

FULLY NONLINEAR EQUATIONS OF KRYLOV TYPE ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS WITH NEGATIVE CURVATURE

LI CHEN AND YAN HE*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider fully nonlinear equations of Krylov type on Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature which naturally arise in conformal geometry. Moreover, we prove the a priori estimates for solutions to these equations and establish the existence results. Our results can be viewed as an extension of previous results given by Gursky-Viaclovsky and Li-Sheng.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g_0) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $[g_0]$ denote the conformal class of g_0 on M , the well-known σ_k -Yamabe problem is of finding a metric $g \in [g_0]$ satisfies the following equation on M

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_k(A_g) = \text{constant},$$

where

$$A_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(Ric_g - \frac{R_g}{2(n-1)} g \right)$$

is the Schouten tensor of g , Ric_g and R_g are the Ricci and scalar curvatures of g respectively, we denote by $\sigma_k(\lambda)$ the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial

$$\sigma_k(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and we set $\sigma_0(\lambda) = 1$, and $\sigma_k(A_g)$ means that k -th elementary symmetric polynomial σ_k is applied to the eigenvalues of $g^{-1} \cdot A_g$.

For $k = 1$, the equation (1.1) is just the classical Yamabe equation which has been solved by Yamabe [64], Trudinger [59], Aubin [1] and Schoen [52]. The fully nonlinear elliptic equation (1.1) ($k \geq 2$) has been studied extensively after the pioneering works of Viaclovsky [60, 61, 62]. Under the assumption that the eigenvalues $\lambda(A_{g_0})$ of the matrix $g^{-1} \cdot A_{g_0}$ belong to Γ_k with

$$\Gamma_k = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_j(\lambda) > 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq k\},$$

the σ_k -Yamabe equation (1.1) has been solved for either $k = 2$, or $k \geq \frac{n}{2}$, or M being locally conformally flat by the works of Chang-Gursky-Yang [8, 7], Guan-Wang [26],

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J96, 52A39; Secondary 53A05.

Key words and phrases. the modified Schouten tensor; negative curvature; Hessian type equation.

* Corresponding author.

Li-Li [42], Gursky and Viaclovsky [30], Li-Nguyen[46], Ge-Wang [23], Sheng-Trudinger-Wang [54] and Brendle-Viaclovsky [3]. For related results, see [4, 10, 11, 12, 13, 25, 26, 21, 20, 22, 32, 33, 38, 37, 36, 35, 41, 43, 42, 54, 63, 55] and so on.

Now, we turn into the negative curvature case. Gursky-Viaclovsky [29] introduced the modified Schouten tensor for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$

$$A_g^\tau = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(Ric_g - \frac{\tau R_g}{2(n-1)} g \right).$$

When $\tau = 1$, A_g^1 is just the Schouten tensor A_g . Let $\lambda(-A_{g_0}^\tau)$ be eigenvalues of the matrix $g_0^{-1} \cdot (-A_{g_0}^\tau)$ and $\sigma_k(-A_g^\tau) = \sigma_k(\lambda(-A_g^\tau))$. Gursky-Viaclovsky [29] proved that for $\tau < 1$ and $\lambda(-A_{g_0}^\tau) \in \Gamma_k$, there exists a unique conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$ satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma_k(-A_g^\tau) = f(x)$$

for any smooth positive function $f(x)$ on M . A parabolic proof was later given by Li-Sheng [44]. Since the equation (1.2) is not necessarily elliptic for $\tau > 1$ and the C^2 estimate does not work for the equation (1.2) with $\tau = 1$ as noted previously in [61], the restriction $\tau < 1$ must be made in [29] and [44]. Those works motivated the later research on the equation (1.2) with τ the boundary conditions [28, 18, 19]. See [56] for related research and [57] for the recent progress on noncompact manifolds.

In this paper, we study an extension of the equation (1.2). Let (M, g_0) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $[g_0]$ denote the conformal class of g_0 on M , we want to find a metric $g \in [g_0]$ satisfies the following equation on M

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma_k(-A_g^\tau) + \alpha(x)\sigma_{k-1}(-A_g^\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \alpha_l(x)\sigma_l(-A_g^\tau), \quad 3 \leq k \leq n.$$

The following is our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\tau < 1$ and $\lambda(-A_{g_0}^\tau) \in \Gamma_k$. Let $\alpha_l(x)$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $\alpha(x)$ be smooth functions on M . Then there exists a conformal metric $g \in [g_0]$ satisfies equation (1.3) if $\alpha_l(x) > 0$ for all $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $x \in M$.*

Remark 1.2. *We proved the existence of solutions to the equation (1.3) in Theorem 1.1 without the sign requirement for the coefficient function $\alpha(x)$ in the equation (1.3). This type of the equation was first considered by Guan-Zhang [27].*

Remark 1.3. *In fact, Theorem 1.1 holds for $\alpha_l(x)$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ satisfying either $\alpha_l(x) > 0$ for all $x \in M$, or $\alpha_l \equiv 0$, but at least one of $\alpha_l(x)$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ is positive on M . Thus, Theorem 1.1 recovers the previous results proved by Gursky-Viaclovsky [29] and Li-Sheng [44].*

When $\alpha_l \equiv 0$ for $1 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $\tau = 0$, the equation (1.3) was considered by the authors with Guo [9]. In fact, (1.3) is the equation of **Krylov type** which has been introduced and studied by Krylov in [40] thirty years ago, and can be seen the extension

of the landmark work [5, 6] on the Hessian equation investigated by Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck. In detail, Krylov studied the general Hessian equation

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma_k(D^2u) + \alpha(x)\sigma_{k-1}(D^2u) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \alpha_l(x)\sigma_l(D^2u), \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular, he observed that if $\alpha(x) \leq 0$ and $\alpha_l(x) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq l \leq k-2$, the natural admissible cone to make the equation (1.4) elliptic is also the Γ_k -cone which is the same as the k -Hessian equation case. Recently, Guan-Zhang [27] studied the equation of Krylov type in the problem of prescribing convex combination of area measures [53]

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma_k(D^2u + uI) + \alpha(x)\sigma_{k-1}(D^2u + uI) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \alpha_l(x)\sigma_l(D^2u + uI) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n,$$

with $\alpha_l(x) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq l \leq k-2$, but without the sign requirement for the coefficient function $\alpha(x)$. In this case, they observed that the proper admissible set of solutions of the equation (1.5) is Γ_{k-1} , not Γ_k . Based on this important observation, they also studied Krylov's equation (1.4) in Γ_{k-1} . In fact, such type of the equations with its structure as a combination of elementary symmetric functions in fact arise naturally from many important geometric problems, such as the so-called Fu-Yau equation arising from the study of the Hull-Strominger system in theoretical physics (see Fu-Yau [15, 16] and Phong-Picard-Zhang [49, 50, 51]), the special Lagrangian equations introduced by Harvey-Lawson [31], and so on.

The present paper is built up as follows. In Sect. 2 we start with some background. In Sect. 3, we obtain the a priori estimates. We will prove Theorem 1.1 in Sect. 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M, g_0) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with Levi-Civita connection ∇ . For later convenience, we first state our conventions on derivative notation. For a $(0, r)$ -tensor field V on M , its covariant derivative ∇V is a $(0, r+1)$ -tensor field whose coordinate expression is denoted by

$$\nabla V = (V_{k_1 \dots k_r i}).$$

Similarly, the coordinate expression of the second covariant derivative of V is denoted by

$$\nabla^2 V = (V_{k_1 \dots k_r ij}),$$

and so on for the higher order covariant derivatives. Under the conformal transformation $g = \exp(2u)g_0$, the Ricci curvature of g is given by the formula (see [29])

$$-A_g^\tau = \nabla^2 u + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \Delta u g_0 + \frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g_0 - du \otimes du - A_{g_0}^\tau,$$

where (and throughout the paper) Δu and $\nabla^2 u$ denote the Laplacian and Hessian of u with respect to the background metric g_0 . Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1.1

reduces to finding a solution $u \in C^\infty(M)$ to the partial differential equation of second order

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\sigma_k(U)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U)} - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \alpha_l(x) \exp(2(k-l)u) \frac{\sigma_l(U)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U)} = -\alpha(x) \exp(2u),$$

where

$$U = \nabla^2 u + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \Delta u g_0 + \frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g_0 - du \otimes du - A_{g_0}^\tau,$$

and $\sigma_k(U)$ means that k -th elementary symmetric polynomial σ_k is applied to the eigenvalues of $g_0^{-1} \cdot U$. To solve the equation (2.1), we consider a family of equations

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G(U^t) &:= \frac{\sigma_k(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)} - \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \left([(1-t)c + t\alpha_l(x)] \exp[2(k-l)u] \sigma_l(U^t) \right)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)} \\ &= -t\alpha(x) \exp(2u), \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$, $c = \frac{\sigma_k(e)}{\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sigma_l(e)}$, $e = (1, \dots, 1)$, and

$$U^t = \nabla^2 u + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \Delta u g_0 + \frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g_0 - du \otimes du - tA_{g_0}^\tau + (1-t)g_0.$$

Here $\sigma_k(U^t)$ means that k -th elementary symmetric polynomial σ_k is applied to the eigenvalues of $g_0^{-1} \cdot U^t$.

Now we denote by $\lambda(U^t)$ the eigenvalues of the matrix $g_0^{-1} \cdot U^t$ throughout the paper. The following proposition says the proper admissible set for the solutions of (2.2) is Γ_{k-1} which was first observed by Guan-Zhang [27].

Proposition 2.1. *Assume $\tau < 1$, then the operator*

$$G(U^t) := \frac{\sigma_k(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)} - \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \left([(1-t)c + t\alpha_l(x)] \exp[2(k-l)u] \sigma_l(U^t) \right)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)}$$

is elliptic and concave about u if $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$, and $\alpha_l(x) \in C^\infty(M)$ is nonnegative for $0 \leq l \leq k-2$.

Proof. The proof is almost the same to that of Proposition 2.2 in [27], so we omit it. \square

3. THE A PRIORI ESTIMATES

3.1. C^0 estimate. We begin with an important property of σ_k .

Lemma 3.1. *Let A and B be symmetric $n \times n$ matrices and $0 \leq l < k \leq n$.*

(1) Assume that A is positive semi-definite, $B \in \Gamma_{k-1}$, and $A+B \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. Then, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(A+B) \geq \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(B)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_l}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1-l}}(A+B) \geq \left(\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_l}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1-l}}(B).$$

(2) Assume that A is negative semi-definite, $B \in \Gamma_{k-1}$, and $A+B \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. Then, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(A+B) \leq \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(B)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_l}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1-l}}(A+B) \leq \left(\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_l}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1-l}}(B).$$

Proof. Since (2) can be easily proved by applying (1) for matrices $-A$ and $A+B$, it is sufficient to prove (1). We know from the concavity of $\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}$ in the convex cone Γ_{k-1} (see [34])

$$\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(A+B) \geq \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(A) + \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(B)$$

for $A \in \Gamma_{k-1}$ and $B \in \Gamma_{k-1}$, which implies in view of the positive semi-definite of A

$$\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(A+B) \geq \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}(B).$$

So, we complete the proof of the first inequality in (1). The second inequality in (1) can be proved similarly if we notice that $\left[\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_l}\right]^{\frac{1}{k-1-l}}$ is concave in Γ_{k-1} for $0 \leq l < k-1$ (see Chapter XV in [47]). \square

With the help of Lemma 3.1, C^0 estimate can be obtained consequently.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that $\tau < 1$ and $\lambda(-A_{g_0}^\tau) \in \Gamma_k$. Let $\alpha_l(x)$ be a positive smooth function on M for all $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $\alpha(x)$ be a smooth function on M . Suppose u is a smooth solution of (2.2) with $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. Then there exists a constant C depending on τ , g_0 , $\|\alpha\|_{C^0(M)}$, $\|\alpha_l\|_{C^0(M)}$ and $\inf_M \alpha_l$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$, such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_M |u| \leq C.$$

Proof. Suppose the maximum point of u is attained at x_1 . Thus $\nabla^2 u(x_1)$ is negative semi-definite and $\nabla u(x_1) = 0$ which implies

$$\nabla^2 u + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \Delta u g_0 + \frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g_0 - du \otimes du$$

is negative semi-definite at x_1 if $\tau < 1$. Thus, we arrive at x_1 from Lemma 3.1

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\sigma_k(B_{g_0})}{\sigma_{k-1}(B_{g_0})} \geq \frac{\sigma_k(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)},$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\sigma_l(B_{g_0})}{\sigma_{k-1}(B_{g_0})} \leq \frac{\sigma_l(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)}$$

for $0 \leq l < k-1$, where $B_{g_0} = -tA_{g_0}^\tau + (1-t)g_0$. Plugging the inequalities (3.2) and (3.3) into the equation (2.2) arrives at x_1

$$C + C \exp(2u(x_1)) \geq C \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \exp[2(k-l)u(x_1)].$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{x \in M} u(x) \leq C.$$

Similarly, we have at one minimum point x_2 of u

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\sigma_k(B_{g_0})}{\sigma_{k-1}(B_{g_0})} - C \exp(2u(x_2)) \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \exp[2(k-l)u(x_2)].$$

Since $\lambda(-A_{g_0}^\tau) \in \Gamma_k$, we can obtain $\frac{\sigma_k(B_{g_0})}{\sigma_{k-1}(B_{g_0})} > 0$. Thus, we can conclude from (3.4)

$$\inf_{x \in M} u(x) \geq -C.$$

So, the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.3. In fact, to get an upper bound of u , we only need $\lambda(-A_{g_0}^\tau) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. However, $\lambda(-A_{g_0}^\tau) \in \Gamma_k$ is necessary to show that u is bounded from below.

3.2. Gradient estimate. For the convenience, we will denote by

$$G_k(U^t) = \frac{\sigma_k(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)}, \quad G_l(U^t) = -\frac{\sigma_l(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq k-2,$$

and

$$\beta_l(x, u, t) = [(1-t)c + t\alpha_l(x)] \exp[2(k-l)u] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq k-2.$$

We further denote by

$$G^{ij} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial U_{ij}^t}, \quad G_k^{ij} = \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial U_{ij}^t}, \quad G_l^{ij} = \frac{\partial G_l}{\partial U_{ij}^t} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq k-2,$$

and

$$G_k^{ij,rs} = \frac{\partial^2 G_k}{\partial U_{ij}^t \partial U_{rs}^t}, \quad G_l^{ij,rs} = \frac{\partial^2 G_l}{\partial U_{ij}^t \partial U_{rs}^t} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq k-2.$$

Lemma 3.4. Assume $\alpha_l(x) > 0$ for all $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $x \in M$, and u is a smooth solution of (2.2) with $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$, then we have

$$(3.5) \quad 0 < \frac{\sigma_l(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)} \leq C, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k-2,$$

where the constant C depends only on $n, k, \sup_M u$ and $\inf_M \alpha_l$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$.

Proof. Firstly, if $\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}} \leq 1$, then we get from the equation (2.2)

$$\beta_l \frac{\sigma_l}{\sigma_{k-1}} \leq \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}} + t\alpha(x) \exp(2u) \leq 1 + C, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k-2.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\sigma_l}{\sigma_{k-1}} \leq \frac{1+C}{\inf_M \beta_l}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k-2.$$

Secondly, if $\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}} > 1$, i.e. $\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} < 1$. We can get for $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ by the Newton-MacLaurin inequality [58, 48]

$$\frac{\sigma_l}{\sigma_{k-1}} \leq \frac{(C_n^k)^{k-1-l} C_n^l}{(C_n^{k-1})^{k-l}} \left(\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_k} \right)^{k-1-l} \leq \frac{(C_n^k)^{k-1-l} C_n^l}{(C_n^{k-1})^{k-l}} \leq C(n, k).$$

So, the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. Assume u is a smooth solution of (2.2) with $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$ and $\alpha_l(x) > 0$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$, then we have

$$(3.6) \quad G^{ij} U_{ij}^t \geq -t\alpha(x) \exp(2u),$$

$$(3.7) \quad G^{ij} (g_0)_{ij} \geq \frac{n-k+1}{k},$$

$$(3.8) \quad G^{ij} U_{ijp}^t + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_p G_l = -[t\alpha(x) \exp(2u)]_p,$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} G^{ij} U_{ijpp}^t &\geq - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{k-1-l}} \frac{([\beta_l(x, u, t)]_p)^2}{\beta_l} G_l \\ &\quad - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_{pp} G_l - [t\alpha(x) \exp(2u)]_{pp}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{ij} U_{ij}^t &= G_k^{ij} U_{ij}^t + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \beta_l(x, u, t) \sum_{i,j} G_l^{ij} U_{ij}^t \\ &= G_k + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} (l-k+1) \beta_l(x, u, t) G_l \\ &\geq G = -t\alpha(x) \exp(2u). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows consequently.

(2) See page 12 in [27] for the proof of the second inequality. So we just outline the proof by the following simple calculation

$$\begin{aligned} G^{ij}(g_0)_{ij} &= G_k^{ij}(g_0)_{ij} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \beta_l G_l^{ij}(g_0)_{ij} \\ &\geq G_k^{ij}(g_0)_{ij} \\ &\geq \frac{n-k+1}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where we get the last inequality from the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial(\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}})}{\partial \lambda_i} \geq \frac{n-k+1}{k}$$

for $\lambda \in \Gamma_{k-1}$ (see Lemma 2.2.19 in [17]).

(3) Differentiating the equation (2.2) arrives

$$G^{ij} U_{ijp}^t + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_p G_l = -[t\alpha \exp(2u)]_p.$$

So the third equality follows.

(4) Differentiating the equation (2.2) twice gives

$$\begin{aligned} &G^{ij} U_{ijpp}^t + G_k^{ij,rs} U_{ijp}^t U_{rsp}^t + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \beta_l(x, u, t) G_l^{ij,rs} U_{ijp}^t U_{rsp}^t \\ &+ 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_p G_l^{ij} U_{ijp}^t + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_{pp} G_l \\ &= -[t\alpha \exp(2u)]_{pp}. \end{aligned}$$

Then using the concavity of G_k in Γ_{k-1} (see [34]), we deduce that $G_k^{ij,rs} U_{ijp} U_{rsp} \leq 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad G^{ij} U_{ijpp}^t &\geq - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \beta_l(x, u, t) G_l^{ij,rs} U_{ijp}^t U_{rsp}^t - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_p G_l^{ij} U_{ijp}^t \\ &\quad - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_{pp} G_l - [t\alpha \exp(2u)]_{pp}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the concavity of $[\frac{\sigma_{k-1}}{\sigma_l}]^{\frac{1}{k-1-l}}$ in Γ_{k-1} for $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ (see also (3.10) in [27] or Chapter XV in [47]), we obtain for $0 \leq l \leq k-2$

$$(3.11) \quad -G_l^{ij,rs} U_{ijp} U_{rsp} \geq -\left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1-l}\right) G_l^{-1} G_l^{ij} G_l^{rs} U_{ijp} U_{rsp}.$$

By virtue of (3.11), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} \beta_l G_l^{ij,rs} U_{ijp}^t U_{rsp}^t + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l]_p G_l^{ij} U_{ijp}^t \\
& \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} \beta_l \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1-l}\right) G_l^{-1} (G_l^{ij} U_{ijp}^t)^2 + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l]_p G_l^{ij} U_{ijp}^t \\
& = \frac{k-l}{k-1-l} \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} \beta_l G_l^{-1} \left(G_l^{ij} U_{ijp}^t + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{k-1-l}} \frac{[\beta_l]_p}{\beta_l} G_l \right)^2 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{k-1-l}} \frac{([\beta_l]_p)^2}{\beta_l} G_l \\
& \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{k-1-l}} \frac{([\beta_l]_p)^2}{\beta_l} G_l.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the inequality above into (3.10), we arrive

$$G^{ij} U_{ijpp} \geq - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{k-1-l}} \frac{([\beta_l]_p)^2}{\beta_l} G_l - \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} [\beta_l(x, u, t)]_{pp} G_l - [t\alpha \exp(2u)]_{pp}.$$

So, we complete the proof the last inequality. \square

At last, we recall Lemma 4 in [62] or Lemma 4.2 in [29] as follows.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that $s_1 < s < s_2$. Then we may choose constants c_1, c_2 , and p depending only on s_1 and s_2 so that $\gamma(s) = c_1(c_2 + s)^p$ satisfies*

$$\gamma'(s) > 0$$

and

$$\gamma''(s) - \gamma'(s)^2 > \gamma'(s).$$

Now, we begin to prove the gradient estimate.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\tau < 1$, $\alpha_l(x)$ be a positive smooth function on M for all $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $\alpha(x)$ be a smooth function on M . Assume u is a solution of (2.2) with $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. Then there exists a constant C , depending on τ , g_0 , $\|\alpha\|_{C^2(M)}$, $\|u\|_{C^0(M)}$, $\inf_M \alpha_l$, and $\|\alpha_l\|_{C^2(M)}$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ such that*

$$(3.12) \quad \sup_M |\nabla u| \leq C.$$

Proof. Consider the auxiliary function

$$Q = \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}\right) e^{\gamma(u)},$$

where $\gamma(u) = c_1(c_2 + u)^p$ is the function in Lemma 3.6. Assume that $\max_M Q$ is attained at a point \tilde{x} . After an appropriate choice of the normal frame at \tilde{x} , we may assume that

$U_{ij}^t(x)$ is diagonal at this point. Hence G^{ij} is diagonal at \tilde{x} . Differentiating Q at the point \tilde{x} twice, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad Q_i(\tilde{x}) = e^{\gamma(u)} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}\right) \gamma' u_i + \sum_l u_l u_{li} \right) = 0,$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad 0 \geq Q_{ij}(\tilde{x}) = e^{\gamma(u)} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}\right) \left((\gamma')^2 u_i u_j + \gamma' u_{ij} + \gamma'' u_i u_j \right) + \sum_l \left(2\gamma' u_l u_{lj} u_i + u_{lj} u_{li} + u_l u_{li} \right) \right).$$

Since G^{ij} is positive definite by Proposition 2.1 and Q_{ij} is negative definite at \tilde{x} , we find at \tilde{x} from (3.14) and Ricci identity

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_i \left(G^{ii} + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \sum_p G^{pp} g_0^{ii} \right) Q_{ii}(\tilde{x}) \\ &\geq \left(G^{ii} + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \sum_p G^{pp} g_0^{ii} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_l u_l u_{lii} + \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}\right) \left([(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] u_i^2 + \gamma' u_{ii} \right) + \sum_l \left(2\gamma' u_l u_{li} u_i + u_{li} u_{li} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_i \left(G^{ii} + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \sum_p G^{pp} g_0^{ii} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_l u_l u_{iil} + \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2}\right) \left([(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] u_i^2 + \gamma' u_{ii} \right) + \sum_l \left(2\gamma' u_l u_{li} u_i + u_{li} u_{li} \right) \right) \\ &\quad - C \sum_i G^{ii} |\nabla u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, recalling the definition of U^t , using (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain at \tilde{x}

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_i \sum_l u_l G^{ii} \left(U_{iil}^t - \left[\frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - u_i^2 \right]_l \right) \\ &\quad + \gamma' \sum_i G^{ii} \left(U_{ii}^t - \left[\frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - u_i^2 \right] \right) \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_i G^{ii} \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right) \left((\gamma')^2 + \gamma'' \right) \left(u_i^2 + \frac{(1-\tau)}{n-2} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_i G^{ii} \left(\gamma' \sum_l u_i u_{li} u_l + \frac{(1-\tau)}{n-2} \gamma' \sum_{p,l} u_p u_{lp} u_l \right) - C \sum_i G^{ii} (1 + |\nabla u|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sum_i G^{ii} \left(- (2 - \tau) \sum_{p,l} u_l u_p u_{pl} + 2 \sum_l u_l u_i u_{il} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \gamma' \left[- \frac{2 - \tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + u_i^2 \right] \left[1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right] \right) \\
&\quad + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right) \sum_i G^{ii} \left(u_i^2 + \frac{1 - \tau}{n - 2} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_i G^{ii} \left(\gamma' \sum_l u_i u_{li} u_l + \frac{1 - \tau}{n - 2} \gamma' \sum_{p,l} u_p u_{lp} u_l \right) \\
(3.16) \quad &- C(|\nabla u|^2 + 1) \sum_i G^{ii} + C(|\nabla u|^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} G_l - 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.13), we know at \tilde{x}

$$\sum_l u_l u_{li} = -\gamma' \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right) u_i,$$

which implies at \tilde{x}

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i G^{ii} \left(- (2 - \tau) \sum_{p,l} u_l u_p u_{pl} + 2 \sum_l u_l u_i u_{il} \right) \\
&= \gamma' \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right) \sum_i G^{ii} \left((2 - \tau) |\nabla u|^2 - 2u_i^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i G^{ii} \left(\gamma' \sum_l u_i u_{li} u_l + \frac{1 - \tau}{n - 2} \gamma' \sum_{p,l} u_p u_{lp} u_l \right) \\
&= -(\gamma')^2 \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right) \sum_i G^{ii} \left(u_i^2 + \frac{1 - \tau}{n - 2} |\nabla u|^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, plugging the two inequalities above into (3.16), we arrive at \tilde{x} from Lemma 3.6

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \sum_i G^{ii} \left(\gamma' \left[1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right] \left[\frac{2 - \tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - u_i^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right] (-\gamma'^2 + \gamma'') \left[u_i^2 + \frac{1 - \tau}{n - 2} |\nabla u|^2 \right] \right) \\
&\quad - C(|\nabla u|^2 + 1) \sum_i G^{ii} + C(|\nabla u|^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} G_l - 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \gamma' \left(\frac{1-\tau}{n-2} + \frac{2-\tau}{2} \right) |\nabla u|^2 \left(1 + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{2} \right) \sum_i G^{ii} \\
&\quad - C(|\nabla u|^2 + 1) \sum_i G^{ii} + C(|\nabla u|^2 + 1) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} G_l - 1 \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.17}$$

Since $\tau < 1$, we have $\frac{1-\tau}{n-2} + \frac{2-\tau}{2} > 0$. Thus, in view of (3.5) and $\gamma' > 0$, we know the first term in the right of the inequality (3.17) dominates. Then, absorbing lower order terms results in

$$C \geq |\nabla u|^2.$$

So, the gradient estimate is immediate. \square

3.3. C^2 estimate.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\tau < 1$, $\alpha_l(x)$ be a positive smooth function on M for all $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ and $\alpha(x)$ be a smooth function on M . Assume u is a solution of (2.2) with $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. Then there exists a constant C , depending on τ , g_0 , $\|\alpha\|_{C^2(M)}$, $\|u\|_{C^1(M)}$, $\inf_M \alpha_l$, and $\|\alpha_l\|_{C^2(M)}$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ such that*

$$\sup_M |\nabla^2 u| \leq C. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Since $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_2$, we have

$$|U_{ij}^t| \leq C \text{tr} U^t.$$

Therefore,

$$|u_{ij}| \leq C(\Delta u + 1). \tag{3.19}$$

So we only estimate Δu . Thus, we take the auxiliary function

$$H(x) = (\Delta u + \mu |\nabla u|^2),$$

where μ is a positive constant which will be chosen later. Assume x_0 is the maximum point of H . After an appropriate choice of the normal frame at x_0 , we further assume U_{ij}^t and hence G^{ij} is diagonal at the point x_0 . Then we have at x_0 ,

$$H_i(x_0) = \sum_k (u_{kki} + 2\mu u_k u_{ki}) = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$H_{ii}(x_0) = \sum_k (u_{kkii} + 2\mu u_k u_{kii} + 2\mu u_{ki}^2) \leq 0. \tag{3.21}$$

From the positivity of G^{ij} and (3.21), we arrive at x_0

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \sum_i \left(G^{ii} + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \sum_p G^{pp} g_0^{ii} \right) H_{ii}(x) \\
&\geq \sum_i \left(G^{ii} + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \sum_p G^{pp} g_0^{ii} \right) \sum_k (u_{kkii} + 2\mu u_k u_{kii} + 2\mu u_{ki}^2) \\
&\geq \sum_i \left(G^{ii} + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \sum_p G^{pp} g_0^{ii} \right) \sum_k (u_{iikk} + 2\mu u_k u_{iik} + 2\mu u_{ki}^2 - C\Delta u),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use Ricci identity to get the last inequality. In view of (3.19), we may assume Δu is large enough. Thus it follows from the definition of U^t and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \sum_i G^{ii} \sum_p \left(U_{iipp}^t + (u_i^2)_{pp} - \left[\frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \right]_{pp} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\mu u_p \left(U_{iip} + (u_i^2)_p - \left[\frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \right]_p \right) + 2\mu u_{pi}^2 + \frac{2\mu(1-\tau)}{n-2} \sum_l u_{lp}^2 \right) \\
&\quad - C \sum_i G^{ii} \Delta u \\
&\geq \sum_i G^{ii} \sum_p \left(U_{iipp}^t + 2(-2\mu u_i u_{ip} u_p + u_{ip}^2) - (2-\tau) \sum_l (-2\mu u_l u_{lp} u_p + u_{lp}^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\mu u_p \left[(U_{iip}^t + 2u_i u_{ip} - (2-\tau) \sum_l u_l u_{lp}) \right] + 2\mu u_{pi}^2 + \frac{2\mu(1-\tau)}{n-2} \sum_l u_{lp}^2 \right) \\
&\quad - C \sum_i G^{ii} (1 + \Delta u) \\
&\geq \left(\left[\frac{2\mu(1-\tau)}{n-2} - 2 + \tau \right] (\Delta u)^2 - C\Delta u - C \right) \sum_i G^{ii} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,p} G^{ii} U_{iipp}^t + 2\mu \sum_{i,p} u_p G^{ii} U_{iip}^t.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using (3.8) and (3.9), we deduce that

$$(3.22) \quad 0 \geq \left(\left[\frac{2\mu(1-\tau)}{n-2} - (2-\tau) \right] (\Delta u)^2 - C\Delta u - C \right) \sum_i G^{ii}$$

$$(3.23) \quad + C \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} G_l + 1 \right) (\Delta u + 1).$$

Since $\tau < 1$, we may choose μ large to dominate the $(2-\tau)$ term (this is the point where the assumption $\tau < 1$ is crucial). Choosing $\mu > \frac{(2-\tau)(n-2)}{2(1-\tau)}$ and using the inequality (3.5),

we conclude at x_0 from the inequality above

$$C \geq |\Delta u|^2.$$

So, we complete the proof. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

In this section, we use the degree theory for nonlinear elliptic equation developed in [45] to prove Theorem 1.1. After establishing the a priori estimates Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.8, we know that the equation (2.2) is uniformly elliptic if we notice (3.5) for the case $l = 0$

$$(3.24) \quad \sigma_{k-1}(U^t) \geq C > 0.$$

From Evans-Krylov estimates [14, 39], and Schauder estimates, we have

$$(3.25) \quad |u|_{C^{4,\delta}(M)} \leq C$$

for any solution u to the equation (2.2) with $\lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$, where $0 < \delta < 1$. Recalling the equation (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} G(U^t) &:= \frac{\sigma_k(U^t)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)} - \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \left([(1-t)c + t\alpha_l(x)] \exp[2(k-l)u] \sigma_l(U^t) \right)}{\sigma_{k-1}(U^t)} \\ &= -t\alpha \exp(2u), \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$, $e = (1, \dots, 1)$,

$$(3.26) \quad c = \frac{\sigma_k(e)}{\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \sigma_l(e)},$$

and

$$U^t = \nabla^2 u + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \Delta u g_0 + \frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g_0 - du \otimes du - t A_{g_0}^\tau + (1-t)g_0.$$

Then we consider a family of the mappings for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$F(., t) : C_0^{4,\delta}(M) \rightarrow C^{2,\delta}(M),$$

which is defined by

$$F(u; t) := G(U^t) + t\alpha \exp(2u),$$

where

$$C_0^{4,\delta}(M) = \{u \in C^{4,\delta}(M) : \lambda(U^t) \in \Gamma_{k-1}\}$$

is an open subset of $C^{4,\delta}(M)$. Let

$$\mathcal{O}_R = \{u \in C_0^{4,\delta}(M) : |u|_{C^{4,\delta}(M)} < R\},$$

which clearly is also an open subset of $C^{4,\delta}(M)$. Moreover, if R is sufficiently large, $F(u; t) = 0$ has no solution on $\partial \mathcal{O}_R$ by (3.24) and the a prior estimate established in

(3.25). Therefore the degree $\deg(F(\cdot; t), \mathcal{O}_R, 0)$ is well-defined for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Using the homotopic invariance of the degree, we have

$$\deg(F(\cdot; 1), \mathcal{O}_R, 0) = \deg(F(\cdot; 0), \mathcal{O}_R, 0).$$

When $t = 0$, (2.2) becomes

$$(3.27) \quad \sigma_k(U^0) - c \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \exp[2(k-l)u] \sigma_l(U^0) = 0$$

with

$$U^0 = \nabla^2 u + \frac{1-\tau}{n-2} \Delta u g_0 + \frac{2-\tau}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g_0 - du \otimes du + g_0.$$

Lemma 3.9. *$u = 0$ is the unique solution for (3.27).*

Proof. Assume x and y are the maximum and minimum points of u respectively. Then we obtain by (3.27),

$$\sigma_k(e) \leq c \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \exp[2(k-l)u(x)] \sigma_l(e),$$

which implies by the definition (3.24) of c

$$u(x) \geq 1.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sigma_k(e) \geq c \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} \exp[2(k-l)u(x)] \sigma_l(e),$$

which implies

$$u(y) \leq 1.$$

Thus $u \equiv 0$. □

Lemma 3.9 shows that $u = 0$ is the unique solution to the equation (2.2) for $t = 0$. Let $u(x, s)$ be the variation of $u = 0$ such that $u'_s = \varphi$ at $s = 0$. Then

$$\delta_\varphi F(0; 0) = a_{ij} \varphi_{ij} + \text{1st derivatives in } \varphi - c \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2(k-l) \sigma_l(e)}{\sigma_{k-1}(e)} \varphi,$$

where a_{ij} is a positive definite matrix and $\delta F(0; 0)$ is the linearized operator of F at $u = 0$. Clearly, $\delta F(0; 0)$ is an invertible operator. Therefore,

$$\deg(F(\cdot; 1), \mathcal{O}_R; 0) = \deg(F(\cdot; 0), \mathcal{O}_R, 0) = \pm 1.$$

So, we obtain a solution at $t = 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin, Equations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 55(9) (1976) 269-296.
- [2] T.P. Branson, A.R. Gover, Variational status of a class of fully nonlinear curvature prescription problems. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 32(2) (2008) 253-262.
- [3] S. Brendle, J. Viaclovsky, A variational characterization for $\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}$, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 20 (2004) 399-402.
- [4] S. Brendle, F.C. Marques, Blow-up phenomena for the Yamabe equations II, $25 \leq n \leq 51$. *J. Diff. Geom.* 81 (2009) 225-250.
- [5] L.A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations, I: Monge-Ampère equation, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* 37 (1984) 369-402.
- [6] L.A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations III, Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian, *Acta Math.* 155 (1985) 261-301.
- [7] S.Y.A Chang, M.J. Gursky, P.C. Yang, An equation of Monge-Ampère type in conformal geometry, and four-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature, *Ann. of Math.* 155(2) (2002) 709-787.
- [8] S.Y.A Chang, M.J. Gursky, P.C. Yang, An a priori estimate for a fully nonlinear equation on four-manifolds, *J. Anal. Math.* 87 (2002) 151-186.
- [9] L. Chen, X. Guo, Y. He, A class of fully nonlinear equations arising in conformal geometry, preprint.
- [10] S. Chen, Local estimates for some fully nonlinear elliptic equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 55 (2005) 3403-3425.
- [11] S. Chen, Boundary value problems for some fully nonlinear elliptic equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 30(1) (2007) 1-15.
- [12] S. Chen, Conformal deformation on manifolds with boundary, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 19(4),(2009) 1029-1064.
- [13] J.F. Escobar, The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary, *J. Diff. Geom.* 35(1) (1992) 21-84.
- [14] L.C. Evans, Classical solutions of fully nonlinear, convex, second-order elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 35(3) (1982) 333-363.
- [15] J.X. Fu, S.T. Yau, A Monge-Ampère type equation motivated by string theory, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 15 (2007) 29-76.
- [16] J.X. Fu, S.T. Yau, The theory of superstring with flux on non-Kähler manifolds and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, *J. Diff. Geom.* 78 (2008) 369-428.
- [17] C. Gerhardt, Curvature problems, *Series in Geometry and Topology*, vol. 39, International Press of Boston Inc., Somerville, 2006.
- [18] B. Guan, Conformal metrics with prescribed curvature functions on manifolds with boundary, *Amer. J. Math.* 129(4) (2007) 915-942.
- [19] B. Guan, Complete conformal metrics of negative Ricci curvature on compact manifolds with boundary, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN.* (2008) <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnn105>.
- [20] Y.X. Ge, C.S. Lin, G.F. Wang, On the σ_2 -scalar curvature, *J. Diff. Geom.* 84(1) (2010) 45-86.
- [21] Y.X. Ge, G.F. Wang, On a conformal quotient equation. II, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 21(1) (2013) 1-38.
- [22] Y.X. Ge, G.F. Wang, On a conformal quotient equation, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2007 (6), Art. ID rnm019, 32 pp.
- [23] Y.X. Ge, G.F. Wang, On a fully nonlinear Yamabe problem, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 39(4) (2006) 569-598.
- [24] M. González, Y.Y. Li, L. Nguyen, Existence and uniqueness to a fully nonlinear version of the Loewner-Nirenberg problem, *Commun. Math. Stat.* 6(3) (2018) 269-288.
- [25] P.F. Guan, G.F. Wang, Local estimates for a class of fully nonlinear equations arising from conformal geometry, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 26 (2003) 1413-1432.
- [26] P.F. Guan, G.F. Wang, A fully nonlinear conformal flow on locally conformally flat manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 557 (2003) 219-238.

- [27] P.F. Guan, X.W. Zhang, A class of curvature type equations, to appear in Pure and Applied Math Quarterly, preprint.arXiv:1909.03645.
- [28] M.J. Gursky, J. Streets, M. Warren, Existence of complete conformal metrics of negative Ricci curvature on manifolds with boundary, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 41(1-2) (2011) 21-43.
- [29] M.J. Gursky, J. Viaclovsky, Fully nonlinear equations on Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature, *Indiana U. Math. J.* 52 (2003) 399-419.
- [30] M.J. Gursky, J. Viaclovsky, Prescribing symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor, *Ann. Math.* 166 (2007) 475-531.
- [31] R. Harvey, H. Lawson, Calibrated geometries, *Acta. Math.* 148 (1982) 47-157.
- [32] Y. He, W.M. Sheng, On existence of the prescribing k -curvature problem on manifolds with boundary, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 19 (2011) 53-77.
- [33] Y. He, W.M. Sheng, Local estimates for some elliptic equations arising from conformal geometry, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2 (2013) 258-290.
- [34] G. Huisken, C. Sinestrari, Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces, *Acta Math.* 183(1) (1999) 45-70.
- [35] F.D. Jiang, N.S. Trudinger, Oblique boundary value problems for augmented Hessian equations III, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 44(8) (2019) 708-748.
- [36] F.D. Jiang, N.S. Trudinger, Oblique boundary value problems for augmented Hessian equations I, *Bull. Math. Sci.* 8(2) (2018) 353-411.
- [37] F.D. Jiang, N.S. Trudinger, Oblique boundary value problems for augmented Hessian equations II, *Nonlinear Anal.* 154 (2017) 148-173.
- [38] Q.N. Jin, A. Li, Y.Y. Li, Estimates and existence results for a fully nonlinear Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 28 (2007) 509-543.
- [39] N.V. Krylov, Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations in a domain, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 47(1) (1983) 75-108.
- [40] N.V. Krylov, On the general notion of fully nonlinear second order elliptic equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347(3) (1995) 857-895.
- [41] M.A. Khuri, F.C. Marques, R.M. Schoen, A compactness theorem for the Yamabe problem, *J. Diff. Geom.* 81 (2009) 143-96.
- [42] A.B. Li, Y.Y. Li, On some conformally invariant fully nonlinear equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 56 (2003) 1416-1464.
- [43] A.B. Li, Y.Y. Li, A fully nonlinear version of the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 8 (2006) 295-316.
- [44] J.Y. Li, W.M. Sheng, Deforming metrics with negative curvature by a fully nonlinear flow, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 23 (2005) 33-50.
- [45] Y.Y. Li, Degree theory for second order nonlinear elliptic operators and its applications, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 14 (1989) 1541-1578.
- [46] Y.Y. Li, L. Nguyen, A compactness theorem for a fully nonlinear Yamabe problem under a lower Ricci curvature bound, *J. Funct. Anal.* 266(6) (2014) 3741-3771.
- [47] G. Lieberman, Second order parabolic differential equations. World Scientific, 1996.
- [48] M. Lin M, N.S. Trudinger, On some inequalities for elementary symmetric functions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 50 (1994) 317-326.
- [49] D. Phong, S. Picard, X.W. Zhang, The Fu-Yau equation with negative slope parameter, *Invent. Math.* 209 (2017) 541-576.
- [50] D. Phong, S. Picard, X.W. Zhang, On estimates for the Fu-Yau generalization of a Strominger system, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 751 (2019) 243-274.
- [51] D. Phong, S. Picard, X.W. Zhang, Fu-Yau Hessian Equations, arXiv:1801.09842, to appear in *J. Diff. Geom.*

- [52] Schoen R, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature, J. Diff. Geom. 20 (1984) 479-495.
- [53] R. Schneider, Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, Second edition, No. 151. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [54] W.M. Sheng , N.S. Trudinger, X.J. Wang. The Yamabe problem for higher order curvatures, J. Differ. Geom. 77, (2007) 515-553.
- [55] W.M. Sheng, L.X. Yuan, The k -Yamabe flow on manifolds with boundary, Nonlinear Anal. 82 (2013) 127-141.
- [56] W.M. Sheng, Y. Zhang, A class of fully nonlinear equations arising from conformal geometry, Math. Z. 255(1) (2007) 17-34.
- [57] Z.N. Sui, Complete conformal metrics of negative Ricci curvature on Euclidean spaces, J. Geom. Anal. 27(1) (2017) 893-907.
- [58] N.S. Trudinger, The Dirichlet problem for the prescribed curvature equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 111(2) (1990) 153-179.
- [59] N.S. Trudinger, Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 22(3), (1968) 265-274.
- [60] J. Viaclovsky, Conformal geometry, contact geometry, and the calculus of variations, Duke Math. J. 101 (2000) 283-316.
- [61] J. Viaclovsky, Conformally invariant Monge-Ampère equations: global solutions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352(9) (2000) 4371-4379.
- [62] J. Viaclovsky, Estimates and existence results for some fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Anal. Geom. 10(4) (2002) 815-846.
- [63] X.J. Wang, A priori estimates and existence for a class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations in conformal geometry, Chinese Ann. Math. B. 27, (2006) 1-10.
- [64] H. Yamabe, On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds, Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960) 21-37.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: chenli@hubu.edu.cn

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: helenaign@hotmail.com