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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are interested to study the stability of the ascent of a mutually commuting $n$-tuple $T$ submitted to a perturbation by an $n$-tuple $S$ which commutes mutually with $T$. This study lead us to generalize some well known results for operators and introduce a class of $n$-tuples in which we obtain the stability of the ascent of $T$.
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## 1 Introduction

The problem of stability of the semi-Fredholm operators under additive perturbations has been around for many years (see for instance [1, 2, 3, 4, [5, 6]). It is obvious to ask if these results are extended for some class of $n$-tuples.
The ultimate aim of this paper is to study the stability of the ascent of an $n$-tuple $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ of mutually commuting operators, when $T$ is submitted to a perturbation by an $n$-tuple $S=$ $\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ which commutes mutually with $T$ (see Theorem 4.2). In order to make the problem precise, we introduce a new class of $n$-tuples, denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X)$, which is equal, for $n=1$, to the set of essentially Kato operators. In [9, Proposition 2.6], T. West proves that if $T$ is upper semi-Fredholm operator, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(T)<\infty \Longleftrightarrow \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} \cap R^{\infty}(T)=\{0\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present paper, we prove that the result (1.1) remains true for an $n$-tuple which belong to a class denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{n}(X)$ (see Corollary 2.1). In Theorem 3.1, we prove that, for all $T \in \mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X)$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for all $S$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X) \cap B(O, \varepsilon)$ and mutually commuting with $T$, we have

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset R^{\infty}(T) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} .
$$

This result is well known for the case of essentially Kato operators (see [7, section 21, Theorem 14]). Finally, in Theorem [4.2, we study the stability of the ascent of a mutually commuting
$n$-tuple $T$ subjected to a perturbation by an $n$-tuple $S$ which commutes mutually with $T$. More precisely, we prove the following:

$$
T \in \mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X), a(T)<+\infty \text { and } S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)\right), \alpha>0 \Longrightarrow a(T+S)<+\infty
$$

This stability result, generalize the following well known Rakoc̀ević theorem:
For $T, K$ be two bounded linear operators such that $T K=K T$. We have :

$$
T \in \Phi_{+}(X), a(T)<\infty \text { and } K \in \mathcal{P}\left(\Phi_{+}(X)\right) \Longrightarrow a(T+K)<\infty .
$$

Let us introduce some notations. For $X$ and $Y$ be two Banach spaces we denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ the set of all bounded linear operators from $X$ to $Y$. We write for short $\mathcal{B}(X)=\mathcal{B}(X, X)$. By $X^{*}$ we denote the dual of $X$. Let $M$ be a subset of a Banach space $X$. The annihilator of $M$ is the closed subspace of $X$ defined by

$$
M^{\perp}:=\left\{f \in X^{*} ; f(x)=0, \forall x \in M\right\},
$$

while the pre-annihilator of a subset $W$ of $X^{*}$ is the closed subspace of $X$ defined by

$$
{ }^{\perp} W:=\{x \in X ; f(x)=0, \forall f \in W\} .
$$

In the following lemma, we recall some well known results.
Lemma 1.1 [7] Let $X$ be a Banach space.
(i) If $M$ is a subspace of $X$, then ${ }^{\perp}\left(M^{\perp}\right)=\bar{M}$.
(ii) If $\left\{M_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ is any family of subsets of $X$, then $\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}\right)^{\perp}=\bigcap_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}^{\perp}$.

If $\left\{L_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ is any family of subsets of $X^{*}$, then ${ }^{\perp}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha}{ }^{\perp} L_{\alpha}$.
(iii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0,1\}$ and $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ be closed subspaces of $X$. If, $\forall j \in\{1, \ldots,(n-1)\}, \sum_{i=1}^{j} M_{i}$ is closed, then $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}\right)^{\perp}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\perp}$.

For an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ define $T^{*}$ the dual operator of $T$. We write $N(T)$ for the null space and $R(T)$ for the range of $T$. The generalized range and generalized kernel of $T$ are defined respectively by $R^{\infty}(T)=\bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty} R\left(T^{k}\right)$ and $N^{\infty}(T)=\bigcup_{k=0}^{+\infty} N\left(T^{k}\right) . T$ is said to be essentially Kato if $R(T)$ is closed and there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subset X$ such that

$$
N^{\infty}(T) \subset R^{\infty}(T)+F
$$

The set of upper semi-Fredholm operators is defined by

$$
\Phi_{+}(X)=\{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) ; \operatorname{dim} N(T)<\infty \text { and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text { is closed in } X\} .
$$

The perturbation class associated with $\Phi_{+}(X)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\Phi_{+}(X)\right):=\left\{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) ; T+S \in \Phi_{+}(X), \forall S \in \Phi_{+}(X)\right\} .
$$

Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuple. $T$ is said to be of mutually commuting operators on a Banach space $X$ if $\forall(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}, T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i}$. We denote $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$ the set of $n$-tuples of mutually commuting operators on a Banach space $X . T$ is said to be of mutually commuting with an $n$-tuple $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ if $\forall(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}, T_{i} S_{j}=S_{j} T_{i}$. Define $T^{*}=\left(T_{1}^{*}, \ldots, T_{n}^{*}\right)$ the dual of $T$. We use the standard multiindex notation. We denote for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
T^{k}=\left(T_{1}^{k}, \ldots, T_{n}^{k}\right), N\left(T^{k}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} N\left(T_{i}^{k}\right) \text { and } R\left(T^{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(T_{i}^{k}\right) .
$$

The generalized range and generalized kernel of the $n$-tuple $T$ are defined respectively by $R^{\infty}(T)=\bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty} R\left(T^{k}\right)$ and $N^{\infty}(T)=\bigcup_{k=0}^{+\infty} N\left(T^{k}\right)$. The $n$-tuple $T$ is said to be essentially Kato if $R(T)$ is closed and there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $F \subset N^{\infty}(T)$ such that

$$
N^{\infty}(T) \subset R^{\infty}(T)+F
$$

We write $\delta_{T}: X \rightarrow X^{n}$ the bounded operator defined by $\delta_{T}(x)=\left(T_{1} x, \ldots, T_{n} x\right)(x \in X)$. The set of upper semi-Fredholm and lower semi-Fredholm n-tuples of commuting operators on $X$ are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{+}^{n}(X)=\left\{T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X) ; \operatorname{dim} N(T)<\infty \text { and } R\left(\delta_{T}\right) \text { is closed }\right\} \\
& \Phi_{-}^{n}(X)=\left\{T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X) ; \operatorname{codim} R(T)<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $T$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$, the ascent of $T$ is defined by

$$
a(T)=\min \left\{k ; \quad N\left(T^{k}\right)=N\left(T^{k+1}\right)\right\} .
$$

If no such $k$ exists, then we set $a(T)=\infty$.
The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we exhibit a large class of $n$-tuples in which the formula in [9, Proposition 2.6] remains valid. In Section 3, we give quantitative stability result for generalized range and generalized kernel of $n$ tuple in the class $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X)$. The main result of this section is Theorem 3.1. In Section 4, we study the stability of the ascent of an $n$-tuple (see Theorem 4.2).

## 2 Class $\mathcal{C}_{n}(X)$ of $n$-tuples

The ultimate of this section is to extend Proposition 2.6 in [9] to a class of $n$-tuples of commuting operators.

Proposition 2.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. We assume that the following conditions hold:
$\left(H_{1}\right) \operatorname{dim} N(T)$ is finite.
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that, $\forall k \geq m, \forall(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}, T_{i}^{k}\left(N\left(T_{i}^{k+1}\right)\right) \subset N\left(T_{j}\right)$.
Then

$$
\overline{N^{\infty}(T)} \cap R^{\infty}(T)=\{0\} \text { implies that } T \text { has a finite ascent. }
$$

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $V_{n}:=N(T) \cap R\left(T^{n}\right)$. Since $\left(V_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a decreasing serie of vector subspaces of finite dimensions, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_{k}=V_{m}, \forall k \geq m$. Let $x \in N\left(T^{m+1}\right)$. Using hypothesis $\left(H_{2}\right)$, we obtain $T_{i}^{m}(x) \in N\left(T_{j}\right), \forall(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}$ and then $T_{i}^{m}(x) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} N\left(T_{j}\right)=N(T)$. Hence,

$$
\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, T_{i}^{m}(x) \in N(T) \cap R\left(T^{m}\right)=V_{m} \subset \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} \cap R^{\infty}(T)=\{0\}
$$

Thus, $x \in N\left(T^{m}\right)$. Finally, $N\left(T^{m}\right)=N\left(T^{m+1}\right)$ and therefore $a(T)$ is finite.
Q.E.D.

Examples 2.1 For $i \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we consider the unilateral backward weighted shift operator $T_{i}$ defined on $l^{r}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}), r \geq 1$, by:

$$
T_{i}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}\right)=\left(w_{n} x_{n}\right)_{n} \text { with } w_{n}=0 \Longleftrightarrow n=i-1 .
$$

For all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$
N\left(T_{i}^{k+1}\right)=\{0\}^{i} \times \mathbb{C} \times\{0\}^{\mathbb{N}}
$$

Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in N\left(T_{i}^{k+1}\right)$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{i}, 0, \ldots.\right)$. Thus,

$$
T_{i}^{k}\left(N\left(T_{i}^{k+1}\right)\right)=\{0\} \subset N\left(T_{j}\right), \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

Moreover, we have $N^{\infty}(T)=\{0\}$. Hence, according to Proposition [2.1, $a(T)$ is finite.
Remark 2.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. We suppose that, $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, a\left(T_{i}\right)$ is finite. We denote by $p=\max \left\{a\left(T_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Then we obtain

$$
N\left(T^{p+1}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} N\left(T_{i}^{p+1}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} N\left(T_{i}^{p}\right)=N\left(T^{p}\right)
$$

and we conclude that $a(T)$ is finite. The converse is false, indeed, if we consider $T=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ such that $T_{1}$ is invertible and $a\left(T_{2}\right)$ is infinite, then we obtain,

$$
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, N\left(T^{k}\right)=N\left(T_{1}^{k}\right) \cap N\left(T_{2}^{k}\right)=\{0\}=N\left(T^{k+1}\right)
$$

Thus, $a(T)$ is finite.

In the following result, we study the converse of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.2 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. We assume that the following conditions hold.
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There exists $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$ such that $A$ is mutually commuting with $T$ satisfying $N(A)=\{0\}$.
$\left(H_{4}\right)$ There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $\forall p \geq m, \delta_{A}\left(N\left(T^{p}\right) \cap R\left(T^{p}\right)\right) \subset R\left(\delta_{T^{p}}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{A}: & X \\
x & \longmapsto X^{n} \\
x & \left.\longmapsto A_{1} x, \ldots, A_{n} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
T \text { has finite ascent implies that } \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} \cap R^{\infty}(T)=\{0\}
$$

Proof. Let $p \geq \sup (a(T), m)$ and $V_{p}:=N\left(T^{p}\right) \cap R\left(T^{p}\right)$. Let $x \in V_{p}$, then, by hypothesis $\left(H_{4}\right), \delta_{A}(x) \in R\left(\delta_{T^{p}}\right)$. Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $A_{j}(x)=T_{j}^{p}(z), \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Since, $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, A_{i} T_{i}=T_{i} A_{i}$, then we get $A_{j} T_{j}^{p}(x)=T_{j}^{2 p}(z), \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Moreover, we have $x \in N\left(T^{p}\right)$, then $z \in N\left(T^{2 p}\right)=N\left(T^{p}\right)$. Hence,

$$
0=T_{j}^{p}(z)=A_{j}(x), \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

Thus, $x \in N(A)=\{0\}$ and therefore $V_{p}=\{0\}$. On the other hand, the fact that $R^{\infty}(T) \subset R\left(T^{p}\right)$ and $\overline{N^{\infty}(T)} \subset N\left(T^{p}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\overline{N^{\infty}}(T) \cap R^{\infty}(T) \subset V_{p}=\{0\}
$$

Finally, $\overline{N^{\infty}(T)} \cap R^{\infty}(T)=\{0\}$.
Q.E.D.

Definition 2.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. $T$ is said to be in $\mathcal{C}_{n}(X)$ if it satisfies the following hypotheses $(\mathcal{H})$ :

$$
(\mathcal{H})\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) T \in \Phi_{+}^{n}(X) ; \\
\left(\text { ii) There exists } k_{0} \in \mathbb{N} \text { such that, } \forall k \geq k_{0}, \forall(i, j) \in\{1, \ldots, n\}^{2}\right. \\
\quad T_{i}^{k}\left(N\left(T_{i}^{k+1}\right)\right) \subset N\left(T_{j}\right) ; \\
\text { (iii) There exists } m \in \mathbb{N} \text { such that, } \forall p \geq m, \text { there exists } A=( \\
\text { mutually commuting with } T \text { satisfying: } \\
N(A)=\{0\} \text { and } \delta_{A}\left(N\left(T^{p}\right) \cap R\left(T^{p}\right)\right) \subset R\left(\delta_{T^{p}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 2.2 Notice that for $n=1, T \in \mathcal{C}_{1}(X)$, if and only if, $T \in \Phi_{+}(X)$. Indeed, if $T \in \mathcal{C}_{1}(X)$ then $T \in \Phi_{+}(X)$. Conversely, if $T \in \Phi_{+}(X)$, then the hypothesis $(\mathcal{H})$ is satisfied if we take $A=i d_{X}$.

The following example proves that $\mathcal{C}_{n}(X)$ is not empty.
Examples 2.2 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$ satisfying the following hypotheses:
(i) $T_{1}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.
(ii) For all $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}, T_{i} \in \Phi_{+}(X)$ and $a\left(T_{i}\right)<+\infty$.

Then $T \in \mathcal{C}_{n}(X)$. Indeed, let $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$, since $a\left(T_{i}\right)<+\infty$, then there exits $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $\forall k \geq m, N\left(T_{i}^{k}\right)=N\left(T_{i}^{m}\right)$. For $k \geq m$, we have

$$
T_{i}^{k}\left(N\left(T_{i}^{k+1}\right)\right)=T_{i}^{k}\left(N\left(T_{i}^{m}\right)\right)=T_{i}^{k-m}\left(T_{i}^{m}\left(N\left(T_{i}^{m}\right)\right)\right)=\{0\} .
$$

Thus, $(\mathcal{H})(i i)$ is satisfied. Moreover, since $T_{1}$ is invertible, then, $\forall p \geq 1, N\left(T^{p}\right)=\{0\}$ and therefore $(\mathcal{H})($ iii $)$ is satisfied.

As a consequence of Propositions 2.1 and [2.2, we get the following result which extends Proposition 2.6 in 9$]$.

Corollary 2.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{C}_{n}(X)$. We have
$T$ has finite ascent $\Longleftrightarrow \overline{N^{\infty}}(T) \cap R^{\infty}(T)=\{0\}$.

## 3 Class $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X)$ of $n$-tuples

Definition 3.1 Let $\alpha>0$ and $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. $T$ is said to be essentially katostable if there exists $\varepsilon>\alpha$ such that, for all $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X) \bigcap B(O, \varepsilon)$ and mutually commuting with $T$, the following assertions holds:
(i) $T+S$ is essentially kato i.e. there exists a finite dimensional subspace $F_{S}$ such that

$$
N^{\infty}(T+S) \subset R^{\infty}(T+S)+F_{S} .
$$

(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right) R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)=R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)$.
(iii) $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right)\left(R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N^{\infty}(T+S)\right)=R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N^{\infty}(T+S)$.
(iv) $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, R\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{k}$ and $R\left(T_{i}^{* k}\right)$ are closed in $X^{*}$.
(v) $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall p \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, R\left((T+S)^{k}\right), \sum_{i=1}^{p} N\left(T_{i}^{k}\right)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p} N\left(\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right)^{k}\right)$ are closed in $X$.

We denote by $\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$ the set of all essentially kato-stable $n$-tuples and we consider

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X):=\bigcup_{\alpha>0} \mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)
$$

Hence, we have $T \in \mathcal{K}^{e}(X)$, if and only if, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that, $\forall S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X) \bigcap B(O, \varepsilon)$, the assertions $(i)-(v)$ of the previous definition are satisfied.

Remark 3.1 For $n=1$, we have $T \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{e}(X)$ is equivalent to $T$ is essentially Kato operator. Indeed, it is clear that if $T \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{e}(X)$, then $T$ is essentially Kato. Conversely, if $T$ is essentially Kato, then according [7, Theorems 5, 7 and 8 section 21], $T \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{e}(X)$, when $\alpha<\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\gamma\left(T^{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

The following proposition proves that $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X)$ is a non-empty set.
Proposition 3.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$ such that $T_{1}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and, $\forall i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}, T_{i} \in \phi_{+}(X)$. Then

$$
T \in \mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X) .
$$

## Proof.

Since $T_{1}$ is invertible, then there exists $\varepsilon_{1}$ such that, $\forall S_{1} \in B\left(O, \varepsilon_{1}\right), T_{1}+S_{1}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ be such that $\|S\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|S_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon_{1}$. Then $\left\|S_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon_{1}$. Hence,

$$
N^{\infty}(T+S) \subset N^{\infty}\left(T_{1}+S_{1}\right) \subset\{0\}
$$

and therefore the assertions (i) and (iii) of Definition 3.1 are satisfied. Moreover, since $T_{1}^{*}$ is invertible, then $R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)=X^{*}$ and $\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)\left(R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)=X^{*}$. So the assertion (ii) of Definition 3.1 is satisfied. Finally, since, $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, T_{i} \in \phi_{+}(X)$, then there exists $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{1}$ such that, for all $S_{i} \in B(O, \varepsilon),\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right) \in \phi_{+}(X)$. So, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \sum_{i=1}^{j} N\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right)^{k}$ and $R\left(T^{*}+S^{*}\right)^{k}=X^{*}$ are subsets of finite dimensional. Thus, $(i v)$ and $(v)$ of Definition 3.1 are satisfied.
Q.E.D.

In the following Proposition and according to Definition 3.1, we deduce some useful properties in the set $\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$.

Proposition 3.2 (i) If $0<\alpha \leq \beta$, then $\mathcal{K}_{n \beta}^{e}(X) \subset \mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$.
(ii) For $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$, we have $\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X) \cap \mathcal{K}_{n \beta}^{e}(X)=\mathcal{K}_{n \max (\alpha, \beta)}^{e}(X)$.
(iii) If $T$ is in $\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$, then $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $|\lambda|>1, \lambda T \in \mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$.

Proof. (i) Let $T \in \mathcal{K}_{n \beta}^{e}(X)$, then there exists $\varepsilon>\beta$ and hence $\varepsilon>\alpha$ such that, $\forall S \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X) \cap B(O, \varepsilon)$ and mutually commuting with $T$, the assertions $(i)-(v)$ of Definition 3.1 hold.
(ii) If $\varepsilon>\alpha$ and $\varepsilon>\beta$, then $\varepsilon>\max (\alpha, \beta)$.
(iii) Let $\varepsilon>0$ such that $T \in \mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$. Then for $|\lambda|>1$, we have $\frac{1}{\lambda} S \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X) \cap \mathcal{B}(O, \varepsilon)$ and hence $(i)-(v)$ of Definition 3.1 are satisfied. Thus, $\lambda T \in \mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$.
Q.E.D.

The main result of this section is the following.
Theorem 3.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X)$, then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for all $S \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X) \cap \mathcal{B}(O, \varepsilon)$ and mutually commuting with $T$, we have

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset R^{\infty}(T) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} .
$$

To prove this Theorem we shall need two Lemmas.
Lemma 3.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ and $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$ mutually commuting with $T$. We assume that the following conditions hold:
$\left(A_{1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right) R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)=R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)$.
$\left(A_{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{p} N\left(T_{i}^{k}\right)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p} N\left(\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right)^{k}\right)$ are closed in $X, \forall(p, k) \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \times \mathbb{N}$.
$\left(A_{3}\right) R\left(T_{i}^{* k}\right)$ and $R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{k}\right)$ are closed in $X^{*}, \forall(i, k) \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \times \mathbb{N}$.
Then

$$
\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset \overline{N^{\infty}(T)}
$$

## Proof.

- We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)}={ }^{\perp}\left(R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}+S^{*}\right)\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 1.1, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} & =\perp\left[\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} N\left(\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right)^{k}\right)\right)\right)^{\perp}\right]=\perp\left[\bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} N\left(\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right)^{k}\right)\right)^{\perp}\right] \\
& =\perp\left[\bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}{ }^{\perp} R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{k}\right)\right)^{\perp}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $\left.R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right) k\right)\right)$ is closed $\forall(i, k) \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and by Lemma 1.1 we infer that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} & \left.=\perp\left[\bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}{ }^{\perp}\left(R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{k}\right)\right)^{\perp}\right)\right)\right]=\perp\left[\bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\left.R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{k}\right)\right)}\right)\right] \\
& \left.=\perp\left[\bigcap_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{k}\right)\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)}={ }^{\perp}\left(R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}+S^{*}\right)\right)$ and our claim is proved.

- We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right) \subset R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}+S^{*}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)$. Using $\left(A_{1}\right)$, there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
x=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

By induction on $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we will have

$$
x=\sum_{k_{1}+\ldots+k_{n}=n p}\left(T_{1}^{*}+S_{1}^{*}\right)^{k_{1}} \ldots\left(T_{n}^{*}+S_{n}^{*}\right)^{k_{n}}\left(z_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}}\right),
$$

where $z_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} \in R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)$. Since $k_{1}+\ldots+k_{n}=n p$, then there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $k_{i} \geq p$ and hence

$$
\left(T_{1}^{*}+S_{1}^{*}\right)^{k_{1}} \ldots\left(T_{n}^{*}+S_{n}^{*}\right)^{k_{n}}\left(z_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}}\right) \in\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{k_{i}}\left(R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)\right) \subset R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{p}\right)
$$

Thus, $x \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{p}\right)$. Hence,

$$
x \in \bigcap_{p=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} R\left(\left(T_{i}^{*}+S_{i}^{*}\right)^{p}\right)\right)=R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}+S^{*}\right),
$$

which proves our claim.
Now, using Equation (3.2), we obtain ${ }^{\perp} R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}+S^{*}\right) \subset{ }^{\perp} R^{\infty}\left(T^{*}\right)$. Then Equation (3.1) implies that

$$
\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset \overline{N^{\infty}(T)}
$$

Lemma 3.2 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. We assume that, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for all $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X) \bigcap B(O, \varepsilon)$ and mutually commuting with $T$, the following conditions hold:
$\left(A_{4}\right) T+S$ is essentially Kato and $R\left((T+S)^{k}\right)$ is closed in $X, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.
$\left(A_{5}\right) g_{T, S}\left(R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N^{\infty}(T+S)\right)=R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N^{\infty}(T+S)$, where $g_{T, S}$ is the operator defined by:

$$
g_{T, S}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(T_{i}+S_{i}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset R^{\infty}(T)
$$

Proof. Since $T+S$ is essentially Kato, then there exists a finite dimensional subspace $F$ such that $F \cap R^{\infty}(T+S)=\{0\}$ and

$$
\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \cap R^{\infty}(T+S)=\left(\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S) \cap R^{\infty}(T+S)}+F\right) \cap R^{\infty}(T+S)
$$

Since, $R^{\infty}(T+S)$ is a closed subspace, then

$$
\left(\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S) \cap R^{\infty}(T+S)}+F_{1}\right) \cap R^{\infty}(T+S)=\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S) \cap R^{\infty}(T+S)}
$$

Now, to prove Lemma 3.2, it is sufficient to show that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N(T+S)^{k} \subset R^{\infty}(T)
$$

We will do this by induction on $k$. The statement is clear for $k=0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and assume that the inclusion holds for $k-1$. Let $x_{0} \in R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N(T+S)^{k}$. Then we have $x_{0} \in$ $R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N^{\infty}(T+S)$. Using the hypothesis $\left(A_{5}\right)$ we can find an infinite sequence $\underline{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots}$ in $R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N^{\infty}(T+S)$ such that $x_{j-1}=g_{T, S}\left(x_{j}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \overline{N^{\infty}(T)}$. Since $T$ is essentially Kato, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{N^{\infty}(T)} / R^{\infty}(T) \bigcap \overline{N(T)}\right)=m$ is finite. Thus $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are linearly dependent, i.e., there exists a non-trivial combination

$$
x:=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha_{i} x_{i} \in R^{\infty}(T)
$$

let $l$ be such that $\alpha_{l} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{j}=0$ for $j=l+1, \ldots, m$. We obtain:

$$
g_{T, S}^{l} x=\alpha_{l} x_{0}+\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \alpha_{j} g_{T, S}^{l} x_{j} \in \alpha_{l} x_{0}+\left(R^{\infty}(T+S) \bigcap N(T+S)^{k-1}\right)
$$

Finally, we obtain $x_{0} \in R^{\infty}(T)$.
Q.E.D.

## Proof of Theorem 3.1

By Lemma 3.1 we have $\overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset \overline{N^{\infty}(T)}$, then, the use of Lemma 3.2 leads to

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset R^{\infty}(T)
$$

and the theorem is proved.
Q.E.D.

## 4 Stability of the ascent of $n$-tuple

In the following we consider some classes of $n$-tuples commuting operators.
(i) For $\alpha>0$, consider $\mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X):=\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X) \cap \mathcal{C}_{n}(X)$.
(ii) Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}(X):=\bigcup_{\alpha>0} \mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)$.
(iii) For $\alpha>0$, let $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)\right)$ the set of all $n$-tuples $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$ such that, for all $S \in \mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$ and mutually commuting with $T, T+S \in \mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$.
(iv) $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)\right)$ the set of all $n$-tuple $T$ such that, for all $S \in \mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)$ and mutually commuting with $T, T+S \in \mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)$.
(v) Let $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}(X)\right):=\bigcap_{\alpha>0} \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)\right)$.

Remark 4.1 (i) It is clear that, for $n=1, \mathcal{G}_{n}(X)=\Phi_{+}(X)$.
(ii) According to Proposition [3.1, the set of $n$-tuples defined in example 2.2 is included in $\mathcal{G}_{n}(X)$.
(iii) Notice that for $n=1$ and according to [7, Theorem 9, Section 21] $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)\right)$ contains the set of all compact and quasinilpotent operators.

Proposition 4.1 (i) If $0<\alpha \leq \beta$, then $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)\right) \subset \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n \beta}^{e}(X)\right)$.
(ii) If $T \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)\right)$, then for all $\left.\left.\lambda \in\right] 0,1\right], \lambda T \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)\right)$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.2 (i) and (iii).
Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)$ and let $S$ be in $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{K}_{n \alpha}^{e}(X)\right)$ and mutually commuting with $T$. Then

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset R^{\infty}(T) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in[0,1]$. By Proposition 4.1, $T_{\mu}=T+\mu S \in \mathcal{K}_{n}^{e}(X)$. According to Theorem 3.1] there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that, $\forall \lambda \in] \mu, \mu+\frac{\varepsilon}{\|S\|}[$, we have

$$
R^{\infty}\left(T_{\lambda}\right) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}\left(T_{\lambda}\right)} \subset R^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu}\right) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu}\right)} .
$$

If we apply the above procedure with $\mu_{0}=0<\mu_{1}<\ldots<\mu_{p}=1$, then, for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, there exists $\varepsilon_{i}>0$ such that $\left.\mu_{i+1} \in\right] \mu_{i}, \mu_{i}+\frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\|S\|}[$ and we have

$$
R^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{i+1}}\right) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{i+1}}\right)} \subset R^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{i}}\right) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{i}}\right)} .
$$

Thus,

$$
R^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{p}}\right) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{p}}\right)} \subset R^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{p-1}}\right) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{p-1}}\right)} \subset \ldots \subset R^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{0}}\right) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}\left(T_{\mu_{0}}\right)},
$$

which implies that

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset R^{\infty}(T) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} .
$$

Q.E.D.

Now, we are ready to state the main result of this paper.
Theorem 4.2 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. Then

$$
T \in \mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X), a(T)<+\infty \text { and } S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)\right), \alpha>0 \Longrightarrow a(T+S)<+\infty
$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)} \subset R^{\infty}(T) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T)} .
$$

According to Corollary 2.1 we infer that

$$
R^{\infty}(T+S) \cap \overline{N^{\infty}(T+S)}=\{0\} .
$$

Thus, by the same corollary we get $a(T+S)<+\infty$.
Corollary 4.1 Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. Then

$$
T \in \mathcal{G}_{n}(X), a(T)<+\infty \text { and } S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}(X)\right) \Longrightarrow a(T+S)<+\infty .
$$

Proof. The result follows since $\mathcal{G}_{n}(X)=\bigcup_{\alpha>0} \mathcal{G}_{n \alpha}(X)$. Q.E.D.

Remark 4.2 Observe that for $n=1$, we obtain the well known Theorem 1 established by $V$. Rakocevic in [7] indeed we have $\mathcal{G}_{1}(X)=\Phi_{+}(X)$.
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