

Convergence problem of Ostrovsky equation with rough data and random data

Wei Yan^a, Qiaoqiao Zhang^a, Jinqiao Duan^b, Meihua Yang^{c*}

^aSchool of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University,
Xinxiang, Henan 453007, China

^bDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology,
Chicago, IL 60616, USA

^cSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology,
Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the convergence problem of free Ostrovsky equation with rough data and random data respectively. We show the almost everywhere pointwise convergence of free Ostrovsky equation with initial rough data in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ for $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Counterexample is constructed to show that the maximal function estimate related to the free Ostrovsky equation can fail if $s < \frac{1}{4}$. We also show the stochastic continuity at $t = 0$ of free Ostrovsky equation with initial random data in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$.

Keywords: Stochastic pointwise convergence; Free Ostrovsky equation; Rough data; Random data

Corresponding Author: Meihua Yang

Email Address: yangmeih@hust.edu.cn

AMS Subject Classification: 42B25; 42B15; 35Q53

Email: 011133@htu.edu.cn
Email: duan@iit.edu
Email: yangmeih@hust.edu.cn

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the pointwise convergence problem of the free Ostrovsky equation

$$u_t + \partial_x^3 u \pm \partial_x^{-1} u = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = f(x). \quad (1.2)$$

It is easily checked that $U(t)f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{ix\xi + it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) d\xi$ is the solution to (1.1)-(1.2), where \mathcal{F}_x is the Fourier transform in the x variable, see the concrete definition of \mathcal{F}_x in the line 13 of Page 5. Ostrovsky equation was proposed by Ostrovsky [29, 30, 61] as a model for weakly nonlinear long waves in a rotating liquid, by taking into account of the Coriolis force. It describes the propagation of surface waves in the ocean in a rotating frame of reference. Cauchy problems for the Ostrovsky equation are investigated in [15, 31, 32, 34, 36–40, 46, 47, 66, 70, 72].

Carleson [11] initiated the pointwise converge problem, more precisely, Carleson showed pointwise convergence problem of the one dimensional Schrödinger equation in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Dahlberg and Kenig [19] showed that the pointwise convergence of the Schrödinger equation does not hold for $s < \frac{1}{4}$ in any dimension. Dahlberg and Kenig [19] and Kenig et al. [41, 42] have proved that the pointwise convergence of KdV equation holds if and only if $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$.

For the pointwise convergence problem of Schrödinger equations in higher dimension, Bourgain[8] recently presented counterexamples showing that convergence of Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^n can fail if $s < \frac{n}{2(n+1)}, n \geq 2$. Du et al. [24] proved that the pointwise convergence problem of two dimensional Schrödinger equation in $H^s(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $s > \frac{1}{3}$. Du and Zhang [25] proved that the pointwise convergence problem of n dimensional Schrödinger equation in $H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $s > \frac{n}{2(n+1)}, n \geq 3$. See more references such as [4, 7, 14, 18, 21, 26, 28, 45, 48, 49, 53, 64, 65, 67–69, 73]. Miao et al. [51, 52] studied the pointwise convergence problem of 2D fractional order Schrödinger equations and Schrödinger equation with inverse-square potential, respectively. The pointwise convergence problem of Schrödinger equation on the torus \mathbf{T}^n was tackled first by Moyua-Vega [54] and recently extended by Wang-Zhang [71], Compaan-Lucà-Staffilani [16] and Eceizabarrena-Lucà[27], etc.

The method of applying suitable randomized initial data was first introduced by Lebowitz-Rose-Speer in [44] and Bourgain [5, 6] and Burq-Tzvetkov [9, 10]. This method was also applied to study nonlinear dispersive equations and hyperbolic equations in

scaling super-critical regimes, for example, see [1–3, 12, 13, 17, 21, 22, 33, 35, 43, 50, 55–60, 63, 74, 75]. Very recently, Compaan et al. [16] applied randomized initial data to study pointwise convergence of the Schrödinger flow.

In this paper, motivated by [16, 23, 41, 42], we investigate the convergence problem of free Ostrovsky equation with rough data and random data. We show the almost everywhere pointwise convergence of free Ostrovsky equation with initial rough data in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ for $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Counterexample is constructed to show that the maximal function estimate related to the free Ostrovsky equation can fail if $s < \frac{1}{4}$. We also show the stochastic continuity of free Ostrovsky equation with initial random data in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$. The main ingredients are the density theorem, high-low frequency idea, Wiener decomposition of frequency spaces and Lemmas 2.1–2.7 as well as some probabilistic estimates. The main difficulty is that zero is the singular point of the phase functions $\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi}$ of free Ostrovsky equation.

Now we present the deterministic results concerning the pointwise convergence problem which are just Theorems 1.1, 1.2.

Theorem 1.1. (*Pointwise convergence*) Let $f \in H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$. Then, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} U(t)f(x) = f(x) \quad (1.3)$$

almost everywhere with respect to x .

Theorem 1.2. For $s < \frac{1}{4}$ and $f_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{ix\xi} 2^{-k(s+\frac{1}{2})} \chi_{2^k \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1}}(\xi) d\xi$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\| \sup_{t > 0} |U(t)f_k| \right\|_{L_x^4}}{\|f_k\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R})}} = \infty.$$

The maximal inequality

$$\|U(t)f\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R})} \quad (1.4)$$

does not hold in general for $f \in H^s(\mathbf{R})$ if $s < \frac{1}{4}$.

Now we introduce the randomization procedure for the initial data, which can be seen in [1, 2, 50, 75]. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ be an even, non-negative jump function with $\text{supp}(\psi) \subseteq [-1, 1]$ and such that for $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \psi(\xi - k) = 1. \quad (1.5)$$

For every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, we define the function $\psi(D - k)f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(\psi(D - k)f)(x) = \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}(\psi(\xi - k)\mathcal{F}_x f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Note that these projections satisfy a unit-scale Bernstein inequality which can be seen in Lemma 2.1 of [50], namely that, for any p_1, p_2 , which satisfies that $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$, there exists a $C = C(p_1, p_2) > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\|\psi(D - k)f\|_{L_x^{p_2}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C \|\psi(D - k)f\|_{L_x^{p_1}(\mathbf{R})} \leq C \|\psi(D - k)f\|_{L_x^2(\mathbf{R})}. \quad (1.6)$$

Let $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ be a sequence of independent, zero-mean, complex-valued Gaussian random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, where the real and imaginary parts of g_k are independent and endowed with probability distributions μ_k^1 and μ_k^2 respectively. The probability distributions μ_k^1 and μ_k^2 satisfy the following condition:

There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\gamma x} d\mu_k^j(x) \right| \leq e^{c\gamma^2}, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbf{R}, k \in \mathbf{Z}, j = 1, 2. \quad (1.7)$$

Note that, since the real and imaginary parts of g_k possesses the same density function $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$, thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\gamma x} d\mu_k^j(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2} + \gamma x} dx \\ &= e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-\frac{(x-\gamma)^2}{2}} dx \\ &= e^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbf{R}, k \in \mathbf{Z}, j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Which means property (1.7) is satisfied.

Thereafter for a given $f \in H^s(\mathbf{R})$, we define its randomization by

$$f^\omega := \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} g_k(\omega) \psi(D - k)f. \quad (1.8)$$

We define

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} = \left[\int_{\Omega} |f(\omega)|^p d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If $f \in H^s(\mathbf{R})$, then the randomized function f^ω is almost surely in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ and $\|f^\omega\|_{H^s} \|f^\omega\|_{L_\omega^2} = \|f\|_{H^s}$, see Lemma 2.2 in [2]. This randomization improves the integrability of f , see Lemma 2.3 of [2]. Such results for random Fourier series are known as Paley-Zygmund's theorem [62].

We will restrict ourselves to a subset $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ with $P(\Sigma) = 1$ such that $f^\omega \in H^s$ for every $\omega \in \Sigma$.

Now we state Theorem 1.3 as follows.

Theorem 1.3. (*Stochastic continuity*) *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ and f^ω be a randomization of f as defined in (1.8). Then, $\forall \alpha > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega(x) - f^\omega(x)| > \alpha) = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

which is independent of x . More precisely, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $2C\epsilon\epsilon(\ln \frac{3C_1}{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} < \alpha$ and when $|t| < \epsilon^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) \leq \epsilon. \quad (1.10)$$

Here, C, C_1 appear in Lemmas 3.2-3.4.

Remark 1. The definition of stochastic continuity was given in page 70 of [20].

Now we present some notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx, \\ \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} f(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{ix\xi} f(x) dx, \\ \|f\|_{L_x^q L_t^p} &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x, t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\ \|f\|_{L_{xt}^p} &= \|f\|_{L_x^p L_t^p}. \end{aligned}$$

$H^s(\mathbf{R}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}) : \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R})} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_x f\|_{L_\xi^2(\mathbf{R})} < \infty \right\}$, where $\langle \xi \rangle^s = (1 + \xi^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$.

$|E|$ denotes by the Lebesgue measure of set E .

Let ϕ be a smooth jump function such that $\phi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\phi(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| > 2$. Then, we define for every dyadic integer $N \in 2^{\mathbf{Z}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_x P_N f(\xi) &= \left[\phi\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{2\xi}{N}\right) \right] \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi), \\ \mathcal{F}_x P_{\leq N} f(\xi) &= \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi), \\ \mathcal{F}_x P_{> N} f(\xi) &= \left[1 - \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right) \right] \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. Now we give the outline of proof of Theorem 1.1. For the Ostrovsky equation which possesses the phase function $\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi}$, the main difficulty is that zero is the singular point of the phase functions.

In order to deal with the singular point, we use the high-low frequency idea, that is, we establish estimates for high frequency, $|\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi}| \sim |\xi|^3$ for $|\xi| \geq 8$, and low frequency, $|\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi}| \simeq |\xi|^{-1}$ for $|\xi| \leq 8$, separately.

Note that, by density theorem which is just Lemma 2.2 in [23], for any $f \in H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$, the following decomposing properties hold:

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, f can be decomposed as $f = g + h$, where g is a rapidly decreasing function, $\|h\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R})} < \epsilon$.

Hence, we can establish the corresponding estimates.

Concretely, on one hand, for the high frequency: $|\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi}| \sim |\xi|^3$ for $|\xi| \geq 8$, since g is a rapidly decreasing function, following the method of Lemma 2.3 in [23], we prove

$$|U(t)P_{\geq 8}g - P_{\geq 8}g| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.11)$$

For the detail of (1.11), we refer the readers to Lemma 2.3 in this paper.

And for the low frequency, since g is a rapidly decreasing function, with the aid of Lemma 2.4 obtained in this paper, we establish

$$|U(t)P_{\leq 8}g - P_{\leq 8}g| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.12)$$

On the other hand, by using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|U(t)P_{\geq 8}h\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq C\|h\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbf{R})} \quad (1.13)$$

with $\|h\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbf{R})} < \epsilon$. Since ϵ can be chosen as small as needed, following the method of Lemma 2.3 in [23], from (1.13), we obtained

$$|U(t)P_{\geq 8}h - P_{\geq 8}h| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.14)$$

Furthermore, using Lemma 2.2 in this paper, we obtain that there exists $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that when $|t| \leq \frac{\delta_\epsilon}{C}$,

$$|U(t)P_{\leq 8}h - P_{\leq 8}h| \leq 2\epsilon. \quad (1.15)$$

with $\|h\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}} < \epsilon$. From (1.11), (1.12), (1.14) and (1.15), as $t \longrightarrow 0$, we have

$$U(t)f \longrightarrow f. \quad (1.16)$$

Remark 3. By presenting particular initial data, we give a counterexample to show that the maximal function estimate can be invalid for $s < \frac{1}{4}$. Then, we obtained Theorem 1.2.

Remark 4. Now, we present the proof of Theorem 1.3.

By density theorem, that is, rapidly decreasing functions are dense in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, the following decomposing properties hold:

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, f can be decomposed as $f = g + h$, where g is a rapidly decreasing function and $\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})} < \epsilon$.

Then,

$$f^\omega = g^\omega + h^\omega$$

and

$$U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega = U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega + U(t)h^\omega - h^\omega. \quad (1.17)$$

Here, f^ω, g^ω and h^ω are defined as in (1.8).

$\forall \alpha > 0$, by using Lemma 3.1 and high-low frequency technique, since g is a rapidly decreasing function, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $|t| > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon \lceil \epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \rceil} \right)^2}. \quad (1.18)$$

For the details of proof, we refer the readers to Lemma 3.2.

By using Lemmas 3.1, 2.7, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |U(t)h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\} \right) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon \|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2}. \quad (1.19)$$

For the details of proof, we refer the readers to Lemma 3.3.

By using Lemmas 3.1, 2.6, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\} \right) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon \|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2}. \quad (1.20)$$

Thus, combining (1.17) with (1.18)-(1.20), $\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $2C\epsilon\epsilon(\ln \frac{3C_1}{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} < \alpha$ and taking $\|h\|_{L^2} \leq \epsilon$, when $|t| < \epsilon^2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right) + \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |U(t)h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\} \right) \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon \lceil \epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \rceil} \right)^2} + 2C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon \|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2} \\ & \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon \lceil \epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \rceil} \right)^2} + 2C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon\epsilon} \right)^2} \\ & \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2C\epsilon\epsilon} \right)^2} + 2C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon\epsilon} \right)^2} \\ & \leq 3C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2C\epsilon\epsilon} \right)^2} \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

Hence, for any $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) = 0. \quad (1.22)$$

uniformly with respect to x .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some preliminary estimates related to Ostrovsky equation. More precisely, Lemmas 2.1-2.4 are used to establish Theorem 1.1 and Lemmas 2.5-2.7 are used to establish Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.1. (*Maximal function estimate related to Ostrovsky equation*) For $f \in H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbf{R})$, we have

$$\|U(t)P_{\geq 8}f\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbf{R})}. \quad (2.1)$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.1, we refer the readers to (2.2) of [32].

Lemma 2.2. (*Estimate related to Ostrovsky equation with low frequency*) $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, there exists $\delta_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|U(t)P_{\leq 8}g - P_{\leq 8}g| \leq \epsilon + \frac{C|t|}{\delta_\epsilon} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here, C is independent of g, x, t, ϵ .

In particular, when $|t| \leq \frac{\delta_\epsilon}{C}$, $\|g\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$, we have

$$|U(t)P_{\leq 8}g - P_{\leq 8}g| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Proof. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, since $g \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, there exists $\delta_\epsilon > 0 (< \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$\left[\int_{|\xi| \leq \delta_\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon. \quad (2.3)$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.3), we have

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq \delta_\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \leq \left[\int_{|\xi| \leq \delta_\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\delta_\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon. \quad (2.4)$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta_\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi &\leq \left[\int_{\delta_\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\delta_\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 3 \left[\int_{\delta_\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 3 \|g\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

For $\delta_\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8$, we have

$$\left| e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right| \leq |t| \left| \xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi} \right| \leq \frac{C|t|}{|\xi|} \leq \frac{C|t|}{\delta_\epsilon}. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus, from (2.3)-(2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U(t)P_{\leq 8}g - P_{\leq 8}g| &= \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq 8} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq \delta_\epsilon} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\delta_\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|\xi| \leq \delta_\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi + C|t| \int_{\delta_\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} \frac{1}{|\xi|} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \epsilon + \frac{C|t|}{\delta_\epsilon} \int_{|\xi| \leq 8} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \leq \epsilon + \frac{C|t|}{\delta_\epsilon} \|g\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. *(Estimate related to Ostrovsky equation with high frequency) Let g be a rapidly decreasing function. Then, we have*

$$|U(t)P_{\geq 8}g - P_{\geq 8}g| \leq C|t|. \quad (2.8)$$

Here, C is independent of x, t .

Proof. Since g is a rapidly decreasing function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U(t)P_{\geq 8}g - P_{\geq 8}g| &\leq \left| \int_{|\xi| \geq 8} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq C|t| \int_{|\xi| \geq 8} \left| \xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi} \right| |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq C|t| \int_{|\xi| \geq 8} |\xi|^3 |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \leq C|t|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *(Estimate related to Ostrovsky equation with low frequency) Let g be a rapidly decreasing function, $\forall \epsilon > 0 (< 10^{-2})$, we have*

$$|U(t)P_{\leq 8}g - P_{\leq 8}g| \leq C \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

Here, C is independent of ϵ, x, t .

Proof. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, since g is a rapidly decreasing function, we have

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \leq C\epsilon. \quad (2.11)$$

For $\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8$, we have

$$\left| e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right| \leq |t| \left| \xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi} \right| \leq \frac{C|t|}{|\xi|} \leq \frac{C|t|}{\epsilon}. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, from (2.11)-(2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U(t)P_{\leq 8}g - P_{\leq 8}g| &= \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq 8} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi + C|t| \int_{\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 8} \frac{1}{|\xi|} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \epsilon + \frac{C|t|}{\epsilon} \int_{|\xi| \leq 8} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \leq C \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. *(Estimates related to frequency-uniform decomposition) Let $|k| \leq 8$ and g be a rapidly decreasing function. Then, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$|U(t)\psi(D-k)g - \psi(D-k)g| \leq C \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]. \quad (2.14)$$

Here, C is independent of ϵ, x, t and depends on $\|\mathcal{F}_x g\|_{L^1}$.

Proof. Since g is a rapidly decreasing function, we have

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \leq C\epsilon. \quad (2.15)$$

For $\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 9$, we have

$$\left| e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right| \leq |t| \left| \xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi} \right| \leq \frac{C|t|}{|\xi|} \leq \frac{C|t|}{\epsilon}. \quad (2.16)$$

Thus, from (2.15)-(2.16), Since g is a rapidly decreasing function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |U(t)\psi(D-k)g - \psi(D-k)g| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{|\xi| \geq \epsilon} e^{ix\xi} \left[e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1 \right] \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x g(\xi) d\xi \right| \\
&\leq 2 \int_{|\xi| \leq \epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi + 2|t| \int_{\epsilon \leq |\xi| \leq 9} \frac{1}{|\xi|} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \\
&\leq C\epsilon + \frac{2|t|}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\mathcal{F}_x g(\xi)| d\xi \leq C \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]. \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.6. For $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, we have

$$\left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\psi(D-k)f|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. To obtain (2.18), it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\psi(D-k)f|^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}^2. \tag{2.19}$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with respect to ξ , since $\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\psi(D-k)f|^2 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{ix\xi} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{|\xi-k| \leq 1} e^{ix\xi} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left[\int_{|\xi-k| \leq 1} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \int_{|\xi-k| \leq 1} d\xi \right] \\
&\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{|\xi-k| \leq 1} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

We claim

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \simeq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.21}$$

Now we prove the claim. On one hand, from

$$\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi), \quad (2.22)$$

by using the Plancherel identity and $\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1, 1]$ and $\psi \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} [\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)] [\psi(\xi - l) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)}] d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) \psi(\xi - k + 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} d\xi \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) \psi(\xi - k - 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(\xi - k) \psi(\xi - k + 1) |\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(\xi - k) \psi(\xi - k - 1) |\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

On the other hand, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) \psi(\xi - k + 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} d\xi \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) \psi(\xi - k - 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} d\xi \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2} \left\| \psi(\xi - k + 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2} \left\| \psi(\xi - k - 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left\| \psi(\xi - k + 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left\| \psi(\xi - k - 1) \overline{\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 3 \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Combining (2.23) with (2.24), we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 3 \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.25)$$

Which implies the claim (2.21) holds.

Combining (2.20) with (2.21), we derive (2.19).

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. *For $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, we have*

$$\left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\psi(D - k)U(t)f|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}. \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. To obtain (2.26), it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\psi(D - k)U(t)f|^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.27)$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with respect to ξ , since $\text{supp } \psi \subset [-1, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\psi(D - k)U(t)f|^2 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{ix\xi} e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{|\xi - k| \leq 1} e^{ix\xi} e^{it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left[\int_{|\xi - k| \leq 1} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \int_{|\xi - k| \leq 1} d\xi \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{|\xi - k| \leq 1} |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Combining (2.23) with (2.28), we derive (2.27).

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7.

3. Probabilistic estimates of some random series

In this section, we establish the probabilistic estimates of some random series. More precisely, we use Lemmas 2.2, 2.4, 2.5, 3.1 to establish the probabilistic estimates of some random series which are just Lemmas 3.2-3.4 in this paper which play crucial role in establishing Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume (1.7). Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} g_k(\omega) c_k \right\|_{L_{\omega}^p(\Omega)} \leq C \sqrt{p} \|c_k\|_{l^2(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

for all $p \geq 2$ and $\{c_k\} \in l^2(\mathbf{Z})$.

For the proof of Lemma 3.1, we refer the readers to Lemma 3.1 of [9].

Lemma 3.2. *Let g be a rapidly decreasing function and we denote by g^ω the randomization of g as defined in (1.8). Then, for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, there exist $C, C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1^c) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon \lceil \epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \rceil}\right)^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Omega_1^c = \{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega| > \alpha\}$. Here, C, C_1 is independent of ϵ, x, t .

Proof. Since $[P_{\geq 8} + P_{\leq 8}]g = g$, we have

$$\|U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} \leq I_1 + I_2, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$I_1 = \|U(t)P_{\geq 8}g^\omega - P_{\geq 8}g^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)}, I_2 = \|U(t)P_{\leq 8}g^\omega - P_{\leq 8}g^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since g is a rapidly decreasing function, by using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \|U(t)P_{\geq 8}g^\omega - P_{\geq 8}g^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} (e^{-it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1) e^{ix\xi} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}P_{\geq 8}g(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C|t|\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi} \right| \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}P_{\geq 8}g(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C|t|\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left| \int_{|\xi-k| \leq 1} \left| \xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi} \right| \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}P_{\geq 8}g(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C|t|\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left[\int_{|\xi-k| \leq 1} \left| \xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi} \right|^2 |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}P_{\geq 8}g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \int_{|\xi-k| \leq 1} d\xi \right] \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C|t|\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\xi|^6 |\psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}P_{\geq 8}g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C|t|\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(D - k)P_{\geq 8}g\|_{H^3}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\simeq |t|\sqrt{p} \|P_{\geq 8}g\|_{H^3} \leq C|t|\sqrt{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Now we are going to justify the \simeq appearing in the last line of (3.4). Here, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with respect to ξ and (2.21), we know

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(D - k)P_{\geq 8}g(x)\|_{H^3}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\psi(D - k)J^3P_{\geq 8}g\|_{L^2}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\simeq \|J^3P_{\geq 8}g\|_{L^2} = \|P_{\geq 8}g\|_{H^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $J^s f = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} (\langle \xi \rangle^s \mathcal{F}_x f(\xi))$.

From Lemmas 2.5 and 3.1, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon < 10^{-2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \|U(t)P_{\leq 8}g^\omega - P_{\leq 8}g^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{|k| \leq 10} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} (e^{-it(\xi^3 \pm \frac{1}{\xi})} - 1) e^{ix\xi} \psi(\xi - k) \mathcal{F}P_{\leq 8}g(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{|k| \leq 10} \left| \epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\sqrt{p} \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.2)-(3.5), $\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon < 10^{-2}$, we have

$$\|U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{p} \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, from (3.6), by using the Chebyshev inequality, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon < 10^{-2}$ and $\forall \alpha > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1^c) &\leq \int_{\Omega_1^c} \left[\frac{|U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega|}{\alpha} \right]^p d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \leq \frac{\|U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p}^p}{\alpha^p} \\
&\leq \left[\frac{C\sqrt{p} \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]}{\alpha} \right]^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Take

$$p = \left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]} \right)^2. \tag{3.8}$$

If $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1^c) \leq e^{-p} = e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]} \right)^2}. \tag{3.9}$$

If $p \leq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1^c) \leq e^2 e^{-2} \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right]} \right)^2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Here $C_1 = e^2$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $h \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ and we denote by h^ω the randomization of h as defined in (1.8). Then, there exist $C > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_2^c) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce\|h\|_{L^2}}\right)^2}, \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0, \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\Omega_2^c = \{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)h^\omega| > \alpha\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Here, C, C_1 is independent of x, t .

Proof. By using Lemmas 3.1, 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t)h^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} &= \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} g_k(\omega) U(t)\psi(D-k)h \right\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |U(t)\psi(D-k)h|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\sqrt{p}\|h\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, by Chebyshev inequality, from (3.13), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_2^c) \leq \int_{\Omega_2^c} \left[\frac{|U(t)h^\omega|}{\alpha} \right]^p d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \leq \left(\frac{C\sqrt{p}\|h\|_{L^2}}{\alpha} \right)^p. \quad (3.14)$$

Take

$$p = \left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce\|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

If $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_2^c) \leq e^{-p} = e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce\|h\|_{L^2}}\right)^2}. \quad (3.16)$$

If $p \leq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_2^c) \leq e^2 e^{-2} \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce\|h\|_{L^2}}\right)^2}. \quad (3.17)$$

Here $C_1 = e^2$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $h \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ and we denote by h^ω the randomization of h as defined in (1.8). Then, there exist $C > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_3^c) \leq C_1 \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce\|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2 \right], \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Here

$$\Omega_3^c = \{\omega \in \Omega : |h^\omega| > \alpha\}$$

and C, C_1 is independent of x, t .

Proof. By using the Lemmas 3.1, 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|h^\omega\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} &= \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} g_k(\omega) \psi(D-k)h \right\|_{L_\omega^p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{p} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |\psi(D-k)h|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\sqrt{p} \|h\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Thus, by using the Chebyshev inequality, from (3.19), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_3^c) \leq \int_{\Omega_3^c} \left[\frac{|h^\omega|}{\alpha} \right]^p d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \leq \left(\frac{C\sqrt{p} \|h\|_{L^2}}{\alpha} \right)^p.\tag{3.20}$$

Take

$$p = \left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce \|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2.\tag{3.21}$$

If $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_3^c) \leq e^{-p} = e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce \|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2}.\tag{3.22}$$

If $p \leq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_3^c) \leq e^2 e^{-2} \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce \|h\|_{L^2}} \right)^2}.\tag{3.23}$$

Here $C_1 = e^2$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we apply the density theorem and Lemmas 2.1-2.4 to establish Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We firstly prove that if f is rapidly decreasing function,

$$|U(t)f - f| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \longrightarrow 0.\tag{4.1}$$

From Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, we have

$$|U(t)f - f| \leq C \left[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon} \right].\tag{4.2}$$

When $|t| < \epsilon^2$, from (4.2), we have

$$|U(t)f - f| \leq 2C\epsilon.\tag{4.3}$$

From (4.3), we know that (4.1) is valid.

When $f \in H^s(\mathbf{R})$ ($s \geq \frac{1}{4}$), by density theorem which can be seen in Lemma 2.2 of [23], for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a rapidly decreasing function g such that $f = g + h$, where $\|h\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R})} < \epsilon$ ($s \geq \frac{1}{4}$). Thus, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |U(t)f - f| \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |U(t)g - g| + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |U(t)h - h|. \quad (4.4)$$

For any $\alpha > 0$ (fixed), we define

$$E_\alpha = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |U(t)f - f| > \alpha \right\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Obviously, $E_\alpha \subset E_{1\alpha} \cup E_{2\alpha}$,

$$E_{1\alpha} = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |U(t)g - g| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$E_{2\alpha} = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |U(t)h - h| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Obviously,

$$E_\alpha \subset E_{1\alpha} \cup E_{2\alpha}. \quad (4.8)$$

From Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, we have

$$|E_{1\alpha}| = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Obviously,

$$E_{2\alpha} \subset E_{21\alpha} \cup E_{22\alpha}, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$E_{21\alpha} = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \sup_{t>0} |U(t)P_{\geq 8}h - P_{\geq 8}h| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$E_{22\alpha} = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |U(t)P_{\leq 8}h - P_{\leq 8}h| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\}. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus, from Lemma 2.1, by using the Sobolev embeddings theorem $H^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbf{R}) \hookrightarrow L^4(\mathbf{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{21\alpha}| &= \int_{E_{21\alpha}} dx \leq \int_{E_{21\alpha}} \frac{\left[\sup_{t>0} |P_{\geq 8}U(t)h| \right]^4}{\alpha_1^4} dx + \int_{E_{21\alpha}} \frac{|P_{\geq 8}h|^4}{\alpha^4} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\|P_{\geq 8}U(t)h\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty}^4}{\alpha^4} + \frac{\|P_{\geq 8}h\|_{L_x^4}^4}{\alpha^4} \\ &\leq \frac{2C \|h\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}}}^4}{\alpha^4} \leq \frac{C\epsilon^4}{\alpha^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

From Lemma 2.2 and ϵ is arbitrary, we have

$$|E_{22\alpha}| = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

From (4.9), (4.13) and (4.14), we have

$$|E_\alpha| \leq |E_{1\alpha}| + |E_{2\alpha}| \leq |E_{1\alpha}| + |E_{21\alpha}| + |E_{22\alpha}| \leq \frac{C\epsilon^4}{\alpha^4}. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, since ϵ is arbitrary, from (4.15), we have

$$|E_\alpha| = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Thus, we have

$$|U(t)f - f| \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.17)$$

almost everywhere with respect to x as t goes to zero.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we present the counterexample showing that $s \geq \frac{1}{4}$ is the necessary condition for the maximal function estimate related to free Ostrovsky equation. More precisely, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We define $f_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{ix\xi} 2^{-k(s+\frac{1}{2})} \chi_{2^k \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1}}(\xi) d\xi$, obviously,

$$\|f_k\|_{H^s} \simeq 1. \quad (5.1)$$

Then, when $t \leq \frac{2^{-3k}}{100}$ and $|x| \leq 2^{-k}$, we have

$$\|U(t)f_k\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \gtrsim 2^{-k(s-1/4)}. \quad (5.2)$$

Combining (5.1) with (5.2), for $s < \frac{1}{4}$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\| \sup_{t>0} |U(t)f_k| \right\|_{L_x^4}}{\|f_k\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R})}} \geq C \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sup_{t>0} |U(t)f_k| \right\|_{L_x^4} \geq C \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k(s-1/4)} = +\infty.$$

From

$$\|U(t)f_k\|_{L_x^4 L_t^\infty} \leq C \|f_k\|_{H^s} \quad (5.3)$$

and (5.1)-(5.2), we have

$$2^{-k(s-\frac{1}{4})} \leq C. \quad (5.4)$$

Hence, we know that for sufficiently large k , when $s < \frac{1}{4}$, (5.4) is invalid.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we apply Lemmas 3.2-3.4 and the density theorem to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We firstly prove that $\forall \alpha > 0$ (fixed) if f is a rapidly decreasing function, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega(x) - f^\omega(x)| > \alpha) = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

From Lemma 3.2, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $2Ce\epsilon(\ln \frac{3C_1}{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} < \alpha$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon}]}\right)^2}. \quad (6.2)$$

From (6.2), we know that when $|t| \leq \epsilon^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce\epsilon}\right)^2} \leq \epsilon. \quad (6.3)$$

Hence, for any $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

uniformly with respect to x .

Thus, we have proved (6.1).

When $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$, by density theorem which is Lemma 2.2 in [23], for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a rapidly decreasing function g such that $f = g + h$ which yields $f^\omega = g^\omega + h^\omega$, where $\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})} < \epsilon$. Thus, we have

$$|U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| \leq |U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega| + |U(t)h^\omega - h^\omega|. \quad (6.5)$$

From (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\} \\ & \subset \left\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)h^\omega - h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

From Lemma 3.2, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, when $|t| \leq \epsilon^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}\right) \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{Ce[\epsilon + \frac{|t|}{\epsilon}]}\right)^2} \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2Ce\epsilon}\right)^2}. \quad (6.7)$$

From Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |U(t)h^\omega - h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |U(t)h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\} \right) + \mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ \omega \in \Omega : |h^\omega| > \frac{\alpha}{4} \right\} \right) \\
& \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon\|h\|_{L^2}}\right)^2} + C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon\|h\|_{L^2}}\right)^2} = 2C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon\|h\|_{L^2}}\right)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Combining (6.6), (6.7) with (6.8), we have that for any $\alpha > 0$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $2C\epsilon\epsilon(\ln \frac{3C_1}{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} < \alpha$, taking $\|h\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$, the following inequality holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)g^\omega - g^\omega| > \alpha\}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)h^\omega - h^\omega| > \alpha\}) \\
& \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2C\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2} + 2C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{C\epsilon\|h\|_{L^2}}\right)^2} \\
& \leq C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2C\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2} + 2C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2C\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2} = 3C_1 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2C\epsilon\epsilon}\right)^2} \leq \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

From (6.9), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |U(t)f^\omega - f^\omega| > \alpha\}) = 0. \tag{6.10}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgments

We are deeply indebted to the reviewers for his/her valuable suggestions which greatly improve the original version of our paper. Wei Yan was supported by NSFC grants (No. 11771127) and the Young core Teachers program of Henan province under grant number 2017GGJS044, Jiqiao Duan was supported by the NSF grant (No. 1620449) and NSFC grants (No. 11531006, 11771449) and Meihua Yang was supported by NSFC grants (No. 11971184).

References

References

- [1] A. Bényi, T. Oh and O. Pocovnicu, On the probabilistic Cauchy theory of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbf{R}^d, d \geq 3$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* 2(2015), 1-50.

- [2] A. Bényi, T. Oh and O. Pocovnicu, Wiener randomization on unbounded domains and an application to almost sure well-posedness of NLS, in: *Excursions in Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. 4, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham (2015), pp. 3-25.
- [3] A. Bényi, T. Oh and O. Pocovnicu, Higher order expansions for the probabilistic local Cauchy theory of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on \mathbf{R}^3 , arXiv:1709.01910.
- [4] J. Bourgain, Some new estimates on oscillatory integrals, In: *Essays on Fourier Analysis in Honor of Elias M. Stein*, Princeton, NJ 1991. Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 42, pp. 83-112. Princeton University Press, New Jersey (1995).
- [5] J. Bourgain, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and invariant measures, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 166(1994), 1-26.
- [6] J. Bourgain, Invariant measures for the 2D-defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 176(1996), 421-445.
- [7] J. Bourgain, On the Schrödinger maximal function in higher dimensions, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 280(2013), 46-60.
- [8] J. Bourgain, A note on the Schrödinger maximal function, *J. Anal. Math.* 130(2016), 393-396.
- [9] N. Burq and N. Tzvetkov, Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations, I. Local theory, *Invent. Math.* 173(2008), 449-475.
- [10] N. Burq and N. Tzvetkov, Random data Cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations. II. A global existence result, *Invent. Math.* 173(2008), 477-496.
- [11] L. Carleson, Some analytical problems related to statistical mechanics. Euclidean Harmonic Analysis. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 779, pp. 5-45, Springer, Berlin, (1979).
- [12] Y. Chen and H. Gao, The Cauchy problem for the Hartree equations under random influences, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 259(2015), Pages 5192-5219.
- [13] M. J. Chen and S. Zhang, Random data Cauchy problem for the fourth order Schrödinger equation with the second order derivative nonlinearities, *Nonl. Anal.* 190(2020), 111608.
- [14] C. Cho, S. Lee and A. Vargas, Problems on pointwise convergence of solutions to the Schrödinger equation, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 18(2012), 972-994.

- [15] G. Coclite and L. Ruvo, Convergence of the Ostrovsky equation to the Ostrovsky-Hunter one, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 256(2014), 3245-3277.
- [16] E. Compaan, R. Lucá and G. Staffilani, Pointwise convergence of the Schrödinger flow, arXiv:1907.11192v1 [math.AP] 25 Jul 2019, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnaa036.
- [17] J. Colliander and T. Oh, Almost sure well-posedness of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below $L^2(\mathbf{T})$, *Duke Math. J.* 161(2012), 367-414.
- [18] M. Cowling, Pointwise behavior of solutions to Schrödinger equations. In: Harmonic Analysis (Cortona, 1982). Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 992, pp. 83-90. Springer, Berlin, (1983).
- [19] B. E. Dahlberg and C. E. Kenig, A note on the almost everywhere behavior of solutions to the Schrödinger equation. In: Proceedings of Italo-American Symposium in Harmonic Analysis, University of Minnesota. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 908, pp. 205-208. Springer, Berlin, (1982).
- [20] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk, Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions, in “ Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications,” Cambridge University Press, UK, 1992.
- [21] C. Demeter and S. Guo, Schrödinger maximal function estimates via the pseudo-conformal transformation, arXiv: 1608.07640.
- [22] C. Deng, S. Cui, Random-data Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations on \mathbf{T}^3 , *J. Diff. Eqns.* 251(2011), 902-917.
- [23] X. Du, A sharp Schrödinger maximal estimate in \mathbf{R}^2 , *Dissertation*, 2017.
- [24] X. Du, L. Guth and X. Li, A sharp Schrödinger maximal estimate in \mathbf{R}^2 , *Ann. Math.* 188(2017), 607-640.
- [25] X. Du and R. Zhang, Sharp L^2 estimates of the Schrödinger maximal function in higher dimensions, *Ann. Math.* 189(2019), 837-861.
- [26] X. Du, L. Guth, X. Li and R. Zhang, Pointwise convergence of Schrödinger solutions and multilinear refined Strichartz estimates, *Forum Math. Sigma* 6(2018).
- [27] D. Eceizabarrena and R. Lucà, Convergence over fractals for the periodic Schrödinger equation, arXiv:2005.07581.
- [28] G. Gigante and F. Soria, On the the boundedness in $H^{1/4}$ of the maximal square function associated with the Schrödinger equation, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 77(2008), 51-68.

- [29] O. A. Gilman, R. Grimshaw and Y. A. Stepanyants, Approximate and numerical solutions of the stationary Ostrovsky equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 95(1995), 115-126.
- [30] R. Grimshaw, Evolution equations for weakly nonlinear long internal waves in a rotating fluid, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 73(1985), 1-33.
- [31] G. Gui and Y. Liu, On the Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion, *Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns.* 32(2007), 1895-1916.
- [32] B. Guo and Z. Huo, The global attractor of the damped forced Ostrovsky equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 329(2007), 392-407.
- [33] H. Hirayama and M. Okamoto, Random data Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with derivative nonlinearity, *Discrete Conti. Dyn. Sys. A* 36(2016), 6943-6974.
- [34] Z. Huo and Y. Jia, Low-regularity solutions for the Ostrovsky equation, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 49(2006), 87-100.
- [35] G. Hwang and C. Kwak, Probabilistic well-posedness of generalized KdV, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 146 (2018), 267-280.
- [36] P. Isaza and J. Mejía, Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation in spaces of low regularity, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 230(2006), 661-681.
- [37] P. Isaza and J. Mejía, Global Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA.* 67(2007), 1482-1503.
- [38] P. Isazaa and J. Mejía, Local well-posedness and quantitative ill-posedness for the Ostrovsky equation, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA.* 70(2009), 2306-2316.
- [39] P. Isaza and J. Mejía, On the support of solutions to the Ostrovsky equation with negative dispersion, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 247(2009), 1851-1865.
- [40] P. Isaza and J. Mejía, On the support of solutions to the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA.* 72(2010), 4016-4029.
- [41] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, *India. Univ. Math. J.* 40(1991), 33-69.
- [42] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* XLVI(1993), 527-620.

- [43] R. Killip, J. Murphy and M. Visan, Almost sure scattering for the energy-critical NLS with radial data below $H^1(\mathbf{R}^4)$, arXiv:1707.09051.
- [44] J. Lebowitz, H. Rose and E. Speer, Statistical mechanics of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Statist. Phys.* 50(1988), 657-687.
- [45] S. Lee, On pointwise convergence of the solutions to Schrödinger equation in \mathbf{R}^2 , *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2006), 32597.
- [46] Y. Li, J. Huang and W. Yan, The Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with negative dispersion at the critical regularity, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 259(2015), 1379-1408.
- [47] F. Linares, A. Milanés, Local and global well-posedness for the Ostrovsky equation, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 222(2006), 325-340.
- [48] R. Luca and M. Rogers, An improved necessary condition for Schrödinger maximal estimate, arXiv:1506.05325.
- [49] R. Luca and M. Rogers, Coherence on fractals versus pointwise convergence for the Schrödinger equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 351(2017), 341-359.
- [50] J. Lührmann and D. Mendelson, Random data Cauchy theory for nonlinear wave equations of power-type on \mathbf{R}^3 , *Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns.* 39(2014), 2262-2283.
- [51] C. Miao, J. Yang and J. Zheng, An improved maximal inequality for 2D fractional order Schrödinger operators, *Stud. Math.* 230(2015), 121-165.
- [52] C. Miao, J. Zhang and J. Zheng, Maximal estimates for Schrödinger equation with inverse-square potential, *Pacific J. Math.* 273(2015), 1-19.
- [53] A. Moyua, A. Vargas and L. Vega, Schrödinger maximal function and restriction properties of the Fourier transform, *IMRN* 1996(1996), 793-815.
- [54] A. Moyua and L. Vega, Bounds for the maximal function associated to periodic solutions of one-dimensional dispersive equations, *Bull. Lon. Math. Soc.* 40(2008), 117-128.
- [55] J. Murphy, Random data final-state problem for the mass-subcritical NLS in L^2 , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147(2019), 339-350.
- [56] A. Nahmod, T. Oh, L. Rey-Bellet and G. Staffilani, Invariant weighted Wiener measures and almost sure global well-posedness for the periodic derivative NLS, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 14(2012), 1275-1330.

- [57] A. Nahmod, N. Pavlovic and G. Staffilani, Almost sure existence of global weak solutions for supercritical Navier-Stokes equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 45(2013), 3431-3452.
- [58] A. Nahmod and G. Staffilani, Almost sure well-posedness for the periodic 3D quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below the energy space, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 17(2015), 1687-1759.
- [59] T. Oh, M. Okamoto and O. Pocovnicu, On the probabilistic well-posedness of the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non-algebraic nonlinearities, arXiv:1708.01568.
- [60] T. Oh and O. Pocovnicu, Probabilistic global well-posedness of the energy-critical defocusing quintic nonlinear wave equation on \mathbf{R}^3 , *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 105(2016), 342-366.
- [61] L. A. Ostrovskii, Nonlinear internal waves in a rotating ocean, *Okeanologiya*, 18(1978), 181-191.
- [62] R. Paley, A. Zygmund, On some series of functions (1), (2), (3), *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 26(1930), 337-357, 458-474; 28(1932), 190-205.
- [63] O. Pocovnicu, Almost sure global well-posedness for the energy-critical defocusing nonlinear wave equation on \mathbf{R}^d , $d = 4$ and 5 , *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 19(2017), 2521-2575.
- [64] S. Shao, On localization of the Schrödinger maximal operator, arXiv: 1006.2787v1.
- [65] P. Sjölin, Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equation, *Duke Math. J.* 55(1987), 699-715.
- [66] K. Tsugawa, Well-posedness and weak rotation limit for the Ostrovsky equation, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 247(2009), 3163-3180.
- [67] T. Tao, A sharp bilinear restriction estimate for paraboloids, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 13(2003), 1359-1384.
- [68] T. Tao and A. Vargas, A bilinear approach to cone multipliers, II. *Appl. Geom. Funct. Anal.* 10(2003), 216-258.
- [69] L. Vega, Schrödinger equations: pointwise convergence to the initial data, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 102(1988), 874-878.
- [70] V. Varlamov and Y. Liu, Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 10(2004), 731- 753.

- [71] X. Wang and C. J. Zhang, Pointwise convergence of solutions to the Schrödinger equation on manifolds, *Canad. J. Math.* 71(2019), 983-995.
- [72] W. Yan, Y. Li, J. Huang and J. Duan, The Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion, *Nonli. Diff. Eqns. Appl.* 25(2018), no. 3, Art. 22, 37 pp.
- [73] C. Zhang, Pointwise convergence of solutions to Schrödinger type equations, *Nonli. Anal. TMA* 109(2014), 180-186.
- [74] T. Zhang and D. Fang, Random data Cauchy theory for the incompressible three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139(2011), 2827-2837.
- [75] T. Zhang and D. Fang, Random data Cauchy theory for the generalized incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 14(2012), 311-324.