

RALPH JOHN DE LA CRUZ

*Institute of Mathematics, University of the Philippines
Diliman, Quezon City 1105, Philippines
(E-Mail: rjdelacruz@math.upd.edu.ph)*

PHILIP SALTENBERGER

*Institute for Numerical Analysis, TU Braunschweig
Universitätsplatz 2, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany
(E-Mail: philip.saltenberger@tu-bs.de)
Corresponding author*

GENERIC CANONICAL FORMS FOR PERPLECTIC AND SYMPLECTIC NORMAL MATRICES

Abstract

Let $B = J_{2n}$ or $B = R_n$ for the matrices given by

$$J_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} & I_n \\ -I_n & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{or} \quad R_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

A matrix A is called B -normal if $AA^* = A^*A$ holds for A and its adjoint matrix $A^* := B^{-1}A^HB$. In addition, a matrix Q is called B -unitary, if $Q^HBQ = B$. We develop sparse canonical forms for nondefective (i.e. diagonalizable) J_{2n}/R_n -normal matrices under J_{2n}/R_n -unitary similarity transformations. For both cases we show that these forms exist for an open and dense subset of J_{2n}/R_n -normal matrices. This implies that these forms can be seen as topologically 'generic' for J_{2n}/R_n -normal matrices since they exist for all such matrices except a nowhere dense subset.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any arbitrary, nonsingular matrix $B \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the function

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x^HB y \quad (x^H := \bar{x}^T)$$

defines a sesquilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. If B is Hermitian and positive definite, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a scalar product; otherwise $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is often called an indefinite inner/scalar product [2, 14]. Four well-known classes of matrices are related to indefinite scalar products, see also [14]:

- (a) A matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called B -selfadjoint if $[Ax, y] = [x, Ay]$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In other words,

$$x^H A^H B y = x^H B A y$$

is true for A and all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This is possible if and only if $A^H B = B A$ holds, that is $A = B^{-1} A^H B$.

- (b) A matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called B -skewadjoint if $[Ax, y] = [x, -Ay]$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. It follows analogously to (a) that $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is skewadjoint if and only if $-A = B^{-1} A^H B$ holds.

- (c) A matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called B -unitary if $[Ax, Ay] = [x, y]$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. That is, $x^H A^H B y = x^H B y$ has to hold for A and all vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This is possible if and only if $A^H B A = B$.

- (d) A matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called B -normal if it holds that

$$A B^{-1} A^H B = B^{-1} A^H B A.$$

Indefinite scalar products arise in many mathematical contexts, see for instance [5] for a comprehensive treatment of the Hermitian case $B = B^H$ with a selection of examples and applications. Two particular choices for B that are very frequently considered are

$$R_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad J_{2m} = \begin{bmatrix} & I_m \\ -I_m & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Both define indefinite scalar products on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2m} \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}$, respectively. We call $[\cdot, \cdot]_{R_n} : (x, y) \mapsto [x, y]_{R_n} = x^H R_n y$ the perplectic and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{J_{2m}} : (x, y) \mapsto [x, y]_{J_{2m}} = x^H J_{2m} y$ the symplectic scalar product. The classes of matrices introduced in (a), (b), (c) and (d) above for the symplectic scalar product are of great importance in control systems theory, algebraic Riccati equations, gyroscopic systems, model reduction, quadratic eigenvalue problems and many more areas, see e.g. [4, 9, 17, 22] and the references therein. For the perplectic scalar product, such matrices arise for instance in control of mechanical and electrical vibrations, see e.g. [12, 20]. In this work, our investigations focus entirely on $B = R_n$ and $B = J_{2m}$.

Notice that the set of B -normal matrices includes the sets of B -selfadjoint, B -skewadjoint and B -unitary matrices. Now assume for a moment that $B = I_n$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then the sets of B -selfadjoint, B -skewadjoint, B -unitary and B -normal matrices $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ coincide with the sets of Hermitian ($A = A^H$), skew-Hermitian ($A = -A^H$), unitary ($A^H A = I_n$) and normal ($A A^H = A^H A$) matrices. It is well-known that a

matrix belonging to any of these sets is unitarily (i.e. I_n -unitarily) diagonalizable [6]. Thus, their natural canonical form under unitary similarity is the diagonal form. If $B \neq I_n$, a B -normal matrix is in general not diagonalizable by a B -unitary similarity (see [21, Sec. 9] and the specific conditions developed therein for B -unitary diagonalization). With this in mind, the question of a canonical form for B -normal matrices ($B = R_n, J_{2m}$) under B -unitary similarity naturally arises. The following quote is taken from [16]¹ and highlights the difficulties in finding such a canonical form:

“On the other hand, the problem of finding a canonical form for H -normal matrices has been proven to be as difficult as classifying pairs of commuting matrices under simultaneous similarity [...]. So far, a classification of H -normal matrices has only been obtained for some special cases [...]. From this point of view, the set of all H -normal matrices is ‘too large’ and it makes sense to look for proper subsets for which a complete classification can be obtained.”

In this work we focus on the two popular cases $B = R_n$ and $B = J_{2m}$ and introduce a canonical form for a proper subset (as suggested in the quote above) of B -normal matrices under B -unitary similarity. In particular, we only consider B -normal matrices which are nondefective (i.e. diagonalizable). Structured canonical (i.e. Schur, Jordan) forms of matrices belonging to the matrix-classes defined in (a), (b), (c) and (d) above were studied before, see e.g. [5, 10, 11, 18, 19] or [12, Sec. 7]. In full generality, they can be very complicated or their existence depends on specific properties (e.g. related to the eigenvalues) of the matrix at hand. The existence of the form we develop only depends on whether the matrix at hand is defective or not. Once a B -normal matrix does possess a full set of linearly independent eigenvectors (forming a basis of the whole vector space), it is possible to transform it into a sparse and nicely structured form via a B -unitary similarity.

Certainly, establishing a canonical form for a subset of B -normal matrices is only worth half its value as long as it is unknown how large this subset (for which the form exists) actually is. The reason why we consider only diagonalizable matrices is, on the one hand, that these form a dense subset in the class of all B -normal matrices (and, in addition, they constitute dense subsets among all B -selfadjoint, B -skewadjoint and B -unitary matrices), see [3]. Therefore, any B -normal matrix is, if it is not diagonalizable, arbitrarily close to a diagonalizable B -normal matrix (for which the canonical form does exist). On the other hand, once we have established the existence of the canonical form for diagonalizable matrices, we will use it to strengthen the results obtained in [3]. In fact we are able to show that

¹In our case, a ‘ H -normal matrix’ is a ‘ B -normal matrix’.

the set of matrices with pairwise distinct eigenvalues is a topologically open and dense subset of all B -normal matrices. This means that its complement is “nowhere dense” and therefore, from a topological point of view, is rather “small”. For this reason, we call these canonical forms “generic” for B -normal matrices.

In Section 3 we show that, assuming diagonalizability, R_n -normal matrices can always be transformed into ‘ X -form’ by a R_n -unitary similarity (see Theorem 5). We say that a matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has X -form, if it has entries only along its diagonal and anti-diagonal:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

We prove in Section 3.1 that the subset of R_n -normal matrices, for which such a canonical form exists, is open and dense.

The canonical form under J_{2m} -unitary similarity we develop for nondefective J_{2m} -normal matrices in Section 4 is the ‘four-diagonal-form’. We say that $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ has four-diagonal-form if, considered as a 2×2 matrix with four $m \times m$ blocks, each of these blocks is diagonal:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, we also prove that this form can be seen as generic for the set of J_{2m} -normal matrices. Our proofs in this section will make essential use of the results obtained in Section 3. Some general basics on indefinite scalar products and those matrices related to them are presented in Section 2. Section 5 presents some conclusions.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

The set of all matrices of size $j \times k$ over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{M}_{j \times k}(\mathbb{F})$. For $j = k$, we use the notation $\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{M}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{F})$. The general linear group over \mathbb{F}^k (that is, the set of $k \times k$ nonsingular matrices over \mathbb{F}) is denoted by $\mathbf{GL}_k(\mathbb{F})$. The identity matrix of size $k \times k$ is denoted by I_k . Whenever $A \in \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$, the set of all eigenvalues of A is called the spectrum of A and is denoted $\sigma(A)$. The multiplicity of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ as a root of $\det(A - xI_k)$ is called the algebraic multiplicity of λ (as an eigenvalue of A) and is denoted by $\mathbf{m}(A, \lambda)$. Any vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^k$ that satisfies $Av = \lambda v$ is called an eigenvector for λ . The set of all those vectors corresponding to $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ is called the eigenspace for λ . The eigenspace of A for λ is a subspace of \mathbb{C}^k . Its dimension is called the geometric multiplicity of λ . The conjugate transpose of a vector/matrix is denoted with the superscript H while T is used for the transposition without complex conjugation. A matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called

nondefective (or diagonalizable), if there exists some $S \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $S^{-1}AS$ is a diagonal matrix.

In this section, let $B = \pm B^H \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be some nonsingular matrix and $[\cdot, \cdot]_B$ the indefinite scalar product induced by B on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. In this section we collect some basic results on B -selfadjoint, B -skewadjoint, B -unitary and B -normal matrices (recall that those matrices have been defined in Section 1) and relations for their eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The following Lemma 1 states a central property of eigenvectors of B -self/skewadjoint matrices related to the scalar product $[\cdot, \cdot]_B$.

Lemma 1. *Let $B = \pm B^H \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

- (a) *Suppose A is B -selfadjoint and $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are eigenvectors of A for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, respectively. Then $x^H B y \neq 0$ implies $\mu = \bar{\lambda}$.*
- (b) *Suppose A is B -skewadjoint and $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are eigenvectors of A for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, respectively. Then $x^H B y \neq 0$ implies $\mu = -\bar{\lambda}$.*

Proof. (a) Under the given assumptions we have

$$\bar{\lambda} \cdot [x, y]_B = [\lambda x, y]_B = [Ax, y]_B = [x, Ay]_B = [x, \mu y]_B = \mu \cdot [x, y]_B$$

thus $\mu = \bar{\lambda}$ has to hold whenever $[x, y]_B \neq 0$. The proof for (b) proceeds analogously. \square

For any $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ let $A^* := B^{-1}A^H B$. The matrix A^* is usually referred to as the adjoint for A [14, Sec. 2]. The sets of all B -selfadjoint, B -skewadjoint, B -unitary and B -normal matrices can now equivalently be characterized by the equations $A = A^*$, $A = -A^*$, $A^* = A^{-1}$ and $AA^* = A^*A$, respectively. In particular, notice that a B -unitary matrix is always nonsingular. For a B -selfadjoint matrix $A = A^*$ we have

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma(A^*) = \sigma(B^{-1}A^H B) = \sigma(A^H) = \overline{\sigma(A)} \quad (1)$$

so $\sigma(A)$ consists of tuples $(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ with λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ having the same algebraic multiplicities. Analogously we obtain the eigenvalue pairings $(\lambda, -\bar{\lambda})$ and $(\lambda, 1/\bar{\lambda})$ for B -skewadjoint and B -unitary matrices, respectively [13, 14]. Notice that, given two eigenvectors $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ of some B -selfadjoint matrix A for the eigenvalue λ , Lemma 1 guarantees that $[x, y] \neq 0$ can only hold if $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$. Therefore $[x, y] \neq 0$ implies $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 can be found in [8, Sec. 4.5]. The proof for (b) follows in the same way by noting that iA is skew-Hermitian whenever A is Hermitian and vice versa.

Theorem 1. *1. Let $A = A^H \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $m_+ \geq 0$ and $m_- \geq 0$ be the numbers of positive and negative eigenvalues of A , respectively. Then there exists some $Q \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$Q^H A Q = \begin{bmatrix} +I_{m_+} & \\ & -I_{m_-} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. Let $A = -A^H \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $m_+ \geq 0$ and $m_- \geq 0$ be the numbers of positive and negative imaginary eigenvalues of A , respectively. Then there exists some $Q \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$Q^H A Q = \begin{bmatrix} +iI_{m_+} & \\ & -iI_{m_-} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following two well-known results will also be used frequently in the next section. We call two matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ simultaneously diagonalizable, if there exists a single matrix $S \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $S^{-1}A_jS$ is a diagonal matrix for $j = 1, 2$. The following Lemma 2, see [8, Thm. 1.3.21], relates simultaneous diagonalization to commutativity of matrices. Lemma 3 below, see [8, Thm. 1.3.10], makes a statement about the diagonalizability of block-diagonal matrices.

Lemma 2. *Assume $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are both diagonalizable. Then A_1 and A_2 commute if and only if they are simultaneously diagonalizable.*

Lemma 3. *Let $A_1 \in \mathbf{M}_{m_1}(\mathbb{C}), \dots, A_k \in \mathbf{M}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C})$ be some matrices and set $m := m_1 + \dots + m_k$. Define*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & A_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then A is diagonalizable if and only if all $A_j, j = 1, \dots, k$, are diagonalizable.

The following result can easily be verified by a straight forward calculation (see also [16, Sec. 1] or [3, Lem. 2]). In particular it shows that the four classes of matrices related to an indefinite scalar product $[x, y]_B = x^H B y$ are preserved under B -unitary similarity ($B = \pm B^H$ is not required for the result to hold). The proof is omitted.

Lemma 4. *Let $B \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, let $T \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and consider*

$$A' := T^{-1}AT \quad \text{and} \quad B' := T^H B T.$$

Then A is B -selfadjoint/ B -skewadjoint/ B -unitary/ B -normal if and only if $A' = T^{-1}AT$ is B' -selfadjoint/ B' -skew-adjoint/ B' -unitary/ B' -normal.

2.1 Basic properties of matrices related to $[\cdot, \cdot]_{R_n}$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let the Hermitian (i.e. real symmetric) matrix R_n be defined as

$$R_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{R}).$$

The eigenvalues of R_k are $+1$ and -1 with multiplicities $\mathbf{m}(R_n, +1) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $\mathbf{m}(R_n, -1) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. It is common to refer to R_n -self/skewadjoint matrices as per/perskew-Hermitian, respectively. Matrices that are R_n -unitary are in general called perplectic [12]. Whenever we are considering per/perskew-Hermitian and perplectic matrices of different sizes, the value of n will always be clear from the context, i.e. from the size of the matrix at hand. In this section we introduce some notational simplifications to keep the terminology in Section 3 as compact as possible

For two matrices $P \in \mathbf{M}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ and $Q \in \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ with P block-partitioned as a 2×2 matrix with $\ell \times \ell$ blocks, i.e.

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C}), \quad P_{ij} \in \mathbf{M}_\ell(\mathbb{C}), \quad (2)$$

we define the perplectic sum $P \boxdot Q$ of P and Q as

$$P \boxdot Q = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & & P_{12} \\ & Q & \\ P_{21} & & P_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad (2\ell + k = n). \quad (3)$$

Note that $P \boxdot Q$ is only defined if the size of P is even². Analogously to the Kronecker product of matrices, there are some properties of the perplectic sum that follow immediately from its definition (3). We use the notation \oplus to denote the direct sum of two matrices, i.e. $P \oplus Q = \text{diag}(P, Q)$. The proof of Remark 1 is omitted.

Remark 1. Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2\ell + k = n$.

- (a) For $P \in \mathbf{M}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ as in (2) and $Q \in \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ it holds that $(P \boxdot Q)^H = P^H \boxdot Q^H$. Moreover, $(P \boxdot Q)^{-1} = P^{-1} \boxdot Q^{-1}$ if P^{-1} and Q^{-1} exist.
- (b) If $P, S \in \mathbf{M}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ (both interpreted as 2×2 matrices with $\ell \times \ell$ blocks) and $Q, W \in \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ then

$$(P \boxdot Q)(S \boxdot W) = PS \boxdot QW. \quad (4)$$

In particular, $P \boxdot Q$ and $S \boxdot W$ commute if and only if $PS = SP$ and $QW = WQ$ hold.

- (c) For $P \in \mathbf{M}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ as in (2) and $Q \in \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$, the perplectic sum $P \boxdot Q \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is per(skew)-Hermitian if and only if P and Q are both per(skew)-Hermitian (with respect to $R_{2\ell}$ and R_k , respectively).
- (d) For $P \in \mathbf{M}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$, $Q \in \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and their perplectic sum $P \boxdot Q \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, there exists a permutation matrix $R \in \mathbf{G}\mathbf{I}_n(\mathbb{C})$ so that $R^{-1}(P \boxdot Q)R = P \oplus Q$.

²Whenever we use the perplectic sum $P \boxdot Q$ without further notice, the size of P will always be even and clear from the context.

As the Kronecker product, the perplectic sum \boxplus is not commutative. For abbreviation, we sometimes use the notation

$$\boxplus_{i=1}^k P_i = P_1 \boxplus P_2 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus P_k := (P_1 \boxplus P_2) \boxplus P_3 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus P_k \quad (5)$$

The following Lemma 5 summarizes some properties of perplectic matrices with respect to the operations \oplus and \boxplus . Here and in the following we use the notation $S^{-\star}$ to denote $(S^{-1})^\star = (S^\star)^{-1}$.

Lemma 5. *Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2\ell + k = n$.*

- (a) *For any matrix $S \in \mathbf{Gl}_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ and any perplectic matrix $Q \in \mathbf{Gl}_k(\mathbb{C})$, the matrix $P := (S \oplus S^{-\star}) \boxplus Q \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is perplectic. In particular, $P = (I_\ell \oplus I_\ell) \boxplus Q$ and, if $n = 2\ell$, $P = S \oplus S^{-\star}$ are perplectic.*
- (b) *For any two perplectic matrices $S \in \mathbf{Gl}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ and $Q \in \mathbf{Gl}_k(\mathbb{C})$, the matrix $P := S \boxplus Q \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is perplectic.*
- (c) *For any number $j \in \mathbb{N}$ of perplectic matrices $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_j \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ their product $P := P_1 P_2 \cdots P_j$ is perplectic.*

Proof. All statements follow from straight forward calculations using Remark 1 (a) and (b):

(a) Noting that $S^{-\star} = R_\ell S^{-H} R_\ell$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P^H R_n P &= \left((S^H \oplus (S^{-\star})^H) \boxplus Q^H \right) (R_{2\ell} \boxplus R_k) \left((S \oplus S^{-\star}) \boxplus Q \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} S^H & \\ & (S^{-\star})^H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_\ell & R_\ell \\ & R_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S & \\ & S^{-\star} \end{bmatrix} \boxplus Q^H R_k Q \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} S^H R_\ell S^{-\star} \\ (S^{-\star})^H R_\ell S \end{bmatrix} \boxplus R_k = R_{2\ell} \boxplus R_k = R_n \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $S^H R_\ell S^{-\star} = S^H R_\ell R_\ell S^{-H} R_\ell = S^H S^{-H} R_\ell = R_\ell$.

(b) If $S \in \mathbf{Gl}_{2\ell}(\mathbb{C})$ and $Q \in \mathbf{Gl}_k(\mathbb{C})$ are both perplectic, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P^H R_n P &= (S^H \boxplus Q^H) (R_{2\ell} \boxplus R_k) (S \boxplus Q) = S^H R_{2\ell} S \boxplus Q^H R_k Q \\ &= R_{2\ell} \boxplus R_k = R_n. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Whenever $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are both perplectic, then for $\tilde{P} := P_1 P_2$ it holds that

$$\tilde{P}^H R_n \tilde{P} = (P_1 P_2)^H R_n (P_1 P_2) = P_2^H (P_1^H R_n P_1) P_2 = P_2^H R_n P_2 = R_n,$$

so \tilde{P} is perplectic. Analogously, the product of more than two perplectic matrices is perplectic. \square

3. A CANONICAL FORM FOR R_n -NORMAL MATRICES

In this section we develop a canonical form for nondefective (that is, diagonalizable) R_n -normal matrices under perplectic similarity. Our main result is Theorem 5 prior to which we present several auxiliary results in order to keep the proof as compact as possible. We will use these results also in Section 4 where we consider the symplectic scalar product.

Our first observation is stated in Theorem 2. It shows that any diagonalizable per-Hermitian matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is always perplectic similar to a perplectic sum of a diagonal matrix and a per-Hermitian matrix with only real eigenvalues.

Theorem 2. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be per-Hermitian and diagonalizable. Assume $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \sigma(A)$ with multiplicities $\mathbf{m}(A, \lambda_j) = m_j$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, are the distinct eigenvalues of A with positive imaginary parts and set $m := m_1 + \dots + m_p$. Then there exists a perplectic matrix $P \in \mathbf{G}\mathbf{1}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} D & \\ & D^\star \end{bmatrix} \boxplus \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} D & & \\ & \hat{A} & \\ & & D^\star \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where $D = \lambda_1 I_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_p I_{m_p} \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $\hat{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is per-Hermitian with only real eigenvalues³.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the number p of distinct eigenvalues with positive imaginary parts. To this end, recall (1) and notice that all eigenvalues of a per-Hermitian matrix beside the real axis appear in tuples $(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ with both having the same algebraic multiplicity. If $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is per-Hermitian with only real eigenvalues (i.e. $p = 0$) we are done (choose $P = I_n$). So, assume the theorem holds for all per-Hermitian matrices with $p - 1$ distinct eigenvalues with positive imaginary parts.

Let the distinct nonreal eigenvalues of $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with positive imaginary parts be given by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$. Moreover, let

$$U_0^{-1}AU_0 = (\lambda_1 I_{m_1} \oplus \bar{\lambda}_1 I_{m_1}) \boxplus D_1 = \lambda_1 I_{m_1} \oplus D_1 \oplus \bar{\lambda}_1 I_{m_1} =: \tilde{D}$$

be a diagonalization of A with $\mathbf{m}(A, \lambda_1) = m_1$ being the multiplicity of λ_1 (implying $\mathbf{m}(A, \bar{\lambda}_1) = m_1$) and some diagonal matrix $D_1 \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m_1}(\mathbb{C})$. According to Lemma 1 (a) we know the form of the Hermitian matrix $U_0^H R_n U_0$ (because the columns of U_0 are eigenvectors of A). In fact, we have

$$U_0^H R_n U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \\ S^H & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boxplus Q = \begin{bmatrix} & & S \\ & Q & \\ S^H & & \end{bmatrix}$$

³Note that for a diagonal matrix D we obtain D^\star simply through complex conjugation of the diagonal entries and reversing their order.

for some $S \in \mathbf{M}_{m_1}(\mathbb{C})$ and some $Q = Q^H \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m_1}(\mathbb{C})$. Since U_0 and R_n are nonsingular, so are S and Q (since $U_0^H R_n U_0$ is nonsingular, too).

Now we define the matrix $U_1 := R_{m_1} \oplus I_{n-2m_1} \oplus S^{-1} \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and observe that

$$U_1^H \left(U_0^H R_n U_0 \right) U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} R_{m_1} \\ R_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} \sqcap Q = R_{2m_1} \sqcap Q. \quad (7)$$

Due to the construction of U_1 we have $U_1^{-1} \tilde{D} U_1 = \tilde{D}$. As the matrix in (7) is congruent to R_n , Q must be congruent to R_{n-2m_1} . Therefore, there exists some $U_2' \in \mathbf{GL}_{n-2m_1}(\mathbb{C})$ so that $(U_2')^H Q U_2' = R_{n-2m_1}$. Defining $U_2 := I_{m_1} \oplus U_2' \oplus I_{m_1}$ we obtain $U_2^H (R_{2m_1} \sqcap Q) U_2 = R_n$, so consequently the matrix $U_3 := U_0 U_1 U_2$ is perplectic. Now notice that

$$U_3^{-1} A U_3 = U_2^{-1} \tilde{D} U_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{m_1} & \\ & \bar{\lambda}_1 I_{m_1} \end{bmatrix} \sqcap A'$$

where $A' = (U_2')^{-1} D_1 (U_2') \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m_1}(\mathbb{C})$ is now, in general, a full matrix. Since U_3 is perplectic, the matrix $U_3^{-1} A U_3$ is per-Hermitian according to Lemma 4. Moreover, Remark 1 (c) reveals that A' is a per-Hermitian matrix. Now, the induction hypothesis applies to $A' \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m_1}(\mathbb{C})$ since A' has only the $p-1$ nonreal eigenvalues $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$. Thus, there exists some perplectic $P' \in \mathbf{GL}_{n-2m_1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(P')^{-1} A' P' = (D_1' \oplus (D_1')^*) \sqcap \hat{A}$$

where $D_1' = \lambda_2 I_{m_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_p I_{m_p}$, $(D_1')^* = \bar{\lambda}_p I_{m_p} \oplus \dots \oplus \bar{\lambda}_2 I_{m_2}$ and $\hat{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is per-Hermitian with only real eigenvalues. According to Lemma 5 (a) the matrix $W := (I_{m_1} \oplus I_{m_1}) \sqcap P' \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is perplectic. From Lemma 5 (c) we know that $P := U_3 W$ is perplectic and we obtain

$$P^{-1} A P = \begin{bmatrix} D & \\ & D^* \end{bmatrix} \sqcap \hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} D & & \\ & \hat{A} & \\ & & D^* \end{bmatrix}$$

with $D := \lambda_1 I_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_p I_{m_p}$ and the matrix $\hat{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is per-Hermitian having only real eigenvalues. \square

The next Theorem 3 states that the transformation carried out on A in the proof of Theorem 2 can - with some minor modifications - be extended to two commuting per-Hermitian matrices $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. From this point of view, it is possible to simultaneously extract per-Hermitian matrices \hat{A}, \hat{B} (of smaller size) with only real eigenvalues from commuting per-Hermitian $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ via a perplectic similarity and the perplectic sum. We prove Theorem 3 as stated below although the result and its proof easily extend to any finite family of commuting per-Hermitian matrices.

Theorem 3. *Let $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be per-Hermitian and diagonalizable and assume that $AB = BA$ holds. Then there exists some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq s \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and some perplectic $P \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} D_A & \\ & D_A^* \end{bmatrix} \boxtimes \hat{A} \quad P^{-1}BP = \begin{bmatrix} D_B & \\ & D_B^* \end{bmatrix} \boxtimes \hat{B}$$

where $D_A, D_B \in \mathbf{M}_s(\mathbb{C})$ are diagonal and $\hat{A}, \hat{B} \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2s}(\mathbb{C})$ are commuting per-Hermitian matrices with only real eigenvalues.

Proof. Assume that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \sigma(A)$ are the nonreal eigenvalues of A with positive imaginary parts. Let $\mathfrak{m}(A, \lambda_j) = m_j \geq 1$ be the multiplicity of λ_j and set $m := m_1 + \dots + m_p$. According to Theorem 2, there is some perplectic $P_1 \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$P_1^{-1}AP_1 = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & \\ & D_{11}^* \end{bmatrix} \boxtimes A'$$

where $D_{11} = \lambda_1 I_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_p I_{m_p} \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ and $A' \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is per-Hermitian with only real eigenvalues. As A and B commute so do $P_1^{-1}AP_1$ and $P_1^{-1}BP_1$. Moreover, $P_1^{-1}AP$ and $P_1^{-1}BP_1$ are still per-Hermitian since P_1 is perplectic, cf. Lemma 4. The commutativity implies that $P_1^{-1}BP_1$ has an analogous structure compared to $P_1^{-1}AP_1$, i.e. the form of $P_1^{-1}BP_1$ is determined as

$$P_1^{-1}BP_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B} & \\ & \tilde{B}^* \end{bmatrix} \boxtimes B' \quad (8)$$

where $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{B}_p \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is block-diagonal with $\tilde{B}_j \in \mathbf{M}_{m_j}(\mathbb{C})$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$, and $B' \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is a per-Hermitian matrix commuting with A' . In view of Remark 1 (d) and Lemma 3 we know that B' and all matrices \tilde{B}_j , $j = 1, \dots, p$, are diagonalizable (since B was diagonalizable and $P_1^{-1}BP_1$ is block-diagonal).

Now let $\tilde{Q}_j^{-1}\tilde{B}_j\tilde{Q}_j = \tilde{D}_j$ be a diagonalization of \tilde{B}_j , $j = 1, \dots, p$. We define

$$\tilde{Q} := \tilde{Q}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{Q}_p \quad \text{and} \quad Q := \left(\tilde{Q} \oplus \tilde{Q}^{-*} \right) \boxtimes I_{n-2m} \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

According to Lemma 5 (a) and (c), Q and $P_2 := P_1Q$ are perplectic. In addition, we obtain $P_2^{-1}AP_2 = P_1^{-1}AP_1$ (so $P_1^{-1}AP_1$ does not change under the similarity transformation with Q) and $P_2^{-1}BP_2 = (D_{21} \oplus D_{21}^*) \boxtimes B'$ where $D_{21} := \tilde{D}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{D}_p$ and B' is per-Hermitian according to Remark 1 (c). Now we consider $A', B' \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2m}(\mathbb{C})$ and set $m' := n - 2m$. Recall that A' has only real eigenvalues (by construction) which need not be the case for B' . Thus we may apply the same procedure to those matrices to get rid of the eigenvalues of B' beside the real axis. So assume μ_1, \dots, μ_q are the distinct eigenvalues of B' with positive imaginary parts having multiplicities

$\mathfrak{m}(B', \mu_j) = r_j$ and set $r := r_1 + \cdots + r_q$ (note that $r \leq \lfloor m'/2 \rfloor$). According to Theorem 2 there exists some perplectic matrix $P'_1 \in \mathbf{GL}_{m'}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(P'_1)^{-1} B' P'_1 = (D_{22} \oplus D_{22}^*) \sqcap \hat{B}$$

where $D_{22} = \mu_1 I_{r_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mu_q I_{r_q} \in \mathbf{M}_r(\mathbb{C})$ and $\hat{B} \in \mathbf{M}_{m'-2r}(\mathbb{C})$ is per-Hermitian with only real eigenvalues. Since A' and B' commute and are both per-Hermitian, we conclude as in (8) that

$$(P'_1)^{-1} A' P'_1 = (\check{A} \oplus \check{A}^*) \sqcap \hat{A}$$

holds for some block-diagonal matrix $\check{A} = \check{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \check{A}_q \in \mathbf{M}_r(\mathbb{C})$ with $\check{A}_j \in \mathbf{M}_{r_j}(\mathbb{C})$ and some per-Hermitian $\hat{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{m'-2r}(\mathbb{C})$. Using once more Lemma 3 assume that $\check{W}_j^{-1} \check{A}_j \check{W}_j = \check{D}_j$ is a diagonalization of \check{A}_j for $j = 1, \dots, q$. We define

$$\check{W} := \check{W}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \check{W}_q \quad \text{and} \quad W := (\check{W} \oplus \check{W}^{-*}) \sqcap I_{m'-2r} \in \mathbf{GL}_{m'}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then, according to Lemma 5 (a) and (c), W and $P'_2 := P'_1 W \in \mathbf{GL}_{m'}(\mathbb{C})$ are perplectic. Moreover, we obtain $(P'_2)^{-1} B' P'_2 = (P'_1)^{-1} B' P'_1$ (so the similarity transformation of $(P'_1)^{-1} B' P'_1$ with W does not change this matrix) and $(P'_2)^{-1} A' P'_2 = (D_{12} \oplus D_{12}^*) \sqcap \hat{A}$ where we have set $D_{12} := \check{D}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \check{D}_q$. According to Lemma 5 the matrices $P_3 := I_{2m} \sqcap P'_2 \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $P := P_1 P_2 P_3 \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are both perplectic. So, finally we obtain

$$P^{-1} A P = \begin{bmatrix} D_A & \\ & D_A^* \end{bmatrix} \sqcap \hat{A} \quad P^{-1} B P = \begin{bmatrix} D_B & \\ & D_B^* \end{bmatrix} \sqcap \hat{B}$$

with diagonal matrices $D_A = D_{11} \oplus D_{12} \in \mathbf{M}_s(\mathbb{C})$ and $D_B = D_{21} \oplus D_{22} \in \mathbf{M}_s(\mathbb{C})$ ($s = m + r$) and two commuting per-Hermitian matrices $A', B' \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2(m+r)}(\mathbb{C})$ with only real eigenvalues. \square

We refer to an even-sized matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n = 2m$) as being in X -form, if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & R_m D_{12} \\ R_m D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \diagup & \\ & \diagdown \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where $D_{11}, D_{12}, D_{21}, D_{22} \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ are diagonal. If n is odd, we say that $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is in X -form if $A = A' \sqcap [\alpha]$ for some matrix $A' \in \mathbf{M}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ in X -form as in (9) and some scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

We call a real matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ persymmetric, if $R_n A^T R_n = A$ holds. A persymmetric matrix A with is additionally symmetric (i.e. $A = A^T$) is called bisymmetric. Lemma 6 below shows how a real diagonal matrix can be unitarily transformed to a matrix in bisymmetric X -form. This result will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 6. *Let $D \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be diagonal. If $n = 2m$ is even we define the unitary (i.e. orthogonal) matrix*

$$Z := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_m & R_m \\ -R_m & I_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}). \quad (10)$$

Then $Z^H D Z = Z^{-1} D Z$ is a real bisymmetric matrix in X -form. The same statement holds for the matrix $Z \sqcup [1] \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ if $n = 2m + 1$ is odd.

Proof. Assume $n = 2m$ and write $D = D_1 \oplus D_2$ with two real, diagonal matrices $D_1, D_2 \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$. Then, a direct calculation shows that

$$Z^H D Z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 + R_m D_2 R_m & D_1 R_m - R_m D_2 \\ R_m D_1 - D_2 R_m & R_m D_1 R_m + D_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R}). \quad (11)$$

Since $(D_1 R_m - R_m D_2)^T = R_m D_1 - D_2 R_m$, and both $D_1 + R_m D_2 R_m$ and $R_m D_1 R_m + D_2$ are real and diagonal, it follows that $Z^H D Z$ is symmetric. Moreover, as $(D_1 + R_m D_2 R_m)^* = R_m D_1 R_m + D_2$ is diagonal and both $D_1 R_m - R_m D_2$, $R_m D_1 - D_2 R_m$ are real and do only have nonzero entries along their anti-diagonals, $Z^H D Z$ is persymmetric. Therefore, $Z^H D Z$ is real and bisymmetric. The statement follows analogously for $Z \sqcup [1]$ in case $n = 2m + 1$ is odd. \square

For $n = 2m$ it follows directly from Lemma 6 and (11) (choosing $D_1 = +I_m$ and $D_2 = -I_m$) that

$$Z^H \begin{bmatrix} +I_m & \\ & -I_m \end{bmatrix} Z = \begin{bmatrix} & R_m \\ R_m & \end{bmatrix} = R_n \quad (12)$$

for the matrix $Z \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ defined in (10). Considering $Z \sqcup [1]$ in the case where n is odd yields the similar result. With Lemma 6 we may now prove the simultaneous transformation of two per-Hermitian matrices with only real eigenvalues to X -form as stated in Theorem 4. Our main theorem on the perplectic transformation of R_n -normal matrices to X -form (Theorem 5) will then easily follow from Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

Theorem 4. *Let $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be per-Hermitian and diagonalizable and assume that $AB = BA$ holds. Moreover, suppose that A, B have exclusively real eigenvalues. Then, there is a perplectic matrix $P \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$P^{-1} A P =: X_A = \begin{bmatrix} \diagdown & \\ & \diagup \end{bmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} B P =: X_B = \begin{bmatrix} \diagup & \\ & \diagdown \end{bmatrix}$$

where $X_A, X_B \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ are real bisymmetric matrices in X -form.

Proof. Assume $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{R}$ are the distinct eigenvalues of A with multiplicities $\mathbf{m}(A, \lambda_j) = m_j \geq 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$, and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q \in \mathbb{R}$ are the distinct eigenvalues of B . Assume further that $T \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ simultaneously diagonalizes A and B , i.e. $A_1 := T^{-1}AT$ and $B_1 := T^{-1}BT$ are both diagonal (cf. Lemma 2). In particular, suppose $A_1 = \lambda_1 I_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_p I_{m_p}$ and $B_1 = D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus D_p$ where the matrices $D_j \in \mathbf{M}_{m_j}(\mathbb{R})$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, are diagonal. Notice that each eigenvalue μ_j of B appears $\mathbf{m}(B, \mu_j)$ times on the diagonal of B_1 (in some of the matrices D_1, \dots, D_p). Without loss of generalization we can assume that eigenvalues of D_j with higher multiplicities are grouped together on the diagonal of D_j . So assume that $r_j \geq 1$ distinct eigenvalues of B appear in D_j for each $j = 1, \dots, p$. We denote these by $\mu_{j,1}, \dots, \mu_{j,r_j}$. Do not overlook that each $\mu_{j,k}$ is equal to some eigenvalue among μ_1, \dots, μ_q . Let their multiplicities in D_j be $\mathbf{m}(D_j, \mu_{j,k}) = m_{j,k}$ for $k = 1, \dots, r_j$. Thus, w.l.o.g. we assume that D_j can be expressed as⁴

$$D_j = \mu_{j,1} I_{m_{j,1}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_{j,r_j} I_{m_{j,r_j}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, p,$$

with $m_{j,1} + \dots + m_{j,r_j} = m_j$.

Recall that the columns of T are eigenvectors of both A and B . Thus, we may determine the form of the Hermitian matrix $T^H R_n T$ according to Lemma 1 (a). In fact, we have $T^H R_n T = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_p$ for Hermitian matrices $S_j \in \mathbf{M}_{m_j}(\mathbb{C})$ following from the structure of A_1 . As $T^H R_n T$ is nonsingular, so is each S_j , $j = 1, \dots, p$. In addition, the structure of B_1 (in particular, the form of D_1, \dots, D_p) implies that each S_j has to be block-diagonal, i.e.

$$S_j = \begin{bmatrix} S_{j,1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & S_{j,r_j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, p,$$

where $S_{j,k} \in \mathbf{M}_{m_{j,k}}(\mathbb{C})$, $j = 1, \dots, p$ and $k = 1, \dots, r_j$, are nonsingular and Hermitian. It follows from Theorem 1 that there exist matrices $Q_{j,k} \in \mathbf{GL}_{m_{j,k}}(\mathbb{C})$ so that $Q_{j,k}^H S_{j,k} Q_{j,k} = \text{diag}(+1, \dots, -1, \dots)$ is the inertia matrix corresponding to $S_{j,k}$. Thus we define $Q := Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_p \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $Q_j := Q_{j,1} \oplus \dots \oplus Q_{j,r_j} \in \mathbf{GL}_{m_j}(\mathbb{C})$. Now we consider the transformations $Q^{-1}A_1Q$, $Q^{-1}B_1Q$ and $Q^H(T^H R_n T)Q$ and make the following important observations:

- (a) It follows from the form of A_1 and B_1 and the form of Q that the transformations $Q^{-1}A_1Q$ and $Q^{-1}B_1Q$ do not change A_1 and B_1 , respectively. Therefore we have $Q^{-1}A_1Q = A_1$ and $Q^{-1}B_1Q = B_1$.
- (b) The matrix $Q^H(T^H R_n T)Q$ is diagonal with only $+1$ and -1 entries along its diagonal. As it is congruent to R_n , there are exactly $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ entries equal to $+1$ and $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ entries equal to -1 appearing.

⁴Otherwise, we may reorder the columns of T to produce this form.

Now we consider the cases of even and odd n separately. First assume that n is even. Then there is a permutation matrix $W \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$W^H(Q^H T^H R_n T Q)W = \begin{bmatrix} +I_{n/2} & \\ & -I_{n/2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Note that $W^H = W^T = W^{-1}$. As W is a permutation, $A_2 := W^T A_1 W$ and $B_2 := W^T B_1 W$ remain to be real and diagonal matrices. Now Lemma 6 applies to A_2, B_2 . Recall that, for $Z \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ as in (10), we have shown in (12) that $Z^H(+I_{n/2} \oplus -I_{n/2})Z = R_n$ holds. Therefore, according to (13), $P := TQWZ$ is perplectic. Moreover, by Lemma 6, $Z^{-1}A_2Z =: X_A$ and $Z^{-1}B_2Z =: X_B$ are bisymmetric and in X -form since $A_2, B_2 \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ are real and diagonal. In consequence, $P^{-1}AP = X_A$ and $P^{-1}BP = X_B$ are perplectic transformations of A and B to bisymmetric X -form and the statement is proven for even n . In case n is odd, the permutation W can be chosen such that the matrix in (13) has the form $(+I_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus -I_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}) \sqcup [1]$. The matrix Z from (10) can be replaced by $Z \sqcup [1]$ (see the discussion subsequent to (12)) and the statement follows analogously. \square

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section. To this end, we introduce for any $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the matrices

$$S_A := \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*) \quad \text{and} \quad K_A := \frac{i}{2}(A - A^*). \quad (14)$$

As $(A^*)^* = A$ and $(A + A')^* = A^* + (A')^*$ always holds for any $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, it is easily seen that S_A and K_A are both per-Hermitian and that $A = S_A - iK_A$ holds. The crucial observation used for the proof of Theorem 5 is that S_A and K_A commute whenever A is R_n -normal (i.e. $AA^* = A^*A$).

Theorem 5. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be diagonalizable and R_n -normal. Then there exists a perplectic matrix $P \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$P^*AP = X_A = \begin{bmatrix} \diagdown & \diagup \\ \diagup & \diagdown \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

is a matrix in X -form.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be R_n -normal and diagonalizable. We express A as $S - iK$ for $S := S_A, K := K_A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as defined in (14). Notice that, since A is diagonalizable, so is A^* . Moreover, as A and A^* commute, they are simultaneously diagonalizable, cf. Lemma 2. Consequently, both S and K are diagonalizable. Now we apply Theorem 3 to S and K . Thus there exists some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq s \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and a perplectic matrix $P_1 \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $S_1 := P_1^{-1}SP_1$ and $K_1 := P_1^{-1}KP_1$ have the form

$$S_1 = \begin{bmatrix} D_S & \\ & D_S^* \end{bmatrix} \sqcup S'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} D_K & \\ & D_K^* \end{bmatrix} \boxplus K'_1$$

where $D_S, D_K, D_S^*, D_K^* \in \mathbf{M}_s(\mathbb{C})$ are diagonal and $S'_1, K'_1 \in \mathbf{M}_{n-2s}(\mathbb{C})$ are both per-Hermitian with only real eigenvalues. As S and K commute, we have $S_1 K_1 = K_1 S_1$ and therefore $S'_1 K'_1 = K'_1 S'_1$.

According to Theorem 4 there is some perplectic $P'_2 \in \mathbf{GL}_{n-2s}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(P'_2)^{-1} S'_1 P'_2 =: X_S$ and $(P'_2)^{-1} K'_1 P'_2 =: X_K$ are matrices in X -form. Since the matrix $P_2 := I_{2s} \boxtimes P'_2 \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is perplectic by Lemma 5 (a), $P := P_1 P_2$ is perplectic according to Lemma 5 (c). Now the matrices

$$P^{-1} S P = \begin{bmatrix} D_S & & \\ & X_S & \\ & & D_S^* \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P^{-1} K P = \begin{bmatrix} D_K & & \\ & X_K & \\ & & D_K^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

are both in X -form. Moreover, $P^{-1} A P = P^{-1} S P - i(P^{-1} K P) =: X_A$ is a matrix in X -form and the statement is proven. \square

Remark 2. Notice that, in the proof of Theorem 5, the matrix $P^{-1} A P$ has an X -form where the anti-diagonal is not completely equipped with nonzero entries.

3.1 Genericity of the X -form for R_n -normal matrices

From now on, we denote the set of all R_n -normal matrices by $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$. According to [3, Thm. 8] the set of diagonalizable R_n -normal matrices is dense in $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$. This means that for any $A \in \mathcal{N}(R_n)$ and any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some diagonalizable $A' \in \mathcal{N}(R_n)$ with $\|A - A'\|_2 < \varepsilon$. In this section we will prove that the set of R_n -normal matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is dense in $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$. For this purpose we use the perplectic transformation to X -form established in Theorem 5. As a consequence, we will show in Theorem 7 that this fact justifies us to refer to the X -form as being generic for R_n -normal matrices. To prove these results, the following Proposition 1 will be helpful.

Proposition 1. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be R_n -normal and diagonalizable. Then there exists some R_n -normal matrix $M \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with n distinct eigenvalues such that M commutes with A and A^* .*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be R_n -normal and diagonalizable. According to Theorem 5 there is some perplectic matrix $P \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $P^{-1} A P = X_A$ is in X -form, see (15). If $n = 2\ell$ is even, we may express X_A as

$$X_A = N_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes N_\ell = (N_1 \boxtimes N_2) \boxtimes N_3 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes N_\ell$$

with 2×2 matrices N_i , $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ (recall also (5)). That is

$$X_A = \begin{bmatrix} n_{11}^1 & & & & & & n_{12}^1 \\ & n_{11}^2 & & & & & n_{12}^2 \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & n_{11}^\ell & n_{12}^\ell & & \\ & & & n_{21}^\ell & n_{22}^\ell & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & n_{21}^2 & & & & n_{22}^2 \\ n_{21}^1 & & & & & & n_{22}^1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} n_{11}^1 & n_{12}^1 \\ n_{21}^1 & n_{22}^1 \end{bmatrix}, N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} n_{11}^2 & n_{12}^2 \\ n_{21}^2 & n_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, N_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} n_{11}^\ell & n_{12}^\ell \\ n_{21}^\ell & n_{22}^\ell \end{bmatrix}.$$

In case $n = 2\ell + 1$ is odd we may express X_A in the same form where now $\ell = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $N_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ is just a scalar. As P is perplectic, X_A is again R_n -normal according to Lemma 4. For the rest of the proof we confine ourselves to the case $n = 2\ell$. When n is odd, an analogous reasoning gives the same results.

At first, we consider the explicit form of $X_A^* X_A$ and $X_A X_A^*$. With $R_n = R_2 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes R_2$ (ℓ factors) we find $X_A^* = \boxtimes_{i=1}^\ell N_i^*$ and therefore

$$X_A^* X_A = \boxtimes_{i=1}^\ell R_2 N_i^H R_2 N_i \quad \text{and} \quad X_A X_A^* = \boxtimes_{i=1}^\ell N_i R_2 N_i^H R_2 \quad (17)$$

using (4) and noting that $R_2^{-1} = R_2$. As both matrices are equal, we may compare the terms in (17) and see that each N_j is R_2 -normal for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Now recall additionally that X_A is similar to $N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_\ell$ according to Remark 1 (d), so each N_j is diagonalizable (since A and consequently X_A are diagonalizable). For scalars $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k = 1, \dots, \ell$, whose desired property will be clear in a moment, we define matrices $M'_k \in \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ according to the following rules:

- (a) If N_k has two identical eigenvalues we define $M'_k := \text{diag}(\alpha_k, 1 + \alpha_k)$ which has two distinct eigenvalues α_k and $1 + \alpha_k$. (Note that, in this case, N_k is just a multiple of the identity I_2 .)
- (b) If N_k has two distinct eigenvalues, we define $M'_k := \alpha_k N_k$ for $\alpha_k \neq 0$ which also has two distinct eigenvalues.

Now we define

$$M' := (M'_1 \boxtimes M'_2) \boxtimes M'_3) \boxtimes \dots) \boxtimes M'_\ell \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

As M' is similar to $M'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M'_\ell$ according to Remark 1 (d), the eigenvalues of M' are those of $M'_1, M'_2, \dots, M'_\ell$. The crucial observation is that we are

always able to choose $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in such a way that M' has n distinct eigenvalues.

Now each $M'_k \in \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is R_2 -normal. To accept this, note that any diagonal matrix M'_k (as in (a) above) is always R_2 -normal and any scalar multiple $M'_k := \alpha_k N_k$ of an R_2 -normal matrix (as in (b) above) remains to be R_2 -normal. With the same calculations as in (17) it is easy to see that M' is R_n -normal. Moreover, by construction, each M'_k commutes with each N_k and with $N_k^* = R_2 N_k^H R_2$. Therefore, M' commutes with X_A and with $X_A^* = N_1^* \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes N_\ell^*$. This implies that $M := PM'P^{-1}$, which is R_n -normal according to Lemma 4 and whose n eigenvalues are distinct, commutes with $A = PX_A P^{-1}$ and $A^* = PX_A^* P^{-1}$. This proves the statement. \square

The following Lemma 7 is important for the proof of Theorem 6. It can be found in [3, Ex. 2 (ii)] or [15, Sec. 7] and is stated here without proof.

Lemma 7. *There exists a polynomial*

$$p(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}) \in \mathbb{C}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}] \quad (18)$$

in n^2 variables x_{jk} such that, for any $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j} \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$p(A) := p(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{nn}) = 0 \quad (19)$$

if and only if A has at least one multiple eigenvalue⁵.

In other words, Lemma 7 states that one can tell if a given matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has a multiple eigenvalue by evaluating p in (18) at A , that is, at $(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{nn})$. For the proof of the following Theorem 6 notice that, if $N, M \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are two R_n -normal matrices and M commutes with N and N^* , then $zN + M$ is also R_n -normal for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This is easily seen since

$$\begin{aligned} (zN + M)^*(zN + M) &= \bar{z}zN^*N + \bar{z}N^*M + zM^*N + M^*M \\ (zN + M)(zN + M)^* &= z\bar{z}NN^* + zNM^* + \bar{z}MN^* + MM^* \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

using the R_n -normality of M and N and the commutativity of M and N^* (moreover, note that $M^*N = (N^*M)^*$ and that $NM^* = (MN^*)^*$).

We are now able to prove our first main result of this section. Theorem 6 states that the set of R_n -normal matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is dense in $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$.

Theorem 6. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be R_n -normal and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists some R_n -normal matrix $\hat{A} \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with n distinct eigenvalues such that $\|A - \hat{A}\|_2 < \varepsilon$.*

⁵In other words, the set of all matrices with at least one multiple eigenvalues is an algebraic variety.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be R_n -normal and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. According to [3, Thm. 7], there exists some diagonalizable, R_n -normal $A' \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|A - A'\|_2 < \varepsilon/2$. Moreover, according to Proposition 1, there exists some R_n -normal $N \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with n distinct eigenvalues that commutes with A' and $(A')^*$. Then, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the matrix $M(z) := zA' + N$ is R_n -normal (see (20) and the discussion above). Let $p(x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn})$ be the polynomial from Lemma 7. Using the notation from (19), we now consider $\tilde{p}(z) := p(M(z))$ as a polynomial in the single variable z . Observe that $\tilde{p}(0) = p(M(0)) = p(N) \neq 0$ since N has n distinct eigenvalues. Therefore, $\tilde{p} \neq 0$ is not the zero-polynomial.

Recall from Lemma 7 that $\tilde{p}(z_0) \neq 0$ is a sufficient and necessary condition for $M(z_0)$ to have n distinct eigenvalues. Now, since $\tilde{p}(z)$ has only a finite number of roots, we conclude that almost every matrix $M(z) = zA' + N$ has n distinct eigenvalues. Therefore, $A' + cN = c(c^{-1}A' + N)$ also has n distinct eigenvalues for almost every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \neq 0$. As $A' + cN = cM(c^{-1})$ is a scalar multiple of a R_n -normal matrix, it is R_n -normal, too.

Now choose some $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|c_0| < \varepsilon/(2\|N\|_2)$ such that $\tilde{p}(c_0^{-1}) \neq 0$ and define $\hat{A} := A' + c_0N$. Then \hat{A} is R_n -normal and has n distinct eigenvalues. Moreover,

$$\|A' - \hat{A}\|_2 = \|A' - (A' + c_0N)\|_2 = |c_0| \cdot \|N\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and it follows that

$$\|A - \hat{A}\|_2 \leq \|A - A'\|_2 + \|A' - \hat{A}\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus we have shown that there exists some R_n -normal matrix with n distinct eigenvalues with distance less than ε from A and the proof is complete. \square

Recall that $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be considered as a topological space with basis $B_R(A) = \{A' \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) : \|A - A'\|_2 < R\}$ for $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}$, $R > 0$ (see, e.g. [7, Sec. 11.2]). The set $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$ of R_n -normal matrices can thus be interpreted as a topological space on its own equipped with its subspace topology [1, Sec. 1.5]. Per definition, a subset \mathcal{S} of $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$ is open if \mathcal{S} is the intersection of $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$ with some open subset of $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. It is well-known that the set $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is open in the topological space $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, cf. [7, Thm. 11.5]. Thus, $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}' \cap \mathcal{N}(R_n)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$ (with respect to its subspace topology) whose closure is all of $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$ according to the density established in Theorem 6. Consequently, the complement of \mathcal{E} in $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$ is a nowhere dense subset in $\mathcal{N}(R_n)$. Since all matrices in \mathcal{E} have pairwise distinct eigenvalues, they are diagonalizable and thus Theorem 5 applies. It is shown in [3, Thms. 5,6] that the set of matrices with n distinct eigenvalues is dense in the sets of all per-Hermitian, perskew-Hermitian and perplectic matrices, too. Thus, with exactly the same reasoning as above the topological analysis carried out for

$\mathcal{N}(R_n)$ applies to these sets of matrices. This leads us to the second main result of this section stated in Theorem 7.

Theorem 7. *With $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ being one of the sets of all per-Hermitian, perskew-Hermitian, perplectic or R_n -normal matrices, the following is true: the set of all matrices $A \in \mathcal{S}$ for which a perplectic matrix $P \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ exists such that $P^{-1}AP = X_A$ is in X -form is open and dense. Consequently, the set of all matrices $A \in \mathcal{S}$ for which such a perplectic similarity transformation does not exist is nowhere dense in \mathcal{S} .*

According to [1] an open set of a topological space whose interior is dense can reasonably be considered to be large. In turn, its complement is small from a topological point of view. This justifies calling the X -form established in Theorem 5 under perplectic similarity *generic* for the sets of per-Hermitian, perskew-Hermitian, perplectic and R_n -normal matrices.

4. A GENERIC CANONICAL FORM FOR J_{2n} -NORMAL MATRICES

From here on we consider the indefinite scalar product $(x, y) \mapsto [x, y]_{J_{2m}} := x^H J_{2m} y$ defined on $\mathbb{C}^{2m} \times \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ for the skew-Hermitian matrix

$$J_{2m} = \begin{bmatrix} & I_m \\ -I_m & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The eigenvalues of J_{2m} are $+i$ and $-i$ with multiplicities $\mathbf{m}(J_{2n}, +i) = \mathbf{m}(J_{2n}, -i) = m$. It is common to refer to J_{2m} -self/skewadjoint matrices as skew-Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian, respectively⁶. Matrices that are J_{2m} -unitary are in general called symplectic. For the set of all J_{2m} -normal matrices we will use the notation $\mathcal{N}(J_{2m})$. In this section we use the results obtained in Section 3 to derive a generic canonical form for J_{2m} -normal matrices. To this end, we set $n := 2m$ for the whole section whenever n is not further specified.

First consider the $2m \times 2m$ unitary matrix

$$U := \begin{bmatrix} I_m & \\ & -iR_m \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}). \quad (21)$$

A straight forward calculation shows that $U^H(iR_n)U = J_{2m}$. Over $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$, the classes of per-Hermitian, perskew-Hermitian, perplectic and R_n -normal matrices can now be related in a natural way to skew-Hamiltonian, Hamiltonian, symplectic and J_{2m} -normal matrices. These relations are summarized in Lemma 8.

Lemma 8. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ and $n = 2m$. The following relations hold for the classes of matrices introduced in Section 1 with respect to the indefinite scalar products $[\cdot, \cdot]_{J_{2m}}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_{R_n}$.*

⁶Sometimes these matrices are called J_{2m} -Hermitian and J_{2m} -skew-Hermitian, see [14].

- (a) If A is skew-Hamiltonian, then $A' := UAU^H$ is per-Hermitian. In turn, $U^H AU$ is skew-Hamiltonian whenever A is per-Hermitian.
- (b) If A is Hamiltonian, then $A' := UAU^H$ is perskew-Hermitian. On the other hand, $U^H AU$ is Hamiltonian whenever A is perskew-Hermitian.
- (c) If A is symplectic, then $A' := UAU^H$ is perplectic. In turn, $U^H AU$ is symplectic whenever A is perplectic.
- (d) If A is J_{2m} -normal, then $A' := UAU^H$ is R_n -normal. On the other hand $U^H AU$ is J_{2m} -normal whenever A is R_n -normal.

Proof. All four cases can be proved by direct calculations using $UJ_{2m}U^H = iR_n$, i.e. $UJ_{2m} = (iR_n)U$ and the equations specifying the structures in (a), (b), (c) and (d).

(a) Assume $A \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is per-Hermitian, that is $R_n A^H R_n = A$ holds. Then $U^H AU$ is skew-Hamiltonian since

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2m}^{-1}(U^H AU)^H J_{2m} &= J_{2m}^{-1}(U^H A^H U) J_{2m} = J_{2m}^{-1}(U^H (R_n A R_n) U) J_{2m} \\ &= (U J_{2m})^H R_n A R_n (U J_{2m}) \\ &= -i^2 U^H (R_n R_n) A (R_n R_n) U = U^H AU \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $J_{2m}^{-1} = J_{2m}^H = J_{2m}^T$ and $R_n R_n = I_n$. On the other hand, if $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is skew-Hamiltonian, i.e. $J_{2m}^H A^H J_{2m} = A$, then UAU^H is per-Hermitian because

$$\begin{aligned} R_n (UAU^H)^H R_n &= R_n U A^H U^H R_n = R_n U J_{2m} A J_{2m}^H U^H R_n \\ &= R_n (iR_n U) A (iR_n U)^H R_n \\ &= -i^2 (R_n R_n) U A U^H (R_n R_n) = U A U^H. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs for (b), (c) and (d) proceed in a similar manner. \square

In other words, Lemma 8 states that there exists a one-to-one correspondence (i.e. a bijection) between the sets of B -selfadjoint, B -skewadjoint, B -unitary and B -normal matrices for $B = J_{2m}$ and $B = R_n$. For the proofs of this section we will be switching to and fro between these sets via the similarity with U in (21).

We say a matrix $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is in four-diagonal-form, if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

where $D_{11}, D_{21}, D_{12}, D_{22} \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ are diagonal matrices.

Based on the results from Section 3 we may now establish a canonical form of J_{2m} -normal diagonalizable matrices under symplectic similarity transformations.

Theorem 8. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ be J_{2m} -normal and diagonalizable. Then there exists some symplectic matrix $S \in \mathbf{Gl}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$S^{-1}AS = D_A^{\otimes} = \begin{bmatrix} \diagdown & & \\ & \diagdown & \\ & & \diagdown \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

is a matrix in four-diagonal-form.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ be J_{2m} -normal and diagonalizable and set $n = 2m$. According to Lemma 8 the matrix $A' := UAU^H = UAU^{-1}$ for U as defined in (21) is R_n -normal. As A' is still diagonalizable, Theorem 5 applies and there exists a perplectic matrix $P \in \mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$P^{-1}A'P = X_{A'} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & R_n D_{12} \\ R_n D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \diagdown & & \\ & \diagdown & \\ & & \diagdown \end{bmatrix}$$

is in X -form (where $D_{11}, D_{12}, D_{21}, D_{22} \in \mathbf{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ are diagonal). As $X_{A'}$ is R_n -normal (cf. Lemma 4), the matrix $A'' := U^H X_{A'} U$ is J_{2m} -normal by Lemma 8 (c). In conclusion, we have $S^{-1}AS = A''$ for the matrix $S := U^H P U$. In accordance with Lemma 8 (d) the matrix $S \in \mathbf{Gl}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ is symplectic. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1}AS &= U^H X_{A'} U = \begin{bmatrix} I_m & \\ & iR_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & R_m D_{12} \\ R_m D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_m & \\ & -iR_m \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & -iR_m D_{12} R_m \\ iD_{21} & R_m D_{22} R_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \diagdown & & \\ & \diagdown & \\ & & \diagdown \end{bmatrix} =: D_A^{\otimes} \end{aligned}$$

is a matrix in four-diagonal-form and the statement is proven. \square

Through the transformation with U in (21), the density result on R_n -normal matrices with n distinct eigenvalues directly carries over to $\mathcal{N}(J_{2m})$.

Theorem 9. *Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ be J_{2m} -normal and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists some J_{2m} -normal matrix $\hat{A} \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ with $2m$ distinct eigenvalues such that $\|A - \hat{A}\|_2 < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ be J_{2m} -normal ($n = 2m$) and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Consider $A' := UAU^H$ for $U \in \mathbf{Gl}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ as in (21). Then A' is R_n -normal and, according to Theorem 6, there exists some R_n -normal matrix $\tilde{A} \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with n distinct eigenvalues such that $\|A' - \tilde{A}\|_2 < \varepsilon$. Now define $\hat{A} := U^H \tilde{A} U$ which is J_{2m} -normal according to Lemma 8 (d). Then

$$\|A - \hat{A}\|_2 = \|U^H A' U - U^H \tilde{A} U\|_2 = \|A' - \tilde{A}\|_2 < \varepsilon$$

since U is unitary and $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a unitarily invariant matrix norm. This completes the proof as the n distinct eigenvalues of \hat{A} are exactly those of A . \square

Theorem 9 shows that the set of J_{2m} -normal matrices with $2m$ distinct eigenvalues is dense in $\mathcal{N}(J_{2m})$. For the same reasoning as outlined subsequently to Theorem 6 these matrices form an open and dense subset of $\mathcal{N}(J_{2m})$ with respect to the subspace topology on $\mathcal{N}(J_{2m})$. As all matrices in $\mathcal{N}(J_{2m})$ with $2m$ distinct eigenvalues are diagonalizable (and, according to [3, Thm. 9] matrices with $2m$ distinct eigenvalues are also dense in classes of skew-Hamiltonian, Hamiltonian and symplectic matrices), we obtain the analogous result to Theorem 7 for J_{2m} -normal matrices.

Theorem 10. *With $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbf{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ being one of the sets of all Hamiltonian, skew-Hamiltonian, symplectic or J_{2m} -normal matrices, the following is true: the set of all matrices $A \in \mathcal{S}$ for which a symplectic matrix $S \in \mathbf{Gl}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ exists such that $S^{-1}AS = D_A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is in four-diagonal-form is open and dense. Consequently, the set of all matrices $A \in \mathcal{S}$ for which such a symplectic similarity transformation does not exist is nowhere dense in \mathcal{S} .*

For an analogous reasoning as outlined in Section 3.1, the set of J_{2m} -normal matrices for which a symplectic similarity transformation to four-diagonal-form does not exist can be considered as small from a topological point of view. For this reason, we call the four-diagonal form (22) *generic* for J_{2m} -normal matrices.

5. CONCLUSION

We introduced a canonical form for matrices that are nondefective and normal with respect to the perplectic scalar product $[x, y] = x^H R_n y$ and the symplectic scalar product $[x, y] = x^H J_{2m} y$. Such matrices need not be perplectically/symplectically diagonalizable in contrast to euclidean normal matrices (i.e. those matrices A satisfying $A^H A = A A^H$) which are always unitarily diagonalizable. We showed that nondefective R_n -normal matrices can always be transformed into 'X-form' via a perplectic similarity whereas diagonalizable J_{2m} -normal matrices are always symplectically similar to a matrix in 'four-diagonal-form'. According to [3] the diagonalizable matrices form a dense subset among all R_n/J_{2m} -normal matrices. We used the established canonical forms to prove that the set of all R_n/J_{2m} -normal matrices with pairwise distinct eigenvalues constitute an open and dense subset among all R_n/J_{2m} -normal matrices. From this it followed that the canonical forms can be seen as "generic" for these type of matrices since the set for which they do not exist is nowhere dense and thus, from a topological point of view, small.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel'skii and L. S. Pontryagin (Eds.). *General Topology I*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990.

- [2] J. Bognar. *Indefinite Inner Product Spaces* Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1974.
- [3] R. J. de la Cruz and P. Saltenberger. Density of diagonalizable matrices in sets of structured matrices defined from indefinite scalar products *Preprint ArXiv* 2007.00269, 2020.
- [4] B. A. Francis. *A course in \mathcal{H}_∞ control theory*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Science, Vol. 88, Springer, Heidelberg, 1987.
- [5] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman. *Indefinite Linear Algebra and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
- [6] R. Grone, C. R. Johnson, E. M. Sa and H. Wolkowicz. Normal matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 87, pp. 213–225, 1987.
- [7] D. J. Hartfiel. *Matrix Theory and Applications with MATLAB*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2000.
- [8] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis (2nd Edition)*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2013.
- [9] P. Lancaster. The role of the Hamiltonian in the solution of algebraic Riccati equations. In G. Picci, D. S. Gilliam (Eds.) *Dynamical Systems, Control, Coding, Computer Vision*. Progress in Systems and Control Theory, Vol 25, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [10] A. J. Laub and K. Meyer. Canonical forms for symplectic and Hamiltonian matrices. *Celestial Mechanics* 9, pp. 213–238, 1974.
- [11] W.-W. Lin, V. Mehrmann and H. Xu. Canonical forms for Hamiltonian and symplectic matrices and pencils. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 302–303, pp. 469–533, 1999.
- [12] D. S. Mackey, N. Mackey and D. N. Dunlavy. Structure preserving algorithms for perplectic eigenproblems. *The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Vol. 13, pp. 10–39, 2005.
- [13] D. S. Mackey, N. Mackey and F. Tisseur. Structured factorizations in scalar product spaces. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 27 (3), pp. 821–850, 2006.
- [14] D. S. Mackey, N. Mackey and F. Tisseur. On the definition of two natural classes of scalar product. *MIMS Eprint* 2007.64, Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Manchester, 2007.
- [15] K. C. O’Meara, J. Clark and C. I. Vinsonhaler. *Advanced Topics in Linear Algebra*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011.

- [16] C. Mehl. On classification of normal matrices in indefinite inner product spaces. *The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Vol. 15 (1), pp. 50–83, 2006.
- [17] V. Mehrmann. *The autonomous linear quadratic control problem. Theory and numerical solution*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Science, Vol. 163, Springer, Heidelberg, 1991.
- [18] V. Mehrmann and H. Xu. Structured Jordan canonical forms for structured matrices that are Hermitian, skew-Hermitian or unitary with respect to indefinite inner products. *The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Vol. 5, pp. 67–103, 1999.
- [19] C. Paige and C. Van Loan. A Schur decomposition for Hamiltonian matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 41, pp. 11–32, 1981.
- [20] R. M. Reid. Some eigenvalue properties of persymmetric matrices. *SIAM Review*, Vol. 39 (2), pp. 313–316, 1997.
- [21] P. Saltenberger. *On different concepts for the linearization of matrix polynomials and canonical canonical decompositions of structured matrices with respect to indefinite sesquilinear forms*. Logos Verlag, Berlin, 2019.
- [22] F. Tisseur and K. Meerbergen. The quadratic eigenvalue problem. *SIAM Review*, Vol. 43 (2), pp. 325–286, 2001.