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Abstract

A point mass on the surface of the Earth gives the highest frequency content for orbiting gravimetric measurements, with the maximum frequency for gradiometers or satellite-to-satellite tracking determined by orbital altitude. Frequency-domain expressions are found for orbiting gravimetric measurements of a point-like source on the surface of the Earth. The response of orbiting gradiometers such as GOCE and satellite-to-satellite tracking missions such as GRACE-FO are compared. The optimal signal-to-noise ratio as a function of noise in the measurement apparatus is computed, and from that the minimum detectable mass is inferred. The point mass magnitude that gives signal-to-noise ratio $\rho = 3$ is for GOCE $M_3 = 200$ Gton and for the laser ranging interferometer measurement on GRACE-FO $M_3 = 0.5$ Gton. For the laser ranging interferometer measurement, the optimal filter for detecting point-like masses has a passband of 1 to 20 mHz, differing from the 0.3 to 20 mHz admittance filter of Ghobadi-Far et al. (2018), which is not specialized for detecting point-like masses. $M_3$ for future GRACE-like missions with different orbital parameters and improved instrument sensitivity is explored, and the optimum spacecraft separation is found.

1. Introduction

A global gravity map is the principal data product of satellite gravity missions. Previously CHAMP [Reigber et al., 2003], GRACE [Tapley et al., 2004], and GOCE [Drinkwater et al., 2006] collected data to map the Earth’s gravity, and GRACE-FO [Konopliv et al., 2013] measured the Moon’s gravity. Currently GRACE Follow-On (GRACE-FO) [Landerer et al., 2020] is extending the GRACE data record, with increased ranging precision afforded by its laser ranging interferometer (LRI) [Abich et al., 2019].

As pointed out by Watkins et al. (2015), the most commonly used method to analyze satellite gravity data is based on global gravity fields expressed in terms of spherical harmonic basis functions. An alternative to spherical harmonics is the mass concentration, or mascon, solution. Starting with Wong et al. (1971), the mascon approach was applied to single-satellite lunar orbital measurements to infer the surface gravity of the moon. Mascons can be modeled as many discrete sources [Pollack, 1973, Watkins et al., 2005] that cover the globe, or used to solve for regional fields. An example of mascons applied to regional fields of the Moon is Han (2013).

Though they differ slightly in assumptions and results, the spherical harmonic and mascon methods are constructed to answer the same question: what is the gravity field that is most consistent with measurements? Here we address a different question. What is the limit to measurement precision of a point-like mass on the surface? This is an artificial model, a single mascon, that is not directly applicable to the geodetic agenda of measuring the Earth’s gravity. The motivation for this analysis is twofold: to provide a single-number figure of merit, namely the minimum detectable mass perturbation, and to find the optimal filter for such a detection. The minimum detectable mass $M_3$ is defined as the point mass that gives signal-to-noise ratio $\rho = 3$ in a single orbital pass. It is calculated by applying the Wiener optimal filter to the problem of detecting a signal of known form, against a background specified by instrument power spectral density [Wainstein and Zubakov, 1970]. A comparison of $M_3$ for different orbital configurations and instrument sensitivities guides the design of future missions. Ad-
2. Gradiometer Mass Sensitivity

Consider a gradiometer flying directly over a point mass \( M \) at altitude \( h \), Figure 1 left. At orbital altitude \( h = 330 \) km, the along-track velocity \( v \) is the orbital velocity \( v_o = 7.7 \) km/s and the along-track distance \( x \) changes at approximately constant rate, \( x = v_o t \). The gradient in the vertical, \( z \) direction as a function of time is

\[
g_z(t) = \frac{G M}{(h^2 + (v_o t)^2)^{3/2}} = \kappa_g \left( 1 + (f_b t)^2 \right)^{-3/2} \tag{1}
\]

where \( G \) is Newton’s constant of gravitation, \( f_b = v_o/h = 23 \) mHz, and \( \kappa_g = GM/h^3 = 1.85 \times 10^{-3} \) mE for \((h, M) = (330 \) km, 1 Gton); 1 mE = \( 1 \times 10^{-12} \) m\(^2\)s\(^{-2}\).

The Fourier transform of \( p(t) \) is written \( p(f) \) and defined by \( \mathcal{F}[p(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, p(t) \exp(-2\pi i ft) \). Applying to \( g_z(t) \):

\[
\mathcal{F}[g_z(t)] = g_z(f) = \kappa_g \frac{4\pi f}{f_b} \cdot K_1 \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right) \tag{2}
\]

where and \( K_1 \) is the modified bessel function of the 2nd kind, order 1. The Fourier transform \( g_z(f) \) has signal energy down to zero frequency and high-frequency attenuation, with \( \times 2 \) attenuation at \( f = 0.2 f_b = 4.7 \) mHz.

The signal-to-noise ratio depends on the power spectral density of the gradiometer noise, \( S_g(f) \). Define the frequency-dependent signal-to-noise density

\[
W_g(f) = \frac{|g_z(f)|^2}{\mathcal{F}[g_z(t)]} \tag{3}
\]

Let the signal per unit source mass \( g_s(f) = g_z(f)/M \) and \( W_s(f) = W_g(f)/M \). Following Flanagan and Hughes (1998), the maximum signal-to-noise ratio per unit source mass \( \rho \) is given by Wiener optimal filter theory as

\[
\rho' = \sqrt{4 \int_0^{\infty} W_g(f) df}. \tag{4}
\]

It follows that the minimum detectable mass with \( \rho = 3 \) is

\[
M_3 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{4 \int_0^{\infty} W_g(f) df}}. \tag{5}
\]

The lower panel of Figure 2 shows \( M_3 \) as a function of orbital altitude \( h \) for a gradiometer limited by white spectral noise \( S_g(f) = 1 \) mE/\( \sqrt{\text{Hz}} \), the assumed noise in the GOCE gradiometer. At \( h = 330 \) km, \( M_3 = 200 \) Gton. The corresponding peak gradient observed is \( \kappa_g(M_3) = 0.37 \) mE.

3. Sensitivity of GRACE-like measurements

The measurement configuration and signal parameters for the low-low satellite-to-satellite tracking (SST) of GRACE and GRACE-FO are shown in Figure 1 right. The primary signal is the along-track differential position of the spacecraft, measured by microwave ranging or laser interferometry.

3.1. Single Spacecraft Acceleration

Neglecting orbital dynamics, which influence the measurement mostly at low frequency compared to the high-frequency point-mass perturbation under consideration, the acceleration on spacecraft 1 flying over point mass \( M \) at along-track distance \( x \) is \( a_1 = -GMx/(h^2 + x^2)^{3/2} \).

Define the acceleration per unit source mass, \( a'_1 = a_1/M \). Then

\[
a'_1 = -\frac{Gtv_o}{(h^2 + t^2v_o^2)^{3/2}} \tag{6}
\]

Converting to frequency space,

\[
a'_1(f) = \mathcal{F}[a'_1(t)] = \frac{4\pi fGK_0 \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right)}{v_o^2}. \tag{7}
\]

\( K_0 \) = modified bessel function of the 2nd kind, order 0.
3.2. Range Acceleration Signal

The acceleration experienced by spacecraft 2 is the same as spacecraft 1 at distance \( L \), but delayed by \( \tau = L/v_o \). The resulting (along-track) range acceleration between the spacecraft (Figure 3 left) is similar to what Han (2013) computed for the the response of GRACE spacecraft to regional lunar gravity. The response of GRAIL spacecraft to regional lunar gravity. The (Figure 3, left) is similar to what Han (2013) computed for the range acceleration resulting from a square mass centered under the flight along-track path. Orbital altitude \( h \) is indicated in the legend.

Using the identity \( \mathcal{F}(\text{delay } \tau) = \exp(-2\pi if\tau) \), the range acceleration in the frequency domain, \( \dot{a}_R(f) \), is given by

\[
\dot{a}_R(f) = a_1(f)(1 - e^{-2\pi i f \tau})
\]

(9)

\[
|\dot{a}_R(f)| = 2|a_1(f)| \sin(\pi f \tau)
\]

(10)

That is, in the frequency domain the range acceleration is the single-satellite acceleration multiplied by \( 2|\sin(\pi f \tau)| \). For \( f \ll 1/\tau \), \( |\dot{a}_R(f)| \propto L \), which is the response for the spacecraft pair acting as a gradiometer. The response departs from that of a gradiometer at large \( L \), most conspicuously in the form of high-frequency nulls. The first null is at \( f_{\text{null}} = 1/\tau = 38 \text{ mHz} \) for low-earth orbit and \( L = 200 \text{ km} \), as recognized by Wolf (1969). In degree-variability evaluations of measurement sensitivity, the first null is expressed as a maximum in geoid height error at degree \( N = f_{\text{null}}/f_1 = 216 \) for \( L = 200 \text{ km} \), and \( N = 86 \) for \( L = 500 \text{ km} \), where \( f_1 \) is orbital frequency = 0.176 mHz.

\[
\dot{a}_R(f) \equiv \frac{GL}{(h^2 + (L/2)^2)^{3/2}} \equiv \kappa_R(L, h)M \quad \text{for} \quad M \gtrsim 1 \text{ Gton}.
\]

(8)

Using the identity \( \mathcal{F}(\text{delay } \tau) = \exp(-2\pi if\tau) \), the range acceleration in the frequency domain, \( \dot{a}_R(f) \), is given by

\[
|\dot{a}_R(f)| = 2|a_1(f)| \sin(\pi f \tau)
\]

(10)

At high frequency the measurement response is attenuated approximately exponentially with characteristic frequency \( f_b/(2\pi) = 2.5 \text{ mHz} \). This corresponds to harmonic order \( f_b/(2\pi f_1) \approx 14 \), where \( f_1 = 0.176 \text{ mHz} \) is the orbital frequency.

To explore the valid realm of the point-mass approximation, Figure 3 shows the range acceleration signal from a square-shaped mass of side length \( S \), computed by numerical integration. The \( S=1 \text{ km} \) result is in agreement with the point-mass analytical calculation, which is valid at the 20\% level for sources as large as \( S=300 \text{ km} \). Henceforth, we restrict analysis to the signal from a point source.

As shown in Pollack (1973), Figure 3, the degree power spectrum for a point mass is the same for all harmonic degrees: a white spectrum. Equation 12 gives the measurement impulse response; that is, the range acceleration frequency response to a point mass input. This facilitates the direct comparison of signal and noise amplitudes as computed in the following section, and yields an expression for the minimum detectable mass for GRACE-like measurements of point-like perturbations to surface gravity.

3.3. Noise and Mass Sensitivity

Consider the range measurement made by the laser ranging interferometer (LRI) on GRACE-FO. Assuming the measurement resolution is limited by thermal noise of the laser interferometer (LRI) on GRACE-FO. Assuming the measurement resolution is limited by thermal noise of the laser interferometer (LRI) on GRACE-FO. Numerical values apply to GRACE-FO.

\[
|\dot{a}_R(f)| \sim 4\pi G \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2_f}}{v_o^2} \left| \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right| \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right).
\]

(13)

From Abramowitz and Stegun (1964), 9.7.2, \( K_0(z) \sim \sqrt{\pi/2z}e^{-z} \), where \( \sim \) indicates approximately equal for large \( z \). It follows that \( 2fK_0(2\pi f/f_b) \sim \sqrt{f_b} e^{-2\pi f/f_b} \), and the high-frequency limit of Equation 12 is

\[
|\dot{a}_R(f)| \sim 4\pi G \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2_f}}{v_o^2} \left| \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right| \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right).
\]

(13)

From Equations \[7\] and \[10\]

\[
|\dot{a}_R(f)| = \frac{8\pi f G}{v_o^2} \left| K_0 \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right) \right| \left| \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right) \right|.
\]

(12)

where

\[
 v_o = \text{orbital velocity} = 7.6 \text{ km/s}
\]

\[
 h = \text{orbital altitude} = 500 \text{ km}
\]

\[
 L = \text{spacecraft separation} = 200 \text{ km}
\]

\[
 f_b = \frac{v_o}{h} = 15.5 \text{ mHz}
\]

\[
 f_L = \frac{v_o}{L/2} = 76 \text{ mHz}
\]

\[
 \tau = \frac{L}{v_o} = 26 \text{ s}
\]

\[
 \kappa_R(L, h) = \frac{GL}{(h^2 + (L/2)^2)^{3/2}} = 0.101 \text{ nm/s}^2/\text{Gton}.
\]

\[
 \sigma^2_f = \text{thermal noise of the laser interferometer (LRI) on GRACE-FO. Assuming the measurement resolution is limited by thermal noise of the laser interferometer (LRI) on GRACE-FO. Assuming the measurement resolution is limited by thermal noise of the laser interferometer (LRI) on GRACE-FO. Numerical values apply to GRACE-FO.}
\]

\[
 |\dot{a}_R(f)| \sim 4\pi G \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2_f}}{v_o^2} \left| \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right| \sin \left( \frac{2\pi f}{f_b} \right).
\]

(13)

At high frequency the measurement response is attenuated approximately exponentially with characteristic frequency \( f_b/(2\pi) = 2.5 \text{ mHz} \). This corresponds to harmonic order \( f_b/(2\pi f_1) \approx 14 \), where \( f_1 = 0.176 \text{ mHz} \) is the orbital frequency.

To explore the valid realm of the point-mass approximation, Figure 3 shows the range acceleration signal from a square-shaped mass of side length \( S \), computed by numerical integration. The \( S=1 \text{ km} \) result is in agreement with the point-mass analytical calculation, which is valid at the 20\% level for sources as large as \( S=300 \text{ km} \). Henceforth, we restrict analysis to the signal from a point source.

As shown in Pollack (1973), Figure 3, the degree power spectrum for a point mass is the same for all harmonic degrees: a white spectrum. Equation 12 gives the measurement impulse response; that is, the range acceleration frequency response to a point mass input. This facilitates the direct comparison of signal and noise amplitudes as computed in the following section, and yields an expression for the minimum detectable mass for GRACE-like measurements of point-like perturbations to surface gravity.

\[
 \tilde{x}_{LRI}(f) = \frac{x_c}{\sqrt{f}}.
\]

(14)

where \( x_c \) is a constant. For the LRI Abich et al. (2019), \( x_c = 1 \times 10^{-15} \). The rpsd of the LRI range acceleration noise is

\[
 \sqrt{S_{LRI}(f)} = (2\pi f)^2 \cdot \tilde{x}_{LRI}(f).
\]

(15)
Take for the accelerometer measurement noise $\text{psd}$ on GRACE and GRACE-FO (Touboul et al., 1999)

$$\sqrt{S_{\text{ACC}}(f)} = \tilde{a}_0 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_k}\right)^2},$$

(16)

with $\tilde{a}_0 = \text{acceleration white noise} = 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2/\sqrt{\text{Hz}},$ $f_k = 5 \text{ mHz}$. Improved accelerometers in future missions (Christophe et al., 2010, Conklin and Nguyen, 2017) may have $\tilde{a}_0 = 1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m/s}^2/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ or better.

Assuming that the accelerometers have uncorrelated noise, the total $S_{\text{ACC}}$ is the sum of the $S_{\text{ACC}}$ from each spacecraft. We assume that the non-inertial acceleration noise is equal to the accelerometer sensing noise. This is a conservative assumption in that for part of the spectrum modeled disturbances for solar radiation pressure and thruster firings may have lower noise than the accelerometer, and, depending on orbital altitude, atmospheric drag may be smaller than accelerometer noise.

The total instrument noise power spectral density is

$$S_a = S_{\text{ACC}} + S_{\text{LRI}}. $$

(17)

Figure 5 shows $\sqrt{S_a}$ for various instrument noise spectra.

As in section 2 define the signal-to-noise density for range acceleration

$$W_a(f) = \frac{|a_g(f)|^2/M^2}{S_a(f)}. $$

(18)

$W_a(f) = W_a^0 M^2$ is shown in Figure 4 for several values of $L$. The oscillations with nulls at multiples of $1/\tau = v_o/L$ degrade $\rho$ for $L$ beyond an optimum spacecraft separation.

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 4.** Signal-to-noise ratio integrand of Equation 18 $W_a(f)$ for the “GRACE-FO LRI + ACC” noise of Figure 5 signal from orbital altitude $h = 500$ km and source mass $M = 1$ Gton and several values of spacecraft separation $L$. The integrated signal-to-noise ratios $\rho$ are indicated in the legend.

The optimal signal to noise ratio per unit mass is

$$\rho' = \sqrt[4]{\int_0^\infty W_a(f)df},$$

(19)

and the source mass that gives $\rho = 3$ is

$$M_3 = \frac{3}{\sqrt[4]{\int_0^\infty W_a^0 df}}. $$

(20)

From Equations 12 through 18 and Equation 20 the GRACE-FO parameters with the microwave ranging instrument (MWI) and LRI give respectively $M_1 = 1.33$ Gton, 470 Mton. The corresponding detectable peak accelerations, $k_g(L,h) \cdot M_3$, are 0.13 nm/s², 0.047 nm/s². In comparison, Ghobadi-Far et al. (2018) and Ghobadi-Far et al. (2020) analyze the line-of-sight gravity difference and find the estimation errors for the MWI and LRI to be 0.15 nm/s² and 0.10 nm/s², respectively. Considering that ibid used one year of data vs. our single-pass analysis, their resolvable acceleration estimates are considerably larger than the ideal case analyzed here. See Section 3.4 for a comparison of the two very different filters that process range acceleration measurements. Another assessment of mass sensitivity for SST laser ranging is inferred from Colombo and Chao (1992), who proposed a laser ranging mission that, with $(h, L) = (600, 500)$ km was found by simulation to have sensitivity to weekly changes of 1 mm water height over a region 400 km across, or mass sensitivity of 160 Mton.

Figure 6 shows the mass sensitivity $M_1$ as a function of $h$ and $L$ for the LRI ranging instrument with two levels of accelerometer sensitivity: $\tilde{d}_0 = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ and $1 \times 10^{-12}$ m/s²/\sqrt{Hz}. The optimum $L$ for a given $h$ depends weakly on the accelerometer noise, and is given approximately by $1.78h$ and $1.70h$ respectively. The lower row of Figure 6 shows the computed optimum $L$ in comparison with these approximations, and the corresponding optimum $M_1$.  

3.4. Comparison to Line-of-sight Gravity Difference

The filter that gives maximum signal-to-noise ratio is Wainstein and Zubakov (1970), Chapter 3)

$$G(f) = \frac{a_{g}^0(f)}{S_a(f)}, $$

(21)

with * denoting complex conjugation. The filter’s input is the measured range acceleration. $G(f)$ is an example of a filter for extracting a signal of known waveform, in this case the range
Fig. 6. Mass sensitivity of of the LRI measurement on GRACE-FO left, and of a future GRACE-like mission right. Upper row shows isomass $M_1$ contours, in Mton, from Equation (20). Equation (12) specifies the ranging noise, and accelerometer noise is given by Equation (14) with $a_0 = (1 \times 10^{-10}, 1 \times 10^{-15})$ m/s$^2$/rad, with fixed $f_0 = 5$ mHz. The optimum satellite separation $L$ as a function of orbital altitude $h$ is given approximately by $L = (1.78, 1.70) h$, respectively. Lower row shows the precise optimum $L$ as a function of $h$, (solid blue, left axis) and the resulting sensitivity $M_3$ (red, right axis).

acceleration resulting from flying over a point mass. Dropping the multiplicative constants, the filter magnitude is

$$|G(f)| = \frac{|fK_0(\frac{2\pi f}{h})\sin(\frac{\pi f}{h})|}{S_a(f)}.$$ (22)

$|G(f)|$ for the LRI on GRACE-FO is shown as the red trace in Figure [7]. Ghobadi-Far et al. (2018) define the gravimetric quantity $\delta g_{12}^{\text{LOS}}$, or line-of-sight gravity difference, which differs from the range acceleration residual $\delta \rho$ by $\Delta_0$, the residual centrifugal acceleration:

$$\delta g_{12}^{\text{LOS}} = \delta \rho + \Delta_0.$$ (23)

Residuals are relative to a reference field. Admittance $Z(f)$ is defined as the transfer function

$$Z(f) = \frac{S_{\delta \rho, \delta \rho}(f)}{S_a(f)}$$ (24)

where the numerator $S_{\delta \rho, \delta \rho}(f)$ is the cross-power spectrum between the range acceleration and the line-of-sight gravity difference (LGD). The denominator is written as $S_{\delta \rho, \delta \rho}$ in ibid.

$Z(f)$ normalized to have maximum value of 1 is shown as the blue trace in Figure [7]. The passband for $Z(f)$ is 0.3 mHz to 20 mHz. Since $G(f)$ applies to a point mass, the field with the highest possible frequency content, its passband starts higher in frequency and spans 1 mHz to 20 mHz. A practical use for the $G(f)$ filter is searching for unknown point-like features, such as underground water storage of 100 km spatial extent. The filter would be applied to range acceleration measurements after subtracting the effect of the known field, including time-varying gravity, and non-gravitational accelerations.

Alternatively to the formulation in Section [3], the field from a point-like mass can be specified using the conventional spherical harmonic expansion for the gravitational anomaly. As shown in Appendix A a perturbing mass of small spatial extent requires a large harmonic order to approximate its field.

4. Conclusion

The frequency response of an orbiting gradiometer to a point mass under the flight track is well-approximated by a simple equation (2) that depends only on the orbital altitude and the magnitude of the point mass. Likewise, for an SST-based measurement of the gravitational field the range acceleration has a simple expression (12) with additional dependency on the average satellite separation. Applying Wiener optimal filter theory, these responses and the noise spectra of the ranging measurement and of accelerometer-based measurement of non-gravitational forces give $\rho$, the maximum achievable signal-to-noise ratio. The resolvable mass $M_3$ is defined as the magnitude of the point mass that gives $\rho = 3$. $M_3$ is the ultimate mass sensitivity, and realistic non-point mass distributions that are not directly under the flight track will give larger $M_3$ in practice. Nonetheless, $M_3$ provides a figure of merit for comparing missions with different orbits and instrument sensitivities to guide the design of future missions. Additionally, for SST measurements $M_3$ has a minimum value at a specific satellite separation $L$, giving the optimum separation for discovering point-like (meaning less than approximately 300 km) features such as subsurface water storage. Equation (22) specifies the optimal filter for such a search.
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Appendix A. Multipole expansion and the Wahr equation for surface density

The gravitational potential is conventionally expressed as the multipole expansion (Kaula (1966), Kaula (2013), Chao and Gross (1987))

\[ U(r, \theta, \phi) = \frac{GM_e}{a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^{n+1} P_{nm}(\cos \theta) \times (\tilde{C}_{nm} \cos m\phi + \tilde{S}_{nm} \sin m\phi). \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.1)

As illustrated in Figure A.8, the distance from the center of the earth, \( r \), is the distance from the center of the Earth, \( \theta \) is the co-latitude, and \( \phi \) is the longitude.

The relationship between the fully normalized Legendre function \( \tilde{P}_{nm} \) and the associated Legendre function \( P_{nm} \) is

\[ \tilde{P}_{nm} = \sqrt{\frac{(2 - \delta_{nm})(2n + 1)(n - m)!}{(n + m)!}} P_{nm}, \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.5)

where \( \delta_{nm} \) is the Kronecker delta. Since \( P_{nm}(1) = \delta_{nm} \), \( \tilde{P}_{nm}(1) = \delta_{nm} \sqrt{2n + 1} \). For a point mass at the pole Equation (A.5) reduces to

\[ \frac{\tilde{C}_{nm}}{\tilde{S}_{nm}} = \frac{M}{M_e} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n + 1}} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{nm} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.6)

The potential from Equations (A.1) and (A.6) is independent of \( \phi \) and is given by the multipole expansion

\[ U(r, \theta) = \frac{GM_e}{a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^{n+1} P_{n}(\cos \theta), \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.7)

the familiar result from electrostatics for the azimuthally symmetric electric field from a point charge (Jackson (2007)).

The Wahr equation for surface density from \( (\tilde{C}_{nm}, \tilde{S}_{nm}) \) Wahr et al. (1998) is

\[ \sigma(\theta', \phi') = \frac{a_{ave}}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} P_{nm}(\cos \theta') \frac{2n + 1}{1 + k_n} \times (\tilde{C}_{nm} \cos m\phi' + \tilde{S}_{nm} \sin m\phi'), \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.8)

where \( k_n = \text{Love number} \).

To study the error of a finite-degree spherical harmonic approximation to a point mass, consider a spherical cap in the limit of small cap size. The spherical cap is centered at coordinates \( (\theta', \phi') \) and its angular radius is \( \alpha \) and \( \lambda \equiv \cos \alpha \). As computed by Pollack (1973), the Stokes coefficients are

\[ \frac{\tilde{C}_{nm}}{\tilde{S}_{nm}} = -\frac{M}{M_e} \frac{P_{n+1} - P_{n-1}}{(2n + 1)^{1/2}(1 - \lambda)} \tilde{P}_{nm}(\cos \theta') \left[ \cos m\phi' \sin m\phi' \right]. \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.9)

where we use the shorthand \( P_j(\lambda) = P_j \). For a spherical cap at the north pole,

\[ \frac{\tilde{C}_{nm}}{\tilde{S}_{nm}} = -\frac{M}{M_e} \frac{P_{n+1} - P_{n-1}}{(2n + 1)^{1/2}} \delta_{nm} \left[ \tilde{S}_{nm} \right]. \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.10)

The spherical cap reduces to a point mass in the limit of \( \cos \alpha = 0 \), or \( \lambda = 1 \); substituting \( \lim_{\lambda \to 1} [P_{n+1} - P_{n-1}] = (\lambda - 1)(2n + 1) \) into Equation (A.11) gives Equation (A.6).

By comparing expressions similar to Equation (A.1) and Equation (A.8) Dickey et al. (1997) identifies

\[ \tilde{C}_{nm} + \tilde{S}_{nm} = \rho_{ave} \frac{2n + 1}{3\rho_w} + k_n (\tilde{C}_{nm} + \tilde{S}_{nm}) \]  
\hspace{1cm} (A.12)

as the transformation to convert geoid expansion coefficients \( (\tilde{C}_{nm}, \tilde{S}_{nm}) \) to mass expansion coefficients \( \tilde{C}_{nm}, \tilde{S}_{nm} \), ibid p. 101 their Equation (B5).

At the pole \( (\theta', \phi') = (0, 0) \). From Equations (A.8) and (A.11) dropping the \( n = 0 \) term that represents the total potential of the...
Earth, and neglecting the earth’s elasticity by setting \( k_n = 0 \),

\[
\sigma = \frac{4\rho_{\text{ave}}}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{nm}(1)(2n + 1)C_{nm}
\]

\[
= \frac{M}{M_\perp} \frac{\rho_{\text{ave}}}{1 - \lambda} \frac{a}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n + 1)^{(2n + 1)/2} (P_{n+1} - P_{n-1})
\]

\[
= \frac{M}{M_\perp} \frac{\rho_{\text{ave}}}{1 - \lambda} \frac{a}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n+1} - P_{n-1}
\]

\[
= \frac{M a^2}{M_\perp} \frac{\rho_{\text{ave}}}{3} T_{\infty}. \quad (A.13)
\]

The quantity \( T_{\infty} \) is the \( N = \infty \) limit of the truncated sum, defined as

\[
T_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{n-1} - P_{n+1}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} (P_0 + P_1 - (P_N + P_{N+1})
\]

\[
= \frac{1 + \lambda - (P_N + P_{N+1})}{1 - \lambda} \quad (A.14)
\]

For a small spherical cap, \( \alpha \ll 1 \) (and \( \lambda = \cos \alpha \) slightly < 1), the cap area is \( A = \pi \alpha^2 \). Using \( \sigma = M/A \) and \( \rho_{\text{ave}} = 3M_e/(4\pi a^3) \), Equation \( A.13 \) is equivalent to

\[
\frac{a^2 T_{\infty}(\cos \alpha)}{4} - 1 = 0. \quad (A.15)
\]

The fractional error in \( \sigma \) due to truncation of the summation Equation \( A.13 \) at order \( N \) is

\[
\epsilon_N = \frac{a^2 T_N(\cos \alpha)}{4} - 1 \approx -P_N(\cos \alpha). \quad (A.16)
\]

See Figure \( A.9 \) for \( \epsilon_N \) with small spherical caps of two different sizes. The slow reduction of \( |\epsilon_N| \) with increasing \( N \) shows that the unfiltered spherical harmonic expansion is ill-suited to characterizing the field from a point-like source. The truncation error is often reduced by applying a spectral localizing filter (Panet et al. [2013], Appendix 2); see also Wahr et al. [1998], Swenson and Wahr [2002], Seo et al. [2005], and Werth et al. [2009].
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