Computing all s-t bridges and articulation points simplified

Massimo Cairo * Shahbaz Khan *† Romeo Rizzi ‡ Sebastian Schmidt *†

Alexandru I. Tomescu ^{*†§} Elia Zirondelli ^{‡¶}

Abstract

Given a directed graph G and a pair of nodes s and t, an s-t bridge of G is an edge whose removal breaks all s-t paths of G. Similarly, an s-t articulation point of G is a node whose removal breaks all s-t paths of G. Computing the sequence of all s-t bridges of G (as well as the s-t articulation points) is a basic graph problem, solvable in linear time using the classical min-cut algorithm [6].

When dealing with cuts of *unit* size (s-t bridges) this algorithm can be simplified to a single graph traversal from s to t avoiding an arbitrary s-t path, which is interrupted at the s-t bridges. Further, the corresponding proof is also simplified making it independent of the theory of network flows.

Keywords: reachability, graph algorithm, strong bridge, strong articulation point

1 Introduction

Connectivity and reachability are fundamental graph-theoretical problems studied extensively in the literature [5, 8, 4, 10]. A key notion underlying such algorithms is that of edges (or nodes) critical for connectivity or reachability. The most basic variant of these are bridges (or articulation points), which are defined as follows. A *bridge* of an undirected graph, also referred as *cut edge*, is an edge whose removal increases the number of connected components. Similarly, a *strong bridge* in a (directed) graph is an edge whose removal increases the number of strongly connected components of the graph. (Strong) articulation points are defined in an analogous manner by replacing edge with node.

Special applications consider the notion of bridges to be parameterised by the nodes that become disconnected upon its removal [9, 12]. Given a node s, we say that an edge is an s bridge (also referred as edge dominators from source s [9]) if there exists a node t that is no longer reachable from s when the edge is removed. Moreover, given both nodes s and t, an s-t bridge (or s-t articulation point) is an edge (or node) whose removal makes t no longer reachable from s.

Related work. For undirected graphs, the classical algorithm by Tarjan [11] computes all bridges and articulation points in linear time. However, for directed graphs only recently Italiano et al. [9] presented an algorithm to compute all strong bridges and strong articulation points in linear time. They also showed that classical algorithms [12, 7] compute s bridges in linear time. The s articulation points (or *dominators*) are extensively studied resulting in several linear-time algorithms [1, 3, 2].

The s-t bridges were essentially studied as minimum s-t cuts in network flow graphs, where an s-t bridge is a cut of unit size. The classical Ford Fulkerson algorithm [6] can be used to identify the first s-t bridge in the residual graph after pushing unit flow in the network. Moreover, contracting the entire cut to s, one can continue finding the next s-t bridge and so on. Since s-t bridges limit the maximum flow to one, the algorithm completes in linear time.

^{*}Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, Finland,

 $Email: \{shahbaz.khan, sebastian.schmidt, alexandru.tomescu\} @helsinki.fi$

[†]This work was partially funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 851093, SAFEBIO).

[‡]Department of Computer Science, University of Verona, Italy, Email: romeo.rizzi@univr.it.

[§]This work was partially funded by the Academy of Finland (grants No. 322595, 328877).

 $[\]P Department of Mathematics, University of Trento, Italy, Email: eliacarlo.zirondelli@unitn.it.$

2 Preliminaries

Let G := (V, E) be a fixed directed graph, where V is a set of n nodes and E a set of m edges, with two given nodes $s, t \in V$. Let $G \setminus X$ and G - X denote the result of removing all edges from X, and all nodes from X together with their incident edges, respectively. Given an edge e = (u, v), HEAD(e) = v denotes its head and TAIL(e) = u denotes its tail.

Let $B = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_{|B|}\}$ be the set of *s*-*t* bridges of *G*. By definition, for all $b_i \in B$ there exists no path from *s* to *t* in $G \setminus b_i$ (see Figure 1), and all *s*-*t* bridges in *B* appear on every *s*-*t* path in *G*. Further, the *s*-*t* bridges in *B* are visited in the same order by every *s*-*t* path in *G*.

Lemma 1. The s-t bridges in B are visited in the same order by every s-t path in G.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that for any $b_i \in B$, all $b_j \in B$ (where $j \neq i$), can be categorised into those which are always visited before b_i and those that are always visited after b_i irrespective of the *s*-*t* path chosen in *G*. Consider the graph $G \setminus b_i$, observe that every such b_j is either reachable from *s*, or can reach *t*. It cannot fall in both categories as it would result in an *s*-*t* path in $G \setminus b_i$, which violates b_i being an *s*-*t* bridge. Further, it has to be in at least one category by considering any *s*-*t* path of *G*, where b_i appears either between *s* and b_j or between b_j and *t*. Hence, those reachable from *s* in $G \setminus b_i$ are always visited before b_i , and those able to reach *t* in $G \setminus b_i$ are always visited after b_i , irrespective of the *s*-*t* path chosen in *G*.



Figure 1: Bridge sequence $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_{|B|}\}$ and corresponding bridge components $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_{|B|+1}\}$.

Thus, abusing the notation we define B to be a sequence of s-t bridges ordered by their visit time on any st path. Also, such a bridge sequence B implies an increasing part of the graph being reachable from s in $G \setminus b_i$, as i increases. We thus divide the graph reachable from s into bridge components $C = \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_{|B|+1}\}$, where C_i (for $i \leq |B|$) denotes the part of graph that is reachable from s in $G \setminus b_i$ but was not reachable in $G \setminus b_{i-1}$ (if any). Additionally, for notational convenience we assume $C_{|B|+1}$ to be the part of the graph reachable from s in G, but not in $G \setminus b_{|B|}$ (see Figure 1). Since bridge components are separated by s-tbridges, every s-t path enters C_i at a unique vertex (HEAD (b_{i-1}) or s for C_1) referred as its entry. Similarly, it leaves C_i at a unique vertex (TAIL (b_i) or t for $C_{|B|+1}$) referred as its exit.



Figure 2: Articulation sequence $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{|A|}\}$ and its components $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \ldots, C_{|A|+1}\}$.

Similarly, the s-t articulation points are defined as the set of nodes $A \subseteq V$, such that removal of any s-t articulation point in A disconnects all s-t paths in G. Thus, $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_{|A|}\}$ is a set of nodes such that $\forall a_i \in A$ there exist no path from s to t in $G - a_i$. The s-t articulation points in A also follow a fixed order in every s-t path (like s-t bridges), so A can be treated as a sequence and it defines the corresponding components C (see Figure 2). Note that the *entry* and *exit* of an articulation component C_i are the preceding and succeeding s-t articulation points (if any), else s and t respectively.

3 Algorithm

The algorithm can essentially be described as a *forward search* from s to t avoiding an arbitrary s-t path, which is *interrupted* at the s-t bridges (or s-t articulation points). It discovers the bridge sequence B (or articulation sequence A) in order as the search proceeds. We first present a linear-time algorithm for s-t bridges, and then extend it to s-t articulation points. The algorithm first chooses an arbitrary s-t path P in G. Then it performs a forward search from s to reach t by avoiding the edges of P. This search is interrupted by the s-t bridges, since all the s-t bridges lie on P (by definition). If the forward search stops before reaching t, it necessarily requires to traverse an edge b_1 in P (i.e. the first s-t bridge) to reach t. So we continue to forward search from HEAD(b_1) until we stop again to find the next s-t bridge, and so on until we reach t. When the search is interrupted for the i^{th} time, we look at the last node y on P, that was visited by the search. The s-t bridge b_i is then identified as the outgoing edge of y on P.



Figure 3: Transformation of the graph along s-t path for computing s-t bridges.

However, notice that once such a node y is traversed, all the edges on P preceding it are clearly not s-t bridges. Further, the paths starting from these edges may also allow the forward search to proceed further on P beyond y. Hence these edges need to be traversed by the forward search before identifying an s-t bridge. This extra procedure can be embedded in the original forward interrupted search of the graph by performing a simple transformation of the graph. Essentially, instead of removing the path P from the graph, we merely reverse it (see Figure 3). Thus, traversing a node on P makes its preceding edges reachable from s, ensuring that the traversal is interrupted only on the s-t bridges.

Algorithm 1: BRIDGE SEQUENCE AND BRIDGE COMPONENTS **Input:** Graph $G := (V, E), s, t \in V$ **Output:** Bridge sequence B and bridge components \mathcal{C} and associations $comp[\cdot]$ 1 $P \leftarrow \text{Arbitrary } s\text{-}t \text{ path in } G$ 2 $G \leftarrow (G \setminus P) \cup P^{-1}$ // graph transform, reverse P $\mathbf{s} \ i \leftarrow 1$ 4 while comp[t] = 0 do if i = 1 then $Q \leftarrow s$ // initialise search from s5 else 6 $y \leftarrow \text{Last node on } P \text{ with } comp[u] \neq 0$ 7 // where $b_i: (y, z) \in P$ 8 Add (y, z) to B $Q \leftarrow z, i \leftarrow i+1$ // continue search from z9 while $Q \neq \emptyset$ do // forward search 10 $u \leftarrow \text{Remove node from } Q$ 11 forall $(u, v) \in E$ where comp[v] = 0 do 12 13 Add v to Q and C_i $comp[v] \leftarrow i$ 14

We now formally describe the algorithm (refer to the pseudocode in Algorithm 1). After choosing an arbitrary s-t path P, it transforms the graph as described above, by reversing the path P. Along with computing the bridge sequence B and bridge components C, we also ensure access to the component of a node v. This is stored in comp[v] which is initialised to 0, also serving as an indicator that v is not visited. Thereafter, it initiates the search with a queue Q containing s, the entrance of C_1 . The forward search continues removing and visiting nodes in Q, and adding their unvisited out-neighbours back to Q, until Q becomes empty and the search stops. Every node v visited during this search is assigned to C_i and has comp[v] = i. If t is not visited yet, the last node y in P that was visited by the search is identified (i.e. the exit of C_i). The s-t bridge b_i is identified to be the outgoing edge of y in P (say (y, z), see Figure 1), and added to B. The forward search is then continued from z (i.e. the entrance of C_{i+1}) by adding it to Q, and so on. Otherwise, if t was already visited when the search stopped, we terminate the algorithm with bridge sequence B and the bridge components and node associations in C and $comp[\cdot]$ respectively.

4 Analysis and Correctness

The algorithm essentially performs five steps which need to be analysed. Firstly, computing an s-t path P which requires O(m + n) time, by using standard search procedures like DFS or BFS traversal. Secondly, transforming the graph which essentially adds and removes |P| edges each, requiring O(n) time. Thirdly, performing the interrupted forward search which only visits the previously unvisited nodes. Hence it requires total O(m + n) time like a simple BFS traversal using the Queue Q and the inner loop. Fourthly, identifying the last visited node on P which traverses P only once in the whole algorithm, requiring total O(n) time. Finally, updating B, C and comp[t] requires to visit the outer loop for each s-t bridge, requiring total O(n) time as the number of s-t bridges and nodes are O(n). Thus, the algorithm requires overall O(m + n) time to compute all the s-t bridges and the associated components.

The correctness of the algorithm can be proven by maintaining the following invariant. **Invariant** \mathcal{I} : In the transformed graph, the forward search started from the entrance of C_i

- (a) visits exactly the nodes in C_i , and
- (b) stops along the path P exactly on the exit of C_i .

Proof. The graph transformation only affects the paths passing through the edges of P, as the remaining edges are unaffected. Hence, to prove $\mathcal{I}(a)$ it is sufficient to prove that the nodes on P within C_i , say v_1 (entrance), v_2, \ldots, v_k (exit), are reachable from the entrance v_1 . We prove it by induction over the nodes v_j , where the base case (j = 1) is trivially true.



Figure 4: Reachability of v_j from v_1 (entrance) in the transformed graph. The path P' (blue) is the alternate s-t path avoiding e_j , which leaves P before e_j for the last time at u, then joins P again after e_j at v. The subpath of P' from u to v followed by reverse path of P to v_j (green), reaches v_j from u and hence v_1 .

For any v_j (see Figure 4), assuming nodes up to v_{j-1} are reachable from the entrance, we consider the edge $e_j = (v_{j-1}, v_j)$. Since e_j is not an *s*-*t* bridge, there exists a path P' from *s* to *t* (and hence from entrance v_1 to exit v_k) without using e_j in the original graph. Let *u* and *v* respectively be the nodes at which P' leaves *P* for the last time before e_j , and the node at which P' joins *P* again after e_j . The nodes *u* and *v* necessarily exist as the path P' passes through v_1 and v_k . Note that the subpath of P' from *u* to *v* does not pass through any edge in *P* by definition. Now, $u (= v'_j, j' < j)$ is reachable from v_1 in the transformed graph by induction hypothesis, and there is a path from *v* to v_j in the reverse path of *P*. Thus, v_j is reachable from *u* (and hence v_1) through P' in the transformed graph.

Using induction, we have every v_j and hence the entire C_i is reachable from v_1 , proving $\mathcal{I}(a)$. Further, since b_i is an *s*-*t* bridge which is reversed and hence removed in the transformed graph, the forward search cannot reach HEAD (b_i) . This is because there is no other edge from C_i to C_{i+1} including the reversed edges of P. Hence, the forward search stops exactly at the exit v_k along P proving $\mathcal{I}(b)$. Now, by induction assuming C_{i-1} is computed correctly, all C_i would also be computed correctly proving \mathcal{I} for all C_i .

5 Extension for *s*-*t* articulation points.

In order to compute them efficiently, we can use the same algorithm with a different graph transformation. Each node x on P is split into two nodes x_0 and x_1 , having all incoming edges now incoming to x_0 and all outgoing edges now outgoing from x_1 . Further, we have an edge from x_0 to x_1 , which is the *internal edge* of the node x. This transformation maintains the path P where each node is split into two by an internal edge. Thus, in the new graph the internal edges of the s-t articulation points also act as s-t bridges. Now, when the previous transformation is applied, it reverses the s-t path P thereby reversing the internal edges (x_0, x_1) as well. Further, to prevent the search to interrupt at the original s-t bridges, the non-internal edges of P are added back to G (see Figure 5).



Figure 5: Transformation of the graph along s-t path for computing s-t articulation points.

On executing the same algorithm on the new graph, it reports all the *s*-*t* bridges of the modified graph, i.e., the internal edges of the *s*-*t* articulation points. Also, the components C reported are the corresponding components of A. The new transformation adds |P| = O(n) nodes and edges to the graph, and the correctness and analysis follow the same arguments. Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Given a graph G := (V, E) with n nodes, m edges and $s, t \in V$, there exists an algorithm to compute all s-t bridges (or articulation points) along with their component associations, in O(m + n) time.

6 Conclusions

We have presented a simple algorithm for computing s-t bridges (or articulation points) along with their component associations. Further, it has a simpler proof and is easier to use in practice compared to [6].

References

- Stephen Alstrup, Dov Harel, Peter W. Lauridsen, and Mikkel Thorup. Dominators in linear time. SIAM J. Comput., 28(6):2117–2132, 1999.
- [2] Adam L. Buchsbaum, Loukas Georgiadis, Haim Kaplan, Anne Rogers, Robert Endre Tarjan, and Jeffery R. Westbrook. Linear-time algorithms for dominators and other path-evaluation problems. SIAM J. Comput., 38(4):1533–1573, 2008.
- [3] Adam L. Buchsbaum, Haim Kaplan, Anne Rogers, and Jeffery R. Westbrook. Corrigendum: a new, simpler linear-time dominators algorithm. ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst., 27(3):383–387, 2005.
- [4] Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest, and Clifford Stein. Introduction to Algorithms, 3rd Edition. MIT Press, 2009.
- [5] Reinhard Diestel. *Graph Theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, fourth edition, 2010.
- [6] L. R. Ford and D. R. Fulkerson. Maximal flow through a network. Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 8:399-404, 1956. doi:10.4153/CJM-1956-045-5.
- [7] Harold N. Gabow and Robert Endre Tarjan. A linear-time algorithm for a special case of disjoint set union. J. Comput. Syst. Sci., 30(2):209-221, 1985.
- [8] Jonathan L. Gross, Jay Yellen, and Ping Zhang. Handbook of Graph Theory, Second Edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2nd edition, 2013.
- [9] Giuseppe F. Italiano, Luigi Laura, and Federico Santaroni. Finding strong bridges and strong articulation points in linear time. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 447:74–84, 2012.
- [10] Steven S. Skiena. The Algorithm Design Manual. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2nd edition, 2008.
- [11] Robert Endre Tarjan. A note on finding the bridges of a graph. Inf. Process. Lett., 2(6):160–161, 1974.
- [12] Robert Endre Tarjan. Edge-disjoint spanning trees and depth-first search. Acta Inf., 6:171–185, 1976.