# Reply on "Comments on Superstatistical properties of the one-dimensional Dirac oscillator by Abdelmalek Boumali et al." 

Abdelmalek Boumal ${ }^{*}$ and Fadila Serdouk $\ddagger$<br>Laboratoire de Physique Appliquïßœe et Thï̈œorique, Universitï̈œ Larbi-Tï̈œळbessi-T̈̈ŋœbessa, Algeria.

Samia Dilm ${ }^{\ddagger}$<br>Facultï̈œ des Sciences exactes,<br>Universitï̈ø Hama Lakhdar d'El-Oued, B.P. 789 El-Oued 39000, Algeria

[^0]In the work "Comments on Superstatistical properties of the one-dimensional Dirac oscillator by Abdelmalek Boumali et al.", Castano-Yepes et al [1] have mentioned three remarks on our paper, and they claimed that our calculations do not have a rigorous treatment [2]. Their remarks are the following

- The expansion of the partition function in terms of powers of the parameter q is incomplete.
- Our canonical partition function is incomplete because we have ignored all the poles located at the negative real axis.
- Our extension to the case of Graphene is also mistaken, given the fact that it was performed under a wrong formalism and with an incomplete partition function.
In what follows, we will discuss their comments point by point:
- For the first point, the authors have not well understand our approach:

In statistical mechanics, the habitual Boltzmann factor $e^{-\beta E}$ is an essential tool used to determine thermodynamic quantities such as the partition function $Z(\beta)$, free energy $F(\beta)$, total energy $U(\beta)$, entropy $S(\beta)$ and specific heat $C(\beta)$, for a given system. These quantities are defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
F=-\frac{\ln Z}{\beta}, U=-\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta}  \tag{1}\\
\frac{S}{k_{B}}=\ln Z-\beta \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \beta}, \frac{C}{k_{B}}=\beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \ln Z}{\partial \beta^{2}} . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

As we know, superstatistics is a branch of statistical mechanics or statistical physics devoted to the study of non-linear and non-equilibrium systems. Generally, the applicability of these equations in the non-equilibrium system is not valid. To extend all well known formulae of normal statistical mechanics to the case of superstatistics, are restricted by the following conditions: First, superstatistics is characterized by using the superposition of multiple different statistical models to achieve the desired non-linearity. More precisely, superstatistics assumes that the correct ensemble is not canonical, but a superposition of canonical ensembles at different (inverse) temperatures weighted by a factor $f(\beta)$. Thus, the superstatistics denoted by symbol $B(E)$, allows the infinite types of system's distribution with respect to $E$, once the fluctuating distribution $f(\beta)$ is given. The generalized Boltzmann factor $B(E)$ is based on three crucial premises; (i) a system is partitioned into cells that can be considered to be reached an equilibrium locally, which is characterized by a single $\beta$, (ii) its statistical factor is Gibbsian and (iii) the separation between two time scales is adequate, that is, the time for approaching to each local equilibrium state is much faster than that for varying $f(\beta)$. This last criterion means that the framework of the theory of superstatistics regards the existence of temporally local equilibrium within each of the cells that subdivide a non-equilibrium thermodynamic system.

Also, in order to understand well our argument, we summarize the main results obtained by Beck [3] and Tsallis et al [4] about the applicability of these equations (Eqs. (1) and (2)): Beck [3] in his paper untitled "Generalized statistical mechanics for superstatistical systems" developed a generalized statistical mechanics formalism for superstatistical systems, by mapping the superstatistical complex system onto a system of ordinary statistical mechanics with modified energy levels. He shows, following the restriction described above, that it is possible to do ordinary statistical mechanics for this superstatistical non-equilibrium system, with all the known formulae. An interesting remark can be make here: Beck introduce introduced a universal parameter $q$ for any superstatistics, not only for Tsallis statistics: this parameter is given by the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{\left\langle\beta^{2}\right\rangle}{\langle\beta\rangle^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there are no fluctuations of $\beta$ at all, we obtain $q=1$ as required.
Tsallis et al[4] in their paper on the "Constructing a statistical mechanics for Beck-Cohen superstatistics", strengthen the idea that the statistical-mechanical methods can be in principle used out of equilibrium: More specifically, they used them in equilibrium in two cases (i) in the $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit of non-interacting or short-range interacting Hamiltonians, as well as (ii) in the $\lim N \rightarrow \infty \lim t \rightarrow \infty$ of long-range interacting many-body Hamiltonian systems: this explication reinforced well our restriction describe above about using the law of ordinary statistical mechanics in the case of the superstatistics. Thus, following all these arguments, instead a q-logarithm, we choose the normal logarithm.

A remark about introducing the q-algorithm here can be made: when we use a q-logarithm, the algebra of our problem is deformed, and it follows the theory of q-calculus with the following q-sum and q-product definitions [5, 6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \otimes_{q} y=\left(x^{1-q}+y^{1-q}-1\right)_{+}^{\frac{1}{1-q}},(x>, y>0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \oplus_{q} y=x+y+(1-q) x y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Now, for the second point, our canonical partition function is incomplete because we have ignored all the poles located at the negative real axis.

We start with our partition function [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left(1+\frac{a}{2}\langle\beta\rangle^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d\langle\beta\rangle^{2}}+\frac{a^{2}}{3}\langle\beta\rangle^{3} \frac{d^{3}}{d\langle\beta\rangle^{3}}\right) \sum_{n} e^{-\sqrt{2 r}\langle\beta\rangle m c^{2} \sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2 r}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Mellin transformation, the sum in Eq. (6) is transformed into integral as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n} e^{-\sqrt{2 r}\langle\beta\rangle m c^{2} \sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2 r}}} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} d s\left(\langle\beta\rangle m c^{2} \sqrt{2 r}\right)^{-s} \sum_{n}\left(n+\frac{1}{2 r}\right)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(s) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} d s\left(\langle\beta\rangle m c^{2} \sqrt{2 r}\right)^{-s} \zeta_{H}\left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{1}{2 r}\right) \Gamma(s) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Gamma(s)$ and $\zeta_{H}\left(\frac{s}{2}, \alpha\right)$ are respectively the Euler and Hurwitz zeta function [7]. As we know, the Gamma Function has simple poles at the non-positive integers, and their residues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\{\Gamma,-n\}=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta_{H}(s, \alpha)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\alpha)^{s}}$. It is a series that converges only when $\mathcal{R}(s)>1$ and $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)>0$. It can be extended by analytic continuation to a meromorphic function defined for all complex numbers s with $s \neq 1$. At $s=1$ it has a simple pole with residue 1 [7]. Applying the residues theorem on Eq. (7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n} e^{-\sqrt{2 r}\langle\beta\rangle m c^{2} \sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2 r}}}=\frac{1}{2 r\left(\langle\beta\rangle m c^{2}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{n} \zeta_{H}\left(-\frac{n}{2}, \alpha\right) \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, as mentioned by the authors [8, 9], we have only two poles at $s=0$ (pole of $\Gamma$ ) and $s=2$ (pole of $\zeta_{H}\left(\frac{s}{2}, \alpha\right)$. For the other poles of the function $\Gamma, \zeta_{H}$ does not converges. For a special case of $s=0$, we have applied the following properties [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{H}(-n, \alpha)=-\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ are the Bernoulli polynomials. Here The first few Bernoulli polynomials:

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{0}(x)=1,  \tag{11}\\
B_{1}(x)=x-\frac{1}{2},  \tag{12}\\
B_{2}(x)=x^{2}-x+\frac{1}{2},  \tag{13}\\
B_{3}(x)=x^{3}-\frac{3}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

In particular, the relation holds for $n=0$ and one has

$$
\zeta_{H}(0, \alpha)=-B_{1}=\frac{1}{2}-\alpha
$$

- Finally, according to our arguments described above, their comments on the extension of our study to the case Graphene are not correct.
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