On $p$-adic cascade equations of hydrodynamic type in modeling fully developed turbulence
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Abstract

A $p$-adic hydrodynamic type equation with two integrals of motion is proposed. This equation can be considered as a model cascade equation for energy dissipation in fully developed turbulence. It is shown that with a certain choice of functions that determine the structure of the proposed equation, it goes over to shell-type model equations with a link of $s$ nearest modes. The model equations are presented for the cases $s = 2$ and $s = 3$ and it is shown that they have stationary solutions that correspond to the $2/3$ Kolmogorov-Obukhov law. Possible further studies of the proposed model are discussed.
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1 Introduction

$p$-Adic analysis is an adequate tool for describing systems that have an explicit or hidden hierarchical structure. Over the past 3 decades, the $p$-adic analysis apparatus has been actively used to model a different class of systems, such as spin glasses, proteins, models of the genetic code, dynamic systems, socio-economic systems, etc., (for a review see [1]). One of the applications of $p$-adic analysis is ultrametric modeling of cascade processes of energy dissipation in fully developed turbulence, outlined in [2] [3]. The main idea of work was [2] to consider the equations of the hierarchical cascade model in ultrametric
space, implemented by the field of $p$-adic numbers. In this case, such a property of fully developed turbulence as multifractality is naturally ensured, and it is possible to obtain the $2/3$ Kolmogorov-Obukhov law \cite{4,5,6}. A further modification of the nonlinear ultrametric equation introduced in \cite{2} was proposed in work \cite{3}. It was found that, using the ultrametric wavelet analysis, one can get a family of exact solutions for this modified equation.

The equations proposed in \cite{2,3} can be considered as some approximations of the Navier-Stokes equations written in some basis, after truncing a number of modes and after a possible $p$-adic parameterization. Note that the question of the existence of integrals of motion of the proposed equations was not discussed in works \cite{2,3}. Nevertheless, the Navier-Stokes equations in the absence of dissipation and external forces have two integrals of motion – energy and hydrodynamic helicity (or enstrophy in the 2d case). For this reason, $p$-adic equations, claiming a possible description of cascade processes of energy dissipation in fully developed turbulence, must have the structure of equations of hydrodynamic type with two integrals of motion. Recall that the term "systems of hydrodynamic type" was introduced by Obukhov \cite{7} for quadratically non-linear systems that, while keeping only homogeneous terms, satisfy the following conditions: regularity (conservation of phase volume) and the existence of at least one quadratic integral of motion. The first hierarchical models of the hydrodynamic type with one integral of motion, which describes the cascade process of energy dissipation and gives the $2/3$ law, was proposed by Obukhov \cite{7,8,9} and Desnyanskii and Novikov \cite{10}. A hierarchical model of a hydrodynamic type with two integrals of motion was first proposed by Gledzer \cite{11} (see also \cite{12,13}), then developed by Ohkitani and Yamada \cite{14,15} and is now called the GOY model (see, for example, \cite{16,17,18,19}). All these models belong to the class of the so-called shell models. The basic idea behind shell models is to divide the spectral space of the velocity field into concentric spheres of growing radii $k_i = k_0 \lambda^i$, where $\lambda$ is the parameter characterizing the ratio of the characteristic scales of turbulent eddies. The set of mods contained in a single sphere of fixed radius is called the shell. In shell models only a few mods are retained in each shell. The equations for the mode components of the velocity field are a system of hydrodynamic type with two integrals of motion containing only terms that link only $s$ of the nearest modes. In what follows, we will call the quantity $s$ the level interactions range. For Obukhov model and Desnyanskii and Novikov model the value $s = 1$, while for GOY model $s = 2$.

In this article, we propose a general $p$-adic model that has the structure of a hydrodynamic type system with two integrals of motion. In Section 2, we present the general form of the equations of this model. In Section 3, we show that with a special choice of functions contained in the general equation of the $p$-adic model, this model is a shell model with an arbitrary value of level interactions range. In this case we specify the equations of model for the cases $s = 2$ and $s = 3$ and show that for certain relations between the parameters the equation of the model has a stationary solution corresponding to the $2/3$ law.
2  \textit{p-Adic hydrodynamic type equation with two integrals of motion}

Let us consider the Navier-Stokes equation for an incompressible viscous fluid, formally written in the form in which the term with pressure is excluded:

\[
\partial_t v_i = -v_j \partial_j v_i + (\partial_t \partial_t)^{-1} \partial_i ((\partial_j v_k) (\partial_k v_j)) + \nu \partial_i \partial_t v_i + (\delta_{ij} - (\partial_i \partial_t)^{-1} \partial_j) f_j, \quad \partial_j v_j = 0. \tag{1}
\]

In Eq. \((1)\) \(i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3, v_i = (x, t)\) is the velocity field, \(\nu\) is the kinematic viscosity, \(f_i\) is the density of external forces and and summation over repeated indices is assumed. We assume that there exists a certain countable orthonormal basis of vector functions \(\{e_a^{(i)}\}\),

\[
\int d^3r e_a^{(i)} e_b^{(i)} = \delta_{ab} \quad (a, b \text{ are multi-indices})
\]

such that \(\partial_i e_a^{(i)} = 0\). To simplify the notation, we consider the functions \(\{e_a^{(i)}\}\) to be real. Then, by decomposing the functions \(v_i\) and \(f_i\) into a sum over a set of basis functions \(\{e_a^{(i)}\}\)

\[
v_i = \sum_a v_a e_a^{(i)}, \quad f_i = \sum_a f_a e_a^{(i)}.
\]

we can write the Eq. \((1)\) in the form of a system of linked equations

\[
\dot{v}_a = \sum_{b,c} K_{abc} v_b v_c - \sum_j \nu_{ab} v_b + \sum_j \phi_{ab} f_b. \tag{2}
\]

In the absence of viscosity and external forces, the energy per unit mass \(E = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x v^2\) and the hydrodynamic helicity \(F = \int d^3x \varepsilon_{ijk} v_i \partial_j v_k\) are the integrals of the motion of the Eq. \((1)\). Therefore, the Eqs. \((2)\) for \(\nu = 0\) and \(f_a = 0\) must also have two integrals of motion, which are

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a v_a^2, \tag{3}
\]

and

\[
F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} f_{ab} v_a v_b, \quad f_{ab} = \int d^3x \varepsilon_{ijk} e_a^{(i)} \partial_j e_b^{(k)}. \tag{4}
\]

In this case Eqs. \((2)\) are a system of hydrodynamic type with two integrals of motion and its most general form is

\[
\dot{v}_a = \sum_{b,c,d} \varepsilon_{abcd} f_{dc} v_b v_c - \sum_b \nu_{ab} v_b + \sum_b \phi_{ab} f_b, \tag{5}
\]

where \(f_{ab} = f_{ab}\) and \(\varepsilon_{abc}\) is totally anti-symmetric under the exchange of indices.
Next, we represent the \( p \)-adic parameterization of Eqs. (5). First, recall the definition of \( p \)-adic number. Let \( \mathbb{Q} \) be a field of rational numbers and let \( p \) be a fixed prime number. Any rational number \( x \neq 0 \) is uniquely represented as

\[
x = \pm p^n \frac{a}{b},
\]

where \( n \) is an integer, and \( a, b \) are natural numbers that are not divisible by \( p \) and have no common multipliers. The \( p \)-adic norm \( |x|_p \) of number \( x \in \mathbb{Q} \) is defined by the equalities \( |x|_p = p^{-n}, \ |0|_p = 0 \). The field of \( p \)-adic numbers \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) is defined as a completion of the field of rational numbers \( \mathbb{Q} \) by \( p \)-adic norm \( |x|_p \). Any \( p \)-adic number can be represented as a series converging by \( p \)-adic norm:

\[
x = p^{-n} (a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + \ldots),
\]

The norm on \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) induces the metric \( d(x, y) = |x - y|_p \) which is ultrametric, i.e. satisfies the strong triangle inequality \( \forall x, y, z \ d(x, y) \leq \max \{d(y, z), d(x, z)\} \). We will denote:

\[
B_i(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - a|_p \leq p^i\} - \text{a ball of radius } p^i \text{ centered at point } a,
\]

\[
S_i(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - a|_p = p^i\} - \text{a sphere of radius } p^i \text{ centered at point } a, B_i \equiv B_i(0), S_i \equiv S_i(a), \mathbb{Z}_p \equiv B_0.
\]

On \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) there exists a unique (up to a factor) Haar measure \( d_p x \) which is invariant with respect to translations \( d_p (x + a) = d_p x \). We assume that \( d_p x \) is a full measure; that is,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} d_p x = 1.
\]

Under this hypothesis the measure \( d_p x \) is unique. For more information about \( p \)-adic numbers, \( p \)-adic analysis and its applications, see [20] [21].

For what follows, we also define the class \( W^{\alpha}_l \) (\( \alpha \geq 0 \)) of complex functions \( f(x) \) on \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) satisfying the following conditions:

1) \( |\varphi(x)| \leq C \left( 1 + |x|^\alpha_0 \right) \), where \( C \) is a real positive number;

2) there exists a natural number \( l \) such that \( \varphi(x + x') = \varphi(x) \) for any \( x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \) and any \( x' \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \ |x'|_p \leq p^l \). A function \( \varphi(x) \) satisfying such condition is called locally constant, and the number \( l \) is called the exponent of local constancy of a function.

For our purposes, we can assume that the number \( p \) is a natural number \( p = m > 2 \). In this case \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) is a ring of \( m \)-adic numbers \( \mathbb{Q}_m \) with the pseudonorm \( |x|_m \), which also induces on \( \mathbb{Q}_m \) the ultrametrics \( d(x, y) = |x - y|_p \) [22].

Let \( v(x, t) \) be a function on \( \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{R} \). We consider the equation of the following structure

\[
\frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t} = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} d_p y \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} d_p z \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} d_p z' \in (x, y, z') h(z', z) v(y, t) v(z, t) - \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} d_p y \nu(x, y) v(y, t) + f(x), \quad (8)
\]
where \( \varepsilon(x, y, z) \) is a completely antisymmetric function \( \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and \( h(x, y) \) is a symmetric function \( \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \). The Eq. (8) with \( \lambda(x, y) = 0 \), \( f(x) = 0 \) has two integrals of motion:

\[
E = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} d_p x v^2(x, t), \quad H = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} d_p x v(x, t) v(y, t).
\]  

(9)

The equation (8) is a general \( p \)-adic equation of hydrodynamic type with two integrals of motion. We consider special cases of this equation in the next section.

3 \( p \)-Adic cascade equations on \( B_r \)

The study of the properties of the model based on the Eq. (8) is related to the choice of functions \( \varepsilon(x, y, z) \), \( h(x, y) \), \( \lambda(x, y) \), \( f(x) \). Here we make a simple choice based on a number of the following assumptions. First, we will assume that \( v(x, t) \) is a function on \( B_r \times \mathbb{R} \) rather than on \( \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{R} \). Then the points \( x \in B_r \subset \mathbb{Q}_p \) parameterize the velocity field modes. Further, we assume that for \( y \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) the points \( x \) and \( x + y \) parameterize the same mode of the velocity field, thus the modes are parameterized by \( p \)-adic balls of unit radius in \( B_r \) or, equivalently, by points in \( B_r / \mathbb{Z}_p \). Further, we assume that each mode corresponds to a turbulent eddies and the scale \( l_i \) of the eddies corresponding to any unit ball in \( S_i \) is determined by the radius of \( S_i \): \( l_i = l_0 p^{-i} \), where \( l_0 \) is the largest scale of the cascade. In this case, the modes corresponding to eddies of the same scale \( l_i \) give the same contribution to energy and hydrodynamic helicity.

Further, we assume that any eddy of \( l_i \) can interact only with eddies of scales \( l_{i-s} \), \( \ldots \), \( l_{i-1} \), \( l_{i+1} \), \ldots , \( l_{i+s} \), where the integer number \( s \geq 2 \) is the level interactions range. Moreover, the function \( \varepsilon(x, y, z) \) in the Eq. (8), which determines this interaction, has the form

\[
\varepsilon(x, y, z) = m(x) m(y) m(z) \sigma(x, y) \sigma(y, z) \sigma(z, x),
\]

\[
m(x) = m \left( |x|_p \right) \in W^0_\alpha(B_r),
\]

\[
\sigma(x, y) = \sigma_s \left( |x|_p, |y|_p \right)
\]

\[
= |x - y|^{-\alpha} \left( \Omega \left( \frac{|x|}{|y|_p} p^{-s} \right) \Omega \left( \frac{|y|}{|x|_p} p^{-s} \right) - \Omega \left( \frac{|y|}{|x|_p} p^{-s} \right) \Omega \left( \frac{|x|}{|y|_p} p^{-s} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
|x|^{\alpha}, & |x|_p = p^i |y|_p, \quad i = 1, \ldots, s \\
-|y|^{\alpha}, & |x|_p = p^{-i} |y|_p, \quad i = 1, \ldots, s \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
where

$$\Omega \left( |x|_p p^{-i} \right) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x|_p \leq p^i \\ 0, & |x|_p > p^i \end{cases},$$

and $\alpha$ is some real parameter. We also assume that all other functions in the Eq. (3) are local and depend only on the $p$-adic norm: $h(x, y) = h\left(|x|_p, |y|_p\right)$, $\nu(x, y) = \nu\left(|x|_p\right) \delta(x - y)$, $f(x) = f\left(|x|_p\right)$. Moreover, functions $\nu\left(|x|_p\right)$, $f\left(|x|_p\right)$ belong to the class $W^0_0(B_r)$ and $h\left(|x|_p, |y|_p\right) \in W^0_0(B_r) \times W^0_0(B_r)$.

In what follows, it will be convenient for us to redefine the measure $d_p x$. Namely, we put

$$d_p x \rightarrow d\mu(x) = \left( \Omega\left(|x|_p\right) \left(1 - p^{-1}\right) + \left(1 - \Omega\left(|x|_p\right)\right) \right) d_p x.$$

Within the framework of the assumptions made, the Eq. (3) has the form

$$\frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t} = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \nu\left(|x|_p\right) v\left(|y|_p, t\right) h\left(|y|_p, \Omega\left(|x|_p\right) v\left(|z|_p, t\right) S\left(|x|_p, |y|_p\right) \times m\left(|y|_p\right) m\left(|z|_p\right)\right) \times h\left(|z|_p, \Omega\left(|x|_p\right) v\left(|z|_p, t\right) S\left(|z|_p, |x|_p\right) \times m\left(|x|_p\right) m\left(|z|_p\right)\right)$$

$$- \nu\left(|x|_p\right) v(x, t) + f\left(|x|_p\right). \quad (10)$$

The Cauchy problem for the Eq. (10) can be defined in the class of functions $v(x, t) = v\left(|x|_p, t\right)$ from $W^0_{0, \text{norm}}(B_r) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $W^0_{0, \text{norm}}(B_r)$ is the intersection of the class $W^0_0(B_r)$ with the class of functions that depend only on the norm $|x|_p$.

Let $e_i(x) = \Omega\left(|x|_p, p^{-i}\right) - \Omega\left(|x|_p, p^{-i+1}\right)$ be the characteristic function of the sphere $S_i$, $i > 0$ and $e_0(x) = \Omega\left(|x|_p\right)$ be the characteristic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let us expand the functions $v(x)$, $h\left(|x|_p\right)$, $S\left(|x|_p, |y|_p\right)$, $m\left(|x|_p\right)$, $f\left(|x|_p\right)$ in basis $\{e_0(x), e_1(x), \ldots, e_n(x)\}$

$$v(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} V_i e_i(x),$$

$$h\left(|x|_p, |y|_p\right) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{r} h_{ij} e_i(x) e_j(y), \quad m\left(|x|_p\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} m_i e_i(x),$$

$$\nu\left(|x|_p\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \nu_i e_i(x), \quad f\left(|x|_p\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} f_i e_i(x),$$
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\[ S \left( |x|_p, |y|_p \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \sum_{j=i-s}^{i-1} p^{-\alpha_i} e_i(x)e_j(y) - \sum_{i=0}^{r} \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+s} p^{-\alpha_j} e_i(x)e_j(y), \]

and we accept that \( e_i \equiv 0 \) for \( i < 0 \) and \( i > r \).

First, we consider the case when the second integral of motion is diagonal: \( h_{ij} = h_i \delta_{i,j} \). Then it can be shown that the Eq. (10) is equivalent to the following system of equations for \( V_i \):

\[ \dot{V}_i = h_0 \left( 1 - p^{-1} \right)^2 p^{(3\beta + \gamma + 2)i} \]

\[ \times \sum_{j,k,l=0}^{2s} \theta_{s,j} \theta_{s,k} \theta_{s,l} \delta_{j+k+l,3s} p^{-\alpha (\max\{s,j\} + \max\{s,k\} + \max\{s,l\})} \]

\[ \times m_i m_{i+j-s} m_{i-k+s} p^{i-k} V_{i+j-s} h_{i-k+s} V_{i-k+s} \]

\[ - \nu_i V_i + f_i, \quad (11) \]

where

\[ \theta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & i > j \\ -1, & i < j \\ 0, & i = j \end{cases} \]

and here and below it is accepted that \( V_i \equiv 0 \) for \( i < 0 \) and \( i > r \). The Eqs. (11) have two integrals of motion:

\[ E = \sum_{i=0}^{r} E_i, \quad E_i = \left( 1 - p^{-1} \right) p^i V_i^2, \quad (12) \]

\[ H = \sum_{i=0}^{r} H_i, \quad H_i = \left( 1 - p^{-1} \right) p^i h_i V_i^2 \quad (13) \]

Note that the factor \((1 - p^{-1}) p^i\) in (13) appears because the \( p \)-adic sphere of radius \( p^i \) \((i > 0)\) is a union of \((1 - p^{-1}) p^i \) \( p \)-adic balls of unit radius. Physically, this means that at the hierarchical level \( i \), there is \((1 - p^{-1}) p^i \) self-similar eddies, each of which corresponds to a some \( p \)-adic unit ball. The velocity component for each such eddy is equal to \( V_i \) and therefore the total contribution of all such eddies to integrals of motion is proportional to the factor \((1 - p^{-1}) p^i V_i^2\).

It is easy to verify that when choosing \( m \left( |x|_p \right) = m_0 |x|_p^\beta, \ h \left( |x|_p \right) = h_0 |x|_p^\gamma \) and with \( s = 2, \alpha = 0 \) the Eqs. (11) go over to the equations...
\[ \dot{V}_i = h_0 m_0^3 (1 - p^{-1})^2 p^{(3\beta+\gamma+2)i} \]
\[ \times (p^{3\beta+3} (p^{\gamma} - p^{2\gamma}) V_{i+1} V_{i+2} + (p^{\gamma} - p^{-\gamma}) V_{i-1} V_{i+1} + p^{-3\beta-3} (p^{-2\gamma} - p^{-\gamma}) V_{i-2} V_{i-1}) \]
\[ - \nu_i V_i + f_i. \]  

Note that the Eqs. (14) do not coincide with the equations of the GOY model, which have the following general form (see, for example, [16, 17, 18, 19]):

\[ \dot{V}_i = a\lambda^i \left( V_{i+1} V - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} V_{i-1} V_{i+1} + \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\lambda^2} V_{i-2} V_{i-1} \right) - \nu_i V_i + f_i \]  

(here \( \lambda > 1, \varepsilon, a \) are parameters of model), since the integrals of motion (12) of Eqs. (14) are different in form from the integrals of motion of Eqs. (15):

\[ E = \sum_i V_i^2, \quad H = \sum_i (\varepsilon - 1)^{-i} V_i^2. \]

When choosing

\[ \nu_i = \nu_{r-1} \delta_{i,r-1} + \nu_r \delta_{i,r}, \quad f_i = f_0 \delta_{i,0} + f_1 \delta_{i,1} \]

the system of Eqs. (14) has a stationary solution

\[ V_i = c_{i \text{mod} 3} p^{-\frac{3}{2}i} \]

if one of the conditions (18)

\[ \gamma = -3\beta - \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \gamma = -\frac{3}{2} \beta - \frac{1}{4} \]

is satisfied. The stationary solution (17) leads to the following formula for the total energy of the modes of the \( i \)-th level

\[ E_i \sim p^i V_i^2 \sim p^{-\frac{3}{2}i}, \]

which corresponds to the 2/3 law. The choice (16) means that energy from external forces is transferred only to eddies of two highest scales, while the dissipation of energy into heat occurs only from eddies of two lowest scales. In this case, the constants \( c_0, c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) in (17) must be consistent with the coefficients \( \nu_{r-1}, \nu_r, f_0 \) and \( f_1 \) to ensure energy balance.

We now consider the Eqs. (11) when choosing \( s = 3 \) and \( \alpha = 0 \). In this case, the Eqs. (11) have the form

\[ \dot{V}_i = h_0 m_0^3 (1 - p^{-1})^2 p^{(3\beta+\gamma+2)i} \]
\[ \times (p^{5\beta+5} (p^{3\gamma} - p^{2\gamma}) V_{i+3} V_{i+2} + p^{4\beta+4} (p^{3\gamma} - p^{\gamma}) V_{i+3} V_{i+1} \]
+ p^{3\beta+3} (p^{2\gamma} - p^\gamma) V_{i+2} V_{i+1} + p^{\beta+1} (p^{-\gamma} - p^{2\gamma}) V_{i-1} V_{i+2} \\
+ (p^{-\gamma} - p^\gamma) V_{i-1} V_{i+1} + p^{-\beta-1} (p^{-2\gamma} - p^\gamma) V_{i-2} V_{i+1} \\
+ p^{-3\beta-3} (p^{-\gamma} - p^{-2\gamma}) V_{i-1} V_{i-2} + p^{-4\beta-4} (p^{-\gamma} - p^{-3\gamma}) V_{i-1} V_{i-3} \\
+ p^{-5\beta-5} (p^{-2\gamma} - p^{-3\gamma}) V_{i-2} V_{i-3} \\
- \nu_i V_i + f_i,$

(19)

It can be shown that if the parameters $\beta$ and $\gamma$ must be connected either by one of the relations (18), then the Eqs. (19) also has a stationary solution

$$V_i = c_i \mod 4 p^{-\frac{1}{4} i},$$

which corresponds to the 2/3 law. In this case, it is necessary to put $\nu_i = \nu_{r-2} \delta_{i,r-2} + \nu_{r-1} \delta_{i,r-1} + \nu_r \delta_{i,r}$ and $f_i = f_0 \delta_{i,0} + f_1 \delta_{i,1} + f_3 \delta_{i,3}$ and ensure that the constants $c_0$, $c_1$, $c_2$ and $c_3$ are consistent with the coefficients $\nu_{r-2}$, $\nu_{r-1}$, $\nu_r$, $f_0$, $f_1$ and $f_3$.

In conclusion, we present the case of a model with an off-diagonal second integral of motion $H$. The following choice

$$h(|x|, |y|) = \frac{1}{2} h_0 x^\gamma \left( \Omega \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) p^{-1} \right) \Omega \left( \frac{y}{x} p \right) \Omega \left( \frac{|y|}{|x|} \right) \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} h_0 \sum_{i=0}^r p^{\gamma i} \left( c_i (x) e_{i-1} (y) + c_i (y) e_{i-1} (x) \right)$$

corresponds to the following expression for $H$:

$$H = h_0 p^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^r p^{(\gamma + 2) i} V_i V_{i-1}.$$

In this case, from (10) we get the equations

$$\dot{V}_i = h_0 (1 - p^{-1})^3 p^{(3\beta+\gamma+3) i}$$

$$\times \sum_{j,k,t=0}^{2s} \theta_{s,j} \theta_{s,k} \theta_{s,t} \delta_{j+k+l,3s} p^{-\alpha (\max\{s,j\} + \max\{s,k\} + \max\{s,t\})}$$

$$\times m_i m_{i+j} m_{i-k} m_{i+s} p^{-k} V_{i+j} V_{i-k} V_{i+s} p^{(\gamma+1) (-k+s)} \left( p^{-1} V_{i-k+s-1} + p^{\gamma+1} V_{i-k+s+1} \right)$$
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\[-\nu_i V_i + f_i,\]

which in the case \( s = 2 \) have the form

\[
\dot{V}_i = h_0 m_0^3 (1 - p^{-1})^3 p^{(3\beta + \gamma + 3)i} \\
\times \left[ p^{-3\beta - 3} (p^{-\gamma - 2} V_{i-2} V_{i-2} + V_{i-2} V_i - p^{-2\gamma - 3} V_{i-1} V_{i-3} - p^{-\gamma - 1} V_{i-1} V_{i-1}) \\
+ p^{-\gamma - 2} V_{i+1} V_{i-2} + V_{i+1} V_i - p^\gamma V_{i-1} V_i - p^{2\gamma + 2} V_{i-1} V_{i+2} \\
+ p^{3\beta + 3} (p^{2\gamma + 1} V_{i+1} V_{i+1} + p^{3\gamma + 3} V_{i+1} V_{i+3} - p^\gamma V_{i+2} V_i - p^{2\gamma + 2} V_{i+2} V_{i+2}) \right] \\
- \nu_i V_i + f_i. \tag{20}
\]

It can be shown that a stationary solution of equations (20) corresponding to the 2/3 law exists for \( \gamma = -1 \) and all values of \( \beta \), as well as for \( \gamma \) and \( \beta \) related by one of the relations:

\[
\gamma = -3\beta - \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma = -3\beta - \frac{5}{4}.
\]

4 Concluding remarks

The main goal of this short article is to give another important direction in the application of \( p \)-adic analysis, namely, the parameterization of hydrodynamic-type systems with two integrals of motion. Such an equation was written in the form (8) and in the future it can be used to study new types of models of cascade processes of energy dissipation in fully developed turbulence. Such an equation was written in the form (8) and in the future it can be used to study new types of models for describing cascade processes of energy dissipation in fully developed turbulence. It was shown that with a special choice of functions included in this equation, it leads to a class of shell-type equations of the form (11). It was also shown that such a class of equations for different value of level interactions range has a stationary solutions that is consistent with Kolmogorov-Obukhov law. At the same time, we are not discussing problems related to the stability of stationary solutions of the models under consideration, and this is one of the direction of future studies. In this connection, it is also of interest to study models with off-diagonal forms of the second integral of motion.

The class of equations considered in Section 3 has discrete scale invariance, since the norm of the \( p \)-adic number trivially has the scaling property: \( |px|_p = p^{-1} |x|_p \). However, these equations (10) are not translationally invariant on \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). Note that the general equation (8) allows constructing translationally invariant hierarchical models that are determined by the choice of the functions \( \varepsilon (x, y, z) \) and \( \nu (x, y) \), incoming in this equation. For example, the translation-invariant model is obviously obtained by choosing

\[
\varepsilon (x, y, z) = \sigma (x - y) \sigma (y - z) \sigma (z - x), \quad h (x, y) = h \left( |x - y|_p \right)
\]

where \( \sigma (x) \) is the odd function \( \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{R} : \sigma (x) = \sigma (-x) \). Such a class of models may also be of potential interest for future studies.
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