Construction of Banach frames and atomic decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces

Dimitri Bytchenkoff [1,2,*]

[1] *Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Deutschland*

[2] *Université de Lorraine, Laboratoire d'Energétique et de Mécanique Théorique et Appliquée, 2 avenue de la Forêt de Haye, 54505 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France*

arXiv:2006.03727v1 [math.FA] 5 Jun 2020

Abstract

We construct generalised shift-invariant systems of functions of several real variables for anisotropic Besov spaces that can be generated by the decomposition method using any given expansive matrix and establish the conditions on those systems under which they will constitute Banach frames or sets of atoms for the anisotropic homo- or inhomogeneous Besov spaces.

Keywords: Anisotropic Besov spaces, anisotropic wavelets, Banach frames, atomic decompositions

AMS : 42B35, 46E35, 42C15, 42C40

1. Introduction

Besov spaces, originally constructed by the approximation method [1], play an extremely important role in the theory of differentiable functions of several real variables as they, on the one hand, constitute a closed system with respect to embedding theorems and are, on the other hand, closely related to Sobolev spaces [13]. Along with Sobolev, Besov spaces are an integral part of the embedding theory, which studies connexions between differential properties of functions in different metrics [13, 12, 2]. Harmonic analysis uses either bases or frames and sets of atoms to decompose functions of a function space into basic building blocks or synthesise them from those blocks. The isotropic Besov spaces are known [14] to have orthonormal bases made of wavelets [7]. Herein we shall use the innovative approach reported in [15, 16] to construct Banach frames and sets of atoms for the anisotropic homo- and inhomogeneous Besov spaces introduced in [4] as decomposition spaces [8]. Similar results, although formulated and achieved rather differently, were reported in [4] and [3]. The work [4] was, in its turn, an ingenious generalisation of the ideas developed in [9] and [10].

This report is structured as follows. The elements of the decomposition method essential to the present work are outlined at the beginning of Section 2. The definitions of the anisotropic homo- and inhomogeneous Besov spaces viewed as decompositions spaces follow in Subsections 2.1 and 2.2. The notion of the Banach frame and that of the set of atoms for the decomposition space are reminded at the beginning of Section 3. In the same section we give the statements of the two theorems that we shall use to construct Banach frames and atomic decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces. In Subsection 4.1 of Section 4 the set of anisotropic homogeneous Besov wavelets is defined as a generalised shift-invariant system and the conditions are established under which this set will form a Banach frame or a set of atoms for the anisotropic homogeneous Besov space. Finally anisotropic inhomogeneous wavelets are defined in Subsection 4.2, along with the conditions under which they will be a Banach frame or a set of atoms for the anisotropic inhomogeneous Besov space.

Throughout this report the information is provided as it is first needed. All definitions and propositions borrowed from other works are supplied with corresponding references. All the new propositions are followed by their proofs.

* Corresponding author ; e-mail address : dimitri.bytchenkoff@univ-lorraine.fr

2. Construction of anisotropic Besov spaces by the decomposition method

In this study we shall concern ourselves with the anisotropic Besov spaces as they were defined in [4] by the decomposition method, initially reported in [8] and further developed in [3]. This definition involves three basic building blocks, namely an almost structured cover $\mathcal{Q}$ of the open subset of the frequency space, a regular partition of unity on the subset subordinate to the covering $\mathcal{Q}$ and a $\mathcal{Q}$-moderate weight. Here are the definitions of these three notions and those of the decomposition space and its reservoir.

Definition 2.1. The set $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ is called an *almost structured cover* of the open subset O of $\mathbb{R}^d$ where $d \in \mathbb{N}$, if

1. $\mathcal{Q}$ is *admissible*, i.e. the number of elements in the sets $\{i' \in I : Q_{i'} \cap Q_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is uniformly bounded for all $i \in I$;
2. there is a set $\{T_i \bullet + b_i\}_{i\in I}$ of invertible affine-linear maps and finite sets $\{Q'_n\}_{n=1}^N$ and $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of non-empty open and bounded subsets Q'_i and P_i of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that
   (a) $\overline{P_n} \subset Q'_n$ for all $1 \leqslant n \leqslant N$;
   (b) for each $i \in I$ there is such an $n_i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ that $Q_i = T_i\, Q'_{n_i} + b_i$;
   (c) there is such a constant $C > 0$ that $\|T_i^{-1} T_{i'}\| \leqslant C$ for all such i and $i' \in I$ that $Q_i \cap Q_{i'} \neq \emptyset$; and
   (d) $O \subset \bigcup_{i\in I}(T_i P_{n_i} + b_i)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{T_i \bullet + b_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an almost structured cover of the open subset O of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the set of invertible affine-linear maps associated with it respectively. The set of functions $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ is called *regular partition of unity subordinate to* $\mathcal{Q}$, if

1. $\phi_i \in C_c^\infty(O)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\phi_i \subset Q_i$ for all $i \in I$;
2. $\sum_{i\in I} \phi_i \equiv 1$ on O; and
3. $\sup_{i\in I} \| \partial^\alpha \phi_i^\natural \|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, where $\phi^\natural : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}, \xi \mapsto \phi_i(T_i\, \xi + b_i)$.

Definition 2.3. The sequence $w = \{w_i\}_{i\in I}$ of positive numbers is called *weight*. The weight is called $\mathcal{Q}$-*moderate* where $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ stands for an almost structured cover of the open subset O of $\mathbb{R}^d$, if there is such a positive number C that $w_i \leqslant C \cdot w_{i'}$ for all such i and $i' \in I$ that $Q_i \cap Q_{i'} \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.4. The topological dual Z' of the space $Z := \mathcal{F}(C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with the unique topology that makes the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \to Z$ into a homeomorphism will be referred to as *reservoir*.

Such a definition of the reservoir ensures that the decomposition space that we shall construct with its aid will be complete [5].

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$, $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i\in I}$, $w = \{w_i\}_{i\in I}$ and Z' be an almost structured cover of the open subset O of $\mathbb{R}^d$, a regular partition of unity on $O \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ subordinate to $\mathcal{Q}$, a $\mathcal{Q}$-moderate weight and the reservoir, respectively, and let p and $q \in (0, \infty]$. The set

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q) := \left\{ g \in Z' : \|g\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q},L^p,\ell_w^q)} < \infty \right\} \tag{1}$$

equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q},L^p,\ell_w^q)} := \left\| \left(w_i \cdot \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_i \cdot \widehat{g})\|_{L^p} \right)_{i\in I} \right\|_{\ell^q} \in [0, \infty] \tag{2}$$

is called the *decomposition space*.

We shall now specify covers, regular partitions of unity subordinate to them and moderate weights for the anisotropic homo- and inhomogeneous Besov spaces.

2.1. Construction of anisotropic homogeneous Besov spaces

Definition 2.6. The matrix A whose elements are real numbers and whose spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is such that

$$\min_{\lambda\in\sigma(A)} |\lambda| > 1 \tag{3}$$

is called *expansive*.

Definition 2.7. Let Q_0 be such be a compact subset of $[-1,1]^d \setminus \{0\}$ and A such a $d\times d$ expansive matrix that

$$\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} Q_i = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$$

where $Q_i := A^i Q_0$, then the set $\dot{Q}_B := \{Q_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ will be referred to as *anisotropic homogeneous cover* of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

The proof of existence of such a set $\dot{Q}_B := \{Q_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and its being indeed an almost structured cover of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ can be found in Lemma 5.2 in [6].

Definition 2.8. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ and $\operatorname{supp}\phi \subset Q_0$ with Q_0 as in Definition 2.7, then the set $\dot{\Phi}_B := \{\phi_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where

$$\phi_i(\xi) := \phi(A^{-i}\xi) \tag{4}$$

as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ will be referred to as *anisotropic homogeneous partition of unity*.

From Remark 2.3 in [6] can be inferred that $\dot{\Phi}_B$ is a regular partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}$ subordinate to $\dot{Q}_B$.

Definition 2.9. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the set $\dot{w}_B := \{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where

$$w_i := |\det A|^{si} \tag{5}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ will be referred to as *anisotropic homogeneous weight*.

Lemma 2.10. *The weight $\dot{w}_B$ is $\dot{Q}_B$-moderate.*

Proof. In Lemma 5.2 of [6] it was proved that $Q_i \cap Q_{i'} = \emptyset$ where Q_i and $Q_{i'} \in \dot{Q}_B$ if $|i-i'|$ exceeds a certain finite integer Δi. Therefore

$$\frac{w_i}{w_{i'}} = |\det A|^{s(i-i')} \leqslant |\det A|^{|s|\Delta i}$$

as $Q_i \cap Q_{i'} \neq \emptyset$ and $|\det A|^{|s|\Delta i}$ can be taken as the positive number C mentioned in Definition 2.3 of the moderate weight. □

Definition 2.11. The decomposition space as defined by (1) with the almost structured cover $\dot{Q}_B$, partition of unity $\dot{\Phi}_B$ subordinate to $\dot{Q}_B$ and $\dot{Q}_B$-moderate weight $\dot{w}_B$ will be referred to as *anisotropic homogeneous Besov space* and denoted by $\dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}$.

From this definition one can deduce that the fact that a function g belongs to the space $\dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}$ does not, generally speaking, imply that the composition of functions $g\circ R$ where R stands for a rotation matrix will also belong to it, which justifies the attributive *anisotropic* in the name of the space. Only if we choose to use a scalar expansive matrix A for generating the space $\dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}$, the latter will be isotropic. This remark, as it will transpire later, also applies to anisotropic inhomogeneous Besov spaces to whose definition we now turn.

2.2. Construction of anisotropic inhomogeneous Besov spaces

Definition 2.12. Let Q_0 be such a subset of $[-1,1]^d$, Q_1 such a compact subset of $[-1,1]^d \setminus \{0\}$ and A be such a $d \times d$ expansive matrix that

$$\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} Q_i = \mathbb{R}^d$$

where $Q_i := A^{i-1}Q_1$ as $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then the set $Q_B := \{Q_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ will be referred to as *anisotropic inhomogeneous cover* of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The proof of existence of such a set $Q_B := \{Q_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and its being indeed an almost structured cover of $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be found in Lemma 5.2 in [6].

Definition 2.13. Let ϕ_0 and $\phi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$, $\operatorname{supp}\phi_0 \subset Q_0$ and $\operatorname{supp}\phi_1 \subset Q_1$ with Q_0 and Q_1 as in Definition 2.12, then the set $\Phi_B := \{\phi_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ where

$$\phi_i(\xi) := \phi_1(A^{-(i-1)}\xi) \tag{6}$$

as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ will be referred to as *anisotropic inhomogeneous partition of unity.*

From Remark 2.3 in [6] can be inferred that Φ_B is a regular partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^d$ subordinate to Q_B.

Definition 2.14. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the set $\dot{w}_B := \{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ where $w_0 := 1$ and

$$w_i := |\det A|^{s(i-1)} \tag{7}$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ will be referred to as *anisotropic inhomogeneous weight.*

Lemma 2.15. *The weight w_B is Q_B-moderate.*

The proof of this lemma is almost identical to that of 2.10.

Definition 2.16. The decomposition space as defined by (1) with the almost structured cover Q_B, partition of unity Φ_B subordinate to Q_B and Q_B-moderate weight w_B will be referred to as *anisotropic inhomogeneous Besov space* and denoted by $B^\alpha_{p,q}$.

3. Construction of Banach frames for and atomic decompositions of the decomposition spaces

The decomposition space is a example of the quasi-Banach space, i. e. the complete quasi-normed vector space. Banach frames and sets of atoms provide the quasi-Banach space with those basic building blocks into which any of the element of the space can be decomposed or from which an element of the space can be synthesised. Here are the definitions of these two notions [11], along with one axillary definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^I$ where $\mathbb{C}^I$ stands for the quasi-Banach space consisting of sequences of complex numbers indexed by $i \in I$ and let $a = \{a_i\}_{i\in I} \in A$. If $|a'_i| \leqslant |a_i|$ for all $i \in I$ implies that $a' = \{a'_i\}_{i\in I} \in A$ and $||a'||_{\mathbb{C}^I} \leqslant ||a||_{\mathbb{C}^I}$, then A is called *solid.*

Definition 3.2. A set $\{\psi_i\}_{i\in I}$ in the dual space X' of a quasi-Banach space X is called *Banach frame* for X if there is a well-defined bounded map, called *analysis operator*, $A : X \to x, f \mapsto \{\langle\psi_i, f\rangle\}_{i\in I}$ where $x := \{\{\langle\psi_i, f\rangle\}_{i\in I} : f \in X\}$ is a solid quasi-Banach subspace of $\mathbb{C}^I$ and there is such a bounded linear map $A_l^{-1} : x \to X$ that $A_l^{-1} \circ A = I_X$ where I_X stands for an identity operator on X.

Definition 3.3. A set $\{\phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ in a quasi-Banach space X is called *set of atoms* in X if there is a well-defined bounded map, called *synthesis operator*, $S : x \to X, \{c_i\}_{i\in I} \mapsto \sum_{i\in I} c_i\phi_i$ where the coefficient space $x := \{c_i\}_{i\in I}$ associated with $\{\phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a solid subspace of $\mathbb{C}^I$ and there is such a bounded linear map $S_r^{-1} : X \mapsto x$ that $S \circ S_r^{-1} = I_X$ where I_X stands for an identity operator on X. The series expansion $g = \sum_{i\in I} c_i\phi_i$ of a given function $g \in X$ where $\{\phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a set of atoms is called *atomic decomposition* of g.

To construct Banach frames and sets of atoms for the anisotropic Besov spaces $\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}$ and $B^{\alpha}_{p,q}$ we shall use the following two concepts and two theorems.

Definition 3.4. Let $\delta > 0$, $\{T_i \bullet + b_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a denumerable set of invertible affine-linear maps on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$ a finite set of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\Psi := \{L_{\delta T_i^{-t} k}\psi_i(t)\}_{i\in I,\ k\in\mathbb{R}^d} \tag{8}$$

where

$$L_x f(z) := f(z-x) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i(t) := |\det T_i|^{1/2} M_{b_i}\phi_{n_i}(T_i^t t) \tag{9}$$

where $M_s f(z) := e^{2\pi i s z} f(z)$ and $\phi_{n_i} \in \{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is called *generalised shift-invariant system.*

Definition 3.5. Let p and $q \in (0,\infty]$ and $w = \{w_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a weight. Then

$$C_w^{p,q} := \left\{(c_k^{(i)})_{i\in I,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{I\times\mathbb{Z}^d} : \|c\|_{C_w^{p,q}} := \left\| \left(|\det T_i|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \cdot w_i \cdot \|(c_k^{(i)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^p} \right)_{i\in I} \right\|_{\ell^q} < \infty \right\} \tag{10}$$

is called *coefficient space associated with* Ψ.

The functions $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$ in Definition 3.4 can be regarded as prototypes of all the functions in Ψ. The next two theorems specify the conditions on the prototypes under which Ψ will constitute a Banach frame or a set of atoms for the decomposition space $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q)$ respectively.

Theorem 3.6. *Let ϵ, p_0 and $q_0 \in (0,1]$, $p \in [p_0,\infty]$, $q \in [q_0,\infty]$ and $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $w = (w_i)_{i\in I}$ be respectively a regular partition of unity subordinate to $\mathcal{Q}$ and a $\mathcal{Q}$-moderate weight where $\mathcal{Q}$ stands for the almost structured cover of an open subset O of $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose elements $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ are generated by having the invertible affine-linear transformations $\{T_i \bullet + b_i\}_{i\in I}$ act on elements of the finite set $\{Q'_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of non-empty open and bounded subsets Q'_i of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Cf Definition 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3. Furthermore let all the elements of the finite set $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, introduced in Definition 3.4, satisfy the following conditions :*

1. $\hat{\phi}_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
2. $\hat{\phi}_n$ *and all its partial derivatives are of polynomial growth at most ;*
3. $\hat{\phi}_n(\xi) \neq 0$ *as* $\xi \in \overline{Q'_n}$;
4. $\phi_n \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $\nabla\phi_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$; *and*
5. $$S_1 := \sup_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in I} N_{ij}^1 < \infty \qquad \textit{and} \qquad S_2 := \sup_{j\in I}\sum_{i\in I} N_{ij}^1 < \infty \tag{11}$$

   where

$$N_{ij}^1 := \left(\frac{w_i}{w_j}\right)^\tau \cdot (1+\|T_i^{-1}T_j\|)^\sigma \cdot \max_{|\beta|\le 1}\left(|\det T_j|^{-1} \int\limits_{Q_j} \max_{|\alpha|\le N} \left| \left[\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi_{n_i}}\right](T_i^{-1}(\xi - b_i)) \right| d\xi \right)^\tau , \tag{12}$$

$\tau := \min\{1,p,q\}$,

$$\sigma := \tau\cdot\left(N + \frac{d}{\min\{1,p\}}\right) \quad \textit{and} \quad N := \left\lceil \frac{d+\epsilon}{\min\{1,p\}} \right\rceil .$$

Then there is such a $C = C(\epsilon, p_0, q_0, d, \mathcal{Q}, w, \{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N) > 0$ that the generalised shift-invariant system

$$\widetilde{\Psi} := \{\widetilde{\psi}_i(t)\}_{i\in I,\ k\in\mathbb{R}^d} := \{L_{\delta T_i^{-t} k}\psi_i(-t)\}_{i\in I,\ k\in\mathbb{R}^d} \tag{13}$$

where $\psi_i(t)$ as defined by (9) with the coefficient space $C_w^{p,q}$ constitutes a Banach frame for the decomposition space $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q)$ as long as $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ where

$$\delta_0 = 1\Big/\left[1 + C\cdot C_{Q,w}^4 \cdot \left(S_1^{1/\tau} + S_2^{1/\tau}\right)^2\right];$$

and, in particular,

1. *the analysis operator*

$$A_{\widetilde{\Psi}} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell^q_w) \to C^{p,q}_w,\ f \mapsto \{[\widetilde{\psi}_i * f](\delta T_i^{-t} k)\}_{i\in I,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\ ,$$

where the convolution $\widetilde{\psi}_i * f$ *is defined by*

$$\big(\widetilde{\psi}_i * f\big)(t) = \sum_{j\in I} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big(\widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}_i \cdot \phi_j \cdot \widehat{f}\Big)(t) \tag{14}$$

is well-defined and bounded as long as $\delta \in (0,1]$ *and the series in* (14) *converges normally in* $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$*. Moreover, if* $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow Z'$*, the convolution defined by* (14) *agrees with its usual definition and*

$$A_{\widetilde{\Psi}} f = \{\langle f, L_{\delta T_i^{-t} k}\widetilde{\psi}_i\rangle\}_{i\in I,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \tag{15}$$

for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell^q_w)$*.*

2. *there is such a map* $A^{-1}_{\widetilde{\Psi} l} : C^{p,q}_w \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell^q_w)$ *that* $A^{-1}_{\widetilde{\Psi} l} \circ A_\Psi = id_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell^q_w)}$ *as long as* $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$*.*

This is a slightly reformulated statement of Theorem 2.11 in [5]. The proof of this theorem in its more general and simplified formulations can be found in [15] and [16] respectively.

Theorem 3.7. *Let* ϵ*,* p_0 *and* $q_0 \in (0,1]$*,* $p \in [p_0, \infty]$*,* $q \in [q_0, \infty]$ *and* $w = \{w_i\}_{i\in I}$ *be* $\mathcal{Q}$*-moderate weight where* $\mathcal{Q}$ *stands for the almost structured cover of an open subset* O *of* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *whose elements* $\{Q_i\}_{i\in I}$ *are generated by having the invertible affine-linear transformations* $\{T_i \bullet + b_i\}_{i\in I}$ *act on elements of the finite set* $\{Q'_n\}_{n=1}^N$ *of non-empty open and bounded subsets* Q'_i *of* $\mathbb{R}^d$*. Cf Definition 2.1 and 2.3. Furthermore let all the elements of the finite set* $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$ *of square integrable functions on* $\mathbb{R}^d$*, introduced in Definition 3.4, satisfy the following conditions :*

1. $\hat{\phi}_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *where* $\hat{\phi}_n$ *stands for the Fourier transform of* ϕ_n *;*
2. $\hat{\phi}_n$ *and all its partial derivatives are of polynomial growth at most ;*
3. $\hat{\phi}_n(\xi) \neq 0$ *as* $\xi \in \overline{Q'_n}$ *;*
4. $$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d} \big[(1+|t|)^\Lambda \cdot |\phi_n(t)|\big] < \infty$$
   where $\Lambda := 1 + d/\min\{1,p\}$ *; and*
5. *there is such a set* $\{\rho_n\}_{n=1}^N$ *of non-negative and absolutely integrable on* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *functions that*
   (a)
   $$\Big|\partial^\alpha \hat{\phi}_n(\xi)\Big| \leqslant \rho_n(\xi) \cdot (1+|\xi|)^{-(d+1+\epsilon)}$$
   as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and for all such* $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ *that* $|\alpha| \leqslant N$ *with* N *as defined in Theorem 3.6 ; and*
   (b)
   $$S_3 := \sup_{i\in I} \sum_{j\in I} N_{ij}^2 < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad S_4 := \sup_{j\in I} \sum_{i\in I} N_{ij}^2 < \infty \tag{16}$$
   where
   $$N_{ij}^2 := \left(\frac{w_i}{w_j} \cdot \big(|\det T_j| \,/\, |\det T_i|\big)^\theta\right)^\tau \cdot (1 + \|T_j^{-1} T_i\|)^\sigma \cdot \left(|\det T_i|^{-1} \int\limits_{Q_i} \rho_{n_j}\big(T_j^{-1}(\xi - b_j)\big)\, d\xi\right)^\tau \ , \tag{17}$$
   $\theta := (p^{-1} - 1)_+$*,* τ *as defined in Theorem 3.6 and*
   $$\sigma := \begin{cases} \tau \cdot (d+1) & \text{if } p \in [1,\infty] \\ \tau \cdot \big(p^{-1} \cdot d + \lceil p^{-1} \cdot (d+\epsilon)\rceil\big) & \text{if } p \in (0,1) \end{cases} .$$

Then there is such a $C = C(\epsilon, p_0, q_0, d, \mathcal{Q}, w, \{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N) > 0$ *that the generalised shift-invariant system* Ψ *with the coefficient space* $C_w^{p,q}$ *constitutes a set of atoms for the decomposition space* $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q)$ *as long as* $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ *where*

$$\delta_0 = \min\left\{1, \left[C \cdot \left(S_3^{1/\tau} + S_4^{1/\tau}\right)\right]^{-1}\right\};$$

and, in particular,

1. *the synthesis operator*

$$S_\Psi : C_w^{p,q} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q),\ \{c_k^{(i)}\}_{i\in I, k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \mapsto \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\left[c_k^{(i)} L_{\delta T_i^{-t}k}\psi_i\right] \tag{18}$$

   is well-defined and bounded as long as $\delta \in (0, 1]$*, i.e. the sum over the index* k *in (18) converges absolutely for any index* $i \in I$ *to a function in* $L_S^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and the sum of such functions over the index* i *converges unconditionally in the weak* sense in* Z'*; and*

2. *there is such a map* $S_{\Psi r}^{-1} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q) \to C_w^{p,q}$ *that* $S_\Psi \circ S_{\Psi r}^{-1} = id_{(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q)}$ *as long as* $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ *and the action of* $S_{\Psi l}^{-1}$ *on any given* $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}, L^p, \ell_w^q)$ *does not depend on* p*,* q *and* w*.*

This is a slightly reformulated statement of Theorem 2.10 in [5]. The proof of this theorem in its more general and simplified formulations can be found in [15] and [16] respectively.

4. Construction of Banach frames and atomic decompositions of the anisotropic Besov spaces

4.1. Banach frames and atomic decompositions of anisotropic homogeneous Besov spaces

First of all we define shift-invariant systems for the anisotropic homogeneous spaces and coefficient spaces associated with them. Here are these definitions.

Definition 4.1. Let $\delta > 0$, I_d be $d \times d$ identity matrix, $A_i := A^i$, $\psi(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\psi_i(t) = |\det A_i|^{1/2}\psi(A_i^t t) \tag{19}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the set

$$\dot\Psi_B := \{L_{\delta A_i^{-t}k}\psi_i(t)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z},\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} = \{|\det A_i|^{1/2}\psi(A_i^t t - \delta k)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z},\ k\in\mathbb{R}^d} \tag{20}$$

will be referred to as *anisotropic homogeneous Besov wavelets.*

Definition 4.2. Let p and $q \in (0, \infty]$, then

$$\dot C_{Bs}^{p,q} := \left\{(c_k^{(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{Z},\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{I\times\mathbb{Z}^d} : \|c\|_{\dot C_{Bs}^{p,q}} := \left\|\left(|\det A|^{i\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \cdot w_i \cdot \|(c_k^{(i)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^p}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\right\|_{\ell^q} < \infty\right\}$$

where $w_i \in \dot w_B$ will be referred to as *coefficient space associated with* $\dot\Psi_B$.

To prove the two theorems that establish the conditions on $\dot\Psi_B$ under which it will be a Banach frame or a set of atoms for $\dot B_{p,q}^\alpha$, we shall make use of an auxiliary lemma. Here is this lemma of ours as well as the lemma 2.2 from [4] that we shall use to state and prove it.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* λ_- *and* λ_+ *be such real numbers that*

$$1 < \lambda_- < \min_{\lambda\in\sigma(A)}|\lambda| \leqslant \max_{\lambda\in\sigma(A)}|\lambda| < \lambda_+ \tag{21}$$

where $\sigma(A)$ *stands for the spectrum of a* $d \times d$ *expansive matrix* A*, then there is such a number* $b > 0$ *that*

$$\frac{1}{b}\cdot\lambda_-^j|\xi| \leqslant |A^j\xi| \leqslant b\cdot\lambda_+^j|\xi| \tag{22}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{b}\cdot\lambda_+^{-j}|\xi| \leqslant |A^{-j}\xi| \leqslant b\cdot\lambda_-^{-j}|\xi| \tag{23}$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$*.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let Q_0 and A be respectively such an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and such a $d \times d$ expansive matrix that*

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Q_n = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\{Q_n := A^n Q_0\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \quad . \tag{25}$$

Furthermore let a, τ and $\sigma > 0$,

$$L > \log_{\lambda_-} a \quad , \tag{26}$$

$$N > \log_{\lambda_-} \left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{a} \right) \tag{27}$$

with λ_- and λ_+ defined by (21) and

$$|\hat{\psi}(\xi)| \leqslant C \cdot \min\{1, |\xi|^L\} \, (1 + |\xi|)^{-N} \tag{28}$$

where $C > 0$ and $\xi \in Q_0$. Then

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} M_{mn} \leqslant S < \infty \tag{29}$$

and

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M_{mn} \leqslant S < \infty \tag{30}$$

where

$$M_{mn} := a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1 + \|A^{n-m}\|\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{|Q_n|} \int\limits_{Q_n} |\hat{\psi}(A^{-m}\xi)| \, d\xi \right]^{\tau} \tag{31}$$

and

$$S = C^{\tau} \cdot (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot \left(b \max\{\frac{1}{r}, R\} \right)^{\max\{L,N\}\tau} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^L}\right)^{\tau}} + \frac{2}{1 - \frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{\left(a\lambda_-^N\right)^{\tau}}} \right] \tag{32}$$

with b defined by (22) and (23) and where r and R are such that $0 < r \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant R < \infty$ as $\xi \in Q_0$.

Proof. First of all we use (25) to change the set over which the integration in (31) is done from Q_n to Q_0 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{mn} &= a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1 + \|A^{n-m}\|\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{|A^n Q_0|} \int\limits_{Q_0} |\hat{\psi}(A^{-m}A^n\xi)||A^n| \, d\xi \right]^{\tau} \\ &= a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1 + \|A^{n-m}\|\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{|Q_0|} \int\limits_{Q_0} |\hat{\psi}(A^{n-m}\xi)| \, d\xi \right]^{\tau} \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

and then combine it with (28) to estimate M_{mn} from above, namely

$$M_{mn} \leqslant a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1 + \|A^{n-m}\|\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{C}{|Q_1|} \int\limits_{Q_1} \frac{\min\{1, |A^{n-m}\xi|^L\}}{(1 + |A^{n-m}\xi|)^N} \, d\xi \right]^{\tau} \quad . \tag{34}$$

Now we use (22) and (23) and distinguish two cases. If $n-m \geqslant 0$, then combining (34) with (22) results in

$$\begin{aligned} M_{mn} &\leqslant a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1+b\lambda_+^{n-m}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{C}{|Q_1|}\int\limits_{Q_1} \frac{\min\{1,\left(b\lambda_+^{n-m}|\xi|\right)^L\}}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_-^{n-m}|\xi|}{b}\right)^N}\,d\xi\right]^{\tau} \\ &\leqslant a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1+b\lambda_+^{n-m}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[C\frac{\min\{1,\left(b\lambda_+^{n-m}R\right)^L\}}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_-^{n-m}r}{b}\right)^N}\right]^{\tau} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Otherwise, if $n-m \leqslant 0$, then combining (34) with (23) results in

$$\begin{aligned} M_{mn} &\leqslant a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1+b\lambda_-^{n-m}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{C}{|Q_1|}\int\limits_{Q_1} \frac{\min\{1,\left(b\lambda_-^{n-m}|\xi|\right)^L\}}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_+^{n-m}|\xi|}{b}\right)^N}\,d\xi\right]^{\tau} \\ &\leqslant a^{\tau(m-n)} \cdot \left(1+b\lambda_-^{n-m}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[C\frac{\min\{1,\left(b\lambda_-^{n-m}R\right)^L\}}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_+^{n-m}r}{b}\right)^N}\right]^{\tau} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

To prove that the series (29) converges we shall divide it into several series and prove that each of them converges. In doing so we distinguish two further cases. If $n \geqslant 0$, then we divide the series (29) into two, namely

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{mn} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{n} M_{mn} + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} M_{mn} \quad , \tag{37}$$

so that $n-m \geqslant 0$ and therefore M_{mn} can be estimated as in (35) in the former series and $n-m \leqslant 0$ and therefore M_{mn} can be estimated as in (36) in the latter series. Using the substitution $m' := n-m$ in the former series in (37) and changing the order of summation results in

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{n} M_{mn} \leqslant \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} M_{m'} \tag{38}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{m'} := (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot C^{\tau} \cdot \left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{N\tau} \cdot \left[\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{\left(a\lambda_-^N\right)^{\tau}}\right]^{m'} &\geqslant a^{-\tau m'} \cdot \left(1+b\lambda_+^{m'}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{C}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_-^{m'}r}{b}\right)^N}\right]^{\tau} \\ &\geqslant a^{-\tau m'} \cdot \left(1+b\lambda_+^{m'}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[C\frac{\min\{1,\left(b\lambda_+^{m'}R\right)^L\}}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_-^{m'}r}{b}\right)^N}\right]^{\tau} = M_{mn} \end{aligned} \quad . \tag{39}$$

The geometrical series on the right-hand side of (38) would converge, should its general term $M_{m'}$ satisfy the criterion

$$\lim_{m'\to\infty} \sup \frac{M_{m'+1}}{M'_m} < 1 \tag{40}$$

or, in other words,

$$\lim_{m'\to\infty} \sup a^{-\tau} \frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{\lambda_-^{N\tau}} < 1 \quad . \tag{41}$$

This holds if, as assumed in this lemma, (27) does. Under this condition

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{n} M_{mn} \leqslant \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} M_{m'} = (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot C^{\tau} \cdot \left(\tfrac{b}{r}\right)^{N\tau} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{\left(a\lambda_-^{N}\right)^{\tau}}} \quad . \tag{42}$$

Using the substitution $m' := m - n$ in the latter series in (37) results in

$$\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} M_{mn} \leqslant \sum_{m'=1}^{\infty} M_{m'} \leqslant \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} M_{m'} \tag{43}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{m'} &:= (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot C^{\tau} \, (bR)^{L\tau} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^{L}}\right)^{\tau} \cdot\right]^{m'} \geqslant C^{\tau} \cdot (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot a^{\tau m'} \cdot (b\lambda_-^{-m'}R)^{L\tau} \\ &\geqslant a^{\tau m'} \cdot \left(1+b\lambda_-^{-m'}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[C \frac{\min\{1, \left(b\lambda_-^{-m'}R\right)^{L}\}}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_+^{-m'}r}{b}\right)^{N}}\right]^{\tau} = M_{mn} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The geometrical series on the right-hand side of (43) would converge, should its general term $M_{m'}$ satisfy the criterion (40), namely

$$\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^{L}}\right)^{\tau} < 1 \quad . \tag{45}$$

This holds if, as assumed in this lemma, (26) does. Under this condition

$$\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} M_{mn} \leqslant \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} M_{m'} = \frac{(1+b)^{\sigma} \, C^{\tau} \, (bR)^{L\tau}}{1-\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^{L}}\right)^{\tau}} \quad . \tag{46}$$

If $n < 0$, then we divide the series (29) into three, namely

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M_{mn} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{n} M_{mn} + \sum_{m=n+1}^{-(n+1)} M_{mn} + \sum_{m=-n}^{\infty} M_{mn} \quad , \tag{47}$$

so that $n - m \geqslant 0$ and therefore M_{mn} can be estimated as in (35) in the first and third series and $n - m \leqslant 0$ and therefore M_{mn} can be estimated as in (36) in the second series. Using the substitution $m' := n - m$ in the first series in (47) and changing the order of summation results in the estimate identical to (38), where the geometrical series on the right-hand side will, as we already know, converge as long as (27) holds. Under this condition the sum of the first series in (47) was estimated in (42). Since $n \leqslant 0$ using the substitution $m' := m - n$ in the second series in (47) results in

$$\sum_{m=n+1}^{-(n+1)} M_{mn} \leqslant \sum_{m=n}^{-(n+1)} M_{mn} \leqslant \sum_{m'=0}^{-(2n+1)} M_{m'} \leqslant \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} M_{m'} \tag{48}$$

where the geometrical series on the right-hand side is identical to that in (43). Thus the second series in (47) will converge if (26) holds. Under this condition the sum of the second series in (47) was estimated in (46). Using the substitution $m' := n - m$ in the third series in (47) results in

$$\sum_{m=-n}^{\infty} M_{mn} = \sum_{m'=-2n}^{\infty} M_{m'} \leqslant \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} M_{m'} \tag{49}$$

where the geometrical series on the right-hand side in identical to that in (38). Thus the third series in (47) will converge if (27) holds. Under this condition its sum was already estimated in (42). This completes the proof of convergence of the series (29) with any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Combining (37) and (47) with the estimates (42) and (46) results in (32).

Changing the order of summation in the series (30) converts it into series (29). Therefore the series (30) converges if, as supposed in the lemma, N and L satisfy (27) and (26) respectively. The estimate of its sum is given by (32). □

The next theorem establishes the conditions on $\dot{\Psi}_B$ under which it will be a Banach frame for $\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let ϵ, p_0 and $q_0 \in (0,1]$. Moreover let $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the following conditions :*

1. $\hat{\phi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
2. $\hat{\phi}$ *and all its partial derivatives are of polynomial growth at most ;*
3. $\hat{\phi}(\xi) \neq 0$ *as* $\xi \in \overline{Q}_0$, *where* Q_0 *as in Definition 2.7;*
4. $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $\nabla\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$; *and*
5. $$\left|\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi}(\xi)\right| = \widehat{\gamma}_1(\xi) \leqslant C \min\{1, |\xi|^{L_1}\}(1+|\xi|)^{-N_1} \tag{50}$$

   where C stands for a constant,
   $$L_1 > s \log_{\lambda_-}(|\det A|) \quad , \tag{51}$$
   and
   $$N_1 > \log_{\lambda_-}\left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{|\det A|^s}\right) \tag{52}$$
   as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for all such α and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ that $|\alpha| \leqslant N$ and $|\beta| \leqslant 1$.

Then there is such a $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\epsilon, p_0, q_0, d, A, \phi) > 0$ that the anisotropic homogeneous Besov wavelets $\dot{\Psi}_B$ with the coefficient space $\dot{C}_{Bs}^{p,q}$ constitutes a Banach frame for the anisotropic homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^\alpha(A)$ as long as $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$.

Proof. The four assumptions of this theorem are nothing but those of Theorem 3.6 formulated for the generalised shift-invariant system $\dot{\Psi}_B$ with the coefficient space $\dot{C}_{Bs}^{p,q}$ pertaining to the cover $\dot{Q}_B$ of the set $O := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and weight $\dot{w}_B$ that form the space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^\alpha$. Furthermore we recollect that $\{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{A^i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = 0$ as $\Psi = \dot{\Psi}_B$ and that $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{|\det A|^{is}\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $C_w^{p,q} = \dot{C}_{Bs}^{p,q}$. Therefore N_{ji}^1 defined by (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij}^1 &= (|\det A|^s)^{\tau(i-j)} \cdot (1 + \|A^{j-i}\|)^\sigma \cdot \max_{|\beta|\leq 1}\left(|\det A|^{-j} \int\limits_{Q_j} \max_{|\alpha|\leq N}\left|\left[\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi}\right](A^{-i}\xi)\right| d\xi\right)^\tau \\ &= (|\det A|^s)^{\tau(i-j)} \cdot (1 + \|A^{j-i}\|)^\sigma \cdot \max_{|\beta|\leq 1}\left(\frac{2^d}{|Q_j|} \int\limits_{Q_j} \max_{|\alpha|\leq N}\left|\left[\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi}\right](A^{-i}\xi)\right| d\xi\right)^\tau \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where we also noted that $|Q_j| = |A^j Q| = |\det A^j| \cdot |Q| = |\det A|^j \cdot 2^d$. The last expression in (53) clearly equates to $2^d \cdot M_{mn}$ with M_{mn} defined by (31) if $m = i$, $n = j$ and $a = |\det A|^s$ and as long as L_1 and N_1 in (50) are not smaller than L and N in (28) respectively. According to Lemma 4.4 the series (29) and (30) converge on the assumptions (26) and (27). Therefore the series (11) converge on the assumptions (51) and (52). In other words the fifth assumption of Theorem 3.6 follows from the fifth assumption of the present theorem. □

The next theorem establishes the conditions on $\dot{\Psi}_B$ under which it will be a set of atoms for $\dot{B}_{p,q}^\alpha$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let ϵ, p_0 and $q_0 \in (0,1]$. Moreover let $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the following conditions :*

1. $\hat{\phi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$;
2. $\hat{\phi}$ *and all its partial derivatives are of polynomial growth at most ;*
3. $\hat{\phi}(\xi) \neq 0$ *as* $\xi \in \overline{Q}_0$, *where* Q_0 *as in Definition 2.7;*
4. $$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}\left[(1+|t|)^\Lambda \cdot |\phi(t)|\right] < \infty$$
   where $\Lambda := 1 + d/p_0$; and
5. $$\left|\partial^\alpha \hat{\phi}(\xi)\right| \leqslant \rho(\xi) \cdot (1+|\xi|)^{-(d+1+\epsilon)}$$
   where
   $$\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \to (0,\infty),\ \xi \mapsto C \min\{1, |\xi|^{L_2}\}(1+|\xi|)^{-N_2} \quad ,$$

C stands for a constant,

$$L_2 > (\theta - s)\log_{\lambda_-}(|\det A|) \tag{54}$$

and

$$N_2 > \log_{\lambda_-}\left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{|\det A|^{\theta-s}}\right) \tag{55}$$

as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for all such $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ that $|\alpha| \leqslant N$ where

$$N := \left\lceil \frac{d+\epsilon}{p_0} \right\rceil \quad .$$

Then there is such a $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\epsilon, p_0, q_0, d, A, \phi) > 0$ that the anisotropic homogeneous Besov wavelets $\dot{\Psi}_B$ with the coefficient space $\dot{C}_{Bs}^{p,q}$ constitutes a set of atoms for the anisotropic homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\alpha}(A)$ as long as $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$.

Proof. The first four assumptions of this theorem are nothing but those of Theorem 3.7 formulated for the generalised shift-invariant system $\dot{\Psi}_B$ with the coefficient space $\dot{C}_{Bs}^{p,q}$ pertaining to the cover $\dot{Q}_B$ of the set $O := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and weight $\dot{w}_B$ that form the space $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\alpha}$.

Furthermore, given that $\{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{A^i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = 0$ as $\Psi = \dot{\Psi}_B$ and that $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{|\det A|^{is}\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $C_w^{p,q} = \dot{C}_{Bs}^{p,q}$, N_{ij}^2 defined by (17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij}^2 &= \Big(|\det A|^{s(i-j)} \cdot |\det A|^{\theta(j-i)}\Big)^{\tau} \cdot (1 + \|A^{i-j}\|)^{\sigma} \cdot \left(|\det A|^{-i} \int\limits_{Q_i} \rho\big(A^{-j}\xi\big)\, d\xi\right)^{\tau} \\ &= \Big(|\det A|^{\theta-s}\Big)^{\tau(j-i)} \cdot (1 + \|A^{i-j}\|)^{\sigma} \cdot \left(\frac{2^d}{|Q_i|} \int\limits_{Q_i} \rho\big(A^{-j}\xi\big)\, d\xi\right)^{\tau} \end{aligned} \quad . \tag{56}$$

The last expression in (56) equates to $2^d \cdot M_{mn}$ with M_{mn} defined by (31) if $m = -i$, $n = -j$ and $a = |\det A|^{\theta-s}$ and as long as L_1 and N_1 in (50) are not smaller than L and N in (28) respectively. According to Lemma 4.4 the series (29) and (30) converge on the assumptions (26) and (27). Therefore the series (16) converge on the assumptions (54) and (55). In other words the fifth assumption of Theorem 3.7 follows from the fifth assumption of the present theorem. □

4.2. Banach frames and atomic decompositions of anisotropic inhomogeneous Besov spaces

We now define shift-invariant systems for the anisotropic inhomogeneous spaces and coefficient spaces associated with them. Here are these definitions.

Definition 4.7. Let $\delta > 0$, I_d be $d \times d$ identity matrix, $A_i := A^i$, ψ' and $\psi(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\psi_i(t) = |\det A_{i-1}|^{1/2} \psi(A_{i-1}^t t) \tag{57}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then the set

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_B &:= \{L_{\delta I_d k}\psi'(t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \cup \{L_{\delta A_i^{-t} k}\psi_i(t)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \\ &= \{\psi'(t - \delta I_d k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \cup \{|\det A_i|^{1/2}\psi(A_i^t t - \delta k)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0,\ k\in\mathbb{R}^d} \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

will be referred to as *anisotropic inhomogeneous Besov wavelets.*

Definition 4.8. Let p and $q \in (0, \infty]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{Bs}^{p,q} := &\Big\{(c_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d} : \|(c_k^{(i)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^p} < \infty\Big\} \\ &\cup \left\{(c_k^{(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \mathbb{C}^{I\times\mathbb{Z}^d} : \|c\|_{C_{Bs}^{p,q}} := \left\|\left(|\det A|^{i\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \cdot w_i \cdot \|(c_k^{(i)})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{\ell^p}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}\right\|_{\ell^q} < \infty\right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $w_i \in w_B$ will be referred to as *coefficient space associated with* Ψ_B respectively.

To prove the two theorems that establish the conditions on Ψ_B under which it will be a Banach frame or a set of atoms for $B^{\alpha}_{p,q}$, we shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Let Q_1 be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ that does not include its origin and the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < \lambda_- < |\lambda_i| < \lambda_+\}_{i=1}^{d}$ be such that the denumerable set*

$$\{Q_n := A^{n-1}Q_1\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{59}$$

covers $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_0$ where Q_0 is an open bounded set that includes the origin of the $\mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore let a, τ, $\sigma > 0$, L, N and $\hat{\psi}$ be as defined in Lemma 4.4,

$$K \geqslant \log_{\lambda_-}\left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{a}\right) \tag{60}$$

and

$$|\hat{\phi}(\xi)| \leqslant C\,(1+|\xi|)^{-K} \tag{61}$$

where $C > 0$ and $\xi \in Q_1$. Then

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} M_{mn} \leqslant S_1 < \infty \tag{62}$$

and

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} M_{mn} \leqslant S_2 < \infty \tag{63}$$

where

$$M_{mn} := \begin{cases} 2^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{1}{|Q_0|}\int\limits_{Q_0}|\hat{\phi}(\xi)|\,d\xi\right]^{\tau} & , \quad (m=n=0) \\ a^{\tau m}\cdot\left(1+\|A^{-(m-1)}\|\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{1}{|Q_0|}\int\limits_{Q_0}|\hat{\psi}(A^{-(m-1)}\xi)|\,d\xi\right]^{\tau} & , \quad (m\neq 0, n=0) \\ a^{-\tau n}\cdot\left(1+\|A^{n-1}\|\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{1}{|Q_n|}\int\limits_{Q_n}|\hat{\phi}(\xi)|\,d\xi\right]^{\tau} & , \quad (m=0, n\neq 0) \\ a^{\tau(m-n)}\cdot\left(1+\|A^{n-m}\|\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{1}{|Q_n|}\int\limits_{Q_n}|\hat{\psi}(A^{-(m-1)}\xi)|\,d\xi\right]^{\tau} & , \quad (m\neq 0, n\neq 0) \end{cases}, \tag{64}$$

and

$$S_1 := \begin{cases} 2^{\sigma}\cdot C^{\tau} + \frac{(1+b)^{\sigma}(b\lambda_- R_0)^{L\tau}C^{\tau}}{1-\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^{L}}\right)^{\tau}} & , \quad (n=0) \\ C^{\tau}\cdot(1+b)^{\sigma}\cdot\left(1+\dfrac{b\lambda_-}{\min\{1,r\}}\right)^{\max\{N,K\}\tau} & \\ \qquad\cdot(1+bR)^{L\tau}\cdot\left[\dfrac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{(a\lambda_-^{K})^{\tau}}}+\dfrac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{\left(a\lambda_-^{N}\right)^{\tau}}}+\dfrac{1}{1-\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^{L}}\right)^{\tau}}\right] & , \quad (n\neq 0) \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

and

$$S_2 := \begin{cases} 2^{\sigma}\cdot C^{\tau} + C^{\tau}\cdot\left(\frac{1+b}{\lambda_+}\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left(\frac{b\lambda_-}{r}\right)^{K\tau}\cdot\frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{(a\lambda_-^{K})^{\tau}}} & , \quad (m=0) \\ C^{\tau}\cdot(1+b)^{\sigma}\cdot(b\lambda_-\max\{R,R_0\})^{L\tau} & \\ \qquad\cdot\left(\dfrac{1+b}{\min\{1,r\}}\right)^{N\tau}\cdot\left[\dfrac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{(a\lambda_-^{N})^{\tau}}}+\dfrac{2}{1-\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^{L}}\right)^{\tau}}\right] & , \quad (m\neq 0) \end{cases} \tag{66}$$

with b defined by (22) and (23) and where R_0, r and R are such that $0 \leqslant |\xi| < R_0$ as $\xi \in Q_0$ and $0 < r \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant R < \infty$ as $\xi \in Q_1$.

Proof. First of all we deal with the series (62) and consider the case where $n = 0$. To do so we rewrite it as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} M_{mn} = M_{00} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M_{m0} \quad . \tag{67}$$

Using (61) into (64) we estimate M_{00} from above, namely

$$M_{00} \leqslant 2^{\sigma} \cdot C^{\tau} \quad . \tag{68}$$

Thus M_{00} is bounded independently of K. Now we use (22) and (23) to estimate the general term of the series in (67) from above, namely

$$\begin{aligned} M_{m0} &\leqslant a^{\tau m} \cdot \left(1 + b\lambda_-^{1-m}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{C}{|Q_0|} \int\limits_{Q_0} \frac{\min\{1, \left(b\lambda_-^{1-m}|\xi|\right)^L\}}{\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_+^{1-m}|\xi|}{b}\right)^N} \, d\xi \right]^{\tau} \\ &\leqslant a^{\tau m} \cdot \left(1 + b\lambda_-^{1-m}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[\frac{C}{|Q_0|} \int\limits_{Q_0} \min\{1, \left(b\lambda_-^{1-m} R_0\right)^L\} d\xi \right]^{\tau} \quad . \\ &\leqslant a^{\tau m} \cdot \left(1 + b\lambda_-^{1-m}\right)^{\sigma} \cdot \left[C \min\{1, \left(b\lambda_-^{1-m} R_0\right)^L\} \right]^{\tau} \\ &\leqslant a^{\tau m} \cdot (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot \left(b\lambda_-^{1-m} R_0\right)^{L\tau} \cdot C^{\tau} =: M_m \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Therefore the series in (62) would converge, should M_m satisfy the criterion (40), namely

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sup \frac{a^{\tau(m+1)} \cdot (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot \left(b\lambda_-^{-m} R_0\right)^{L\tau} \cdot C^{\tau}}{a^{\tau m} \cdot (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot \left(b\lambda_-^{1-m} R_0\right)^{L\tau} \cdot C^{\tau}} \leqslant \left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^L}\right)^{\tau} < 1 \quad . \tag{70}$$

This holds if, as assumed in this lemma, (26) does. Under this condition

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} M_{m0} \leqslant (1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot (b\lambda_- R_0)^{L\tau} \cdot C^{\tau} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^L}\right)^{\tau} \right]^m = \frac{(1+b)^{\sigma} \cdot (b\lambda_- R_0)^{L\tau} \cdot C^{\tau}}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{\lambda_-^L}\right)^{\tau}} \quad . \tag{71}$$

Combining (68) and (71) results in (65) as $n = 0$.

Now we investigate the series (62) as $n \neq 0$ and to do so divide it into three parts, namely

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} M_{mn} = M_{0n} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} M_{mn} + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} M_{mn} \quad , \tag{72}$$

and deal with them separately. We use (59) to change the set over witch the integration in M_{0n} is done from Q_n to Q_1 and obtain

$$M_{0n} = a^{-\tau n} \left(1 + \|A^{n-1}\|\right)^{\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{|Q_1|} \int\limits_{Q_1} |\hat{\phi}(A^{n-1}\xi)| \, d\xi \right]^{\tau}$$

and then combine it with (61) and (22) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{0n} &\leqslant a^{-\tau n}\cdot\left(1+\|A^{n-1}\|\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{C}{|Q_1|}\int\limits_{Q_1}\frac{d\xi}{\left(1+|A^{n-1}\xi|\right)^{K}}\right]^{\tau}\\
&\leqslant a^{-\tau n}\cdot\left(1+b\lambda_+^{n-1}\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{C}{|Q_1|}\int\limits_{Q_1}\frac{d\xi}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_-^{n-1}|\xi|}{b}\right)^{K}}\right]^{\tau}\\
&\leqslant a^{-\tau n}\cdot\left(1+b\lambda_+^{n-1}\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{C}{\left(1+\frac{\lambda_-^{n-1}r}{b}\right)^{K}}\right]^{\tau}\leqslant a^{-\tau n}\cdot\left(1+b\lambda_+^{n-1}\right)^{\sigma}\cdot\left[\frac{C}{\left(\frac{\lambda_-^{n-1}r}{b}\right)^{K}}\right]^{\tau}\\
&\leqslant\left(\frac{1+b}{\lambda_+}\right)^{\sigma}\cdot C^{\tau}\left(\frac{b\lambda_-}{r}\right)^{K\tau}\cdot\left[\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{\left(a\lambda_-^{K}\right)^{\tau}}\right]^{n}=:M_n
\end{aligned}
\quad . \tag{73}
$$

The M_n function in (73) is bounded for any finite K and n. Therefore we only have to find the condition on K under which M_n stays bounded as n grows unlimitedly. We shall achieve this by finding such a K that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n = 0 \quad . \tag{74}$$

This holds if, as assumed in this lemma, (60) does. Under this condition

$$M_{0n}\leqslant\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M_{0n}\leqslant\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M_n=\left(\frac{1+b}{\lambda_+}\right)^{\sigma}\cdot C^{\tau}\cdot\left(\frac{b\lambda_-}{r}\right)^{K\tau}\cdot\frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma}}{(a\lambda_-^{K})^{\tau}}} \quad . \tag{75}$$

Now we deal with the rest of the series (72). Changing the set over which the integration in M_{mn} is done from Q_n to Q_1 results in expression identical to (33). Combining it with (28) gives the estimate M_{mn} from above identical to that in (34). Using (22), the general term in the second part of (72), in which $n \geqslant m$, results in its estimate identical to that in (35). Making the substitution $m' := n - m$ and changing the order of summation leads to

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n}M_{mn}\leqslant\sum_{m'=0}^{n-1}M_{m'}\leqslant\sum_{m'=0}^{\infty}M_{m'} \tag{76}$$

where $M_{m'}$ as defined in (39). Therefore the series in the second term of (72) will converge if, as assumed in this lemma, (27) holds. Its sum was already estimated in (42). Using (23), the general term in the third part of (72), in which $n \leqslant m$, results in its estimate identical to that in (36). Making the substitution $m' := m - n$ leads to

$$\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty}M_{mn}=\sum_{m'=1}^{\infty}M_{m'}\leqslant\sum_{m'=0}^{\infty}M_{m'} \tag{77}$$

where $M_{m'}$ as difined in (44). Therefore the series in the third term of (72) will converge if, as assumed in this lemma, (26) holds. Its sum was already estimated in (46). Combining (75), (42) and (46) leads to (65) as the upper bound of the whole series in (72) as $n \neq 0$.

Now we investigate the series (63). If $m = 0$ we divide it into two parts, i.e.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}M_{0n}=M_{00}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M_{0n} \tag{78}$$

where M_{00} and M_{0n} were already estimated in (68) and (73) respectively. Therefore the series (78) will converge if, as assumed in this lemma, (60) holds. Under this condition combining (68) and (73) results in (66) as $m = 0$.

If $m \neq 0$ we divide the series (63) into three parts

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{mn} = M_{m0} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} M_{mn} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} M_{mn} \tag{79}$$

The term M_{m0} was already estimated in (71) and will be bounded as long as the condition on L in (26) holds. The second term in (79) was estimated in (36) and can be converted into a finite sum over m' whose general term is identical to that of the series in (77) by using the substitution $m' := m - n$. The finite sum being smaller than the sum of the corresponding series, it leads us again to the condition on L identical to that in (26). Thus the upper bound of the second term in (79) is given by (46). Similarly the third part in (79) can be converted into the series whose general term is identical to that in (39) by using the substitution $m' := n - m$. This leads to the condition on N identical to that in (27). The upper bound of the third part in (79) is given by (42). Combining (69), (46) and (42) results in (66) as $m \neq 0$. □

The next theorem establishes the conditions on Ψ_B under which it will be a Banach frame for $B^{\alpha}_{p,q}$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let ϵ, p_0 and $q_0 \in (0,1]$. Moreover let ϕ_1 and $\phi_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the following conditions :*

1. *$\hat{\phi}_1$ and $\hat{\phi}_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$;*
2. *$\hat{\phi}_1$ and $\hat{\phi}_2$ and all its partial derivatives are of polynomial growth at most ;*
3. *$\hat{\phi}_1(\xi) \neq 0$ as $\xi \in \overline{Q}_0$ and $\hat{\phi}_2(\xi) \neq 0$ as $\xi \in \overline{Q}_1$ where Q_0 and Q_1 as in Definition 2.12 ;*
4. *$\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\nabla\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; and*
5. $$\left|\partial^{\alpha}\widehat{\partial^{\beta}\phi_1}(\xi)\right| \leqslant C(1+|\xi|)^{-K_4} \tag{80}$$

   with C standing for a constant and

   $$K_4 > \log_{\lambda_-}\left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{|\det A|^{s-\theta}}\right) \tag{81}$$

   and

   $$\left|\partial^{\alpha}\widehat{\partial^{\beta}\phi_2}(\xi)\right| \leqslant C\min\{1,|\xi|^{L_4}\}(1+|\xi|)^{-N_4} \tag{82}$$

   with C standing for a constant,

   $$L_4 > (s-\theta)\log_{\lambda_-}(|\det A|) \tag{83}$$

   and

   $$N_4 > \log_{\lambda_-}\left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{|\det A|^{s-\theta}}\right) \tag{84}$$

   as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $l \in \{1,2\}$ and for all such α and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ that $|\alpha| \leqslant N$ and $|\beta| \leqslant 1$.

Then there is such a $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\epsilon, p_0, q_0, d, A, \phi) > 0$ that the anisotropic heterogeneous Besov wavelets Ψ_B with the coefficient space $C_{Bs}^{p,q}$ constitutes a Banach frame for the anisotropic heterogeneous Besov space $B^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A)$ as long as $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$.

Proof. The first four assumptions of this theorem are nothing but those of Theorem 3.6 formulated for the generalised shift-invariant system Ψ_B with the coefficient space $C_{Bs}^{p,q}$ pertaining to the cover Q_B of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and weight w_B that form the space $B^{\alpha}_{p,q}$. Furthermore we recollect that $T_0 = I_d$, $\{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \{A^{i-1}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} = 0$ as $\Psi = \Psi_B$ and that $w_0 = 1$ and $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \{|\det A|^{(i-1)s}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ as

$C_w^{p,q} = C_{Bs}^{p,q}$. Therefore N_{ij}^1 defined by (12) becomes

$$N_{ij}^1 \leqslant \begin{cases} 2^\sigma \cdot \max\limits_{|\beta|\leqslant 1} \left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_0|} \int\limits_{Q_0} \max\limits_{|\alpha|\leqslant N} \left| \left[\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi_1} \right] (\xi) \right| \, d\xi \right)^\tau \quad , \quad (i = j = 0) \\ (|\det A|^s)^{\tau(i-1)} \cdot (1 + \|A^{-(i-1)}\|)^\sigma \\ \qquad \cdot \max\limits_{|\beta|\leqslant 1} \left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_0|} \int\limits_{Q_0} \max\limits_{|\alpha|\leqslant N} \left| \left[\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi_2} \right] \left(A^{-(i-1)} \xi \right) \right| \, d\xi \right)^\tau \quad , \quad (i \neq 0,\ j = 0) \\ (|\det A|^s)^{\tau(1-j)} \cdot (1 + \|A^{j-1}\|)^\sigma \\ \qquad \cdot \max\limits_{|\beta|\leqslant 1} \left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_j|} \int\limits_{Q_j} \max\limits_{|\alpha|\leqslant N} \left| \left[\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi_1} \right] (\xi) \right| \, d\xi \right)^\tau \quad , \quad (i = 0,\ j \neq 0) \\ (|\det A|^s)^{\tau(i-j)} \cdot (1 + \|A^{j-i}\|)^\sigma \\ \qquad \cdot \max\limits_{|\beta|\leqslant 1} \left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_j|} \int\limits_{Q_j} \max\limits_{|\alpha|\leqslant N} \left| \left[\partial^\alpha \widehat{\partial^\beta \phi_2} \right] \left(A^{-(i-1)} \xi \right) \right| \, d\xi \right)^\tau \quad , \quad (i \neq 0,\ j \neq 0) \end{cases} \tag{85}$$

where we also noted that $|Q_0| \leqslant 2^d$ and $|Q_j| = |A^{j-1} Q_1| = |\det A^{j-1}| \cdot |Q_1| \leqslant |\det A|^{j-1} \cdot 2^d$ as $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The expressions on the right hand of (85) can be further estimated to conclude that, for any i and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$N_{ij}^1 \leqslant 2^d \cdot |\det A|^{|s|\tau} \cdot M_{mn} \tag{86}$$

with M_{mn} defined by (64) if $m = i$, $n = j$ and $a = |\det A|^s$ and as long as K_3 in (87) and L_3 and N_3 in (89) are not smaller than K in (61) and L and N in (28) respectively. According to Lemma 4.9 the series (62) and (63) converge on the assumption (28) about $\hat{\psi}(\xi)$ with L defined by (26), N defined by (27) and K defined by (60). Therefore the series (11) converge on the assumption (87) about $\rho_1(\xi)$ with K_3 defined by (88) and the assumption (89) about $\rho_2(\xi)$ with L_3 defined by (90) and N_3 defined by (91). In other words the fifth assumption of Theorem 3.6 follows from the fifth assumption of the present theorem. □

The next theorem establishes the conditions on Ψ_B under which it will be a set of atoms for $B_{p,q}^\alpha$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let ϵ, p_0 and $q_0 \in (0, 1]$. Moreover let ϕ_1 and $\phi_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the following conditions :*

1. *$\hat{\phi}_1$ and $\hat{\phi}_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$;*
2. *$\hat{\phi}_1$ and $\hat{\phi}_2$ and all its partial derivatives are of polynomial growth at most ;*
3. *$\hat{\phi}_1(\xi) \neq 0$ as $\xi \in \overline{Q}_0$ and $\hat{\phi}_2(\xi) \neq 0$ as $\xi \in \overline{Q}_1$ where Q_0 and Q_1 as in Definition 2.12 ;*
4. *for each $l \in \{1, 2\}$*
$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[(1 + |t|)^\Lambda \cdot |\phi_l(t)| \right] < \infty$$
where $\Lambda := 1 + d/p_0$; and
5. $$\left| \partial^\alpha \hat{\phi}_l(\xi) \right| \leqslant \rho_l(\xi) \cdot (1 + |\xi|)^{-(d+1+\epsilon)}$$
where
$$\rho_1 : \mathbb{R}^d \to (0, \infty),\ \xi \mapsto C(1 + |\xi|)^{-K_3} \tag{87}$$
with C standing for a constant and
$$K_3 > \log_{\lambda_-} \left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{|\det A|^s} \right) \tag{88}$$

and

$$\rho_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \to (0,\infty),\ \xi \mapsto C\min\{1,|\xi|^{L_3}\}(1+|\xi|)^{-N_3} \tag{89}$$

with C standing for a constant,

$$L_3 > s\log_{\lambda_-}(|\det A|) \tag{90}$$

and

$$N_3 > \log_{\lambda_-}\left(\frac{\lambda_+^{\sigma/\tau}}{|\det A|^s}\right) \tag{91}$$

as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for all such $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ that $|\alpha| \leqslant N$ where

$$N := \left\lceil \frac{d+\epsilon}{p_0} \right\rceil \quad .$$

Then there is such a $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\epsilon, p_0, q_0, d, A, \phi) > 0$ that the anisotropic heterogeneous Besov wavelets Ψ_B with the coefficient space $C_{Bs}^{p,q}$ constitutes a set of atoms for the anisotropic heterogeneous Besov space $B_{p,q}^{\alpha}(A)$ as long as $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$.

Proof. The first four assumptions of this theorem are nothing but those of Theorem 3.7 formulated for the generalised shift-invariant system Ψ_B with the coefficient space $C_{Bs}^{p,q}$ pertaining to the cover Q_B of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and weight w_B that form the space $B_{p,q}^{\alpha}$. Furthermore we recollect that $T_0 = I_d$, $\{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \{A^{i-1}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0} = 0$ as $\Psi = \Psi_B$ and that $w_0 = 1$ and $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \{|\det A|^{(i-1)s}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $C_w^{p,q} = C_{Bs}^{p,q}$. Therefore N_{ij}^2 defined by (17) becomes

$$N_{ij}^2 \leqslant \begin{cases} 2^{\sigma}\cdot\left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_0|}\displaystyle\int_{Q_0}\rho_1(\xi)\,d\xi\right)^{\tau} & ,\quad (i=j=0) \\ (|\det A|^{s-\theta})^{\tau(i-1)}\cdot(1+\|A^{i-1}\|)^{\sigma}\cdot\left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_i|}\displaystyle\int_{Q_i}\rho_1(\xi)\,d\xi\right)^{\tau} & ,\quad (i\neq 0,\ j=0) \\ (|\det A|^{s-\theta})^{\tau(1-j)}\cdot(1+\|A^{-(j-1)}\|)^{\sigma}\cdot\left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_0|}\displaystyle\int_{Q_0}\rho_2\big(A^{-(j-1)}\xi\big)\,d\xi\right)^{\tau} & ,\quad (i=0,\ j\neq 0) \\ (|\det A|^{s-\theta})^{\tau(i-j)}\cdot(1+\|A^{i-j}\|)^{\sigma}\cdot\left(\dfrac{2^d}{|Q_i|}\displaystyle\int_{Q_i}\rho_2\big(A^{-(j-1)}\xi\big)\,d\xi\right)^{\tau} & ,\quad (i\neq 0,\ j\neq 0) \end{cases} \tag{92}$$

where we also noted that $|Q_0| \leqslant 2^d$ and $|Q_j| = |A^{j-1}Q_1| = |\det A^{j-1}|\cdot|Q_1| \leqslant |\det A|^{j-1}\cdot 2^d$ as $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The expressions on the right hand of (92) can be further estimated to conclude that, for any i and $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$N_{ij}^1 \leqslant 2^d\cdot|\det A|^{|s-\theta|\tau}\cdot M_{mn} \tag{93}$$

with M_{mn} defined by (64) if $m = j$, $n = i$ and $a = |\det A|^{s-\theta}$ and as long as K_4 in (80) and L_4 and N_4 in (82) are not smaller than K in (61) and L and N in (28) respectively. According to Lemma 4.9 the series (62) and (63) converge on the assumption (28) about $\hat{\psi}(\xi)$ with L defined by (26), N defined by (27) and K defined by (60). Therefore the series (11) converge on the assumption (80) about $\phi_1(\xi)$ with K_4 defined by (81) and the assumption (82) about $\phi_2(\xi)$ with L_4 defined by (83) and N_4 defined by (84). In other words the fifth assumption of Theorem 3.7 follows from the fifth assumption of the present theorem. □

Acknowledgements

I thank the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France and the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst of Germany for their funding and Professor Gitta Kutyniok for her support of this work.

References

Références

[1] O. V. Besov, *Study of one family of spaces of functions in connexion with theorems of embedding and extension*, Trudi MIAN SSSR (1961) 42-81.

[2] O. V. Besov, V. P. Ilin and S. M. Nikolski, *Integral representations of functions and embedding theorems*, Nauka, Moscow (1975).

[3] L. Borup and M. Nielsen, *Frame decomposition of decomposition spaces III*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 13 (2007) 39–70.

[4] M. Bownik, *Atomic and molecular decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces*, Math. Z. 250 (2005) 539-571.

[5] D. Bytchenkoff and F. Voigtlaender, *Design and properties of wave packet smoothness spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 133 (2020) 185-262.

[6] J. Cheshmavar and H. Führ, *A classification of anisotropic Besov spaces*, Appl. Harmon. Comput. Anal. (in press) doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2019.04.006.

[7] I. Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*, Society for industrial and applied mathematics, Philadelphia, PA (1992).

[8] H. G. Feichtinger and P. Gröbner, *Banach spaces of distributions defined by decomposition method*, Nachr. 123 (1985) 97-120.

[9] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth *Decomposition of Besov spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985) 777-799.

[10] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth *A discrete transform and decomposition of distribution spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 93 (1989) 34-170.

[11] K. Gröchenig, *Describing functions : atomic decompositions versus frames*, Mh. Math. 112 (1991) 1-41.

[12] S. M. Nikolski, *Approximation of functions of several variables and embedding theorems*, Nauka, Moscow (1969).

[13] S. L. Sobolev, *Some applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics*, Leningrad State University, Leningrad (1950).

[14] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces III*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2006).

[15] F. Voigtlaender, *Structured, compactly supported Banach frame decompositions of decomposition spaces*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1612.08772.

[16] F. Voigtlaender and A. Pein, *Analysis vs. synthesis sparsity for α-shealets*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.03559.