

DYNAMICS OF RESONANCES FOR 0TH ORDER PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

JIAN WANG

ABSTRACT. We study the dynamics of resonances of analytic perturbations of 0th order pseudodifferential operators $P(s)$. In particular, we prove a Fermi golden rule for resonances of $P(s)$ at embedded eigenvalues of $P = P(0)$. We also study the dynamics of eigenvalues of $P(t) = P + it\Delta$ as the eigenvalues converge to simple eigenvalues of P . The 0th order pseudodifferential operators we consider satisfy natural dynamical assumptions and are used as microlocal models of internal waves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we are interested in the dynamics of the resonances of 0th order pseudodifferential operators. 0th order pseudodifferential operators arise naturally in the study of fluid, in particular, the study of internal waves. We refer to [Ra73] for the early




FIGURE 1. Resonances of $P(s)$ near $\lambda_0 = 0$, where $P(s)$ is of the form (1.11) with V_m , V_a given by (1.20), (1.21). In this example, 0 is an eigenvalue of P with multiplicity 2 and eigenfunctions $e^{\pm ix_1}/2\pi$. (a): $\lambda_{1,2}(\pm)$ denotes resonances of $P(s)$ with $\pm s > 0$, $-6.2 < s < 9.2$. (b): $\text{Im } \lambda_{1,2}(s)$ with small s . Dashed lines are the theoretic approximation of $\text{Im } \lambda_{1,2}(s)$, see (1.26).

work. Colin de Verdière and Saint-Raymond [CS-L20] used 0th order pseudodifferential operators with dynamical assumptions on 2 dimensional torus as microlocal model for internal waves. Colin de Verdière [CdV19] generalized the results to manifolds of higher dimensions. Dyatlov and Zworski [DyZw19b] provided alternative proofs of the results in [CS-L20] using tools from scattering theory. Wang [Wa19] studied the scattering matrix for these operators.

In the first part of this paper, we consider the perturbation theory for a 0th order pseudodifferential operator P . We consider the case when P has embedded eigenvalues λ . If $P(s)$ is a family of 0th order operators with $P = P(0)$, under certain conditions, the resonances of $P(s)$ near λ converge to λ . If λ has multiplicity $m > 1$, we show the resonances of $P(s)$ allow expansions as Puiseux series. In the case when λ is a simple eigenvalue of P , we propose and prove a Fermi golden rule – for references of Fermi golden rules, we refer to Simon [Si73] for n -body quantum systems; Colin de Verdière [CdV83] for the generic absence of embedded eigenvalues for surfaces with variable curvature and cusps; Phillips and Sarnak [PhSa92] for the Laplacian operator on automorphic functions; Lee and Zworski [LeZw16] for quantum graphs; [DyZw19a, Theorem 4.22] for a textbook style presentation of Fermi golden rule for black box scattering.

We are also interested in embedded eigenvalues λ as limits of eigenvalues $\lambda(t)$ of $P(t) = P + it\Delta$. Galkowski and Zworski [GaZw19] defined the set of resonances of P and showed the resonances can be approximated by the eigenvalues of $P(t)$. In the case when λ is simple, we compute the first derivative of $\lambda(t)$ at 0.

1.1. Main results. Let $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n$ be the torus and $P(s) \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a family of 0th order self-adjoint pseudodifferential operator on $\mathbb{T}^n$ defined by

$$P(s)u(z) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle z-z', \zeta \rangle} p(s, z, \zeta) u(z') dz' d\zeta. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $(s, z, \zeta) \in (-s_0, s_0) \times T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ for some $s_0 > 0$ and $p(s) \in S^0(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)$ is called the full symbol of $P(s)$. In the integral (1.1) we view p as a 2π periodic function in z over $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the integral is considered in the sense of oscillatory integrals (see [Zw12, §5.3]). We assume that

$$p(s) \text{ is analytic in } s, \quad (1.2)$$

and for each $s \in (-s_0, s_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(s) \text{ has an analytic continuation from } T^*\mathbb{T}^n \text{ to } \mathbb{C}^{2n} \text{ such that} \\ |p(s, z, \zeta)| \leq M < \infty, \quad \text{for } |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq a_1, \quad |\operatorname{Im} \zeta| \leq a_2 \langle \operatorname{Re} \zeta \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

with $a_1, a_2 > 0$. We also assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{there exists } F \in S^1(T^*\mathbb{T}^n), C > 0 \text{ such that} \\ H_{p(s)} F(z, \zeta) > 0, \quad \text{for } (z, \zeta) \in \{p(s, z, \zeta) = 0\} \cap \{|\zeta| > C\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$




FIGURE 2. Resonances of $P(s)$ where $P(s)$ is of the form [GaZw19, (B.4)] with V_m, V_a given by (1.15), (1.16). In this case 0 is a simple eigenvalue of P with eigenfunction $e^{ix_1}/2\pi$. **(a)**: $\lambda(\pm)$ denotes the resonances of $P(s)$ with $\pm s > 0$, $-4.5 < s < 5.5$. **(b)**: $\text{Im } \lambda(s)$ with s small. The dashed line is the theoretic approximation of $\text{Im } \lambda(s)$, see (1.19).

Motivated by definitions in [HeSj86] and [Sj96], let H_Λ be spaces come from [GaZw19, (4.7)], see also §2.1. Then by [GaZw19, Lemma 7.4], there exists $\zeta_0 > 0$ such that if $\text{Im } \zeta > -\zeta_0\theta$ and $|\text{Re } \zeta| < \zeta_0$

$$P(s) - \zeta : H_\Lambda \rightarrow H_\Lambda \quad (1.5)$$

is a Fredholm operator, where H_Λ is the space of hyperfunctions, see [GaZw19, (4.7)] or §2. Moreover, the resolvent of P

$$R(s, \zeta) := (P(s) - \zeta)^{-1} : H_\Lambda \rightarrow H_\Lambda \quad (1.6)$$

is a meromorphic family of operators in ζ for $\zeta \in (-\zeta_0, \zeta_0) + i(-\zeta_0\theta, \infty)$. The poles of $R(s, \zeta)$ are then called the resonances of $P(s)$ in $(-\zeta_0, \zeta_0) + i(-\zeta_0\theta, \infty)$.

In this paper, we consider $P(s)$ as perturbations of $P = P(0)$. The eigenvalues of P can be approximated by the resonances of $P(s)$ as s goes to 0. Moreover, we have the following

Theorem 1. *Suppose $P(s) \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a family of 0th order pseudodifferential operators satisfying (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an embedded eigenvalue of $P = P(0)$ with multiplicity $m \geq 1$. Then there exists $\lambda_\ell(s)$, $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ such that $\lambda_\ell(s)$ are resonances of $P(s)$, $\lambda_\ell(0) = \lambda$ and*

(1) (Fermi golden rule) *If $m = 1$, then λ_1 is analytic in $(-s_1, s_1)$, $0 < s_1 < s_0$ and*

$$\text{Im } \ddot{\lambda}_1 = -2 \text{Im} \langle \Pi_\perp R(\lambda) \Pi_\perp \dot{P}u, \dot{P}u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}. \quad (1.7)$$

Here $u \in H_\Lambda \cap L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the L^2 normalized eigenfunction of P and $\Pi_\perp$ is the L^2 orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of the eigenspace with eigenvalue λ ;

- (2) If $m > 1$, then λ_ℓ can be labeled so that λ_ℓ 's have Puiseux series expansions in s . If

$$\lambda_\ell(s) = \lambda + c_1 \omega^\ell s^{1/p} + c_2 \omega^{2\ell} s^{2/p} + \dots, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq p, \quad (1.8)$$

is a Puiseux cycle with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Then either $p = 1$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, or

$$\lambda_\ell(s) = \lambda + c_p s + \dots + c_{2Jp} s^{2J} + c_{2Jp+1} \omega^\ell s^{2J+1/p} + \dots \quad (1.9)$$

with $c_p, \dots, c_{2(J-1)p} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Im } c_{2Jp} < 0$.

Remark. As one can see from the proof, in the case where λ is a simple eigenvalue, we do not need to assume $p(s)$ is analytic in s , we only need $p(s)$ is smooth in s and then in the theorem, $\lambda_1(s)$ is smooth in s and we still have the Fermi golden rule.

Now we state the theorem for viscosity limits.




FIGURE 3. Eigenvalues of $P(t) = P + it\Delta$, where P is of the form (1.11) with V_m, V_a given by (1.28). In this example, P has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 1$ with eigenfunctions $u_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}(ie^{-ix_1} + e^{-2ix_1})$, $u_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}(i + e^{ix_1})$. As computed in (1.29), $\dot{\lambda}_1 = -5i/2$, $\dot{\lambda}_2 = -i/2$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $P \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a 0th order pseudodifferential operator satisfying (1.1), (1.3), (1.4) without dependence on s . Let $P(t) = P + it\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^n}$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of P with L^2 normalized eigenfunction $u \in H_\Lambda \cap L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Then there exists $\lambda(t) \in C^\infty((0, t_0); \mathbb{C})$, $t_0 > 0$ such that $\lambda(t)$ is an L^2 eigenvalue of $P(t)$, $\lambda(t) \rightarrow \lambda_0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ and

$$\dot{\lambda} = -i\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}^2 \quad (1.10)$$

where $\dot{\lambda} = \dot{\lambda}(0)$.

1.2. Examples. As in [Ta19, Example 1], [GaZw19, (B.4)], we consider operators of the following form on $\mathbb{T}^2$:

$$P = \langle D \rangle^{-1} D_{x_2} + \sin(x_1)(I - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(D_{x_1})) + (I - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(D_{x_1})) \sin(x_1) + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(D_{x_1}) \quad (1.11)$$

with $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfy

$$\max \{ |\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(\xi_1)|, |\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi_1)| \} \leq C e^{-c|\operatorname{Re} \xi_1|^2}, \quad |\operatorname{Im} \xi_1| < b \langle \operatorname{Re} \xi_1 \rangle. \quad (1.12)$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be fixed, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(e^{in \cdot x}) &= (\langle n \rangle^{-1} n_2 + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(n_1)) e^{in \cdot x} + (1 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1)) \sin(x_1) e^{in \cdot x} \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1 + 1)}{2i} e^{i(n+e_1) \cdot x} - \frac{1 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1 - 1)}{2i} e^{i(n-e_1) \cdot x} \\ &= (\langle n \rangle^{-1} n_2 + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(n_1)) e^{in \cdot x} + \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1) - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1 + 1)}{2i} e^{i(n+e_1) \cdot x} \\ &\quad - \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1) - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1 - 1)}{2i} e^{i(n-e_1) \cdot x} \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

with $e_1 = (1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Suppose $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(s, \xi_1)$ is an analytic perturbation of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $P(s)$ is the perturbation of P with $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}$ replaced by $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(s, \cdot)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}(e^{in \cdot x}) &= - \left(\sin(x_1) \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{m}}(D_{x_1}) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{m}}(D_{x_1}) \sin(x_1) \right) (e^{in \cdot x}) \\ &= \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1 - 1)}{2i} e^{i(n-e_1) \cdot x} - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{m}}(n_1 + 1)}{2i} e^{i(n+e_1) \cdot x}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Example 1 (Simple eigenvalues). We first put

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(\xi_1) = 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi \xi_1}{2} \right) e^{-(\xi_1 - 1)^2}, \quad \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi_1) = 5(\xi_1 - 1) e^{-\xi_1^2}. \quad (1.15)$$

By (1.13), 0 is a simple eigenvalue of P with eigenfunction $u(x) = e^{ix_1}/2\pi$. We consider the following perturbation of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}$:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(s, \xi_1) = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(\xi_1) + s e^{-(\xi_1 - 1)^2}. \quad (1.16)$$

Figure 2 shows a numerical illustration of the resonances of $P(s)$ near the eigenvalue 0. The numerical computation is based on the method of complex scaling, see [GaZw19, Appendix B]. To compute $\ddot{\lambda}$ in Theorem (1), we notice that by (1.14), we have

$$\dot{P}(u) = \frac{1+e^{-1}}{4\pi i} (1 - e^{2ix_1}). \quad (1.17)$$

Now we find approximate values of $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$R(0) \dot{P}u = \sum a_n e^{in \cdot x}.$$

Since $\dot{P}u$ is a function that is independent of x_2 and the only dependence of P on x_2 is the term $\langle D \rangle^{-1} D_{x_2}$, we can ignore x_2 and replace x_1 by x in the following computation.

For $\epsilon > 0$, we now find $v(\epsilon) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(\epsilon) e^{inx} \in L^2$ such that $(P - i\epsilon)v = \dot{P}u$. Notice that

$$(P - i\epsilon)v = \sum \left(\frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n-1) - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n)}{2i} a_{n-1} + (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(n) - i\epsilon) a_n - \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n) - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n+1)}{2i} a_{n+1} \right) e^{inx}.$$

For fixed $N > 0$, we consider $a_n = a_n(\epsilon, N)$ satisfying the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \left(\frac{\epsilon - \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + 4}}{2} \right)^{n-N} a_N, \quad n \geq N, \quad a_n = \left(\frac{\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + 4}}{2} \right)^{n+N} a_{-N}, \quad n \leq -N. \\ \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n-1) - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n)}{2i} a_{n-1} + (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(n) - i\epsilon) a_n - \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n) - \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n+1)}{2i} a_{n+1} &= k_n, \quad -N \leq n \leq N, \\ k_n &= 0, \quad -N \leq n \leq N, \quad N \neq 0, 2, \quad k_0 = \frac{1 + e^{-1}}{4\pi i}, \quad k_2 = -\frac{1 + e^{-1}}{4\pi i}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $w(\epsilon, N) := \sum a_n(\epsilon, N) e^{inx}$, then $w \in L^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} r(\epsilon, N) &:= (P - i\epsilon)w(\epsilon, N) - \dot{P}u \\ &= \sum_{|n| \geq N+1} \left(-\frac{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n-1) + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n)}{2i} a_{n-1} + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(n) a_n + \frac{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n) + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(n+1)}{2i} a_{n+1} \right) e^{inx}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 0, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n(\epsilon, N) - a_n| &\leq |a_n(\epsilon, N) - a_n(\epsilon)| + |a_n(\epsilon) - a_n| \\ &\leq C \|(P - i\epsilon)^{-1} r(\epsilon, N)\|_{H^{-1/2-}} + C \|(P - i\epsilon)^{-1} \dot{P}u - R(0) \dot{P}u\|_{H^{-1/2-}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(P - i\epsilon)^{-1} r(\epsilon, N) = ((P + I)\Pi_{\perp} - I - i\epsilon)^{-1} r(\epsilon, N),$$

Since 0 is not an eigenvalues of $(P + I)\Pi_{\perp} - I$, by the proof of [Wa19, Proposition 3.1], we have

$$\|((P + I)\Pi_{\perp} - I - i\epsilon)^{-1} r(\epsilon, N)\|_{H^{-1/2-}} \leq C \|r(\epsilon, N)\|_{H^{1/2+}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the same proposition, see also [DyZw19b, Lemma 3.3], we also have

$$\|(P - i\epsilon)^{-1} \dot{P}u - R(0) \dot{P}u\|_{H^{-1/2-}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we find

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty} (|a_0(\epsilon, N) - a_0| + |a_2(\epsilon, N) - a_2|) = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

To get an approximate value of a_0, a_2 in our example, we put $N = 3$ and set $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and find $a_0 \approx 0.0003 + 0.0230i$, $a_2 \approx -0.1100 + 0.0101i$. As a result we find

$$\text{Im } \ddot{\lambda} = -2 \text{Im} \langle \Pi_{\perp} R(0) \Pi_{\perp} \dot{P}u, \dot{P}u \rangle_{L^2} = 2\pi(1 + e^{-1}) \text{Re}(a_2 - a_0) \approx -0.9479.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Im } \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{Im } \ddot{\lambda} \right) s^2 + O(s^3) \approx -0.4739s^2 + O(s^3). \quad (1.19)$$

The results are shown in Figure 2.

Example 2 (Eigenvalues with multiplicities). Now we consider

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}(\xi_1) = 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi \xi_1}{2}\right) e^{-(\xi_1^2 - 1)^2}, \quad \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi_1) = 6(1 - \xi_1^2) e^{-(\xi_1 - 1)^2}. \quad (1.20)$$

In this case, by (1.13), $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of P with eigenfunction $u_1 = e^{-ix}/2\pi$, $u_2 = e^{ix}/2\pi$. Let $\mathbf{V}_m(s, \xi_1)$ be a perturbation of P as follows:

$$\mathbf{V}_m(s, \xi_1) = \mathbf{V}_m(\xi_1) + s \left(e^{-(\xi_1+4/5)^2} + \frac{4}{5}e^{-(\xi_1-4/5)^2} \right). \quad (1.21)$$

Figure 1 shows a numerical result of the resonances of $P(s)$ near 0. As predicted in Theorem 1, the resonances of $P(s)$ branches into Puiseux series of cycle $p = 2$. In fact, by the proof of Theorem 1 and (2.14), (4.6), (4.8), we have

$$F_{-+} = E_{-+} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k s^k E_- \dot{P} (E \dot{P})^{k-1} E_+ = \lambda I_2 - sA(s) \quad (1.22)$$

with

$$A(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k s^k \begin{pmatrix} \langle \dot{P} (E \dot{P})^k u_1, u_1 \rangle & \langle \dot{P} (E \dot{P})^k u_1, u_2 \rangle \\ \langle \dot{P} (E \dot{P})^k u_2, u_1 \rangle & \langle \dot{P} (E \dot{P})^k u_2, u_2 \rangle \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.23)$$

Thus

$$\det(F_{-+}) = \lambda^2 - (A_{11} + A_{22})s\lambda + \det(A)s^2. \quad (1.24)$$

Put $\det(F_{-+}) = 0$, use the fact that $A(0) = 0$ in this example, and we find,

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{\dot{A}_{11} + \dot{A}_{22} \pm \sqrt{(\dot{A}_{11} - \dot{A}_{22})^2 + 4\dot{A}_{12}\dot{A}_{21}}}{2} s^2 + O(s^{5/2}), \quad \dot{A}_{ij} = -\langle \dot{P} E \dot{P} u_i, u_j \rangle. \quad (1.25)$$

By (1.14), we have

$$\dot{P}(e^{-ix_1}) = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-2) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-1)}{2i} e^{-2ix} - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0)}{2i}, \quad \dot{P}(e^{ix_1}) = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(1)}{2i} - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(2)}{2i} e^{2ix}.$$

Now we find a_n, b_n such that

$$R(0)P(e^{-ix}) = \sum a_n e^{inx}, \quad R(0)P(e^{ix}) = \sum b_n e^{inx}.$$

By the same analysis in Example 1, we solve

$$a_{-3} = \left(\frac{\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + 4}}{2} \right) a_{-2}, \quad b_3 = \left(\frac{\epsilon - \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + 4}}{2} \right) b_2, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad b_{-2} = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(-3) - \mathbf{V}_m(-2)}{2i} a_{-3} + (\mathbf{V}_a(-2) - i\epsilon) a_{-2} - \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(-2) - \mathbf{V}_m(-1)}{2i} a_{-1} &= \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-2) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-1)}{2i}, \\ \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(-1) - \mathbf{V}_m(0)}{2i} a_{-1} + (\mathbf{V}_a(0) - i\epsilon) a_0 - \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(0) - \mathbf{V}_m(1)}{2i} a_1 &= -\frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0)}{2i}, \\ \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(-1) - \mathbf{V}_m(0)}{2i} b_{-1} + (\mathbf{V}_a(0) - i\epsilon) b_0 - \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(0) - \mathbf{V}_m(1)}{2i} b_1 &= \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(1)}{2i}, \\ \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(1) - \mathbf{V}_m(2)}{2i} b_1 + (\mathbf{V}_a(2) - i\epsilon) b_2 - \frac{2 - \mathbf{V}_m(2) - \mathbf{V}_m(3)}{2i} b_3 &= -\frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(2)}{2i} \end{aligned}$$

and let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and find approximately

$$a_{-2} \approx 0.6147 + 0.0014i, \quad a_0 \approx 0.4397i, \quad b_0 \approx 0.3980i, \quad b_2 \approx -0.0111 - 0.0737i.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A}_{11} &= \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-2) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-1)}{2i} a_{-2} - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0)}{2i} a_0, & \dot{A}_{12} &= \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(1)}{2i} a_0, \\ \dot{A}_{21} &= -\frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(-1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0)}{2i} b_0, & \dot{A}_{22} &= \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(0) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(1)}{2i} b_0 - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(1) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_m(2)}{2i} b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the values of a_{-2} , a_0 , b_0 , b_2 and we find

$$\dot{A} \approx \begin{pmatrix} -0.4260 - 0.3778i & 0.3863 \\ 0.3863 & -0.3129 - 0.0055i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Im} \lambda_1 \approx -0.1611s^2 + O(s^{5/2}), \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda_2 \approx -0.2222s^2 + O(s^{5/2}). \quad (1.26)$$

The results are shown in Figure 1.

Example 3 (Simple eigenvalues and operators with viscosity). Let P be as in Example 1, that is, $\mathbf{V}_m$, $\mathbf{V}_a$ are given by (1.15). If we add the viscosity to P and consider $P(t) = P + it\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}$, then

$$P(t)(e^{ix_1}) = it\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}(e^{ix_1}) = -ite^{ix_1}. \quad (1.27)$$

Therefore $\lambda(t) = -it$ is the eigenvalue of $P(t)$ near 0. Hence $\dot{\lambda} = -i$. This verifies the formula in Theorem 2. As a less trivial example, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_m(\xi_1) &= (\xi_1 + 3)(\xi_1 - 2)\left(\frac{1}{2}\xi_1^3 + \frac{7}{12}\xi_1^2 - \frac{11}{12}\xi_1 - \frac{2}{3}\right)e^{-\xi_1(\xi_1+3)(\xi_1^2-1)(\xi_1^2-4)}, \\ \mathbf{V}_a(\xi_1) &= \left(-\frac{2}{3}\xi_1^3 - \xi_1^2 + \frac{5}{3}\xi_1 + 3\right)e^{-\xi_1(\xi_1^2-1)(\xi_1+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

Then P has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 1$ with eigenfunctions $u_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}(ie^{-ix_1} + e^{-2ix_1})$, $u_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}(i + e^{ix_1})$. Thus

$$\dot{\lambda}_1 = -i\|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 = -\frac{5i}{2}, \quad \dot{\lambda}_2 = -i\|\nabla u_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 = -\frac{i}{2}. \quad (1.29)$$

Figure 3 shows the numerical results of the eigenvalues of $P(t)$ near 0. Figure 3(b) justifies (1.29).

1.3. Organization of the paper. In §2, we review the construction of the space of hyperfunctions and Grushin problem briefly. In §3, we show the analyticity of the eigenfunctions of P . In §4, we give a proof to Theorem 1. In §5, we prove Theorem 2.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Maciej Zworski for suggesting this problem, for helpful advice and for his help in Matlab experiments. Partial support by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-1952939 is also gratefully acknowledged.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Space of hyperfunctions. We first review the function spaces H_Λ constructed in [GaZw19, (4.7)].

Let $\widetilde{T^*\mathbb{T}^n} = \{(z, \zeta) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$. Let σ be the complex symplectic form over $\widetilde{T^*\mathbb{T}^n}$. We assume the function F in (1.4) satisfies

$$\sup_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 2} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1+|\beta|} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta F(x, \xi)| \leq \epsilon_0, \quad \sup_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1+|\beta|} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta F(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \quad (2.1)$$

with $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough. We put

$$\Lambda := \{(x + iF_\xi(x, \xi), \xi - iF_x(x, \xi)) : (x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{T}^n\} \subset \widetilde{T^*\mathbb{T}^n}, \quad (2.2)$$

Then

$$\text{Im}(\sigma|_\Lambda) \equiv 0, \quad \text{Re}(\sigma|_\Lambda) \text{ is non-degenerate.} \quad (2.3)$$

Let $d\alpha$ be the natural volume form on Λ defined by $d\alpha = (\sigma|_\Lambda)^n/n!$. Let

$$H(x, \xi) = F(x, \xi) - \xi \cdot F_\xi(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.4)$$

Let T_Λ be the complex deformation of the FBI transform defined in [GaZw19, §4].

We now define a function space

$$\mathcal{J}_\delta = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) : \|u\|_{\mathcal{J}_\delta} < \infty\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{J}_\delta}^2 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\widehat{u}(n)|^2 e^{4|n|\delta}, \quad \widehat{u}(n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} u(x) e^{-i\langle x, n \rangle} dx. \quad (2.6)$$

Let ϵ_0 be sufficiently small such that T_Λ has the mapping property as in [GaZw19, Lemma 4.1] for $0 < \delta < \delta_0$. Then the space H_Λ is defined as the closure of $\mathcal{J}_\delta$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_\Lambda}$ given by the formula

$$\|u\|_{H_\Lambda} := \int_\Lambda |T_\Lambda u(\alpha)|^2 e^{-2H(\alpha)/h} d\alpha. \quad (2.7)$$

2.2. Grushin problems. We briefly review Grushin problems, for a complete introduction, see for instance [DyZw19a, §C.1]. See also [SjZw07] for applications of Grushin problems.

Suppose $P : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, $R_- : X_- \rightarrow X_2$, $R_+ : X_1 \rightarrow X_+$ are bounded operators on Banach spaces X_1, X_2, X_-, X_+ . We call the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ v_+ \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

a Grushin problem. We call the Grushin problem (2.8) well-posed if it is invertible, and in this case we write the inverse as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E & E_+ \\ E_- & E_{-+} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ v_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

with operators $E : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$, $E_+ : X_+ \rightarrow X_1$, $E_- : X_2 \rightarrow X_-$, $X_+ \rightarrow X_-$. We also know that P is invertible if and only if E_{-+} is invertible. Moreover, when P and E_{-+} are invertible, we have

$$P^{-1} = E - E_+ E_{-+}^{-1} E_-. \quad (2.10)$$

We also record the following formula for the perturbed Grushin problem

Lemma 2.1. ([DyZw19a, Lemma C.3]) *Suppose the Grushin problem (2.8) is well-posed and (2.9) is the inverse. If $B : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a bounded operator such that*

$$\|EB\|_{X_1 \rightarrow X_1} < 1, \quad \|BE\|_{X_2 \rightarrow X_2} < 1, \quad (2.11)$$

then the Grushin problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} P+B & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} : X_1 \times X_- \rightarrow X_2 \times X_+ \quad (2.12)$$

is well-posed with inverse

$$\begin{pmatrix} F & F_+ \\ F_- & F_{-+} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.13)$$

such that

$$F_{-+} = E_{-+} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell E_- B (EB)^{\ell-1} E_+. \quad (2.14)$$

3. ANALYTICITY OF EIGENFUNCTIONS

In this section we prove the analyticity of the eigenfunctions of P . More precisely,

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $u \in H_\Lambda \cap L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is an eigenfunction of P with eigenvalue λ . Then $u \in \mathcal{J}_\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$.*

Proof. Since $u \in H_\Lambda$, by [GaZw19, Proposition 2.5], there exists $\psi \in S^1(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that

$$\psi(x, \xi) = F(x, \xi) + O(\epsilon_0^2)_{S^1(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$T_\Lambda u \in L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n, e^{2\psi/h} dx d\xi). \quad (3.2)$$

Now by [GaZw19, Proposition 2.3] and the fact that $u \in L^2$, we find

$$\text{WF}_a(u) \cap (U \times \Gamma) = \emptyset \quad (3.3)$$

as long as $|\psi(x, \xi)| \geq |\xi|/C$ when $(x, \xi) \in U \times \Gamma \subset T^*\mathbb{T}^n$. Therefore

$$\text{WF}_a(u) \subset \{(x, \xi) : p(x, \xi) = 0, F(x, \xi) = 0\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Here $\text{WF}_a(u)$ is the analytic wavefront set of u , see [Sj82, Definition 6.1].

To show $\text{WF}_a(u) = \emptyset$, it remains to show that the analytic singularities of u propagates along the bicharacteristics, since $H_p F(x, \xi) > 0$ when $(x, \xi) \in \{p = 0\} \cap \{|\xi| > C\}$. More precisely, we have the following

Claim: $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{MS}(u)$ if and only if there exist $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma : [0, t_0] \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{T}^n$, $\gamma(t) = e^{tH_p}(x_0, \xi_0)$ exists and $\gamma(t_0) \in \text{MS}(u)$. Here $\text{MS}(u)$ is the microlocal support of the distribution u , see [Ma02, Definition 3.2.1].

If the claim is true, then $\text{WF}_a(u) \cap \{p = 0\} = \emptyset$ by the assumption (1.4). By [Ma02, Theorem 4.2.2], $\text{MS}(u) \subset \{p = 0\}$. Hence we find $\text{WF}_a(u) = \emptyset$. This implies $u \in \mathcal{J}_\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$.

We now prove the claim.

Step 1. We start by noting that for any real-valued $\varphi \in S^0(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\kappa > 0$ we have

$$\langle (H_p \varphi) e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda u, e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda u \rangle_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} = O(\kappa + h/\kappa) \|e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)}^2. \quad (3.5)$$

In fact, by [Ma02, Proposition 3.3.1], we have

$$e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda P v = Q e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v, \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$Q = e^{\kappa \varphi / h} \text{Op}_h(p(x - \xi^*, x^*)) e^{-\kappa \varphi / h} \quad (3.7)$$

where (x^*, ξ^*) are the dual variables of (x, ξ) such that $\text{Op}_h(x^*) = hD_x$, $\text{Op}_h(\xi^*) = hD_\xi$. The principal symbol of Q is

$$\sigma(Q)(x, \xi, x^*, \xi^*) = q_0(x, \xi, x^*, \xi^*) = p(x - \xi^* - i\kappa \partial_\xi \varphi, x^* + i\kappa \partial_x \varphi). \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, by [Ma02, Theorem 3.5.1],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda P v, e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v \rangle_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} &= \langle Q e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v, e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v \rangle_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \langle (\tilde{q}(x, \xi; h) + R(h)) e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v, e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v \rangle_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

with $R(h) = O(h^\infty)_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)}$ and

$$\tilde{q} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j \tilde{q}_j \text{ in } S^0(T^*\mathbb{T}^n), \quad \tilde{q}_0(x, \xi) = q_0(x, \xi, \xi - \kappa \partial_\xi \varphi(x, \xi), \kappa \partial_x \varphi(x, \xi)). \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_0(x, \xi) &= p(x - \kappa \partial_x \varphi - i\kappa \partial_\xi \varphi, \xi - \kappa \partial_\xi \varphi + i\kappa \partial_x \varphi) \\ &= p(x, \xi) + ((\partial_x p \partial_x \varphi - \partial_\xi p \partial_\xi \varphi) - iH_p \varphi) \kappa + O(\kappa^2)_{S^0(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Im} \langle e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda P v, e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v \rangle_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \langle (\text{Im} \tilde{q}) e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v, e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v \rangle_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} + O(h^\infty) \|e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v\|_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= -\kappa \langle (H_p \varphi) e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v, e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v \rangle_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)} + O(h + \kappa^2) \|e^{\kappa \varphi / h} T_\Lambda v\|_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Since $Pu = 0$, we have (3.5).

Step 2. We now construct the function $\varphi \in S^0(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)$ to be used in the next part to derive propagation estimates. Such functions are called “escape functions”, we refer to [DyZw19a, Lemma E.48] for the standard construction in the case of principle type propagation.

Let $\Sigma \subset T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ be a hypersurface that passes $\gamma(0)$ and is a cross section of the flow e^{tH_p} such that

$$\Phi : (-2\delta, t_1 + \delta) \times \Sigma \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{T}^n, \quad \Phi(t, (y, \eta)) = e^{tH_p}(y, \eta), \quad (3.13)$$

is a diffeomorphism to its image $V := \Phi((-2\delta, t_1 + \delta) \times \Sigma)$. Since $\gamma(t_1) \notin \text{MS}(u)$, we assume

$$\|T_\Lambda\|_{L^2(W)} = O(e^{-\alpha/h}), \quad W := \Phi((t_1 - \delta, t_1 + \delta) \times \Sigma_1), \quad (3.14)$$

with $\delta > 0$ small enough, Σ_1 an open neighborhood of $\gamma(0)$, $\overline{\Sigma_1} \subset \Sigma$. Let Σ'_1 be an open neighborhood of Σ_1 such that $\overline{\Sigma'_1} \subset \Sigma_1$. Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma, [0, 1])$, $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, \alpha])$, such that

$$\chi|_{\Sigma'_1} \equiv 1, \quad \chi|_{\Sigma_1 \setminus \Sigma'_1} \neq 0, \quad \chi|_{\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_1} \leq 1/4, \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\text{supp } \rho \subset (-2\delta, t_1 + \delta), \quad \rho(0) = \alpha/2, \quad \rho'|_{(-2\delta, -\delta)} \geq 0, \quad \rho'|_{(-\delta, t_1 - \delta)} \geq \beta \quad (3.16)$$

with $\beta > 0$. Now we put

$$\varphi(\Phi(t, (y, \eta))) := \chi(y, \eta)\rho(t), \quad (t, (y, \eta)) \in (-2\delta, t_1 + \delta) \times \Sigma \quad (3.17)$$

and extend φ by 0 outside V . Now we have $\varphi \in S^0(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)$ which satisfies

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq \alpha, \quad \text{supp } \varphi \subset V, \quad H_p \varphi|_{\Phi(t, (y, \eta))} = \chi(y, \eta)\rho'(t). \quad (3.18)$$

Step 3. Let $V_1 := \Phi((- \delta, t_1 - \delta) \times \Sigma_1)$, then

$$H_p \varphi|_{V_1} \geq 1/C_1 > 0. \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.5), we find

$$\|e^{\kappa\varphi/h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(V_1)}^2 \leq C_1 \|e^{\kappa\varphi/h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n \setminus V_1)}^2 + CC_1(\kappa + h/\kappa) \|e^{\kappa\varphi/h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n)}^2.$$

Let $\kappa = 1/(1 + 2CC_1)$, then for $0 < h < h_0$ where $h_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small we have

$$\|e^{\kappa\varphi/h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(V_1)} \leq C(h_0) \|e^{\kappa\varphi/h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(T^*\mathbb{T}^n \setminus V_1)} \quad (3.20)$$

with $C(h_0) > 0$. Now we denote

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &:= T^*\mathbb{T}^n \setminus V, \quad D_2 := W, \\ D_3 &:= \Phi((- \delta, t_1 + \delta) \times (\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_1)), \quad D_4 := \Phi((-2\delta, -\delta) \times \Sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Then $T^*\mathbb{T}^n \setminus V_1 = \sqcup_{j=1}^4 D_j$ and

$$\varphi|_{D_1} = 0, \quad \varphi|_{D_2} \leq \alpha, \quad \varphi|_{D_3} \leq \alpha/4, \quad \varphi|_{D_4} \leq \alpha/2 - \beta\delta. \quad (3.22)$$

Let $\beta < \alpha/(4\delta)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\kappa\varphi/h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(V_1)} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^4 \|e^{\kappa\varphi/h} T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(D_j)} \\ &= O(1 + e^{\max\{(\kappa-1)\alpha/h, \kappa\varphi/(4h), \kappa(\alpha/2-\beta\delta)/h\}}) = O(1 + e^{\kappa(\alpha/2-\beta\delta)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Here we used (3.14). Now let V'_1 be an open neighborhood of $\gamma(0)$ such that

$$\overline{V'_1} \subset V_1, \quad \varphi|_{V'_1} \geq \alpha/2 - \beta\delta/2. \quad (3.24)$$

Then we have

$$\|T_\Lambda u\|_{L^2(V'_1)} = O(e^{-\kappa\beta\delta/h}). \quad (3.25)$$

This implies $\gamma(0) \notin \text{MS}(u)$. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We now give a proof to Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Part 1. Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\} \subset H_\Lambda \cap L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be an orthonormal basis of the eigenspace with eigenvalue λ . We consider the Grushin problem

$$\mathcal{P}(s, \zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} P(s) - \zeta & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} : H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (4.1)$$

where $R_- : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow H_\Lambda$ and $R_+ : H_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ are defined by

$$R_+ w = (\langle w, u_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \dots, \langle w, u_m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}), \quad R_-(w_-^1, \dots, w_-^m) = \sum_{j=1}^m w_-^j u_j. \quad (4.2)$$

By Lemma 3.1, $u_j \in \mathcal{J}_\delta$ for small $\delta > 0$. Therefore

$$|\langle u, u_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}| \leq \|u_j\|_{\mathcal{J}_\delta} \|u\|_{\mathcal{J}_{-\delta}} \leq C \|u_j\|_{\mathcal{J}_\delta} \|u\|_{H_\Lambda} \quad (4.3)$$

since $\mathcal{J}_{-\delta}$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{J}_\delta$ relative L^2 pairing on $\mathbb{T}^n$ and $\mathcal{J}_\delta \subset H_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{J}_{-\delta}$ (see for instance [GaZw19, §4]). This implies $R_\pm$ are well-defined and bounded operators.

When $s = 0$ and ζ is near λ , by [GaZw19, Lemma 7.9], there exist $A(\zeta) : H_\Lambda \rightarrow H_\Lambda$ that is analytic in ζ and

$$R(0, \zeta) = A(\zeta) + \frac{\Pi_\lambda}{\lambda - \zeta}. \quad (4.4)$$

Here $\Pi_\lambda : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ is the L^2 orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace of λ : $\Pi_\lambda u = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle u, v_j \rangle v_j$.

If we put

$$\mathcal{E}(\zeta) := \begin{pmatrix} A(\zeta) & E_+ \\ E_- & E_{-+} \end{pmatrix} : H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (4.5)$$

where $E_+ : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow H_\Lambda$, $E_- : H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^m$ are defined by

$$E_+(v_+^1, \dots, v_+^m) = \sum_{j=1}^m v_+^j u_j, \quad E_- v = (\langle v, u_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}, \dots, \langle v, u_m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}), \quad (4.6)$$

and $E_{-+} = (\zeta - \lambda)I_m$. One can check that $\mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ is the inverse of $\mathcal{P}(0, \zeta)$. By (1.2), there exists $0 < s_1 < s_0$ such that for $s \in (-s_1, s_1)$,

$$\max(\|(P(s) - P)A(\zeta)\|_{H_\Lambda \rightarrow H_\Lambda}, \|A(\zeta)(P(s) - P)\|_{H_\Lambda \rightarrow H_\Lambda}) < 1. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence by [DyZw19a, Lemma C.3], for $(s, \zeta) \in (-s_1, s_1) \times U$, where U is an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{P}(s, \zeta)$ has inverse

$$\mathcal{E}(s, \zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} E(s, \zeta) & E_+(s, \zeta) \\ E_-(s, \zeta) & E_{-+}(s, \zeta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

such that $\mathcal{E}(s, \zeta)$ is analytic in s, ζ and $\mathcal{E}(0, \zeta) = \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$. Since $P(s) - \zeta$ is invertible if and only if $E_{-+}(s, \zeta)$ is invertible, we know the resonances $\lambda_j(s)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, of $P(s)$ near λ_0 must satisfy

$$\det(E_{-+}(s, \lambda_j(s))) = 0, \quad \lambda_j(0) = \lambda. \quad (4.9)$$

Let $L(s, \zeta) := \det(E_{-+}(s, \zeta))$. Then $L(s, \zeta)$ is analytic in $(s, \zeta) \in (-s_1, s_1) \times U$, where $s_1 > 0$, U is a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$L(0, \zeta) = \det(E_{-+}(0, \zeta)) = (\zeta - \lambda)^m. \quad (4.10)$$

By Weierstrass preparation theorem (see for instance [Sc05, Theorem 8.2.15]), there exist analytic functions g_j , $g_j(\lambda) = 0$, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, and analytic function $N(s, \zeta)$, $N(s, \zeta) \neq 0$ near $(0, \lambda)$, such that

$$L(s, \zeta) = ((\zeta - \lambda)^m + g_{m-1}(s)(\zeta - \lambda)^{m-1} + \cdots + g_0(s)) N(s, \zeta) \quad (4.11)$$

Hence by [Ka80, Chapter 2, §1], λ_j has Puiseux series expansions. For a Puiseux cycle, there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lambda_\ell(s) = \lambda + c_1 \omega^\ell s^{1/p} + c_2 \omega^{2\ell} s^{2/p} + \cdots, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq p \quad (4.12)$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p}$. If $\lambda_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, then $p = 1$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j \geq 1$. If $\lambda_\ell \notin \mathbb{R}$, then we have (1.9) using the fact that $\text{Im } \lambda_\ell \leq 0$.

Part 2. Now we consider the case when λ is a simple eigenvalue and prove the Fermi golden rule.

Differentiate $E_{-+}(s, \lambda(s)) = 0$ in s and we find

$$\partial_s E_{-+}(0, \lambda) + \partial_\zeta E_{-+}(0, \lambda) \dot{\lambda} = 0,$$

$$\partial_s^2 E_{-+}(0, \lambda) + 2\partial_s \partial_\zeta E_{-+}(0, \lambda) \dot{\lambda} + \partial_\zeta^2 E_{-+}(0, \lambda) \dot{\lambda}^2 + \partial_\zeta E_{-+}(0, \lambda) \ddot{\lambda} = 0.$$

Differentiate $\mathcal{P}(s, \zeta) \mathcal{E}(s, \zeta) = I$ in s and we find

$$\partial_s E_{-+}(0, \lambda) = -E_- \dot{P} E_+, \quad \partial_s^2 E_{-+}(0, \lambda) = 2E_- \dot{P} E \dot{P} E_+ - E_- \ddot{P} E_+.$$

Note now that $\partial_\zeta^2 E_{-+}(0, \lambda) = 0$, $\partial_s \partial_\zeta E_{-+}(0, \lambda) = 0$, $\partial_\zeta E_{-+}(0, \lambda) = 1$, $\dot{\lambda}(0) \in \mathbb{R}$, hence we have

$$\text{Im } \ddot{\lambda} = -\text{Im } \partial_s^2 E_{-+}(0, \lambda) = -2 \text{Im} \langle A(\lambda) \dot{P} u, \dot{P} u \rangle + \text{Im} \langle \ddot{P} u, u \rangle = -2 \text{Im} \langle A(\lambda) \dot{P} u, \dot{P} u \rangle.$$

Here we used the self-adjointness of $\ddot{P}$. Hence we find

$$\text{Im } \ddot{\lambda} = -2 \text{Im} \langle A(\lambda) \dot{P} u, \dot{P} u \rangle = -2 \text{Im} \langle \Pi_\perp R(\lambda) \Pi_\perp \dot{P} u, \dot{P} u \rangle. \quad (4.13)$$

The latter equality follows from the fact that $A(\lambda)u = 0$ and $A(\lambda)^*u = 0$. $\square$

Remarks. 1. We can derive an alternative formula for $\ddot{\lambda}(0)$ by using the operator G_0^+ constructed in [Wa19, Lemma 5.19]:

$$\ddot{\lambda}(0) = -4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |G_0^+(R(\lambda)\Pi_\perp \dot{P}v_0)|^2 dS. \quad (4.14)$$

In fact, let $\mathcal{B}$ be defined by [Wa19, (6.2)]. By the boundary pairing formula [Wa19, Proposition 6.5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (R(\lambda) - R(\lambda)^*)u, v \rangle &= \langle R(\lambda)u, v \rangle - \langle u, R(\lambda)v \rangle \\ &= \langle R(\lambda)u, (P - \lambda)R(\lambda)v \rangle - \langle R(\lambda)u, (P - \lambda)R(\lambda)v \rangle \\ &= -\mathcal{B}(R(\lambda)u, R(\lambda)v) = (2\pi)^2 i \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} G_0^+(R(\lambda)u) \cdot G_0^+(R(\lambda)v) dS. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Here we used the fact $R(\lambda)u, R(\lambda)v \in I^0(\Lambda^+)$, see [DyZw19b, Lemma 4.1] or [Wa19, Lemma 3.3]. Hence by Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\lambda}(0) &= -2 \operatorname{Im} \langle \Pi_\perp R(\lambda) \Pi_\perp \dot{P}w, \dot{P}w \rangle = -\frac{1}{i} \langle (R(\lambda) - R(\lambda)^*) \Pi_\perp \dot{P}w, \Pi_\perp \dot{P}w \rangle \\ &= -4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |G_0^+(R(\lambda)\Pi_\perp \dot{P}v_0)|^2 dS. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

2. We can see from (4.14) that $\ddot{\lambda}(0) \leq 0$ and $\ddot{\lambda}(0) = 0$ if and only if $\dot{P}v_0 = cv_0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies the absence of eigenvalues for generic perturbations.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

In this section, we prove Theorem 2 by proposing a Grushin problem.

Proof of Theorem 2. As in [GaZw19, (7.13)], we put

$$P_{q,t} := P + it\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^n} - iQ, \quad Q := S_\Lambda \Pi_\Lambda q \Pi_\Lambda T_\Lambda \quad (5.1)$$

with $q \in C_c^\infty(\Lambda; [0, \infty))$ satisfies conditions in [GaZw19, Lemma 7.6]. For the definition of S_Λ, Π_Λ , see [GaZw19, §4, §5]. By [GaZw19, Lemma 7.6], for any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists $q = q(\epsilon)$ such that

$$R_{q,t} := (P_{q,t} - \zeta)^{-1} : H_\Lambda \rightarrow H_\Lambda \quad (5.2)$$

exists for $t \in [0, t_0]$, $\zeta \in (-\zeta_0, \zeta_0) + i(-\theta\zeta_0, \infty)$. Note that

$$R_{0,t}(\zeta) = (I + iR_{q,t}(\zeta)Q)^{-1}R_{q,t}(\zeta), \quad (5.3)$$

hence the eigenvalues of $P(t)$ in $(-\zeta_0, \zeta_0) \times (-\theta\zeta_0, \infty)$ are values of ζ such that $I + iR_{q,t}(\zeta)Q : H_\Lambda \rightarrow H_\Lambda$ is not invertible. Since

$$R_{0,0}(\zeta) = A(\zeta) + \frac{u \otimes u}{\lambda - \zeta}, \quad (5.4)$$

we have

$$(I + iR_{q,0}(\zeta)Q)^{-1} = A(\zeta)(P_{q,0} - \zeta) + \frac{u \otimes u}{\lambda - \zeta}(P_{q,0} - \zeta) \quad (5.5)$$

We now consider the Grushin problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} I + iR_{q,t}(\zeta)Q & R_- \\ R_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} : H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $R_- : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_\Lambda$, $R_+ : H_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are defined by

$$R_+w = \langle w, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}, \quad R_-w = w - R_{q,0}(\zeta)u.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, this Grushin problem is wellposed and has an inverse

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_{q,t}(\zeta) & E_{+,q,t}(\zeta) \\ E_{-,q,t}(\zeta) & E_{-+,q,t}(\zeta) \end{pmatrix} : H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_\Lambda \times \mathbb{C} \quad (5.7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E_{q,0}(\zeta) &= A(\zeta)(P_{q,0} - \zeta), \quad E_{-,q,0}(\zeta) = \zeta - \lambda, \\ E_{-,q,0}(\zeta)v &= \langle (P_{q,0} - \zeta)v, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}, \quad E_{+,q,0}(\zeta)v_+ = v_+u. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\lambda} &= -E_- \frac{d}{dt}(I + iR_{q,t}(\lambda)Q)E_+ = \langle (P_{q,0} - \lambda)R_{q,0}(\lambda)\Delta R_{q,0}(\lambda)Qu, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= i\langle \Delta u, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} = -i\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [CdV83] Yves Colin de Verdière, *Pseudo-Laplacian. II*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **33**(1983), 87-113.
- [CdV19] Yves Colin de Verdière, *Spectral theory of pseudo-differential operators of degree 0 and applications to forced waves*, [arXiv:1804.03367](#), to appear in Analysis & PDE.
- [CS-L20] Yves Colin de Verdière and Laure Saint-Raymond, *Attractors for two dimensional waves with homogeneous Hamiltonian of degree 0*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **73**(2020), 421-462.
- [DyZw19a] Semyon Dyatlov and Maciej Zworski, *Mathematical theory of scattering resonances*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **200**, AMS 2019.
- [DyZw19b] Semyon Dyatlov and Maciej Zworski, *Microlocal analysis of forced waves*, Pure and Applied Analysis, **1**(2019), 359-394.
- [GaZw19] Jeffrey Galkowski and Maciej Zworski, *Viscosity limits for 0th order pseudodifferential operators*, [arXiv:1912.09840](#).
- [GaZw20] Jeffrey Galkowski and Maciej Zworski, *Analytic Hypocoellipticity of Keldysh operators*, [arXiv:2003.08106](#).
- [HeSj86] Bernard Helffer and Johannes Sjöstrand, *Resonances en limite semiclassique*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **114**, no. 24-25, 1986.
- [Ho74] James Howland, *Puiseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue*, Pacific J. Math. **55**(1974), 157-176.
- [HöII] Lars Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators II. Differential Operators with Constant Coefficients*, Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [Ka80] Tosio Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1980.

- [LeZw16] Minjae Lee and Maciej Zworski, *A Fermi golden rule for quantum graphs*, J. Math. Phys. **57**, 092101(2016).
 - [Ma02] André Martinez, *An introduction to semiclassical and microlocal analysis*, Springer, 2002.
 - [PhSa92] R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, *Perturbation theory for the Laplacian on automorphic functions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **5**(1992), 1-32.
 - [Ra73] James Ralston, *On stationary modes in inviscid rotating fluid*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **44**(1973), 366-383.
 - [Sc05] Volker Scheidemann, *Introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Springer, 2005.
 - [Si73] Barry Simon, *Resonances in nbody quantum systems with dilation analytic potentials and the foundations of time-dependent perturbation theory*, Ann. of Math. **97**(1973), 247274.
 - [Sj82] Johannes Sjöstrand, *Singularités analytiques microlocales*, Astérisque, volume 95, 1982.
 - [Sj96] Johannes Sjöstrand, *Density of resonances for strictly convex analytic obstacles*. Can. J. Math. **48**(1996), 397-447.
 - [SjZw07] Johannes Sjöstrand and Maciej Zworski, *Elementary linear algebra for advanced spectral problems*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, **57**(2007), 2095-2141.
 - [Ta19] Zhongkai Tao, *0-th order pseudodifferential operators on the circle*, [arXiv:1909.06316](#).
 - [Wa19] Jian Wang, *The scattering matrix for 0th order pseudodifferential operators*, [arXiv:1909.06484](#).
 - [Zw12] Maciej Zworski, *Semiclassical analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **138**, AMS, 2012.
- E-mail address:* wangjian@berkeley.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720