

# Entrainment effects in neutron-proton mixtures within the nuclear-energy density functional theory: Finite temperatures.

V. Allard<sup>1</sup> and N. Chamel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics,  
Université Libre de Bruxelles, CP 226,  
Boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium*

(Dated: June 30, 2020)

## Abstract

Mutual entrainment effects in hot neutron-proton superfluid mixtures are studied in the framework of the self-consistent nuclear energy-density functional theory. The mass currents, derived from the time-dependent Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov equations, are shown to have the same formal expression as in the absence of pairing at zero temperature. Simple analytical expressions for the entrainment matrix are obtained for application to superfluid neutron-star cores. Results are compared to Fermi-liquid predictions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Formed from the gravitational core-collapse of massive stars during supernova explosions, neutron stars are initially very hot but rapidly cool down by emitting neutrinos. Their very dense matter is thus expected to undergo various phase transitions [1]. In particular, the core of a mature neutron star is thought to contain a neutron-proton superfluid mixture (see, e.g., Ref. [2] for a recent review). Because a superfluid can flow without resistance and carries no heat, the dynamics of a neutron star must be described by three distinct components at least: the neutron superfluid, the proton superconductor, and the normal fluid. Due to strong nuclear interactions, neutrons and protons cannot flow completely independently and are mutually entrained, similarly to superfluid  $^4\text{He}$ - $^3\text{He}$  mixtures [3]. The mass current  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_q$  of one nucleon species ( $q = n, p$  for neutron, proton) is expressible as a combination of the ‘‘superfluid velocities’’ (momenta per unit mass)  $\mathbf{V}_q$  of both species and of the normal velocity  $\mathbf{v}_N$  of thermal excitations, as follows

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_n = (\rho_n - \rho_{nn} - \rho_{np})\mathbf{v}_N + \rho_{nn}\mathbf{V}_n + \rho_{np}\mathbf{V}_p, \quad (1)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_p = (\rho_p - \rho_{pp} - \rho_{pn})\mathbf{v}_N + \rho_{pn}\mathbf{V}_n + \rho_{pp}\mathbf{V}_p. \quad (2)$$

The (symmetric) entrainment matrix  $\rho_{qq'}$  is a key microscopic ingredient for modeling the hydrodynamics of neutron stars. It follows from Eqs. (1) and (2) that the normal fluid carries a momentum density given by

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_n + \boldsymbol{\rho}_p - \rho_n\mathbf{V}_n - \rho_p\mathbf{V}_p = (\rho_n - \rho_{nn} - \rho_{np})(\mathbf{v}_N - \mathbf{V}_n) + (\rho_p - \rho_{pp} - \rho_{pn})(\mathbf{v}_N - \mathbf{V}_p). \quad (3)$$

Although observed neutron stars are usually ‘cold’, meaning that their internal temperature  $T$  is much lower than the Fermi temperatures  $T_{Fq}$ , thermal effects may still be important for the superfluid dynamics since the associated critical temperatures  $T_{cq}$  are typically much lower than  $T_{Fq}$ . Finite-temperature effects may have implications for various phenomena, such as neutron-star oscillations and pulsar glitches.

The entrainment matrix of a neutron-proton superfluid mixture at finite temperatures have been previously calculated using the Landau-Fermi liquid theory [4–6]. We have recently determined the entrainment parameters at low temperatures within the microscopic framework of the self-consistent nuclear energy-density functional theory [7]. In this limit, the entrainment matrix can be calculated ignoring nuclear pairing [8]. In this second paper, we extend our previous analysis to finite temperatures. Pairing is fully taken into account

using the time-dependent Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (TDHFB) method. After introducing the TDHFB method in Sect. II, the derivation of the entrainment matrix is presented in Sect. III. Throughout the paper, we shall ignore the small difference between the neutron and proton masses, and the nucleon mass will be denoted by  $m$ .

## II. TIME-DEPENDENT HARTREE-FOCK-BOGOLIUBOV EQUATIONS

### A. Matrix formulation

The TDHFB method is discussed, e.g. in the classical textbook of Ref. [9]. The energy  $E$  of a nucleon-matter element of volume  $V$  is expressed as a function of the one-body density matrix  $n_q^{ij}$  and pairing tensor  $\kappa_q^{ij}$  defined by the following thermal averages of the creation and destruction operators,  $c_q^{i\dagger}$  and  $c_q^i$  (using the symbol  $\dagger$  for Hermitian conjugation), for nucleons of charge type  $q$  in a quantum state  $i$  (using the symbol  $*$  for complex conjugation):

$$n_q^{ij} = \langle c_q^{j\dagger} c_q^i \rangle = n_q^{ji*}, \quad (4)$$

$$\kappa_q^{ij} = \langle c_q^j c_q^i \rangle = -\kappa_q^{ji}. \quad (5)$$

Introducing the generalized Bogoliubov transformation<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_q^i \\ b_q^{i\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{(q)*} & \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{(q)*} \\ \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{(q)} & \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{(q)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_q^j \\ c_q^{j\dagger} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

such that  $\langle b_q^{j\dagger} b_q^i \rangle = \delta^{ij} f_i^{(q)}$  ( $\delta^{ij}$  is the Kronecker's symbol) and  $\langle b_q^j b_q^i \rangle = \langle b_q^{j\dagger} b_q^{i\dagger} \rangle = 0$ , where  $b_q^{i\dagger}$  and  $b_q^i$  are creation and destruction operators of a quasiparticle in a quantum state  $i$ , the TDHFB equations, which formally take the same form at any temperature, can be written as [9]

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{ki}^{(q)}}{\partial t} = \sum_j [(h_q^{ij} - \lambda_q \delta^{ij}) \mathcal{U}_{kj}^{(q)} + \Delta_q^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{(q)}], \quad (7)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{ki}^{(q)}}{\partial t} = \sum_j [-\Delta_q^{ij*} \mathcal{U}_{kj}^{(q)} - (h_q^{ij*} - \lambda_q \delta^{ij}) \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{(q)}], \quad (8)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> In Ref. [9], the matrices were denoted by  $X_j^i = \mathcal{U}_{ij}$  and  $Y_j^i = \mathcal{V}_{ij}$ .

where  $\lambda_q$  denotes the chemical potential of nucleon species  $q$ . The matrices  $h_q^{ij}$  and  $\Delta_q^{ij}$  of the single-particle Hamiltonian and the pair potential, respectively, are defined as

$$h_q^{ij} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial n_q^{ji}} = h_q^{ji*}, \quad (9)$$

$$\Delta_q^{ij} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \kappa_q^{ij*}} = -\Delta_q^{ji}. \quad (10)$$

The fermionic algebra of the particle operators ( $c_q^i$  and  $c_q^{i\dagger}$ ) and the quasiparticle operators ( $b_q^i$  and  $b_q^{i\dagger}$ ) yields the following identities

$$\sum_k \left( \mathcal{U}_{ik}^{(q)} \mathcal{U}_{jk}^{(q)*} + \mathcal{V}_{ik}^{(q)} \mathcal{V}_{jk}^{(q)*} \right) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \sum_k \left( \mathcal{U}_{ki}^{(q)*} \mathcal{U}_{kj}^{(q)} + \mathcal{V}_{ki}^{(q)} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{(q)*} \right) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_k \left( \mathcal{U}_{ik}^{(q)} \mathcal{V}_{jk}^{(q)} + \mathcal{V}_{ik}^{(q)} \mathcal{U}_{jk}^{(q)} \right) = 0, \quad \sum_k \left( \mathcal{U}_{ki}^{(q)*} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{(q)} + \mathcal{V}_{ki}^{(q)*} \mathcal{U}_{kj}^{(q)} \right) = 0. \quad (12)$$

The one-body density matrix and the pairing tensor can be expressed in terms of the quasiparticle components as

$$n_q^{ij} = \sum_k \left[ f_k^{(q)} \mathcal{U}_{ki}^{(q)} \mathcal{U}_{kj}^{(q)*} + (1 - f_k^{(q)}) \mathcal{V}_{ki}^{(q)*} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{(q)} \right], \quad (13)$$

$$\kappa_q^{ij} = \sum_k \left[ f_k^{(q)} \mathcal{U}_{ki}^{(q)} \mathcal{V}_{kj}^{(q)*} + (1 - f_k^{(q)}) \mathcal{V}_{ki}^{(q)*} \mathcal{U}_{kj}^{(q)} \right]. \quad (14)$$

## B. Coordinate-space formulation

The energy  $E$  is generally further assumed to depend on  $n_q^{ij}$  and  $\kappa_q^{ij}$  only through the following local densities and currents<sup>2</sup>:

(i) the nucleon number density at position  $\mathbf{r}$  and time  $t$

$$n_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}, \sigma; t), \quad (15)$$

(ii) the pair density (in general a complex number) at position  $\mathbf{r}$  and time  $t$

$$\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}, \sigma; t), \quad (16)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> The energy may be a functional of other densities and currents. We consider here only those relevant for calculating the entrainment couplings in homogeneous nuclear matter.

(ii) the kinetic energy density (in units of  $\hbar^2/2m$ ) at position  $\mathbf{r}$  and time  $t$

$$\tau_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \nabla \cdot \nabla' n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma; t), \quad (17)$$

(iii) and the momentum density (in units of  $\hbar$ ) at position  $\mathbf{r}$  and time  $t$

$$\mathbf{j}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') (\nabla - \nabla') n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma; t), \quad (18)$$

where the particle and pair density matrices in coordinate space are respectively defined by [10]

$$n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t) = \langle c_q(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^\dagger c_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma') = -\sigma' \langle c_q(\mathbf{r}', -\sigma') c_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \rangle, \quad (20)$$

where  $c_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)^\dagger$  and  $c_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)$  are the creation and destruction operators for nucleons of charge type  $q$  at position  $\mathbf{r}$  with spin  $\sigma$ . Introducing single-particle basis wavefunctions  $\varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)$ , these matrices can be alternatively written in terms of  $n_q^{ij}$  and  $\kappa_q^{ij}$  as

$$n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t) = \sum_{i,j} n_q^{ij} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^*, \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t) = -\sigma' \sum_{i,j} \kappa_q^{ij} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', -\sigma'). \quad (22)$$

Examples of nuclear energy-density functionals depending on the above local densities and currents are those constructed from zero-range effective nucleon-nucleon interactions of the Skyrme type [11]. The pair density matrix is related to the order parameter  $\Psi_q(\mathbf{r}, t)$  of the superfluid phase (assuming  $^1S_0$  pairing) at position  $\mathbf{r}$  and time  $t$  as follows (see, e.g., Eq.(2.4.24) of Ref. [12])

$$\Psi_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, -1; \mathbf{r}, -1; t) = \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, +1; \mathbf{r}, +1; t) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (23)$$

The matrices (9) and (10) of the single-particle Hamiltonian and pair potential are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_q^{ij}(t) &= \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left[ \frac{\delta E}{\delta n_q(\mathbf{r}, t)} \frac{\partial n_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial n_q^{ji}} + \frac{\delta E}{\delta \tau_q(\mathbf{r}, t)} \frac{\partial \tau_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial n_q^{ji}} + \frac{\delta E}{\delta \mathbf{j}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{j}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial n_q^{ji}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)^* h_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_q^{ij}(t) &= \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{\delta E}{\delta \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)^*} \frac{\partial \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)^*}{\partial \kappa_q^{ij*}} \\ &= - \sum_{\sigma} \sigma \int d^3\mathbf{r} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)^* \Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, -\sigma)^*, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$h_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\nabla \cdot \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_q^\oplus(\mathbf{r}, t)} \nabla + U_q(\mathbf{r}, t) - \frac{i}{2} \left[ \mathbf{I}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{I}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \right], \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_q^\oplus(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \frac{\delta E}{\delta \tau_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad U_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\delta E}{\delta n_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad \mathbf{I}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\delta E}{\delta \mathbf{j}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad (27)$$

$$\Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2 \frac{\delta E}{\delta \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)^*}. \quad (28)$$

The factor of 2 in Eq. (28) arises from the antisymmetry of the pairing tensor  $\kappa_q^{ij}$  (taking the derivative with respect to  $\kappa_q^{ij*}$  is equivalent to taking the derivative with respect to  $-\kappa_q^{ji*}$ ).

Since the energy  $E$  is real, it can only depend on the pair density through its square modulus  $|\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$ . The pairing potential (28) can thus be written as

$$\Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = 2 \frac{\delta E}{\delta |\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2} \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = 4 \frac{\delta E}{\delta |\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2} \Psi_q(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (29)$$

The last equality shows that  $\Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t)$  has the same phase as the order parameter  $\Psi_q(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . Using Eq. (22), the matrix elements (25) of the pairing field (29) will thus generally take the following form:

$$\Delta_q^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} v_{ijkl}^{(q)} \kappa_q^{kl}, \quad (30)$$

with

$$v_{ijkl}^{(q)} \equiv 4 \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} \sigma \sigma' \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \frac{\delta E}{\delta |\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)^* \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, -\sigma)^* \varphi_k^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma') \varphi_l^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, -\sigma'). \quad (31)$$

Let us note that  $v_{ijkl}^{(q)} = v_{klij}^{(q)*} = -v_{jikl}^{(q)} = -v_{ijlk}^{(q)}$ . In the traditional formulation of the TDHFB equations (see, e.g., Ref. [9]),  $v_{ijkl}^{(q)}$  represents the matrix elements of the effective (in-medium) two-body pairing interaction.

### C. Mass currents and superfluid velocities

The TDHFB Eqs. (7) and (8) can be conveniently rewritten as [9]

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial n_q^{ij}}{\partial t} = \sum_k (h_q^{ik} n_q^{kj} - n_q^{ik} h_q^{kj} + \kappa_q^{ik} \Delta_q^{kj*} - \Delta_q^{ik} \kappa_q^{kj*}), \quad (32)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \kappa_q^{ij}}{\partial t} = \sum_k [(h_q^{ik} - \lambda_q \delta^{ik}) \kappa_q^{kj} + \kappa_q^{ik} (h_q^{kj*} - \lambda_q \delta^{kj}) - \Delta_q^{ik} n_q^{kj*} - n_q^{ik} \Delta_q^{kj}] + \Delta_q^{ij}. \quad (33)$$

As shown in Appendix A, Eq. (32) can be translated in coordinate space by the same continuity equations as in the absence of pairing

$$\frac{\partial \rho_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0, \quad (34)$$

where the nucleon mass current is given by [7]

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{m}{m_q^\oplus(\mathbf{r}, t)} \hbar \mathbf{j}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) + \rho_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \frac{\mathbf{I}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\hbar}. \quad (35)$$

The effective mass  $m_q^\oplus(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and the vector field  $\mathbf{I}_q(\mathbf{r}, t)$  are defined by Eq. (27). As shown in our previous work [7], the nucleon mass current can be expressed solely in terms of the momentum densities as

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\rho}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \hbar \mathbf{j}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) & \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{\hbar^2} \left[ \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0(\mathbf{r}, t)} - \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1(\mathbf{r}, t)} \right] \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \right\} \\ & - \hbar \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) \frac{2}{\hbar^2} \left[ \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0(\mathbf{r}, t)} - \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1(\mathbf{r}, t)} \right] \rho_q(\mathbf{r}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where  $E_{\text{nuc}}^j$  represents the nuclear-energy terms contributing to the mass currents,  $X_0(\mathbf{r}, t) = n_0(\mathbf{r}, t)\tau_0(\mathbf{r}, t) - j_0(\mathbf{r}, t)^2$  and  $X_1(\mathbf{r}, t) = n_1(\mathbf{r}, t)\tau_1(\mathbf{r}, t) - j_1(\mathbf{r}, t)^2$ . Let us recall that the subscripts 0 and 1 denote isoscalar and isovector quantities, respectively, namely sums over neutrons and protons for the former (e.g.  $n_0 \equiv n = n_n + n_p$ ) and differences between neutrons and protons for the latter (e.g.  $n_1 = n_n - n_p$ ).

The so-called ‘‘superfluid velocity’’ of the nucleon species  $q$  at position  $\mathbf{r}$  and time  $t$  is defined by (see, e.g., Eq. (2.4.21) of Ref. [12])

$$\mathbf{V}_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\hbar}{2m} \nabla \phi_q(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (37)$$

where  $\phi_q(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the phase of the associated condensate defined through the order parameter (23)

$$\Psi_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = |\Psi_q(\mathbf{r}, t)| \exp(i\phi_q(\mathbf{r}, t)). \quad (38)$$

### III. ENTRAINMENT EFFECTS IN NEUTRON-STAR CORES

#### A. Solution of TDHFB in homogeneous matter

In this section, we consider an homogeneous neutron-proton mixture with stationary nucleon currents in the normal rest frame ( $\mathbf{v}_N = \mathbf{0}$ ). The latter assumption ensures that the

entropy densities  $s_q$  are independent of time. Recalling that [9]

$$s_q = -\frac{k_B}{V} \sum_i \left[ f_i^{(q)} \ln f_i^{(q)} + (1 - f_i^{(q)}) \ln(1 - f_i^{(q)}) \right], \quad (39)$$

where  $k_B$  denotes Boltzmann's constant, the quasiparticle distribution functions  $f_i^{(q)}$  are therefore also independent of time.

The single-particle wave functions are given by plane waves:

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \exp(i\mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}) \chi_j(\sigma), \quad (40)$$

where  $\chi_j(\sigma) = \delta_{\sigma_j \sigma}$  denotes the Pauli spinor. As can be seen from Eqs. (15), (21), and (24), the density matrix (4) and the single-particle Hamiltonian matrix (9) are both diagonal in this basis.

The superfluid velocities, which are necessarily spatially uniform and independent of time, are conveniently written as

$$\mathbf{V}_q \equiv \frac{\hbar \mathbf{Q}_q}{m}. \quad (41)$$

This corresponds to a superfluid phase  $\phi_q(\mathbf{r}) = 2\mathbf{Q}_q \cdot \mathbf{r}$  (modulo some arbitrary constant term without any physical consequence). Inserting Eq. (40) in Eq. (23) using Eqs. (16) and (22), the order parameter (23) thus reduces to

$$\Psi_q(\mathbf{r}, t) = |\Psi_q(t)| \exp(2i\mathbf{Q}_q \cdot \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4V} \sum_{i,j} \kappa_q^{ij} \exp[i(\mathbf{k}_i + \mathbf{k}_j) \cdot \mathbf{r}] (\sigma_j - \sigma_i). \quad (42)$$

One simple choice is to consider that  $\kappa_{ij}^q$  is non-zero only if the states  $i$  and  $j$  have opposite spins and wave vectors  $\mathbf{k}_i$  and  $\mathbf{k}_j$  are such that  $\mathbf{k}_i + \mathbf{k}_j = 2\mathbf{Q}_q$ . We can always arrange states such that  $\mathbf{k}_i = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q$  and  $\mathbf{k}_j = -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q$ . For convenience, we introduce the following shorthand notation

$$k \equiv (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q, \sigma), \quad \bar{k} \equiv (-\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q, -\sigma). \quad (43)$$

These quantum numbers define the conjugate states that are paired. Indeed, it follows from the definition (10) that the only nonzero matrix elements of the pair potential are of the form  $\Delta_q^{k\bar{k}} = -\Delta_q^{\bar{k}k}$ . In the absence of current ( $\mathbf{Q}_q = \mathbf{0}$ ), the conjugate state  $\bar{k}$  is the time-reversed state of  $k$ . The presence of a non-vanishing current ( $\mathbf{Q}_q \neq \mathbf{0}$ ) breaks the time-reversal symmetry. The nonzero elements of the  $\mathcal{U}^{(q)}$  and  $\mathcal{V}^{(q)}$  matrices satisfying Eqs. (11) and (12)



are of the form  $\mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)} = \mathcal{U}_{\bar{k}k}^{(q)}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)} = -\mathcal{V}_{\bar{k}k}^{(q)}$ . Substituting  $i\hbar\partial/\partial t$  by the quasiparticle energies  $\mathfrak{E}_k^{(q)}$ , the TDHFB Eqs. (7) and (8) finally reduce to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_k^{(q)} & \Delta_k^{(q)} \\ \Delta_k^{(q)*} & -\xi_{\bar{k}}^{(q)*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)} \\ \mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)} \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{E}_k^{(q)} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)} \\ \mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

where  $\xi_k^{(q)} \equiv \epsilon_k^{(q)} - \lambda_q$  ( $\epsilon_k^{(q)}$  denoting the eigenvalues of the single-particle Hamiltonian matrix) are explicitly given by

$$\xi_k^{(q)} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_q^\oplus} (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q)^2 + U_q + \mathbf{I}_q \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q) - \lambda_q. \quad (45)$$

The matrix elements  $\Delta_k^{(q)} \equiv \Delta_q^{k\bar{k}} = -\Delta_{\bar{k}}^{(q)}$  are given by the following equation

$$\Delta_k^{(q)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_l v_{k\bar{k}l\bar{l}}^{(q)} \kappa_q^{\bar{l}l}. \quad (46)$$

Using Eqs. (13), (15), (18), and (21), the nucleon number and momentum densities read

$$n_q = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k n_q^{kk} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \left[ |\mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 f_k^{(q)} + |\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 (1 - f_k^{(q)}) \right], \quad (47)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_q = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \mathbf{k}_k n_q^{kk} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \left[ |\mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q) f_k^{(q)} + |\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 (\mathbf{Q}_q - \mathbf{k}) (1 - f_k^{(q)}) \right], \quad (48)$$

respectively, where the quasiparticle distribution is given by [9]

$$f_k^{(q)} = \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{\mathfrak{E}_k^{(q)}}{k_B T} \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (49)$$

The solutions of Eq. (44), readily obtained by diagonalizing the HFB matrix, are given by<sup>3</sup>

$$\mathfrak{E}_k^{(q)} = \frac{\xi_k^{(q)} - \xi_{\bar{k}}^{(q)}}{2} + \sqrt{\epsilon_k^{(q)2} + |\Delta_k^{(q)}|^2}, \quad (50)$$

$$|\mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon_k^{(q)}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_k^{(q)2} + |\Delta_k^{(q)}|^2}} \right), \quad (51)$$

---

<sup>3</sup> Equation (44) actually admits two kinds of solutions corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\mathfrak{E}_{k\pm}^{(q)} = (\xi_k^{(q)} - \xi_{\bar{k}}^{(q)})/2 \pm \sqrt{\epsilon_k^{(q)2} + |\Delta_k^{(q)}|^2}$ . Those associated with  $\mathfrak{E}_{k-}^{(q)}$  are such that the expressions (51) and (52) of  $|\mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2$  and  $|\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2$  are swapped. However, these solutions lead to the same values for the nucleon number density (47) and the momentum density (48) using the fact that  $\mathfrak{E}_{k-}^{(q)} = -\mathfrak{E}_{\bar{k}+}^{(q)}$ . Therefore, they give the same mass current (36) and, therefore the same entrainment matrix.

$$|\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_k^{(q)}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k^{(q)2} + |\Delta_k^{(q)}|^2}} \right), \quad (52)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_k^{(q)} \equiv \frac{\xi_k^{(q)} + \xi_{\bar{k}}^{(q)}}{2} = \varepsilon_{\bar{k}}^{(q)}. \quad (53)$$

Using Eqs. (31) and (40), it can be shown that the effective pairing interaction  $v_{k\bar{k}\bar{l}\bar{l}}^{(q)}$  is independent of the wave vectors, and depends only the spins. The only nonzero elements are given by (denoting the spins with arrows for clarity)

$$v_{\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow}^{(q)} = \frac{4}{V} \frac{\delta E}{\delta |\tilde{n}_q|^2} < 0, \quad (54)$$

and any other element obtained by permutation of the spin indices. It thus follows from Eq. (46), that  $\Delta_k^{(q)}$  is also independent of the wave vectors  $\mathbf{k}$  (but  $\Delta_k^{(q)}$  still depends on the given wave vectors  $\mathbf{Q}_q$ ). Dropping the wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  as a subscript and introducing the pairing gap

$$\Delta_q \equiv \Delta_{\downarrow\uparrow}^{(q)} = \frac{1}{V} \int d^3\mathbf{r} |\Delta_q(\mathbf{r})| \geq 0, \quad (55)$$

and using Eqs. (51), (52), Eq. (46) reduces to the gap equation

$$\Delta_q = \frac{2}{V} \frac{\delta E}{\delta |\tilde{n}_q|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\Delta_q}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_k^2 + \Delta_q^2}} (f_k + f_{\bar{k}} - 1). \quad (56)$$

The summation is only over the wave vectors  $\mathbf{k}$ , the summation over the spins has been already carried out. Note that the right-hand side of this equation implicitly depends on the wave vectors  $\mathbf{Q}_q$  through Eq. (45).

At temperatures  $T \geq T_{cq}$  such that  $\Delta_q = 0$  (but  $\Delta_{q'}$  not necessarily zero), the superfluid velocity  $\mathbf{V}_q$  is ill defined since the pair density  $\tilde{n}_q$  vanishes identically. Therefore, the mass currents (1) and (2) cannot depend on  $\mathbf{V}_q$ . This means that we must have  $\rho_{q'q} = \rho_{qq} = 0$ . However,  $\rho_{q'q'}$  does not necessarily vanish if the nucleons of type  $q'$  remain superfluid. In an arbitrary frame, the mass currents are thus given by  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_q = \rho_q \mathbf{v}_N$  and  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{q'} = (\rho_{q'} - \rho_{q'q'}) \mathbf{v}_N + \rho_{q'q'} \mathbf{V}_{q'}$ . If the temperature is such that  $T \geq T_{cq}$  and  $T \geq T_{cq'}$  so that both types of nucleons are normal, the same line of argument leads to  $\rho_{q'q'} = 0$ , in which case the mass currents reduce to  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_q = \rho_q \mathbf{v}_N$  and  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{q'} = \rho_{q'} \mathbf{v}_N$ , as expected.

## B. Linear perturbation theory

Analytical expressions for the entrainment matrix can be obtained by considering small-current perturbations of the static state characterized by  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{0}$ . In particular, we assume that  $Q_q$  is small compared to both the corresponding Fermi wave number  $k_{\text{F}q} = (3\pi^2 n_q)^{1/3}$  and the critical superfluid wave number  $Q_{cq} = \Delta_q / (\hbar v_{\text{F}q})$ , where  $v_{\text{F}q} = \hbar k_{\text{F}q} / m_q^\oplus$  is the Fermi velocity.

The linearization of the quasiparticle energy (50) yields

$$\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} + \sum_{q'=n,p} \left( \mathcal{I}_{qq'} + \delta_{qq'} \frac{\hbar^2}{m_q^\oplus} \right) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{q'} \quad (57)$$

where the first term  $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \equiv \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)2} + \Delta_q^2} = \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}$  is the quasiparticle energy in the static state  $\mathbf{Q}_q = \mathbf{0}$  with

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \equiv \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_q^\oplus} \mathbf{k}^2 + U_q - \lambda_q = \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}, \quad (58)$$

while

$$\mathcal{I}_{qq'} = \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}_q}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{q'}} \right|_0, \quad (59)$$

the subscript '0' meaning that derivatives are evaluated for the static configuration. Since the pairing gap  $\Delta_q$  and the chemical potential  $\lambda_q$  are independent of  $\mathbf{k}$ , they can depend on  $\mathbf{Q}_q$  only through the scalar  $Q_q^2$ . Therefore, they remain unchanged to first order in  $Q_q$ . Using Eq. (57), the linearized Fermi-Dirac distribution (49) thus reads

$$f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} = f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} + \left. \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}} \right|_0 \sum_{q'=n,p} \left( \mathcal{I}_{qq'} + \delta_{qq'} \frac{\hbar^2}{m_q^\oplus} \right) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{q'}, \quad (60)$$

where

$$f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \equiv \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}}{k_{\text{B}} T} \right) \right]^{-1} = f_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \quad (61)$$

is the distribution in the absence of currents. Using Eqs. (11)-(12), the linearization of the HFB solutions (51) and (52) leads to

$$|\mathcal{U}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 = |\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}|^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}}{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}} \right) = |\mathcal{U}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}|^2, \quad (62)$$

$$|\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 = |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}|^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}}{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}} \right) = |\mathcal{V}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}|^2. \quad (63)$$

Using (60), (62) and (63), the linearization of the nucleon number density (47) gives

$$n_q = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ |\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}|^2 f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} + |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}|^2 (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}) \right]. \quad (64)$$

Linearizing the momentum density (48) using Eq. (64) yields

$$\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}} = n_q \left[ \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{q}} - \mathcal{Y}_q(T) \sum_{q'=n,p} \left( \frac{m_q^{\oplus}}{\hbar^2} \mathcal{I}_{qq'} + \delta_{qq'} \right) \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{q}'} \right], \quad (65)$$

where we have introduced the function

$$\mathcal{Y}_q(T) \equiv \frac{-\hbar^2}{3m_q^{\oplus} n_q} \left[ \frac{2}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}}{\partial \mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}} \Big|_0 \mathbf{k}^2 \right]. \quad (66)$$

Using Eqs. (49) and (57), this function can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{Y}_q(T) = \frac{\hbar^2}{6m_q^{\oplus} n_q k_B T} \left[ \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}}{2k_B T} \right) \right]. \quad (67)$$

Since  $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \geq 0$ , this function thus vanishes at  $T = 0$ . As shown in Appendix C,  $\mathcal{Y}_q(T) = 1$  at any temperature  $T \geq T_{cq}$ . Comparing Eqs. (41), (64), and (65) with the general expressions (1) and (2) recalling that we are in the normal rest frame  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbf{0}$ , the entrainment coefficients are found to be given by

$$\rho_{qq'}(T) = \rho_q (1 - \mathcal{Y}_q(T)) \left( \frac{m}{\hbar^2} \mathcal{I}_{qq'} + \delta_{qq'} \frac{m}{m_q^{\oplus}} \right). \quad (68)$$

The explicit form of  $\mathcal{I}_{qq'}$  can be obtained using Eqs. (59) and (65) and the general expression  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{q}}$  [7]

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}}} = -2(\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{n}}) \left( \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0} - \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1} \right) - 4\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1}. \quad (69)$$

Evaluating Eq. (59) using Eq. (69) leads to a self-consistent system of equations, whose solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{qq'} = 2n_{q'} \Theta(T) (1 - \mathcal{Y}_{q'}(T)) & \left\{ \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1} \Big|_0 (1 - 2\delta_{qq'}) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0} \Big|_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{8}{\hbar^2} \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1} \Big|_0 \delta_{qq'} (\delta_{qp} m_n^{\oplus} n_n \mathcal{Y}_n(T) + \delta_{qn} m_p^{\oplus} n_p \mathcal{Y}_p(T)) \right] \right\}, \quad (70) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(T) \equiv & \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{\hbar^2} \left( \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0} \Big|_0 + \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1} \Big|_0 \right) [m_n^{\oplus} n_n \mathcal{Y}_n(T) + m_p^{\oplus} n_p \mathcal{Y}_p(T)] \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{4}{\hbar^2} \right)^2 \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0} \Big|_0 \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1} \Big|_0 m_n^{\oplus} n_n m_p^{\oplus} n_p \mathcal{Y}_n(T) \mathcal{Y}_p(T) \right\}^{-1}. \quad (71) \end{aligned}$$

This  $\Theta(T)$  function is related to the dimensionless determinant of the entrainment matrix given by

$$\Upsilon(T) = \frac{\rho_{nn}(T)\rho_{pp}(T) - \rho_{np}(T)^2}{\rho_n\rho_p} = (1 - \mathcal{Y}_n(T))(1 - \mathcal{Y}_p(T))\Theta(T)\Upsilon(0), \quad (72)$$

where  $\Upsilon(0)$  is the dimensionless determinant of the entrainment matrix at zero temperature, as given by Eq. (48) of Ref. [7].

The dependence of the entrainment matrix  $\rho_{qq'}$  on the temperature and on the pairing gaps is entirely contained in the function  $\mathcal{Y}_q(T)$ . In the low temperature limit,  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  vanish and Eq. (68) thus reduces to the expression we previously derived in Ref. [7] independently of the pairing gaps. In Ref. [7], we assumed that the superfluid velocity is simply given by  $\mathbf{V}_q = \hbar\mathbf{j}_q/\rho_q$  in the low-temperature limit. This can now be explicitly shown using Eqs. (41), (64), and (65).

The elements of the entrainment matrix at temperature  $T$  can be alternatively expressed in terms of their zero-temperature values as

$$\rho_{np}(T) = \rho_{np}(0)(1 - \mathcal{Y}_n(T))(1 - \mathcal{Y}_p(T))\Theta(T) = \rho_{np}(0)\frac{\Upsilon(T)}{\Upsilon(0)}, \quad (73)$$

$$\rho_{qq}(T) = (1 - \mathcal{Y}_q(T))\frac{\Theta(T)}{\Theta(T)|_{\mathcal{Y}_q=1}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{\hbar^2} \left( \left. \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0} \right|_0 + \left. \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1} \right|_0 \right) m_q^\oplus n_q \right]^{-1} \rho_{qq}(0) \quad (74)$$

where  $\Theta(T)|_{\mathcal{Y}_q=1}$  is obtained from the function  $\Theta(T)$  by setting  $\mathcal{Y}_q = 1$ . Using Eqs. (73) and (74), it can be shown that  $\rho_{qq'}(T) = \rho_{q'q}(T) = \rho_{qq}(T) = 0$  at temperatures  $T_{cq} \leq T < T_{cq'}$ , as we anticipated, from general considerations, at the end of Section III A.

### C. Comparison with the Fermi liquid theory

As shown in Appendix B, our expression (35) of the mass current reduces to that adopted in Ref. [4] in homogeneous matter. The entrainment matrix (68) can be expressed in a form similar to that obtained in Ref. [4] within the Fermi-liquid theory:

$$\rho_{qq'}(T) = \rho_q \gamma_{qq'}(T) (1 - \mathcal{Y}_q(T)). \quad (75)$$

The functions  $\gamma_{qq'}(T)$  are defined as

$$\gamma_{nn}(T) = \frac{m}{m_n^\oplus S(T)} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{nn}}{3} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{pp}}{3} \mathcal{Y}_p(T) \right) - \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{np}}{3} \right)^2 \mathcal{Y}_p(T) \right], \quad (76)$$

$$\gamma_{pp}(T) = \frac{m}{m_p^\oplus S(T)} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{pp}}{3}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{nn}}{3} \mathcal{Y}_n(T)\right) - \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{pn}}{3}\right)^2 \mathcal{Y}_n(T) \right], \quad (77)$$

$$\gamma_{np}(T) = \frac{m}{3S(T)\sqrt{m_n^\oplus m_p^\oplus}} \left(\frac{n_p}{n_n}\right)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}_1^{np} (1 - \mathcal{Y}_p(T)), \quad (78)$$

$$\gamma_{pn}(T) = \frac{m}{3S(T)\sqrt{m_p^\oplus m_n^\oplus}} \left(\frac{n_n}{n_p}\right)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}_1^{pn} (1 - \mathcal{Y}_n(T)), \quad (79)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_1^{qq'}$  denotes the dimensionless  $\ell = 1$  Landau parameter

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{qq'} = \frac{6}{\hbar^2} \left[ (1 - 2\delta_{qq'}) \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_1} \Big|_0 - \frac{\delta E_{\text{nuc}}^j}{\delta X_0} \Big|_0 \right] \sqrt{m_q^\oplus n_q m_{q'}^\oplus n_{q'}}, \quad (80)$$

and the function  $S(T)$  is given by

$$S(T) = \Theta(T)^{-1} = \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{nn}}{3} \mathcal{Y}_n(T)\right) \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{pp}}{3} \mathcal{Y}_p(T)\right) - \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_1^{np}}{3}\right)^2 \mathcal{Y}_n(T) \mathcal{Y}_p(T). \quad (81)$$

In the continuum limit, the discrete summation over  $\mathbf{k}$  is replaced by an integral over the single-particle energy levels. The function  $\mathcal{Y}_q(T)$  introduced in Eq. (66) thus becomes

$$\mathcal{Y}_q(T) = \frac{\hbar^2}{6m_q^\oplus n_q V k_B T} \int d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \mathcal{D}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}) k^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)2} + \Delta_q^2}}{2k_B T} \right), \quad (82)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)})$  denotes the level density. In the Fermi-liquid theory, the level density is assumed to be constant and approximated by that on the Fermi surface  $\mathcal{D}(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)}) \approx \mathcal{D}(0) = V k_{\text{F}}^{(q)} m_q^\oplus / (\pi^2 \hbar^2)$ . Moreover, the factor  $k^2$  is replaced by  $k_{\text{F}q}^2$ . With these approximations,  $\mathcal{Y}_q(T)$  reduces to the Yosida function [13] (denoted by  $\Phi_q(T)$  in Ref. [4])

$$Y_q(T) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x^2 + v_q(T)} \right) dx, \quad (83)$$

where  $v_q(T) = \Delta_q(T)/(k_B T)$ . The Yosida function  $Y_q(T)$  has the following limiting expressions at low temperatures and at temperatures close to  $T_{cq}$  [13]:

$$Y_q(T \gtrsim 0) = \frac{2\pi\Delta_q}{k_B T} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta_q}{k_B T}\right), \quad Y_q(T \lesssim T_{cq}) = 2\frac{T}{T_{cq}} - 1. \quad (84)$$

The critical temperature  $T_{cq}$  for the superfluid transition can be determined from the pairing gap  $\Delta_q(0)$  at  $T = 0$  by

$$T_{cq} = \frac{\exp(\gamma)}{\pi} \Delta_q(0), \quad (85)$$

where  $\gamma \approx 0.577$  is the Euler-Mascheroni's constant (although this relation was originally derived within the BCS theory of conventional terrestrial superconductors [14], it still holds in the framework of the nuclear-energy density functional theory, as shown, e.g., in Ref. [15]).

Our expression for the entrainment matrix (75) thus coincides with that previously derived in Ref. [4] in the Fermi-liquid theory. However, the microscopic approach followed here allows to calculate (numerically) the entrainment parameters for arbitrary currents. Given the superfluid velocities  $\mathbf{V}_q$  or equivalently the wave vectors  $\mathbf{Q}_q$ , the induced mass currents can indeed be calculated from Eq. (36) using the solution of the TDHFB equations given in Section III A.

#### D. Numerical results

We have calculated the entrainment matrix using the generalized Skyrme energy-density functional BSk24 [16] for which the equation of state of dense matter in all layers of a nonaccreted neutron star has been recently calculated both with [17] and without magnetic fields [18, 19]. We have focused on the outer core region beneath the crust since it is expected to play an important role for the stellar dynamics during pulsar frequency glitches [20–22]. We have considered matter in  $\beta$  equilibrium at baryon density  $n = 0.081 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ . At this density, the neutron and proton densities are  $n_n = 0.078 \text{ fm}^{-3}$  and  $n_p = 0.0027 \text{ fm}^{-3}$  respectively. The neutron and proton pairing gaps at zero temperature are  $\Delta_n(0) = 0.88 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\Delta_p(0) = 0.21 \text{ MeV}$ , respectively. Using Eq. (85), the corresponding critical temperatures are  $T_{cn} = 0.50 \text{ MeV}$  and  $T_{cp} = 0.12 \text{ MeV}$ . The temperature dependence of the gaps  $\Delta_q(T)$  at temperatures  $T \leq T_{cq}$  are well approximated by [23]

$$\Delta_q(T) = \left(1.456T + 1.764T_{cq} - 0.157\sqrt{T_{cq}T}\right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{T}{T_{cq}}}. \quad (86)$$

The entrainment matrix elements are plotted in Fig. 1. Results are indistinguishable from those obtained using the Fermi-liquid expressions of Ref. [4]. At low temperatures  $T \ll T_{cq}$ , the entrainment parameters are essentially independent of  $T$ . As previously shown in Section III B, the entrainment matrix elements  $\rho_{pn}(T) = \rho_{np}(T)$  and  $\rho_{pp}(T)$  vanish at temperatures  $T$  such that  $T_{cp} \leq T < T_{cn}$ , while  $\rho_{nn}(T)$  remains finite. At  $T \geq T_{cn}$  all entrainment coefficients vanish.




FIG. 1. (Color online) Variation of the entrainment parameters with temperature  $T$  in the outer core of a cold nonaccreted neutron star at baryon density  $n = 0.081 \text{ fm}^{-3}$  for the unified equation of state BSk24 [18].

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have derived the set of equations characterizing the mutual entrainment effects in homogeneous neutron-proton superfluid mixtures at finite temperatures in the framework of the self-consistent nuclear energy density functional theory. Solving the TDHFB equations in the limit of small currents (compared to the critical currents), we have obtained analytical formulas - Eqs. (68), (70), and (71) - for the entrainment matrix. We have thus explicitly demonstrated that the parameters  $\rho_{qq'}$  relating the mass current  $\rho_{\mathbf{q}}$  to the superfluid velocity  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{q}'}$  are independent of the pairing gaps at low enough temperatures ( $T \ll T_{cn}$  and  $T \ll T_{cp}$ ), our formulas reducing to those we obtained earlier from the TDHF equations at zero temperature [7]. We have also shown that our formulas are equivalent to those derived in Ref. [4] within the Fermi-liquid approximation.

Our formulas are applicable to a large class of nuclear energy-density functionals. These include the Brussels-Montreal functionals based on generalized Skyrme effective interactions,



for which unified equations of state for all regions of neutron stars have been calculated [17–19, 24].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank T. Duguet and J. Sauls for valuable discussions. This work was financially supported by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (Belgium) under Grant No. PDR T.004320. This work was also partially supported by the European Cooperation in Science and Technology action (EU) CA16214.

## Appendix A: Continuity equations

Making use of the completeness relations

$$\sum_i \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)^* \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma') = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

and using Eqs. (24) and (25), we can demonstrate the following identities:

$$\sum_{i,j} h_q^{ij}(t) \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* = h_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\sum_{i,j} \Delta_q^{ij}(t) \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) (-\sigma') \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', -\sigma') = \Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Multiplying Eq. (32) by  $\varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^*$  and summing over indices  $i$  and  $j$  yields

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{i,j} n_q^{ij} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* = \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k} \left( h_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* n_q^{kj} - n_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* h_q^{kj} \right) \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$+ \sum_{i,j,k} \left( \kappa_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \Delta_q^{kj*} - \Delta_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \kappa_q^{kj*} \right). \quad (\text{A6})$$

The summation in (A4) yields the density matrix  $n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t)$ , as can be seen from Eq. (21). The next two summations in Eq. (A5) can be simplified using Eq. (A2) and the orthonormality property of the single-particle wavefunctions

$$\sum_{\sigma} \int d^3r \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)^* = \delta_{ij}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

We can thus write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j,k} h_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* n_q^{kj} &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \delta_{kl} \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* n_q^{lj} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' \sum_{i,j,k,l} h_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_k^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', \sigma'')^* \varphi_l^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', \sigma'') \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* n_q^{lj} \\
&= h_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'') \delta_{\sigma\sigma''} n_q(\mathbf{r}'', \sigma''; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t) \\
&= h_q(\mathbf{r}, t) n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t). \tag{A8}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j,k} n_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* h_q^{kj} &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} n_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \delta_{kl} \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* h_q^{lj} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' \sum_{i,j,k,l} n_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_k^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', \sigma'')^* \varphi_l^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', \sigma'') \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* h_q^{lj} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}'', \sigma''; t) h_q(\mathbf{r}'', t) \delta(\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}') \delta_{\sigma'\sigma''} \\
&= \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}'', \sigma'; t) h_q(\mathbf{r}'', t) \delta(\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}'). \tag{A9}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us recall that  $h_q(\mathbf{r}'', t)$  involves the operator  $\nabla''$  so that it cannot be factored out of the integral. However, the hermiticity of the Hamiltonian matrix,  $h_q^{ij} = h_q^{ji*}$ , implies the following identity [7]:

$$h_q(\mathbf{r}'', t) \delta(\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}') = h_q(\mathbf{r}', t)^* \delta(\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}'). \tag{A10}$$

Finally, Eq. (A9) becomes

$$\sum_{i,j,k} n_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* h_q^{kj} = h_q(\mathbf{r}', t)^* n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t). \tag{A11}$$

Likewise, the two summations in Eq. (A6) can be expressed as follows using Eqs. (22) and (A3):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j,k} \kappa_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \Delta_q^{kj*} &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \kappa_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \delta_{kl} \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \Delta_q^{lj*} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' \sum_{i,j,k,l} \kappa_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_k^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', -\sigma'') (-\sigma'') (-\sigma'') \varphi_l^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', -\sigma'')^* \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \Delta_q^{lj*} \\
&= -\Delta_q(\mathbf{r}', t)^* \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}'', \sigma''; t) \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'') \delta_{\sigma'\sigma''} \\
&= -\tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma'; t) \Delta_q(\mathbf{r}', t)^*, \tag{A12}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j,k} \Delta_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \kappa_q^{kj*} &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \Delta_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \delta_{kl} \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \kappa_q^{lj*} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' \sum_{i,j,k,l} \Delta_q^{ik} \varphi_i^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma) \varphi_k^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', -\sigma'') (-\sigma'') (-\sigma'') \varphi_l^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}'', -\sigma'')^* \varphi_j^{(q)}(\mathbf{r}', \sigma')^* \kappa_q^{lj*} \\
&= -\Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \sum_{\sigma''} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}'' \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'') \delta_{\sigma\sigma''} \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}', \sigma'; \mathbf{r}'', \sigma''); t)^* \\
&= -\Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}', \sigma'; \mathbf{r}, \sigma; t)^*. \tag{A13}
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms, multiplying by  $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ , integrating over  $\mathbf{r}'$  and summing over spins  $\sigma' = \sigma$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar \frac{\partial n_q(\mathbf{r}; t)}{\partial t} &= \sum_{\sigma} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}' \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') [h_q(\mathbf{r}, t) n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma; t) - h_q(\mathbf{r}', t)^* n_q(\mathbf{r}, \sigma; \mathbf{r}', \sigma; t)] \\
&\quad + \Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t) \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}; t)^* - \tilde{n}_q(\mathbf{r}; t) \Delta_q(\mathbf{r}, t)^*. \tag{A14}
\end{aligned}$$

As shown in Ref. [7], the integral can be equivalently expressed as the divergence of a particle flux. The last two terms cancel each other if the pairing potential is calculated self-consistently from Eq. (29). Finally, multiplying by  $m/(i\hbar)$  leads to the same Eq. (34) as previously derived in Ref. [7] ignoring pairing.

## Appendix B: Alternative definition of the mass current

In Refs. [4, 6], the nucleon mass current in homogeneous matter was defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_q = \frac{m}{V} \sum_k n_q^{kk} \frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \xi_k^{(q)} \tag{B1}$$

(in Refs. [4, 6],  $\boldsymbol{\rho}_q$  was denoted by  $\mathbf{J}_q$ ,  $n_q^{kk}$  by  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}_q}^{(q)}$  and  $\xi_k^{(q)}$  by  $H_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}_q}^{(q)}$ ). Note that  $(1/\hbar) \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \xi_k^{(q)}$  represents the group velocity of the single-particle state  $k$ . Using Eqs. (45) and (47), Eq. (B1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\rho}_q &= \hbar \frac{m}{m_q^{\oplus}} \left\{ \frac{1}{V} \sum_k (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}_q) \left[ |\mathcal{U}_{kk}^{(q)}|^2 f_k^{(q)} + |\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 (1 - f_{\bar{k}}^{(q)}) \right] \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathbf{I}_q}{\hbar} m \left\{ \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \left[ |\mathcal{U}_{kk}^{(q)}|^2 f_k^{(q)} + |\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}|^2 (1 - f_{\bar{k}}^{(q)}) \right] \right\}. \tag{B2}
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $|\mathcal{V}_{k\bar{k}}^{(q)}| = |\mathcal{V}_{\bar{k}k}^{(q)}|$ , it can be seen from Eq. (48) that Eq (B2) coincides with our definition (35) of the mass current.

### Appendix C: Proof that $\mathcal{Y}_q(T \geq T_{cq}) = 1$

At temperatures  $T \geq T_{cq}$  such that  $\Delta_q = 0$ , the function  $\mathcal{Y}_q(T)$  can be equivalently written as

$$\mathcal{Y}_q(T) = \frac{2}{3n_q} \left( \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k < k_0} \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k > k_0} \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right), \quad (\text{C1})$$

where  $k_0 \equiv \sqrt{2m_q^\oplus(\lambda_q - U_q)/\hbar}$ . Taking the continuum limit and making use of Stokes' theorem lead to

$$\mathcal{Y}_q(T) = \frac{2}{3n_q} \left[ \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} + \frac{3}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{k > k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \frac{3}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{k < k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right], \quad (\text{C2})$$

where the first integral is over the surface in  $\mathbf{k}$ -space defined by the equation  $k = k_0$  with the infinitesimal surface vector  $d\mathbf{S}$  directed along  $\mathbf{k}_0$ . Evaluating the surface integral, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_q(T) &= \frac{2}{3n_q} \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi k_0^3 f_{k_0}^{(q)} + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3 n_q} \left[ \int_{k > k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \int_{k < k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right] \\ &= \frac{k_0^3}{3\pi^2 n_q} + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3 n_q} \left[ \int_{k > k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \int_{k < k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C3})$$

using the fact that  $f_{k_0}^{(q)} = 1/2$  since  $\varepsilon_{k_0}^{(q)} = 0$  by definition.

On the other hand, the expression (47) of the nucleon density reduces for  $T \geq T_{cq}$  to

$$n_q = \frac{2}{V} \left[ \sum_{k > k_0} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \sum_{k < k_0} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right] + \frac{2}{V} \sum_{k < k_0} 1, \quad (\text{C4})$$

which in the continuum limit reads

$$\begin{aligned} n_q &= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \int_{k > k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \int_{k < k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right] + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{k < k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} \\ &= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \int_{k > k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \int_{k < k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right] + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4}{3} \pi k_0^3 \\ &= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \int_{k > k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} - \int_{k < k_0} d^3\mathbf{k} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(q)} \right] + \frac{k_0^3}{3\pi^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

Comparing Eqs. (C3) and (C5), we can thus conclude that  $\mathcal{Y}_q(T) = 1$  for any temperature  $T \geq T_{cq}$ .

- [1] D. Blaschke and N. Chamel, in *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, edited by L. Rezzolla, P. Pizzochero, D. I. Jones, N. Rea, and I. Vidaña (2018), vol. 457 of *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, p. 337.

- [2] N. Chamel, *Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy* **38**, 43 (2017).
- [3] A. F. Andreev and E. P. Bashkin, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **42**, 164 (1975).
- [4] M. E. Gusakov and P. Haensel, *Nuclear Physics A* **761**, 333 (2005).
- [5] M. E. Gusakov, E. M. Kantor, and P. Haensel, *Phys. Rev. C* **80**, 015803 (2009).
- [6] L. B. Leinson, *MNRAS* **479**, 3778 (2018).
- [7] N. Chamel and V. Allard, *Phys. Rev. C* **100**, 065801 (2019), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.065801>.
- [8] M. Borumand, R. Joynt, and W. Kluźniak, *Phys. Rev. C* **54**, 2745 (1996).
- [9] J. Blaizot and G. Ribka, *Quantum Theory of Finite Systems* (The MIT Press, 1986).
- [10] J. Dobaczewski, H. Flocard, and J. Treiner, *Nucl. Phys. A* **422**, 103 (1984).
- [11] M. Bender, P.-H. Heenen, and P.-G. Reinhard, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **75**, 121 (2003).
- [12] A. Leggett, *Quantum Liquids* (Oxford University Press, 2006).
- [13] T. Kita, *Statistical mechanics of superconductivity* (Springer, 2015).
- [14] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to superconductivity* (Courier Corporation, 2004).
- [15] N. Chamel, S. Goriely, J. M. Pearson, and M. Onsi, *Phys. Rev. C* **81**, 045804 (2010).
- [16] S. Goriely, N. Chamel, and J. M. Pearson, *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 024308 (2013).
- [17] Y. D. Mutafchieva, N. Chamel, Z. K. Stoyanov, J. M. Pearson, and L. M. Mihailov, *Phys. Rev. C* **99**, 055805 (2019).
- [18] J. M. Pearson, N. Chamel, A. Y. Potekhin, A. F. Fantina, C. Ducoin, A. K. Dutta, and S. Goriely, *MNRAS* **481**, 2994 (2018).
- [19] J. M. Pearson, N. Chamel, and A. Y. Potekhin, *Phys. Rev. C* **101**, 015802 (2020).
- [20] A. Sedrakian and J. M. Cordes, *MNRAS* **307**, 365 (1999).
- [21] E. Gügercinoğlu and M. A. Alpar, *ApJ* **788**, L11 (2014).
- [22] A. Montoli, M. Antonelli, and P. M. Pizzochero, *MNRAS* **492**, 4837 (2020).
- [23] K. P. Levenfish and D. G. Yakovlev, *Astronomy Reports* **38**, 247 (1994).
- [24] A. Y. Potekhin, A. F. Fantina, N. Chamel, J. M. Pearson, and S. Goriely, *A&A* **560**, A48 (2013).