# Hypothesis Testing for Shapes using Vectorized Persistence Diagrams

Chul Moon<sup>\*1</sup> and Nicole A. Lazar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistical Science, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, 75205, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics and Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA

#### Abstract

Topological data analysis involves the statistical characterization of the shape of data. Persistent homology is a primary tool of topological data analysis, which can be used to analyze topological features and perform statistical inference. In this paper, we present a two-stage hypothesis test for vectorized persistence diagrams. The first stage filters vector elements in the vectorized persistence diagrams to enhance the power of the test. The second stage consists of multiple hypothesis tests, with false positives controlled by false discovery rates. We demonstrate the flexibility of our method by applying it to a variety of simulated and real-world data types. Our results show that the proposed hypothesis test enables accurate and informative inferences on the shape of data compared to the existing hypothesis testing methods for persistent homology.

*Keywords:* Topological Data Analysis, Persistent Homology, Statistical Inference, Two-stage Hypothesis Testing

<sup>\*</sup>Email: chulm@smu.edu

# 1 Introduction

Modern science is facing a rapid increase in the volume of data as well as their complexity. Non-standard data types such as functional (Ramsay and Silverman, 2005), manifold (Genovese et al., 2012), and object-oriented (Marron and Alonso, 2014) data have become more common. New methodologies need to be developed to analyze and gain useful information from these data. For example, Figure 1 shows Micro-CT images obtained from four different types of rocks. The properties of rocks, such as permeability, play an important role in earth science fields, including hydrogeology and petroleum engineering. However, such properties are difficult to estimate; one of the reasons is that they are influenced by parameters linked to pore geometry (Hommel et al., 2018). Because it is not easy to quantify pore geometry, various indirect measures are used instead, such as porosity, tortuosity, and specific surface area (Bernabé and Bruderer, 1998; Xiong et al., 2016).



Figure 1: Micro-CT images of Bentheimer and Doddington sandstones and Estaillades and Ketton carbonate rocks (Imperial College London, 2015).

Topological data analysis (TDA) is a recent addition to the analytical toolbox, that quantifies the shape of data using their topological features, such as connected components and holes. A primary TDA tool is persistent homology, which analyzes topological features of data in various scales (Edelsbrunner and Harer, 2008; Carlsson, 2009). Persistent homology provides a numeric descriptor of the shape of data that is robust to noise and insensitive to metrics (Chazal et al., 2017). It has been applied to a wide variety of data sets, including atomic configurations (Nakamura et al., 2015; Hiraoka et al., 2016), biomolecular structures (Kovacev-Nikolic et al., 2016; Cang and Wei, 2017; Sauerwald et al., 2019; Townsend et al., 2020), brain arteries (Bendich et al., 2016b), financial time series data (Aguilar and Ensor, 2020), metalic materials (Kimura et al., 2018), tumor images (Lawson et al., 2019; Moon et al., 2020), and porous materials (Robins et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2018; Herring et al., 2019; Moon et al., 2019). These applications have shown that topological features successfully characterize the shape of data.

Using results from TDA, it is possible to move toward statistical inference on the shape of data. For example, by computing persistent homology of the four rocks in Figure 1, we can obtain numeric outputs that describe their shapes. We can then, in principle, use this output to conduct hypothesis tests to distinguish rock types based on characteristics such as pore shapes and connectivities.

Most statistical and machine learning methods, however, cannot be directly applied to persistent homology results. Outputs of persistent homology are algebraic objects, not vectors. The numeric summary of persistent homology outputs are sets of intervals that describe how topological features persist. Therefore, we cannot simply extend approaches developed for symbolic data, such as Gioia and Lauro (2005) and Billard and Diday (2006), to persistent homology results. Various approaches have been suggested to represent persistent homology results in different spaces while preserving the summarized topological information; in Euclidean space (Bendich et al., 2016a; Adcock et al., 2016; Adams et al., 2017; Kališnik, 2019), reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) (Reininghaus et al., 2015; Kusano et al., 2017), and  $L^2$ -space (Bubenik, 2015).

Several methods have been proposed to make statistical inference using persistent homology results including confidence intervals using bootstrap (Fasy et al., 2014), linear models (Obayashi et al., 2018), and Bayesian approaches (Maroulas et al., 2020). There are a few authors who describe hypothesis testing procedures for persistent homology: permutation tests using a pairwise distance of persistence diagrams (Robinson and Turner, 2017; Cericola et al., 2018) and functional summaries (Chen et al., 2015; Berry et al., 2020), multiple hypothesis testing procedures using uniform point cloud data (Vejdemo-Johansson and Mukherjee, 2018), tests using persistence landscapes (Bubenik, 2015), and kernel two-sample hypothesis tests in RKHS (Kwitt et al., 2015; Kusano, 2019). However, the existing approaches provide results of limited interpretability.

In this paper, we propose a two-stage hypothesis test of filtering and testing for the persistence image suggested by Adams et al. (2017) that represents persistent homology features as vectors in Euclidean space. The two-stage hypothesis test has been used to enhance detection power for various highdimensional data such as microarray (Hackstadt and Hess, 2009; Tritchler et al., 2009) and genome-wide association analyses (Murcray et al., 2008; Kooperberg and LeBlanc, 2008). The filtering step removes pixels in the persistence image that may not be relevant to the inference. The testing stage performs multiple hypothesis tests and controls the false discovery rate (FDR). The proposed method is flexible in the sense that it can handle a wide variety of data types.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide background on persistent homology, topological features for tomographic image data, and issues related to hypothesis tests for vectorized persistence diagrams. Section 3 introduces the proposed two-stage hypothesis test procedures. Section 4 presents the hypothesis testing results for simulated point clouds, pseudo-material images, and beetle population data. Section 5 applies the proposed method to sand pack images and musical instrument sounds. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss our main contributions and future directions.

# 2 Topological Data Analysis Background

#### 2.1 Homology and Persistent Homology

Homology characterizes a shape by counting its number of connected components, loops, and voids. The connected components, loops, and voids are also called the dimension-zero, dimension-one, and dimension-two topological



Figure 2: Steps of converting the persistence diagram into the persistence image. From the original persistence diagram (left) to the transformed persistence diagram (middle) to the persistence image (right). In this example, the Gaussian smoothing function and the arctangent weight are used for the persistence images.

features, respectively. Persistent homology tracks the dynamics of topological features in data at different resolutions. As we change the resolution of the data, the topological nature of the data also changes. The parameter that tracks the resolution of data is called the filtration. Persistent homology computes when specific dimension-k features appear (birth) and disappear (death) over the filtration. The output of persistent homology can be summarized as a collection of intervals of (birth, death).

A persistence diagram is the most popular graphical representation of the (birth, death) intervals produced by persistent homology. It plots the intervals as points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of birth (x-axis) and death (y-axis). Because the death of a topological feature comes after its birth, all points are plotted above the diagonal. Figure 2a shows an example of a persistence diagram. For more detailed explanation of persistent homology, see Section S2 of the supplementary material.

#### 2.2 Representations of Persistence Diagrams

Although persistence diagrams include information about topological features, it is difficult to use them as input in data analysis. This is because persistence diagrams are not numeric vectors, which most classical statistical and machine learning methods require. Ways to represent persistence diagrams as vectors include binning (Bendich et al., 2016a), polynomial (Adcock et al., 2016), persistence image (Adams et al., 2017), tropical polynomial (Kališnik, 2019) in Euclidean space, persistence scale-space kernel (Reininghaus et al., 2015) and persistence weighted Gaussian kernel (Kusano et al., 2017) in RKHS, and rank function (Robins and Turner, 2016) and persistence landscape (Bubenik, 2015) in  $L^2$  space. Every representation has its advantages and shortcomings. For example, the polynomials by Adcock et al. (2016) are easy to compute, but not Lipschitz continuous. Also, tropical polynomials by Kališnik (2019) generate sufficient statistics for topological summaries (Monod et al., 2019), but are not composed of a well-defined and rigorous ring structure.

We develop a hypothesis test procedure for persistence diagrams represented in Euclidean space, specifically using the persistence images. Persistence images have two main advantages. First, the representation in Euclidean space makes it easier to conduct prediction and classification by applying classical statistical models and machine learning methods such as regression and support vector machine (Adams et al., 2017; Obayashi et al., 2018). Second, they have a natural graphical summary unlike most other representations.

The persistence images are obtained by the following steps. First, a persistence diagram PD = {(birth, death)} is transformed into PD<sub>t</sub> = {(u = birth, v = death - birth)}. With a real-valued smoothing function  $f_{(u,v)}$  for  $(u, v) \in PD_t$  and a real-valued weight function w, the persistence surface  $\rho_{PD_t}$ of the transformed persistence diagram PD<sub>t</sub> is

$$\rho_{\mathrm{PD}_t}(x, y) = \sum_{(u,v)\in\mathrm{PD}_t} f_{(u,v)}(x, y) \cdot w(u, v),$$

where x and y are the (x, y)-coordinates of the persistence surface. The persis-

tence images are obtained by taking an integral of  $\rho_{\text{PD}t}$  over a given grid. We use the Gaussian smoothing function  $f_{(u,v)}(x, y \mid h) = \frac{1}{2\pi h^2} \exp(-((x-u)^2 + (y-v)^2)/2h^2))$ . The values of h can be determined case by case, but the persistence image is known to be robust to the choice of h (Adams et al., 2017). Adams et al. (2017) show that the persistence image is stable with respect to bottleneck and Wasserstein distances (Edelsbrunner and Harer, 2022).

The weight function in persistence images can highlight specific aspects of topological features. A suitable weight can reveal key features about the shape of data and various weights have been proposed. For example, a linear weight (w(u, v) = v) assigns higher weights to persistent topological features whereas the constant weight (w(u, v) = 1) gives equal weights to all features. Also, an arctangent weight  $(w(u, v) = \operatorname{arctan}(Rv^S), \text{ for } R, S \in \mathbb{R})$  is suggested in Kusano et al. (2017) for RKHS and extended to persistence images in Obayashi et al. (2018). In our study, we use the arctangent weight and set R = S = 0.5. Note that the weights used in our study depend only on the  $v = \operatorname{death} - \operatorname{birth}$ , the persistence of the feature.

Figure 2 illustrates the conversion steps from the persistence diagram to the persistence image. First, the (birth, death) pairs of the persistence diagram in Figure 2a are transformed to the (birth, death-birth) pairs in Figure 2b. Then, the transformed persistence diagram is represented as the persistence image in Figure 2c. Here, the Gaussian smoothing function and the arctangent weight are used.

#### 2.3 Hypothesis Tests for Persistent Homology

One can consider a hypothesis test that compares the topological features of data using persistence diagrams. However, it is difficult to define probability distributions on the space of persistence diagrams because the space is infinite in dimension and has complicated geometry (Robinson and Turner, 2017). As a result, the hypothesis test methods for persistent homology have been studied in two ways: 1) using permutation tests and 2) using statistical properties of represented persistence diagrams.

First, the permutation-based tests are defined on the space induced by pairwise metrics of persistence diagrams or their representations. Robinson and Turner (2017) propose a permutation test for a two-sample setting using persistence diagrams. Assume that we have  $n = n_1 + n_2$  persistence diagrams  $PD_l$ ,  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , obtained from two data groups of size  $n_1$ and  $n_2$ . Let  $G = \{G_1, G_2\}$  be labels for the two groups where  $G_1, G_2 \subset$  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset, G_1 \cup G_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, n(G_1) = n_1, \text{ and } n(G_2) =$  $n_2$ . The permutation test uses a loss function L of a label G defined by L(G = $\{G_1, G_2\}) = \frac{2}{n_1(n_1-1)} \sum_{l_i < l_j \in G_1} d(\mathrm{PD}_{l_i}, \mathrm{PD}_{l_j}) + \frac{2}{n_2(n_2-1)} \sum_{l_i < l_j \in G_2} d(\mathrm{PD}_{l_i}, \mathrm{PD}_{l_j}),$ where d is a pairwise distance function for persistence diagrams such as bottleneck or Wasserstein distance. The loss function measures the similarity of persistence diagrams within groups: if persistence diagrams within groups are similar to each other, the loss will be small, and vice versa. At first, the baseline loss value  $L_0(G_0)$  is computed for the initial group label  $G_0$  that we want to test. Then the labels are randomly shuffled and the loss values are computed using the permuted labels. The permutations are repeated  $N_P$  times. The p-value is computed by comparing the baseline loss value  $L_0(G_0)$  with the  $N_P$  loss values of the permuted labels. Because the number of permutations  $N_P$  can be up to  $\binom{n}{n_1}$ , a smaller number of permutations can be used instead. Algorithm S1 in the supplementary Material summarizes the permutation test procedure of Robinson and Turner (2017). Cericola et al. (2018) extend the two-sample test scheme to multiple label group testing using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure and Vejdemo-Johansson and Mukherjee (2018) propose procedures to control the multiple testing problem. The permutation test is also suggested for the persistence landscape (Bubenik, 2015). For the persistence landscape, the loss function  $L(G = \{G_1, G_2\})$  is defined by the distance between the mean persistence landscapes of the two groups. Also, Chen et al. (2015) and Berry et al. (2020) propose permutation tests using functional representations of persistence diagrams.

Representation	Testing method	Weight	Testing result	Result visualization
Persistence image	Proposed two-stage test	Flexible I	Multiple p-values	s Yes
Persistence diagram	Permutation	Inflexible	Single p-value	No
Persistence landscape	Permutation	Inflexible	Single p-value	No
	z-test & Hotelling's $T^2$ test	stInflexible	Single p-value	No
RKHS vector	Kernel test	Flexible	Single p-value	No

Table 1: Comparison of hypothesis testing methods for persistent homology.

Second, hypothesis tests are conducted on the various persistence diagram representations. Bubenik (2015) suggests the two-sample z-test for mean persistence landscapes and Hotelling's  $T^2$  test for vectors of persistence landscape functionals. However, these tests may be less powerful than the permutation test in some cases (Bubenik, 2015). Also, Kwitt et al. (2015) and Kusano (2019) apply the kernel two-sample test of Gretton et al. (2006, 2012) to persistence diagram representations in RKHS. The kernel two-sample test uses the maximum mean discrepancy (MMD) as a test statistic that measures the difference between the kernel functions. In the kernel test, the MMD of the two groups is computed and it is compared with the  $1 - \alpha$  quantile of the null distribution of the MMD.

Existing hypothesis test approaches proposed for persistent homology have some limitations. Table 1 compares the existing methods. First, the existing hypothesis test methods provide limited information on how each topological feature contributes to any observed differences. They provide a single p-value as a testing result. Therefore, it is difficult to identify which topological features play an essential role in the hypothesis test. Second, most methods do not provide an option for assigning weights to topological features that enables flexible interpretation. For example, the hypothesis tests using persistence diagrams and persistence landscapes are only available under the fixed weight. We note that other persistent homology representations such as weighted silhouette (Chazal et al., 2014) are not compared in Table 1 because their hypothesis testing methods have not been studied.

Conducting hypothesis tests on persistence images can overcome the limitations; it can identify topological features that account for the differences, visualize them, and implement weights. However, a naive application of hypothesis tests to persistence images can lead to an incorrect conclusion.

#### 2.4 Naive Hypothesis Test for Persistence Images

For the vectorized persistence diagrams in Euclidean space, we can measure the differences by comparing the mean values of vectors. We consider testing the difference between two groups of spaces ( $S_1$  and  $S_2$ ) for simplicity. It is straightforward to generalize the approach to more than two groups, similar to the transition from t-tests to ANOVA. Assume that  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  persistence diagrams are obtained from  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively, and represented as persistence images of  $m \times m$  pixels. Let  $x_{(j,k)}^i$  be the value of the *i*th pixel of group *j*'s *k*th persistence image, where  $i \in \{1, \dots, m^2\}, j = 1, 2, \text{ and } k \in \{1, \dots, n_j\}$ . Also, let  $\mu_j^i = E_k(x_{(j,k)}^i)$  be the mean of the *i*th pixel of group *j*'s persistence images. Then, the hypotheses for testing differences between two persistence images are

$$H_0: \quad \mu_1^i = \mu_2^i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \cdots, m^2\}$$
$$H_1: \quad \mu_1^i \neq \mu_2^i \text{ for at least one } i \in \{1, \cdots, m^2\}.$$

However, it is not appropriate to implement these naive hypotheses directly. First, not all areas of the persistence images are equally interesting. There will most likely be multiple pixels with a mean close to zero in both groups just because they are far from the diagonal or are in otherwise sparse regions. Second, the pixels are not independent. The persistence image uses a smoothing function, so nearby pixels become dependent.

To illustrate these issues, we reproduce a simulation study considered in Robinson and Turner (2017). We randomly sample 100 sets of 50 points from each of two different shapes: one circle of radius 1 (shape 1) and two circles of radius 0.9 and 1.1 (shape 2), as shown in Figure 3. We compute persistent homology for 200 sets of point cloud data using the Rips complex. The difference



Figure 3: (a) Two shapes, (b) scatterplots of 50 randomly sampled points, (c) transformed dimension-one persistence diagrams, and (d) persistence images. We use the Gaussian smoothing function with the arctangent weight for the persistence images.

between the two spaces is reflected in the dimension-one persistence diagrams, given in the second from the right panel of Figure 3. The dimension-one interval for shape 1 (Death – Birth  $\approx 1.3$ ) is longer than the longest dimension-one interval for shape 2 (Death – Birth  $\approx 1.1$ ). Also, shape 2 has several very short-lived dimension-one intervals. The 200 dimension-one persistence diagrams are converted to 200 persistence images of  $40 \times 40$  pixels using the Gaussian smoothing function with h = 0.075 and the arctangent weight. We conduct the two-sample pooled variance *t*-test at every pixel to examine the differences between the two spaces. Figure 4 presents the average difference of the persistence images, standard errors, and two-sample *t*-test statistics.

In the naive hypothesis testing, some pixels irrelevant to inference are used. Including unnecessary pixels could reduce the detection power of the test. Even though some pixels have small mean differences, they can have large test statistics. For example, some pixels on the top in Figure 4c have large test statistics but they have small mean differences in Figure 4a. This is because their sample standard deviations are relatively small in Figure 4b. As a result, it is difficult to differentiate whether the large test statistics are due to the mean differences or the small sample standard errors.

Also, when we test multiple hypotheses simultaneously, the multiple testing



Figure 4: Average differences of one-dimensional persistence images, standard errors, and t-test statistics. The t-test statistics less than -10 and greater than 10 are plotted as -10 and 10, respectively.

problem arises. Failure to adjust for multiple testing can lead to inaccurate inference. Multiple testing adjustments based on the family-wise error rate (Hochberg and Tamhane, 1987) and the FDR (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) aim to control the rate of false positives while maintaining statistical power.

In the next section, we suggest a hypothesis testing approach for persistent images to handle irrelevant and misleading pixels and adjust for conducting multiple tests.

### **3** Two-stage Hypothesis Test Procedures

The suggested hypothesis test approach consists of two stages: filtering and testing. We use two independent statistics (filter and test statistics) in the two stages. Algorithm 1 summarizes the suggested testing procedure.

#### 3.1 Stage I: Filtering

The idea of filtering has been proposed to increase power for high-dimensional data (McClintick and Edenberg, 2006; Hackstadt and Hess, 2009; Mieth et al., 2016). When the variables are filtered, the number of variables being tested is reduced. As a result, filtering could potentially lead to an increase in the

Algorithm 1 Two-stage hypothesis test for a persistence image

**Input:** Array of *n* persistence images  $V_{\{m^2 \times n\}}$ , given label  $G_{\text{given}} = \{I, J\}$ , threshold *C* 

#### **Output:** P-values Z

1: Create vectors  $v_x, v_y$  of size  $m^2$  that correspond to x and y locations of V

2:  $V \leftarrow V[v_x \ge v_y, :]$ 3: Create an empty vector T of size  $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ 4: for  $i = 1 \rightarrow \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$  do  $T[i] \leftarrow \text{filter statistic of } i\text{th pixel of } V$ 5: 6: end for 7:  $t_C \leftarrow C^{th}$  percentile of T8:  $V \leftarrow V[V > t_C, :]$ 9: Create an empty vector Z of size nrow(V)10: for  $j = 1 \rightarrow nrow(V)$  do 11:  $v_1 \leftarrow V[j, I]$  $v_2 \leftarrow V[j, J]$ 12:Conduct a hypothesis test using  $v_1$  and  $v_j$  and store the p-value in Z[j]13:

14: **end for** 

15: Apply multiple testing adjustment procedure to  ${\cal Z}$ 

number of discoveries after multiple testing corrections.

We add the pre-filtering step of removing unnecessary pixels in the upperright triangle of the persistence image. This part of the persistence image corresponds to an empty area in the persistence diagram. For example in Figure 2 the upper-right triangle region in the transformed persistence diagram in the middle panel corresponds to the empty region of Death > 1 in the persistence diagram in the left panel. Therefore, the upper-right triangle region in the transformed persistence diagram should not contribute to inference. After applying the pre-filtering step to the persistence image of  $m \times m = m^2$  pixels, the number of remaining pixels is reduced to  $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ .

Bourgon et al. (2010) show that false positive rates are not maintained for the two-stage procedure if inappropriate filter statistics are used. More specifically,

filter statistics need to be independent of the test statistic because the null distribution in the second stage is a conditional distribution given the filter statistic. For example, the overall sample mean, overall standard deviation, and sum of squared values are suggested as filter statistics for the *t*-test statistic (Bourgon et al., 2010; Guo and Romano, 2017). In our study, we use the overall standard deviations  $s^i = \sqrt{\frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^2 \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n_j} (x_{(j,k)}^i - \bar{x}^i)^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 1}}$ , where  $\bar{x}^i = \frac{1}{n_1 + n_2} \sum\limits_{j=1}^2 \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n_j} x_{(j,k)}^i$ , as filter statistics for each pixel  $i \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{m(m+1)}{2}\}$ . For a given threshold *C* where  $0 \leq C \leq 100$ , pixels whose filter statistics are less than the  $C^{th}$  percentile are removed.

#### 3.2 Stage II: Testing

In the second stage, we conduct hypothesis tests on the remaining pixels and adjust for multiplicity. Though we use the pooled-variance *t*-test in our analysis, any other standard approach can be used, such as unpooled *t*-test, nonparametric two-sample tests, ANOVA, and nonparametric ANOVA (Bourgon et al., 2010). No additional difficulties are introduced by the transition from two to multiple groups as long as a suitable filter statistic is used. For example, one may test whether at least one of the structures of the four rocks in Figure 1 are different or not using ANOVA. We also use ANOVA to compare three groups of material images in Section 4.3.

The adjusted multiple hypothesis results could be affected by the dependency between persistence image pixels. Also, filtering can change the dependence structure of the pixels that pass the filter (Bourgon et al., 2010). Widely-used multiple testing adjustment methods assume independence between tests (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995; Storey, 2002), whereas some methods control the error rates under various types of dependence (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001; Kim and van de Wiel, 2008; Fan et al., 2012; Stevens et al., 2017). In our study, we adjust the p-values using the BH method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995), the BY method (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001), and the q-value method of Storey (2002). For more detailed explanation of these multiple testing adjustment methods, see Section S3 of the supplementary material.

The effects of hypothesis testing settings such as the choice of the filtering threshold C and the multiple testing adjustment methods are studied in Section 4.2.

### 4 Simulation Study

#### 4.1 Method Comparison

We compare the proposed two-stage method using persistence images with three persistent homology based hypothesis testing methods: 1) permutation tests using persistence diagrams (Robinson and Turner, 2017) (PD), 2) permutation tests using persistence landscape (Bubenik, 2015) (PL), and 3) kernel twosample tests applied to the persistent weighted Gaussian kernel (PWGK) (Kusano, 2019) (Kernel). For the two-stage hypothesis tests, we use persistence images of 40 by 40 pixels with Gaussian smoothing of h = 0.5 and constant weight. The overall sample standard deviations are used as the filter statistics and the filtering threshold is set at C = 80%. The p-values are adjusted by the BH procedure. In our study, PWGK for the Kernel method is generated by the same smoothing kernel and weight. Also, the null distribution for the kernel two-sample test is approximated using 1,000 bootstrap samples on the aggregated data. For PD and PL, 1,000 permutations ( $N_P = 1,000$ ) are used. For PD, pairwise distances between persistence diagrams are measured by the 1-Wasserstein distance.

Two criteria are used to evaluate the performances of the tests: false positive rate and power. A good hypothesis test will achieve high power while maintaining low false positive rate. We use the same simulation setting used in Robinson and Turner (2017), the point cloud data sampled from the two shapes of Figure 3.

First, for simulations to examine the false positive rate, we randomly draw

20 point clouds of 50 points on shape 2 (two different-sized circles) and add noise that follows  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ . These 20 point clouds are randomly assigned to two groups of the same size, 10 point clouds to each group, respectively. We repeat this procedure 500 times for a given  $\sigma = 0.05$ , 0.1, 0.15, and 0.2.

Second, to evaluate the power of the hypothesis tests, we randomly draw 10 point clouds of 50 points on shape 1 (one circle) and add Gaussian noise of  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Then, we apply the same sampling procedure for shape 2 (two different-sized circles). These 20 point clouds, ten from each shape, are used to conduct one hypothesis test. We repeat this procedure 500 times for  $\sigma = 0.05$ , 0.1, 0.15, and 0.2.

We construct the Rips complex separately for each of the  $20 \times 500 \times 4 \times 2 =$  80,000 point clouds and compute persistent homology. For the hypothesis tests, the dimension-one persistence diagrams are used.



Figure 5: Hypothesis testing results of the four methods at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ : (a) false positive rates; (b) powers; (c) p-values adjusted by the BH method plotted on the dimension-one persistence image with  $\sigma = 0.05$ , constant weight, and C = 80%. PD is the permutation test of Robinson and Turner (2017), PL is the permutation test of Bubenik (2015), and Kernel is the kernel two-sample test of Kusano (2019).

Figures 5a and 5b show false positive rates and powers of the four testing methods (Two-stage, PD, PL, and Kernel). The simulation results suggest that the proposed two-stage method achieves high power while maintaining the lowest false positive rates. In addition, the proposed two-stage method can inform which topological features contribute the most to the differences. For example, Figure 5c shows the adjusted p-values of filtered pixels of the dimension-one persistence image of one simulated data set for two shapes when  $\sigma = 0.05$ , constant weight, and C = 80% are used. The pixels that have small p-values are located at the lower-left corner of Figure 5c, suggesting that the differences between the two groups of point clouds can be identified by the number of small-sized loops. For example, the sampled points in the bottom panel of Figure 3b have a narrow space between the two circles and they generate the small-sized loops. On the other hand, the point cloud sampled from the one circle in the top panel of Figure 3b does not have such space and generates a single large loop.

#### 4.2 Effects of Two-stage Hypothesis Testing Settings

The proposed two-stage hypothesis test depends on multiple parameters and settings. We examine the effects on testing results of four conditions: 1) filtering threshold, 2) weight, 3) multiple testing adjustment method, and 4) resolution of the persistence image. The effect of persistence image resolution is presented in Figure S7 in the supplementary material. We use the same point cloud data sets used in Section 4.1.

#### 4.2.1 Effect of Filtering Thresholds

We explore six filtering conditions: no pre-filtering (using all  $40 \times 40 = 1,600$  pixels of persistence images) and five filtering thresholds C = 0% (using  $40 \times 41/2 = 820$  pixels), 20% (using  $40 \times 41/2 \times (1 - 0.2) = 656$  pixels), 40%, 60% and 80%. Figure 6a shows the false positive rate and power for each of the six filtering conditions.

The simulation results indicate that in general, power increases with the amount of filtering. When no pre-filtering is used, the procedure has the lowest power. On the other hand, the filtering procedure increases the power of the test. Also, using higher filtering thresholds tends to increase the false positive rates. However, they are controlled less than the nominal level 0.05 for all filtering conditions. Note that using higher filtering thresholds may not always lead to higher powers as shown in Figure 6a. For example, if a majority of important pixels are filtered out using a high filtering threshold, the detection power could decrease.

Figure S8 in the supplementary Material shows the BH-adjusted p-values of the persistence images of one simulated dataset with  $\sigma = 0.05$  for the six filtering settings, no pre-filtering and C = 0%, 20%, 40%, 60% and 80%.

#### 4.2.2 Effect of Weights

We compare three weights, the constant, arctangent, and linear weights, used in the vectorization procedure. The constant weight gives the same weight to features regardless of their persistence. On the other hand, the linear weight tends to assign higher weights to longer-persistent features (i.e., points that are far from the 45-degree line in the persistence diagrams). The arctangent weight is somewhere between the constant and linear weights.

The weights can play an important role in determining detection power. Figure 6b compares power of two-stage hypothesis tests with filtering thresholds C = 60% and 80% under the three weights. For this example, the constant and arctangent weights yield higher power than the linear weight. In our simulated datasets, short-lived one-dimensional topological features (i.e., features close to the 45-degree line in the persistence diagrams) play an important role in differentiating the two groups. Because the linear weight assigns larger weights to persisting features, it does not capture such differences compared to the constant and arctangent weights.

The results imply that the proposed two-stage test provides flexible options to compare the differences between collections of persistence diagrams. The permutation tests of Robinson and Turner (2017) and Bubenik (2015) can be viewed as providing limited flexibility because they cannot assign different weights to the topological features.



(a) False positive rate (left) and power (right) at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  of six filtering conditions.



(b) False positive rate (left) and power (right) at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  of three weights.



(c) False positive rate (left) and power (right) at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  of three multiple testing adjustment methods.

Figure 6: Simulation results in various two-stage hypothesis testing settings: (a) filtering threshold; (b) weight; and (c) multiple testing adjustment method.

#### 4.2.3 Effect of Multiple Testing Adjustments

We compare three multiple testing adjustment methods: the BH method that assumes independence between tests (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995), the BY method that assumes positive regression dependence (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001), and the q-value method of Storey (2002) that is known to work well under more general dependence structure than positive regression dependence (Storey et al., 2004). For the q-value method, when the proportion of true null hypotheses is not estimated, the BH method is used. Figure 6c reports simulation results of the three multiple testing adjustment methods.

In this simulation study, the BH method performs the best in the sense that false positive rate is well controlled while maintaining high power. The BY method records the lowest false positive rate but has the lowest power. The qvalue method yields almost the same results to the BH method. This is because the proportion of the true null hypotheses is not estimated in most cases, so the BH method is used instead.

#### 4.3 2D Binary Material Image Simulation

In this section, we simulate pseudo-material data, binary images with pores and grains. The 2D random binary images are generated by Algorithm 1 of Obayashi et al. (2018). First, M seed points are taken from a uniform distribution over the image. From each seed point, S dispersion points are randomly generated from  $N(0, \sigma_1^2)$ . A Gaussian filter with standard deviation  $\sigma_2$  is applied and binarized using a threshold t. Three sets of parameters are used to generate images: (M = 180, S = 80), (M = 190, S = 75), and (M = 200, S = 70). For all settings, we set dispersion scales  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 4$  and threshold t = 0.7 and generate images of size 200 by 200 pixels. Figure 7 shows examples of simulated binary images from each parameter set. It is not easy to tell that the simulated images are generated by different parameters by visual inspection alone.

We consider four scenarios to compare the pseudo-material images: scenario 1 examines two groups of (M = 180, S = 80) images, scenario 2 compares (M =



Figure 7: Examples of 2D pseudo-material images with parameters (M = 180, S = 80) (left), (M = 190, S = 75) (center), and (M = 200, S = 70) (right).

180, S = 80) and (M = 190, S = 75) groups, scenario 3 tests (M = 180, S = 80)and (M = 200, S = 70) groups, and scenario 4 tests whether at least one of the three groups, (M = 180, S = 80), (M = 190, S = 75), and (M = 200, S = 70), differs from the others. All groups in each scenario include 50 images of size 200 by 200. The binary images are converted by the signed distance transform and cubical complexes are constructed according to the signed distance values. The topological features obtained by this transformation can reveal the size, shape, and connectivity of materials (Robins et al., 2016; Obayashi et al., 2018). A more detailed description and examples of the topological features of 2D binary material images are given in Section S2.3 of the supplementary material. Persistent homology is computed using the GUDHI library (Dlotko, 2015) with the SEDT values as the filtration.

Four hypothesis testing methods (two-stage, PD, PL, and Kernel) are applied to the computed topological features of the pseudo-material images. For the two-stage hypothesis tests, we use the persistence images of size 40 by 40 converted using three weights (linear, arctangent, and constant) with Gaussian smoothing of h = 3, overall standard deviation filter statistic, filtering threshold C = 60%, and the BH method. PD and PL use  $N_P = 100$  and  $N_P = 1,000$  repetitions, respectively. For Kernel, the arctangent weight, the Gaussian kernel of h = 3, and 1,000 bootstrap samples are used.

The hypothesis test results show that the proposed method can differentiate the simulated binary images based on their topological features. Table 2 shows

		Scenario 1		Scenario 2		Scenario 3		Scenario 4	
		Dim 0	Dim 1						
Two-stage	Linear	0.547	0.993	0.997	0.127	0.005	0.032	0.005	0.053
	Arctangent	0.516	0.999	0.998	0.133	< 0.001	0.021	< 0.001	0.030
	Constant	0.549	0.992	0.999	0.139	< 0.001	0.021	< 0.001	0.026
PD		0.400	0.990	0.900	0.070	0.000	0.010	-	-
PL		0.319	0.932	0.978	0.076	0.007	0.018	-	-
Kernel		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	-	-

Table 2: p-values of four hypothesis testing methods of 2D binary material images. The minimum p-values are reported for the two-stage tests.

p-values from hypothesis tests. Here, the minimum p-value is selected as a representative measure for the two-stage tests to compare performances with the other three methods. The two-stage test, PD, and PL show similar testing results: scenario 1 and 2 yield larger p-values whereas scenario 3 yields small pvalues. We note that the two-stage test provides more information with multiple p-values corresponding to the filtered pixels.

On the other hand, Kernel shows the most extreme testing results; the pvalues are either 0 or 1 for all scenarios. For scenario 4, only two-stage tests are used because the other tests are developed to compare two groups. We use ANOVA and its p-values are similar to those of scenario 3.

Unlike the other methods, the two-stage approach shows which topological features play an important role in hypothesis tests. Figure 8 shows the BH-adjusted p-values of two-stage hypothesis test of scenario 3 with the arctangent weight and C = 60%. For dimension-zero, two regions have small p-values around (-5,0) and (-5,15) in Figure 8a. The areas at (-5,0) and (-5,15) correspond to the connected grains and disconnected grains, respectively. See panel (e) of Figure S6(a) in the supplementary material for an example of the corresponding dimension-zero feature. For dimension-one, there are two areas with small p-values: 1) around (-5,10) and 2) around (15,5) in Figure 8b.



Figure 8: p-values of the two-stage hypothesis test of scenario 3, between pseudo-rock images of (M = 180, S = 80) and (M = 200, S = 70) groups.

The areas at (-5, 10) and (15, 5) correspond to the pores and the broken-ring shaped grains, respectively. See panels (a) and (b) of Figure S6(b) in the supplementary material for examples of the corresponding dimension-one features. As a result, the proposed two-stage test provides information on how the two groups differ, which are not easily identifiable in the binary material images in Figure 7. The other testing methods do not provide this level of detail. This is because they are based on permutations, so output is a single p-value.

#### 4.4 Population of Tribolium Beetle

In this section, we apply the proposed method to the simulated beetle population data. Tribolium, also known as a flour beetle, is a pest that infests stored food products (Mason and McDonough, 2012). Tribolium is considered to have great economic importance because it is globally spread and resistant to several pesticides (Verheggen et al., 2007). The population dynamics of Tribolium have been studied using experimental and mathematical models (Costantino et al., 2005).

Tribolium has four life stages: 1) egg, 2) larva, 3) pupa, and 4) adult. In each of the larva and pupa stages, it takes about two weeks for Tribolium to get to the next stage. The first three to four days of adults are immature and nonproductive, so they are called the callow adult. Tribolium has a characteristic of cannibalism, where adults eat pupae and unhatched eggs under overpopulation conditions. We use the Tribolium population growth model proposed in Costantino et al. (1995). The population model consists of the following equations:

$$L_{t+1} = bA_t \exp(-c_{ea}A_t - c_{el}L_t + E_{1t})$$
  

$$P_{t+1} = L_t(1 - \mu_l) \exp(E_{2t})$$
  

$$A_{t+1} = [P_t \exp(-c_{pa}A_t) + A_t(1 - \mu_a)] \exp(E_{3t}),$$

where  $L_t$  is the number of feeding larvae,  $P_t$  is the number of non-feeding larvae, pupae and callow adults, and  $A_t$  is the number of mature adults at time t. The population numbers are recorded every two weeks to equate to the feeding larval maturation cycle. The parameter b > 0 is the number of larval recruits per adult per unit of time when there is no cannibalism,  $\mu_l$  and  $\mu_a$  are the proportions of the larvae and adults that die from non-cannibalism causes,  $\exp(-c_{pa}A_t)$  is the survival probability of pupae when there are  $A_t$  adults, and  $\exp(-c_{ea}A_t)$ and  $\exp(-c_{el}L_t)$  are the probabilities that an egg is not eaten when there are  $A_t$  adults and  $L_t$  larvae, respectively. The terms  $E_{1t}$ ,  $E_{2t}$  and  $E_{3t}$  are the noise variables that follow a multivariate Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ .

For the model parameters, we set b = 7.48,  $c_{ea} = 0.009$ ,  $c_{pa} = 0.004$ ,  $c_{el} = 0.012$ ,  $\mu_p = 0$ , and  $\mu_l = 0.267$ , as used in Costantino et al. (1995). Also, we use  $\Sigma = 0.1^2 I_3$ , where  $I_3$  is the identity matrix of size 3. We compare the populations of two different regimes: 1) stable equilibrium at  $\mu_a = 0.73$  and 2) aperiodic oscillation at  $\mu_a = 0.96$ . The oscillation pattern could cause greater harm because sudden overpopulation could be difficult to predict (Pereira and de Mello, 2015). Figure 9a shows 100 weeks of simulated population data for a total of 240 weeks, recorded every two weeks.

Topological features of reconstructed time series can detect differences. Pereira and de Mello (2015) show that applying K-means clustering to the raw Tribolium population data is not successful in identifying the stable and aperiodic



(a) Tribolium adult population of aperiodic and stable regimes (b) Embedded point cloud data (c) Embedded point cloud data of aperiodic oscillation popula- of stable equilibrium population tion

Figure 9: Simulated Tribolium populations of aperiodic and stable regimes and their reconstructed point data in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  using  $\tau = 3$ .

regimes. We reconstruct the Tribolium adult population data using Takens' embedding theorem (Takens, 1981). Let the time series be  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ . For a given embedding dimension d and time delay  $\tau$ , Z can be reconstructed as  $Z^{\text{Takens}} = [Z_1 \ Z_2 \ \dots \ Z_N]^T$ , where  $Z_i = \{z_i, z_{i+\tau}, \dots, z_{i+(d-1)\tau}\}$ . As a result, the time series Z is reconstructed as point cloud data  $Z^{\text{Takens}}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Figures 9b and 9c show the reconstructed time series of the aperiodic oscillation and stable equilibrium regimes of Figure 9a when d = 2 and  $\tau = 3$ . We construct the Rips complexes using the point cloud data  $Z^{\text{Takens}}$  and compute persistent homology.

We conduct hypothesis tests to examine power and false positive rate. To investigate power, 40 Tribolium adult populations are generated; 20 from the stable equilibrium region and 20 from the aperiodic oscillation regime. The hypothesis test is conducted for the 40 populations. To compute the false positive rate, 40 populations are simulated from the aperiodic oscillation regime. The generated populations are randomly assigned to two groups of equal size and the hypothesis test is conducted between two groups. Similarly, we generate 40 populations from the stable equilibrium regime and conduct the hypothesis test. We repeat this procedure 100 times and a total of  $3 \times 100 \times 40 = 12,000$ populations are generated. The differences between two groups are compared

	Stable vs. Aperiodic	Aperiodic vs. Aperiodic	Stable vs. Stable		
	(Power)	(False Positive Rate)	(False Positive Rate)		
Two-stage	1.00	0.01	0.00		
PD	1.00	0.04	0.06		
PL	1.00	0.02	0.07		
Kernel	1.00	0.02	0.06		
DTW	1.00	0.03	0.03		

Table 3: Power and false positive rate of four hypothesis testing methods of Tribolium beetle population data.

with a loop in a reconstructed point cloud, so dimension-one persistent homology results are used for hypothesis testing. In the two-stage test, the persistence images of size 40 by 40 are generated using the Gaussian smoothing function with h = 3 and the arctangent weight. In the filtering and testing stages, we use C = 80% and the BH procedure. For additional comparison, we also conduct the permutation test using the dynamic time warping (DTW) distance between time series (Giorgino, 2009). For PD, PL, and DTW,  $N_P = 100$ ,  $N_P = 500$ , and  $N_P = 500$  are used, respectively.

Table 3 summarizes the false positive rates and powers of three scenarios. All hypothesis testing methods achieve high powers and low false positive rates. The hypothesis testing results suggest that the topological features of the embedded Tribolium adult population time series data can identify two regimes. Figure S9 in the supplementary material shows p-values of the two-stage hypothesis test between the stable and aperiodic regimes. The results suggest that the differences between the two regimes are due to 1) the number of small-sized loops and 2) the number of loops whose diameters are about 25.



Figure 10: 2D slice images of two sand packs. Pores and grains are drawn as white and black pixels. The red solid and the blue dotted box areas are two of the subregions that show large variabilities within the same rock image.

# 5 Application Study

#### 5.1 Sand Pack Image Data Analysis

As an example of the use of persistent homology for the analysis of real imaging data, we analyze two sand packs: F42 (unground silica, US Silica Company) and LV60 (Levenseat sand, WBB Minerals, UK). These sand pack datasets are obtained by nuclear magnetic resonance scans and Micro-CT imaging by Talabi et al. (2009). Each sand pack dataset includes two-samples: F42B and F42C and LV60A and LV60C. All sand pack images have  $300^3$  voxels with resolution 10.002  $\mu m$ . Table S2 in the supplementary material summarizes the sand pack data and Figure 10 shows their 2D slice images.

Both rock types have similar porosity (volume of pores divided by total volume), but different grain surface area. In Figure 10, we see that the unground silica sand pack has larger-sized and circular-shaped grains compared to the Levenseat sand pack. However, it is difficult to compare structural and connectivity differences from the summarized properties and the 3D images themselves.

We compute persistent homology to extract structural and connectivity information of sand pack data. First, we take 27 subregion images of size  $100^3$  from each sand pack sample. The persistence diagrams are converted into persistence images using the arctangent weight.

We conduct hypothesis tests on three sets of data: between unground silica (F42B and F42C), between Levenseat sand (LV60A and LV60C), and between unground silica and Levenseat sand (F42B and LV60A). For PD, we use  $N_P = 500$  permutations for dimension zero and two, and  $N_P = 100$  for dimension one due to the computation time of pairwise distances. Also, we use 1-Wasserstein distance as a pairwise distance. For two-stage test, persistence images are converted using the Gaussian smoothing function with h = 1.5, the arctangent weight, and filtering threshold C = 50%. For PL, we use  $N_P = 1,000$ . For Kernel, the arctangent weight, the Gaussian kernel of h = 1.5, and 1,000 bootstrap samples are used.

Table S3 in the supplementary material shows the hypothesis testing results. The four tests yield similar testing results; p-values are small in most cases. Figure 11 presents the p-values of two-stage hypothesis tests that show which structural and connectivity differences exist between the sand pack images.

For example, the hypothesis test using the dimension-zero persistence images between F42 rocks (top left of Figure 11) implies that connectivity of grains of size 10 and 5 differs the most. The dimension-one test result between F42 rocks (middle left of Figure 11) suggests that the number of pores of size 5 differs the most. Also, the tests between F42 and LV60 sand packs (right of Figure 11) have larger areas of small p-values than the same type of sand packs. This implies that various types of topological features account for differences between the two types of rocks.

We note that the small p-values for both methods in the same type of rocks might be due to the small subregion size. The subregion size  $100^3$  is relatively small to represent the overall rock sample structure. The sampled subregions may not have similar structures and connectivity, even when they are taken from the same rock sample. For example, we observe that subregions from the same rock sample may have large variabilities themselves in Figure 10b; the



Figure 11: p-values of two-stage hypothesis tests between F42 (first column), between LV60 (second column), and between F42 and LV60 (third column) for dimension-zero (first row), dimension-one (second row), dimension-two (third row) persistence images.

subregion of size 100 by 100 in the top-left corner (in the red solid line box) has smaller sized and more sparse grains than the bottom-right corner subregion (in the blue dashed line box).

#### 5.2 Musical Instrument Sound Data

We conduct hypothesis tests using sound data of two wind instruments, flute and clarinet. The sound data are available in the public repository (https: //github.com/MattO-Reilly/TDA-TimeSeriesAnalysis). For both instruments, note A4 at 44,100 Hz is used. The clarinet and flute sounds are recorded for 4.75 and 1.9 seconds, respectively, with a time unit of about 50 microseconds. Figures 12a, 12b, and 12c show about 0.05 seconds sampled sound of the two instruments and the corresponding reconstructed point cloud data.



(a) Clarinet and flute sound (b) Embedded clarinet sound waves data (c) Embedded flute sound data



(d) Mean frequency spectrum (e) p-values of two-stage test

Figure 12: Sound waves of clarinet and flute, embedded sound data, mean frequency spectrum, and p-values of two-stage hypothesis test between clarinet and flute sound data.

Three scenarios are tested: 1) clarinet and flute sound data, 2) between clarinet sounds, and 3) between flute sounds. For each scenario, we sample about 0.05 seconds of sound data (1,000 time units) from each group. For both instruments, the samples are taken after 0.5 seconds when the tones are stabilized. The sampling process is repeated 20 times. The sampled sound data are reconstructed by Takens' embedding as point clouds using d = 2 and  $\tau = 3$ . From the point cloud data, the Rips complexes are constructed and we compute persistent homology. We compare loops in point clouds and use the dimension-one persistence diagrams in the hypothesis tests. In the two-stage test, persistence images with the Gaussian smoothing function with h = 0.025are used. The other parameters are the same as used in Section 4.4.

Figure 12e presents the p-values of two-stage hypothesis test between clarinet and flute sound data. The result indicates that the number of small sized one-dimensional holes differs for the reconstructed sound data of the two instruments. The small-sized loops of the embedded point clouds are generated due to the amplitude fluctuations and high-frequency oscillations of the sound waves. For the note A4 data, the flute has a stronger high-frequency sound spectrum than the clarinet. Figure 12d shows the mean frequency spectrum of two instruments. The sound of the flute playing the A4 note has more high-amplitude peaks at high-frequencies than the sound of the clarinet.

The p-values of scenarios 2 and 3, between clarinet sounds and between flute sounds, are larger than that of scenario 1, between different instruments. The minimum p-values of two-stage hypothesis tests between flute sounds and between clarinet sounds are 0.992, and 0.968, respectively.

# 6 Conclusion

This paper proposes two-stage hypothesis test that consists of filtering and testing steps for the persistence image. The proposed approach enables better inference by 1) achieving higher power than existing methods while maintaining low false positive rate, 2) providing specific regions on the persistence images that contribute the most to any observed differences, and 3) implementing flexible weights to topological features. The simulation studies and real data analysis show that the proposed method performs well by comparing shapes of data represented by topological features.

In general, the computation times of the four hypothesis testing methods are not a significant issue in our experience. The only computational bottleneck is the pairwise distance computations used in Robins et al. (2016). This is because we use the Hungarian algorithm to compute the 1-Wasserstein distance that has  $O(n_f^3)$  time complexity. However, the computational cost could be significantly reduced by using the approximation algorithms for the Wasserstein and bottleneck distances (Kerber et al., 2017; Chen and Wang, 2021).

The proposed two-stage test is mainly applied to the persistence image in our study. However, it could be extended to other representations of persistence diagrams. For example, when the two-stage test is applied to the two-sample z-test using mean persistence landscapes proposed in Bubenik (2015), it may reduce the number of landscape points used in the test. We present the twostage hypothesis test algorithm for the persistence landscape in Algorithm S2 in the supplementary material. Also, the two-stage hypothesis test can be applied to discretized persistence landscapes and the Fourier features of kernels (Rahimi and Recht, 2007).

Several interesting developments and future topics still remain. First, the systematical methods to select the weights and parameters can be explored. The proposed method provides a flexible way to conduct a hypothesis test for persistent topological features by implementing weights and smoothing. The results in Figure 6b indicate that the weights play an important role in a successful result in revealing the differences between persistence diagrams. However, the weight selection process itself is still an unanswered question. Also, selecting the filter threshold C can be an issue. One of the disadvantages of the two-stage hypothesis testing is that the choice of the filtering threshold C could be subjective (Du and Zhang, 2014). We may try a data-driven greedy independent filtering procedure of Ignatiadis et al. (2016) that chooses the threshold that maximizes the number of discoveries among all possible candidates. Second, it

might be worth studying the potential dependence structures of persistence images. The dependence structure of pixels in persistence images could be different from dataset to dataset and can change after filtering. Although our simulation study suggests that the multiple testing adjustments work well under the independence assumption, it would be helpful to identify potential dependency under various settings.

# Supplementary Material

Supplementary material contains supplementary sections and figures.

# Data Availability

The code and data underlying this article are available in the GitHub repository at https://github.com/chulmoon/HT-VecPD.

### References

- Adams, H., Emerson, T., Kirby, M., Neville, R., Peterson, C., Shipman, P., Chepushtanova, S., Hanson, E., Motta, F., and Ziegelmeier, L. (2017), "Persistence Images: A Stable Vector Representation of Persistent Homology," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18, 1–35.
- Adcock, A., Carlsson, E., and Carlsson, G. (2016), "The ring of algebraic functions on persistence bar codes," *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 18, 381–402.
- Aguilar, A. and Ensor, K. (2020), "Topology data analysis using mean persistence landscapes in financial crashes," *Journal of Mathematical Finance*, 10, 648–678.
- Bendich, P., Chin, S. P., Clark, J., Desena, J., Harer, J., Munch, E., Newman, A., Porter, D., Rouse, D., Strawn, N., and Watkins, A. (2016a), "Topological

and statistical behavior classifiers for tracking applications," *IEEE Transac*tions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 52, 2644–2661.

- Bendich, P., Marron, J. S., Miller, E., Pieloch, A., and Skwerer, S. (2016b), "Persistent Homology Analysis of Brain Artery Trees," Annals of Applied Statistics, 10, 198–218.
- Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1995), "Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodological)*, 57, 289–300.
- Benjamini, Y. and Yekutieli, D. (2001), "The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency," *Annals of Statistics*, 29, 1165–1188.
- Bernabé, Y. and Bruderer, C. (1998), "Effect of the variance of pore size distribution on the transport properties of heterogeneous networks," *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 103, 513–525.
- Berry, E., Chen, Y.-C., Cisewski-Kehe, J., and Fasy, B. T. (2020), "Functional summaries of persistence diagrams," *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, 4, 211–262.
- Billard, L. and Diday, E. (2006), Symbolic Data Analysis : Conceptual Statistics and Data Mining, Wiley Series in Computational Statistics, John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Bourgon, R., Gentleman, R., and Huber, W. (2010), "Independent filtering increases detection power for high-throughput experiments," *Proceedings of* the National Academy of Sciences, 107, 9546–9551.
- Bubenik, P. (2015), "Statistical Topological Data Analysis Using Persistence Landscapes," Journal of Machine Learning Research, 16, 77–102.
- Cang, Z. and Wei, G.-W. (2017), "TopologyNet: Topology based deep convolutional and multi-task neural networks for biomolecular property predictions," *PLOS Computational Biology*, 13, 1–27.

- Carlsson, G. (2009), "Topology and data," Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 46, 255–308.
- Cericola, C., Johnson, I. J., Kiers, J., Krock, M., Purdy, J., and Torrence, J. (2018), "Extending hypothesis testing with persistent homology to three or more groups," *Involve: A Journal of Mathematic*, 11, 27–51.
- Chazal, F., Fasy, B., Lecci, F., Michel, B., Rinaldo, A., Rinaldo, A., and Wasserman, L. (2017), "Robust Topological Inference: Distance to a Measure and Kernel Distance," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18, 5845–5884.
- Chazal, F., Fasy, B. T., Lecci, F., Rinaldo, A., and Wasserman, L. (2014), "Stochastic convergence of persistence landscapes and silhouettes," *Proceed*ings of the thirtieth annual symposium on Computational geometry, 474–483.
- Chen, S. and Wang, Y. (2021), "Approximation algorithms for 1-Wasserstein distance between persistence diagrams," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.07710*.
- Chen, Y.-C., Wang, D., Rinaldo, A., and Wasserman, L. (2015), "Statistical Analysis of Persistence Intensity Functions," *arXiv e-prints*.
- Costantino, R., Cushing, J., Dennis, B., and Desharnais, R. A. (1995), "Experimentally induced transitions in the dynamic behaviour of insect populations," *Nature*, 375, 227–230.
- Costantino, R. F., Desharnais, R. A., Cushing, J. M., Dennis, B., Henson, S. M., and King, A. A. (2005), "Nonlinear stochastic population dynamics: the flour beetle Tribolium as an effective tool of discovery," Advances in Ecological Research, 37, 101–141.
- Dlotko, P. (2015), "Cubical complex," in *GUDHI User and Reference Manual*, GUDHI Editorial Board.
- Du, L. and Zhang, C. (2014), "Single-index modulated multiple testing," Annals of Statistics, 42, 1262–1311.

- Edelsbrunner, H. and Harer, J. (2008), "Persistent Homology a Survey," Contemporary mathematics, 453, 257–282, surveys on Discrete and Computational Geometry: Twenty Years Later. Providence, IMS.
- Edelsbrunner, H. and Harer, J. L. (2022), *Computational topology: an introduction*, American Mathematical Society.
- Fan, J., Han, X., and Gu, W. (2012), "Estimating False Discovery Proportion Under Arbitrary Covariance Dependence," *Journal of the American Statisti*cal Association, 107, 1019–1035, pMID: 24729644.
- Fasy, B. T., Lecci, F., Rinaldo, A., Wasserman, L., Balakrishnan, S., and Singh, A. (2014), "Confidence sets for persistence diagrams," *Annals of Statistics*, 42, 2301–2339.
- Genovese, C. R., Perone-Pacifico, M., Verdinelli, I., and Wasserman, L. (2012), "Manifold estimation and singular deconvolution under Hausdorff loss," Annals of Statistics, 40, 941–963.
- Gioia, F. and Lauro, C. N. (2005), "Basic statistical methods for interval data," Statistica applicata, 17, 75–104.
- Giorgino, T. (2009), "Computing and visualizing dynamic time warping alignments in R: the dtw package," *Journal of statistical Software*, 31, 1–24.
- Gretton, A., Borgwardt, K. M., Rasch, M., Schölkopf, B., and Smola, A. J. (2006), "A kernel method for the two-sample problem," *Proceedings of the* 19th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 513–520.
- Gretton, A., Borgwardt, K. M., Rasch, M. J., Schölkopf, B., and Smola, A. (2012), "A Kernel Two-sample Test," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 13, 723–773.
- Guo, W. and Romano, J. P. (2017), "Analysis of error control in large scale two-stage multiple hypothesis testing," *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1703.06336.

- Hackstadt, A. J. and Hess, A. M. (2009), "Filtering for increased power for microarray data analysis," *BMC bioinformatics*, 10.
- Herring, A. L., Robins, V., and Sheppard, A. P. (2019), "Topological persistence for relating microstructure and capillary fluid trapping in sandstones," *Water Resources Research*, 55, 555–573.
- Hiraoka, Y., Nakamura, T., Hirata, A., Escolar, E. G., Matsue, K., and Nishiura, Y. (2016), "Hierarchical structures of amorphous solids characterized by persistent homology," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, 7035–7040.
- Hochberg, Y. and Tamhane, A. C. (1987), Multiple Comparison Procedures, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Hommel, J., Coltman, E., and Class, H. (2018), "Porosity–Permeability Relations for Evolving Pore Space: A Review with a Focus on (Bio-)geochemically Altered Porous Media," *Transport in Porous Media*.
- Ignatiadis, N., Klaus, B., Zaugg, J., and Huber, W. (2016), "Data-driven hypothesis weighting increases detection power in big data analytics," *Nature Methods*, 13, 577–580.
- "Pore Imperial College London Scale Modelling (2015),Micro-CT images and networks," Retrieved from group: http://www.imperial.ac.uk/engineering/departments/earthscience/research/research-groups/perm/research/pore-scalemodelling/micro-ct-images-and-networks.
- Jiang, F., Tsuji, T., and Shirai, T. (2018), "Pore geometry characterization by persistent homology theory," Water Resources Research, 54, 4150–4163.
- Kališnik, S. (2019), "Tropical coordinates on the space of persistence barcodes," Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 19, 101–129.

- Kerber, M., Morozov, D., and Nigmetov, A. (2017), "Geometry helps to compare persistence diagrams," *Journal of Experimental Algorithmics*, 22, 1–20.
- Kim, K. I. and van de Wiel, M. A. (2008), "Effects of dependence in highdimensional multiple testing problems," *BMC bioinformatics*, 9, 114.
- Kimura, M., Obayashi, I., Takeichi, Y., Murao, R., and Hiraoka, Y. (2018), "Non-empirical identification of trigger sites in heterogeneous processes using persistent homology," *Scientific reports*, 8, 1–9.
- Kooperberg, C. and LeBlanc, M. (2008), "Increasing the power of identifying gene x gene interactions in genome-wide association studies," *Genetic Epidemiology*, 32, 255–263.
- Kovacev-Nikolic, V., Bubenik, P., Nikolić, D., and Heo, G. (2016), "Using persistent homology and dynamical distances to analyze protein binding," Statistical applications in genetics and molecular biology, 15, 19–38.
- Kusano, G. (2019), "On the expectation of a persistence diagram by the persistence weighted kernel," Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 36, 861–892.
- Kusano, G., Fukumizu, K., and Hiraoka, Y. (2017), "Kernel method for persistence diagrams via kernel embedding and weight factor," *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18, 6947–6987.
- Kwitt, R., Huber, S., Niethammer, M., Lin, W., and Bauer, U. (2015), "Statistical topological data analysis-a kernel perspective," Advances in neural information processing systems, 28.
- Lawson, P., Sholl, A., Brown, J., Fasy, B. T., and Wenk, C. (2019), "Persistent homology for the quantitative evaluation of architectural features in prostate cancer histology," *Scientific Reports*, 9, 1139.

- Maroulas, V., Nasrin, F., and Oballe, C. (2020), "A Bayesian framework for persistent homology," SIAM Journal on Mathematics of Data Science, 2, 48–74.
- Marron, J. S. and Alonso, A. M. (2014), "Overview of object oriented data analysis," *Biometrical Journal*, 56, 732–753.
- Mason, L. J. and McDonough, M. (2012), "Biology, behavior, and ecology of stored grain and legume insects," *Stored product protection*, 1.
- McClintick, J. N. and Edenberg, H. J. (2006), "Effects of filtering by present call on analysis of microarray experiments," *BMC bioinformatics*, 7.
- Mieth, B., Kloft, M., Rodríguez, J. A., Sonnenburg, S., Vobruba, R., Morcillo-Suárez, C., Farré, X., Marigorta, U. M., Fehr, E., Dickhaus, T., Blanchard, G., Schunk, D., Navarro, A., and Müller, K.-R. (2016), "Combining multiple hypothesis testing with machine learning increases the statistical power of genome-wide association studies," *Scientific Reports*, 6.
- Monod, A., Kalisnik, S., Patino-Galindo, J. Á., and Crawford, L. (2019), "Tropical sufficient statistics for persistent homology," SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry, 3, 337–371.
- Moon, C., Li, Q., and Xiao, G. (2020), "Using persistent homology topological features to characterize medical images: case studies on lung and brain cancers," *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2012.12102.
- Moon, C., Mitchell, S. A., Heath, J. E., and Andrew, M. (2019), "Statistical inference over persistent homology predicts fluid flow in porous media," *Water Resources Research*, 55, 9592–9603.
- Murcray, C. E., Lewinger, J. P., and Gauderman, W. J. (2008), "Geneenvironment interaction in genome-wide association studies," *American Jour*nal of Epidemiology, 169, 219–226.

- Nakamura, T., Hiraoka, Y., Hirata, A., Escolar, E. G., and Nishiura, Y. (2015), "Persistent Homology and Many-Body Atomic Structure for Medium-Range Order in the Glass," *Nanotechnology*, 26.
- Obayashi, I., Hiraoka, Y., and Kimura, M. (2018), "Persistence diagrams with linear machine learning models," *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, 1, 421–449.
- Pereira, C. M. and de Mello, R. F. (2015), "Persistent homology for time series and spatial data clustering," *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42, 6026– 6038.
- Rahimi, A. and Recht, B. (2007), "Random features for large-scale kernel machines," Advances in neural information processing systems, 20.
- Ramsay, J. and Silverman, B. W. (2005), Functional Data Analysis, New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.
- Reininghaus, J., Huber, S. M., Bauer, U., and Kwitt, R. (2015), "A stable multi-scale kernel for topological machine learning," 2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 4741–4748.
- Robins, V., Saadatfar, M., Delgado-Friedrichs, O., and Sheppard, A. P. (2016),
  "Percolating length scales from topological persistence analysis of micro-CT images of porous materials," *Water Resources Research*, 52, 315–329.
- Robins, V. and Turner, K. (2016), "Principal component analysis of persistent homology rank functions with case studies of spatial point patterns, sphere packing and colloids," *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 334, 99–117.
- Robinson, A. and Turner, K. (2017), "Hypothesis testing for topological data analysis," *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, 1, 241–261.
- Sauerwald, N., Shen, Y., and Kingsford, C. (2019), "Topological data analysis reveals principles of chromosome structure in cellular differentiation," in

19th International Workshop on Algorithms in Bioinformatics (WABI 2019), Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.

- Stevens, J. R., Al Masud, A., and Suyundikov, A. (2017), "A comparison of multiple testing adjustment methods with block-correlation positively-dependent tests," *PLOS ONE*, 12, 1–12.
- Storey, J. D. (2002), "A direct approach to false discovery rates," Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 64, 479–498.
- Storey, J. D., Taylor, J. E., and Siegmund, D. (2004), "Strong control, conservative point estimation and simultaneous conservative consistency of false discovery rates: a unified approach," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 66, 187–205.
- Takens, F. (1981), "Detecting strange attractors in turbulence," in Dynamical systems and turbulence, Warwick 1980, Springer, pp. 366–381.
- Talabi, O., AlSayari, S., Iglauer, S., and Blunt, M. J. (2009), "Pore-scale simulation of NMR response," *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 67, 168–178.
- Townsend, J., Micucci, C. P., Hymel, J. H., Maroulas, V., and Vogiatzis, K. D. (2020), "Representation of molecular structures with persistent homology for machine learning applications in chemistry," *Nature Communications*, 11, 3230.
- Tritchler, D., Parkhomenko, E., and Beyene, J. (2009), "Filtering Genes for Cluster and Network Analysis," BMC Bioinformatics, 10.
- Vejdemo-Johansson, M. and Mukherjee, S. (2018), "Multiple testing with persistent homology," arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1803.08269.
- Verheggen, F., Ryne, C., Olsson, P.-O., Arnaud, L., Lognay, G., Högberg, H.-E., Persson, D., Haubruge, E., and Löfstedt, C. (2007), "Electrophysiological

and behavioral activity of secondary metabolites in the confused flour beetle, Tribolium confusum," *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 33, 525–539.

Xiong, Q., Baychev, T. G., and Jivkov, A. P. (2016), "Review of pore network modelling of porous media: Experimental characterisations, network constructions and applications to reactive transport," *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 192, 101 – 117.