

Virasoro hair and entropy for axisymmetric Killing horizons

Lin-Qing Chen,^{1, 2, 3, *} Wan Zhen Chua,^{2, 4, †} Shuwei Liu,^{2, 4, ‡} Antony J. Speranza,^{2, §} and Bruno de S. L. Torres^{2, 4, 5, ¶}

¹*Dean's Research Group, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology,
1919-1 Tancha, Onna-son, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan*

²*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline St. N, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada*

³*Centre for Quantum Information and Communication, École polytechnique de Bruxelles,
CP 165, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1050 Brussels, Belgium*

⁴*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada*

⁵*Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, São Paulo, 01140-070, Brazil*

We show that the gravitational phase space for the near-horizon region of a bifurcate, axisymmetric Killing horizon in any dimension admits a 2D conformal symmetry algebra with central charges proportional to the area. This extends the construction of [Haco et. al., JHEP 12, 098 (2018)] to generic Killing horizons appearing in solutions of Einstein's equations, and motivates a holographic description in terms of a 2D conformal field theory. The Cardy entropy in such a field theory agrees with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the horizon, suggesting a microscopic interpretation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Bekenstein-Hawking black hole entropy $S_{\text{BH}} = A/4G$ [1–3] presents a challenge to quantum gravity to provide a microscopic explanation. One proposal is that the entropy counts edge degrees of freedom living on the horizon, and is controlled by boundary symmetries [4–6]. This idea was strikingly realized in Strominger's derivation of the BTZ black hole entropy, using the Cardy formula for a conformal field theory (CFT) with the Brown-Henneaux central charge [7–10]. Much subsequent work has been devoted to generalizing this construction to other contexts.

Carlip in particular demonstrated that the conformal symmetries were not special to AdS_3 black holes; rather, they arise for generic Killing horizons. In all cases, postulating a CFT description led to agreement between the Cardy entropy and S_{BH} [11–17]. Although very insightful, certain aspects of Carlip's construction raised additional questions. The symmetry generators had to satisfy periodicity conditions whose justifications were obscure, and only a single copy of the Virasoro algebra was found, whereas the 2D conformal algebra consists of two copies, $\text{Vir}_R \times \text{Vir}_L$ [18, 19]. The Kerr/CFT correspondence [20, 21] provided some clarity, by making the connection between near horizon symmetries and holographic duality more explicit, allowing intuition from AdS/CFT to be applied. As a byproduct, it also motivated a different choice of near-horizon symmetry generators whose periodicities followed from the rotational symmetry of the

Kerr black hole, thereby resolving one issue in Carlip's original construction [22, 23]. Another significant advance came from Haco, Hawking, Perry, and Strominger (HHPS) [24], who exhibited a full set of $\text{Vir}_R \times \text{Vir}_L$ symmetries for Kerr black holes of arbitrary nonzero spin. This work was generalized to Schwarzschild black holes using a different collection of symmetry generators in [19].

The present work will demonstrate that arbitrary bifurcate, axisymmetric Killing horizons possess a full set of conformal symmetries, which act on edge degrees of freedom, or “hairs” [25, 26], on the horizon. We emphasize how these symmetries arise from generic properties of the near-horizon geometry, clarifying the geometric origin of the symmetries and greatly extending the regime of applicability of similar soft hair constructions. Furthermore, we show that conformal coordinates can always be found which foliate the near-horizon region by locally AdS_3 geometries, giving rise to symmetry vector fields satisfying a $\text{Witt}_R \times \text{Witt}_L$ algebra in the vicinity of the horizon. The coordinates depend on two free parameters, α and β , which are related to the CFT temperatures T_R , T_L using properties of the near horizon vacuum.

When the symmetries generated by the vector fields are implemented canonically on the gravitational phase space, the algebra is extended to $\text{Vir}_R \times \text{Vir}_L$, with central charges c_R and c_L determined in terms of the area and angular momentum of the horizon according to (28) and (29). Imposing a constraint on α and β , motivated by integrability of the charges generating the symmetry, sets the central charges equal to each other and proportional to the horizon area according to (31). We note that this choice of temperatures is a novel discovery of the present work, and differs from the choice made in [24, 27] for Kerr. More generally, (31) applies for any choice of α and β when appropriate Wald-Zoupas terms are used to

* linqing.nehc@gmail.com

† wchua@perimeterinstitute.ca

‡ sliu@perimeterinstitute.ca

§ asperanz@gmail.com

¶ bdesouzaleaortorres@perimeterinstitute.ca

define the quasilocal charges [28, 29]. The Cardy formula [9] with the central charges (31) reproduces the entropy of the horizon, suggesting a dual description in terms of a CFT. This result therefore motivates investigations into holography for arbitrary Killing horizons, including the de Sitter cosmological horizon, and nonrotating and higher dimensional black holes.

II. NEAR-HORIZON EXPANSION

We are interested in the form of the metric near a bifurcate, axisymmetric Killing horizon in a solution to Einstein's equations in dimension $d \geq 3$. Axisymmetry means that, in addition to the horizon-generating Killing vector χ^a , there is a commuting, rotational Killing vector ψ^a with closed orbits. Axisymmetric horizons are of interest since, by the rigidity theorems, all black hole solutions are of this form [30–33]. In situations where the horizon possesses more than one rotational Killing vector, we simply single out one and proceed with the construction.

The conformal symmetries of the horizon are found by first constructing a system of “conformal coordinates”, designed to exhibit a locally AdS_3 factor in the metric when expanded near the bifurcation surface. The asymptotic symmetries of this AdS_3 factor comprise the conformal symmetries of the horizon. We first define Rindler coordinates near the bifurcation surface using a construction of Carlip [12], suitably modified to incorporate the additional rotational symmetry. The gradient of χ^2 defines a radial vector

$$\rho^a = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \nabla^a \chi^2, \quad (1)$$

where κ is the surface gravity of χ^a . On the horizon, ρ^a and χ^a coincide, but off the horizon, ρ^a is independent. The vectors (χ^a, ψ^a, ρ^a) mutually commute, and hence can form part of a coordinate basis with coordinates (t, ϕ, r_*) . The remaining transverse coordinates are denoted θ^A . It is convenient to reparameterize the radial coordinate

$$x = \frac{1}{\kappa} e^{\kappa r_*}, \quad (2)$$

which has the interpretation of proper geodesic distance to the bifurcation surface to leading order near the horizon.

In these coordinates, the near-horizon metric takes on Rindler form,

$$ds^2 = -\kappa^2 x^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + \psi^2 d\phi^2 + q_{AB} d\theta^A d\theta^B - 2x^2 dt(\kappa N_\phi d\phi + \kappa N_A d\theta^A) + \dots \quad (3)$$

where the dots represent terms at $\mathcal{O}(x^2)$ or higher that do not enter the remainder of the calculation (see appendix

A for additional details on this expansion). Except for κ , all coefficients appearing in the above expansion are functions of θ^A .

The conformal coordinates are now defined, in analogy to similar constructions in [24, 27], as¹

$$w^+ = x e^{\alpha\phi + \kappa t} \quad (4)$$

$$w^- = x e^{\beta\phi - \kappa t} \quad (5)$$

$$y = e^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\phi} \quad (6)$$

(see appendix B for a concrete realization in the example of de Sitter space, and appendix C for a discussion of the Kerr).

Here, α and β are free parameters that will later be related to the left and right temperatures of the system. Because $x e^{\kappa t}$ and $x e^{-\kappa t}$ are simply the Kruskal coordinates V, U near the bifurcation surface, the future horizon is at $w^- = 0$ and the past horizon is $w^+ = 0$ (see figure 1 for a visualization of the conformal coordinates in the near horizon region). Due to the periodicity $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$, the conformal coordinates must be identified according to

$$(w^+, w^-, y) \sim (e^{2\pi\alpha} w^+, e^{2\pi\beta} w^-, e^{\pi(\alpha+\beta)} y). \quad (7)$$

The near-horizon expansion in these coordinates becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{dw^+ dw^-}{y^2} + \frac{4\psi^2}{(\alpha+\beta)^2} \frac{dy^2}{y^2} + q_{AB} d\theta^A d\theta^B - \frac{2dy}{(\alpha+\beta)y^3} \left((\beta + N_\phi) w^- dw^+ + (\alpha - N_\phi) w^+ dw^- \right) - \left(\frac{w^- dw^+}{y^2} - \frac{w^+ dw^-}{y^2} \right) \kappa N_A d\theta^A + \dots \quad (8)$$

up to higher order terms in w^+, w^- . The first line takes the form of a locally AdS_3 metric with a θ^A -dependent radius of curvature $\ell = \frac{2|\psi|}{\alpha+\beta}$, times a transverse metric. In intuitive words, the conformal coordinates zoom in on the near horizon region through the lens of $e^{\alpha\phi}, e^{\beta\phi}$ attached to the Kruskal coordinate, and bring out the AdS_3 folia explicitly.

These coordinates allow for a straightforward determination of the near horizon symmetry generators. They are the asymptotic symmetry vectors of the AdS_3 factor in (8), where asymptotic refers to $y \rightarrow 0$. The vectors are defined as in HHPS [24]

$$\zeta_\varepsilon^a = \varepsilon(w^+) \partial_+^a + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon'(w^+) y \partial_y^a \quad (9)$$

$$\xi_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^a = \bar{\varepsilon}(w^-) \partial_-^a + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}'(w^-) y \partial_y^a, \quad (10)$$

¹ In the case of Kerr, these coordinates are rescaled by a function of θ from the coordinates used by HHPS; however, doing so does not substantially change the construction. Also, ϕ is the comoving angular variable, as opposed to the standard Boyer-Lindquist ϕ . See appendix C for details on the expansion for Kerr.




FIG. 1. Plot of the bifurcate Killing horizon (yellow) with three spirals depicting intersections of constant w^+, w^- . From top to bottom, they correspond to $w^+/w^- = (5, 1, 1/5)$, with the same value of the product w^+w^- . They penetrate into any fixed- x hyperbola, shown in light brown, at the same y . The arrows indicate the direction of increasing y on approaching the bifurcation surface.

and one can readily verify that the Lie derivative of the first line of (8) with respect to these vectors vanishes up to $\mathcal{O}(y^{-3})$ terms. *A priori*, $\varepsilon(w^+)$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}(w^-)$ are arbitrary functions, but in light of the periodicity condition (7), the vector fields are single-valued only when $\varepsilon(w^+e^{2\pi\alpha}) = \varepsilon(w^+)e^{2\pi\alpha}$, $\bar{\varepsilon}(w^-e^{2\pi\beta}) = \bar{\varepsilon}(w^-)e^{2\pi\beta}$. A basis for such functions is

$$\varepsilon_n(w^+) = \alpha (w^+)^{1+\frac{in}{\alpha}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_n(w^-) = -\beta (w^-)^{1-\frac{in}{\beta}}, \quad (12)$$

and their corresponding generators will be labeled as ζ_n^a, ξ_n^a . The algebra satisfied by these vector fields upon taking Lie brackets is two commuting copies of the Witt algebra,

$$[\zeta_m, \zeta_n] = i(n-m)\zeta_{m+n} \quad (13)$$

$$[\xi_m, \xi_n] = i(n-m)\xi_{m+n}. \quad (14)$$

The generators are defined in a neighborhood of the bifurcation surface, but oscillate wildly as it is approached. The ζ_n^a generators are regular on the future horizon but not the past, and similarly ξ_n^a are regular at the past horizon, but not the future. The zero mode generators ζ_0^a and ξ_0^a are regular everywhere, given by two helical Killing vectors

$$\zeta_0^a = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\kappa} \chi^a + \psi^a \right) \quad (15)$$

$$\xi_0^a = \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \chi^a - \psi^a \right). \quad (16)$$

The expressions (15) lead to the interpretation of α and β in terms of the right and left temperatures. The analog of the Frolov-Thorne vacuum [34] for quantum fields near the bifurcation surface is thermal with respect to the χ^a Killing vector. The density matrix is therefore of the form $\rho \sim \exp(-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}\omega_\chi)$, where $\omega_\chi = -k_a\chi^a$ is the frequency with respect to χ^a for a wavevector k_a . Reexpressing it in terms of ζ_0^a, ξ_0^a frequencies via

$$\omega_\chi = -k_a \left(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \zeta_0^a + \frac{\kappa}{\beta} \xi_0^a \right) = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \omega_R + \frac{\kappa}{\beta} \omega_L \quad (17)$$

shows that $\rho \sim \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\omega_R - \frac{2\pi}{\beta}\omega_L\right)$, allowing us to read off the temperatures $(T_R, T_L) = (\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}, \frac{\beta}{2\pi})$ as the thermodynamic potentials conjugate to ζ_0^a, ξ_0^a [20].

III. CENTRAL CHARGES

Having identified the near-horizon symmetry generators (9) and (10), the next step is to implement them on the gravitational phase space. This involves identifying Hamiltonians H_n, \bar{H}_n that generate the symmetries associated with ζ_n^a, ξ_n^a , meaning

$$\delta H_n = \Omega(\delta g_{ab}, \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n} g_{ab}) \quad (18)$$

where Ω is the symplectic form of the phase space.

Assuming integrable Hamiltonians can be found, their Poisson brackets automatically reproduce the algebra satisfied by the vector fields (14), up to central extensions,

$$\{H_m, H_n\} = -i[(n-m)H_{m+n} + K_R(m, n)] \quad (19)$$

$$\{\bar{H}_m, \bar{H}_n\} = -i[(n-m)\bar{H}_{m+n} + K_L(m, n)] \quad (20)$$

Since the Witt algebra has a unique nontrivial central extension to Virasoro, the central terms in the above expression must be of the form

$$K_{R,L}(m, n) = \frac{c_{R,L}}{12} (m^3 - m) \delta_{m+n, 0} \quad (21)$$

where the constants $c_{R,L}$ are the central charges.

Using the covariant phase space formalism and standard Iyer-Wald identities [35–38], the right hand side of (18) can be expressed on-shell as

$$\Omega(\delta g_{ab}, \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n} g_{ab}) = \int_{\partial\Sigma} (\delta Q_{\zeta_n} - i_{\zeta_n} \theta) \quad (22)$$

where the integral is over the boundary of a Cauchy surface Σ for the exterior region of the Killing horizon. The other quantities appearing in (22) are the Noether potential $(d-2)$ -form

$$Q_{\zeta_n} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \epsilon^a{}_b \nabla_a \zeta_n^b \quad (23)$$

and the symplectic potential $(d-1)$ -form,

$$\theta = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \epsilon^a (\nabla^b \delta g_{ab} - g^{bc} \nabla_a \delta g_{bc}). \quad (24)$$

In these expressions, ϵ denotes the spacetime volume form, with uncontracted indices not displayed.

The zero mode generators ζ_0^a, ξ_0^a are Killing vectors whose corresponding Hamiltonians are

$$H_0 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \left(\frac{\beta A}{8\pi G} + J_H \right) \quad (25)$$

$$\bar{H}_0 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \left(\frac{\alpha A}{8\pi G} - J_H \right), \quad (26)$$

where A is the area of the bifurcation surface \mathcal{B} , and

$$J_H \equiv \int_{\mathcal{B}} Q_\psi = \frac{1}{4G} \int d\theta^A \sqrt{q} |\psi| N_\phi \quad (27)$$

is the angular momentum of the Killing horizon. J_H agrees with the total angular momentum J in an asymptotically flat vacuum solution, but generally differs when matter is present outside the horizon [39]. The remaining generators with $n \neq 0$ vanish in the Killing horizon background, because the vector fields ζ_n^a, ξ_{-n}^a have an $e^{in\phi}$ angular dependence, which integrates to zero on the axially symmetric horizon. Of course, the variations of these other generators are nonzero.

The Poisson bracket only involves variations of the Hamiltonians, and hence can be computed directly from (18). According to (19) and (21), the central charge appears as the coefficient of the m^3 term in $\{H_m, H_{-m}\}$. Because of the singular limit in the generators ζ_m^a , the integral that computes the bracket cannot be evaluated directly on the bifurcation surface. Instead, we work on a cutoff surface at constant x and t , and perform the integration before taking the limit $x \rightarrow 0$. The details of this calculation are given in appendix D, and results in the central charge

$$c_R = \frac{24}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \left(\frac{\beta A}{8\pi G} + J_H \right). \quad (28)$$

An analogous calculation for $\{\bar{H}_m, \bar{H}_{-m}\}$ yields

$$c_L = \frac{24}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \left(\frac{\alpha A}{8\pi G} - J_H \right). \quad (29)$$

IV. TEMPERATURE AND ENTROPY

Up to now, we have carried out the full calculation with arbitrary temperatures. However, experience with asymptotic symmetries in AdS₃ [10] suggests that the left and right central charges should be equal. By equating (28) and (29), we arrive at the condition

$$\alpha - \beta = \frac{16\pi G J_H}{A}, \quad (30)$$

which, notably, differs from the choice of temperatures employed by HHPS for Kerr black holes [24, 27]. With this constraint, the central charges are proportional to the horizon area,

$$c_R = c_L = \frac{3A}{2\pi G(\alpha + \beta)}. \quad (31)$$

It is natural to conjecture that the condition (30) arises from imposing appropriate boundary conditions that ensure the charges H_n, \bar{H}_n are integrable.

This conjecture turns out to be correct, as was recently demonstrated in [29], which carried out a systematic analysis of θ appearing in (22) pulled back to the future or past horizon. The main point is that the part of θ that can be written as a total variation, $-\delta\ell$, contributes to the Hamiltonians H_n, \bar{H}_n , and in order for the values computed for H_0, \bar{H}_0 on the past horizon to agree with their values computed on the future horizon, the expression for ℓ must be the same on each horizon. Furthermore, reference [29] showed that the central charges can be expressed in terms of ℓ and its variation, and since the same quantity is used on the past and future horizon, one can derive that the central charges must be equal. On the other hand, when the charges are integrable, the calculations leading to (28) and (29) remain valid, and hence α and β must be chosen to ensure that $c_R = c_L$, which produces equation (30).

The results of [29] further allow us to work out the boundary conditions that need to be imposed at the future \mathcal{H}^+ or past horizon \mathcal{H}^- to arrive at integrable generators.² One of the conditions is local,

$$g^{ab} \delta g_{ab} \stackrel{\mathcal{H}^\pm}{=} 0, \quad (32)$$

while the second condition is a weaker, integrated condition over the transverse θ^A directions,

$$\int d\theta^A \sqrt{q} |\psi| (\delta k - k q^{ab} \delta g_{ab} + 2\varpi^a \chi^b \delta g_{ab}) = 0, \quad (33)$$

where k is the inaffinity,³ defined by $\chi^a \nabla_a \chi^b = k \chi^b$, $\varpi_b = -q_b^a n^c \nabla_a \chi_c$ is the Hájíček one-form, n^c is an auxiliary transverse null vector to the horizon, and $q^{ab} = g^{ab} + n^a \chi^b + \chi^a n^b$. The variations in this expression are taken holding χ_a fixed; see appendix E for additional details on these quantities and their variations.

Since the near-horizon gravitational phase space exhibits $\text{Vir}_R \times \text{Vir}_L$ symmetry, considerations from holographic duality suggest that its quantum description is

² For a derivation that explores alternative boundary conditions, see [40].

³ Although in the background k is the same as the surface gravity κ , we use a different letter to allow κ to be fixed constant, while $\delta k \neq 0$.

given by a 2D CFT. In such a theory, unitarity and modular invariance determines the asymptotic density of states via the Cardy formula. Using the temperatures $(T_R, T_L) = (\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}, \frac{\beta}{2\pi})$ derived from properties of the Frolov-Thorne vacuum, and central charges (31), the Cardy formula for the canonical ensemble yields an entropy [9, 41]

$$S = \frac{\pi^2}{3}(c_R T_R + c_L T_L) = \frac{A}{4G}, \quad (34)$$

which agrees with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. This therefore motivates an interpretation of the black hole microstates in terms of a dual CFT.

V. WALD-ZOUPAS TERM

Instead of imposing the boundary conditions (32) and (33), we could instead work with nonintegrable charges that are not conserved due to a loss of symplectic flux from the region outside the horizon. In this case, the Wald-Zoupas procedure gives a suitable definition of the quasilocal charges [28]. This prescription corrects δH_n by a flux contribution, constructed from terms appearing in (32) and (33) that the boundary condition would have set to zero. The bracket of the charges must then be modified, in which case the the Barnich-Troessaert bracket provides a suitable definition [42]. Doing so shifts the central charges by

$$\Delta c_R = \frac{-12}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \left((\beta - \alpha) \frac{A}{8\pi G} + 2J_H \right) \quad (35)$$

and $\Delta c_L = -\Delta c_R$ (see appendix E for details). Adding these to (28) and (29) sets the two central charges equal, and given by (31), but now with any choice of α and β . Hence, the choice of $\alpha - \beta$ described in (30) is also the unique choice which sets the Wald-Zoupas corrections to the central charges to zero. Additional details of this Wald-Zoupas prescription are given in [29].

The central charges (31) can be compared to those found by HHPS [24], whose choice of temperatures for the Kerr black hole set $\alpha + \beta = \frac{A}{8\pi G J_H}$. Substituting this into (31) reproduces their result $c_R = c_L = 12J_H$. Our results are therefore consistent with theirs, although we have demonstrated that once the Wald-Zoupas terms are included, the construction does not appear to rely on any specific choice of temperatures.

VI. DISCUSSION

The agreement between the horizon entropy and the Cardy formula with central charges (31) suggests that the quantum description of the horizon involves a CFT.

A conservative interpretation of this result is that the presence of the horizon breaks some gauge symmetry of the theory, giving rise to edge degrees of freedom [4–6]. The $\text{Vir}_R \times \text{Vir}_L$ algebra then provides a symmetry principle that constrains the quantization of these edge modes, which is strong enough to determine the asymptotic density of states accounting for the entropy. This argument holds even if the conformal symmetries are only a subset of the full horizon symmetry algebra. Other horizon symmetries can include additional rotational symmetries, supertranslations, and diffeomorphisms of the bifurcation surface [18, 25, 26, 41, 43–52], and determining how they interact with the conformal symmetries of this paper would be an interesting direction to pursue. It is also possible that a slightly different symmetry algebra can be used to fix the entropy; in particular, [53] showed that the HHPS construction can be modified to produce a Virasoro-Kac-Moody symmetry characteristic of a warped CFT. A straightforward alteration of our construction should demonstrate how to realize warped conformal symmetries on arbitrary axisymmetric Killing horizons.

A more ambitious proposal is that the near-horizon region is holographically dual to a CFT. This is in line with the Kerr/CFT correspondence [20, 21], and raises the exciting possibility of producing new interesting examples of holography for a variety of different Killing horizons. In this picture, the expression (31) can be interpreted as determining the horizon area in terms of the temperatures $(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}, \frac{\beta}{2\pi})$ and the central charge. A rather nontrivial aspect of such a proposed duality, however, is the lack of a decoupling limit for the near-horizon region, due to nonextremality, $\kappa \neq 0$. The anticipated need for Wald-Zoupas terms in defining integrable charges can be viewed as one indicator of this lack of decoupling, since they imply a loss of symplectic flux from the subregion under consideration. The CFT should therefore be an open quantum system, deformed by an operator coupling it to an auxiliary system describing the far away region. This is quite reminiscent of recent models of black hole evaporation in holography [54–57], and hence studying the holographic description of Killing horizons may lead to new insights on the black hole information problem.

These results open a number of directions for further investigation. The parameters α and β were not fully fixed by the arguments in this paper; even the condition (30) does not determine the sum $\alpha + \beta$. With the Wald-Zoupas terms, any choice of α and β leads to the correct Cardy entropy, and so it remains to be seen what other physical requirements fix their value. It may be that any choice is valid, which has some advantages because it can be used to ensure $1 \ll c_{R,L} \ll H_0, \bar{H}_0$, which is the regime in which the Cardy formula is valid. The temperatures used by HHPS were determined using the hidden conformal symmetry of scalar scattering amplitudes in the near region of Kerr [27], and we are currently investigating its implication in our construction.

A natural question is whether this construction works for other types of horizons or subregions. With mild modifications, we expect it to work for degenerate Killing horizons with $\kappa = 0$. One could also consider noncompact horizons, such as Rindler space, in which the vector field ψ^a does not have closed orbits. In these cases, one could quotient by a finite translation along ψ^a , which serves to both regulate the horizon area and to impose periodicity conditions on the generators. This should lead to a sensible notion of entropy density following from the Cardy formula. Other possible subregions to consider are cuts of a Killing horizon or more generic null surfaces [48, 49, 51, 52, 58], conformal Killing horizons [59], causal diamonds [60], Ryu-Takayanagi surfaces [61, 62], and generic subregions [45, 46].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Niayesh Afshordi, Glenn Barnich, Venkatesa Chandrasekaran, Luca Ciambelli, Stéphane Detournay, Ted Jacobson, Rob Leigh, Alex Maloney, Dominik Neuenfeld, Lee Smolin, Jie-Qiang Wu, Beni Yoshida, and Céline Zwikel for helpful discussions, and William Donnelly for comments on a draft of this work. We thank Malcolm Perry for suggesting the question of generalizing the HHPS construction to the cosmological horizon. LQC is very grateful to Vyacheslav Lysov for insightful conversations on this theme for over a year. We thank Geoffrey Compère and Adrien Fiorucci for providing the SurfaceCharges Mathematica package [21]. We are very grateful to the organizers of the Perimeter Scholars Institute Winter School, where this work was initiated. AJS thanks the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality during the Gravitational Holography program. LQC thanks Perimeter Institute for the hospitality during the 2019-2020 visit. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities. LQC thanks Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology for academic visiting funding. BSLT thanks IFT-UNESP/ICTP-SAIFR and CAPES for partial financial support. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grand No. NSF PHY-1748958.

Appendix A: Near-horizon coordinates

This appendix provides additional details leading to the Rindler expansion of the near-horizon metric (3). We set $\gamma^a = \partial_x^a$ to be the radial coordinate vector in the x

coordinate system; using (2) it is related to ρ^a by

$$\gamma^a = \frac{1}{\kappa x} \rho^a. \quad (\text{A1})$$

To see that x agrees with the proper distance to the bifurcation surface at leading order, first note that the norms of ρ^a and χ^a are related by $\rho^2 = -\chi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^4)$ [12], which implies that $\partial_{r^*}(\chi^2) = \rho^a \nabla_a \chi^2 = 2\kappa \chi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^4)$, and hence $\chi^2 = -e^{2\kappa r^*}$ to leading order near the horizon. Then we find that

$$\chi^2 = -\kappa^2 x^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^4) \quad (\text{A2})$$

and

$$\gamma^2 = 1 + \mathcal{O}(x^2) \quad (\text{A3})$$

showing that γ^a is unit normalized near the horizon, which gives its parameter x the interpretation of the proper distance.

Equations (A1) and (A2) can be used to obtain more information about the near horizon expansion of the metric. Expressing the $\mathcal{O}(x^4)$ term as $-2x^4 \kappa^2 M(\theta^A)$, where θ^A are transverse coordinates on constant- (t, x, ϕ) surfaces, we find that

$$\gamma_a = \frac{-1}{2\kappa^2 x} \nabla_a \chi^2 = \nabla_a x (1 + 4x^2 M) + x^3 \nabla_a M + \mathcal{O}(x^4). \quad (\text{A4})$$

This expression determines the expansion of the $g_{x\mu}$ components of the metric. Note that by definition, $\chi^a \gamma_a = \psi^a \gamma_a = 0$, and so g_{tx} and $g_{\phi x}$ identically vanish in this coordinate system. The coordinates (t, x, ϕ) are defined up to shifts by functions of θ^A , although demanding that $x = 0$ coincides with the bifurcation surface eliminates the shift freedom for x . By shifting $\phi \rightarrow \phi + G(\theta^A)$, we can arrange for $g_{\phi A}$ to vanish on the bifurcation surface. Note that this may spoil manifest invariance with respect to other symmetries, for example, in a Myers-Perry black hole in with angular momentum in other directions besides the ψ^a rotation [63, 64]. Furthermore, a bifurcate Killing horizon exhibits a discrete reflection symmetry through the bifurcation surface, which to leading order sends $x \rightarrow -x$ [65]. This can be used to rule out any terms appearing at $\mathcal{O}(x)$ in the near horizon expansion of the metric. These considerations result in the following form of the near horizon metric,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -\kappa^2 x^2 dt^2 + (1 + 4x^2 M) dx^2 + \psi^2 d\phi^2 + q_{BC} d\theta^B d\theta^C \\ & - 2x^2 dt (\kappa N_\phi d\phi + \kappa N_B d\theta^B) + 2x^3 \partial_B M d\theta^B dx \\ & + x^2 \left(\psi_{(1)}^2 d\phi^2 + 2\Psi_B d\phi d\theta^B + q_{BC}^{(1)} d\theta^B d\theta^C \right) \\ & + \mathcal{O}(x^4) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

where all the coefficients are functions of θ^A , with the exception of κ , which is constant by the zeroth law of black hole mechanics. Note that ψ^2 coincides with the squared norm of the rotation Killing vector ψ^a on the bifurcation surface.

The transformation between Rindler and conformal coordinates (4-6) can be inverted to obtain

$$t = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \log \left[\frac{w^+}{w^-} y^{2(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta+\alpha})} \right] \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$x = \left(\frac{w^+ w^-}{y^2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\phi = \frac{2}{\alpha + \beta} \log y. \quad (\text{A8})$$

These inverse transformations are useful when expressing the generators ζ_n^a, ξ_n^a in terms of χ^a, ψ^a , and ρ^a in (A9), (A10), and are helpful when trying to understand how the AdS_3 folia embed into the near-horizon region. Using this inverse transformation, it is straightforward to express the symmetry generators (9) and (10) in terms of ρ^a and the Killing vectors χ^a, ψ^a ,

$$\zeta_n^a = (w^+)^{\frac{in}{\alpha}} \left[\zeta_0^a - \frac{in\rho^a}{2\kappa} + \frac{in}{\alpha + \beta} \left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\kappa} \chi^a + \psi^a \right) \right] \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\xi_n^a = (w^-)^{\frac{-in}{\beta}} \left[\xi_0^a - \frac{in\rho^a}{2\kappa} + \frac{in}{\alpha + \beta} \left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2\kappa} \chi^a + \psi^a \right) \right] \quad (\text{A10})$$

with ζ_0^a, ξ_0^a given in (15) and (16).

Appendix B: de Sitter example

In the course of this work, the abstract construction described in II was largely inspired by first working out the case of 4D de Sitter space. It was later realized that a similar strategy could also be applied to Kerr, and only then was the generalization to any bifurcate axisymmetric Killing horizon found. In static coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) , the de Sitter metric reads

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{(1 - r^2/\ell^2)} + r^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $d\Omega_2^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$. In these coordinates, one makes explicit the presence of a *cosmological horizon* at $r = \ell$, which is a Killing horizon with generator $\chi^a = (\partial_t)^a$, and surface gravity $\kappa = \ell^{-1}$. The azimuthal Killing vector is $\psi^a = (\partial_\phi)^a$, and the vector ρ^a is

$$\rho^a = -\frac{r}{\ell} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \right) \partial_r^a = \partial_{r_*}^a, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where we can define the tortoise coordinate r_* at lowest order near $r = \ell$ to be

$$r_* \simeq \ell \log \sqrt{2 \left(1 - \frac{r}{\ell} \right)}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

This then implies, from the definition in Equation (2),

$$x \simeq \sqrt{2\ell(\ell - r)} \quad (\text{B4})$$

and that is precisely the proper radial distance to the horizon, up to lowest nontrivial order.

Expressing the metric (B1) with the tortoise coordinate r_* , we have near the horizon

$$ds^2 \simeq \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \right) (-dt^2 + dr_*^2) + r^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad (\text{B5})$$

and therefore radial null geodesics will approach the horizon with $t - r_* = \text{const}$ (outgoing geodesics) or $t + r_* = \text{const}$ (incoming geodesics). We can then parametrize the approach to the horizon with finite coordinate values by defining w^\pm as functions of the form $f(r_* \pm t)$, respectively; further imposing that w^\pm is proportional to x for constant t fixes the function $f(r_* \pm t) \propto e^{(r_* \pm t)/\ell}$, and adding the periodicity condition via an exponential dependence on the azimuthal angle ϕ finally leaves us with

$$\begin{aligned} w^+ &= \sqrt{2\ell(\ell - r)} e^{\alpha\phi + t/\ell}, \\ w^- &= \sqrt{2\ell(\ell - r)} e^{\beta\phi - t/\ell}, \\ y &= e^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B6})$$

Appendix C: Kerr example

The analogous construction illustrated in B in the case of Kerr is also possible. In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) , the Kerr metric is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & - \left(1 - \frac{2GMr}{\Sigma} \right) dt^2 - \frac{4GMr a \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} dt d\tilde{\phi} \\ & + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \left((r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \Delta \sin^2 \theta \right) d\tilde{\phi}^2 \\ & + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= r^2 + a^2 - 2GMr = (r - r_+)(r - r_-), \\ \Sigma &= r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

We denote the azimuthal angle of Boyer-Lindquist coordinates by $\tilde{\phi}$ to emphasize its distinction from the co-rotating angle $\phi = \tilde{\phi} - \Omega_H t$ used in the main body of

the paper. The horizon-generating Killing vector and the corresponding surface gravity for the outer horizon at $r = r_+$ are

$$\chi^a = (\partial_t)^a + \Omega_H (\partial_{\tilde{\phi}})^a, \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$\kappa = \frac{(r_+ - r_-)}{2(r_+^2 + a^2)}, \quad (\text{C4})$$

with $\Omega_H = a/(r_+^2 + a^2)$.

Up to lowest order near the horizon, one can then show that

$$\chi^2 \equiv \chi^a \chi_a \simeq -\frac{\Sigma_+(\theta)(r_+ - r_-)}{(r_+^2 + a^2)^2} (r - r_+), \quad (\text{C5})$$

where $\Sigma_+(\theta) \equiv r_+^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$. With this expression, the radial vector ρ^a is given in the vicinity of the horizon by

$$\rho^a \simeq 2\kappa(r - r_+) (\partial_r)^a = (\partial_{r^*})^a, \quad (\text{C6})$$

which implicitly defines the tortoise coordinate r_* via the equation

$$2\kappa(r - r_+) \frac{\partial r_*}{\partial r} = 1 \Rightarrow \kappa r_* = \log \sqrt{r - r_+} + C, \quad (\text{C7})$$

where C a r -independent integration constant. By picking C as a suitably chosen function of θ , we can set

$$\kappa x = e^{\kappa r_*} = \frac{\sqrt{\Sigma_+(\theta)(r_+ - r_-)}}{(r_+^2 + a^2)} (r - r_+)^{1/2}, \quad (\text{C8})$$

which makes $\chi^2 \simeq -\kappa^2 x^2$ near the horizon, and thus guarantees the Rindler-like terms in the expansion of the metric. The θ -dependence of χ^2 in this case causes the appearance of a term like $x dx d\theta$ in the expansion in (t, x, θ, ϕ) coordinates, which can be eliminated by reparametrizing $\theta = \theta' + x^2 F(\theta)$ for a suitably chosen $F(\theta)$. In this way, we have the expansion encoded in (3) in the (t, x, θ', ϕ) coordinates in Kerr.

Note that this construction remains regular in the limit that $a \rightarrow 0$, in which case it gives the near-horizon coordinates for Schwarzschild. This differs from HHPS [24], whose coordinate system breaks down in the zero spin limit.

Appendix D: Computation of central charge

The Poisson bracket to evaluate in computing the central charge is

$$\begin{aligned} \{H_m, H_n\} &= \int_{\partial\Sigma} \left(Q_{\zeta_n} - i_{\zeta_n} \theta[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_m} g] \right) = \\ &= \int_{\partial\Sigma} \left(i_{\zeta_m} \theta[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n} g] - i_{\zeta_n} \theta[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_m} g] + i_{\zeta_m} i_{\zeta_n} L - Q_{[\zeta_m, \zeta_n]} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D1})$$

where L is the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian. To arrive at the second line, we use that the generators are field-independent, $\delta\zeta_n = 0$, that $dQ_\zeta = \theta[\mathcal{L}_\zeta g] - i_\zeta L$ on shell [37], and we drop a term $di_{\zeta_m} Q_{\zeta_n}$ that integrates to zero. Then we note from (19) and (21) that the central charge just appears as the coefficient of the m^3 term, with all other terms depending linearly on m, n . Examining (D1), we find that only the θ terms contain enough derivatives to produce an m^3 contribution. Evaluation of these terms is aided by noting that

$$\theta[\mathcal{L}_\zeta g] = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \epsilon_a \left[\nabla_b (\nabla^b \zeta^a - \nabla^a \zeta^b) + 2R^a{}_c \zeta^c \right], \quad (\text{D2})$$

and the Ricci tensor term can be dropped because it will not scale as m^3 . The remaining terms involving two derivatives can be evaluated in a near horizon expansion, about $w^+ = w^- = 0$. Note that the expression cannot be evaluated directly on the bifurcation surface because the vector fields ζ_n^a are singular there for $n \neq 0$, as discussed below equation (14). Going through the calculation using the near-horizon expansion of the metric (8), we arrive at

$$i_{\zeta_m} \theta[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{-m}} g] = \frac{-im(m^2 + \alpha^2)}{8\pi G \alpha(\alpha + \beta)^2} \left(\beta + N_\phi \right) \frac{|\psi|}{w^+} dw^+ \wedge \mu, \quad (\text{D3})$$

where $\mu = \sqrt{q} d\theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\theta^{d-3}$ is the volume form for the transverse θ^A directions. The expression for $-i_{\zeta_{-m}} \theta[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_m} g]$ is the same. The central charge is obtained by integrating the coefficient of m^3 over a cutoff surface at fixed value of x and t , and then taking the limit to the bifurcation surface, which drops all terms regular in w^+, w^- . On such a cutoff surface, as ϕ varies over its range $(0, 2\pi)$, w^+ goes from w_0^+ to $w_0^+ e^{2\pi\alpha}$. Since $\int_{w_0^+}^{w_0^+ e^{2\pi\alpha}} \frac{dw^+}{w^+} = 2\pi\alpha$, we find that

$$c_R = \frac{6}{G(\alpha + \beta)^2} \int d\theta^A \sqrt{q} |\psi| \left(\beta + N_\phi \right). \quad (\text{D4})$$

The first term is simply proportional to the area of the horizon, $A = 2\pi \int d\theta^A \sqrt{q} |\psi|$, while the second term is proportional to J_H (27).

Appendix E: Wald-Zoupas term

Here, we provide some details on how to arrive at (35) using the Wald-Zoupas prescription identified from the analysis of [29]. The proposed definition for the quasilocal Hamiltonian on the future horizon \mathcal{H}^+ is

$$\delta H_n = \Omega(\delta g_{ab}, \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n} g_{ab}) + \int_{\partial\Sigma} i_{\zeta_n} \mathcal{E}, \quad (\text{E1})$$

where $\partial\Sigma$ lies on a cut of the future horizon, and the flux \mathcal{E} is a $(d-1)$ -form given by

$$\frac{\eta}{16\pi G} \left(2\varpi^a \chi^b \delta g_{ab} + \delta k - k q^{ab} \delta g_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} k g^{ab} \delta g_{ab} \right) \quad (\text{E2})$$

where η is the volume form induced on \mathcal{H}^+ after specifying that χ_a is the null normal, and the inaffinity k and Hájíček one-form ϖ_a are defined below equation (33). Additional terms in the flux depending on the expansion and shear vanish since we are working on a Killing horizon. The first term involving the Hájíček one-form was the Wald-Zoupas counterterm employed by HHPS in [24], but the additional terms are important to ensure independence of the choice of auxiliary null vector n_a [29]. Note also that this choice of Wald-Zoupas flux coincides with the integrable boundary term described in section 5.2 of [29], but differs from the Dirichlet boundary term considered elsewhere in that work.

The variation δk is defined holding χ_a fixed, which yields the expression

$$\delta k = (kn^b - \varpi^b) \chi^a \delta g_{ab} + \chi_c \chi^a n^b \delta \Gamma_{ab}^c, \quad (\text{E3})$$

and its variation under the transformation generated by ζ_n^a is

$$\delta_{\zeta_n} k = - (w^+)^\alpha \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} n(n - i\alpha). \quad (\text{E4})$$

The Hájíček form on the future horizon evaluates to

$$\varpi_a = N_\phi \nabla_a \phi + N_A \nabla_a \theta^A. \quad (\text{E5})$$

The contraction of ζ_n^a into η on \mathcal{H}^+ near the bifurcation surface is given by

$$i_{\zeta_n} \eta = (w^+)^\alpha \frac{\alpha}{\kappa(\alpha + \beta)} (\beta - in) \mu, \quad (\text{E6})$$

where μ is the volume form on a cut of constant x, t .

The correction to the central charge is found by computing the brackets of the quasilocal Hamiltonians using the Barnich-Troessaert bracket [42],

$$\{H_m, H_n\} = \Omega(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_m} g, \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n} g) + \int_{\partial\Sigma} (i_{\zeta_n} \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_m} g] - i_{\zeta_m} \mathcal{E}[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n} g]), \quad (\text{E7})$$

where the second line determines the correction to the central charges. Evaluating $\{H_m, H_{-m}\}$ using the expression (E2) for \mathcal{E} and equations (E3), (E5), and (E6) then produces the expression (35). The analysis on the past horizon for the ξ_n^a generators is similar, and yields a correction $\Delta c_L = -\Delta c_R$, as is necessary to set the total central charges equal.

-
- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, “Black holes and the second law,” *Lett. Nuovo Cimento Series 2* **4**, 737–740 (1972).
 - [2] Jacob D. Bekenstein, “Black Holes and Entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333–2346 (1973).
 - [3] S. W. Hawking, “Black hole explosions?” *Nature* **248**, 30–31 (1974).
 - [4] S. Carlip, “Statistical Mechanics and Black Hole Entropy,” (1995), [arXiv:gr-qc/9509024 \[gr-qc\]](#).
 - [5] Steven Carlip and Claudio Teitelboim, “The off-shell black hole,” *Classical Quant. Grav.* **12**, 1699 (1995), [arXiv:gr-qc/9312002 \[gr-qc\]](#).
 - [6] Claudio Teitelboim, “Statistical thermodynamics of a black hole in terms of surface fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, R2870–R2873 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9510180 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [7] Andrew Strominger, “Black hole entropy from near-horizon microstates,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **1998**, 009 (1998), [hep-th/9712251](#).
 - [8] Máximo Bañados, Claudio Teitelboim, and Jorge Zanelli, “Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1849–1851 (1992), [hep-th/9204099](#).
 - [9] John L. Cardy, “Operator content of two-dimensional conformally invariant theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **270**, 186–204 (1986).
 - [10] J. D. Brown and Marc Henneaux, “Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: An example from three dimensional gravity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104**, 207–226 (1986).
 - [11] S. Carlip, “Black hole entropy from conformal field theory in any dimension,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2828–2831 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9812013 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [12] S. Carlip, “Entropy from conformal field theory at Killing horizons,” *Classical Quant. Grav.* **16**, 3327 (1999), [arXiv:gr-qc/9906126 \[gr-qc\]](#).
 - [13] Sergey N. Solodukhin, “Conformal description of horizon’s states,” *Phys. Lett. B* **454**, 213–222 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9812056 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [14] Mu In Park and Jeongwon Ho, “Comment on “Black hole entropy from conformal field theory in any dimension”,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 5595 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9910158 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [15] Mu In Park, “The Hamiltonian dynamics of bounded spacetime and black hole entropy: The canonical method,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **634**, 339–369 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0111224 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [16] Jun Ichirou Koga, “Asymptotic symmetries on Killing horizons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 19 (2001), [arXiv:gr-qc/0107096 \[gr-qc\]](#).
 - [17] Sebastián Silva, “Black-hole entropy and thermodynamics from symmetries,” *Classical Quant. Grav.* **19**, 3947 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0204179 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [18] S. Carlip, “Near-horizon Bondi-Metzner-Sachs symmetry, dimensional reduction, and black hole entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 046002 (2020), [arXiv:1910.01762](#).
 - [19] Artem Averin, “Entropy counting from a Schwarzschild/CFT correspondence and soft hair,” *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 046024 (2020), [arXiv:1910.08061](#).

- [20] Monica Guica, Thomas Hartman, Wei Song, and Andrew Strominger, “The Kerr/CFT correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 124008 (2009), [arXiv:0809.4266](#).
- [21] Geoffrey Compère, “The Kerr/CFT correspondence and its extensions,” *Living Rev. Relativ.* **15**, 1–81 (2012), [arXiv:1203.3561](#).
- [22] S. Carlip, “Extremal and nonextremal Kerr/CFT correspondences,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2011**, 1–17 (2011), [arXiv:1101.5136](#).
- [23] Steven Carlip, “Effective Conformal Descriptions of Black Hole Entropy,” *Entropy* **13**, 1355–1379 (2011), [arXiv:1107.2678](#).
- [24] Sasha Haco, Stephen W. Hawking, Malcolm J. Perry, and Andrew Strominger, “Black hole entropy and soft hair,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2018**, 1–19 (2018), [arXiv:1810.01847](#).
- [25] Stephen W. Hawking, Malcolm J. Perry, and Andrew Strominger, “Soft Hair on Black Holes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 231301 (2016), [arXiv:1601.00921](#).
- [26] Stephen W. Hawking, Malcolm J. Perry, and Andrew Strominger, “Superrotation charge and supertranslation hair on black holes,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2017**, 1–33 (2017), [arXiv:1611.09175](#).
- [27] Alejandra Castro, Alexander Maloney, and Andrew Strominger, “Hidden conformal symmetry of the Kerr black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 024008 (2010), [arXiv:1004.0996](#).
- [28] Robert M. Wald and Andreas Zoupas, “General definition of “conserved quantities” in general relativity and other theories of gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 084027 (2000), [arXiv:9911095 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] Venkatesa Chandrasekaran and Antony J. Speranza, “Anomalies in gravitational charge algebras of null boundaries and black hole entropy,” (2020), [arXiv:2009.10739](#).
- [30] S. W. Hawking, “Black holes in general relativity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **25**, 152–166 (1972), [arXiv:0901.4365](#).
- [31] Stefan Hollands, Akihiro Ishibashi, and Robert M. Wald, “A higher dimensional stationary rotating black hole must be axisymmetric,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **271**, 699–722 (2007), [arXiv:0605106 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [32] Roberto Emparan and Harvey S. Reall, “Black holes in higher dimensions,” *Living Rev. Relativ.* **11**, 1–87 (2008), [arXiv:0801.3471](#).
- [33] Stefan Hollands and Akihiro Ishibashi, “Black hole uniqueness theorems in higher dimensional spacetimes,” *Classical Quant. Grav.* **29**, 163001 (2012), [arXiv:1206.1164](#).
- [34] Valery P. Frolov and Kip S. Thorne, “Renormalized stress-energy tensor near the horizon of a slowly evolving, rotating black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 2125–2154 (1989).
- [35] C. Crnkovic and E. Witten, “Covariant description of canonical formalism in geometrical theories.” *Three hundred years of gravitation* (1987).
- [36] Joohan Lee and Robert M. Wald, “Local symmetries and constraints,” *J. Math. Phys.* **31**, 725–743 (1990).
- [37] Vivek Iyer and Robert M. Wald, “Some properties of the Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 846–864 (1994), [gr-qc/9403028](#).
- [38] Daniel Harlow and Jie-qiang Wu, “Covariant phase space with boundaries,” (2019), [arXiv:1906.08616](#).
- [39] Eric Poisson, *A relativist’s toolkit : the mathematics of black-hole mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, 2004) p. 233.
- [40] Lin-Qing Chen, “Notes on the integrability of virasoro generators for axisymmetric killing horizons,” (2020), [arXiv:2009.11273](#).
- [41] Geoffrey Compère, *Advanced Lectures on General Relativity* (Springer International Publishing, 2019) 1801.07064.
- [42] Glenn Barnich and Cédric Troessaert, “BMS charge algebra,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2011**, 1–22 (2011), [arXiv:1106.0213](#).
- [43] Laura Donnay, Gaston Giribet, Hernán A. González, and Miguel Pino, “Extended symmetries at the black hole horizon,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2016**, 1–23 (2016), [arXiv:1607.05703](#).
- [44] Laura Donnay, Gaston Giribet, Hernán A. González, and Miguel Pino, “Supertranslations and Superrotations at the Black Hole Horizon,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 091101 (2016), [arXiv:1511.08687](#).
- [45] William Donnelly and Laurent Freidel, “Local subsystems in gauge theory and gravity,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2016**, 1–45 (2016), [arXiv:1601.04744](#).
- [46] Antony J. Speranza, “Local phase space and edge modes for diffeomorphism-invariant theories,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2018**, 1–37 (2018), [arXiv:1706.05061](#).
- [47] S. Carlip, “Black Hole Entropy from Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Symmetry at the Horizon,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 101301 (2018), [arXiv:1702.04439](#).
- [48] Venkatesa Chandrasekaran, Éanna Flanagan, and Kartik Prabhu, “Symmetries and charges of general relativity at null boundaries,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2018**, 1–68 (2018), [arXiv:1807.11499](#).
- [49] Luca Ciambelli, Robert G. Leigh, Charles Marteau, and P. Marios Petropoulos, “Carroll structures, null geometry, and conformal isometries,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 046010 (2019), [arXiv:1905.02221](#).
- [50] Laura Donnay and Charles Marteau, “Carrollian physics at the black hole horizon,” *Classical Quant. Grav.* **36**, 165002 (2019), [arXiv:1903.09654](#).
- [51] Daniel Grumiller, Alfredo Pérez, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Ricardo Troncoso, and Céline Zwickel, “Spacetime Structure near Generic Horizons and Soft Hair,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 041601 (2020), [arXiv:1908.09833](#).
- [52] H. Adami, D. Grumiller, S. Sadeghian, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, and C. Zwickel, “T-Witts from the horizon,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020**, 1–38 (2020), [arXiv:2002.08346](#).
- [53] Ankit Aggarwal, Alejandra Castro, and Stéphane Dettournay, “Warped symmetries of the Kerr black hole,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020**, 1–22 (2020), [arXiv:1909.03137](#).
- [54] Ahmed Almheiri, Netta Engelhardt, Donald Marolf, and Henry Maxfield, “The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2019**, 1–47 (2019), [arXiv:1905.08762](#).
- [55] Geoffrey Penington, “Entanglement Wedge Reconstruction and the Information Paradox,” (2019), [arXiv:1905.08255](#).
- [56] Ahmed Almheiri, Thomas Hartman, Juan Maldacena, Edgar Shaghoulian, and Amirhossein Tajdini, “Replica wormholes and the entropy of Hawking radiation,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020**, 1–42 (2020), [arXiv:1911.12333](#).
- [57] Geoff Penington, Stephen H. Shenker, Douglas Stanford, and Zhenbin Yang, “Replica wormholes and the black hole interior,” (2019), [arXiv:1911.11977](#).
- [58] Sumanta Chakraborty, Sourav Bhattacharya, and T. Padmanabhan, “Entropy of a generic null surface from

- its associated Virasoro algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **763**, 347–351 (2016), [arXiv:1605.06988](#).
- [59] Theodore Jacobson and Manus Visser, “Gravitational thermodynamics of causal diamonds in (A)dS,” *SciPost Physics* **7**, 079 (2019), [arXiv:1812.01596](#).
 - [60] Ted Jacobson, “Entanglement Equilibrium and the Einstein Equation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 201101 (2016), [arXiv:1505.04753](#).
 - [61] Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi, “Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from the anti-de Sitter space/conformal field theory correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 181602 (2006).
 - [62] Shinsei Ryu and Tadashi Takayanagi, “Aspects of holographic entanglement entropy,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2006**, 045–045 (2006).
 - [63] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, “Black holes in higher dimensional space-times,” *Ann. Phys.* **172**, 304–347 (1986).
 - [64] Robert Myers, “Black holes in higher dimensions,” (Cambridge University Press, 2012) Chap. 5. Myers-Perry black holes, pp. 101–133, [1111.1903](#).
 - [65] Bernard S. Kay and Robert M. Wald, “Theorems on the uniqueness and thermal properties of stationary, non-singular, quasifree states on spacetimes with a bifurcate killing horizon,” *Phys. Rep.* **207**, 49–136 (1991).