PROOF OF SOME CONJECTURAL SUPERCONGRUENCES
VIA CURIOUS IDENTITIES

CHEN WANG AND ZHI-WEI SUN

Abstract. In this paper, we prove several supercongruences conjectured by Z.-W. Sun ten years ago via certain strange hypergeometric identities. For example, for any prime \( p > 3 \), we show that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k)\binom{2k}{k}}{48^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},
\]
and
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k} \binom{3k}{k}}{24^k} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{2(p-2)}{3}}{(p-1)/3} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
\frac{p}{\binom{2(p+2)}{3}} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}
\]

We also obtain some other results of such types.

1. Introduction

For \( n, r \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \) and \( \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r, z \in \mathbb{C} \) the truncated hypergeometric series \( _{r+1}F_r \) are defined by
\[
_{r+1}F_r \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \ldots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \ldots & \beta_r \end{array} \right] \mid z \right] := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{r} (\alpha_i)_k}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} (\beta_i)_k} \cdot z^k k!,
\]
where \( (\alpha)_k = \alpha (\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + k - 1) \) is the Pochhammer symbol (rising factorial). Since \( (-\alpha)_k/(1)_k = (-1)^k (\alpha)_k \), sometimes we may write the truncated hypergeometric series as sums involving products of binomial coefficients. In the past decades, supercongruences involving truncated hypergeometric series have been widely studied (cf. for example, [5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 24, 25, 26, 30]).

Via the \( p \)-adic Gamma function and the Gross-Koblitz formula, E. Mortenson [14, 15] proved that for any prime \( p > 3 \) we have
\[
_{2}F_{1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \mid_{p-1} \equiv \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2},
\]
\[
_{2}F_{1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1/3 & 2/3 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \mid_{p-1} \equiv \left( -\frac{3}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2},
\]
\[
_{2}F_{1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1/4 & 3/4 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \mid_{p-1} \equiv \left( -\frac{2}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2},
\]
\[
_{2}F_{1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1/6 & 5/6 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \mid_{p-1} \equiv \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2},
\]
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where \((\cdot)\) denotes the Legendre symbol. Actually, these congruences were first conjectured in [18] motivated by hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds. Z.-W. Sun [26] gave some extensions of (1.1). For any prime \(p > 3\), Z.-W. Sun [25] showed further that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(2k)^2}{16^k} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} + p^2 E_{p-3} \pmod{p^3}, \tag{1.2}
\]
\[
\sum_{p/2 < k < p} \frac{(2k)^2}{16^k} \equiv -2p^2 E_{p-3} \pmod{p^3}, \tag{1.3}
\]
where \(E_{p-3}\) is the \((p-3)\)th Euler number. In fact, (1.2) and (1.3) are refinements of the first congruence in (1.1). To see this, we note that 
\[
\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k / (1)_k = \frac{(2k)^2}{16^k} \cdot 16^k = (-1)^k \pmod{p^3}.
\]
It is easy to see that the congruences in (1.1) are special cases of (1.4).

It is worth noting that Mortenson’s congruences in (1.1) all concern the hypergeometric series with variable \(z = 1\). In this paper, we shall confirm several congruences involving hypergeometric series with variable \(z \neq 1\), as conjectured by Z.-W. Sun.

It is rare that a sum of the form \(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a_k\) is always congruent to 0 modulo \(p^2\) for any prime \(p \geq 3\). The only known example we can recall is Wolstenholme’s congruence \(H_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}\) (cf. [31]) for any prime \(p \geq 3\), where \(H_n\) denotes the harmonic number \(\sum_{0<k\leq n} 1/k\). Nevertheless, we establish the following curious result which was first conjectured by Sun [25, Conjecture 5.14(i)].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(p \geq 3\) be a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(4k)(2k)}{(2k+1) 48^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.5}
\]

**Remark 1.1.** Sun [29, Conjecture 17] conjectured further that for any prime \(p \geq 3\) we have
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(4k)(2k)}{(2k+1) 48^k} \equiv \frac{5}{12} p^2 B_{p-2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \pmod{p^3} \tag{1.6}
\]
and
\[
p^2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{48^k}{k(2k-1)(4k)(2k)} \equiv 4 \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) + 4p \pmod{p^2}, \tag{1.7}
\]
where $B_{p-2}(x)$ is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree $p - 2$. It is worth mentioning that (1.7) is related to Sun’s conjectural identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{48^k}{k(2k-1)(4k/2)(2k/2)} = \frac{15}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^k}{k^2}$$

(cf. [28] (1.23)) and Sun would like to offer $480 as the prize for the first proof of (1.8).

Our second theorem concerns a variant of the second congruence in (1.1) and confirms a conjecture of Z.-W. Sun in [25, Conjecture 5.13] and [29, Conjecture 16(i)].

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $p > 3$ be a prime. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k)(3k)}{24^k} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{(2p-2/3)}{(p-1/3)} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p}{(2p+2/3)} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

**Remark 1.2.** By Sun [26] (1.20)], for any prime $p > 3$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k)(3k)}{24^k} \equiv \left( \begin{array}{c} p \\ 3 \end{array} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k)(3k)}{(-216)^k} \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.10)$$

Theorem 3.2 of Sun [27] with $x = y = -z$ and $a = 1$ gives the following $p$-adic analogue of the Clausen identity (cf. [12 p. 116]):

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ 1 - \alpha \end{array} \right)_{p-1} \equiv 3 \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ 1 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array} \right)_{p-1} \pmod{p^2} \quad (1.10)$$

for any odd prime $p$ and $\alpha, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$; this was given by Z.-H. Sun in the cases $\alpha = 1/3, 1/4, 1/6$ (cf. [21, 22, 23]). Applying (1.10) with $\alpha = 1/3$ and $z = 9/8$ and noting that $(1/3)k(2/3)k/(1/3) = (2k)(3k)/27k$ we obtain that

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k)(3k)}{24^k} \right)^2 \equiv \left( \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k)(3k)}{(-192)^k} \right) \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.11)$$

It is known (cf. [2, 3]) that for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ with $4p = x^2 + 27y^2$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$) we have

$$\left( \frac{(2p-2/3)}{(p-1/3)} \right) \equiv \left( \frac{x}{3} \right) \left( \frac{p}{x} - x \right) \pmod{p^2}. \quad (1.12)$$

Combining Theorem 1.2, (1.11) and (1.12) we immediately obtain the following result which was conjectured by Z.-W. Sun in [25, Conjecture 5.6] and [29, Conjecture 24(i)] and partially proved by Z.-H. Sun [21, Theorem 4.2].

**Corollary 1.1.** Let $p > 3$ be a prime. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k)(3k)}{(-192)^k} \equiv \begin{cases} x^2 - 2p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \& 4p = x^2 + 27y^2 \pmod{p^2} \\ 0 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$
The next result gives a companion of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( p > 3 \) be a prime. Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(k+1)(\binom{3k}{k} \binom{2k}{k})}{24^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 
\frac{p}{(2p-2/3)} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
-(p+1)(\binom{2p+2}{p+1}/3) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.
\end{cases} \tag{1.13}
\]

Combining Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we confirm the following two conjectures of Sun [25, Conjecture 5.13].

**Corollary 1.2.** Let \( p > 3 \) be a prime. Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{3k}{3k} \binom{2k}{2k} (k+1)24^k}{24^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 
\frac{p}{(2p-2)/3} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
\frac{p-(4p+2)/3}{(p+1)/3} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.
\end{cases} \tag{1.14}
\]

When \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) and \( 4p = x^2 + 27y^2 \) with \( x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( x \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \), we have

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{2k} \binom{3k}{3k}}{24^k} \equiv x \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.15}
\]

**Remark 1.3.** To obtain (1.14), we note the following congruence relation obtained by Sun [26]

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{2k} \binom{3k}{3k}}{24^k} \equiv p + \frac{m - 27}{6} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{2k} \binom{3k}{3k} \ (\mod p^2),
\]

where \( p > 3 \) is a prime and \( m \) is an integer with \( p \nmid m \). To get (1.15) we only need to substitute (1.12) into (1.9) and (1.13).

The proofs of the above three theorems depend on some new hypergeometric identities motivated by the strange identities obtained by S. B. Ekhad [7] and the Pfaff transformation (cf. [1, p. 68]). We can also prove some other results similar to Theorems 1.1–1.3 in the same way, however, we will not give the detailed proofs of them. We shall list these congruences and sketch their proofs in the last section.

Our next theorem gives a general result concerning hypergeometric series \( _1F_0 \).

**Theorem 1.4.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and \( x, t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) and let a denote \((-x)_p\). If \( p \nmid s = (x+a)/p \), then we have

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} x \\ t \end{array} \right]_{p-1} \equiv (1-t)^a \left( 1 + s - s(1-t)^p - stp - stp \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k(1-t)^k} \right) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{1.16}
\]

Clearly, Theorem 1.4 has many applications. For example, we can prove the following result.

**Corollary 1.3.** For any prime \( p > 3 \) we have

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} x \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_{p-1} - \left[ \begin{array}{c} x \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_{p-1} \equiv 2p \pmod{p^2}.
\]

We shall prove Theorems 1.1–1.4 in Sections 2–5, respectively. In the last section, we will list some more congruences which can be proved similarly as Theorems 1.1–1.3.
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us recall the concept of the $p$-adic Gamma function introduced by Y. Morita [13] as a $p$-adic analogue of the classical Gamma function. For each integer $n > 1$, define the $p$-adic Gamma function

$$
\Gamma_p(n) := (-1)^n \prod_{1 \leq k < n \atop \not \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} k.
$$

In particular, set $\Gamma_p(0) = 1$. It is clear that the definition of $\Gamma_p$ can be extended to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ since $\mathbb{N}$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with respect to $p$-adic norm $| \cdot |_p$. This means that for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we can define

$$
\Gamma_p(x) := \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}, |x - n|_p \to 0} \Gamma_p(n).
$$

The reader is referred to [13, 17] for some properties of the $p$-adic Gamma functions. It is known (cf. [17, p. 369]) that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have

$$
\Gamma_p(x) \Gamma_p(1 - x) = (-1)^{p - \langle -x \rangle_p}.
$$

(2.1)

and

$$
\frac{\Gamma_p(x + 1)}{\Gamma_p(x)} = \begin{cases} 
-x & \text{if } p \nmid x, \\
-1 & \text{if } p \mid x.
\end{cases}
$$

(2.2)

The following lemma gives a $p$-adic expansion of $\Gamma_p$.

Lemma 2.1. For any prime $p > 3$ and $\alpha, t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have

$$
\Gamma_p(\alpha + tp) \equiv \Gamma_p(\alpha) \left(1 + tp(\Gamma'_p(0) + H_{p-1-\langle \alpha \rangle_p})\right) \pmod{p^2},
$$

(2.3)

where $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$ is the $n$th harmonic number.

Proof. It is known (cf. [10, Theorem 14]) that

$$
\Gamma_p(\alpha + tp) \equiv \Gamma_p(\alpha)(1 + tp\Gamma'_p(\alpha)) \pmod{p^2}.
$$

By [30, Lemma 2.4] we have

$$
\frac{\Gamma'_p(\alpha)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha)} \equiv \Gamma'_p(0) + H_{p-1-\langle \alpha \rangle_p} \pmod{p}.
$$

Combining the above we immediately get the desired result. \qed

The following hypergeometric identity plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.2. For any nonnegative integer $n$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(4n + 2\delta - k + 1)(-n)_k(\frac{1}{2} - \delta - n)_k}{(2n + \delta + k + 1)(1)_k(-4n - 2\delta)_k} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^k = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{2n+\delta} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2n + 1 + \delta)}{\Gamma(2n + \delta + \frac{1}{2})}.
$$

(2.4)
Proof. Denote the left-hand side of (2.4) by \( S(n) \). Via Zeilberger algorithm (cf. [16]) in Mathematica, we find that
\[
9(n+1)(2n+2\delta+1)S(n) - 2(4n+2\delta+1)(4n+2\delta+3)S(n+1) = 0
\]
for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \delta \in \{0,1\} \). Then the identities can be verified by induction on \( n \). \( \square \)

For a prime \( p \) and an integer \( a \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \), we use \( q_p(a) \) to denote the Fermat quotient \( (a^{p-1} - 1)/p \).

Lemma 2.3 ([8]). For any prime \( p > 3 \), we have the following congruences
\[
H_{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} \equiv -2q_p(2), \quad H_{\lfloor p/3 \rfloor} \equiv -\frac{3}{2}q_p(3), \quad H_{\lfloor p/4 \rfloor} \equiv -3q_p(2), \quad H_{\lfloor p/6 \rfloor} \equiv -2q_p(2) - \frac{3}{2}q_p(3)
\]
modulo \( p \).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that \( \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_k \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_k / (1)_k^2 = \left(\frac{4k}{2k}\right) (\binom{2k}{k}) / 64^k \). Thus we can rewrite (1.5) as follows:
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{2k(\frac{1}{4})_k (\frac{3}{4})_k}{(2k+1)(1)_k^2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Let
\[
f_k(x) := \frac{(x-k)(1-x)_k (3-x)_k}{(\frac{3}{2} + k + \frac{1}{2})(1-x)_k (1)_k} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^k
\]
and
\[
f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k(x).
\]

Clearly, for any \( t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) we have
\[
f(tp) \equiv f(0) + tp f'(0) \pmod{p^2},
\]
where \( f'(x) \) stands for the derivative of \( f(x) \). Thus we can easily obtain that
\[
f(0) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (3f(p) - f(3p)) \pmod{p^2}.
\] (2.5)

On the other hand, it is easy to see that
\[
f(0) = -\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{2k(\frac{1}{4})_k (\frac{3}{4})_k}{(2k+1)(1)_k^2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^k + \epsilon,
\] (2.6)
where
\[
\epsilon := \frac{(p-1)(\frac{1}{4})(p-1)/2 (\frac{3}{4})(p-1)/2}{p(1)^2 (p-1)/2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{(p-1)/2}.
\]
Combining (2.5) and (2.6) we arrive at
\[\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{2k(\frac{1}{4})_k(\frac{3}{4})_k}{(2k+1)(1)_k} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^k \equiv -\frac{3}{2} f(p) + \frac{1}{2} f(3p) \pmod{p^2}. \quad (2.7)\]

We first consider \(\epsilon\) modulo \(p^2\). Clearly,
\[
\frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})} = \frac{p\Gamma_p(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})}{4\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})}.
\]
Thus by Lemma 2.1 we have
\[
\epsilon = \frac{(p-1)\Gamma(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(1)}{p\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2})} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{(p-1)/2}
\]
\[
= \frac{(p-1)\Gamma_p(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{p}{2})\Gamma_p(1)^2}{p\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2})^2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{(p-1)/2}
\]
\[
\equiv \frac{(-p-1)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2} \left(1 + pH_{[p/4]} - pH_{(p-1)/2}\right) \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Note that
\[
\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{(p-1)/2} = 3^{(p-1)/2} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-p-1} = 3^{(p-1)/2}(1 + p q_p(2) - p q_p(3)) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Then by (2.1) and Lemma 2.3 we immediately obtain
\[
\epsilon \equiv (-3)^{(p-1)/2}(1 + p - p q_p(3)) \pmod{p^2}. \quad (2.8)
\]
Now we evaluate \(f(p)\) modulo \(p^2\). Obviously, \(f_{(p-1)/2}(p) = 0\) since
\[
\left(-\left[\frac{p}{4}\right]\right)_{(p-1)/2} = 0.
\]
Taking \(n = [p/4]\) and \(\delta = (1 - (\frac{1}{p})))/2\) in Lemma 2.2 and using (2.1), Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 we obtain
\[
f(p) = f(p) + f_{(p-1)/2}(p) = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{(p-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})} = - \frac{3^{(p-1)/2} \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma_p(\frac{p+1}{2})}{2^{p-1} \Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})} \quad (2.9)
\]
\[
\equiv - 3^{(p-1)/2} \Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(1 + pH_{(p-1)/2}\right) (1 - p q_p(2)) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Finally, we consider \(f(3p)\) modulo \(p^2\). Taking \(n = [3p/4]\) and \(\delta = (1 + (\frac{1}{p})))/2\) in Lemma 2.2 we have
\[
f(3p) + f_{(p-1)/2}(3p) = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{(3p-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{3p+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3p}{2})}. \quad (2.10)
\]
Via a similar discussion as in (2.9) we deduce that
\[
\left(3 \frac{3}{4}\right)^{(3p-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3p+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{2}\right)} = \left(3 \frac{3}{4}\right)^{(3p-1)/2} \frac{p \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3p+1}{2}\right)}{p \Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(1\right)}
\]
\[
\equiv \frac{3}{2}(-3)^{(p-1)/2}(1 + p q_p(3) - 6p q_p(2)) \pmod{p^2}.
\] (2.11)

Observe that
\[
f_{(p-1)/2}(3p) = \frac{(5p + 1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(1 - 3p)}{4p \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3p}{4}\right)} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{(p-1)/2},
\]
where we note that
\[
\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right)} = \frac{p \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right)}{2p \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3p}{4}\right)}.
\]
Furthermore, in view of (2.1), Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 we arrive at
\[
f_{(p-1)/2}(3p) \equiv \frac{(-3)^{(p-1)/2}}{2}(1 + 4p - 6p q_p(2) - p q_p(3)) \pmod{p^2}.
\] (2.12)

Now combining (2.7) and (2.8)–(2.12) we finally obtain
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{2k\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_k}{(2k + 1)(1)\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_k} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

**Lemma 3.1.** For any nonnegative integer \(n\) we have
\[
_{2}F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-n & \frac{1}{3} - n \\
-3n & 9 \\
\end{array}\right]_n \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_n = \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_n}{2^n\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_n}.
\] (3.1)
and
\[
_{2}F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-n & -\frac{1}{3} - n \\
-3n - 1 & 9 \\
\end{array}\right]_n = \frac{(\frac{5}{3})_n}{2^n\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)_n}.
\] (3.2)

**Proof.** Denote the left-hand sides of (3.1) and (3.2) by \(F(n)\) and \(G(n)\) respectively. By applying
Zeilberger algorithm in Mathematica, we find that
\[-3(2n + 1)F(n) + 4(3n + 1)F(n + 1) = 0\]
and
\[-(6n + 5)G(n) + 4(3n + 2)G(n + 1) = 0.\]
Then Lemma 3.1 follows by induction on \(n\). \(\square\)
The next lemma is a p-adic analogue of the classical Gauss multiplication formula.

Lemma 3.2. [17, p. 371] Let \( p \) be an odd prime. Then for any \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) we have

\[
\prod_{0 \leq j < m} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{x + j}{m} \right) = \Gamma_p(mx) \prod_{0 \leq j < m} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{j}{m} \right) m^{(1-p)mx+(-mx)_p}/p. \tag{3.3}
\]

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Assume that \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \). Clearly, for any \( \alpha, t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) we have

\[
(\alpha + tp)_k \equiv (\alpha)_k \left( 1 + tp \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\alpha + j} \right) \pmod{p^2}. \tag{3.4}
\]

Therefore, it is easy to check that

\[
_2F_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{p}{3} \quad \frac{2 - p}{1 - p} \left| \frac{9}{8} \right. \right]_{p-1} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_{k}(\frac{2}{3})_{k}}{(1)_{k}^{2}} \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k \left( 1 - p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j + 1} - p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j + 2} + pH_k \right) \pmod{p^2},
\]

and

\[
_2F_1 \left[ \frac{2 - 2p}{3} \quad \frac{1 - 2p}{1 - 2p} \left| \frac{9}{8} \right. \right]_{p-1} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_{k}(\frac{2}{3})_{k}}{(1)_{k}^{2}} \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k \left( 1 - 2p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j + 1} - 2p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j + 2} + 2pH_k \right) \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Combining the above two congruences we arrive at

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_{k}(\frac{2}{3})_{k}}{(1)_{k}^{2}} \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k \equiv 2_2F_1 \left[ \frac{1 - p}{3} \quad \frac{2 - p}{1 - p} \left| \frac{9}{8} \right. \right]_{p-1} - 2_2F_1 \left[ \frac{2 - 2p}{3} \quad \frac{1 - 2p}{1 - 2p} \left| \frac{9}{8} \right. \right]_{p-1} \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Moreover, letting \( n = (p - 1)/3 \) in \((3.1)\) and \( n = (2p - 2)/3 \) in \((3.2)\) we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_{k}(\frac{2}{3})_{k}}{(1)_{k}^{2}} \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k \equiv \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \pmod{p^2}, \tag{3.5}
\]

where

\[
\sigma_1 := 2^{(4-p)/3} \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{p-1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 := 2^{(2-p)/3} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{p-2/3}.
\]

We first reduce \( \sigma_1 \). Note that

\[
\left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{p-1/3} = \frac{\left( \frac{2p-2}{3} \right)^{p-1/3}}{4^{p-1/3}} = 4^{1-p/3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{p}{3})}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{p}{3})^2}. \tag{3.6}
\]
Therefore, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 we have
\[
\sigma_1 = 2^{(4-p)/3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{(p-1)/3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{(p-1)/3}
\]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right)}{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right)} (2 - 2p q_p(2))
\]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right)}{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right)} (2 - 2p q_p(2)) \left( 1 + \frac{2p}{3} H_{(2p-2)/3} - \frac{p}{3} H_{(p-1)/3} - \frac{p}{3} H_{p-1} \right)
\]
\[
\equiv \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 (p q_p(3) + 2p q_p(2) - 2) \pmod{p^2},
\]
where in the last step we note that \( \Gamma_p(1/3) \Gamma_p(2/3) = (-1)^{(2p-2)/3} = -1 \) by (2.1) and \( H_{p-1-k} \equiv H_k \pmod{p} \) for any \( k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} \). We now evaluate \( \sigma_2 \). Similarly, by (3.6), Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 we have
\[
\sigma_2 = 2^{(2-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)} = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2p}{3} \right)} (1 - \frac{p}{3} H_{(p-1)/3} + p H_{(p-1)/6})
\]
\[
\equiv \frac{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{2}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3}{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{2}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3} (1 - 2p q_p(2) - p q_p(3)) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
In view of Lemma 3.2, we arrive at
\[
\frac{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{2}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3}{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{2}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3} = \frac{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^3}{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^3} = \frac{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3}{\Gamma_p \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^3} = -\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3.
\]
So we have
\[
\sigma_2 \equiv -\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 (1 - 2p q_p(2) - p q_p(3)) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
(3.8)
Substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.5) we obtain
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{3})^k (\frac{2}{3})^k}{(1/3)^k} \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k \equiv -\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Thus it suffices to show that
\[
\left( \frac{(2p - 2)/3}{(p - 1)/3} \right) \equiv -\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
In fact, it is routine to verify that
\[
\left(\frac{(2p-2)/3}{(p-1)/3}\right) = -\frac{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\right)}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\right)} \equiv -\Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{2p}{3}(H_{(2p-2)/3} - H_{(p-1)/3})\right) \\
\equiv -\Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

This proves the case \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \).

Below we assume that \( p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \). Similarly,
\[
2F1\left[\frac{1-2p}{3} \quad \frac{2-2p}{3} \quad \frac{9}{8}\right]_{p-1} \\
\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k}{\left(1\right)_k^2} \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^k \left(1 - 2p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j+1} - 2p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j+2} + 2pH_k\right) \pmod{p^2}
\]
and
\[
2F1\left[\frac{2-2p}{3} \quad \frac{1-p}{3} \quad \frac{9}{8}\right]_{p-1} \\
\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k}{\left(1\right)_k^2} \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^k \left(1 - p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j+1} - p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3j+2} + pH_k\right) \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Combining the above two congruences and letting \( n = (2p-1)/3 \) in (3.1) and \( n = (p-2)/3 \) in (3.2) we deduce that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_k}{\left(1\right)_k^2} \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^k \equiv \sigma_3 - \sigma_4 \pmod{p^2},
\]
where
\[
\sigma_3 := 2^{(5-p)/3} \frac{(\frac{5}{3})(p-2)/3}{(\frac{2}{3})(p-2)/3} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_4 := 2^{(1-2p)/3} \frac{(\frac{1}{3})(p-1)/3}{(\frac{1}{3})(p-1)/3}.
\]

We first consider \( \sigma_3 \). Note that
\[
\frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)(2p-1)/3}{(1)(2p-1)/3} = \frac{(4p-2)/3}{(2p-1)/3} = 4^{(1-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{2p+2}{3})^2}.
\]

Therefore, in view of (2.2) we have
\[
\sigma_3 \equiv 4 \times 2^{p-1} \times 4^{(1-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})} \equiv 4 \times 2^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(p+1/2)\Gamma(p)\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(p+2/3)\Gamma(2/3)} \pmod{p^2},
\]
\[
\equiv \frac{p}{3\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \pmod{p^2},
\]
Lemma 4.1. Let $n$ be a nonnegative integer. Then
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (3n + k + 2) \frac{(-n)_k (\frac{1}{3} - n)_k}{(1)_k (-3n)_k} \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k = 3 \times 2^{4/3 - n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3} + n\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3} + n\right)} \] (4.1)
and
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} (3n + k + 3) \frac{(-n)_k (\frac{1}{3} - n)_k}{(1)_k (-3n - 1)_k} \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k = 3 \times 2^{1-n} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3} + n\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3} + n\right)} \] (4.2)

Proof. Denote the left-hand sides of (4.1) and (4.2) by $J(n)$ and $K(n)$ respectively. Via Zeilberger algorithm, we find that
\[ (6n + 7)J(n) - 4(3n + 1)J(n + 1) = 0 \]
Lemma 4.2. [17] p. 371] For any \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( m \in \{2, 3, \ldots\} \), we have
\[
\prod_{0 \leq j < m} \Gamma \left( z + \frac{j}{m} \right) = (2\pi)^{(m-1)/2} m^{(1-2m)/2} \Gamma(mz). \tag{4.3}
\]

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Suppose that \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \). As in the proof of Theorem 1.2 by Lemma 4.1 we can easily prove
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k + 1) \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)_k \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)_k \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k \equiv \sigma_5 - \sigma_6 \pmod{p^2}, \tag{4.4}
\]
where
\[
\sigma_5 := 6 \times 2^{(5-p)/3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3}) \Gamma(\frac{2p+5}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{p}{3})} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_6 := 3 \times 2^{(5-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3}) \Gamma(\frac{4p+5}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{4p}{3})}.
\]
Note that
\[
- \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3})} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{3} \right)(p-1)/3}{1(p-1)/3} = \frac{(2p-2)(p-1)/3}{4(p-1)/3} = -2^{(2-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2p}{3})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2p}{3})}.
\]
Therefore, by Lemmas 2.1 3.2 and 2.3 we obtain
\[
\sigma_5 = -2^{(11-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3}) \Gamma(\frac{2p+5}{6})}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3}) \Gamma(\frac{2p+3}{6})} = -2^{(8-2p)/3} \frac{p \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3}) \Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3}) \Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3})} = -4p \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3}) \Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2 \Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6})} = -4p \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})^3 (\mod p^2)}.
\tag{4.5}
\]
Also,
\[
\sigma_6 = -2^{(5-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3}) \Gamma(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3})}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3}) \Gamma(\frac{4p+3}{6})} = -2^{(2-2p)/3} \frac{p \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3}) \Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3}) \Gamma_p(\frac{4p}{3})} = -3p \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3}) \Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2 \Gamma_p(\frac{5}{6})} = -3p \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})^3 (\mod p^2)}.
\tag{4.6}
\]
Substituting (4.5) and (4.6) into (4.4) we get
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k + 1) \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)_k \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)_k \left( \frac{9}{8} \right)^k \equiv -\frac{p}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})^3}.
\]
On the other hand, from the proof of Theorem 1.2 we know
\[
\binom{(2p-2)/3}{(p-1)/3} \equiv -\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
This proves the case \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \).

Now we assume \( p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \). By Lemma 4.1 it is easy to check that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k+1)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)k\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)k \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^k \equiv \sigma_7 - \sigma_8 \pmod{p^2},
\]
where
\[
\sigma_7 := 12 \times 2^{(2-p)/3} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+5}{6}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_8 := 3 \times 2^{(5-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{4p+5}{6}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+5}{6}\right)}.
\]

Note that
\[
\frac{-\Gamma_p(-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{p}{3})}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\Gamma_p\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)} = \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_{(p-2)/3}}{(1)_{(p-2)/3}} = \frac{(2p-4)/3}{4^{(p-2)/3}} = -2^{(4-2p)/3} \frac{\Gamma_p(-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2}\left(1 + p H_{(p-2)/3}\right)
\]
\[
\equiv -\frac{12}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3} \left(1 - pq_p(2) - \frac{p}{2} q_p(3)\right) \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Also,
\[
\sigma_8 = -2^{(5-4p)/3} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3}\right)} = -3 \times 2^{(5-4p)/3} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2p}{3}\right)}
\]
\[
\equiv -\frac{3\Gamma_p(-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{p}{3})^2\Gamma_p\left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{p}{3}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2p}{3}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2\Gamma_p\left(-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{p}{3}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2p}{3}\right)}
\]
\[
\equiv -\frac{6}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3} \left(1 - 2pq_p(2) - pq_p(3)\right) \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Combining (4.7)–(4.9) we have
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k+1)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)k\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)k \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^k \equiv -\frac{6}{\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^3} \pmod{p^2}.
\]
On the other hand, it is routine to check that
\[
\binom{(2p+2)/3}{(p+1)/3} \equiv 6(1-p) \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2p}{3})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3})^2} \equiv \frac{6(1-p)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})^3} \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Comparing the above two congruences we immediately obtain the desired result.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is now complete. □

5. Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.3

We need the following identity.

Lemma 5.1. For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( t \in \mathbb{C} \) we have
\[
\frac{n}{(1)_k} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-n)_k t^k H_k = (1-t)^n H_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(1-t)^{n-k}}{k}.
\] (5.1)

Proof. Denote the left-hand side of (5.1) by \( S_n \). Using the summation package \texttt{Sigma} (cf. [19]) in \texttt{Mathematica} we find that
\[
(n+1)(t-1)^2 S_n + (2n+3)(t-1)S_{n+1} + (n+2)S_{n+2} = -t.
\]
Then we can easily prove the identity by induction on \( n \). □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the binomial theorem we have
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-a}{k} t^k = (1-t)^a
\]
and
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \binom{-a-p}{k} t^k = (1-t)^{a+p}.
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-a}{k} t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{x-sp}{k} t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{x}{k} t^k \left( 1 - sp \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{x+j} \right) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Thus we have
\[
s p \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{x}{k} t^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{x+j} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{x}{k} t^k - (1-t)^a \pmod{p^2}.
\] (5.2)

Moreover,
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \binom{-a-p}{k} t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-a-p}{k} t^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{-a-p}{p+k} t^{p+k} = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2,
\] (5.3)
where
\[ \Sigma_1 := \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-a - p)k}{(1)_k} t^k \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_2 := \frac{(-a - p)_{p^2}}{(1)_p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-a)_k}{(1 + p)_k} t^k. \]

Clearly,
\[ \Sigma_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{x - (s + 1)p}{(1)_k} t^k \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(x)k}{(1)_k} \left(1 - (s + 1)p \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{x + j}\right) \pmod{p^2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

Also, by Lemma 5.1 we have
\[ \Sigma_2 \equiv -t^p \prod_{k=1}^{a} \left(1 + \frac{p}{k}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-a)_k}{(1)_k} t^k (1 - pH_k) \]
\[ \equiv -t^p(1 + pH_a) \left((1 - t)^a - p(1 - t)^a H_a + p \sum_{k=1}^{a} \frac{(1 - t)^{a-k}}{k}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)
\[ \equiv -t^p(1 - t)^a - tp \sum_{k=1}^{a} \frac{(1 - t)^{a-k}}{k} \pmod{p^2}. \]

Combining (5.2)–(5.5) we complete the proof. \hspace{1cm} \Box

**Proof of Corollary 1.3** We only prove the case \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) since the proof of the other case is very similar. By Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 2.3 we have
\[ 1 \! F_0 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \vspace{1mm} \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_{p-1} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/3} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + pq_p(2)\right) - \frac{2}{3} p \left(H_{(p-1)/6} - H_{(p-1)/3}\right)\right) \]
\[ \equiv 1 + \frac{2}{3} pq_p(2) \pmod{p^2} \]
and
\[ 1 \! F_0 \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 \vspace{1mm} \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_{p-1} \equiv (-1)^{(2p-2)/3} \left(1 + \frac{4}{3} - \frac{4}{3} \left(1 + pq_p(2)\right) - \frac{4}{3} p \left(H_{(p-1)/3} - H_{(p-1)/3}\right)\right) \]
\[ \equiv 1 - \frac{4}{3} pq_p(2) \pmod{p^2}. \]

Then the desired result follows at once. \hspace{1cm} \Box

**6. More results similar to Theorems 1.1–1.3**

In this section, we list some congruences that can also be proved by some strange identities. However, we only give the outlines of their proofs since the proofs are quite similar to the ones of Theorems 1.1–1.3.

In [25, Conjecture 5.14(i)], Sun posed the following conjecture as a variant of the third congruence in (1.1).
Conjecture 6.1. Let $p > 3$ be a prime. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $p = x^2 + 3y^2$ with $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k) (4k)}{48^k} \equiv 2x - \frac{p}{2x} \pmod{p^2}
$$

(6.1)

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{k + 1}{48^k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{4k}{2k} \equiv x \pmod{p^2}.
$$

(6.2)

If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k) (4k)}{48^k} \equiv \frac{3p}{2 \binom{(p+1)/2}{(p+1)/6}} \pmod{p^2}.
$$

(6.3)

(6.1) has been proved by G.-S. Mao and H. Pan [11] and its proof depends on the results concerning Legendre polynomials obtained by M. J. Coster and L. Van Hamme [4]. In fact, we can confirm Conjecture 6.1 completely by using some strange hypergeometric identities.

Theorem 6.1. For any prime $p > 3$ we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k) (4k)}{48^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 
\left(\frac{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/6}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2p}{3} q_p(2) - \frac{3p}{4} q_p(3)\right) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
3p/2 \binom{(p+1)/2}{(p+1)/6} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3},
\end{cases}
$$

(6.4)

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k + 1)(2k)(4k)}{48^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 
p/\binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/6} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
\left(\frac{(p+1)/2}{(p+1)/6}\right) \left(-\frac{2}{3} - \frac{2p}{3} q_p(2) + \frac{p}{2} q_p(3)\right) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.
\end{cases}
$$

(6.5)

Remark 6.1. It is known [2, p. 283] that for any prime $p = x^2 + 3y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ with $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/6}\right) \equiv \left(2x - \frac{p}{2x}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2p}{3} q_p(2) + \frac{3p}{4} q_p(3)\right) \pmod{p^2}.
$$

This together with (6.4) gives (6.1) and (6.2).

Applying (1.10) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ and $z = \frac{4}{3}$ and noting that $(1/4)_k(3/4)_k = \frac{(4k)(2k)}{2k} / 64^k$ we arrive at

$$
\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k) (4k)}{48^k} \right)^2 \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k) (4k)}{(-144)^k} \pmod{p^2}.
$$

(6.6)
Lemma 6.1. Let \( p > 3 \) be a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{2k}{k} \right)^2 \left( \frac{4k}{2k} \right)^2 (-144)^k \equiv \begin{cases} 
4x^2 - 2p \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ & } p = x^2 + 3y^2 \ (x, y \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
0 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.
\end{cases}
\]

To show Theorem 6.1, we need the following identities which can be easily checked by Zeilberger algorithm.

Lemma 6.1. Let \( n \) be a nonnegative integer. Then we have the following identities.
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_k \left( \frac{3}{2} - n \right)_k}{(1)_k (n)_k} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^k = \left( \frac{9}{16} \right)^n \frac{(\frac{3}{2})_{2n}}{(\frac{1}{2})_{2n}}, \tag{6.7}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_k \left( -\frac{1}{2} - n \right)_k}{(1)_k (n)_k} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^k = \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{2n+1} \frac{(\frac{3}{2})_{2n+1}}{(\frac{1}{2})_{2n+1}}, \tag{6.8}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(2n + k + 1)(-n)_k \left( \frac{1}{2} - n \right)_k}{(1)_k (n)_k} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^k = \frac{3^{2n+1} (\frac{1}{2})_{2n}}{16^n (\frac{1}{2})_{2n}}, \tag{6.9}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(2n + k + 2)(-n)_k \left( -\frac{1}{2} - n \right)_k}{(1)_k (n)_k} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^k = \frac{9^{n+1} (\frac{1}{2})_{2n+2}}{4^{2n+1} (\frac{1}{2})_{2n+1}}. \tag{6.10}
\]

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We should divide the proof into four cases that \( p \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12} \). We only prove (6.4) for \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \) briefly since the other cases can be handled similarly. By Lemma 6.1 it is easy to check that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{2k}{k} \right)^2 \left( \frac{4k}{2k} \right)^2 (-144)^k \equiv \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{9}{16} \right)^{(p-1)/4} \frac{(\frac{3}{2})_{(p-1)/2}}{(\frac{1}{2})_{(p-1)/2}} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{3(p-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{3}{2})_{(3p-1)/2}}{(\frac{1}{2})_{(3p-1)/2}} \pmod{p^2}.
\]

From [12, Lemma 4.1] we know that for any positive integer \( n \) and integer \( a \) not divisible by \( p \) we have
\[
a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv \left( \frac{a}{p} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^k (pq_p(a))^k \pmod{p^n}. \tag{6.11}
\]

Thus we have
\[
\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{3}{2})_{(p-1)/2}}{(\frac{1}{2})_{(p-1)/2}} \equiv \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} pq_p(3) - \frac{pq_p(2)}{4} \right) \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{2}) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{2}) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})} \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{3})^3 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
and

\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{(3p-1)/2} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{(3p-1)/2} \equiv \frac{3}{8} \left( 1 + \frac{3p}{2} q_p(3) - 3p q_p(2) \right) \frac{2p \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3p}{2} \right)}{p \Gamma_p \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{3p}{2} \right)} \]

\[ \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - 6p q_p(2) - \frac{3p}{4} q_p(3) \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 \pmod{p^2}. \]

Thus we have

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}\binom{4k}{2k}}{48^k} \equiv -\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 \pmod{p^2}. \]

On the other hand, it is routine to check that

\[ \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2p}{3} q_p(2) - \frac{3p}{4} q_p(3) \right) \equiv -\Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 \pmod{p^2}. \]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

In [25, Conjecture 5.14(iii)] Sun made the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 6.2.** For any prime \( p > 3 \), if \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( p = x^2 + 4y^2 \) \((x, y \in \mathbb{Z})\) with \( x \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), then

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}\binom{4k}{2k}}{72^k} \equiv \left( \frac{6}{p} \right) \left( 2x - \frac{p}{2x} \right) \pmod{p^2} \]  

(6.12)

and

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1-k)\binom{2k}{k}\binom{4k}{2k}}{72^k} \equiv \left( \frac{6}{p} \right) x \pmod{p^2}; \]  

(6.13)

if \( p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), then

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k}\binom{4k}{2k}}{72^k} \equiv \left( \frac{6}{p} \right) \frac{2p}{3(p+1)/4} \pmod{p^2}. \]  

(6.14)

We shall prove these congruences by establish the following result.

**Theorem 6.2.** For any prime \( p > 3 \) we have

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{4k}{2k} \equiv \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{6}{p} \right) \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/4} \left( 1 - \frac{p}{2} q_p(2) \right) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
2p \left( \frac{6}{p} \right) / \binom{(p+1)/2}{(p+1)/4} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, 
\end{cases} \]  

(6.15)

and

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{2k-1}{k} \binom{4k}{2k} \]

\[ \equiv \begin{cases} 
-p \left( \frac{6}{p} \right) / \binom{(p-1)/2}{(p-1)/4} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
\left( \frac{6}{p} \right) \binom{(p+1)/2}{(p+1)/4} \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3p}{2} - \frac{3p}{4} q_p(2) \right) \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. 
\end{cases} \]  

(6.16)
Remark 6.2. From [2, p. 281] we know for any prime $p > 3$, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p = x^2 + 4y^2$ with $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have
\[
\left( \frac{(p - 1)/2}{(p - 1)/4} \right) \equiv \left( 2x - \frac{1}{2x} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{p}{2} g_p(2) \right) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Combining this with Theorem 6.2 we obtain (6.12) and (6.13).

Applying (1.10) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ and $z = \frac{8}{9}$ we arrive at
\[
\left( \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(4k) (2k)}{72^k} \right)^2 \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(2k) (4k)}{648^k} \pmod{p^2}.
\]
(6.17)
Therefore, combining (6.17) with Theorem 6.2 we can easily obtain the following result which was conjectured and partially proved by Z.-H. Sun (cf. [20, Conjecture 2.1] and [22, Theorem 5.1]).

**Corollary 6.2.** Let $p > 3$ be a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)(\frac{1}{2} - n_k)(\frac{8}{9})^k}{(1)(-4n_k)} = \frac{3^{n+2} \Gamma(n + \frac{5}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{7}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(2n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(n + 1)}.
\]
(6.20)

Proof of Theorem 6.2. We only prove (6.15) for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since the case $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ can be deduced in a similar way.
By Lemma 6.2 we can easily check that
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k} \binom{4k}{2k}}{72^k} \equiv \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3p-3}{4}\right)}{2 \times 3^{(p-1)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p-12}{4}\right)} - \frac{3^{(3p+1)/4}\Gamma\left(\frac{9p+1}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p+5}{12}\right)}{4\Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3p+3}{4}\right)} \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Clearly, by Lemma 2.3 and (6.11) we have
\[
\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3p-3}{4}\right)}{2 \times 3^{(p-1)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p-12}{4}\right)} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{6p-3}{4}\right)}{2 \times 3^{(p-1)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p-12}{4}\right)}
\equiv \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3p}{4}q_p(3)\right) \left(1 + \frac{3p}{4}H_{(p+3)/4} - \frac{p}{4}H_{(p-5)/4}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Moreover, by Lemma 4.2 we have
\[
\frac{3^{(3p+1)/4}\Gamma\left(\frac{9p+1}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p+5}{12}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3p+3}{4}\right)}{4\Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3p+3}{4}\right)} = \frac{3^{(2-6p)/4}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p}{4}+\frac{9p}{4}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{4}+\frac{3p}{4}\right)} = \frac{3^{(2-6p)/4} \times 3\Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p}{4}+\frac{9p}{4}\right)}{2 \times 3\Gamma\left(\frac{3p}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{9p}{4}+\frac{9p}{4}\right)}
\equiv \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{p+3/4} \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3p}{4}q_p(3)\right) \left(1 + \frac{3p}{2}H_{(p-1)/4}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Thus we obtain
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2k}{k} \binom{4k}{2k}}{72^k} \equiv \left(\frac{6}{p}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \pmod{p^2},
\]

since
\[
\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{6}{p}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(p-1)/2} \left(\frac{-p}{p-1}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{(p-1)/4}.
\]

On the other hand, one may easily check that
\[
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{p}{2}q_p(2)\right) \equiv \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)
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