ESCAPING SETS ARE NOT SIGMA-COMPACT

LASSE REMPE

ABSTRACT. Let f be a transcendental entire function. The escaping set I(f) consists of those points that tend to infinity under iteration of f. We show that I(f) is not σ -compact, resolving a question of Rippon from 2009.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a transcendental entire function. The set

$$I(f) := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \colon \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(z) = \infty \}$$

is called the *escaping set* of f, where

$$f^n = \underbrace{f \circ \cdots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}}$$

denotes the *n*-th iterate of f. The escaping set was first studied by Eremenko [Ere89] and has been the subject of intensive research in recent years; see e.g. [Obe09, RRRS11, Ber18, RS19] and their references. The topological study of I(f) turns out to be surprisingly intricate. For example Eremenko [Ere89, p. 343] asked whether, for every transcendental entire function, every connected component of I(f) is unbounded. This question has become known as *Eremenko's conjecture*, and is one of the most famous open problems in transcendental dynamics. Strengthened versions of the conjecture have since been proved false in general; compare [RRRS11, Theorem 1.1] and [R16, Theorem 1.6]. On the other hand, Rippon and Stallard [RS11b] have shown that $I(f) \cup \{\infty\}$ is always connected, so any counterexample to Eremenko's original conjecture would have rather subtle topological properties.

The fast escaping set is a certain subset of the escaping set, first introduced by Bergweiler and Hinkkanen [BH99]. This set has also received considerable attention recently; in part because it appears to be more tractable than the full escaping set I(f). In particular, the analogue of Eremenko's conjecture holds for A(f) [RS05]. One difference between the two objects lies in the topological complexity of their definitions. Indeed, A(f) can be written as a countable union of closed sets [RS12, Formula (1.3)]; so it is an F_{σ} set. On the other hand, by definition

$$I(f) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \colon \forall M \ge 0 \exists N \ge 0 \colon |f^n(z)| \ge M \text{ for } n \ge N \}$$
$$= \bigcap_{M=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} f^{-n}(\mathbb{C} \setminus D(0, M)),$$

Date: March 1, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D05, Secondary 37F10, 54D45.

where D(0, M) denotes the disc of radius M around 0. So I(f) is $F_{\sigma\delta}$: a countable intersection of countable unions of closed sets. This raises the following question, posed by Rippon in 2009 [Obe09, Problem 8, p. 2960].

1.1. Question. Is there a transcendental entire function f for which I(f) itself is F_{σ} ?

Since any closed subset of \mathbb{C} is a countable union of compact sets, it is equivalent to ask whether I(f) is ever σ -compact (a countable union of compact sets); compare also [Lip20b]. It is well-known that I(f) cannot be a G_{δ} (a countable intersection of open sets); see Lemma 2.4 below.

As far as we are aware, there is no function f for which Question 1.1 has been previously resolved; the goal of this paper is to give a negative answer in general. In fact, we prove a stronger result, as follows. Let UO(f) consist of all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ whose orbit $\{f^n(z): n \ge 0\}$ is unbounded, and let $BU(f) = UO(f) \setminus I(f)$ denote the "bungee set"; see [OS16]. Recall that the Julia set J(f) is the set of non-normality of the iterates of f.

1.2. Theorem. Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then every σ -compact subset of UO(f) omits some point of $I(f) \cap J(f)$ and some point of BU(f) $\cap J(f)$.

In particular, the sets I(f), UO(f), BU(f) and their intersections with J(f) are not σ -compact.

Acknowledgements. I thank David Lipham and Phil Rippon for interesting discussions. I also thank David Martí-Pete, James Waterman and the referee for helpful comments that improved the presentation of the paper.

2. Proof of the Theorem

We require a result on the existence of arbitrarily slowly escaping points, which follows from work of Rippon and Stallard [RS15]; see also [RS11a, Theorem 1].

2.1. Theorem. Let f be a transcendental entire function, and let $R_0 \ge 0$. Then there exists $M_0 > R_0$ with the following property. If $(a_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence with $a_m \to \infty$ and $a_m \ge M_0$ for all m, then there are $\zeta \in J(f) \cap I(f)$ and $\omega \in J(f) \cap BU(f)$ with

$$R_0 \le |f^m(\zeta)| \le a_m \quad and \quad R_0 \le |f^m(\omega)| \le a_m \quad for \ m \ge 0.$$

Proof. The result is an easy consequence of [RS15], which studies "annular itineraries" of entire functions. Fix some R > 0 such that M(r) > r for $r \ge R$, where M(r) denotes the maximum modulus of f on the disc $\overline{D(0,r)}$. Then the annular itinerary of a point z is the sequence $(s_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ such that $s_m = 0$ if $|f^m(z)| < R$, and

$$M^{s_m - 1}(R) \le |f^m(z)| < M^{s_m}(R)$$

otherwise. (Here M^k is the k-th iterate of $r \mapsto M(r)$.)

Theorem 1.2 of [RS15] implies that for suitable $R \ge R_0$, for an entry $s_m = n \ge 0$ in an annular itinerary, there is a subset $X_n \subset \{0, \ldots, n+1\}$ of allowable next entries s_{m+1} , and that, for infinitely many n, all entries but at most one are allowable. More precisely,

- (a) any sequence $(s_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ with $s_{m+1} \in X_{s_m}$ for all m is the annular itinerary of some $z \in J(f)$;
- (b) $n+1 \in X_n$ for all n;

(c) there is an increasing sequence $(n_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\#X_{n_j} \ge n+1$. (With the notation of [RS15], $X_{n_j} = \{0, \ldots, n_j+1\} \setminus I_j$, where $\#I_j \le 1$, and $X_n = \{n+1\}$ when $n \ne n_j$ for all j.) We may suppose that the sequence (n_j) is chosen such that $n_1 \ge 2$.

Set $M_0 := M^{n_1}(R)$ and let $(a_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence as in the statement of the theorem. We may assume that (a_m) is non-decreasing. Similarly as in the proof of [RS15, Corollary 1.3 (d)], we can construct an annular itinerary $(s_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (a) such that $s_m \to \infty$ and

(2.1)
$$M^{s_m}(R) \le a_m \quad \text{for } m \ge 0.$$

Indeed, for each j, either $n_j \in X_{n_j}$ or $n_j - 1 \in X_{n_j}$; let us denote this element of X_{n_j} by \tilde{n}_j . Then any sequence of the form

$$(s_m)_{m=0}^{\infty} = \underbrace{n_1, \tilde{n}_1, n_1, \tilde{n}_1, \dots, n_1}_{\text{length } N_1}, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \dots, \underbrace{n_2, \tilde{n}_2, \dots, n_2}_{\text{length } N_2}, n_2 + 1, n_2 + 2, \dots$$

satisfies (a). If N_j is chosen sufficiently large that

(2.2)
$$a_m \ge M^{n_{j+1}}(R) \quad \text{for } m \ge N_j,$$

then (2.1) holds for $m \ge N_1$. It also holds for $m \le N_1$, since $s_m \le n_1$ for these values of m, and $a_m \ge M_0 = M^{n_1}(R)$ by assumption.

Let $\zeta \in J(f)$ have annular itinerary $(s_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$; then $\zeta \in I(f)$ and

$$R_0 \le R \le M^{s_m - 1}(R) \le |f^m(\zeta)| < M^{s_m}(R) \le a_m$$
 for all m .

Hence ζ has the desired properties. To obtain ω , we instead use an unbounded but non-escaping sequence of the form

$$(\tilde{s}_m)_{m=0}^{\infty} = B_1, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_2, \hat{n}_2, B_2, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_3, \hat{n}_3, B_3, \dots$$

where $\hat{n}_j \in X_{n_j}$ is either n_1 or $n_1 - 1$, and B_j is a block alternating between entries n_1 and \tilde{n}_1 . To ensure that the sequence satisfies (a), B_j should end in n_1 ; it should begin with n_1 if $\hat{n}_j = n_1 - 1$, and with \tilde{n}_1 if $\hat{n}_j = n_1$. The length N_j of the block B_j is again chosen to satisfy (2.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is closed and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$n_D(z) := \min\{n \ge 0 \colon f^n(z) \notin D\} \le \infty,$$

with the convention that $n_D(z) = \infty$ if no such *n* exists. Observe that n_D depends upper semicontinuously on *z*, as the infimum of upper semicontinuous functions. Indeed,

$$n_D(z) = \min\{\chi_n(z) \colon n \ge 0\}, \quad \text{where} \quad \chi_n(z) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } z \in f^{-n}(D) \\ n & \text{if } z \notin f^{-n}(D), \end{cases}$$

and each χ_n is upper semicontinuous since $f^{-n}(D)$ is closed. Note also that $z \in UO(f)$ if and only if $n_D(z) < \infty$ for every compact $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Now let $R_0 \ge 0$ be arbitrary, and choose M_0 as in Theorem 2.1. Let $X \subset \mathrm{UO}(f)$ be σ -compact; say $X = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} K_j$ where each K_j is compact. Define $M_j := M_0 + j$ and $D_j := \overline{D(0, M_j)}$. Then $n_{D_j}(z) < \infty$ for every $z \in K_j$.

LASSE REMPE

Since K_j is compact and n_{D_j} is upper semicontinuous,

$$n_j := \max_{z \in K_j} n_{D_j}(z)$$

exists for every j. Set $N_0 := n_0$ and $N_{j+1} := \max\{n_{j+1}, N_j + 1\}$. For $n \ge 0$, define $j(n) := \min\{j : N_j \ge n\}$ and

$$a_n := M_{j(n)} = \min\{M_j \colon N_j \ge n\}$$

Then $a_n \geq M_0$ for all n and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$. So by Theorem 2.1, there are $\zeta \in I(f) \cap J(f)$ and $\omega \in \mathrm{BU}(f) \cap J(f)$ such that $|f^n(z)| \leq a_n$ for $z \in \{\zeta, \omega\}$ and all $n \geq 0$. Let $j \geq 0$. Then, for $n \leq n_j \leq N_j$, we have $|f^n(z)| \leq a_n \leq M_j$, and hence $f^n(z) \in D_j$. So $n_{D_j}(z) > n_j$, and $z \notin K_j$ by choice of n_j . Thus $z \notin X = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} K_j$, as claimed. \Box

By the expanding property of the Julia set, we also obtain the following answer to a question of Lipham (personal communication).

2.2. Corollary. Let f be a transcendental entire function and let Y be one of the sets $I(f) \cap J(f)$, $UO(f) \cap J(f)$ and $BU(f) \cap J(f)$. Then Y is nowhere σ -compact. That is, if $X \subset Y$ is σ -compact, then X does not contain a non-empty relatively open subset of Y.

Proof. We prove a slightly stronger statement. Let Y be as in the statement and let $X \subset \mathrm{UO}(f) \cap J(f)$ be σ -compact. If $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open with $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then X omits some point of $U \cap Y$.

Let R_0 and M_0 be as in Theorem 2.1, and set $L_0 := J(f) \cap D(0, M_0) \setminus D(0, R_0)$. If R_0 is chosen sufficiently large, then L_0 contains no Fatou exceptional point of f. (Recall that a Fatou exceptional point is a point with finite backward orbit, and that f has at most one finite exceptional point [Ber93, p. 156].) Since $U \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$, it follows that there is some n such that $f^n(U) \supset L_0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that no σ -compact subset of $UO(f) \cap J(f)$ contains $L_0 \cap Y$. (Note that we may take $a_0 = M_0$ in the proof to ensure that $|\zeta|, |\omega| \leq M_0$.) Moreover, $f^n(X)$ is σ -compact as the image of a σ -compact set under a continuous function. So $f^n(X)$ omits some points of $L_0 \cap Y$. Since Y is backward-invariant, X omits some points of $U \cap Y$, as claimed.

We remark that the proof of Theorem 1.2 establishes the following very general principle:

2.3. Proposition (Abstract version of the main theorem). Let $f: U \to V$ be continuous, where U, V are non-empty topological spaces and U is σ -compact. Let UO(f) denote the set of $z \in U$ such that $f^n(z)$ is defined and in U for all $n \ge 0$, but the orbit $\{f^n(z)\}$ is not contained in any compact subset of U.

Suppose that $X \subset \mathrm{UO}(f)$ is σ -compact and $\Delta \subset U$ is compact. Then there is a sequence $(\Delta_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of compact subsets $\Delta_n \subset U$ with $\Delta \subset \Delta_0 \subset \Delta_1 \subset \ldots$ and $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n = U$ such that X contains no point $\zeta \in \mathrm{UO}(f)$ with $f^n(\zeta) \in \Delta_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Remark. Note that we are not assuming any relation between the spaces U and V. However, the statement is vacuous when $UO(f) = \emptyset$, and in particular when $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Sketch of proof. Let $(D_j)_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of compact subsets $D_j \subset U$ with $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} D_j = U$. Define j(n) as in the proof of Theorem 1.2; then the sets $\Delta_n := D_{j(n)}$ have the desired property.

It follows that the set of escaping points is not σ -compact in any setting where an analogue of Theorem 2.1 holds. This includes:

- (a) transcendental meromorphic functions $\mathbb{C} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ [RS11a];
- (b) transcendental self-maps of the punctured plane [MP18, Theorem 1.2];
- (c) quasiregular self-maps $f \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ of transcendental type [Nic16];
- (d) continuous functions $\varphi \colon [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(t) \not\to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, and such that $I(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ [ORS19, Theorem 2.2].

A more general setting than both (a) and (b) is provided by the Ahlfors islands maps of Epstein; see e.g. [RR12]. These are maps $f: W \to X$, where X is a compact onedimensional manifold, $W \subset X$ is open, and f satisfies certain transcendence conditions near ∂W . We may define the escaping set I(f) as the set of points $z \in W$ with $f^n(z) \in W$ for all n and dist $(f^n(z), \partial W) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It is plausible that an analogue of Theorem 2.1 holds for Ahlfors islands maps with $W \neq X$, using a similar proof as in [RS11a]. This would mean, by Proposition 2.3, that I(f) is not σ -compact for such functions.

For completeness, we conclude by giving the simple proof that I(f) is never a G_{δ} set; compare also [Lip20a, Corollary 3.2].

2.4. Lemma. Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then I(f) and $I(f) \cap J(f)$ are not G_{δ} sets.

Proof. Every closed subset of \mathbb{C} (or any metric space) is G_{δ} ; so J(f) is G_{δ} . The intersection of two G_{δ} sets is again G_{δ} , so it is enough to prove the claim for $I(f) \cap J(f)$. By [Ere89, Theorem 2], $I(f) \cap J(f)$ is nonempty, and hence dense in J(f) by Montel's theorem. By Baire's theorem, any two dense G_{δ} subsets of J(f) must intersect. Hence it is enough to observe that $\mathrm{BU}(f) \cap J(f)$ contains a dense G_{δ} by Montel's theorem, namely the set of points whose orbits are dense in J(f). (See [BD00, Lemma 1].)

Lipham has pointed out the following reformulation of Corollary 2.2.

2.5. Corollary. Any G_{δ} set $A \subset Y := J(f) \setminus I(f)$ is nowhere dense in Y.

In particular, Y is $G_{\delta\sigma}$ but not strongly σ -complete; that is, it cannot be written as a countable union of relatively closed G_{δ} subsets.

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that $\overline{D(\zeta,\varepsilon)} \cap Y \subset A$ for some $\zeta \in J(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The complement of a G_{δ} set is F_{σ} , so $B := J(f) \cap \overline{D(\zeta,\varepsilon)} \setminus A$ is an F_{σ} set with

$$D(\zeta,\varepsilon) \cap I(f) \cap J(f) \subset B \subset I(f) \cap J(f),$$

which contradicts Corollary 2.2.

The set Y is $G_{\delta\sigma}$ by definition. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 2.4, Y contains a G_{δ} subset U that is dense in J(f). On the other hand, if $(A_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of relatively closed G_{δ} subsets of Y, then $\overline{A_k}$ is closed and nowhere dense in J(f). Hence

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{A_k} \not\supseteq U \subset Y,$$

LASSE REMPE

by Baire's theorem, and Y is indeed strongly σ -complete.

References

- [BD00] I. N. Baker and P. Domínguez, *Residual Julia sets*, J. Anal. 8 (2000), 121–137.
- [Ber93] Walter Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 29 (1993), no. 2, 151–188.
- [Ber18] Walter Bergweiler, Lebesgue measure of Julia sets and escaping sets of certain entire functions, Fund. Math. 242 (2018), no. 3, 281–301.
- [BH99] Walter Bergweiler and Aimo Hinkkanen, On semiconjugation of entire functions, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **126** (1999), no. 3, 565–574.
- [Ere89] A. È. Eremenko, On the iteration of entire functions, Dynamical systems and ergodic theory (Warsaw, 1986), Banach Center Publ., vol. 23, PWN, Warsaw, 1989, pp. 339–345.
- [Lip20a] David S. Lipham, A note on the topology of escaping endpoints, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2020, First View), 1–4.
- [Lip20b] David S. Lipham, The topological dimension of radial Julia sets, Preprint arXiv:2002.00853, 2020.
- [MP18] David Martí-Pete, The escaping set of transcendental self-maps of the punctured plane, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), no. 2, 739–760.
- [Nic16] Daniel A. Nicks, Slow escaping points of quasiregular mappings, Math. Z. 284 (2016), no. 3-4, 1053–1071.
- [Obe09] Mini-Workshop: The Escaping Set in Transcendental Dynamics, Report on the miniworkshop held December 6–12, 2009, organized by Walter Bergweiler and Gwyneth Stallard. Oberwolfach Reports. Vol. 6 (2009), no. 4, pp. 2927–2963.
- [ORS19] John W. Osborne, Philip J. Rippon, and Gwyneth M. Stallard, *The iterated minimum modulus and conjectures of Baker and Eremenko*, J. Anal. Math. **139** (2019), no. 2, 521–558.
- [OS16] John W. Osborne and David J. Sixsmith, On the set where the iterates of an entire function are neither escaping nor bounded, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **41** (2016), no. 2, 561–578.
- [R16] Lasse Rempe, Arc-like continua, Julia sets of entire functions, and Eremenko's conjecture, Preprint arXiv:1610.06278, 2016.
- [RR12] Lasse Rempe and Philip J. Rippon, Exotic Baker and wandering domains for Ahlfors islands maps, J. Anal. Math. 117 (2012), 297–319.
- [RRRS11] Günter Rottenfußer, Johannes Rückert, Lasse Rempe, and Dierk Schleicher, Dynamic rays of bounded-type entire functions, Ann. of Math. (2) 173 (2011), no. 1, 77–125.
- [RS05] P. J. Rippon and G. M. Stallard, On questions of Fatou and Eremenko, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 4, 1119–1126.
- [RS11a] _____, Slow escaping points of meromorphic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 8, 4171–4201.
- [RS11b] _____, Boundaries of escaping Fatou components, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 8, 2807–2820.
- [RS12] _____, Fast escaping points of entire functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 105 (2012), no. 4, 787–820.
- [RS15] _____, Annular itineraries for entire functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 1, 377–399.
- [RS19] _____, Eremenko points and the structure of the escaping set, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), no. 5, 3083–3111.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, LIVERPOOL L69 7ZL, UK, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8032-8580

Email address: l.rempe@liverpool.ac.uk