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#### Abstract

We consider the commutators $[b, T]$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$ on Orlicz-Morrey spaces, where $T$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, $I_{\rho}$ is a generalized fractional integral operator and $b$ is a function in generalized Campanato spaces. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the boundedness of the commutators on Orlicz-Morrey spaces. To do this we prove the boundedness of generalized fractional maximal operators on Orlicz-Morrey spaces. Moreover, we introduce Orlicz-Campanato spaces and establish their relations to Orlicz-Morrey spaces.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space. Let $b \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $T$ be a Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator. In 1976 Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [3] proved that the commutator $[b, T]=b T-T b$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ $(1<p<\infty)$, that is,

$$
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{p}}=\|b T f-T(b f)\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\|f\|_{L^{p}}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $b$ and $f$. For the fractional integral operator $I_{\alpha}$, Chanillo [2] proved the boundedness of $\left[b, I_{\alpha}\right]$ in 1982. Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [3] and Chanillo [2] also gave the necessary conditions for the boundedness. These results were extended to Orlicz spaces by Janson [12] (1978) and to Morrey spaces by Di Fazio and Ragusa [6] (1991). For other extensions and generalization, see [1, 7, 8, 9, 11, 16, 20, 31, 40, 41], etc.

[^0]In this paper we investigate the commutators $[b, T]$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$ on Orlicz-Morrey spaces, where $T$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, $I_{\rho}$ is a generalized fractional integral operator and $b$ is a function in generalized Campanato spaces. The OrliczMorrey spaces unify Orlicz and Morrey spaces, and the Campanato spaces unify BMO and Lipschitz spaces. Therefore, our results contain many previous results as corollaries. The boundedness of $T$ and $I_{\rho}$ on the Orlicz-Morrey spaces are known by [28] and [27], respectively. To prove the boundedness of $[b, T]$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$, we need the generalized fractional maximal operator $M_{\rho}$ and the sharp maximal operator $M^{\sharp}$. We show the boundedness of $M_{\rho}$ on Orlicz-Morrey spaces under weaker conditions than $I_{\rho}$. Moreover, we introduce Orlicz-Campanato spaces and establish their relations to Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

First we recall the Orlicz-Morrey space. We denote by $B(a, r)$ the open ball centered at $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and of radius $r$. For a function $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and a ball $B$, let

$$
f_{B}=f_{B} f=f_{B} f(y) d y=\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} f(y) d y
$$

where $|B|$ is the Lebesgue measure of the ball $B$.
Definition 1.1 (Orlicz-Morrey space). For a Young function $\Phi:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$, a function $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and a ball $B=B(a, r)$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} f_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq 1\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the set of all functions $f$ such that the following functional is finite:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}=\sup _{B}\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. (For the definition of the Young function, see the next section.)

Then $\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}$ is a norm and thereby $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Banach space. If $\varphi(r)=$ $1 / r^{n}$, then $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ coincides with the Orlicz space $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

If $\Phi(t)=t^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, then $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ coincides with the generalized Morrey space $L^{(p, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^{(p, \varphi)}}=\sup _{B=B(a, r)}\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(r)} f_{B}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

The Orlicz-Morrey space $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ was first studied in [25]. For other kinds of Orlicz-Morrey spaces, see [4, 5, 10, 38], etc.

Secondly, we recall the definition of the generalized Campanato space.
Definition 1.2. For $p \in[1, \infty)$ and a function $\psi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the set of all functions $f$ such that the following functional is finite:

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}}=\sup _{B=B(a, r)} \frac{1}{\psi(r)}\left(f_{B}\left|f(y)-f_{B}\right|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B(a, r)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ is a norm modulo constant functions and thereby $\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Banach space. If $p=1$ and $\psi \equiv 1$, then $\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. If $p=1$ and $\psi(r)=r^{\alpha}(0<\alpha \leq 1)$, then $\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ coincides with $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. If $\psi$ is almost increasing (see (2.14)), then $\mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Thirdly, we recall the generalized fractional integral operator $I_{\rho}$. For a function $\rho:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, the operator $I_{\rho}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\rho} f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}} f(y) d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we always assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\rho(r)=r^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<n$, then $I_{\rho}$ is the usual fractional integral operator $I_{\alpha}$. The condition (1.4) is needed for the integral in (1.3) to converge for bounded functions $f$ with compact support. In this paper we also assume that there exist positive constants $C, K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $K_{1}<K_{2}$ such that, for all $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{r \leq t \leq 2 r} \rho(t) \leq C \int_{K_{1} r}^{K_{2} r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (1.5) was considered in [35]. The operator $I_{\rho}$ was introduced in [22] whose partial results were announced in [21]. See also [23, 24, [25, [27, 30].

In this paper we prove the boundedness of $[b, T]$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} & \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \\
\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} & \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

under suitable assumptions. We also prove that, if $[b, T]$ or $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$ is bounded from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $b$ is in $\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the operator norms dominated by $\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}$. To do this we first recall generalized Young functions and define OrliczMorrey spaces with generalized Young functions in Section 2. Then we state the main results in Section 3. We need generalized Young functions to show the boundedness of the commutators. In Section 4 we give basic properties on generalized Young functions and Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

To prove the main results we show the boundedness of the generalized fractional maximal operator $M_{\rho}$ in Section [5 For a function $\rho:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\rho} f(x)=\sup _{B(a, r) \ni x} \rho(r) f_{B(a, r)}|f(y)| d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B(a, r)$ containing $x$. We do not assume the condition (1.4) or (1.5) on the definition of $M_{\rho}$. The operator $M_{\rho}$ was studied in 37] on generalized Morrey spaces. If $\rho(r)=|B(0, r)|^{\alpha / n}, 0<\alpha<n$, then $M_{\rho}$ is the usual fractional maximal operator $M_{\alpha}$. If $\rho \equiv 1$, then $M_{\rho}$ is the HardyLittlewood maximal operator $M$. It is known that the usual fractional maximal operator $M_{\alpha}$ is dominated pointwise by the fractional integral operator $I_{\alpha}$, that is, $M_{\alpha} f(x) \leq C I_{\alpha}|f|(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then the boundedness of $M_{\alpha}$ follows from one of $I_{\alpha}$. However, we need a better estimate on $M_{\rho}$ than $I_{\rho}$ to prove the boundedness of the commutators $[b, T]$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$.

We also need the sharp maximal operator $M^{\sharp}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\sharp} f(x)=\sup _{B \ni x} f_{B}\left|f(y)-f_{B}\right| d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B$ containing $x$. In section 6 we show that, if $f_{B(0, r)} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the relation between Orlicz-Campanato and Orlicz-Morrey spaces. In Section 7 we show the well definedness of the commutators $[b, T]$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$ for
functions in Orlicz-Morrey spaces. Since neither $C_{\text {comp }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ nor $L_{\text {comp }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is dense in Orlicz-Morrey spaces, we need to show the well definedness carefully. Finally, using all of them, we prove the main results in Section 8 ,

At the end of this section, we make some conventions. Throughout this paper, we always use $C$ to denote a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. Constants with subscripts, such as $C_{p}$, are dependent on the subscripts. If $f \leq C g$, we then write $f \lesssim g$ or $g \gtrsim f$; and if $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$, we then write $f \sim g$.

## 2 Orlicz and Orlicz-Morrey spaces

In this section we first recall generalized Young functions. Next, we recall the definitions of Orlicz and Orlicz-Morrey spaces with generalized Young functions. The Orlicz space is introduced by [33, 34]. For the theory of Orlicz spaces, see [13, 14, 17, 18, 36] for example. The Orlicz-Morrey spaces investigated in [25, 27, 28], etc.

For an increasing (i.e. nondecreasing) function $\Phi:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\Phi)=\sup \{t \geq 0: \Phi(t)=0\}, \quad b(\Phi)=\inf \{t \geq 0: \Phi(t)=\infty\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with convention $\sup \emptyset=0$ and $\inf \emptyset=\infty$. Then $0 \leq a(\Phi) \leq b(\Phi) \leq \infty$.
Let $\bar{\Phi}$ be the set of all increasing functions $\Phi:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq a(\Phi)<\infty, \quad 0<b(\Phi) \leq \infty  \tag{2.2}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi(t)=\Phi(0)=0  \tag{2.3}\\
& \Phi \text { is left continuous on }[0, b(\Phi))  \tag{2.4}\\
& \text { if } b(\Phi)=\infty, \text { then } \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)=\Phi(\infty)=\infty  \tag{2.5}\\
& \text { if } b(\Phi)<\infty, \text { then } \lim _{t \rightarrow b(\Phi)-0} \Phi(t)=\Phi(b(\Phi))(\leq \infty) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, if an increasing and left continuous function $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfies (2.3) and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)=\infty$, then we always regard that $\Phi(\infty)=\infty$ and that $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$.

For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$, we recall the generalized inverse of $\Phi$ in the sense of O'Neil [32, Definition 1.2].

Definition 2.1. For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ and $u \in[0, \infty]$, let

$$
\Phi^{-1}(u)= \begin{cases}\inf \{t \geq 0: \Phi(t)>u\}, & u \in[0, \infty)  \tag{2.7}\\ \infty, & u=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}$ is finite, increasing and right continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and positive on $(0, \infty)$. If $\Phi$ is bijective from $[0, \infty]$ to itself, then $\Phi^{-1}$ is the usual inverse function of $\Phi$. Moreover, if $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(u)\right) \leq u \leq \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(u)) \quad \text { for all } u \in[0, \infty] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a generalization of Property 1.3 in [32], see [39, Proposition 2.2].
For $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}$, we write $\Phi \approx \Psi$ if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\Phi\left(C^{-1} t\right) \leq \Psi(t) \leq \Phi(C t) \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, \infty] .
$$

For functions $P, Q:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$, we write $P \sim Q$ if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
C^{-1} P(t) \leq Q(t) \leq C P(t) \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, \infty]
$$

Then, for $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \approx \Psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi^{-1} \sim \Psi^{-1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [39, Lemma 2.3].
Next we recall the definition of the Young function and give its generalization.
Definition 2.2 (Young function and its generalization). A function $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ is called Young function (or sometimes also called Orlicz function) if $\Phi$ is convex on $[0, b(\Phi))$. Let $\Phi_{Y}$ be the set of all Young functions. Let $\bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ be the set of all $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ such that $\Phi \approx \Psi$ for some $\Psi \in \Phi_{Y}$.

Definition 2.3. (i) A function $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ is said to satisfy the $\Delta_{2}$-condition, denoted by $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$, if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(2 t) \leq C \Phi(t) \quad \text { for all } t>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) A function $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}$ is said to satisfy the $\nabla_{2}$-condition, denoted by $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$, if there exists a constant $k>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t) \leq \frac{1}{2 k} \Phi(k t) \quad \text { for all } t>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Let $\Delta_{2}=\Phi_{Y} \cap \bar{\Delta}_{2}$ and $\nabla_{2}=\Phi_{Y} \cap \bar{\nabla}_{2}$.

Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $L^{0}(\Omega)$ be the set of all measurable functions on $\Omega$. Then the Orlicz space is defined by the following.

Definition 2.4 (Orlicz space). For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\Phi}(\Omega) & =\left\{f \in L^{0}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\epsilon|f(x)|) d \mu(x)<\infty \text { for some } \epsilon>0\right\} \\
\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)} & =\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) d \mu(x) \leq 1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}$ is a quasi-norm and thereby $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a quasi-Banach space. If $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$, then $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}$ is a norm and thereby $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space. For $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$, if $\Phi \approx \Psi$, then $L^{\Phi}(\Omega)=L^{\Psi}(\Omega)$ with equivalent quasi-norms. In the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we always write $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\Phi}}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$, omitting $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, we define the Orlicz-Morrey space $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ together with $\|\cdot\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}$ by (1.2). For a ball $B=B(a, r)$, let $\mu_{B}=\frac{d x}{|B| \varphi(r)}$. Then we have the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}=\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}\left(B, \mu_{B}\right)} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the relation (2.12), $\|\cdot\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}$ is a quasi-norm, and thereby $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a quasi-Banach space. If $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$, then $\|\cdot\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}$ is a norm and thereby $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Banach space. If $\Phi \approx \Psi$ and $\varphi \sim \psi$, then $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{(\Psi, \psi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.

Next, we say that a function $\theta:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfies the doubling condition if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $r, s \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\theta(r)}{\theta(s)} \leq C, \quad \text { if } \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{r}{s} \leq 2 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $\theta$ is almost increasing (resp. almost decreasing) if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $r, s \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(r) \leq C \theta(s) \quad(\text { resp. } \theta(s) \leq C \theta(r)), \quad \text { if } r<s \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we consider the following class of $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.5. (i) Let $\mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ be the set of all functions $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi$ is almost decreasing and that $r \mapsto \varphi(r) r^{n}$ is almost increasing. That is, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $r, s \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
C \varphi(r) \geq \varphi(s), \quad \varphi(r) r^{n} \leq C \varphi(s) s^{n}, \quad \text { if } r<s
$$

(ii) Let $\mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$ be the set of all functions $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi$ is almost increasing and that $r \mapsto \varphi(r) / r$ is almost decreasing. That is, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $r, s \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\varphi(r) \leq C \varphi(s), \quad C \varphi(r) / r \geq \varphi(s) / s, \quad \text { if } r<s
$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ or $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$, then $\varphi$ satisfies the doubling condition (2.13). Let $\psi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$. If $\psi \sim \varphi$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$ ), then $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}\right)$.

Remark 2.1. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Then there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }} \operatorname{such}$ that $\varphi \sim \tilde{\varphi}$ and that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing, see [27, Proposition 3.4]. Moreover, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \varphi(r)=\infty, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is bijective from $(0, \infty)$ to itself.

## 3 Main results

First we recall the definition of Calderón-Zygmund operators following [43]. Let $\Omega$ be the set of all increasing functions $\omega:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} d t<\infty$.

Definition 3.1 (standard kernel). Let $\omega \in \Omega$. A continuous function $K(x, y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\left\{(x, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right\}$ is said to be a standard kernel of type $\omega$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
&|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{n}} \quad \text { for } \quad x \neq y,  \tag{3.1}\\
&|K(x, y)-K(x, z)|+|K(y, x)-K(z, x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{n}} \omega\left(\frac{|y-z|}{|x-y|}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \text { for } 2|y-z| \leq|x-y| .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 3.2 (Calderón-Zygmund operator). Let $\omega \in \Omega$. A linear operator $T$ from $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is said to be a Calderón-Zygmund operator of type $\omega$, if $T$ is
bounded on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a standard kernel $K$ of type $\omega$ such that, for $f \in C_{\text {comp }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x, y) f(y) d y, \quad x \notin \operatorname{supp} f . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. If $x \notin \operatorname{supp} f$, then $K(x, y)$ is continuous on $\operatorname{supp} f$ with respect to $y$. Therefore, if (3.3) holds for $f \in C_{\text {comp }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then (3.3) holds for $f \in L_{\text {comp }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

It is known by [43, Theorem 2.4] that any Calderón-Zygmund operator of type $\omega \in \Omega$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$. This result was extended to OrliczMorrey spaces $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by [28] as the following: Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ and that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $r \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} d t \leq C \varphi(r) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Phi \in \Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$. For $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we define $T f$ on each ball $B$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f(x)=T\left(f \chi_{2 B}\right)(x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} K(x, y) f(y) d y, \quad x \in B \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the first term in the right hand side is well defined, since $f \chi_{2 B} \in L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and the integral of the second term converges absolutely. Moreover, $T f(x)$ is independent of the choice of the ball containing $x$. By this definition we can show that $T$ is a bounded operator on $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

For functions $f$ in Orlicz-Morrey spaces, we define $[b, T] f$ on each ball $B$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[b, T] f(x)=[b, T]\left(f \chi_{2 B}\right)(x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y, \quad x \in B \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Remark 7.2 for its well definedness. Then we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}, \varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$. Let $T$ be a CalderónZygmund operator of type $\omega \in \Omega$.
(i) Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2} \cap \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ and $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\omega(t) \log (1 / t)}{t} d t<\infty$. Assume that $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4) and that there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ such that, for all $r \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \leq C_{0} \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $[b, T] f$ in (3.6) is well defined for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $C$, independent of $b$ and $f$, such that

$$
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

(ii) Conversely, assume that there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ such that, for all $r \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} \psi(r) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \geq \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $T$ is a convolution type such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f(x)=p \cdot v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x-y) f(y) d y \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with homogeneous kernel $K$ satisfying $K(x)=|x|^{-n} K(x /|x|), \int_{S^{n-1}} K=0$, $K \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$ and $K \not \equiv 0$, and if $[b, T]$ is bounded from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $b$ is in $\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $C$, independent of $b$, such that

$$
\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} \leq C\|[b, T]\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}},
$$

where $\|[b, T]\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}$ is the operator norm of $[b, T]$ from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Remark 3.2. From the theorem above we have the several corollaries.
(i) Take $\Phi(t)=t^{p}$, then we have the result for generalized Morrey spaces $L^{(p, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This case is known by [1, Theorem 2.1], which is an extension of Di Fazio and Ragusa [6, Theorem 1].
(ii) Take $\varphi(r)=1 / r^{n}$, then we have the result for Orlicz spaces $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This case is an extension of Janson [12, Theorem].
(iii) Take $\Phi(t)=\Psi(t)=t^{p}, \varphi(r)=1 / r^{n}$ and $\psi \equiv 1$, then $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=$ $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This case is the result by Coifman, Rochberg and Weiss [3].

To state the result on the commutator $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$ we first mention the boundedness of $I_{\rho}$ on the Orlicz-Morrey spaces. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. If $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))+\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))
$$

holds for all $r \in(0, \infty)$, then $I_{\rho}$ is bounded from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, see [27, Theorem 7.3]. More precisely, in [27, Theorem 7.3] the author assumed that $\Phi$ and
$\Psi$ are bijective, but it can be extended to $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ by the boundedness of $I_{\rho}$ from $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$, which was proven by [4. See also [39].

Now we state the result on the commutator $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$. For the well definedness of $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]$ on $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, see Remark 7.3,

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}, \varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{dec}}, \psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$ and $\rho:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$. Assume that $\rho$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.5).
(i) Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2} \cap \bar{\nabla}_{2}$. Assume that $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4) and that $r \mapsto \rho(r) / r^{n-\epsilon}$ is almost decreasing for some $\epsilon \in(0, n)$. Assume also that there exist positive constants $C_{\rho}, C_{0}, C_{1}$ and a function $\Theta \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ such that, for all $r, s \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\rho} \frac{\rho(r)}{r^{n-\epsilon} \geq \frac{\rho(s)}{s^{n-\epsilon}}, \text { if } r<s}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \left|\frac{\rho(r)}{r^{n}}-\frac{\rho(s)}{s^{n}}\right| \leq C_{\rho}|r-s| \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t, \quad \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{r}{s} \leq 2  \tag{3.11}\\
& \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))+\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \leq C_{0} \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))  \tag{3.12}\\
& \psi(r) \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \leq C_{1} \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

If $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f$ is well defined for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $C$, independent of $b$ and $f$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Conversely, assume that $0<\alpha<n$ and that there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ such that, for all $r \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \leq C_{0} r^{\alpha} \psi(r) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))
$$

If $\left[b, I_{\alpha}\right]$ is bounded from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then b is in $\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $C$, independent of $b$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} \leq C\left\|\left[b, I_{\alpha}\right]\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\|\left[b, I_{\alpha}\right]\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}$ is the operator norm of $\left[b, I_{\alpha}\right]$ from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Remark 3.3. From the theorem above we have the several corollaries.
(i) Take $\Phi(t)=t^{p}$, then we have the result for generalized Morrey spaces $L^{(p, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This case is known by [1, Theorem 2.2].
(ii) Take $\varphi(r)=1 / r^{n}$, then we have the result for Orlicz spaces $L^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This case is known by [39, Theorem 3.13].
(iii) Take $\rho(r)=r^{\alpha}, \Phi(t)=t^{p}, \Psi(t)=t^{q}, \varphi(r)=1 / r^{n}$ and $\psi \equiv 1$, then $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This case is the result by Chanillo [2].

For the case $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}, \Phi_{0} \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$ and $\varphi, \psi, \theta \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}^{-1}(t \psi(r)) \Phi^{-1}(t \varphi(r)) \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(t \theta(r)) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r, t \in(0, \infty)$. Assume also that $\varphi, \psi, \theta$ satisfy (3.4). Let $T$ be a CalderónZygmund operator of type $\omega \in \Omega$. If $b \in \mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $[b, T] f$ is well defined for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $C$, independent of $b$ and $f$, such that

$$
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{(\Psi, \theta)}} \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}, \Phi_{0} \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Assume that $\rho$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.5) and that $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4). Assume also that there exist $\Psi_{0} \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ and $\Theta \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ such that $\Phi^{-1} \Phi_{0}^{-1} \sim \Psi_{0}^{-1}, \Phi_{0}^{-1} \Theta^{-1} \lesssim \Psi^{-1}$ and (3.12). If $b \in \mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f$ is well defined for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and there exists a positive constant $C$, independent of $b$ and $f$, such that

$$
\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

At the end of this section we note that, to prove the theorems, we may assume that $\Phi, \Psi \in \Phi_{Y}$ instead of $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$. For example, if $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfy (3.7) and $\Phi \approx \Phi_{1}, \Psi \approx \Psi_{1}$, then $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{1}$ also satisfy (3.7) by the relation (2.9). Moreover, $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{\left(\Phi_{1, \varphi}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L^{\left(\Psi_{1, \varphi}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.

## 4 Properties on Young functions and Orlicz-Morrey spaces

Let $a(\Phi)$ and $b(\Phi)$ be as in (2.1). By the convexity, any Young function $\Phi$ is continuous on $[0, b(\Phi))$ and strictly increasing on $[a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$. Hence $\Phi$ is bijective from $[a(\Phi), b(\Phi)]$ to $[0, \Phi(b(\Phi))]$. Moreover, $\Phi$ is absolutely continuous on any closed subinterval in $[0, b(\Phi))$. That is, its derivative $\Phi^{\prime}$ exists a.e. and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Phi^{\prime}(s) d s, \quad t \in[0, b(\Phi)) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, if $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$, then $\Phi^{\prime}$ satisfies the doubling condition, see [39, Lemma 4.4] for example.

Remark 4.1. (i) $\bar{\nabla}_{2} \subset \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ ([14, Lemma 1.2.3]).
(ii) Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$. Then $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$ if and only if $\Phi \approx \Psi$ for some $\Psi \in \Delta_{2}$, and, $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ if and only if $\Phi \approx \Psi$ for some $\Psi \in \nabla_{2}$.
(iii) Let $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$. Then $\Phi^{-1}$ satisfies the doubling condition by its concavity, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-1}(u) \leq \Phi^{-1}(2 u) \leq 2 \Phi^{-1}(u) \quad \text { for all } u \in[0, \infty] \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Let $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$. Then $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$ if and only if $t \mapsto \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^{p}}$ is almost decreasing for some $p \in[1, \infty)$.
Note that, for $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$ and for a measurable subset $G \subset \Omega$ with $\mu(G)>0$, it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\chi_{G}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}=\frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(1 / \mu(G))} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.1. For a Young function $\Phi$, its complementary function is defined by

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}(t)= \begin{cases}\sup \{t u-\Phi(u): u \in[0, \infty)\}, & t \in[0, \infty) \\ \infty, & t=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Then $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is also a Young function, and $(\Phi, \widetilde{\Phi})$ is called a complementary pair. For example, if $\Phi(t)=t^{p} / p$, then $\widetilde{\Phi}(t)=t^{p^{\prime}} / p^{\prime}$ for $p, p^{\prime} \in(1, \infty)$ and $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$. If $\Phi(t)=t$, then

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}(t)= \begin{cases}0, & t \in[0,1] \\ \infty, & t \in(1, \infty]\end{cases}
$$

Let $(\Phi, \widetilde{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of functions in $\Phi_{Y}$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \leq \Phi^{-1}(t) \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(t) \leq 2 t \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[0, \infty] \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3) and (4.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\chi_{G}\right\|_{L^{\tilde{T}}(\Omega)} \leq \mu(G) \Phi^{-1}(1 / \mu(G)) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Orlicz spaces we have the following generalized Hölder's inequality;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|f(x) g(x)| d \mu(x) \leq 2\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(\Omega)}\|g\|_{L^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\Omega)} \quad \text { for } \quad f \in L^{\Phi}(\Omega), g \in L^{\widetilde{\Phi}}(\Omega) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}, \varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and $B=B(a, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $\mu_{B}=$ $d x /(|B| \varphi(r))$. Then by the relation (2.12) and (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}=\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}\left(B, \mu_{B}\right)}=\frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(1 / \mu_{B}(B)\right)}=\frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by the relation (2.12) and (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|B| \varphi(r)} \int_{B}|f(x) g(x)| d x \leq 2\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}\|g\|_{\tilde{\Phi, \varphi, B}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Then there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that, for any ball $B=B(a, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))} \leq\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq \frac{C}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix a ball $B=B(a, r)$. By (4.7) we have

$$
\frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}=\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} \leq\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

To show the second inequality in (4.9), let $\lambda=1 / \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))$. Then it is enough to show that, for some $C \geq 1$ and for all balls $B^{\prime}=B\left(b, r^{\prime}\right)$ with $B \cap B^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varphi\left(r^{\prime}\right)} f_{B^{\prime}} \Phi\left(\frac{\chi_{B}(x)}{C \lambda}\right) d x \leq 1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $B^{\prime} \subset 3 B$, then $\varphi\left(r^{\prime}\right) \gtrsim \varphi(3 r) \sim \varphi(r)$. Hence

$$
\frac{1}{\varphi\left(r^{\prime}\right)\left|B^{\prime}\right|} \int_{B^{\prime}} \Phi\left(\frac{\chi_{B}(x)}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(r^{\prime}\right)} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \lesssim \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 .
$$

In the above we used (2.8) for the last inequality. If $B^{\prime} \cap(3 B)^{\complement} \neq \emptyset$ and $B^{\prime} \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $3 B^{\prime} \supset B$. Hence $\varphi\left(r^{\prime}\right)\left|B^{\prime}\right| \sim \varphi\left(3 r^{\prime}\right)\left|3 B^{\prime}\right| \gtrsim \varphi(r)|B|$ and

$$
\frac{1}{\varphi\left(r^{\prime}\right)\left|B^{\prime}\right|} \int_{B^{\prime}} \Phi\left(\frac{\chi_{B}(x)}{\lambda}\right) d x \lesssim \frac{1}{\varphi(r)|B|} \int_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq 1 .
$$

Then, by the convexity of $\Phi$ we have (4.10).
Lemma 4.2 ([39, Lemma 4.4]). If $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$, then $\Phi\left((\cdot)^{\theta}\right) \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ for some $\theta \in(0,1)$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}, \varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ and $B=B(a, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B}|f(x)| d x \leq 2 \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$, then there exists $p \in(1, \infty)$ such that

$$
\left(f_{B}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \leq C \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}
$$

where the constant $C$ is independent of $f$ and $B=B(a, r)$.
Proof. By (4.8), (4.7) and (4.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{B}|f(x)| d x \leq 2 \varphi(r)\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{\tilde{\Phi}, \varphi, B}=\frac{2 \varphi(r)}{\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\varphi(r))}\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} \\
& \leq 2 \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we assume that $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}$. Then by Lemma 4.2 we can take $\theta \in(0,1)$ such that $\Phi\left((\cdot)^{\theta}\right) \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$. Let $\Phi_{\theta} \in \nabla_{2}$ such that $\Phi_{\theta} \approx \Phi\left((\cdot)^{\theta}\right)$. Then $\Phi_{\theta}{ }^{-1} \sim\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)^{1 / \theta}$. Let $p=1 / \theta$. Then $\left\||f|^{p}\right\|_{\Phi_{\theta, \varphi, B}} \sim\left(\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}\right)^{p}$. Using (4.11), we have

$$
\left(f_{B}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(2 \Phi_{\theta}^{-1}(\varphi(r))\left\||f|^{p}\right\|_{\Phi_{\theta}, \varphi, B}\right)^{1 / p} \sim \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}
$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. If $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4), then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $r \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \leq C \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Remark 4.1 (iv) we see that $t \rightarrow \frac{\Phi^{-1}(t)}{t^{p}}$ is almost increasing for some $p \in(0,1]$. From (3.4) it follows that

$$
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)^{p}}{t} d t \leq C_{p} \varphi(r)^{p}
$$

for some $C_{p}>0$, see [29, Lemma 7.1]. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t & =\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{\varphi(t)^{p}} \frac{\varphi(t)^{p}}{t} d t \\
& \lesssim \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\varphi(r)^{p}} \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)^{p}}{t} d t \leq C_{p} \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows the conclusion.
Lemma 4.5 ([27, Thorem 4.1]). Let $\Phi_{i} \in \Phi_{Y}$ and $\varphi_{i} \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}, i=1,2,3$. Assume that

$$
\Phi_{1}^{-1}\left(t \varphi_{1}(r)\right) \Phi_{3}^{-1}\left(t \varphi_{3}(r)\right) \leq C \Phi_{2}^{-1}\left(t \varphi_{2}(r)\right)
$$

for all $r, t \in(0, \infty)$. Then

$$
\|f g\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)}} \leq 2 C\|f\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)}}\|g\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{3}, \varphi_{3}\right)}} .
$$

## 5 Fractional maximal operator

It is well known that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $1<p \leq \infty$. This boundedness was extended to Orlicz-Morrey spaces by [27, Theorem 6.1]. Namely, if $\Phi$ is bijective and in $\nabla_{2}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$, then $M$ is bounded on $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. This result is valid for any $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ by the modular inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(M f(x)) d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(C|f(x)|) d x
$$

in [14, Theorem 1.2.1].
For the operator $M_{\rho}$ we have the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}, \varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ and $\rho:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$. Assume that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)=0$ or that $\Psi^{-1}(t) / \Phi^{-1}(t)$ is almost decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. If there exists a positive constant $A$ such that, for all $r \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sup _{0<t \leq r} \rho(t)\right) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \leq A \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any positive constant $C_{0}$, there exists a positive constant $C_{1}$ such that, for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $f \not \equiv 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(\frac{M_{\rho} f(x)}{C_{1}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}}\right) \leq \Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$, then $M_{\rho}$ is bounded from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Remark 5.1. If $\rho$ is almost increasing or if $\Psi^{-1}(t) / \Phi^{-1}(t)$ is almost decreasing, then the inequality $\rho(r) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))$ implies (5.1).

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We may assume that $\Phi, \Psi \in \Phi_{Y}$. We may also assume that $\varphi$ is continuous and strictly decreasing, see Remark 2.1. Let $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. To prove (5.2) we may assume that $\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}=1$ and that $0<M f(x)<\infty$.

We show that, for any ball $B=B(a, r)$ containing $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(r) f_{B}|f| \leq C_{1} \Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the pointwise estimate

$$
\Psi\left(\frac{M_{\rho} f(x)}{C_{1}}\right) \leq \Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)
$$

which is the conclusion.
To show (5.3), we consider two cases:

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right) \geq \varphi(r) \quad \text { or } \quad \Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right) \leq \varphi(r)
$$

If $\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right) \geq \varphi(r)$, then by (4.11) and $\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} \leq 1$, we have

$$
\rho(r) f_{B}|f| \leq 2 \rho(r) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))
$$

Combining this inequality with (5.1) we have

$$
\rho(r) f_{B}|f| \leq 2 A \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \leq 2 A \Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right) .
$$

Conversely, let $\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right) \leq \varphi(r)$. If $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)=0$ then we can choose $t_{0} \in[r, \infty)$ such that

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)=\varphi\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

Using (5.1) and (2.8), we have

$$
\rho(r) \leq \sup _{0<t \leq t_{0}} \rho(t) \leq A \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(t_{0}\right)\right)}=A \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)} \leq A \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)}{\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}} .
$$

If $\Psi^{-1}(t) / \Phi^{-1}(t)$ is almost decreasing, then $\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right) \leq \varphi(r)$ implies that

$$
\rho(r) \leq A \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))} \lesssim \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)} \leq \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)}{\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}}
$$

In any way we have

$$
\rho(r) f_{B}|f| \leq A C_{0} \frac{\Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)}{M f(x)} f_{B}|f| \leq A C_{0} \Psi^{-1}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{M f(x)}{C_{0}}\right)\right)
$$

Then we have (5.3) and the proof is complete.

## 6 Orlicz-Campanato spaces and relations to OrliczMorrey spaces

In this section we define Orlicz-Campanato spaces and investigate their relations to Orlicz-Morrey spaces.

Definition 6.1 (Orlicz-Campanato space). For $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}<\infty\right\}, \\
\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}=\sup _{B}\left\|f-f_{B}\right\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B},
\end{gathered}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}$ is as in (1.1).
Then $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}$ is a quasi-norm modulo constant functions and thereby $\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a quasi-Banach space. If $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$, then $\|\cdot\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ is a norm modulo constant functions and thereby $\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Banach space. If $\Phi \approx \Psi$ and $\varphi \sim \psi$, then $\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{L}^{(\Psi, \psi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.

If $\Phi(r)=r^{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$, then we denote $\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by $\mathcal{L}^{(p, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which coincides with $\mathcal{L}_{p, \varphi^{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ defined by Definition 1.2.

In this section we prove the following two theorems. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all constant functions. The first theorem is an extension of [19, Theorem 2.1] and [26, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 6.1. Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Assume that $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$ and that $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4). Then

$$
\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) / \mathcal{C}=L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \sim\left\|f-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(0, r)}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

More precisely, for every $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $f_{B(0, r)}$ converges as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and the mapping $f \mapsto f-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(0, r)}$ is bijective and bicontinuous from $\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) / \mathcal{C}$ to $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. In this case $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(a, r)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(0, r)}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Theorem 6.2. Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. If $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$, then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ and $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2 we have the following corollary.
Corollary 6.3. Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Assume that $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$ and that $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4). Then there exist a positive constant $C$ such that, for any $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(0, r)}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\Phi \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$, then

$$
C^{-1}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

To prove the theorems we prepare several lemmas.
Lemma 6.4. Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$. Then, for any two balls $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ such that $B_{1} \subset B_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{B_{1}}-f_{B_{2}}\right| \leq 2 \frac{\left|B_{2}\right|}{\left|B_{1}\right|} \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(r_{2}\right)\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{2}$ is the radius of $B_{2}$.

Proof. By (4.11) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{B_{1}}-f_{B_{2}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|} \int_{B_{1}}\left|f(x)-f_{B_{2}}\right| d x \leq \frac{\left|B_{2}\right|}{\left|B_{1}\right|} & f_{B_{2}}\left|f(x)-f_{B_{2}}\right| d x \\
& \leq 2 \frac{\left|B_{2}\right|}{\left|B_{1}\right|} \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(r_{2}\right)\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6.5. Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$. Assume that $\varphi$ satisfies the doubling condition. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and for any two balls $B(a, r)$ and $B(b, s)$ satisfying $B(a, r) \subset B(b, s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{B(a, r)}-f_{B(b, s)}\right| \leq C \int_{r}^{2 s} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Take balls $B_{j}=B\left(a_{j}, 2^{j} r\right), j=0,1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
B(a, r)=B_{0} \subset B_{1} \subset B_{2} \subset \cdots \subset B_{k-1} \subset B(b, s) \subset B_{k} .
$$

Then, by (6.4) and the doubling condition of $\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(\cdot))$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid f_{B(a, r)}- & f_{B(b, s)}\left|\leq\left|f_{B_{0}}-f_{B_{1}}\right|+\left|f_{B_{1}}-f_{B_{2}}\right|+\cdots+\left|f_{B_{k-1}}-f_{B(b, s)}\right|\right. \\
& \leq 2^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{j} r\right)\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}+2 \frac{|B(b, s)|}{\left|B_{k-1}\right|} \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(s))\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{2^{j-1} r}^{2^{j} r} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}+\int_{s}^{2 s} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \leq \int_{r}^{2 s} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows the conclusion.
Lemma 6.6. Let $\Phi \in \bar{\Phi}_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{dec}}$. If $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t<\infty$, then, for every $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there exists a constant $\sigma(f)$ such that $\sigma(f)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(a, r)}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. By (6.5) we see that

$$
\left|f_{B(0, r)}-f_{B(0, s)}\right| \leq C \int_{r}^{2 s} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r, s \rightarrow \infty \text { with } r<s
$$

Hence $f_{B(0, r)}$ converges as $r$ tends to infinity. Let $\sigma(f)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(0, r)}$. If $|a| \leq r$, then $B(a, r) \subset B(0,2 r)$. From (6.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{B(a, r)}-\sigma(f)\right| & \leq\left|f_{B(a, r)}-f_{B(0,2 r)}\right|+\left|f_{B(0,2 r)}-\sigma(f)\right| \\
& \leq 2^{n+1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(2 r))+\left|f_{B(0,2 r)}-\sigma(f)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(2 r)) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ by the assumption.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We may assume that $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then by the definition of $\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, for any ball $B=B(a, r)$,

$$
\frac{1}{\varphi(r)} f_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f(x)-f_{B}\right|}{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}}\right) d x \leq 1 .
$$

Letting $s \rightarrow \infty$ in (6.5) and using Lemma 6.6, we have

$$
\left|f_{B}-\sigma(f)\right| \lesssim \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$
\left|f_{B}-\sigma(f)\right| \leq C \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

for some $C \geq 1$ independent of $f$. Then by (2.8) we have

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{\left|f_{B}-\sigma(f)\right|}{C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}}\right) \leq \Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\right) \leq \varphi(r)
$$

By the convexity of $\Phi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} f_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)-\sigma(f)|}{2 C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} f_{B} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\Phi\left(\frac{\left|f(x)-f_{B}\right|}{C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}}\right)+\Phi\left(\frac{\left|f_{B}-\sigma(f)\right|}{C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}}\right)\right\} d x \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $f-\sigma(f) \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and that

$$
\|f-\sigma(f)\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq 2 C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

Conversely, let $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then by (4.11) we have, for any ball $B=B(a, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{B}\right| \leq f_{B}|f(x)| d x \leq 2 \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ by the assumption, we conclude that $\sigma(f)=$ $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(a, r)}=0$ by Lemma 6.6. Moreover, from (6.6) and (4.7) it follows that

$$
\left\|f_{B}\right\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}=\left|f_{B}\right|\|1\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} \leq 2 \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}=2\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|f-f_{B}\right\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} \leq\|f\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B}+\left\|f_{B}\right\|_{\Phi, \varphi, B} \leq 3\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

This shows that $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq 3\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}=3\|f-\sigma(f)\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

The proof is complete.

To prove Theorem 6.2 we define local versions of the dyadic maximal operator and the dyadic sharp maximal operator. For any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ centered at $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and with side length $2 r>0$, we denote by $\mathcal{Q}^{\text {dy }}(Q)$ the set of all dyadic cubes with respect to $Q$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}}(Q) \\
& \quad=\left\{Q_{j, k}=a+\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[2^{-j} k_{i} r, 2^{-j}\left(k_{i}+1\right) r\right): j \in \mathbb{Z}, k=\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x) & =\sup _{R \in \mathcal{Q}^{\text {dy }}(Q), x \in R \subset Q} f_{R}|f(y)| d y, \\
M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x) & =\sup _{R \in \mathcal{Q}^{\text {dy }}(Q), x \in R \subset Q} f_{R}\left|f(y)-f_{R}\right| d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 6.7. Let $\Phi \in \Delta_{2}$ and $\Phi(2 t) \leq C_{\Phi} \Phi(t)$ for all $t \in[0, \infty]$ and some $C_{\Phi} \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive constant $C_{n, \Phi}$ such that, for any $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and any cube $Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right) d x \leq C_{n, \Phi} \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right) d x+2 C_{\Phi} \Phi\left(|f|_{Q}\right)|Q|, \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}}\left(f(x)-f_{Q}\right)\right) d x \leq\left(C_{n, \Phi}+2 C_{\Phi}\right) \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right) d x . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Lemma 6.7 we use the following local version good $\lambda$ inequality:
Lemma 6.8 (Tsutsui [42], Komori-Furuya [15]). Let $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then, for any cube $Q, 0<\gamma \leq 1$ and $\lambda>|f|_{Q}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x)>2 \lambda, M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x) \leq\right. & \gamma \lambda\} \mid  \tag{6.9}\\
& \leq 2^{n} \gamma\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 6.7. For $N>0$, let

$$
I_{N}=\int_{0}^{N} \Phi^{\prime}(\lambda)\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right| d \lambda
$$

If $N>2|f|_{Q}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N} & =\int_{0}^{2|f|_{Q}}+\int_{2|f|_{Q}}^{N} \Phi^{\prime}(\lambda)\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right| d \lambda \\
& \leq \Phi\left(2|f|_{Q}\right)|Q|+2 \int_{|f|_{Q}}^{N / 2} \Phi^{\prime}(2 \lambda)\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x)>2 \lambda\right\}\right| d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

By the doubling conditions of $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ and the good $-\lambda$ inequality (6.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N} \leq & 2 C_{\Phi^{\prime}}\left(2^{n} \gamma \int_{|f|_{Q}}^{N / 2} \Phi^{\prime}(\lambda)\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}} f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right| d \lambda\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{|f|_{Q}}^{N / 2} \Phi^{\prime}(\lambda)\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x)>\gamma \lambda\right\}\right| d \lambda\right)+C_{\Phi} \Phi\left(|f|_{Q}\right)|Q| \\
\leq & 2^{n+1} \gamma C_{\Phi^{\prime}} I_{N}+\frac{2 C_{\Phi^{\prime}}}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{N \gamma / 2} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\gamma}\right)\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\sharp \mathrm{dy}} f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right| d \lambda \\
& \quad+C_{\Phi} \Phi\left(|f|_{Q}\right)|Q| .
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point we pick a $\gamma$ such that $2^{n+1} \gamma C_{\Phi^{\prime}}=1 / 2$, then

$$
I_{N} \leq C_{n, \Phi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi^{\prime}(\lambda)\left|\left\{x \in Q: M_{Q}^{\sharp \mathrm{dy}} f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right| d \lambda+2 C_{\Phi} \Phi\left(|f|_{Q}\right)|Q| .
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce (6.7). Next, substitute $f-f_{Q}$ for $f$ in (6.7). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}}\left(f(x)-f_{Q}\right)\right) d x \\
& \leq C_{n, \Phi} \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\sharp \mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right) d x+2 C_{\Phi} \Phi\left(\left|f-f_{Q}\right|_{Q}\right)|Q| \\
& \leq C_{n, \Phi} \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right) d x+2 C_{\Phi} \Phi\left(\min _{x \in Q} M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right)|Q| \\
& \leq\left(C_{n, \Phi}+2 C_{\Phi}\right) \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is (6.8).
Proof of Theorem 6.2. To prove (6.1) we may assume that $\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}=1$. Then it is enough to prove that there exists a positive constant $C^{\prime}$ such that, for all balls $B=B(a, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|B| \varphi(r)} \int_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f(x)-f_{B}\right|}{C^{\prime}}\right) d x \leq 1 . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take the cube $Q$ such that $B \subset Q \subset \sqrt{n} B$. By Jensen's inequality we have

$$
\Phi\left(\left|f_{Q}-f_{B}\right|\right) \leq \Phi\left(f_{B}\left|f(x)-f_{Q}\right| d x\right) \leq f_{B} \Phi\left(\left|f(x)-f_{Q}\right|\right) d x .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f(x)-f_{B}\right|}{2}\right) d x & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B}\left(\Phi\left(\left|f(x)-f_{Q}\right|\right)+\Phi\left(\left|f_{B}-f_{Q}\right|\right)\right) d x  \tag{6.11}\\
& \leq \int_{B} \Phi\left(\left|f(x)-f_{Q}\right|\right) d x \leq \int_{Q} \Phi\left(\left|f(x)-f_{Q}\right|\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

By (6.8) and the fact that $M_{Q}^{\sharp, \text { dy }} f \leq C_{n} M^{\sharp} f$ for some positive constant $C_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} \Phi\left(\left|f(x)-f_{Q}\right|\right) d x \leq \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\mathrm{dy}}\left(f(x)-f_{Q}\right)\right) d x  \tag{6.12}\\
& \leq\left(C_{n, \Phi}+2 C_{\Phi}\right) \int_{Q} \Phi\left(M_{Q}^{\sharp, \mathrm{dy}} f(x)\right) d x \\
& \leq\left(C_{n, \Phi}+2 C_{\Phi}\right) \int_{\sqrt{n} B} \Phi\left(C_{n} M^{\sharp} f(x)\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Take $C_{n, \varphi} \geq 1$ such that $|\sqrt{n} B| \varphi(\sqrt{n} r) \leq C_{n, \varphi}|B| \varphi(r)$. Then, from (6.11) and (6.12) it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{|B| \varphi(r)} \int_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f(x)-f_{B}\right|}{2}\right) d x \leq \frac{C_{n, \varphi}\left(C_{n, \Phi}+2 C_{\Phi}\right)}{|\sqrt{n} B| \varphi(\sqrt{n} r)} \int_{\sqrt{n} B} \Phi\left(C_{n} M^{\sharp} f(x)\right) d x,
$$

which show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|B| \varphi(r)} \int_{B} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f(x)-f_{B}\right|}{2 C_{n, \varphi}\left(C_{n, \Phi}+2 C_{\Phi}\right) C_{n}}\right) & d x \\
\leq & \frac{1}{|\sqrt{n} B| \varphi(\sqrt{n} r)} \int_{\sqrt{n} B} \Phi\left(M^{\sharp} f(x)\right) d x \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have (6.10).
Next, we add the assumptions that $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$ and that $\varphi$ satisfies (3.4). Then the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$ is bounded on $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq 2\|M f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the second inequality in (6.2) we may assume that $f \in \mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. By Theorem 6.1] we see that $f_{B(0, r)}$ converges as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Setting $\sigma(f)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{B(0, r)}$, we have $\|f-\sigma(f)\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}$. Substituting $f-\sigma(f)$ for $f$ in (6.13), we have

$$
\left\|M^{\sharp} f\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|f-\sigma(f)\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{(\Phi, \varphi)}},
$$

which shows the conclusion.

## 7 Well definedness of the commutators

In this section we prove that the commutators $[b, T] f$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f$ is well defined for all $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

The following theorem is well known. For the proof, see [1, 29] for example.

Theorem 7.1. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$. Then, for each $p \in(1, \infty), \mathcal{L}_{p, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with equivalent norms.

Using Theorem 7.1, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 7.2 ( 11, Lemma 4.7]). Let $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ dependent only on $n, p$ and $\psi$ such that, for all $f \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $r, s \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\left(f_{B(x, s)}\left|f(y)-f_{B(x, r)}\right|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \leq C \int_{r}^{s} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}, \quad \text { if } 2 r<s .
$$

Remark 7.1. In Lemma 7.2 we also have

$$
\left(f_{B(x, s)}\left|f(y)-f_{B(x, r)}\right|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(\log _{2} \frac{s}{r}\right) \psi(s)\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}, \quad \text { if } \quad 2 r<s
$$

since

$$
\int_{2^{j} r}^{2^{j+1} r} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t \lesssim \psi(s)
$$

for $j=0,1, \ldots,\left[\log _{2} \frac{s}{r}\right]+1$.
Lemma 7.3. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Let $K$ be a standard kernel satisfying (3.1). Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all balls $B=B(z, r)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}|K(x, y) f(y)| d y \leq C \int_{2 r}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \quad x \in B .
$$

Proof. If $x \in B$ and $y \notin 2 B$, then $|z-y| / 2 \leq|x-y| \leq 3|z-y| / 2$. From (3.1) it follows that $|K(x, y)| \lesssim|x-y|^{-n} \sim|z-y|^{-n}$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}|K(x, y)||f(y)| d y \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} \frac{|f(y)|}{|z-y|^{n}} d y=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B} \frac{|f(y)|}{|z-y|^{n}} d y
$$

By (4.11), Hölder's inequality and the doubling condition of $\varphi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B} & |f(y)| \\
|z-y|^{n} & \lesssim f_{2^{j+1} B}|f(y)| d y \lesssim \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{j+1} r\right)\right)\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim \int_{2^{j} r}^{2^{j+1} r} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the conclusion.
Lemma 7.4. Let $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}, \varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}, \psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$ and $K$ be a standard kernel satisfying (3.1). Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all balls $B=B(z, r)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} \mid(b(y) & \left.-b_{B}\right) K(x, y) f(y) \mid d y \\
& \leq C \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(z, t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \quad x \in B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $x \in B$ and $y \notin 2 B$, then $|z-y| / 2 \leq|x-y| \leq 3|z-y| / 2$. From (3.1) it follows that $|K(x-y)| \lesssim|x-y|^{-n} \sim|z-y|^{-n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}\left|\left(b(y)-b_{B}\right) K(x, y) f(y)\right| d y \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} \\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B} \frac{\left|\left(b(y)-b_{B}\right) f(y)\right|}{|z-y|^{n}} d y \\
&|z-y|^{n}\left|\left(b(y)-b_{B}\right) f(y)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.3 we can find $p \in(1, \infty)$ such that

$$
\left(f_{2^{j+1} B}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \lesssim \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{j+1} r\right)\right)\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

By Hölder's inequality, Lemma 7.2 and the doubling condition of $\psi$ and $\varphi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j B}} \frac{\left|\left(b(y)-b_{B}\right) f(y)\right|}{|z-y|^{n}} d y \\
& \sim \frac{1}{\left(2^{j+1} r\right)^{n}} \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B}\left|\left(b(y)-b_{B}\right) f(y)\right| d y \\
& \lesssim\left(f_{2^{j+1} B}\left|b-b_{B}\right|^{p^{\prime}} d y\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(f_{2^{j+1} B}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \lesssim \int_{r}^{2^{j+1} r} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{j+1} r\right)\right)\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim \int_{2^{j} r}^{2^{j^{j+1} r}}\left(\int_{r}^{u} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t\right) \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}\left|\left(b(y)-b_{B}\right) K(x, y) f(y)\right| d y \\
& \qquad \int_{r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{r}^{u} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t\right) \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \\
& \quad=\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the conclusion.
Remark 7.2. Under the assumption in Theorem 3.1 (i), let $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $f \in$ $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Since $\Phi \in \bar{\Delta}_{2}$, there exists $p \in(1, \infty)$ such that $t^{p} \lesssim \Phi(t)$ for $t \geq 1$, see Remark 4.1 (iv). Then $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset L_{\text {loc }}^{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subset L_{\text {loc }}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which implies $f \in$ $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $b f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $p_{1} \in(1, p)$ by Theorem 7.1. Hence, $T\left(f \chi_{2 B}\right)$ and $T\left(b f \chi_{2 B}\right)$ are well defined for any ball $B=B(z, r)$. By (3.4), (3.7) and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\right. & \left.\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t  \tag{7.1}\\
& \lesssim \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \lesssim \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4 the integrals

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}|K(x, y) f(y)| d y \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}|K(x, y) b(y) f(y)| d y
$$

converge. That is, we can write

$$
[b, T] f(x)=[b, T]\left(f \chi_{2 B}\right)(x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y, \quad x \in B
$$

Moreover, if $x \in B_{1} \cap B_{2}$, then, taking $B_{3}$ such that $B_{1} \cup B_{2} \subset B_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left([b, T]\left(f \chi_{2 B_{i}}\right)(x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B_{i}}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y\right) \\
& -\left([b, T]\left(f \chi_{2 B_{3}}\right)(x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B_{3}}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y\right) \\
& =-[b, T]\left(f \chi_{2 B_{3} \backslash 2 B_{i}}\right)(x)+\int_{2 B_{3} \backslash 2 B_{i}}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.3). That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[b, T]\left(f \chi_{2 B_{1}}\right)(x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B_{1}}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y} \\
& =[b, T]\left(f \chi_{2 B_{2}}\right)(x)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B_{2}}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y, \quad x \in B_{1} \cap B_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $[b, T] f(x)$ in (3.6) is independent of the choice of the ball $B$ containing $x$.

Lemma 7.5. Under the assumption of Theorem 3.1 (i), there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all balls $B=$ $B(z, r)$,

$$
\left|f_{B}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y\right) d x\right| \leq C \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(B))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

Proof. For $x \in B$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}(x)=\left|b(x)-b_{B}\right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}|K(x, y) f(y)| d y, \\
& G_{2}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}\left|\left(b(y)-b_{B}\right) K(x, y) f(y)\right| d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x, y) f(y) d y\right| \leq G_{1}(x)+G_{2}(x) .
$$

Using Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}|K(x, y)||f(y)| d y \lesssim \int_{2 r}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \quad x \in B \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} \mid b(y) & -b_{B} \| K(x, y)| | f(y) \mid d y  \tag{7.3}\\
& \lesssim \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \quad x \in B .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using (7.2), (4.12) and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B} G_{1}(x) d x & \lesssim f_{B}\left|b(x)-b_{B}\right| d x \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim \psi(r) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (7.3) and (7.1), we also have

$$
f_{B} G_{2}(x) d x \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

Then we have the conclusion.

Lemma 7.6. Let $\Phi \in \Phi_{Y}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$. Assume that $\rho$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.5). Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all balls $B(x, r)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B(x, r)} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y \leq C \int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is the constant in (1.5).
Proof. Let $B=B(x, r)$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B(x, r)} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y .
$$

By (1.5), (4.11), Hölder's inequality and the doubling condition of $\varphi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y \lesssim \frac{\sup _{2^{j} r \leq t \leq 2^{j+1} r} \rho(t)}{\left(2^{j+1} r\right)^{n}} \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B}|f(y)| d y \\
& \quad \lesssim \int_{K_{1} 2^{j} r}^{K_{2} 2^{j} r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t \Phi\left(\varphi\left(2^{j+1} r\right)\right)\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \lesssim \int_{K_{1} 2^{j} r}^{K_{2} 2^{j} r} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have the conclusion.
Lemma 7.7. Let $\Phi \in \nabla_{2}, \varphi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {dec }}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$. Assume that $\rho$ satisfies (1.4) and (1.5)). Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all balls $B(x, r)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B(x, r)}\left|b(y)-b_{B(x, r)}\right| \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y \\
& \leq C \int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(u) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is the constant in (1.5).

Proof. Let $B=B(x, r)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B(x, r)}\left|b(y)-b_{B}\right| \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y \\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B}\left|b(y)-b_{B}\right| \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.3 we can find $p \in(1, \infty)$ such that

$$
\left(f_{2^{j+1} B}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \lesssim \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{j+1} r\right)\right)\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

By (1.5), (4.11), Hölder's inequality, Lemma 7.2 and the doubling condition of $\psi$ and $\varphi$ we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B}\left|b(y)-b_{B}\right| \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y \\
\lesssim \frac{\sup _{2^{j} r \leq u \leq 2^{j+1} r} \rho(u)}{\left(2^{j+1} r\right)^{n}} \int_{2^{j+1} B \backslash 2^{j} B}\left|b(y)-b_{B}\right||f(y)| d y \\
\lesssim \int_{K_{1} 2^{j} r}^{K_{2} 2^{j} r} \frac{\rho(u)}{u} d u\left(f_{2^{j+1} B}\left|b-b_{B}\right|^{p^{\prime}} d y\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(f_{2^{j+1} B}|f(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
\lesssim \int_{K_{1} 2^{j} r}^{K_{2}{ }^{j} r} \frac{\rho(u)}{u} d u \int_{r}^{2^{j+1} r} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t \Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(2^{j+1} r\right)\right)\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
\lesssim \int_{K_{1} 2^{j} r}^{K_{2} 2^{j} r} \\
\end{array} \int_{K_{1} r}^{u} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t\right) \frac{\rho(u) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B} \mid b(y) & \left.-b_{B}\left|\frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}\right| f(y) \right\rvert\, d y \\
& \lesssim \int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{K_{1} r}^{u} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t\right) \frac{\rho(u) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& =\int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(u) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the conclusion.
Remark 7.3. Under the assumption in Theorem 3.2 (i), let $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $f \in$ $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then $f$ is in $L_{\text {loc }}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $b f$ is in $L_{\text {loc }}^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for all $p_{1}<p$ by the same way as in Remark 7.2. Since $\frac{\rho(|y|)}{|y|^{n}}$ is integrable near the origin with respect to $y$,
$I_{\rho}\left(|f| \chi_{2 B}\right)$ and $I_{\rho}\left(|b f| \chi_{2 B}\right)$ are well defined for any ball $B=B(x, r)$. By (3.12) and (3.13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \lesssim \Theta^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(K_{1} r\right)\right) \lesssim \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r)), \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty}\right. & \left.\frac{\rho(u) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t  \tag{7.5}\\
& \lesssim \int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t) \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \lesssim \int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7 , the integrals

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|b(y) f(y)| d y
$$

converge. That is, the integrals

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}} f(y) d y \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}} b(y) f(y) d y
$$

converge absolutely a.e. $x$ and we can write

$$
\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(b(x)-b(y)) \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}} f(y) d y, \quad \text { a.e. } x .
$$

Lemma 7.8. Under the assumption of Theorem 3.2 (i), there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and all balls $B=$ $B(z, r)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{B}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}(b(x)-b(y)) \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}} f(y) d y\right) d x\right| & \\
& \leq C \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(B))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For $x \in B$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}(x)=\left|b(x)-b_{B}\right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B} \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y, \\
& G_{2}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}\left|b(y)-b_{B}\right| \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}}|f(y)| d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 2 B}(b(x)-b(y)) \frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}} f(y) d y\right| \leq G_{1}(x)+G_{2}(x) .
$$

Using this estimate and a similar way to Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7, we have that, for all $x \in B$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}(x) \lesssim\left|b(x)-b_{B}\right| \int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \\
& G_{2}(x) \lesssim C \int_{K_{1} r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(u) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using (7.4) and (7.5) also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B} G_{1}(x) d x & \lesssim f_{B}\left|b(x)-b_{B}\right| d x \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim \psi(r) \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
f_{B} G_{2}(x) d x \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

Then we have the conclusion.

## 8 Proofs of the main results

We use the following two propositions. We omit their proofs because the proof methods are almost same as [1, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2] and [39, Proposition 6.2].

Proposition 8.1. Let $T$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator of type $\omega$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{G}^{\text {inc }}$. Assume that $\omega$ and $\psi$ satisfy the same assumption in Theorem 3.1. Then, for any $\eta \in(1, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\sharp}([b, T] f)(x) \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\left(\left(M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(|T f|^{\eta}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / \eta}+\left(M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(|f|^{\eta}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / \eta}\right), \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\psi^{n}}$ is the fractional maximal operator defined by

$$
M_{\psi^{\eta}} f(x)=\sup _{B(a, r) \ni x} \psi(r)^{\eta} f_{B(a, r)}|f(y)| d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

Proposition 8.2. Assume that $\rho:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfies (1.4). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{*}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the condition (3.11) holds and that $r \mapsto \rho(r) / r^{n-\epsilon}$ is almost decreasing for some $\epsilon>0$. Assume also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t<\infty, \quad \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t}\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(u) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u))}{u} d u\right) d t<\infty \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $\eta \in(1, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, for all $b \in \mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\sharp}\left(\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f\right)(x) \leq C\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\left(\left(M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(\left|I_{\rho} f\right|^{\eta}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / \eta}+\left(M_{\left(\rho^{*} \psi\right)^{\eta}}\left(|f|^{\eta}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / \eta}\right) \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\left(\rho^{*} \psi\right)^{\eta}}$ is the fractional maximal operator defined by

$$
M_{\left(\rho^{*} \psi\right)^{\eta}} f(x)=\sup _{B(a, r) \ni x}\left(\rho^{*}(r) \psi(r)\right)^{\eta} f_{B(a, r)}|f(y)| d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

We note that the condition (8.3) is used to prove the well definedness of $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f$. Next, we note that, for $\theta \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left\||g|^{\theta}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}=\left(\|g\|_{L^{(\Phi((\cdot) \cdot}}{ }^{\theta}\right), \varphi\right)\right)\right)^{\theta} . \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (i). First note that $T$ is bounded on $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as we state just before Theorem 3.1. We can take $\eta \in(1, \infty)$ such that $\Phi\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right) \in \bar{\nabla}_{2}$ by Lemma 4.2. Then, from (3.7) it follows that

$$
\psi(r)^{\eta} \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))^{\eta} \leq C_{0}{ }^{\eta} \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))^{\eta}
$$

By Theorem5.1] with this condition we have the boundedness of $M_{\psi^{\eta}}$ from $L^{\left.\left(\Phi(\cdot \cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\left.\left.(\Psi(\cdot))^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Using this boundedness and (8.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(|T f|^{\eta}\right)\right)^{1 / \eta}\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}=\left(\left\|M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(|T f|^{\eta}\right)\right\|_{\left.\left.L^{(\Psi)}(\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\right)^{1 / \eta} \\
& \lesssim\left(\left\||T f|^{\eta}\right\|_{\left.\left.L^{(\Phi( }(\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\right)^{1 / \eta}=\|T f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left\|\left(M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(|f|^{\eta}\right)\right)^{1 / \eta}\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} & =\left(\left\|M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(|f|^{\eta}\right)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi(\cdot)}}()^{1 / \eta), \varphi)}\right.
\end{array}\right)^{1 / \eta} .
$$

Then, using Proposition 8.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M^{\sharp}([b, T] f)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \lesssim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} . \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if we show that, for $B_{r}=B(0, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{r}}[b, T] f \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by Corollary 6.3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \lesssim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the conclusion.
In the following we show (8.7).
Case 1: First we show (8.7) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support. Let $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B_{s}=B(0, s)$ with $s \geq 1$. Then $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $b f \in L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for some $1<p_{1}<p<\infty$ (see Remark 7.2). Since $T$ is bounded on Lebesgue spaces, we see that both $(b T f) \chi_{B_{2 s}}$ and $T(b f) \chi_{B_{2 s}}$ are in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and that

$$
f_{B_{r}}(b T f) \chi_{B_{2 s}} \rightarrow 0, \quad f_{B_{r}} T(b f) \chi_{B_{2 s}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

If $x \notin B_{2 s}$ and $y \in B(0, s)$, then $|x| / 2 \leq|x-y| \leq 3|x| / 2$. By (3.1) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T f(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}, \quad|T(b f)(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{n}}\|b f\|_{L^{1}}, \quad x \notin B_{2 s}, \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
b_{B_{2 s}} f_{B_{r}}(T f)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad f_{B_{r}}(T(b f))\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Next, we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{r}}\left(b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right)(T f)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have (8.7) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support.
Now, since $\Psi \in \Delta_{2}$, there exists $p \in(1, \infty)$ such that $\Psi^{-1}(u) \lesssim u^{1 / p}(u \leq 1)$. Let $\nu=\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid f_{B_{r}}\left(b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right)(T f)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) & \mid \\
& \leq\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right|^{\nu^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / \nu^{\prime}}\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|(T f)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right)\right|^{\nu}\right)^{1 / \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 7.2, Remark 7.1 and (3.7) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right|^{\nu^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / \nu^{\prime}} & \lesssim \int_{2 s}^{r} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}  \tag{8.11}\\
& \lesssim \psi(r) \log r\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} \lesssim \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))} \log r\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (8.9) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{B_{r} \backslash B_{2 s}}|T f(x)|^{\nu} d x\right)^{1 / \nu} \lesssim\left(\int_{B_{r} \backslash B_{2 s}}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}\right)^{\nu} d x\right)^{1 / \nu} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{1}} \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (8.11) and (8.12) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{B_{r}}\left(b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right)(T f)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right)\right| \\
& \lesssim \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))} \log r\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} \frac{1}{r^{n / \nu}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}=\frac{\log r}{r^{n / \nu}} \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\log r \varphi(r)^{1 / p}}{r^{n / \nu}} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\varphi\left(b\left\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\right\| f\left\|_{L^{1}}=\frac{\log r}{r^{\frac{n}{2 p}}\left(r^{n} \varphi(r)\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\right\| b\left\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\right\| f \|_{L^{1}}\right.} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have (8.7) and (8.8) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support.
Case 2: For general $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, using Case 1 , we have

$$
\left\|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \lesssim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\left\|f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

Then, by (4.11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{r}}[b, T]\left(f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right) \leq \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\left\|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} & \\
& \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Lemma 7.5, we have

$$
f_{B_{r}}[b, T] f \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

which implies (8.7). Therefore, we have (8.8) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (ii). We use the method by Janson [12]. Since $1 / K(z)$ is many times infinitely differentiable in an open set, we may choose $z_{0} \neq 0$ and $\delta>0$ such that $1 / K(z)$ can be expressed in the neighborhood $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<2 \delta$ as an absolutely convergent Fourier series, $1 / K(z)=\sum a_{j} e^{i v_{j} \cdot z}$. (The exact form of the vectors $v_{j}$ is irrelevant. For example, if the cube centered at $z_{0}$ of side length $4 \delta$ is contained in the open set, then we can take $v_{j}=2 \pi j /(4 \delta), j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.)

Set $z_{1}=z_{0} / \delta$. If $\left|z-z_{1}\right|<2$, we have the expansion

$$
\frac{1}{K(z)}=\frac{\delta^{-n}}{K(\delta z)}=\delta^{-n} \sum a_{j} e^{i v_{j} \cdot \delta z}
$$

Choose now any ball $B=B\left(x_{0}, r\right)$. Set $y_{0}=x_{0}-r z_{1}$ and $B^{\prime}=B\left(y_{0}, r\right)$. Then, if $x \in B$ and $y \in B^{\prime}$,

$$
\left|\frac{x-y}{r}-z_{1}\right| \leq\left|\frac{x-x_{0}}{r}\right|+\left|\frac{y-y_{0}}{r}\right| \leq 2 .
$$

Denote $\operatorname{sgn}\left(f(x)-f_{B^{\prime}}\right)$ by $s(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B}\left|b(x)-b_{B^{\prime}}\right| d x=\int_{B}\left(b(x)-b_{B^{\prime}}\right) s(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|B^{\prime}\right|} \int_{B} \int_{B^{\prime}}(b(x)-b(y)) s(x) d y d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|B^{\prime}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(b(x)-b(y)) \frac{r^{n} K(x-y)}{K\left(\frac{x-y}{r}\right)} s(x) \chi_{B}(x) \chi_{B^{\prime}}(y) d y d x \\
& =\frac{r^{n} \delta^{-n}}{\left|B^{\prime}\right|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x-y) \sum a_{j} e^{i v_{j} \cdot \delta \frac{x-y}{r}} s(x) \chi_{B}(x) \chi_{B^{\prime}}(y) d y d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we set $C=\delta^{-n}|B(0,1)|^{-1}$ and

$$
g_{j}(y)=e^{-i v_{j} \cdot \delta \frac{y}{r}} \chi_{B^{\prime}}(y), \quad h_{j}(x)=e^{i v_{j} \cdot \delta \frac{x}{r}} s(x) \chi_{B}(x) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B}\left|b(x)-b_{B^{\prime}}\right| d x \\
& =C \sum a_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(b(x)-b(y)) K(x-y) g_{j}(y) h_{j}(x) d y d x \\
& =C \sum a_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left([b, T] g_{j}\right)(x) h_{j}(x) d x \\
& \leq C \sum\left|a_{j}\right| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\left([b, T] g_{j}\right)(x)\right|\left|h_{j}(x)\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =C \sum\left|a_{j}\right| \int_{B}\left|\left([b, T] g_{j}\right)(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq C \sum\left|a_{j}\right||B| \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\left\|[b, T] g_{j}\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \\
& \leq C\|[b, T]\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}|B| \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \sum\left|a_{j}\right|\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.1 we have that $\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}=\left\|\chi_{B^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \sim \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}\left(\varphi\left(B^{\prime}\right)\right)}$. Then

$$
\int_{B}\left|b(x)-b_{B^{\prime}}\right| d x \lesssim\|[b, T]\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}|B| \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(B))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(B))} .
$$

By (3.8) we have

$$
\frac{1}{\psi(B)} f_{B}\left|b(x)-b_{B}\right| d x \leq \frac{2}{\psi(B)} f_{B}\left|b(x)-b_{B^{\prime}}\right| d x \lesssim\|[b, T]\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}
$$

That is, $\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} \lesssim\|[b, T]\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}$ and we have the conclusion.
Proof of Theorem 3.2 (i). We may assume that $\Phi, \Psi \in \Delta_{2} \cap \nabla_{2}$ and $\Theta \in \nabla_{2}$. We can choose $\eta \in(1, \infty)$ such that $\Phi\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \Psi\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right)$ and $\Theta\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right)$ are in $\nabla_{2}$ by Lemma 4.2. Then from (3.13) it follows that

$$
\psi(r)^{\eta} \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))^{\eta} \leq C_{1}^{\eta} \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))^{\eta}
$$

Hence, by Theorem 5.1 we see that $M_{\psi^{\eta}}$ is bounded from $L^{\left(\Theta\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{\left(\Psi\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, as we mentioned just before Theorem 3.2 $I_{\rho}$ is bounded from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Theta, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by (3.12). Then, using (8.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(\left|I_{\rho} f\right|^{\eta}\right)\right)^{1 / \eta}\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}= & \left(\left\|M_{\psi^{\eta}}\left(\left|I_{\rho} f\right|^{\eta}\right)\right\|_{L^{\left.\left.(\Psi(\cdot) \cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}}\right)^{1 / \eta} \\
& \lesssim\left(\left\|\left|I_{\rho} f\right|^{\eta}\right\|_{\left.\left.\left.L^{(\Theta(\cdot)}\right)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\right)^{1 / \eta}=\left\|I_{\rho} f\right\|_{L^{(\Theta, \varphi)}} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.12) and (3.13) it follows that

$$
\left(\rho^{*}(r) \psi(r)\right)^{\eta}\left(\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\right)^{\eta} \leq\left(C_{0} C_{1}\right)^{\eta}\left(\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\right)^{\eta} .
$$

By using Theorem 5.1. we have the boundedness of $M_{\left(\rho^{*} \psi\right)^{\eta}}$ from $L^{\left(\Phi\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}$ to $L^{\left(\Psi\left((\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}$. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(M_{\left(\rho^{*} \psi\right)^{\eta}}\left(|f|^{\eta}\right)\right)^{1 / \eta}\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} & =\left(\left\|M_{\left(\rho^{*} \psi\right)^{\eta}}\left(|f|^{\eta}\right)\right\|_{\left.\left.\left.L^{(\Psi)}(\cdot)^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)\right)}\right)^{1 / \eta} \\
& \lesssim\left(\left\||f|^{\eta}\right\|_{\left.\left.L^{(\Phi(\cdot)}()^{1 / \eta}\right), \varphi\right)}\right)^{1 / \eta}=\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if we show that, for $B_{r}=B(0, r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{r}}\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \lesssim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}, \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Corollary 6.3.
In the following we show (8.13).
Case 1: First we show (8.13) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support. Let $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B_{s}=B(0, s)$ with $s \geq 1$. Then $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $b f \in L^{p_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for some $1<p_{1}<p<\infty$ (see Remark 7.3). Since $\frac{\rho(|y|)}{|y|^{n}}$ is locally integrable with respect to $y$, we see that $\left(b I_{\rho} f\right) \chi_{B_{2 s}}$ and $I_{\rho}(b f) \chi_{B_{2 s}}$ are in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and that

$$
f_{B_{r}}\left(b I_{\rho} f\right) \chi_{B_{2 s}} \rightarrow 0, \quad f_{B_{r}} I_{\rho}(b f) \chi_{B_{2 s}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

If $x \notin B_{2 s}$ and $y \in B(0, s)$, then $|y|<|x-y|$ and $|x| / 2 \leq|x-y| \leq 3|x| / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(|x-y|) \leq \sup _{|x| / 2 \leq t \leq 3|x| / 2} \rho(t) . \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\frac{\rho(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{n}} \lesssim \frac{\sup _{|x| / 2 \leq t \leq 3|x| / 2} \rho(t)}{|x|^{n}} \sim \sup _{|x| / 2 \leq t \leq 3|x| / 2} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{n}},
$$

and

$$
\left|I_{\rho} f(x)\right| \lesssim \sup _{|x| / 2 \leq t \leq 3|x| / 2} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{n}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}, \quad\left|I_{\rho}(b f)(x)\right| \lesssim \sup _{|x| / 2 \leq t \leq 3|x| / 2} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{n}}\|b f\|_{L^{1}}
$$

From almost decreasingness of $t \mapsto \rho(t) / t^{n-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon \in(0, n)$, it follows that $\frac{\rho(t)}{t^{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which yields

$$
b_{B_{2 s}} f_{B_{r}}\left(I_{\rho} f\right)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad f_{B_{r}}\left(I_{\rho}(b f)\right)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Next, we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{r}}\left(b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right)\left(I_{\rho} f\right)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have (8.13) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support.
Now, since $\Psi \in \Delta_{2}$, there exists $p \in(1, \infty)$ such that $\Psi^{-1}(u) \lesssim u^{1 / p}(u \leq 1)$. Let $\nu=\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid f_{B_{r}}\left(b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right)\left(I_{\rho} f\right)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right) & \mid \\
& \leq\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right|^{\nu^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / \nu^{\prime}}\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\left(I_{\rho} f\right)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right)\right|^{\nu}\right)^{1 / \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 7.2, Remark 7.1 and (3.13) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right|^{\nu^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / \nu^{\prime}} & \lesssim \int_{2 s}^{r} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}  \tag{8.17}\\
& \lesssim \psi(r) \log r\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} \lesssim \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))} \log r\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $j=0,1,2, \ldots$, from (8.15) and (1.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{2^{j+2} B_{s} \backslash 2^{j+1} B_{s}}\left|I_{\rho} f(x)\right|^{\nu} d x\right)^{1 / \nu} \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{2^{j+2} B_{s} \backslash 2^{j+1} B_{s}}\left(\frac{\sup _{|x| / 2 \leq t \leq 3|x| / 2} \rho(t)}{|x|^{n}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}\right)^{\nu} d x\right)^{1 / \nu} \\
& \lesssim\left(2^{j} s\right)^{(-n \nu+n) / \nu} \sup _{2^{j} s \leq t \leq 3 \cdot 2^{j+1} s} \rho(t)\|f\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim \int_{2^{j} K_{1} s}^{3 \cdot 2^{j} K_{2} s} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $s \geq 1$. Take the integer $j_{0}$ such that $r \leq 2^{j_{0}+2} s<2 r$. Then, by (3.12),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(f_{B_{r}}\left|\left(I_{\rho} f\right)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right)\right|^{\nu}\right)^{1 / \nu} \leq \frac{1}{r^{n / \nu}} \sum_{j=0}^{j_{0}}\left(\int_{2^{j+2} B_{s} \backslash 2^{j+1} B_{s}}\left|I_{\rho} f\right|^{\nu}\right)^{1 / \nu}  \tag{8.18}\\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{n / \nu}} \int_{0}^{3 K_{2} r / 2} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t\|f\|_{L^{1}} \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{n / \nu}} \frac{\Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}\|f\|_{L^{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (8.17) and (8.18), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{B_{r}}\left(b-b_{B_{2 s}}\right)\left(I_{\rho} f\right)\left(1-\chi_{B_{2 s}}\right)\right| \\
& \lesssim \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))} \log r \frac{1}{r^{n / \nu}} \frac{\Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{1}} \\
& =\frac{\log r}{r^{n / \nu}} \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}{\Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))}\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \frac{\log r}{r^{n / \nu}} \frac{\varphi(r)^{1 / p}}{\varphi(r)}\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}=\frac{\log r}{r^{\frac{n}{2 p}}\left(r^{n} \varphi(r)\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have (8.13) and (8.14) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support.
Case 2: For general $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, using Case 1, we have

$$
\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]\left(f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \lesssim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\left\|f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \leq\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
$$

Then, by (4.11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{r}}\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]\left(f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right) \leq \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]\left(f \chi_{B_{2 r}}\right)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} & \\
& \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Lemma 7.8, we have

$$
f_{B_{r}}\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r))\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}
$$

which implies (8.13). Therefore, we have (8.14) for all $f \in L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.2 (ii). In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.1 (ii), we can conclude that $\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1, \psi}} \lesssim\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right]\right\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)} \rightarrow L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}$, by calculating $|z|^{n-\alpha}$ instead of $1 / K(z)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3, Let $B_{r}=B(0, r)$. By Theorem 6.1 we have that, for every $b \in \mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), b_{B_{r}}$ converges as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|b-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} b_{B_{r}}\right\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}} \sim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}}$. Let $b_{0}=b-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} b_{B_{r}}$. Then $\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}} \sim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}}$ and $[b, T] f=b_{0} T f-T\left(b_{0} f\right)$. Using the boundedness of $T$ on $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and on $L^{(\Psi, \theta)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and generalized Hölder's inequality (Lemma 4.5) with the assumption (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{(\Psi, \theta)}} & \leq\left\|b_{0} T f\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \theta)}}+\left\|T\left(b_{0} f\right)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \theta)}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}}\|T f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}}+\left\|b_{0} f\right\|_{\left.L^{(\Psi,}, \theta\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \psi\right)}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \sim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the conclusion.

Proof of Theorem 3.4, We use the same method as the proof of Theorem 3.3, For $b \in \mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, let $b_{0}=b-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} b_{B_{r}}$. Then $\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}} \sim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}}$ and $\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f=$ $b_{0} I_{\rho} f-I_{\rho}\left(b_{0} f\right)$. As we mentioned just before Theorem 3.2 $I_{\rho}$ is bounded from $L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Theta, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by the assumption (3.12). Moreover, we see that $I_{\rho}$ is bounded from $L^{\left(\Psi_{0}, \varphi\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} & d t \Psi_{0}^{-1}(\varphi(r))+\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Psi_{0}^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \\
& \sim \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \Phi_{0}^{-1}(\varphi(r))+\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t)) \Phi_{0}^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho(t)}{t} d t \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(r))+\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(t) \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(t))}{t} d t\right) \Phi_{0}^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \\
& \lesssim \Theta^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \Phi_{0}^{-1}(\varphi(r)) \lesssim \Psi^{-1}(\varphi(r)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above we use the almost decreasingness of $r \mapsto \Phi_{0}^{-1}(\varphi(r))$. Then, using these boundedness of $I_{\rho}$ and generalized Hölder's inequality (Lemma 4.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[b, I_{\rho}\right] f\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} & \leq\left\|b_{0} I_{\rho} f\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}}+\left\|I_{\rho}\left(b_{0} f\right)\right\|_{L^{(\Psi, \varphi)}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}}\left\|I_{\rho} f\right\|_{L^{(\Theta, \varphi)}}+\left\|b_{0} f\right\|_{L^{\left(\Psi_{0}, \varphi\right)}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{L^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} \sim\|b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\left(\Phi_{0}, \varphi\right)}}\|f\|_{L^{(\Phi, \varphi)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the conclusion.
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