Color-flavor locked quark stars in energy-momentum squared gravity

Ksh. Newton Singh*

Department of Physics, National Defence Academy, Khadakwasla, Pune-411023, India. Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India

Ayan Banerjee[†]

Astrophysics and Cosmology Research Unit, University of KwaZulu Natal, Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa,

S. K. Maurya[‡]

Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Nizwa, Nizwa, Sultanate of Oman

Farook Rahaman[§]

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India

Anirudh Pradhan[¶]

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Applied Sciences and Humanities, GLA University, Mathura-281 406, Uttar Pradesh, India

(Dated: today)

Several attempts have been made in the past decades to search for the true ground state of the dense matter at sufficiently large densities and low temperatures via compact astrophysical objects. Focusing on strange stars, we derive the hydrostatic equilibrium assuming a maximally symmetric phase of homogeneous superconducting quark matter called the *color-flavor-locked* (CFL) phase in the background of energy-momentum squared gravity (EMSG). Theoretical and experimental investigations show that strange quark matter (SQM) in a CFL state can be the true ground state of hadronic matter at least for asymptotic densities, and even if the unequal quark masses. Motivated by these theoretical models, we explore the structure of stellar objects in recently proposed EMSG, which allows a correction term $T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ in the action functional of the theory. Interestingly, EMSG may be effective to resolve the problems at high energy densities, e.g., relevant to the early universe and dense compact astrophysical objects without invoking some new forms of fluid stress, such as bulk viscosity or scalar fields. Finally, we solve the complicated field equations numerically to obtain the mass-radius relations for strange stars in CFL equation of state.

I. INTRODUCTION

Einsteins General Relativity agrees with all tests in the solar system to a precision of 10^{-5} [1]. Cosmic acceleration led to two possibilities: exotic matter fields called dark energy, or a cosmological constant (Λ). However, some issues are still unresolved which keep open the way to frameworks which try to extend GR. These issues have led to another possibility by assuming that Einstein's GR has to be modified in some way. Hence, the search for modified gravity theories which may describe accelerating universe has become very popular due to their ability to provide an alternative framework to understand dark energy. Some of these modified theories are Lovelocks' theory of gravitation [2, 3], EinsteinGaussBonnet theory [4], f(R) gravity [5, 6], etc. For a brief review of modified gravity theories, see Ref. [6].

In addition to the theories mentioned above, energymomentum-squared gravity (EMSG) [7] has been proposed to encode the non-minimal coupling between geometry and matter. According to Ref. [7], the Lagrangian contains an arbitrary functional of the Ricci scalar R and the square of the energy-momentum tensor, i.e., $f(R, T^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu})$ gravity. Interestingly, it has been found that the non-linear matter contributions in the field equations would affect the right-hand side of the EFEs without invoking some new forms of fluid stress, such as bulk viscosity or scalar fields. Concerning this approach, several interesting consequences have been reported, such as cosmological solutions [8–11], dynamical system analysis [12], wormhole solutions [13], and so on. In addition to these studies, mass-radius relations of neutron stars have been studied for four different realistic EoS [14]. In fact, authors have used recent observational measurements for the masses and radii of neutron stars to constrain the coupling constant α . Further, in [15] polytropic EoS have been used to find mass-radius relation for neutron stars.

Neutron stars are dense, compact astrophysical objects with masses close to $2M_{\odot}$ [16, 17]. On the other hand, the radio pulsar PSR J1614-2230 [16] around 1.97 ± 0.04 M_{\odot} mass has set rigid constraints on various matter EoS

^{*} Email:ntnphy@gmail.com

[†] Email:ayan_7575@yahoo.co.in,

[‡] Email:sunil@unizwa.edu.om

[§] Email:rahaman@iucaa.ernet.in

[¶] Email: pradhan.anirudh@gmail.com

for neutron stars at high densities. Initially, it was assumed that neutron stars were composed of pure neutron matter described as a non-interacting relativistic Fermi gas. Current sensitivities put up constraints in the internal composition of neutron stars i.e. the composition and behaviour of equations of state (EoS) of the dense nuclear matter. In addition to this measurements on the radii of neutron stars provide additional constraints on the EoS [18, 19]. However, aftermath of a core-collapse supernova explosion, several compact objects can sustain densities above a few times the nuclear saturation density in its interior. Thus, the composition and the properties of dense and strongly interacting matter is still an open question, and of the greatest importance for compact astrophysical objects. In spite of many efforts to explore the EoS, dense matter in the core of compact stars may consist of quark matter which is widely expected. On the other hand, several authors have considered an even more extreme possibility in the formation of a diquark condensate in the quark phase, at densities reachable in the core of a compact star. And their prediction is the Color-Flavor Locking (CFL) phase is the real ground state of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) at asymptotically large densities.

In this work, we focus on the CFL phase where all three flavors as well as three colors undergo pairing near the Fermi surface due to the attractive one-gluon exchange potential. In addition to the theoretical description is that CFL phase may not continue to the normal nuclear density due to the Fermi surface mismatch, and different from SQM and matter without the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer pairing [20]. According to Ref. [21, 22] quarks in the cores of neutron stars are likely to be in a paired phase. Depending on the previous results one may consider that the CFL matter gives 'absolutely' stability for sufficiently high densities [23]. Color-flavor locking has a significant effect on many features of quark matter, such as CFL is more stable than SQM as long as $\mu \gtrsim m_s^2/4\Delta$, with m_s being the strange quark mass and Δ the pairing gap [24]; CFL state is invariant under transformations of the group in the second line; at asymptotically large densities the CFL phase is the energetically favored phase and so on. As it was mentioned earlier in Ref. [25-28]that CFL matter could be adequate candidates to explain stable neutron stars or strange stars.

From the above handful of literature it is clear that the structure of compact stars with CFL quark matter could represent a testbed for EMSG theory. The outline of the paper is the following: In Sec II we briefly introduce EMSG and its field equations. In Sec. III we discuss the EoS for CFL strange matter. In Sec. IV we give a detailed analysis of the numerical methods employed to determine the mass-radius relations. Sec. V, is devoted to reporting the general properties of the spheres in terms of the CFL strange quark matter. We finally draw our conclusion in Sec. VI.

2

II. FIELD EQUATIONS IN ENERGY-MOMENTUM SQUARED GRAVITY (EMSG)

The main feature of EMSG theory is that the nonlinear contributions of EM tensor, to encode the nonminimal matter-geometry coupling. The Lagrangian contains an arbitrary functional of the Ricci scalar and the square of the EM tensor, and the action for EMSG theory is

$$S = \int \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \mathcal{R} + \alpha T_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_m\right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{R} is the Ricci scalar and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the EM tensor with the coupling paramter α . The \mathcal{L}_m denotes the matter Lagragian density.

The EMT, $T_{\mu\nu}$, is defined via the matter Lagrangian density as follows

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{L}_m \ g_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}, \qquad (2)$$

which depends only on the metric tensor components, and not on its derivatives. If we vary the action (1) with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$, gives us the equation of motion for metric functions:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi\alpha \left(g_{\mu\nu}T_{\beta\gamma}T^{\beta\gamma} - 2\Theta_{\mu\nu}\right)(3)$$

where,

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = T^{\beta\gamma} \frac{\delta T_{\beta\gamma}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} + T_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\delta T^{\beta\gamma}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$$

= $-2\mathcal{L}_m (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T) - TT_{\mu\nu} + 2T^{\gamma}_{\mu}T_{\nu\gamma} - 4T^{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial q^{\mu\nu} \partial q^{\beta\gamma}}$ (4)

with $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$, the trace of EMT.

Throughout this work we assume a perfect fluid EMT for the compact object. For that we assume $\mathcal{L}_m = P$ and using (2) the perfect fluid form is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + P)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + Pg_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (5)$$

where ρ is the energy density, P is the isotropic pressure with $u_{\mu}u^{\mu} = -1 \& \nabla_{\nu}u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 0$, respectively. The conservation equation can be found by covariant derivative of Eq. (3), which yield

$$\nabla^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu} = -\alpha g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{\mu}(T_{\beta\gamma}T^{\beta\gamma}) + 2\alpha\nabla^{\mu}\Theta_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (6)

Note that the standard conservation equation of the energy-momentum tensor does not hold for this theory i.e., $\nabla^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}$ is not identically zero.

After some algebra, one obtains the following field equations

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi\rho \left[\left(1 + \frac{P}{\rho} \right) u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \frac{P}{\rho}g_{\mu\nu} \right] + 8\pi\alpha\rho^{2}$$
$$\left[2\left(1 + \frac{4P}{\rho} + \frac{3P^{3}}{\rho^{2}} \right) u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + \left(1 + \frac{3P^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \right)g_{\mu\nu} \right]. \tag{7}$$

The Eq. (7) can further reduce to coupled differential equations by consider a specific spacetime geometry. For the star configurations, it is generally assume a spherically symmetric spacetime of the form

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\nu}dt^{2} + e^{2\lambda}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}), \quad (8)$$

with two independent functions $\nu(r)$ and $\lambda(r)$. Using the metric given in Eq. (8) in Eq. (7), we reach the following set of field equations

$$\frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{r^2} \left(2r\lambda' - 1\right) + \frac{1}{r^2} = \rho_{\text{eff}}(r), \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{r^2} \left(2r\nu' + 1\right) - \frac{1}{r^2} = P_{\text{eff}}(r), \tag{10}$$

where prime represent derivative with respect to r. Also, the effective density and pressure $\rho_{\text{eff}}(r)$ and $P_{\text{eff}}(r)$ respectively, are given as

$$\rho_{\text{eff}}(r) = 8\pi\rho + 8\pi\alpha\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{8P}{\rho} + \frac{3P^2}{\rho^2}\right),$$
$$P_{\text{eff}}(r) = 8\pi P + 8\pi\alpha\rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{3P^2}{\rho^2}\right).$$

To recast the Eq. (10) to a more familiar form we input the gravitational mass function within the sphere of radius r, such that

$$e^{-2\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}.$$
 (11)

The other metric function, $\nu(r)$, is related to the pressure via

$$\frac{d\nu}{dr} = -\left[\rho\left(1+\frac{P}{\rho}\right)\left\{1+2\alpha\rho\left(1+\frac{3P}{\rho}\right)\right\}\right]^{-1}\left[(1+6\alpha P)P'(r)+2\alpha\rho\rho'(r)\right],\tag{12}$$

which is the radial component of the divergence of the field. It is straightforward to use (11) into (9), we have

$$m'(r) = 4\pi\rho r^2 \left[1 + \alpha\rho \left(\frac{3P^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{8P}{\rho} + 1 \right) \right].$$
 (13)

Finally, using the expressions (9)-(12), the modified TOV equations take the following convenient form

$$P'(r) = -\frac{m\rho}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{P}{\rho}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \left[1 + \frac{4\pi P r^3}{m} + \alpha + \frac{4\pi r^3 \rho^2}{m} \left(\frac{3P^2}{\rho^2} + 1\right)\right] \left[1 + 2\alpha\rho \left(1 + \frac{3P}{\rho}\right)\right] \left[1 + 2\alpha\rho \left(\frac{d\rho}{dP} + \frac{3P}{\rho}\right)\right]^{-1}.$$
(14)

The system of Eqs. (9)-(14) are not enough to solve for the four variables, since there are one degrees of freedom. To complete this set of equations, we need now to specify the EoS relating the pressure and energy density of the fluid.

III. COLOR-FLAVOR LOCKED EQUATIONS OF STATE

Here, we outline the equation of state (EoS) for CFL quark matter that can be obtained in the framework of the MIT bag model. In the CFL phase, the thermodynamic potential for electric and color charge neutral CFL quark matter is given by [33]

$$\Omega_{CFL} = -\frac{3\Delta^2 \mu^2}{\pi^2} + B + \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_0^\nu p^2(p-\mu) \, dp + \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_0^\nu p^2 \left(\sqrt{p^2 + m_s^2} - \mu\right) \, dp, \qquad (15)$$

to the order of Δ^2 , where μ is the quark chemical potential and Δ denotes the color superconducting gap parameter of CFL phase of quark matter. Since, the first two terms of (15) are contributions from massless u, d quarks and m_s mass for s quark, while no interaction is considered. The next term is leading correction due to CFL in the power of Δ/μ while the final term is the bag constant.

The common Fermi momentum is given by

$$\nu = \left[\left(2\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{m_s^2 - m_u^2}{3}} \right)^2 - m_u^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (16)$$

where $\mu = (\mu_s + \mu_u + \mu_d)/3$ i.e. the average quark chemical potential, $m_s \& m_u$ are strange and up quark masses respectively. For massless up and down quarks we get

$$\nu = 2\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{m_s^2}{3}} \sim \mu - \frac{m_s^2}{6\mu}.$$
 (17)

By following the pairing ansatz in the CFL phase [34]

$$n_u = n_r, \quad n_d = n_g, \quad \text{and} \quad n_s = n_b \quad (18)$$

where n_r , n_q , n_b and n_u , n_d , n_s are color and flavor number densities respectively. In the discussion that follows, color neutrality automatically enforces electric charge neutrality in the CFL phase, and the quark number densities are $n_u = n_d = n_s = \frac{\nu^3 + 2\Delta^2 \mu}{\pi^2}$. It is to be noted that the color neutral CFL quark matter is electric charge neutral, the corresponding electric charge chemical potential is $\mu_e = 0$. In our discussion we consider the values of the CFL gap parameter in the range of $\Delta \sim 50 - 100 \ MeV$ (see Ref. [35, 36]. As the necessary condition for MIT based EoS the bag constant B to be always greater than 57 MeV/fm^3 [37]. In fact, the free energy contributed from CFL pairing is more than the free energy consumes to maintain equal number of quark densities [33]. Thus, CFL paired quarks are more stable than unpaired.

Since it is always difficult to obtain an exact expression for an EoS when $m_s \neq 0$. However, a simple EoS similar to the MIT-bag model can be obtained for $m_s = 0$, with an extra term from CFL contribution as $\rho = 3p + 4B - 6\Delta^2 \mu^2 / \pi^2$. Considering the series upto the order Δ^2 and m_s^2 , the expression for pressure and energy density in the CFL phase can be obtained as [38]

$$P = \frac{3\mu^4}{4\pi^2} + \frac{9\beta\mu^2}{2\pi^2} - B , \text{ and } \rho = \frac{9\mu^4}{4\pi^2} + \frac{9\beta\mu^2}{2\pi^2} + B,$$
(19)

where $\beta = -m_s^2/6 + 2\Delta^2/3$. Finally, an explicit function of the energy density ρ in the form

$$\rho = 3P + 4B - \frac{9\beta}{\pi^2} \left\{ \left[\frac{4\pi^2(B+P)}{3} + 9\beta^2 \right]^{1/2} - 3\beta \right\}.$$
(20)

The EoS, in the form $P = P(\rho)$, is useful to fix the value of bag constant B.

IV. NUMERICAL APPROACH

Since the field equations (9) and (10) are highly non-linear, so we adopt numerical integration in Mathematica. For solving the field equations we will be directly use the TOV-equation (14) along with equation of mass function (13). Since the two equations include three unknown quantities i.e. $\rho(r)$, P(r) and m(r), we need an additional information. Therefore, we will consider a $P - \rho$ relationship for color-flavor locked (CFL) quark matter given in (20) that generalizes the MIT bag model. As a first step we convert the units in EoS, TOV and mass function equations so that the mass will be measured in solar mass, radius in km, pressure, density & bag constant B in MeV/fm^3 , strange quark mass m_s & color-superconducting gap Δ in MeV.

Next we use "NDSolve" package in Mathematica defining a coupled differential equations (13) and (14) with initial (\mathcal{I}) and boundary (\mathcal{B}) conditions given below:

$$\mathcal{I}: P(r_0) = p_0,$$

$$m(r_0) = \frac{4\pi r_0^3}{3} \rho_0 \left[1 + \alpha \rho_0 \left(\frac{3p_0^2}{\rho_0^2} + \frac{8p_0}{\rho_0} + 1 \right) \right] (21)$$

$$\mathcal{B}: P(R) = 0, \qquad m(R) = M,$$

(22)

and solve for pressure and mass functions. Here $P(r_0) = p_0, \ \rho(r_0) = \rho_0, R$ is the radius of the star and M is the total gravitational mass. To proceed we start by supplying the values of the constant parameters i.e. (B, m_s, Δ, α) . In practice, the TOV equations are solved by choosing a value for central pressure of the compact star $P(r_0) = 60 \ MeV/fm^3$ and then integrating outwards to the surface, where the pressure vanishes i.e. P(R) = 0. In the following, we will focus on the four cases: (i) $(65 \ MeV/fm^3, 0, 50MeV)$, MeV/fm^3 , (65)150 MeV, 50 MeV), (ii) (iii) MeV/fm^3 , (80) $150 MeV, \quad 50 MeV),$ and (iv) $(80 \ MeV/fm^3, 150MeV, 100MeV)$, respectively. Further, we analyse the resulting mass and radius for the central pressure allowed by the EoS under consideration, and each cases have been examined carefully which are valid from a physical point of view.

V. PHYSICAL ACCEPTABILITY OF THE MODEL

To check the numerical solution for its acceptability through physical constraints, we need to analyze thoroughly and how it behaves when changing the constant parameters.



FIG. 1. Variation of pressure with radius for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .



FIG. 2. Variation of energy density with radius for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .

V.1. Non-singular central density and pressure

In order to check the EoS behavior and viability of a compact star model, the central values of density and pressure must be finite. It is important to say that the central pressure as one of the initial input parameter for the numerical integration, therefore its central value is finite and same for all other parameters (B, m_s, Δ, α) , respectively. Figure 1 shows the variation of central pressure for different physical inputs in the interior of compact objects. Then solving the pressure from TOV-equation, the density can be calculated using EoS. From the Fig. 2, we can also see that the central densities for 4 cases are finite and thereby both the central density and pressure are non-singular.

It can also be seen that as the strange quark mass increases $(0 \rightarrow 150 \ MeV)$, the radius of the star decreases while the central density increases, see Red & Blue curves in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. Further, when bag constant increases $(65 \rightarrow 80 \ MeV/fm^3)$, the radius of the star reduces significantly while the density increases very much (Figs. 1, 2, Blue & Orange). Again, if the color superconducting gap increases $(50 \rightarrow 100 \ MeV)$, the radius of the star increases as compared to the case III but still lesser than case I while almost equivalent with case II. However, the density is lower than case III but slightly higher than case II. Finally, the comparison of GR ($\alpha = 0$) and EMSG ($\alpha = 0.001$) is that GR has larger surface boundary than EMSG counterpart while the density is the same for both gravity till about 6 km than start lesser value in EMSG than GR i.e. EMSG has lower surface density than GR counterpart.



FIG. 3. Variation of speed of sound with radius for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .

V.2. Causality condition

The satisfaction of causality condition i.e. speed of sound in stellar medium must be ≤ 1 for any physical fluid. Therefore, our solution must also ensures the satisfaction of causality condition which eventually implies a physical fluid. In Fig. 3, we have generated the speed of sound using the EoS and the pressure determined from TOV-equation. Now we can see that the speed of sound in all cases are less the 1. Above all, increasing strange quark mass from 0 to 150 MeV decreases the sound speed, increasing bag constant from $65 MeV/fm^3$ to $80 MeV/fm^3$ slight increases the sound speed however, when color superconducting changes from 50 MeV to 100 MeV, the speed of sound increases significantly higher than the rest. Also, when EMSG coupling increases the speed of sound increases for case case I & case IV and decreases for case II and case III at the outer layer.



FIG. 4. Variation of adiabatic index with radius for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .

V.3. Adiabatic index and stability

Bondi [39] postulated a stability criterion for stable stellar fluid sphere through the adiabatic index defined as

$$\gamma = \frac{\rho + P}{P} \frac{dP}{d\rho}.$$
 (23)

The criterion mentioned that any stable stellar system satisfy $\gamma \geq 4/3$ or otherwise suffer a gravitational collapse. For this presenting model the variation of adiabatic index at the interior is shown in Fig. 4 and it is very clear that $\gamma(0) > 4/3$. This means that our solution can represents stable astrophysical system. Figure 4 also provide that increasing m_s and B makes γ increases while decreases if Δ increases.



FIG. 5. Variation of energy conditions with radius for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .

V.4. Energy conditions

For any physically plausible fluid, physical constraint demands strict energy conditions namely strong, weak, null and dominant conditions or mathematically

SEC:
$$\left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Tg_{\mu\nu}\right)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} \ge 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho + P \ge 0,$$

$$p + 3F \ge 0, \tag{24}$$

WEC:
$$T_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu} \ge 0$$
, or $\rho \ge 0$, $\rho + P \ge 0$, (25)

NEC:
$$T_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu} \ge 0 \text{ or } \rho + P \ge 0,$$
 (26)

$$DEC: T_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu} \ge 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho \ge |P|, \tag{27}$$

where u^{μ} is time-like vector, k^{μ} is the null-vector and v^{μ} is any future directed causal vector. All these energy conditions is fulfilled by the solution from Figs. 1, 2 and 5.



FIG. 6. M - R for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .



FIG. 7. M - I for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .

V.5. M - R and M - I curves

The M-R curve was directly generated from the TOVequation via the numerical solution. For the cases, introducing EMSG soften the EoS thereby reducing the maximum mass (Solid & Dashed lines). From Fig. 6, one can observed that increasing strange quark mass reduces the M_{max} (Red & Blue) and further M_{max} reduces when increasing bag constant (Blue & Orange) however, for the same bag constant when color superconducting gap increases the M_{max} significantly increases. This only means that EMSG, increasing B and m_s soften the EoS while increasing Δ stiffens it. The M-I curve is obtain by using the approximate relationship which is defined as [M. Bejger, P. Haensel, Astron. Atrophys. 396, 917 (2002)]

$$I = \frac{2}{5} \left(1 + \frac{M}{R} \frac{km}{M_{\odot}} \right).$$
 (28)

Equation (28) has the accuracy of 5% and less and on using this equation we have generated the M - I curve (Fig. 7).



FIG. 8. $M - \rho_c$ for different (B, m_s, Δ, α) .

V.6. Static stability criterion

This idea was originally proposed by Chandrashekhar [40, 41] to qualify the stability of gaseous star under radial perturbations. This concept was further forwarded and simplified by Harrison et al. [42] and Zeldovich & Novikov [43]. This criterion requires the mass of a star to be an increasing function of its central density i.e. $\partial M/\partial \rho_c > 0$ for stable configuration or otherwise unstable under radial pulsation. In Fig. 8 it is clear that the gravitational mass is an increasing function of its central density up to certain range, thereby satisfying the static stability criterion for that particular range. It can also be seen that the density range of increasing portions in Fig. 8 is more for GR case (Solid) than the 4D EGB gravity extension (Dashed). This means that the stable range of density is more during the radial perturbations for GR than its 4D EGB counterparts. Therefore, one can easily realized that under similar radial perturbations, the compact stars will collapse earlier in 4D EGB than GR.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We have successfully presents a CFL compact star model in the new theory of gravity. Our main investigation was to discuss the affects of (B, m_s, Δ, α) on the physical properties of the CFL star. To discuss the physical validity of the compact star we have analyzed the trends of pressure, energy density, speed of sound and adiabatic index (Figs. 1-4). The decreasing nature of the pressure and energy density are one of the important requirement for physical compact star models. The nature of speed of sound determines that the solution satisfies the causality condition. Further, the adiabatic index ensures that the CFL star will not proceed to a gravitational collapse as $\gamma(0) > 4/3$. Moreover, the fulfilment of energy conditions makes CFL star composed of non-exotic matters. The fulfilment of static stability criterion makes the CFL star stable under radial perturbations. The CFL quark matters can support maximum mass when the strange quark mass m_s is negligible in GR case. When the EMS coupling is introduced the CFL matter get softer thereby reducing the maximum mass. Further, if m_s increases the stiffness of CFL matter gets more softer, again the M_{max} is reduced further. However, when the bag constant increases the stiffness of the CLF matters significantly reduces. Although, with the increase in color superconducting gap Δ the stiffness also increases significantly. These effects due to change in (B, m_s, Δ) are similar to Lugones and Horvath predictions [44] however, the coupling constant α modification in 4D EGB action makes the physical properties changes drastically.

- [1] C. M. Will, Living Rev. Rel. 9, 3 (2006).
- [2] D. Lovelock, J. Math. Phys. **12** 498 (1971).
- [3] D. Lovelock, J. Math. Phys. 13, 874 (1972).
- [4] C. Lanczos, Annals Math. 39 842 (1938).
- [5] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 451 (2010).
- [6] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. 13, 3 (2010)
- [7] N. Katrc and M. Kavuk, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 129, 163 (2014)
- [8] O. Akarsu, N. Katrc and S. Kumar, Phys. Rev. D 97, 024011 (2018).
- [9] O. Akarsu, N. Katirci and S. Kumar, PoS CORFU 2017, 105 (2018).
- [10] M. C. F. Faria, C. J. A. P. Martins, F. Chiti and B. S. A. Silva, Astron. Astrophys. 625, A127 (2019).
- [11] A. H. Barbar, A. M. Awad and M. T. AlFiky, Phys. Rev. D 101, 044058 (2020).
- [12] S. Bahamonde, M. Marciu and P. Rudra, Phys. Rev. D 100, 083511 (2019).
- [13] P. H. R. S. Moraes and P. K. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. D 97, 024007 (2018).
- [14] O. Akarsu, J. D. Barrow, S. kntolu, K. Y. Eki and N. Katrc, Phys. Rev. D 97, 124017 (2018).
- [15] H. Maulana and A. Sulaksono, Phys. Rev. D 100, 124014 (2019).
- [16] P. Demorest, T. Pennucci, S. Ransom, M. Roberts and J. Hessels, Nature 467, 1081 (2010).
- [17] J. Antoniadis et al., Science **340**, 6131 (2013).
- [18] A. W. Steiner, J. M. Lattimer and E. F. Brown, Astrophys. J. Lett. **765**, L5 (2013).
- [19] J. M. Lattimer and A. W. Steiner, Astrophys. J. 784, 123 (2014).
- [20] M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Phys.Lett.B 422, 247 (1998).
- [21] K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, arXiv:0011333 [hep-ph].

- [22] M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal, T.Schaefer and A. Schmitt, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1455 (2008).
- [23] M. Alford, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 153, 1 (2004).
- [24] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, S. Reddy and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 64, 074017 (2001).
- [25] C. V. Flores and G. Lugones, Phys. Rev. C 95, 025808 (2017).
- [26] C. V. Flores and G. Lugones, Phys. Rev. D 82, 063006 (2010).
- [27] A. Banerjee and K. N. Singh, arXiv:2005.04028 [gr-qc].
- [28] G. Lugones and J. Horvath, Astron. Astrophys. 403, 173 (2003).
- [29] M. Alford and K. Rajagopal, JHEP 0206, 031 (2002).
- [30] A. R. Bodmer, Phys. Rev. D 4, 1601 (1971).
- [31] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 272 (1984).
- [32] M. Orsariaa, H. Rodriguesb and S. B. Duartea, Braz. J. Phys. 37, 20 (2007).
- [33] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, S. Reddy, F. Wilczeck, Phys. Rev. D 64, 074017 (2001).
- [34] A. Steiner, S. Reddy and M. Prakash, Phys. Rev. D 66, 094007 (2002).
- [35] W. P. Alford and B. M. Spicer, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 24, 1 (1998).
- [36] R. Rapp, T. Schafer, E. Shuryak, and M. Velkovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 53 (1998).
- [37] E. Farhi and R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D 30, 2379 (1984).
- [38] G. Lugones and J. E. Horvath, Phys. Rev. D 66, 074017 (2002).
- [39] H. Bondi, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 281, 39 (1964).
- [40] S. Chandrasekhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 114 (1964).
- [41] S. Chandrasekhar, Ap. J. 140, 417 (1964).
- [42] B. K. Harrison et al, Gravitational Theory and Gravitational Collapse, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1966.
- [43] Ya. B. Zeldovich and I. D. Novikov, *Relativistic Astro-physics1: Stars and Relativity*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1971.
- [44] G. Lugones and J. E. Horvath, A & A 403, 173 (2003).