arXiv:2006.16022v1 [astro-ph.HE] 29 Jun 2020

Hard diffuse X-ray emission around the PSR J2032+4127: A pulsar wind nebula in the Cygnus OB2 association

J.F. Albacete Colombo[1], J.J. Drake[2], A. Filócomo[1] & N.J. Wright[3]

[1] *Dep. de Investigación en Ciencias Exactas e Ingenieía, UNRN - Sede Atlántica, Viedma (8500), Argentina*
[2] *Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.*
[3] *Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Keele, ST5 5BG, UK.*

Contact / jfalbacetecolombo@unrn.edu.ar

Resumen / La región de Cygnus OB2, de $\sim 3.5-5\times10^6$ años de edad, contiene una de las poblaciones estelares de alta masa más grandes de la Vía Láctea. Estas estrellas contribuyen a la emisión difusa observada a gran escala en rayos X blandos (<2 keV). Detectamos además emisión difusa en rayos X duros (>3 keV) en direccion del pulsar PSR J2032+4127. Esta emisión elongada de forma toroidal abarca una estructura tipo *jet* de tamaño $\approx 3'\times2'$, y es coincidente con la posición de la fuente de rayos-γ 4FGL J2032.3+4127. Confirmamos que la emisión difusa de rayos X duros es la nebulosa pulsante originada por el pulsar PSR J2032+4127, como consecuancia de una explosion de SN tipo *core-collapse* en la región.

Abstract / The Cygnus OB2 region, $\sim 3.5-5$ Myr old, contains one of the most significant populations of massive stars of the Milky Way. Such stars substantially contribute to producing large scale soft (<2 keV) diffuse X-ray emission. We also detected hard (>3 keV) diffuse X-ray emission in the direction of the pulsar PSR J2032+4127. The torus-shaped emission spans a $\approx 3'\times2'$ jet-like structure. It is spatially coincident with the Fermi γ-ray source 4FGL J2032.3+4127. We suggest that the hard diffuse X-ray emission is the pulsar wind nebula bearing the pulsar PSR J2032+4127, a consequence of a past core-collapse SN explosion in the region.

Keywords / X-rays: general — pulsars: individual (PSR J2032+4127) — open clusters and associations: individual (Cygnus OB2)

1. Introduction

The search for supernova remnants (SNRs) in massive star-forming regions and young stellar associations provides valuable constraints for stellar evolutionary models towards the upper mass limit of stars. Of the variety of supernova (SN) explosion types, core-collapse ones leave a pulsar as debris of its evolutionary path. Pulsars accelerate particles such as electrons and positrons to ultra-relativistic energies that emit synchrotron radiation from the radio to soft γ-rays, and energize lower-energy photons by Inverse Compton (IC) scattering up to TeV energies. However, not all neutron stars manifest themselves through the creation of a pulsar wind nebula (PWN), so their detection becomes essential to understand high energy processes on core-collapse SNRs.

The TeV J2032+4130 source was the first unidentified γ-ray detection by the HEGRA experiment. Interestingly, its position overlaps the edge of the 95% confidence ellipse of the 3EG J2033+4118 EGRET source. However, it is still not clear if they are associated or not. The massive Cygnus OB2 association lies at a distance of about $1.45-1.7$ kpc (Hanson, 2003; Berlanas et al., 2019), and the TeV J2032+4130 γ-ray source in alignment with the suspected background pulsar PSR J2032+4127. Several studies discuss that the pulsar probably belongs to Cygnus OB2, and would be considered the counterpart of the TeV J2032+4130 source (Butt et al., 2006; Horns et al., 2007). More recently, Camilo et al. (2009) suggests that PSR J2032+4127 is probably one of the least energetic TeV pulsars powering faint or unconfirmed PWN. Mukherjee et al. (2007) noted its location, projected close to the core of several massive stars, and suggests a distance of 1.6 kpc, rather than 3.6 kpc estimated from the radio pulsar dispersion (Cordes & Lazio, 2002). The existence of a neutron star in Cygnus OB2 would be consistent with an age of $1-7$ Myr for the association (Wright et al., 2010). Notably, Wright et al. (2015) found a steepening of the IMF slope at higher masses that they interpreted as due to an expected previous generation of "lost" massive stars, opening the possibility for some of these massive stars to have exploded as SNe in the past.

Finally, our recent analysis of diffuse X-ray emission in the Cygnus OB2 region (Albacete Colombo et al., 2018), confirms now the first true detection of hard X-ray diffuse emission around PSR J2032+4127, which also has positional agreement with the 4FGL J2032.2+4127 Fermi γ-ray source.

2. X/γ-ray Observations

In the context of the Chandra Cygnus OB2 Large Program (PI: J.J. Drake), we use five Chandra pointings (ObsId 4501, 10944, 10945, 10951, 10962) that cover the position of the PSR J2032+4127 source. These




Figure 1: Left panel: Chandra ACIS-I diffuse X-ray emission images around the TeV J2032+4130 pulsar. The mosaic was constructed by combining point source-removed observations and using an adaptive top-hat smoothing at S/N > 16 (Albacete Colombo et al., 2018). The X-ray images of the different bands are shown in color, with soft (0.5 – 1.2 keV), medium (1.2 – 2.5 keV), and hard (2.5 – 7.0 keV) emission indicated in red, green, and blue, respectively. The dashed line circles refer to the TeV and 2FGL (green), 3FGL (cyan), and 4FGL (white) Fermi source position ellipses, respectively. Hard diffuse X-ray emission appears nearly centered at the 4FGL source. Upper-right panel: Zoomed hard diffuse X-ray emission map with contour levels at factors 1.28, 1.55, and 1.82 over the hard X-ray flux background level $\approx 1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ ph s^{-1} cm^{-2}. Bottom-right panel: RGB color-coded point source ACIS-I observation. The hard X-ray point sources refer to both the PSR J2032+4127 and MT213 (B0V) sources. The pulsar is not dynamically related to the MT91 #213 Be star, and is in coincident projection on the sky (Camilo et al., 2009), so the observed hard X-ray emission is likely consistent with the pulsar emission rather than the X-ray emission from shocks in weak-winds of the B0 V massive star.

observations span a total exposure time of 165.7 ks, 3.3 times deeper than previous diffuse X-ray emission studies (Mukherjee et al., 2007). Our improved X-ray diffuse analysis made use of the sophisticated Acis-Extract software (Broos et al., 2012) that removes the PSFs of all point-sources in the observations and constructs background-corrected diffuse X-ray maps (Albacete Colombo et al., 2018). So, we have a unique observational advantage to confirm the existence of truly diffuse features missed in previous works that smooth observations that include point sources. Figure 1 shows a mosaic of diffuse X-ray emission that includes TeV J2032+4130 in the field-of-view.

The HEGRA and MAGIC γ-ray observatories detect significant emission (from MeV to TeV energies) at 0.2' of the core of the Cygnus OB2 stellar association (see Table 1). However, it has a poor determination of the position with respect to the last 4FGL Fermi catalog.

Table 1: X/γ ray sources associated to PSR J2032+4127. Flux values are informed in units of $\Phi_{11} \equiv 10^{-11}$ erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}. The HEGRA and MAGIC fluxes are in the energy range $\sim 1 - 10^4$ TeV and ~ 30 GeV – 100 TeV, respectively. The Fermi energy flux is in the range 100 MeV – 100 GeV

Mission Name	Name catalogue	Flux [Φ_{11}]	Γ	Dist. [']
HEGRA	TeV J2032+4130	6.9	1.9	4.4
MAGIC	TeV J2032+4130	4.5	2.0	1.2
4FGL	J2032.2+4127	14.2±0.04	2.2	0.2
Chandra	PWN	0.02±0.05	2.1	—

3. Discussion

Two main observational issues that strongly suggest our detection of hard diffuse X-ray emission is the PWN of the pulsar PSR J2032+4127, belonging the Cygnus OB2 association.

A preliminary study by Murakami et al. (2011) made use of Suzaku and Chandra data to shows that extended diffuse emission around PSR J2032+4127 has a non-thermal X-ray spectrum $\Gamma = 2.1 \pm 0.3$ (see Table 1), similar to typical Γ indices of known PWN (Kargaltsev & Pavlov, 2008). However, the poor spatial resolution of Suzaku, the lack of spatial disentangling between the pulsar emission and its PWN, and the short exposure time (49 ksec) of the Chandra data, hamper the results.

In Figure 1, we show extended soft and medium band emission that spatially superposes the hard diffuse emission around the pulsar. While the softer emission arises from the cumulative interaction of energetic termination shocks against the surrounding interstellar medium (Albacete Colombo et al., 2018), hard X-ray emission shows a jet-like morphology around the pulsar PSR J2032+4127 that agree with the detection of the 4FGL J2032.2+4127 Fermi and the TeV J2032+4130 γ-ray sources. Otherwise, the observed hard diffuse X-ray emission also matches the positions of the soft X-ray sources Schulte #4 (O7I+B0V), and MT91#213 (B0V) stars, which are unlikely to produce the observed diffuse hard emission via stellar wind-wind interactions. The elongated morphology along the pulsar axis-like extension is 1.3 pc, with a toroidal-like flow of 0.9 pc, which is typical in pulsars wind nebulae. The extension of both features agrees with the characteristic size of several known PWN that range between 10^{17} and 10^{19} cm (Cheng et al., 2004).

A hitherto unnoticed observational issue is the suggestive low-density (cavity) of cold gas and dust around the PSR J2032+4127, and its PWN (see Fig. 2). Indeed, the chance positional coincidence of the pulsar with this cavity is unlikely. The only known process to disperse the ISM on parsec scales is through a shock wave originating in a SN explosion. A core-collapse SN explosion in the region would be the most logical scenario compatible with an ISM cavity and a pulsar and PWN inside. Indeed, the lack of X-ray emission from a hypothetical SNR in Cygnus OB2 does not weaken our interpretation, as the radiative phase in SNRs ends after 20 000 to 35 000 yr from the explosion (Slane et al., 2015). Otherwise, the X-ray luminosity decreases with the pulsar age because of radiative cooling in the earlier stage ($0.1 - 1$ Myr), while the γ-ray luminosity remains constant. So, all these constraints are in agreement with a probable SN explosion in the past of Cygnus OB2, especially if we accept the characteristic age of the pulsar PSR J2032+4127 is ≈ 0.11 Myr (Camilo et al., 2009).

4. Conclusion

Previous theoretical results and the observational constraints of this work are consistent with a $\sim 10^5$ yr old energetic core-collapse SN explosion in Cygnus OB2. The scenario could be the result of a shock blast moving out and dispersing the surrounding ISM, leaving a pulsar at the center of an apparent cavity. In such a case, the pulsar PSR J2032+4127 would become the most evolved stellar member of the Cygnus OB2 region, and the detected diffuse hard X-ray emission its PWN.




Figure 2: RGB color image of the region in the vicinity of PSR J2032+4127. In the compose image, the Herschel 500 μm ($T \approx 10$ K) cold gas emission is indicated in red (Schneider et al., 2016), the Chandra point-source X-ray mosaic ($0.5 - 7.0$ keV) is in green, and the diffuse hard X-ray band ($2.5 - 7.0$ keV) in blue. Large and small position error ellipses refer to the TeV J2032+4130 and the Fermi 4FGL J2032.2+4127 sources, respectively. Notice how the low cold-ISM density correlates with the hard diffuse X-ray emission and the PSR J2032+4127 source position (see discussion). The cavity has an extension of $\approx 26'$ (~ 11 pc).

Acknowledgements: We thank all LOC and SOC members for the successful meeting held in Viedma, September 2019, Rio Negro, Argentina. JFAC is a staff researcher of the CONICET and acknowledges support from UNRN (PI 40-C-691), CONICET (PIP-0102), and ANPCyT (PICT-2017-2865).

References

Albacete Colombo J.F., et al., 2018, ArXiv e-prints
Berlanas S.R., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 1838
Broos P., et al., 2012, , Astrophysics Source Code Library
Butt Y.M., et al., 2006, ApJ, 643, 238
Camilo F., et al., 2009, ApJ, 705, 1
Cheng K.S., Taam R.E., Wang W., 2004, ApJ, 617, 480
Cordes J.M., Lazio T.J.W., 2002, arXiv, 0207156
Hanson M.M., 2003, ApJ, 597, 957
Horns D., et al., 2007, A&A, 469, L17
Kargaltsev O., Pavlov G.G., 2008, *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, vol. 983, 171–185
Mukherjee R., Gotthelf E.V., Halpern J.P., 2007, Ap&SS, 309, 29
Murakami H., et al., 2011, PASJ, 63, S873
Schneider N., et al., 2016, A&A, 591, A40
Slane P., et al., 2015, SSRv, 188, 187
Wright N.J., Drew J.E., Mohr-Smith M., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 741
Wright N.J., et al., 2010, ApJ, 713, 871