

SOURCES OF HIGH LEVERAGE IN LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL

MYUNG GEUN KIM

ABSTRACT. Some reasons for high leverage are analytically investigated by decomposing leverage into meaningful components. The results in this work can be used for remedial action as a next step of data analysis.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 62J20.

Key words and phrases : leverage, linear regression, outlier.

1. Introduction

Inclusion of high leverage points in regression data can mislead our conclusion and cause some other statistical problems. Regression outliers may not be identified by looking at the least squares residuals when the outliers are high leverage points because high leverage points tend to have very small residuals as the least squares fit is pulled too much in the direction of these outlying points ([1], [4], [6]). Gunst [2] and Mason and Gunst [5] argued that if a high leverage point possesses extreme values on some regressors, then it can induce a collinearity among regressors. Mason and Gunst[5] showed that collinearity can be increased without bound by increasing the leverage of a point. A high leverage point can hide or create collinearity ([1], [3], [7]).

Chatterjee and Hadi [1] gave some conditions for high leverage. However, no attempt has been made to uncover sources of high leverage. In this work we will make an analytic investigation of reasons for high leverage. To this end two decompositions of leverage into meaningful components are derived. For these derivations some preliminary results are also obtained. The results in this work can be used for remedial action as a next step of data analysis.

Received January 13, 2004. Revised, March 9, 2004.

© 2004 Korean Society for Computational & Applied Mathematics and Korean SIGCAM.

2. Preliminaries

Consider a multiple linear regression model defined by

$$\mathbf{y} = \beta_0 \mathbf{1}_n + \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

where \mathbf{y} is an $n \times 1$ vector of observations on a response variable, $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^T$ is an $n \times p$ matrix of measurements on p regressors, $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the $n \times 1$ vector of all elements equal to one, β_0 and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^T$ are unknown regression coefficients, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of unobservable random errors.

Let $\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{1}_n \ \mathbf{X})$. Then the hat matrix is $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}^T$. We have the following identity ([1])

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T + \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T,$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\mathbf{I}_n - (1/n)\mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T) \mathbf{X}$ is the centered data matrix and \mathbf{I}_n is the identity matrix of order n . The leverage of the r -th observation is the r -th diagonal element h_{rr} of \mathbf{H} given by

$$(1) \quad h_{rr} = \frac{1}{n}(1 + D_r^2)$$

where $D_r^2 = n(\mathbf{x}_r - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_r - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ is the squared Mahalanobis distance from \mathbf{x}_r to the data mean $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_j$. Eq. (1) implies that sources of high leverage can be investigated by figuring out reasons for large D_r^2 .

2.1. Regression of a regressor on the other regressors

The i -th column of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is written as $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$. Let \mathbf{A} be the lower triangular matrix with positive diagonal elements such that $(1/n)\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$. That is, \mathbf{A} is the Cholesky root of the data covariance matrix. We put $\mathbf{B}^T = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ and denote the last column of \mathbf{B} by $\mathbf{b} = (b_{1p}, \dots, b_{pp})^T$. We partition

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{a}_1^T & a_{pp} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that \mathbf{A}_1 is the leading principal submatrix, having order $p-1$, of \mathbf{A} . If we partition $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 \ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p)$, then the least squares estimator of the vector of regression coefficients for the regression of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p$ on the other regressors is easily computed as

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p &= (\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_1^T)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{a}_1 \\ &= -\frac{1}{b_{pp}}(b_{1p}, \dots, b_{p-1,p})^T. \end{aligned}$$

The r -th residual from the regression of the p -th regressor on the other regressors becomes

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{b_{pp}} \mathbf{b}^T (\mathbf{x}_r - \bar{\mathbf{x}}).$$

The error sum of squares is computed as $\text{SSE} = nb_{pp}^{-2}$. Hence the r -th standardized residual is $\mathbf{b}^T (\mathbf{x}_r - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ multiplied by a constant.

In general, similar results can be obtained for the regression of the i -th ($1 \leq i \leq p$) regressor on the remaining regressors by appropriately permuting regressors.

2.2. Multiple correlation coefficient

Let r_i^2 be the squared multiple correlation coefficient of the i -th regressor with the remaining regressors and $s_i^2 = (1/n) \sum_{r=1}^n (x_{ri} - \bar{x}_i)^2$ be the data variance of the i -th regressor, where x_{ri} is the i -th element of \mathbf{x}_r and \bar{x}_i is the i -th element of $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. Then the squared multiple correlation coefficient of the last regressor $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p$ with the other regressors is easily computed as

$$\begin{aligned} r_p^2 &= \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p}{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1}{\mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 + a_{pp}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Further we have

$$(4) \quad 1 - r_p^2 = (s_p^2 b_{pp}^2)^{-1}$$

since $s_p^2 = (1/n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_p = \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 + a_{pp}^2$.

In general, we can compute r_i^2 ($1 \leq i \leq p$) by appropriately permuting regressors.

3. Some reasons for high leverage

In this section we provide two decompositions of leverage, using the results in Section 2, that can explain some reasons for high leverage.

3.1. Decomposition I

We denote a generic vector of p regressor variables by \mathbf{x} . Let $\mathbf{x}_{(i)}$ be a vector obtained by interchanging the i -th and p -th regressors of \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{P}_{(i)}$ be the associated permutation matrix such that $\mathbf{x}_{(i)} = \mathbf{P}_{(i)} \mathbf{x}$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{(i)} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{P}_{(i)}$. It is

understood that $\mathbf{x}_{(p)} = \mathbf{x}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{(p)} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{(p)} = \mathbf{I}_p$. Let $\mathbf{A}_{(i)}$ be the Cholesky root of the data covariance matrix for $\mathbf{x}_{(i)}$, that is, $(1/n)\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{(i)}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{(i)} = \mathbf{A}_{(i)}\mathbf{A}_{(i)}^T$. This can be expressed as $\{(1/n)\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{(i)}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{(i)}\}\mathbf{B}_{(i)} = \mathbf{A}_{(i)}$, where $\mathbf{B}_{(i)}^T = \mathbf{A}_{(i)}^{-1}$. Comparison of the last columns of both sides of the previous equation yields $\{(1/n)\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\}\mathbf{P}_{(i)}\mathbf{b}_{(i)} = \mathbf{P}_{(i)}\mathbf{a}_{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$), where $\mathbf{a}_{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{(i)}$ are the last columns of $\mathbf{A}_{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{(i)}$, respectively. Collection of these p equations into a matrix form gives the inverse of the data covariance matrix as

$$(5) \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \right)^{-1} = [b_{(1)pp}\mathbf{P}_{(1)}\mathbf{b}_{(1)}, \dots, b_{(p)pp}\mathbf{P}_{(p)}\mathbf{b}_{(p)}],$$

where $b_{(i)pp}$ is the last element of $\mathbf{b}_{(i)}$. Inserting (5) into D_r^2 with use of (4) yields the following decomposition of D_r^2

$$(6) \quad D_r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p (1 - r_i^2)^{-1/2} [\mathbf{b}_{(i)}^T \mathbf{P}_{(i)}(\mathbf{x}_r - \bar{\mathbf{x}})] \left(\frac{x_{ri} - \bar{x}_i}{s_i} \right).$$

Note that $\mathbf{A}_{(p)} = \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}_{(p)} = \mathbf{B}$, $b_{(p)pp} = b_{pp}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{(p)} = \mathbf{b}$.

Together with (1), eq. (6) reveals some sources of high leverage. The i -th term of (6) shows that the contribution of the i -th term to the r -th leverage h_{rr} depends on three components. The first component $(1 - r_i^2)^{-1/2}$ will be large whenever there is a high relationship between the i -th regressor and the set of remaining regressors. In this case the contribution of $(1 - r_i^2)^{-1/2}$ to the r -th leverage h_{rr} will be effective. The second component $\mathbf{b}_{(i)}^T \mathbf{P}_{(i)}(\mathbf{x}_r - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ is the standardized residual from the regression of the i -th regressor on the remaining regressors in the light of (3), and it will be large in its absolute value whenever the value of the i -th regressor x_{ri} is far from the hyperplane formed by the remaining regressors, that is, whenever x_{ri} is an outlier for the regression of the i -th regressor on the remaining regressors. The third component $(x_{ri} - \bar{x}_i)/s_i$ indicates a marginally standardized deviation and it will be large in its absolute value whenever x_{ri} is a marginally outlier.

3.2. Decomposition II

If we partition the data covariance matrix $(1/n)\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$ according to the partition of \mathbf{A} in (2), then the inverse of the resulting partitioned matrix is computed as

$$(7) \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_1^T)^{-1} + \mathbf{b}_1\mathbf{b}_1^T & b_{pp}\mathbf{b}_1 \\ b_{pp}\mathbf{b}_1^T & b_{pp}^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{b}_1 = (b_{1p}, \dots, b_{p-1,p})^T$. Note that $\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_1^T$ is the data covariance matrix for the first $p-1$ regressor variables. Let $\mathbf{x}_{r(-p)} = (x_{r1}, \dots, x_{r,p-1})^T$ and $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{(-p)} =$

$(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{p-1})^T$. We write the squared Mahalanobis distance based on the first $p-1$ regressor variables as $D_{r(-p)}^2 = (\mathbf{x}_{r(-p)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{(-p)})^T (\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_1^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{r(-p)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{(-p)})$. Then a little computation with use of (7) gives the following decomposition of D_r^2

$$(8) \quad D_r^2 = D_{r(-p)}^2 + [\mathbf{b}^T (\mathbf{x}_r - \bar{\mathbf{x}})]^2.$$

In view of this decomposition, the removal of the p -th regressor from the regression model decreases the value of h_{rr} by the second term of (8) divided by n when the remaining regressors are still kept in the model.

REFERENCES

1. S. Chatterji and A.S. Hadi, *Sensitivity Analysis in Linear Regression*, Wiley, New York, 1988.
2. R.F. Gunst, *Regression analysis with multicollinear predictor variables: definition, detection, and effects*, Communications in Statistics: Theory and Methods, **12** (1983), 2217–2260.
3. A.S. Hadi and P.F. Velleman, *Comment on the paper by G.W. Stewart "Collinearity and least squares regression"*, Statistical Science, **2** (1987), 93–98.
4. M.G. Kim, *Case-deletion diagnostics for testing a linear hypothesis about regression coefficients*, J. Appl. Math. & Computing, **10** (2002), 111–118.
5. R.L. Mason and R.F. Gunst, *Outlier-induced collinearities*, Technometrics, **27** (1985), 401–407.
6. P.J. Rousseeuw and A.M. Leroy, *Robust Regression and Outlier Detection*, Wiley, New York, 1987.
7. G.W. Stewart, *Collinearity and least squares regression (with discussions)*, Statistical Science, **2** (1987), 68–100.

Department of Applied Statistics, Seowon University, 231 Mochung-Dong, Heungduk-Gu, Chongju, Chung-Buk, 361-742, Korea
e-mail : mgkim@seowon.ac.kr