

Dashboard of sentiment in Austrian social media during COVID-19

Max Pellert^{†,★}, Jana Lasser^{†,★}, Hannah Metzler^{†,★,‡}, and David Garcia^{†,★}

[†]Complexity Science Hub Vienna, Vienna, Austria

[★]Section for Science of Complex Systems, Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics and Intelligent Systems, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

[‡]Institute for Globally Distributed Open Research and Education

April 9, 2024

Abstract

To track online emotional expressions of the Austrian population close to real-time during the COVID-19 pandemic, we build a self-updating monitor of emotion dynamics using digital traces from three different data sources. This enables decision makers and the interested public to assess issues such as the attitude towards counter-measures taken during the pandemic and the possible emergence of a (mental) health crisis early on. We use web scraping and API access to retrieve data from the news platform derstandard.at, Twitter and a chat platform for students. We document the technical details of our

workflow in order to provide materials for other researchers interested in building a similar tool for different contexts. Automated text analysis allows us to highlight changes of language use during COVID-19 in comparison to a neutral baseline. We use special word clouds to visualize that overall difference. Longitudinally, our time series show spikes in anxiety that can be linked to several events and media reporting. Additionally, we find a marked decrease in anger. The changes last for remarkably long periods of time (up to 12 weeks). We discuss these and more patterns and connect them to the emergence of collective emotions. The interactive dashboard showcasing our data is available online under http://www.mpellert.at/covid19_monitor_austria/. Our work has attracted media attention and is part of an web archive of resources on COVID-19 collected by the Austrian National Library.

1 Introduction

In 2020, the outbreak of COVID-19 in Europe lead to a variety of counter-measures aiming to limit the spread of the disease. These include temporary lock downs, the closing of kindergartens, schools, shops and restaurants, the requirement to wear masks in public, and restrictions on personal contact. Health infrastructure was re-allocated with the goal of providing additional resources to tackle the emerging health crisis triggered by COVID-19. Such large-scale disruptions of private and public life can have tremendous influence on the emotional experiences of a population.

Governments have to build on the compliance of their citizens with these measures. Forcing the population to comply by instituting harsh penalties is not sustainable in the longer run, especially in developed countries with established democratic institutions like in most of Europe. On the scale of whole nations, very strict policing also faces technical limits and diverts resources from other duties. In addition, recent research shows that, when compared to enforcement, the recommendation of measures can be a better

motivator for compliance [1]. Non-intrusive monitoring of emotional expressions of a population enables to identify problems early on, with the hope to provide the means to resolve them.

Due to the rapid development of the response to COVID-19, it is desirable to produce up-to-date observations of public sentiment towards the measures, but it is hard to quantify sentiment at large scales and high temporal resolution. Policy decisions are usually accompanied by representative surveys of public sentiment that, however, suffer from a number of shortcomings. First, surveys depend on explicit self-reports which do not necessarily align with actual behaviour [2]. In addition, conducting surveys among larger numbers of people is time consuming and expensive. Lastly, a survey is always just a snapshot of public sentiment at a single point in time. Often, by the time a questionnaire is constructed and the survey has been conducted, circumstances have changed and the results of the survey are only partially valid.

Online communities are a complementary data source to surveys when studying current and constantly evolving events. Their digital traces reveal collective emotional dynamics almost in real-time. We gather these data in the form of text from platforms such as Twitter and news forums, where large groups of users discuss timely issues. We observe a lot of activity online, with clear increases during the nation-wide lock down of public life. For example, our data shows Austrian Twitter saw a 73% increase in posts from 9 March 2020 compared to before (2019-01-01 until 2020-03-08). Livetickers at news platforms are a popular format that provides small pieces of very up-to-date news constantly over the course of a day. This triggers fast posting activity in the adjunct forum. By collecting these data in regular intervals, we face very little delay in data gathering and analysis and provide a complement to survey-based methods. Our setup has the advantage of bearing low cost while featuring a very large sample size. The disadvantages include more noise in the signal due to our use of automated text analysis methods, such

as sentiment analysis. Additionally, if only information from one platform is considered, this might result in sampling a less representative part of the population than in surveys where participant demographics are controlled. However, systematic approaches to account for errors at different stages of research have been adapted to digital traces data [3].

We showcase the monitoring of social media sentiment during the COVID-19 pandemic for the case of Austria. Austria is located in central Europe, serving as a small model for both Western Europe (especially Germany [4]) and Eastern Europe (e.g. Hungary [5]). Therefore, the developments around COVID-19 in Austria have been closely watched by the rest of Europe. As the virus started spreading in Europe on a larger scale in February 2020, stringent measures were implemented comparatively early in Austria [6]. Using data from Austria allows us to build a quite extensive, longitudinal account of first hand discussions on COVID-19. Additionally, Austria’s political system and its public health system have all the capacities of a developed nation to tackle a health crisis [7]. Therefore, we expect the population to express the personal, emotional reaction to the event, without being overwhelmed by lack of resources and resulting basic issues of survival.

Interactive online dashboards are an accessible way to summarize complex information to the public. During COVID-19, popular dashboards have conveyed information about the evolution of the number of COVID-19 cases in different regions of Austria [8] and globally [9]. Other dashboards track valuable information such as world-wide COVID-19 registry studies [10]. Developers of dashboards include official governmental entities like the national ministry of health as well as academic institutions and individual citizens. To our knowledge, the overwhelming majority of these dashboards display raw data together with descriptive statistics of ”hard” facts and numbers on COVID-19. To fill a gap, we build a dashboard with processed data from three different sources to track the sentiment in Austrian social media during COVID-19. It is easily accessible online and updated on a daily basis to give

feedback to authorities and the interested general public.

2 Method

We retrieve data from three different sites: a news platform, Twitter and a chat platform for students. All data for this article was gathered in compliance with the terms and conditions of the platforms involved. Twitter data was accessed through Crimson Hexagon (Brandwatch), an official Twitter partner. The platform for students and `derstandard.at` gave us their permission to retrieve the data automatically from their systems. A daily recurring task is set up on a server to retrieve and process the data, and to publish the updated page online (for a description of the workflow see Figure 1).

The news platform `derstandard.at` was an internet pioneer as it was the first German language newspaper to go online in 1995. From February 1999, it started entertaining an active community, first in a chatroom [11]. In 2008, the chatroom was converted to a forum that is still active today and allows for posting beneath articles. Users have to register to post and they can up- and down-vote single posts. In 2013 a platform change made voting more transparent by showing which user voted both positive or negative. According to a recent poll [12], `derstandard.at` is considered both the most trustful and most useful source of information on COVID-19 in Austria. Visitors come from Austria, but also from other parts of the German-speaking area. In 2020, `derstandard.at` was visited by 2,546,000 unique users per month that stay on average 06 : 42 minutes on the site and request a total of 215,974,000 subpages [13]. To cover the developments around COVID-19, daily livetickers (except Sundays) were set up on `derstandard.at`. Figure S1 in the Supplementary Information shows an example of the web interface of such a liveticker.

As no dedicated API exists for data retrieval from `derstandard.at`, we use web-scraping to retrieve the data (under permission from the site). First,

we request a sitemap and identify the relevant URLs of livetickers. Second, we query each small news item of each of the livetickers. We receive data in JSON format and flatten and transform the JSON object to extract the ID of each small news piece. Third, we query the posts attached to that ID in batches. This is necessary because *derstandard.at* does not display all the posts at once beneath a small news item. Instead, the page loads a new batch of posts as soon as the user reaches the bottom of the screen. This strategy is chosen to not overcrowd the interface, as the maximum number of posts beneath one small news item can be very high (up to 2293 posts in our data set). By following our iterative workflow to request posts, we are able to circumvent issues of pagination. Finally, after we have received all posts, we transform the JSON objects to tabulator-separated value files for further analysis. This approach is summarised in the upper part of Figure 1.

To retrieve daily values for our indicators from Twitter, we rely on the Forsight platform by Crimson Hexagon, an aggregation service of data from various platforms, including Twitter. Twitter has an idiosyncratic user base in Austria, mainly composed of opinion makers, like journalists and politicians. In the case of studying responses to a pandemic, studying these populations gives us an insight into public sentiment due to their influence in public opinion. Yet, one should keep in mind that Twitter users are younger, more liberal, and have higher formal education than the general population [14].

As a third and last source, we include a discussion platform for young adults in Austria ¹. The discussions on the platform are organized in channels based on locality, with an average of 580 ± 390 (mean \pm standard deviation) posts per day from 2020-01-01 to 2020-05-27. The typical number of posts per day on the platform dropped from 830 ± 260 (January to April) to 160 ± 80

¹Since the platform owners agreed to share data within the scope of the ongoing COVID-19 crisis but do not want to be named in public, we must refrain to give details that can identify the platform. However, it must be noted that all data analyzed here was publicly available and we did not analyze any private digital traces.

(April to May). This drop occurred due to the removal of the possibility to post anonymously on April 4th 2020 in order to prevent hate speech. Based on data from this platform, we study the reaction of the special community of young adults in different Austrian locations, with the majority of posts originating in Vienna (9%), Graz (8%) and other locations (83%).

To assess expressions of emotions and social processes, we match text in posts on all three platforms to word classes in the German version of the Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count (LIWC) Dictionary [15], including anxiety, anger, sadness, positive emotions and social terms. LIWC is a standard methodology in psychology for text analysis that includes validated lexica in German. It has been shown that LIWC, despite its simplicity, has an accuracy to classify emotions in tweets that is comparable to other state of the art tools in sentiment analysis benchmarks [16]. Previous research has shown that LIWC, when applied to large-scale aggregates of tweets, has similar correlations with well-being measures as other, more advanced text analysis techniques [17, 18]. Since within the scope of this study only text aggregates will be analysed, LIWC is an appropriate method and can be applied to all sorts of text data that is collected for the monitor. For the prosocial lexicon, we translated a list of prosocial terms used in previous research [19], including for example words related to helping, empathy, cooperating, sharing, volunteering, and donating.

We adapt the dictionaries to the task at hand by excluding most obvious terms that can bias the analysis, as done in recent research validating Twitter word frequency data [18]. Specifically, we cleaned the lists for (1) words which are likely more frequently used during the COVID-19 pandemic e.g. by news media and do not necessarily express an emotion (sadness: tot*; anger: toete*, tt*, tte*; positive: heilte, geheilt, heilt, heilte*, heilung; prosocial: Heilverfahren, Behandlung, Behandlungen, Dienstpflicht, ffentlicher Dienst, and Digitale Dienste all matching Dienst*), (2) potential mismatches unrelated to the respective emotion (sadness: Harmonie/harmlos

matching Harm*; positive: uerst; prosocial: Dienstag matching Dienst*) (3) specific Austria-related terms like city names (sadness: Klagenfurt matching klagen*) or events (sadness: Misstrauensantrag matching miss*), and (4) Twitter-related terms for the analysis of Tweets only (prosocial: teilen, teilt mit).

For text from derstandard.at, we average the frequency of terms per post to take into account the varying lengths of posts. As Twitter has a strict character limit of 280 characters per post, Crimson Hexagon provides the number of tweets containing at least one of the terms, based on which we calculate the proportion of such posts. Posts have a median length of 61 characters in derstandard.at, 101 characters in Twitter, and 51 characters in the chat platform for young adults. To exclude periodic weekday effects, we correct for the daily baseline of our indicators by computing relative differences to mean daily baseline values. For derstandard.at data, the baseline is computed from all posts to derstandard.at articles in the year 2019. We use the main website articles for this instead of livetickers because during 2019, livetickers were mainly used to cover sport events (for an example see <https://www.derstandard.at/jetzt/livebericht/2000088339740/bundesliga-live-lask-sturm>) or high-profile court cases (<https://www.derstandard.at/jetzt/livebericht/2000088169126/buwog-prozess-vermoegensverwalter-stinksauer-auf-meischberger>). Thereby, we choose a slightly different medium for our baselines to avoid having a topic bias in the baselines. Nonetheless, it comes from the same platform with the same layout and functionalities and an overlapping user base: 14422 users (75% of total unique users in the livetickers) in our data set that are active at livetickers also post at normal articles. The speed of posting may differ slightly, because the article is typically posted in a final format, whereas small news pieces are added constantly in livetickers. For the other data sources, we correct by computing the baseline for the indicators from the start of period available to us (Twitter back to 2013-01-01, chat platform for young adults

back to 2019-01-01) to January 2020.

Finally, we combine the processed data and render an interactive website. For this, we use "plotly" [20], "rflexdashboard" [21] and "wordcloud2" [22] in R [23], and the "git" protocol to upload the resulting HTML page to GitHub Pages. Using versioning control allows us to easily revert the page to a previous state in case of an error.

3 Results

We track the sentiment of the Austrian population during COVID-19 and make our findings available as an interactive online dashboard that is updated daily. We display the time series almost in real-time with a small delay to catch all available data (see Figure 3 using *derstandard.at* as a data source). It has features such as the option to display the number of observations by hovering over the data point or to isolate lines and to compare only a subset of indicators. The dashboard can be accessed online at http://www.mpellert.at/covid19_monitor_austria/.

Table 1 shows several descriptive statistics of the data sets used. For *derstandard.at*, we retrieved 111 livetickers with 10013 small news items. On average, users publish 183 ± 156 posts under each of those items in the time period of interest (2020-03-09 to 2020-06-03). Posts have a median length of 61 characters (see Figure S2 for a histogram of the length of posts). Posts provide immediate reactions by the users of *derstandard.at*: The median is at 24.7 seconds for the first post to appear below a small news item.

We use word clouds (Figure 2) to visualize the emotional content of posts. While livetickers on COVID-19 cover the time period from 2020-03-09 until 2020-06-03, the baseline includes normal articles on *derstandard.at* from 2019. To highlight changes in language use during COVID-19, our word clouds compare word frequency in the livetickers with the baseline: The size of words in the clouds is proportional to $|\log(\frac{prob_{livetickers}}{prob_{baseline}})|$, where $prob_{baseline}$

and $prob_{livetickers}$ refer to the frequency of the dictionary term compared to the frequency of all matches of terms in that category, in the baseline and the livetickers, respectively. Color of words corresponds to the sign of this quantity: Red means positive, i.e. the frequency of the word increased in the livetickers, whereas blue signifies that the usage of the word decreased. By combining these information, our word clouds give an impression on how the composition of terms in the dictionary categories changed during COVID-19.

Our dashboard analyses a part of public discourse. We assume that the lockdown of public life increased tendencies of the population to move debates online. Users that take part in these discussions often form very active communities that sometimes structure their whole day around their posting activities. This is reflected in our data in the word clouds of Figure 2 from the increased usage of greetings (category "social"), marking the start or the end of a day such as "moin"/"good morning" or "gn"/"good night".

We identified the following events in Austria corresponding to anxiety spikes in expressed emotions in social media. Unrelated to COVID-19, there was reporting on a terrorist attack in Hanau, Germany on 2020-02-25. The first reported COVID-19 case in Austria was on 2020-02-25 and the first death on 2020-03-12. The first press conference, announcing bans of large public events and university closures as first measures, happened on 2020-03-10. It was followed by strict social distancing measures announced on 2020-03-15, starting on the day after. The overall patterns in the monitor of sentiment in Figure 3 show that Austrian user's expressions of anxiety increased, whereas anger decreased in our observation period. We go into detail on this in Section 4.

The sentiment dynamics on social media platforms can be influenced by content that spreads fear and other negative emotions. Timely online emotion monitoring could help to quickly identify such campaigns by malicious actors. Even legitimately elected governments can follow the controversial strategy of steering emotions to alert the population to the danger of a threat.

For example, democratically elected actors can deliberately elicit emotions such as fear or anxiety to increase compliance from the top down. Such a strategy has been followed in Austria [24] and other countries like Germany [25]. Reports about the deliberate stirring of fear by the Austrian government are reflected in a spike of anxiety on 2020-04-27 in Figure 3. The spikes of anxiety at the beginning of March in the early stages of the COVID-19 outbreak may have been reinforced by anxiety eliciting strategies.

In an effort to provide an archive of Austrian web resources for future reference, the Austrian National Library (NB) monitors the dashboard and stores changes. There are a number of such initiatives also in other nations [26] with the earliest and most famous example being archive.org. Through selective harvesting of resources connected to COVID-19, the dashboard is part of the NB collection "Coronavirus 2020" (<https://webarchiv.onb.ac.at/>).

4 Discussion

Our results show patterns in the change of language use during COVID-19. In the anger category, words related to violence and crime are less frequent in livetickers since COVID-19 compared to 2019, indicating that reports and discussions about violent events, or possibly even these events themselves, become less frequent as the public discourse focuses on events related to the pandemic. For anxiety, the most remarkable change is a reduction in words related to terror and abuse, accompanied by a smaller increase of terms linked to panic, risk and uncertainty. In the sadness category, the verb "verabschiede" / "saying goodbye" appears almost 9 times more often in the livetickers. For prosocial words, terms referring to helping, community and encouragement increased. From the social terms, the word "empfehlungen" / "recommendations" occurs slightly more frequently, while topics of migration, integration and patriarchy are less often discussed. Finally, positive terms that increase the most are the expression of admiration "aww*" and

”hugs”, indicating that people send each other virtual hugs instead of physical ones.

Dynamics of collective emotions may be different in crisis times. While they typically vary fast [27] and return to the baseline within a matter of days even after catastrophic events like natural disasters or terrorist attacks [28, 29], changes during the COVID-19 pandemic in Austria have lasted several weeks for most analysed categories (up to 12 weeks in some cases). In contrast to one-off events, threat from a disease like COVID-19 is more diffuse, and the emotion-eliciting events are distributed in time. In addition, measures that strongly affect people’s daily lives over a long period of time, as well as high level of uncertainty, likely contribute to the unprecedented changes of collective emotional expression in online social media.

The dashboard illustrates early and strong increases in anxiety across all three analysed platforms starting at the time of the first confirmed cases in Austria (end of February 2020). A first initial spike of anxiety-terms occurs on all three platforms around the time the first positive cases were confirmed and news about the serious situation in Italy were broadcast in Austria. About two weeks later, levels rise again together with the number of confirmed cases, reaching particularly high levels in the week before the lock-down on 16 March. Afterwards, the gradually drop again. In total, levels of anxiety-expression did not return to the baseline for more than six weeks from 2020-02-22 until 2020-04-07 on Twitter. On *derstandard.at*, levels also remained above the baseline for more than four weeks in a row. Timelines for Twitter and *derstandard.at* also show a clear and enduring decrease of anger-related words starting in the week before the lock-down, as discussions of potentially controversial topics other than COVID-19 become scarcer. This decrease lasts for four weeks on *derstandard.at* (21 Februar - 23 April), but is particularly stable on Twitter, where anger-terms remain less frequent than in 2019 for 2.5 months in a row (2020-03-09 to 2020-05-29). In contrast, prosocial and social terms show opposing trends on these two platforms:

They increase slightly but do so for more than 2 months on Twitter, where people share not only news, but also talk about their personal lives. In contrast, they decrease for more than 3 months in a row on `derstandard.at`, where people mostly discuss specific political events or topics. The increase of sadness-related expressions is smaller than changes in anxiety and anger, but also lasted for about a month on Twitter, and two weeks on `derstandard.at`. Interestingly, positive expressions were used slightly more frequently on all three platforms for long periods since the outbreak. This trend is visible from the beginning of March on the student platform and `derstandard.at`, and further increases since restrictions on people’s lives have reduced. In total, positive expressions are more frequent than baseline during the last 2.5 months (as of 13th of June) on `derstandard.at`. An analysis of collective emotions in Reddit comments from users in eight US cities found results similar to ours, including spikes in anxiety and the decrease in anger [30], which suggests that some of our findings might generalize to other platforms and countries.

The dashboard gives opinion makers and the interested public a way to observe collective sentiment vis-a-vis the crisis response in the context of a pandemic. It has gained attention from Austrian media [31], and from the COVID19 Future Operations Clearing Board [32], an interdisciplinary platform for exchange and collaboration between researchers put in place by the Federal Chancellery of the Republic of Austria. Especially during the first weeks of the crisis, multiple newspapers reported on the changes of emotional expressions in online platforms [33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. Timely knowledge about the collective emotional state and expressed social attitudes of the population is valuable for adapting emergency and risk-communication as well as for improving the preparedness of (mental) health services.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

MP and DG designed research. MP retrieved derstandard.at data, processed and analyzed all data, and implemented the dashboard. JL retrieved data for the platform for young adults. HM retrieved data for Twitter, and wrote methods and result reports for the dashboard. MP, JL and HM wrote the draft of the manuscript. All authors provided input for writing and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was funded by the Vienna Science and Technology Fund through the project Emotional Well-Being in the Digital Society (Grant No. VRG16-005).

Acknowledgments

We thank Christian Burger from derstandard.at for providing data access, and Julia Litofcenko and Lena Mller-Naendrup for their support in translating the prosocial dictionary to German. Access to Crimson Hexagon was provided via the project Vibrant Emotional Health grant Suicide Prevention media campaign Oregon to Thomas Niederkrotenthaler.

Supplemental Data

Supplementary Material is included.

Data Availability Statement

The dashboard can be accessed at http://www.mpellert.at/covid19_monitor_austria/.
The source code is available at https://github.com/maxpel/covid19_monitor_austria.
The data sets accumulated daily by updating the dashboard will be released in the future.

References

- [1] Emanuele Del Fava, Jorge Cimentada, Daniela Perrotta, André Grow, Francesco Rampazzo, Sofia Gil-Clavel, and Emilio Zagheni. The differential impact of physical distancing strategies on social contacts relevant for the spread of covid-19. *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [2] Roy F Baumeister, Kathleen D Vohs, and David C Funder. Psychology as the science of self-reports and finger movements: Whatever happened to actual behavior? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2(4):396–403, 2007.
- [3] Indira Sen, Fabian Floeck, Katrin Weller, Bernd Weiss, and Claudia Wagner. A Total Error Framework for Digital Traces of Humans. *arXiv:1907.08228 [cs]*, December 2019.
- [4] Reuters. Austria’s Kurz says Germany copied his country’s lockdown easing plan. *Reuters*, April 2020.
- [5] HUNGARY today. Coronavirus Orbn: Gradual Restart of Life Planned in 2nd Phase of Measures, Preparation for Surprises. *Hungary Today*, April 2020.

- [6] Amelie Desvars-Larrive, Elma Dervic, Nils Haug, Thomas Niederkroenthaler, Jiaying Chen, Anna Di Natale, Jana Lasser, Diana S Gliga, Alexandra Roux, Abhijit Chakraborty, Alexandr Ten, Alija Dervic, Andrea Pacheco, David Cserjan, Diana Lederhilger, Dorontine Berishaj, Erwin Flores Tames, Huda Takriti, Jan Korbel, Jenny Reddish, Johannes Stangl, Lamija Hadziavdic, Laura Stoeger, Leana Gooriah, Lukas Geyrhofer, Marcia R Ferreira, Rainer Vierlinger, Samantha Holder, Samuel Alvarez, Simon Haberfellner, Verena Ahne, Viktoria Reisch, Vito DP Servedio, Xiao Chen, Xochilt Maria Pocasangre-Orellana, David Garcia, and Stefan Thurner. A structured open dataset of government interventions in response to COVID-19. May 2020.
- [7] kurier.at. sterreich bei Intensivbetten weit ber OECD Schnitt. March 2020.
- [8] Austrian Ministry for Health. Amtliches Dashboard COVID19. 2020.
- [9] CSSE. COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU). 2020.
- [10] Kristian Thorlund, Louis Dron, Jay Park, Grace Hsu, Jamie I Forrest, and Edward J Mills. A real-time dashboard of clinical trials for COVID-19. *The Lancet Digital Health*, page S2589750020300868, April 2020.
- [11] derstandard.at. DER STANDARD Chatroom: Die Bar, die nicht mehr ist. *DER STANDARD*, November 2018. Library Catalog: www.derstandard.at.
- [12] derstandard.at. Corona: Hchstwerte fr STANDARD bei Ntzlichkeit und Glaubwrdigkeit. *DER STANDARD*, May 2020. Library Catalog: www.derstandard.at.
- [13] derstandard.at Sales Team. derstandard.at Media Data. February 2020.

- [14] Pew Research Center. How Twitter Users Compare to the General Public. April 2019. Library Catalog: www.pewresearch.org.
- [15] Markus Wolf, Andrea B. Horn, Matthias R. Mehl, Severin Haug, James W. Pennebaker, and Hans Kordy. Computergestützte quantitative Textanalyse - Äquivalenz und Robustheit der deutschen Version des Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count. *Diagnostica*, 54(2):85–98, April 2008. Publisher: Hogrefe Verlag.
- [16] Filipe N Ribeiro, Matheus Araújo, Pollyanna Gonçalves, Marcos André Gonçalves, and Fabrício Benevenuto. Sentibench-a benchmark comparison of state-of-the-practice sentiment analysis methods. *EPJ Data Science*, 5(1):1–29, 2016.
- [17] Daniele Quercia, Jonathan Ellis, Licia Capra, and Jon Crowcroft. Tracking” gross community happiness” from tweets. In *Proceedings of the ACM 2012 conference on computer supported cooperative work*, pages 965–968, 2012.
- [18] Kokil Jaidka, Salvatore Giorgi, H Andrew Schwartz, Margaret L Kern, Lyle H Ungar, and Johannes C Eichstaedt. Estimating geographic subjective well-being from twitter: A comparison of dictionary and data-driven language methods. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(19):10165–10171, 2020.
- [19] Jeremy A. Frimer, Nicola K. Schaefer, and Harrison Oakes. Moral actor, selfish agent. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106(5):790–802, 2014. Place: US Publisher: American Psychological Association.
- [20] Carson Sievert. *plotly for R*, 2018.
- [21] Richard Iannone, JJ Allaire, and Barbara Borges. *flexdashboard: R Markdown Format for Flexible Dashboards*, 2018. R package version 0.5.1.1.

- [22] Dawei Lang. *wordcloud2: Create Word Cloud by htmlWidget*, 2020. R package version 0.2.2.
- [23] R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2019.
- [24] orf.at. Regierungsprotokoll: Angst vor Infektion offenbar erwünscht. April 2020.
- [25] abgeordnetenwatch.de. Strategiepapier: Wie wir COVID-19 unter Kontrolle bekommen. May 2020.
- [26] Daniel Gomes, Joo Miranda, and Miguel Costa. A Survey on Web Archiving Initiatives. In Stefan Gradmann, Francesca Borri, Carlo Meghini, and Heiko Schuldt, editors, *Research and Advanced Technology for Digital Libraries*, pages 408–420, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [27] Max Pellert, Simon Schweighofer, and David Garcia. The individual dynamics of affective expression on social media. *EPJ Data Science*, 9(1):1, January 2020.
- [28] Oliver Gruebner, Sarah R. Lowe, Martin Sykora, Ketan Shankardass, S. V. Subramanian, and Sandro Galea. A novel surveillance approach for disaster mental health. *PLOS ONE*, 12(7):e0181233, July 2017. Publisher: Public Library of Science.
- [29] David Garcia and Bernard Rim. Collective Emotions and Social Resilience in the Digital Traces After a Terrorist Attack. *Psychological Science*, 30(4):617–628, April 2019.
- [30] Author Ashwini Ashokkumar. The Unfolding of the COVID Outbreak: The shifts in thinking and feeling. *Understanding people and groups*, April 2020.

- [31] APA. Coronavirus - Online-Emotionen: Weniger Angst und Wut, mehr Trauer. April 2020.
- [32] Federal Chancellery, Republic of Austria. COVID-19 Future Operations Clearing Board - Bundeskanzleramt sterreich. 2020.
- [33] Keymedia Wien. Online-Emotionen in Foren whrend der Coronakrise. *keymedia Wien | News Publishing*, April 2020. Library Catalog: www.keymedia.at.
- [34] derstandard.at. Coronavirus: Twitter spiegelt ngste und Sorgen der Menschen wider - derStandard.at. 2020. Library Catalog: www.derstandard.at.
- [35] Wiener Zeitung Online. Gefhle und Videokonferenzen - Wiener Komplexittsforscher finden bei Online-Emotionen nach einem deutlichen Anstieg zu Beginn der Krise nun weniger ngstlichkeit. *Mensch - Wiener Zeitung Online*, 2020. Library Catalog: www.wienerzeitung.at.
- [36] Magdalena Ennemoser. Online-Emotionen in Corona-Zeiten: Weniger Angst und Wut, mehr Trauer. *Tiroler Tageszeitung Online*, April 2020. Library Catalog: www.tt.com Section: Web & Tech.
- [37] Science.ORF.at. Online-Emotionen: Mehr Trauer als Wut. *science.ORF.at*, April 2020. Library Catalog: science.orf.at.
- [38] DataReportal. Digital 2019 Austria (January 2019) v01. February 2019. Library Catalog: SlideShare.

Figures and Tables

	derstandard.at	Twitter	student platform
# Posts	1,827,576	2,001,420	158,022
Mean # Posts per day	21,007	23,005	1,816
# Unique Users	19,263	594,500	NA
Fraction posemo	0.333	0.435	0.383
Fraction anxiety	0.036	0.031	0.032
Fraction anger	0.062	0.057	0.062
Fraction sad	0.083	0.064	0.09
Fraction social	0.561	0.559	0.592
Fraction prosocial	0.105	0.191	0.099

Table 1: **Descriptive statistics showing relevant aspects of the data sources.** Numbers refer to the time period from 9 March to 3 June 2020 (87 days). The total number of Twitter users in Austria in January 2019 is taken from the report of DataReportal [38]. Fractions refer to the number of posts containing at least one term from the relevant dictionary category in LIWC divided by the total number of posts.




Figure 1: **Flowchart of the daily routine of updating the dashboard.** We run this routine as a cronjob each day in the morning at 7am.




Figure 3: **Timeline of the indicators for derstandard.at during the COVID-19 period.** Values correspond to the percentage change against the baseline of the full year 2019. To be included, dictionary terms have to appear at least 10 times in both corpora.