

Axion, Neutrinos Masses and μ -Problem in Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)

M. C. Rodriguez

Grupo de Física Teórica e Matemática Física

Departamento de Física

Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro - UFRRJ

BR 465 Km 7, 23890-000

Seropédica, RJ, Brazil,

email: marcoscrodriguez@ufrj.br

Abstract

We review very nice mechanism to generate masses to all the neutrinos in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) without break R -parity. In consequence we get viable axion as Dark Matter candidate and at the same time solve the μ -problem in a similar way as done in the Next to Minimal Supersymmetric Model (NMSSM).

PACS number(s): 12.60. Jv

Keywords: Supersymmetric Models

1 Introduction

Two important problems of today's particle physics are:

- Explain the neutrino masses and their oscillations parameters;
- To solve the strong CP-problem and get an axion as viable candidate to be Dark Matter.

Certainly the most popular extension of the Standard Model (SM) is its supersymmetric counterpart called Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The main motivation to study this model are:

- We get unification of the three gauge couplings of Standard Model;
- Solve the hierarchy Problem (why $M_H \ll M_P$);
- It is possible to understand the electroweak symmetry breaking.

Supersymmetric theories (SUSY) has also made several correct predictions [9]:

- SUSY predicted in the early 1980s that the top quark would be heavy;
- SUSY GUT theories with a high fundamental scale accurately predicted the present experimental value of $\sin^2 \theta_W$ before it was mesured;
- SUSY requires a light Higgs boson to exist.

Together these success provide powerful indirect evidence that low energy SUSY is indeed part of correct description of nature.

The MSSM, however, suffers from the μ -problem. It means that the predictions of the MSSM set a phenomenological constraint on the magnitude $\mu \sim \mathcal{O}(M_W)$, we use this fact in order to generate masses to two neutrinos when we broke R -parity in the MSSM [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16], while the natural cut-off scale is the Planck scale $M_P \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$. The MSSM does not provide any mechanism to explain the difference between the two scales. This is know as the μ *problem* [2, 3, 5, 6, 7].

The simplest way to solve the μ -problem is to introduce the Next-to-the-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard-Model (NMSSM) [2, 3, 5, 6, 17]. We add to the usual supermultiplets a new scalar singlet field (added in a chiral supermultiplet) [2, 3]

$$\hat{S} \sim (\mathbf{1}, 0), \quad (1)$$

where this chiral superfield is expanded as [8]

$$\hat{S}(y, \theta) = S(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\tilde{S}(y) + \theta\theta F_S(y), \quad (2)$$

where S is the scalar in the singlet responsible to generate the μ term when this new field develops its VEV [3, 5]. Another essential feature of the NMSSM is the fact that the mass bounds for the Higgs bosons and neutralinos are weakened constrained when compared to those in the MSSM. For more details about the scalar sector of this model see Ref. [3, 5, 6, 18, 19]. This model has also good results in cosmology as presented in the followings articles [3, 5, 6].

The strong CP problem arise as in the following way, we will consider Quantum Chromo-Dynamics (QCD) with one flavor of massless quark, given by Ψ . The path integral that describes this quark, denoted as Ψ , propagation and its interaction with the gluons, $(G^a)_m$, is [20]

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\Psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \exp \left\{ i \int d^4x \left[i\bar{\Psi} (D^m \gamma_m) \Psi - \frac{1}{4} (G^a)^{mn} (G^a)_{mn} - \frac{g_s^2 \theta}{32\pi^2} \epsilon_{mnop} (G^a)_{mn} (G^a)_{op} \right] \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where the covariant derivate is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \partial_m + i g_s (G^a)_m T^a, \quad (4)$$

where g_s is the strong coupling constant, T^a are the generator of the group $SU(3)$, while $(G^a)_m$ is the gluonic field and the field-strength tensor to gluons are

$$(G_a)^{mn} = \partial^m (G_a)^n - \partial^n (G_a)^m + g_s f_{abc} (G_b)^m (G_c)^n, \quad (5)$$

the structure constant f_{abc} , of the gauge group $SU(3)_C$, is defined as

$$[T_a, T_b] = i f_{abc} T_c, \quad (6)$$

are completely antisymmetric.

The strong CP problem simply questions why the effective $\bar{\theta}$ -term is so small, or in other words, what is the origin of such a strong fine tuning between the initial value θ and the phases of the quark mass matrices?

Axions are fascinating hypothetical particles whose existence was proposed by S. Weinberg and F. Wilczek to give a resolution of the strong CP problem [21]. The problem is resolved by invoking

a dynamical mechanism [22] to relax the above θ_{QCD} parameter (including all contributions from colored fermions) to zero. However, this necessarily results [21] in a very light pseudoscalar particle called the axion, which has not yet been observed [23].

The famous Peccei-Quinn solution [22] is based on the concept of spontaneously broken global axial symmetry $U(1)_{PQ}$. In the context of this mechanism $\bar{\theta}$ essentially becomes a dynamical field defined as

$$\bar{\theta} = \frac{a}{f_a}, \quad (7)$$

with an effective potential induced by the non-perturbative QCD effects which fixes vacuum expectation value (VEV) at zero. Here a stands for the pseudo-Goldstone mode of the spontaneously broken PQ symmetry, an axion, and f_a is a VEV of scalar (or a VEV combination of several scalars) responsible for the $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry breaking. This scale is model dependent. In particular, in the original Weinberg-Wilczek (WW) model [21] f_a is order electroweak scale.

The main solutions to avoid the constraints in the axion are:

- The Dine-Fischler-Srednicki-Zhitnitskii (DFSZ) solution [24] introduces a heavy singlet scalar field as the source of the axion but its mixing with the doublet scalar fields (which couple to the usual quarks) is very much suppressed;
- The Kim-Shifman-Vainshtein-Zakharov (KSVZ) solution [25] also has a heavy singlet scalar field but it couples only to new heavy colored fermions.

In supersymmetric theories, we can introduce the anomalous interaction between axion with gluons¹ in the following way [27, 28]:

$$\int d^2\theta \left(\hat{A} Tr[W^a W_a] \right) + hc \propto (s + ia) Tr[G_{mn}^a G^{amn}], \quad (8)$$

where the axion chiral superfield is defined as [8]

$$\hat{A}(y, \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (s(y) + ia(y)) + \sqrt{2}\theta\tilde{a}(y) + \theta\theta F_a(y), \quad (9)$$

where s is the saxion while $\tilde{a}$ is the axino, a is the axion field and F_a is the auxiliary field of the axion supermultiplet. The axino and saxion remain also massless in the case where Supersymmetry is hold. Supersymmetry breaking induces their masses which are generically expected to be of order of Supersymmetry breaking scale. The field value of the saxion (corresponding to the axion decay constant) needs to be stabilized, that requires a coupling to the SUSY breaking sector.

In Eq.(8), a is colour indices and G_{mn}^a is the gluonic field strength. This term will generate the following interaction between axion-gluon

$$g_s f^{abc} (s + ia) G_m^a G_n^b, \quad (10)$$

where g_s is the strong coupling constant, f^{abc} , defined at Eq.(6), is the structure coefficients of $SU(3)_C$ and G_m^a are the gluons.

There are some supersymmetric models, where we introduce the axion, as the follows [28]:

¹This term can be generated from string theories as shown at [26].

- KSVZ: $\hat{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}^c$ is $3 \oplus \bar{3}$ heavy quarks

$$W = \lambda_1 \hat{S} \hat{\Phi} \hat{\Phi}^c \quad (11)$$

the axion solution is realized by the presence of extra $\hat{\Phi}$ and $\hat{\Phi}^c$

- DFSZ: $\hat{\Phi} + \hat{\Phi}^c$ is $5 \oplus \bar{5}$ under $SU(5)$

$$W = \lambda_1 \hat{S} \hat{\Phi} \hat{\Phi}^c + \lambda_2 \frac{\hat{S} \hat{S}}{M_{Pl}} \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, we can easily see, the μ -problem of the MSSM is connected to the axion solution and it plays a major role in the axino/saxion cosmology. However, the neutrinos are still massless.

Some years ago, it was shown that we can give masses to all neutrinos and at same time solve the μ -problem and CP-problem, including more singlet fields in this model [30]. These new singlets, in this case, introduce a new energy scale, where the Peccei-Quinn symmetry is broken, which allow Majorana Mass terms to the right handed neutrinos, but avoids the term responsible for the μ -problem in the MSSM.

The outline of this review is the following: In Sec.(2) we discuss how to implement the axion and at same time generate masses to all neutrinos and also solve the μ -problem and CP-Problem, we get the axion field in Sec.(3). In the end we present our conclusion.

2 Neutrinos Massives with the Axion.

Here we will present briefly how we can use the axion and get mass to all neutrinos as presented at [30], the quark sector is exact the same as defined at Standard Model. In order to generate masses to neutrinos beyond the usual leptons of the MSSM, we will introduce right-handed neutrinos, in similar way as it is done in the MSSM with three right-handed neutrinos (MSSM3RHN) [4, 6]. The new aspect now, we also associate to each superfield the following PQ -charges given in Tab.(1).

Chiral Superfield	$(B - L)$ -charge	R -charge	PQ -charge
$\hat{L}_{iL} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -1)$	-1	$+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
$\hat{E}_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2)$	+1	$-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
$\hat{N}_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$	+1	$-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	$+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
$\hat{H}_1 \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, -1)$	0	0	-1
$\hat{H}_2 \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1)$	0	0	-1
$\hat{S}_0 \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$	0	0	-2
$\hat{S}_1 \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$	0	+1	-1
$\hat{S}_2 \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$	0	0	+2

Table 1: Quantum number assignment to the leptons and new scalars of the model. The families index for leptons are $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. The parentheses are the transformation properties under the respective representation of $(SU(3)_C, SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y)$.

We will introduce three singlet superfields, to give Majorana masses to all neutrinos and solve also the μ -problem $\hat{S}_{0,1,2}$, whose quantum numbers are listed in Tab. (1). These singlets will break the PQ -symmetry [30] and their vacuum expectation values are denoted as

$$\langle S_0 \rangle = \frac{x_0}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle S_1 \rangle = \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \langle S_2 \rangle = \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (13)$$

Using these new fields we can construct the following supersymmetric lagrangians

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{lepton} &= \int d^4\theta \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[K \left(\hat{\bar{L}}_{iL} e^{2[g\hat{W}+g'(-\frac{1}{2})\hat{b}']}, \hat{L}_{iL} \right) + K \left(\hat{\bar{E}}_{iR} e^{2[g'(\frac{2}{2})\hat{b}']}, \hat{E}_{iR} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + K(\hat{\bar{N}}_{iR}, \hat{N}_{iR}) \right], \\ \mathcal{L}_{Higgs} &= \int d^4\theta \left[K \left(\hat{H}_1 e^{2[g\hat{W}+g'(\frac{-1}{2})\hat{b}']}, \hat{H}_1 \right) + K \left(\hat{H}_2 e^{2[g\hat{W}+g'(\frac{1}{2})\hat{b}']}, \hat{H}_2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + K(\hat{S}_0, \hat{S}_0) + K(\hat{S}_1, \hat{S}_1) + K(\hat{S}_2, \hat{S}_2) \right] + \int d^2\theta W + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{W}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where W is the superpotential of this model, while K is the Kähler potential and it is defined as [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4\theta K(\hat{S}, \hat{S}) &\equiv \hat{S}\hat{S} = -(\partial_m S^\dagger)(\partial^m S) + \bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^m\partial_m\tilde{S} + \bar{F}_S F_S, \\ \int d^4\theta K(\hat{S}e^{gT^a\hat{V}^a}, \hat{S}) &\equiv \hat{S}e^{gT^a\hat{V}^a}\hat{S} = -(\mathcal{D}_m S)^\dagger(\mathcal{D}^m S) - \bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^m\mathcal{D}_m\tilde{S} + \bar{F}_S F_S \\ &\quad + \bar{\psi}\sqrt{2}g \left[S^\dagger(T^a\lambda^a)\tilde{S} - \bar{\tilde{S}}(\bar{\lambda}^a\bar{T}^a)S \right] + gD^a S^\dagger T^a S, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\hat{S}$ is a chiral superfield, defined at Eq.(2), $\mathcal{D}_m$ is the covariant derivative defined at Eq.(4), while g is the constant coupling of same gauge group and $\hat{V}$ is the vector superfield associated with this gauge group.

The last term in Eq.(14), W is the superpotential of this model and it is given by

$$W = m\hat{S}_2\hat{S}_0 + f\hat{S}_1\hat{S}_1\hat{S}_2 + h^H \left(\hat{H}_1\hat{H}_2 \right) \hat{S}_2 + f_{ij}^l \left(\hat{H}_1\hat{L}_{iL} \right) \hat{E}_{jR} + f_{ij}^\nu \left(\hat{H}_2\hat{L}_{iL} \right) \hat{N}_{jR} + f_{ij}^M \hat{N}_{iR}\hat{N}_{jR}\hat{S}_1. \quad (16)$$

These superpotential conserve R -parity and respect the PQ -symmetry. The μ -term is forbidden and it is rewritten by h^H -coupling, in similar way as done at NMSSM [2, 3].

The terms proportional to f^ν generate Dirac mass term $M^D = f^\nu\langle H_2 \rangle$ and f^M generate Majorana mass term as $M_M = f^M\langle S_1 \rangle$, which is necessary to generate the type I see-saw mechanism mass term for the active neutrinos, in similar way as happen at MSSM3RHN [4].

3 Scalar Potential

We can rewrite our potential in the following way

$$V = V_{MSSM} + V_\phi + V_{\phi-H} + V_{H-axion} + V_{axion}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{MSSM} &= \left(m_1^2 + \frac{(h^H)^2 x_2^2}{2}\right) |H_1|^2 + \left(m_2^2 + \frac{(h^H)^2 x_2^2}{2}\right) |H_2|^2 + (h^H)^2 |H_1 H_2|^2 \\
&+ \left(\frac{g^2 + g'^2}{8}\right) (|H_1|^2 - |H_2|^2)^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} |H_1^\dagger H_2|, \\
V_{H-axion} &= m_{12} S_2 H_1 H_2 + 2h^H (mS_0^* + fS_1^{*2}) H_1 H_2 + hc, \\
V_{axion} &= \mu_0^2 |S_0|^2 + \mu_1^2 |S_1|^2 + \left(\mu_2^2 + \frac{(h^H)^2 (v_1^2 + v_2^2)}{2}\right) |S_2|^2 + |mS_0 + fS_1 S_1|^2 \\
&+ m^2 |S_2|^2 + 4f^2 |S_1|^2 |S_2|^2 + [\mu_{20}^2 S_2 S_0 + \mu_{112} S_1^2 S_2 + hc].
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We have to analyse V_{axion} , given above, to get the axion. But we can make some supositions in order to simplify our analyses.

We will first break the Peccei-Quinn symmetry but supersymmetry is not broken [30]. In this case

$$V_{axion} = |mS_0 + fS_1 S_1|^2 + m^2 |S_2|^2 + 4f^2 |S_1|^2 |S_2|^2. \tag{19}$$

It is simple to show it has the trivial solution, to get the extremum in V_{axion} , is given by $x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = 0$. There are the second solution given by [30]:

$$x_2 = 0, \quad x_0 = -\frac{fx_1^2}{m}. \tag{20}$$

These values breaks $U(1)_{PQ}$ spontaneously.

We will make the following shifts $\hat{S}_{2,1,0} \rightarrow \hat{S}_{2,1,0} + x_{2,1,0}$ in Eq.(16). We get the following superpotential

$$\begin{aligned}
W'_{break} &= (mx_0 + fx_1^2)x_2 + (m\hat{S}_0 + 2fx_1\hat{S}_1)x_2 + (mx_0 + fx_1^2)\hat{S}_2 + (m\hat{S}_0 + 2fx_1\hat{S}_1)\hat{S}_2 + f\hat{S}_1\hat{S}_1\hat{S}_2 \\
&+ fx_2\hat{S}_1\hat{S}_1 + h^M x_1 \hat{N}^c \hat{N}^c + h^H x_2 \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2 + h^M \hat{S}_1 \hat{N}^c \hat{N}^c + h^H \hat{S}_2 \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

We want to emphasise, the $\mu \equiv h^H x_2$, therefore, x_2 must be of order M_{SUSY} and

$$mx_0 + fx_1^2 = \mathcal{O}(M_{SUSY}). \tag{22}$$

We first break Peccei-Quinn symmetry and later, but at same energy scale, we broke supersymmetry.

If we use the Eq.(20) in the first line in equation above, we get the following result

$$\frac{m}{x_1} (x_1 \hat{S}_0 - 2x_0 \hat{S}_1) \hat{S}_2 + f \hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_1 \hat{S}_2, \tag{23}$$

therefore the linear combination

$$\hat{A} \propto 2x_0 \hat{S}_0 + x_1 \hat{S}_1, \tag{24}$$

is a massless superfield. As $x_1 \gg x_0$ the axion superfield is almost given by $\hat{S}_1$.

4 Conclusion

We start with a supersymmetric theory of just one large fundamental mass m . We assume it to be invariant under an anomalous global U(1) symmetry to include three singlet (right-handed) neutrino superfields $(\hat{N}^c)_i$ and three other singlet superfields $\hat{S}_{0,1,2}$. The supersymmetry is then softly broken at M_{SUSY} of order 1 TeV. As a result of the assumed particle content of the theory, an axion scale f_a of order m is generated, from which neutrinos obtain masses via the usual seesaw mechanism with $m_N \sim f_a$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thanks to Instituto de Física Teórica (IFT-Unesp) for their nice hospitality during the period I developed this work was accomplished.

References

- [1] M. C. Rodriguez, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.***A25**, 1091, (2010).
- [2] M. C. Rodriguez and I. V. Vancea, “Flat Directions and Leptogenesis in a ”New” $\mu\nu$ SSM,” arXiv:1603.07979 [hep-ph].
- [3] M. C. Rodriguez “The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) and General Singlet Extensions of the MSSM (GSEMSSM), a short review,” arXiv:1911.13043 [hep-ph].
- [4] M. C. Rodriguez “Short review about the MSSM with three right-handed neutrinos (MSSM3RHN),” arXiv:2003.04638 [hep-ph].
- [5] M. Drees, R. M. Godbole and P. Roy, *Theory and Phenomenology of Sparticles* First Edition, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, (2004).
- [6] H. Baer and X. Tata, *Weak scale supersymmetry: From superfields to scattering events* First Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, (2006).
- [7] I. Aitchison, *Supersymmetry in Particle Physics: An Elementary Introduction*, First Edition, Cambridge University Press, United Kindom, (2007).
- [8] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity* 2nd edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, (1992).
- [9] D. J. H. Chung, L. L. Everett, G. L. Kane, S. F. King, J. D. Lykken and L. T. Wang, *Phys.Rept.***407**, 1 (2005).
- [10] L. J. Hall and M. Suzuki, *Explicit R-Parity Breaking in Supersymmetric Models*, *Nucl. Phys.***B231**, 419 (1984).
- [11] T. Banks, *Supersymmetry and the Quark Mass Matrix*, *Nucl. Phys.***B303**, 172, (1988).
- [12] J. C. Romão and J. W. F. Valle, *Neutrino masses in supersymmetry with spontaneously broken R parity*, *Nucl. Phys.***B381**, 87, (1992), .

- [13] M. A. Diaz, J. C. Romão and J. W. F. Valle, *Minimal supergravity with R-parity breaking*, *Nucl. Phys.***B524**, 23, (1998), [hep-ph/9706315].
- [14] S. Davidson and M. Losada, *Basis independent neutrino masses in the $R(p)$ violating MSSM*, *Phys. Rev.***D65**, 075025, (2002); [hep-ph/0010325].
- [15] J. C. Montero, V. Pleitez and M. C. Rodriguez, *Lepton masses in a supersymmetric 3-3-1 model*, *Phys. Rev.***D65**, 095008, (2002), [hep-ph/0112248].
- [16] A. Yu. Smirnov, *Alternatives to the seesaw mechanism*, [hep-ph/0411194].
- [17] U. Ellwanger, M. Rausch de Traubenberg and C. A. Savoy, *Phys. Lett.* **B315**, 331, (1993).
- [18] M. Drees, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.***A4**, 3635, (1989). doi:10.1142/S0217751X89001448
- [19] J. R. Ellis, J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, L. Roszkowski and F. Zwirner, *Phys. Rev.***D39**, 844, (1989).
- [20] M. Srednicki, *Quantum Field Theory*, Fourth Edition, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, (2010) and also available at arXiv:hep-th/0409035 and arXiv:hep-th/0409036.
- [21] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***40**, 223, (1978); F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***40**, 279, (1978).
- [22] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***38**, 1440, (1977).
- [23] L. J. Rosenberg and K. A. van Bibber, *Phys. Rep.***325**, 1, (2000).
- [24] M. Dine, W. Fischler, and M. Srednicki, *Phys. Lett.***B104**, 199, (1981); A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.***31**, 260, (1980).
- [25] J. E. Kim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***43**, 103, (1979). M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys.***B166**, 493, (1980).
- [26] J. P. Conlon, “The QCD axion and moduli stabilisation”, *JHEP* **0605**, 078, (2006) [hep-th/0602233].
- [27] T. Higaki and R. Kitano, “On Supersymmetric Effective Theories of Axion”, *Phys. Rev.***D86**, 075027, (2012) [arXiv:1104.0170 [hep-ph]].
- [28] K. J. Bae, H. Baer, E. J. Chun and C. S. Shin, “Mixed axion/gravitino dark matter from SUSY models with heavy axinos”, *Phys. Rev.***D91**, 075011, (2015); [arXiv:1410.3857 [hep-ph]].
- [29] J. E. Kim and H. P. Nilles, *Phys. Lett.***B138**, 150, (1984).
- [30] E. Ma, *Phys. Lett.***B514**, 330, (2001); [hep-ph/0102008].