892P141_142.pdf.
- [10] Alain Bensoussan, Jacques-Louis Lions, and George C. Papanicolaou. *Asymptotic Analysis for Periodic Structures*, volume 5 of *Studies in Mathematics and its Applications*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978.
- [11] Stéphane Berbenni, Vincent Taupin, Komlan Sénam Djaka, and Claude Fressengeas. A numerical spectral approach for solving elasto-static field dislocation and g-disclination mechanics. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 51(23-24):4157–4175, November 2014.
- [12] David J. Bergman. The dielectric constant of a composite material — A problem in classical physics. *Physics Reports*, 43(9):377–407, July 1978.
- [13] David J. Bergman. Exactly solvable microscopic geometries and rigorous bounds for the complex dielectric constant of a two-component composite material. *Physical Review Letters*, 44(19):1285–1287, May 1980.
- [14] David J. Bergman. Self-duality and the low field Hall effect in 2D and 3D metal-insulator composites. In G. Deutscher, R. Zallen, and J. Adler, editors, *Percolation Structures and Processes*, volume 5 of *Annals of the Israel Physical Society*, pages 297–321. Israel Physical Society, Jerusalem, 1983.
- [15] N. Bertin and L. Capolungo. A FFT-based formulation for discrete dislocation dynamics in heterogeneous media. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 355:366–384, February 2018.
- [16] Scipione Bobbio. *Electrodynamics of Materials: Forces, Stresses, and Energies in Solids and Fluids*. Academic Press, New York, USA, 2000.
- [17] J. M. Borwein, M. L. Glasser, R. C. McPhedran, J. G. Wan, and I. Zucker. *Lattice Sums Then and Now*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2013.
- [18] David Brewster. Experiments on the depolarization of light as exhibited by various mineral, animal, and vegetable bodies, with a reference of the phenomena to the general principles of polarization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 2:29–53, January 1833.
- [19] Marc Briane and Graeme W. Milton. Homogenization of the three-dimensional Hall effect and change of sign of the Hall coefficient. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 193(3):715–736, September 2009.

- [20] Marc Briane and Graeme W. Milton. An antisymmetric effective hall matrix. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 70(6):1810–1820, 2010.
- [21] Marc Briane and Graeme W. Milton. Bounds on strong field magneto-transport in three-dimensional composites. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 52(10):103705, October 2011.
- [22] Herbert Bernard Callen. *Thermodynamics: An Introduction to the Physical Theories of Equilibrium Thermostatics and Irreversible Thermodynamics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York / London / Sydney, Australia, 1960.
- [23] A. Carini and Ornella Mattei. Variational formulations for the linear viscoelastic problem in the time domain. *European Journal of Mechanics, A, Solids*, 54:146–159, November/December 2015.
- [24] Andrej V. Cherkaev and Leonid V. Gibiansky. Variational principles for complex conductivity, viscoelasticity, and similar problems in media with complex moduli. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 35(1):127–145, January 1994.
- [25] Karen E. Clark and Graeme W. Milton. Optimal bounds correlating electric, magnetic and thermal properties of two-phase, two-dimensional composites. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 448(1933):161–190, February 1995.
- [26] G. J. Dvorak and Y. Benveniste. On transformation strains and uniform fields in multiphase elastic media. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 437(1900):291–310, 1992.
- [27] A. M. Dykhne. Conductivity of a two-dimensional two-phase system. *Zhurnal eksperimental’noi i teoreticheskoi fiziki / Akademiia Nauk SSSR*, 59:110–115, July 1970. English translation in *Soviet Physics JETP* **32**(1):63–65 (January 1971).
- [28] A. Einstein. Eine neue Bestimmung der Moleküldimensionen. (German) [A new determination of molecular dimensions]. *Annalen der Physik (1900) (series 4)*, 324(2):289–306, 1906. English translation in [97, pp. 45–69].
- [29] Albert Fannjiang and George C. Papanicolaou. Convection enhanced diffusion for periodic flows. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 54(2):333–408, 1994.
- [30] Y. C. Fung. *Foundations of Solid Mechanics*. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 1965.
- [31] Leonid V. Gibiansky and Graeme W. Milton. On the effective viscoelastic moduli of two-phase media. I. Rigorous bounds on the complex bulk modulus. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 440(1908):163–188, January 1993.
- [32] Kenneth M. Golden and George C. Papanicolaou. Bounds for effective parameters of heterogeneous media by analytic continuation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 90(4):473–491, 1983.
- [33] Kenneth M. Golden and George C. Papanicolaou. Bounds for effective parameters of multicomponent media by analytic continuation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 40(5–6):655–667, September 1985.
- [34] Yury Grabovsky. Exact relations for effective tensors of polycrystals. I: Necessary conditions. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 143(4):309–329, 1998.
- [35] Yury Grabovsky. Algebra, geometry and computations of exact relations for effective moduli of composites. In Gianfranco Capriz and Paolo Maria Mariano, editors, *Advances in Multifield Theories of Continua with Substructure*, Modelling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology, pages 167–197. Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [36] Yury Grabovsky. *Composite Materials: Mathematical Theory and Exact Relations*. IOP Publishing, Bristol, UK, 2016.
- [37] Yury Grabovsky. Book review: Extending the theory of composites to other areas of science. *SIAM Review*, 60(2):475–481, 2017.

- [38] Yury Grabovsky. From microstructure-independent formulas for composite materials to rank-one convex, non-quasiconvex functions. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 227(2):607–636, 2018.
- [39] Yury Grabovsky, Graeme W. Milton, and Daniel S. Sage. Exact relations for effective tensors of composites: Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York)*, 53(3):300–353, March 2000.
- [40] C. L. Hogan. The ferromagnetic Faraday effect at microwave frequencies and its applications. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 31(1):1–31, January 1952.
- [41] Zubin Jacob, Leonid V. Alekseyev, and Evgenii Narimanov. Optical hyperlens: Far-field imaging beyond the diffraction limit. *Optics Express*, 14(18):8247–8256, 2006.
- [42] David Linton Johnson, Joel Koplik, and Roger Dashen. Theory of dynamic permeability and tortuosity in fluid-saturated porous media. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 176(??):379–402, March 1987.
- [43] Muamer Kadic, Robert Schittny, Tiemo Bückmann, Christian Kern, and Martin Wegener. Hall-effect sign inversion in a realizable 3D metamaterial. *Physical Review X*, 5(2):021030, April/June 2015.
- [44] Michael C. Kelley. *The Earth’s Ionosphere: Plasma Physics and Electrodynamics*. North-Holland mathematical library. Elsevier, Amsterdam, second edition, 1990.
- [45] Christian Kern, Muamer Kadic, and Martin Wegener. Experimental evidence for sign reversal of the Hall coefficient in three-dimensional metamaterials. *Physical Review Letters*, 118:016601, January 2017.
- [46] Christian Kern, Owen Miller, and Graeme W. Milton. On the range of complex effective permittivities of isotropic two-phase composites and related problems. Submitted., 2020.
- [47] Christian Kern, Vittoria Schuster, Muamer Kadic, and Martin Wegener. Experiments on the parallel hall effect in three-dimensional metamaterials. *Physical Review Applied*, 7(4):044001, April 2017.
- [48] Charles Kittel. *Introduction to Solid State Physics*. pub-WILEY, New York / London / Sydney, Australia, eighth edition, 2005.
- [49] R. Kressmann, G. M. Sessler, and P. Gunther. Space-charge electrets. *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation*, 3(5):607–623, October 1996.
- [50] Rolf Landauer. Electrical conductivity in inhomogeneous media. In J. C. Garland and D. B. Tanner, editors, *Electrical Transport and Optical Properties of Inhomogeneous Media*, volume 40 of *AIP Conference Proceedings*, pages 2–43, Woodbury, New York, 1978. American Institute of Physics.
- [51] V. M. Levin. Thermal expansion coefficients of heterogeneous materials. *Inzhenernyi Zhurnal. Mekhanika Tverdogo Tela: MTT*, 2(1):88–94, 1967. English translation in *Mechanics of Solids* **2**(1):58–61 (1967).
- [52] Liping Liu and Pradeep Sharma. Giant and universal magnetoelectric coupling in soft materials and concomitant ramifications for materials science and biology. *Physical Review E (Statistical physics, plasmas, fluids, and related interdisciplinary topics)*, 88(4):040601, October 2013.
- [53] Paul Lorrain, Dale R. Corson, and Francois Lorrain. *Electromagnetic Fields and Waves*, pages 560–561. W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, second edition, 1970.
- [54] Paolo Mariano Mariano and Furio Lorenzo Stazi. Computational aspects of the mechanics of complex materials. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 12:391–478, December 2005.
- [55] Ornella Mattei. *On bounding the response of linear viscoelastic composites in the time domain: The variational approach and the analytic method*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy, 2016.
- [56] Ornella Mattei and Angelo Carini. Bounds for the overall properties of composites with time-dependent constitutive law. *European Journal of Mechanics, A, Solids*, 61:408–419, January/February 2017.

- [57] Ornella Mattei and G. W. Milton. Bounds for the response of viscoelastic composites under antiplane loadings in the time domain. In Milton [78], pages 149–178. See also arXiv:1602.03383 [math-ph].
- [58] James Clerk Maxwell. 1. on the equilibrium of elastic solids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 2:294–296, 1851.
- [59] Mordehai Milgrom. Forces in nonlinear media. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 35(6):1437–1453, February 2002.
- [60] Mordehai Milgrom and S. Shtrikman. Linear response of two-phase composites with cross moduli: Exact universal relations. *Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics)*, 40(3):1568–1575, August 1989.
- [61] Graeme W. Milton. Bounds on the complex dielectric constant of a composite material. *Applied Physics Letters*, 37(3):300–302, August 1980.
- [62] Graeme W. Milton. Bounds on the transport and optical properties of a two-component composite material. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 52(8):5294–5304, August 1981.
- [63] Graeme W. Milton. On characterizing the set of possible effective tensors of composites: The variational method and the translation method. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York)*, 43(1):63–125, 1990.
- [64] Graeme W. Milton. *The Theory of Composites*, volume 6 of *Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002. Series editors: P. G. Ciarlet, A. Iserles, Robert V. Kohn, and M. H. Wright.
- [65] Graeme W. Milton. Sharp inequalities that generalize the divergence theorem: an extension of the notion of quasi-convexity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical, & Engineering Sciences*, 469(2157):20130075, 2013.
- [66] Graeme W. Milton. Addendum to “Sharp inequalities that generalize the divergence theorem: an extension of the notion of quasi-convexity”. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical, & Engineering Sciences*, 471(2176):20140886, March 2015. See [65].
- [67] Graeme W. Milton. Bounds on complex polarizabilities and a new perspective on scattering by a lossy inclusion. *Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 96(10):104206, sep 2017.
- [68] Graeme W. Milton. A unifying perspective on linear continuum equations prevalent in physics. part ii: Canonical forms for time-harmonic equations. Available as arXiv:2006.02433 [math-ph]., 2020.
- [69] Graeme W. Milton. A unifying perspective on linear continuum equations prevalent in physics. part iii: Canonical forms for dynamic equations with moduli that may, or may not, vary with time. Available as arXiv:2006.02432 [math-ph], 2020.
- [70] Graeme W. Milton. A unifying perspective on linear continuum equations prevalent in physics. part iv: Canonical forms for equations involving higher order gradients. Available as arXiv:2006.03161 [math-ph]., 2020.
- [71] Graeme W. Milton. A unifying perspective on linear continuum equations prevalent in science. part v: resolvents; bounds on their spectrum; and their stieltjes integral representations when the operator is not selfadjoint. Available as arXiv:2006.03162 [math-ph], 2020.
- [72] Graeme W. Milton. A unifying perspective on linear continuum equations prevalent in science. part vi: rapidly converging series expansions for their solution. Available as arXiv:??, 2020.
- [73] Graeme W. Milton and James G. Berryman. On the effective viscoelastic moduli of two-phase media. II. Rigorous bounds on the complex shear modulus in three dimensions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences*, 453(1964):1849–1880, September 1997.

- [74] Graeme W. Milton, Ross C. McPhedran, and Ari Sihvola. The searchlight effect in hyperbolic materials. *Optics Express*, 21(12):14926–14942, June 2013.
- [75] Graeme W. Milton and Daniel Onofrei. Exact relations for Green’s functions in linear PDE and boundary field equalities: a generalization of conservation laws. *Research in Mathematical Sciences*, 6:19, feb 2019. Submitted. See arXiv:1712.03597 [math.AP].
- [76] Graeme W. Milton, Pierre Seppecher, and Guy Bouchitté. Minimization variational principles for acoustics, elastodynamics and electromagnetism in lossy inhomogeneous bodies at fixed frequency. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical, & Engineering Sciences*, 465(2102):367–396, February 2009.
- [77] Graeme W. Milton and John R. Willis. Minimum variational principles for time-harmonic waves in a dissipative medium and associated variational principles of Hashin–Shtrikman type. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical, & Engineering Sciences*, 466(2122):3013–3032, 2010.
- [78] Graeme W. Milton (editor). *Extending the Theory of Composites to Other Areas of Science*. Milton–Patton Publishers, P.O. Box 581077, Salt Lake City, UT 85148, USA, 2016.
- [79] D. F. Nelson, P. D. Lazay, and M. Lax. Brillouin scattering in anisotropic media: Calcite. *Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 6(8):3109–3120, oct 1972.
- [80] Gabriel Nguetseng. A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 20(3):608–623, 1989.
- [81] Andrew N. Norris. On the correspondence between poroelasticity and thermoelasticity. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 71(3):1138–1141, February 1992.
- [82] J. R. Norris. Long time behavior of heat flow: Global estimates and exact asymptotics. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 140(2):161–195, November 1997.
- [83] R. W. Ogden. *Nonlinear Elastic Deformations*. Mathematics and its Applications. Ellis Horwood Ltd, Chichester, UK, 1984.
- [84] M. Ali Omar. *Elementary Solid State Physics*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, first edition, 1975.
- [85] Hans Panofsky. Analyzing atmospheric behavior. *Physics Today*, 23(12):32–35, December 1970.
- [86] Marco Polini and Andre Geim. Viscous electron fluids. *Physics Today*, 73(6):28–34, June 2020.
- [87] Onno Pols. Stellar structure and evolution, 2009. Lecture notes available at https://www.astro.ru.nl/~onnop/education/stev_utrecht_notes/chapter5-6.pdf.
- [88] David M. Pozar. *Microwave Engineering*. John Wiley and Sons, New York / London / Sydney, Australia, fourth edition, 2012.
- [89] Aaswath Raman and Shanhui Fan. Photonic band structure of dispersive metamaterials formulated as a Hermitian eigenvalue problem. *Physical Review Letters*, 104(8):087401, February 2010.
- [90] Alessandro Salandrino and Nader Engheta. Far-field subdiffraction optical microscopy using metamaterial crystals: Theory and simulations. *Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 74(7):075103, August 2006.
- [91] A. T. Sawicki. Book review: The theory of composites. *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, 56(2):B27–B28, March 2003. Review number 3R27.
- [92] A. Serdikukov, I. Semchenko, S. Tretkyakov, and A. Sihvola. *Electromagnetics of Bi-anisotropic Materials, Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [93] Pradeep Sharma. Book review: Extending the theory of composites to other areas of science. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 84(3):036501–1, 2017.

- [94] Peter Smereka and Graeme W. Milton. Bubbly flow and its relation to conduction in composites. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 233:65–81, December 1991.
- [95] M. J. A. Smith, C. Martijn de Sterke, C. Wolff, M. Lapine, and C. G. Poulton. Enhanced acousto-optic properties in layered media. *Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 96(6):064114, August 2017.
- [96] Nicola A. Spaldin and Ramamoorthy Ramesh. Advances in magnetoelectric multiferroics. *Nature Materials*, 18:203–219, February 2019.
- [97] John Stachel, editor. *Einstein’s miraculous year: five papers that changed the face of physics*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1998. With the assistance of Trevor Lipscombe, Alice Calaprice, and Sam Elworthy, and with a foreword by Roger Penrose.
- [98] Gilbert Strang. *Introduction to Applied Mathematics*. Wellesley–Cambridge Press, Wellesley, Massachusetts, 1986.
- [99] Gilbert Strang. A framework for equilibrium equations. *SIAM Review*, 30(2):283–297, June 1988.
- [100] Gilbert Strang. *Computational Science and Engineering*. Wellesley–Cambridge Press, Wellesley, Massachusetts, 2007.
- [101] D. Stroud, G. W. Milton, and R. R. De. Analytical model for the dielectric response of brine-saturated rocks. *Physical Review B: Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 34(8):5145–5153, October 1986.
- [102] Kai Tan, Xin Wen, Qian Deng, Shengping Shen, Liping Liu, and Pradeep Sharma. Soft rubber as a magneto-electric material — generating electricity from the remote action of a magnetic field. In Review, 2020.
- [103] Luc Tartar. *The General Theory of Homogenization: a Personalized Introduction*, volume 7 of *Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany / Heidelberg, Germany / London, UK / etc., 2009.
- [104] E. Tonti. Variational formulation for every nonlinear problem. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 22(11& 12):1343–1371, April 1984.
- [105] V. V. Zhikov, S. M. Kozlov, and O. A. Oleinik. *Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin / Heidelberg / London / etc., 1994. Translated from the Russian by G. A. Yosifian [G. A. Iosif’yan].