

GLOBAL ENDPOINT STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES FOR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS ON THE CYLINDER $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

ALEXANDER BARRON, MICHAEL CHRIST, AND BENOIT PAUSADER

1. LONG-TIME, SCALING-CRITICAL STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES ON $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$

Define the norm on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{Z} + [0, 1]) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$:

$$\|u\|_{\ell^a L^b(\mathbb{R}, L^c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}))}^a := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{s \in [0, 1]} \left(\int_{x, y \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} |u(\gamma + s, x, y)|^c dx dy \right)^{\frac{b}{c}} ds \right)^{\frac{a}{b}}. \quad (1.1)$$

In this paper, we prove the following global in time Strichartz-type estimate:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists $C < \infty$ such that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$,*

$$\|e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}}} f\|_{\ell^8 L^4(\mathbb{R}, L^4(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}. \quad (1.2)$$

This inequality is saturated¹ by two different families of functions of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$:

$$F_n(x, y) = nG(n\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})\mathbf{1}_{\{n(x^2 + y^2) \leq 1\}}, \quad f_n(x, y) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}G(n^{-1}x), \quad (1.3)$$

where $G(s) = e^{-s^2}$ is a Gaussian. These correspond respectively to saturators for Strichartz estimates in $2d$ and in $1d$ [12]. The exponents in (1.2) are optimal in the following sense: (i) on the one hand, since $e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}}} f_n(x, y) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(e^{in^{-2}t\partial_{xx}}G)(n^{-1}x)$ behaves as a (low-frequency) solution of the Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{R} , the exponent 8 in (1.2) cannot be lowered; (ii) on the other hand, since $e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}}} F_n$ behaves as a (high-frequency) solution of the Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 (see e.g. [11, Lemma 4.2] for similar computations), the exponent 4 cannot be changed if the righthand side is measured in L^2 .

Interpolating with the estimate² when $q = 4$ and $p = \infty$,

$$\|e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}}} P_{\leq N} f\|_{\ell^4 L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}))} \lesssim N \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}, \quad \mathcal{F}\{P_{\leq N} f\}(\xi, k) = \varphi(\xi/N)\varphi(k/N)\widehat{f}(\xi, k),$$

where $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a smooth bump function, and using boundedness of the square function, we obtain the family of scaling invariant Strichartz estimates on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$:

$$\|e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}}} f\|_{\ell^q L^p(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}))} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 4 < q \leq 8, \quad s = 1 - \frac{4}{p}. \quad (1.4)$$

Strichartz-type inequalities with mixed norms in the time variable of the form (1.1) were introduced in [9] to study the asymptotic behavior of solutions to critical NLS on product spaces $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^d$ which are examples of manifolds where the global dimension is smaller than the local dimension. Similar cases were later explored in [6, 14, 15] and the sharp results when $s > 0$ was obtained in [1] using results from ℓ^2 -decoupling [4].

However, to study NLS with data in L^2 , estimates with loss of derivatives are useless. This raised the question of whether a Strichartz-type inequality with no loss of derivatives could hold for Schrödinger equations on d -dimensional manifolds smaller at infinity than \mathbb{R}^d . For the torus \mathbb{T}^d , for instance, a lossless inequality like (1.2) does not hold, not even locally in time (that is, with $a = \infty$) as observed in

The second author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1901413. The third author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1700282.

¹In the sense that the quotient of both sides converges to a nonzero constant as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

²This follows from variants of classical TT^* estimates as in Ginibre-Velo [8], see [9, Section 3].

[3]. In fact, for manifolds “smaller” than \mathbb{R}^2 , the only estimate known to the authors is the result from [13] which obtains local version of (1.2) (with $a = \infty$ instead of $a = 8$). We refer e.g. to [2, 5, 7] for the study of Strichartz estimates without losses in the presence of trapped geodesic.

As for nonlinear applications of (1.2), one can easily show local well-posedness of the cubic NLS in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, recovering the result in [13]. However, the long-time behavior is modified scattering as shown in [10], which requires more information (and stronger control on initial data) than L^2 -Strichartz estimates and it remains a challenging open question as to whether nonlinear solutions satisfy global bounds of the type (1.2).

This leaves open some interesting questions:

- (1) Can one extend this result to other semi-periodic settings, i.e., does an estimate like

$$\|e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n}} f\|_{\ell^q L^p(\mathbb{R}, L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^n)}, \quad p = \frac{2(n+d+2)}{n+d}, \quad q = \frac{2(n+d+2)}{d}.$$

hold? This is settled for $n+d \leq 2$, but for higher values, $p < 4$ and the problem is much more challenging.

- (2) Can one understand and characterize optimizers of (1.2)? In principle, introducing a parameter for the length of the torus (or the local time interval), one may expect that optimizers should vary smoothly between the two families in (1.3).
- (3) Can one obtain a good profile decomposition, i.e., study the defect of compactness of bounded sequences in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$?

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Since the analysis is done purely in the frequency space, we pass to the Fourier transform and consider $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z})$, which corresponds to the Fourier transform of the function in (1.2). By homogeneity, we may choose f to be of unit L^2 norm and by density we may assume that f is compactly supported so that all integrals below converge absolutely. We let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and we define the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$$\widehat{f}(x, y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\xi, k) e^{ix\xi} e^{iky} dx, \quad \check{g}(\xi, k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{y=0}^{2\pi} g(x, y) e^{-ix\xi} e^{-iky} dy dx.$$

Since we will take Fourier transforms, it will be convenient to replace the integral over $[0, 1]$ in (1.1) by an integral over \mathbb{R} . To do this, we introduce a Gaussian cutoff in time and let

$$J_\gamma := \|e^{-\frac{1}{4}(t-\gamma)^2} e^{it\Delta_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}}} \widehat{f}\|_{L^4_{x,y,t}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R})}. \quad (2.1)$$

To prove (1.2), it will suffice to control the ℓ^8 -norm of J_γ . For simplicity of presentation, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\xi} &= (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4), & \vec{k} &= (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4), \\ \langle \xi \rangle &= \xi_1 - \xi_2 + \xi_3 - \xi_4 = \langle \vec{\xi}, (1, -1, 1, -1) \rangle, & \langle k \rangle &= k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4, \\ f_j &= f(\xi_j, k_j), \quad j \in \{1, 3\}, & \bar{f}_j &= \bar{f}(\xi_j, k_j), \quad j \in \{2, 4\}, \\ Q(\xi, k) &= |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_3|^2 - |\xi_2|^2 - |\xi_4|^2 + |k_1|^2 + |k_3|^2 - |k_2|^2 - |k_4|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute $t \rightarrow t + \gamma$ in (2.1) and expand J_γ^4 into

$$\begin{aligned} J_\gamma^4 &= \int_{x,y,t} \left[\sum_{k_1 \dots k_4} \int_{\xi_1 \dots \xi_4} \prod_{j=1}^4 f_j \cdot e^{-t^2} e^{-i(t+\gamma)Q(\xi,k)} \cdot e^{ix\langle \xi \rangle} e^{iy\langle k \rangle} d\vec{\xi} \right] dx dy dt \\ &= 4\pi^{\frac{5}{2}} \sum_{k_1 \dots k_4} \int_{\xi_1 \dots \xi_4} \prod_j f_j \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4}(Q(\xi,k))^2} e^{-i\gamma Q(\xi,k)} \cdot \delta(\langle \xi \rangle) \delta(\langle k \rangle) d\vec{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

An argument of Takaoka-Tzevtkov [13] shows that each individual J_γ^4 is bounded, but we need to handle the sum in γ . We square J_γ^4 and sum over γ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &:= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} J_\gamma^8 \\ &= 16\pi^5 \sum_{\substack{k_1 \dots k_4 \\ k'_1 \dots k'_4}} \int_{\substack{\xi_1 \dots \xi_4 \\ \xi'_1 \dots \xi'_4}} \prod_{j=1}^4 f_j \overline{\prod_{l=1}^4 f'_l} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4}(Q(\xi, k))^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(Q(\xi', k'))^2} \cdot \sum_{\gamma} e^{-i\gamma[Q(\xi, k) - Q(\xi', k')]} \\ &\quad \cdot \delta(\langle \xi \rangle) \delta(\langle \xi' \rangle) \delta(\langle k \rangle) \delta(\langle k' \rangle) d\xi d\xi'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Using Poisson summation in γ we observe that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i\gamma[Q(\xi, k) - Q(\xi', k')]} = 2\pi \sum_{\mu \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \delta(\mu - Q(\xi, k) + Q(\xi', k')).$$

Introducing the new notations

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi &:= (\xi_1, \xi_3, \xi'_2, \xi'_4), & \Xi' &:= (\xi_2, \xi_4, \xi'_1, \xi'_3), \\ K &:= (k_1, k_3, k'_2, k'_4), & K' &:= (k_2, k_4, k'_1, k'_3), \\ F(\Xi, K) &:= f(\xi_1, k_1) f(\xi_3, k_3) f(\xi'_2, k'_2) f(\xi'_4, k'_4), & F(\Xi', K') &:= f(\xi_2, k_2) f(\xi_4, k_4) f(\xi'_1, k'_1) f(\xi'_3, k'_3), \\ \phi_\mu &:= \mu - Q(\xi, k) + Q(\xi', k') = \mu - |\Xi|^2 - |K|^2 + |\Xi'|^2 + |K'|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= 32\pi^6 \sum_{K, K' \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \int_{\Xi, \Xi'} F(\Xi, K) \overline{F(\Xi', K')} \cdot \mathcal{K}(\Xi, K; \Xi', K') \cdot d\Xi d\Xi' \\ \mathcal{K}(\Xi, K; \Xi', K') &:= e^{-\frac{1}{4}[Q(\xi, k)^2 + Q(\xi', k')^2]} \cdot \sum_{\mu \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \delta(\phi_\mu) \delta(\langle \xi \rangle) \delta(\langle \xi' \rangle) \delta(\langle k \rangle) \delta(\langle k' \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Schur test, the inequality (1.2) follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *With the notations above,*

$$\sup_{(\Xi, K) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{Z}^4} \sum_{K' \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \int \mathcal{K}(\Xi, K; \Xi', K') d\Xi' < \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We need to bound

$$\sum_{\mu \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{K' \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \int_{\Xi' \in \mathbb{R}^4} e^{-\frac{1}{4}[Q(\xi, k)^2 + Q(\xi', k')^2]} \delta(\phi_\mu) \delta(\langle \xi \rangle) \delta(\langle \xi' \rangle) \delta(\langle k \rangle) \delta(\langle k' \rangle) d\Xi' \quad (2.3)$$

uniformly in $(\Xi, K) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{Z}^4$. Below we occasionally write $Q = Q(\xi, k)$ and $Q' = Q(\xi', k')$.

Using the polarization identity on the support of $\delta(\mu - Q + Q')$, we can bound

$$e^{-\frac{1}{4}[Q^2 + (Q')^2]} = e^{-\frac{1}{8}[Q^2 + (Q')^2]} e^{-\frac{1}{16}[(Q+Q')^2 + (Q-Q')^2]} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{16}\mu^2} e^{-\frac{1}{8}[Q^2 + (Q')^2]}.$$

Moreover, when $\langle \xi \rangle = 0 = \langle k \rangle$ we can substitute

$$\xi_4 = \xi_1 - \xi_2 + \xi_3 \quad \text{and} \quad k_4 = k_1 - k_2 + k_3$$

into Q and then factor to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\xi, k) &= -2 [|(\xi_2 - c_x, k_2 - c_y)|^2 - R^2], \\ (c_x, c_y) &= \left(\frac{\xi_1 + \xi_3}{2}, \frac{k_1 + k_3}{2} \right), \quad R^2 = \left(\frac{\xi_1 - \xi_3}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{k_1 - k_3}{2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

A similar identity holds for Q' when $\langle \xi' \rangle = 0 = \langle k' \rangle$. Indeed, on the support of $\delta(\langle \xi' \rangle)\delta(\langle k' \rangle)$ we can substitute

$$\xi'_3 = -\xi'_1 + \xi'_2 + \xi'_4 \quad \text{and} \quad k'_3 = -k'_1 + k'_2 + k'_4$$

into Q' and factor to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\xi', k') &= 2 [|(\xi'_1 - c'_x, k'_1 - c'_y)|^2 - (R')^2], \\ (c'_x, c'_y) &= \left(\frac{\xi'_2 + \xi'_4}{2}, \frac{k'_2 + k'_4}{2} \right), \quad (R')^2 = \left(\frac{\xi'_2 - \xi'_4}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{k'_2 - k'_4}{2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

With these substitutions made, notice that

$$\phi_\mu = \mu + 2[|(\xi_2 - c_x, k_2 - c_y)|^2 - R^2] + 2[|(\xi'_1 - c'_x, k'_1 - c'_y)|^2 - (R')^2]$$

and therefore

$$\delta(\phi_\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \delta(|(\xi_2 - c_x, k_2 - c_y)|^2 + |(\xi'_1 - c'_x, k'_1 - c'_y)|^2 - A_\mu), \quad A_\mu = \frac{R^2 + (R')^2 - \mu}{2}.$$

Using these observations to estimate (2.3) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{1}{16}\mu^2} \sum_{k_2, k'_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} [|(\xi_2 - c_x, k_2 - c_y)|^2 - R^2]^2 + [|(\xi'_1 - c'_x, k'_1 - c'_y)|^2 - (R')^2]^2 } \\ &\quad \delta(|(\xi_2 - c_x, k_2 - c_y)|^2 + |(\xi'_1 - c'_x, k'_1 - c'_y)|^2 - A_\mu) d\xi_2 d\xi'_1 \end{aligned}$$

with c_x, c_y, c'_x, c'_y , and A_μ defined as above. Notice that R and R' only depend on (Ξ, K) , and these variables have been fixed. Since we also have exponential decay in μ it therefore suffices to bound the integral

$$\mathbf{I} := \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \int_{\zeta, \zeta'} e^{-\frac{1}{2} [|(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}|^2 - R^2]^2 + [|(\zeta', \kappa') - \vec{C}'|^2 - (R')^2]^2 } \delta(|(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}|^2 + |(\zeta', \kappa') - \vec{C}'|^2 - A) d\zeta d\zeta' \quad (2.4)$$

uniformly in $\vec{C}, \vec{C}' \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $A, R, R' \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since $2c_y$ and $2c'_y$ are both integers we can assume the second components of \vec{C}, \vec{C}' are in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

The integral in (2.4) is invariant with respect to translation on $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z})$, and we may therefore assume that $\vec{C} = (0, c)$, $\vec{C}' = (0, c')$ for $c, c' \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$. To control \mathbf{I} we introduce sets where the exponential factors behave nicely. When $R \geq 50$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_0 &:= \{ |(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}| - R \leq R^{-1} \}, \\ \mathcal{S}_j &:= \{ |(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}| - R \in R^{-1}[j, j+1] \}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1, \\ \mathcal{S}_\infty &:= \{ |(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}| - R \geq R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and when $R \leq 50$, we let $\mathcal{S}_j = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{S}_\infty = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$. These satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(\zeta, \kappa) e^{-\frac{1}{2} [|(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}|^2 - R^2]^2} &\lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{2} j^2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(\zeta, \kappa), \quad 0 \leq j \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1, \\ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_\infty}(\zeta, \kappa) e^{-\frac{1}{2} [|(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}|^2 - R^2]^2} &\lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{2} |(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}|} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_\infty}(\zeta, \kappa). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Indeed, the estimate on \mathcal{S}_j in (2.6) follows by factoring the term in the exponential. To prove the estimate on \mathcal{S}_∞ note that if $(\zeta, \kappa) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ and $R \geq 50$ then

$$\|(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}\|^2 - R^2 \geq [R^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}| + R)]^2 \geq |(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}| + R.$$

On the other hand

$$\|(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}\|^2 - R^2 \geq |(\zeta, \kappa) - \vec{C}| - R - 2,$$

and the estimate in (2.6) in \mathcal{S}_∞ follows if $R \leq 50$.

We first use (2.7) from Lemma 2.2 to control the contribution of \mathcal{S}_∞ to (2.4). In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{\infty\infty} &:= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \iint \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_\infty}(\zeta, \kappa) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_\infty}(\zeta', \kappa') e^{-\frac{1}{2}[|\zeta, \kappa - c|^2 - R^2|^2 + |\zeta', \kappa' - c'|^2 - (R')^2|^2]} \\ &\quad \cdot \delta(|\zeta|^2 + |\kappa - c|^2 + |\zeta'|^2 + |\kappa' - c'|^2 - A) d\zeta d\zeta' \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|\kappa - c| + |\kappa' - c'|)} \iint \delta(|\zeta|^2 + |\kappa - c|^2 + |\zeta'|^2 + |\kappa' - c'|^2 - A) d\zeta d\zeta' \\ &\lesssim \sup_{B \in \mathbb{R}} \iint \delta(|\zeta|^2 + |\zeta'|^2 - B) d\zeta d\zeta' \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{j\infty} &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \iint \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(\zeta, \kappa) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_\infty}(\zeta', \kappa') e^{-\frac{1}{2}[|\zeta, \kappa|^2 - R^2|^2 + |\zeta', \kappa'|^2 - (R')^2|^2]} \\ &\quad \cdot \delta(|\zeta|^2 + |\kappa - c|^2 + |\zeta'|^2 + |\kappa' - c'|^2 - A) d\zeta d\zeta' \\ &\lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{2}j^2} \sum_{\kappa'} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\kappa' - c'|} \sup_B \sum_{\kappa} \iint e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\zeta'|} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(\zeta, \kappa) \delta(|\zeta|^2 + |\kappa - c|^2 + |\zeta'|^2 - B) d\zeta d\zeta'. \end{aligned}$$

We can split the integral above into two regions: (i) when $|\kappa| \in [R - 10, R + 2]$, the sum is only over a uniformly bounded number of κ and we can use (2.7); and (ii) when $|\kappa| \leq R - 10$, in which case we use (2.8) and the rapid decay of $e^{-|\zeta'|}$. In both cases, we obtain a bounded contribution after summing over j .

Finally, by symmetry, it remains to consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{jp} &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \iint \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(\zeta, \kappa) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_p}(\zeta', \kappa') \mathbf{1}_{\{|\zeta'| \leq |\zeta|\}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[|\zeta, \kappa - c|^2 - R^2|^2 + |\zeta', \kappa' - c'|^2 - (R')^2|^2]} \\ &\quad \cdot \delta(|\zeta, \kappa - c, \zeta', \kappa' - c'|^2 - A) d\zeta d\zeta'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we may assume $R, R' \geq 50$ since otherwise \mathcal{S}_j or \mathcal{S}_p is empty. Using (2.6) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{jp} &\leq 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}(j^2 + p^2)} [\mathbf{J}_{jp}^1 + \mathbf{J}_{jp}^2], \\ \mathbf{J}_{jp}^1 &= \sum_{R-10 \leq |\kappa|, |\kappa'| \leq R+10} \iint \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_p} \delta(|\zeta|^2 + |\kappa - c|^2 + |\zeta'|^2 + |\kappa' - c'|^2 - A) d\zeta d\zeta', \\ \mathbf{J}_{jp}^2 &= \sum_{\kappa'} \int_{\zeta'} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_p} \left(\sum_{|\kappa| \leq R-10} \int_{\zeta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j} \delta(|\zeta|^2 + |\kappa - c|^2 + |\zeta'|^2 + |\kappa' - c'|^2 - A) d\zeta \right) d\zeta'. \end{aligned}$$

For \mathbf{J}_{jp}^1 , we observe that the sum is only over a uniformly bounded number of κ, κ' and we can use (2.7). For \mathbf{J}_{jp}^2 , we can use (2.8) followed by Lemma 3.1. Summing over j, p , we obtain an acceptable contribution. \square

In the proof above, we have used two simple bounds that allow us to cancel two integrals.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$\sup_{A \in \mathbb{R}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \delta(\zeta^2 + \eta^2 - A) d\zeta d\eta = \pi \quad (2.7)$$

and, for \mathcal{S}_j defined as in (2.5) and $R \geq 50$,

$$\sup_{A \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{|\kappa| \leq R-10} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(\zeta, \kappa) \delta(\zeta^2 - A) d\zeta \lesssim 1, \quad 0 \leq j \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. The first bound is direct after passing to polar coordinates. To prove (2.8), we may assume $R \geq 50$. We first claim that

$$(\zeta, \kappa) \in \mathcal{S}_j, \quad |\kappa| \leq R - 10 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\zeta| \geq R^{\frac{1}{2}}(R - |\kappa| - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.9)$$

Indeed, on \mathcal{S}_j , we see that $\zeta^2 + (\kappa - c)^2 \geq R^2 - 3\sqrt{R}$ for some $c \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and

$$\zeta^2 \geq (R + |\kappa - c|)(R - |\kappa - c|) - 3\sqrt{R} \geq R(R - |\kappa| - 1) + R/2 - 3\sqrt{R}.$$

Eliminating some terms and taking square roots give the result. To prove (2.8) we then apply a change of variables along with (2.9) to estimate

$$\sum_{|\kappa| \leq R-10} \int \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}_j}(\zeta, \kappa) \delta(\zeta^2 - A) d\zeta \lesssim \sum_{|\kappa| \leq R-10} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} [R - |\kappa| - 1]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 1,$$

which gives (2.8). \square

3. ON VOLUMES OF ANNULI IN $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$

As we saw in the last section, the contribution of the integral \mathbf{I}_{jp} is controlled by the following geometric lemma which says that the volume of a (large and thin) annulus in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is proportional to its volume in \mathbb{R}^2 . The result is essentially Lemma 2.1 from [13].

Lemma 3.1. *For $0 \leq w \leq 20 \leq R$ and $0 \leq |x| \leq 1/2$,*

$$V(R, w) = |\mathbb{R}_\zeta \times \mathbb{Z}_\kappa \cap \{R^2 \leq \zeta^2 + (\kappa + x)^2 \leq (R + w)^2\}| \lesssim \sqrt{Rw} + Rw.$$

As a consequence, for the sets in (2.5) we have $|\mathcal{S}_j| \lesssim 1$ for $0 \leq j \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let

$$\ell(y) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(R+w)^2 - y^2} & \text{if } R \leq |y| \leq R+w \\ \sqrt{(R+w)^2 - y^2} - \sqrt{R^2 - y^2} & \text{if } 0 \leq |y| \leq R \end{cases}$$

be the length of the horizontal segment in the annulus under consideration at ordinate y . This is maximized at $|y| = R$ when it is at most $\sqrt{3Rw}$. In addition, for $2^p \leq |\kappa + x| - R \leq 2^{p+1}$ and $32 \leq 2^p \leq R$, we can estimate

$$\ell(\kappa + x) \leq \frac{2Rw}{\sqrt{R}\sqrt{R - \kappa - 21}} \leq 4R^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{p}{2}} w.$$

Summing a bounded number of contributions when $\kappa + x \geq R - 50$ and the above bound otherwise, we conclude that the volume under consideration is at most

$$V \lesssim \sqrt{Rw} + R^{\frac{1}{2}} w \sum_p 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \lesssim \sqrt{Rw} + Rw. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Barron, On Global-in-Time Strichartz Estimates for the Semiperiodic Schrödinger Equation, *Analysis and PDE*, to appear, arXiv:1901.01663.
- [2] J. M. Bouclet, Strichartz inequalities on surfaces with cusps. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* IMRN 2015, no. 24, 13437–13492.
- [3] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and application to nonlinear evolution equations I. Schrödinger equations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 3, 107–156 (1993).
- [4] J. Bourgain and C. Demeter, The proof of the ℓ^2 -Decoupling Conjecture *Ann. of Math.*, 182 (2015), Issue 1, 351–389.
- [5] N. Burq, C. Guillarmou and A. Hassell, Strichartz Estimates Without Loss on Manifolds with Hyperbolic Trapped Geodesics, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* (2010) 20: 627.
- [6] X. Cheng, Z. Guo and Z. Zhao, On scattering for the defocusing quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the two dimensional cylinder, preprint.
- [7] H. Christianson, Near Sharp Strichartz Estimates with Loss in the Presence of Degenerate Hyperbolic Trapping *Comm. Math. Phys.* Vol. 324 (2013), no. 3, 657–693.
- [8] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 133 (1995), pp. 50–68.
- [9] Z. Hani, B. Pausader, On scattering for the quintic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* 67 (2014), no. 9, 1466–1542.
- [10] Z. Hani, B. Pausader, N. Tzvetkov and N. Visciglia, Modified scattering for the cubic Schrödinger equation on product spaces and applications, *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*. Volume 3 / 2015, e4.
- [11] A. Ionescu and B. Pausader, Global well-posedness of the energy-critical defocusing NLS on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^3$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 312 (2012), no. 3, 781–831.
- [12] R. Strichartz, Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations. *Duke Math. J.* 44(3), 705–714 (1977).
- [13] H. Takaoka and N. Tzvetkov, On 2D nonlinear Schrödinger equations with data on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, *J. Funct. Anal.* 182 (2001) 427–442.
- [14] Z. Zhao, Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing cubic Schrödinger equation on waveguide $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$, to appear in *J. of Hyperbolic Diff. Equations*.
- [15] Z. Zhao, On scattering for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation on waveguide $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{T}$ (when $m = 2, 3$), preprint.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
 Email address: aabarron@illinois.edu

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
 Email address: mchrist@berkeley.edu

BROWN UNIVERSITY
 Email address: benoit_pausader@brown.edu