

# On universal black holes\*

Sigbjørn Hervik<sup>†1</sup> and Marcello Ortaggio<sup>‡2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Stavanger, N-4036 Stavanger, Norway

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences,  
Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1, Czech Republic

Recent results [1] on universal black holes in  $d$  dimensions are summarized. These are static metrics with an isotropy-irreducible homogeneous base space which can be consistently employed to construct solutions to virtually any metric theory of gravity in vacuum.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h; 04.50.Kd; 04.70.Bw; 04.50.Gh

## 1. Introduction

Let us consider the static black hole ansatz

$$\mathbf{g} = e^{a(r)} \left( -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} \right) + r^2 h_{ij}(x^k) dx^i dx^j. \quad (1)$$

When  $a = 0$ ,  $f = 1 - \frac{\mu}{r}$  and  $\mathbf{h} = h_{ij} dx^i dx^j$  is the metric of a 2-dimensional round unit sphere, this represents the well-known spherical Schwarzschild black hole of four-dimensional general relativity.

Extensions to Einstein's gravity in  $d = n + 2$  spacetime dimensions with a cosmological constant are readily obtained if one takes  $f = K - \frac{\mu}{r^{d-3}} - \lambda r^2$  and  $\mathbf{h}$  is the metric of an  $n$ -dimensional Einstein space with Ricci scalar  $\tilde{R} = n(n-1)K$  [2–4]. While  $\mathbf{h}$  can be *any* Einstein space in Einstein's gravity, obstructions to the permitted geometries arise in more general higher dimensional theories such as Gauss-Bonnet and Lovelock gravity [5–8].

In our recent work [1], we have studied the metric ansatz (1) in higher-order vacuum gravity theories of the form

$$S = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}, \nabla \mathbf{R}, \dots), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a scalar invariant constructed polynomially from the Riemann tensor  $\mathbf{R}$  and its covariant derivatives of arbitrary order. We have obtained a sufficient condition on the metric  $\mathbf{h}$  which enables the ansatz (1) to be consistently employed in any such theory, as we summarize in the following.

---

\* Presented at the 6th Conference of the Polish Society on Relativity, Szczecin, Poland, September 23–26, 2019

<sup>†</sup> sigbjorn.hervik@uis.no

<sup>‡</sup> ortaggio(at)math(dot)cas(dot)cz

## 2. Black Holes with universal horizons

First of all, let us recall the following geometric definition (quoted, for convenience, from [9]):

**Definition 2.1** (IHS space). *An isotropy-irreducible homogeneous space (IHS)  $(M, \mathbf{h})$  is a homogeneous space whose isotropy group at a point acts irreducibly on the tangent space of  $M$  at that point.*

For our purposes, it is important to observe that an IHS is necessarily Einstein (but not vice versa) and, more generally, for an IHS any symmetric 2-tensor on  $M$  possessing the symmetries of  $\mathbf{h}$  must be proportional to  $\mathbf{h}$  [10]. IHS are equivalent to *universal* Riemannian spaces in the sense explained in [1]. Examples of IHS can be found in [11]. These include direct products of (identical) spaces of constant curvature and irreducible symmetric spaces. In particular, in  $n = 4$  dimensions, an IHS must be symmetric and therefore locally one of the following:  $S^4$ ,  $S^2 \times S^2$ ,  $H^4$ ,  $H^2 \times H^2$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ ,  $H_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ , or flat space (cf., e.g., [11] and references therein).

Now we can quote the main result of [1]:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Consider any metric of the form (1) where  $\mathbf{h}$  is an IHS. Then, any symmetric 2-tensor  $\mathbf{E}$  constructed from tensor products, sums and contractions from the metric  $\mathbf{g}$ , the Riemann tensor  $\mathbf{R}$ , and its covariant derivatives necessarily takes the form*

$$\mathbf{E} = F(r)dt^2 + G(r)dr^2 + H(r)h_{ij}(x^k)dx^i dx^j. \quad (3)$$

Let us now note that the field equations derived from (2) (neglecting boundary terms) are of the form  $\mathbf{E} = 0$ , where  $\mathbf{E}$  is a symmetric, conserved rank-2 tensor locally constructed out of  $\mathbf{g}$  and its derivatives [12] (cf. also [13]). We can thus apply proposition 2.2 to observe that, in any theory of gravity (2), the tensorial field equation  $\mathbf{E} = 0$  for the metric (1) with  $\mathbf{h}$  IHS reduces to three ‘‘scalar’’ equations  $F(r) = 0$ ,  $G(r) = 0$  and  $H(r) = 0$ . Furthermore, the equation  $H(r) = 0$  holds automatically once  $F(r) = 0 = G(r)$  are satisfied, thanks to the fact that  $\mathbf{E}$  is identically conserved. One is thus left with just *two ODEs* for the two metric functions  $a(r)$  and  $f(r)$  (their precise form will depend on the particular gravity theory under consideration – several examples can be found in [1] and references therein). This is a drastic simplification of the tensorial field equation  $\mathbf{E} = 0$ . These spacetimes will generically describe static black holes – we name them *universal black holes* because they possess a universal (IHS) horizon and because the construction described above works universally in any theory (2). The details (including the precise form of  $a(r)$  and  $f(r)$ ) and physical properties of the solutions depend on the specific theory one is interested in. Since for  $n = 2, 3$  an  $n$ -dimensional Einstein space is necessarily of constant curvature, this result is of interest for dimension  $d \geq 6$  (i.e.,  $n \geq 4$ ).

Some comments on the near-horizon geometries associated with extremal limits of the universal black holes described above can be found in [1] (see also [14]).

## 3. Examples

Here we illustrate the results of section 2 by giving explicit examples of black holes solutions in certain gravity theories of the form (2). Quantities with a tilde will refer to the transverse space geometry of  $\mathbf{h}$  (taken to be IHS), so that

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = (n - 1)K h_{ij}, \quad (4)$$

and thus  $\tilde{R} = n(n - 1)K$ .

### 3.1. Gauss-Bonnet gravity

This theory is defined by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{\kappa} (R - 2\Lambda) + \gamma I_{GB} \right], \quad I_{GB} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $\kappa$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $\gamma$  are constants.

With the ansatz (1), it possesses the black hole solution [5, 15–18]

$$a(r) = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$f(r) = K + \frac{r^2}{2\kappa\hat{\gamma}} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\kappa\hat{\gamma} \left( \frac{2\Lambda}{n(n+1)} + \frac{\mu}{r^{n+1}} \right) - \frac{4\kappa^2\hat{\gamma}^2\tilde{I}_W^2}{r^4}} \right], \quad (7)$$

where  $\mu$  is an integration constant and

$$\hat{\gamma} = (n-1)(n-2)\gamma, \quad n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)\tilde{I}_W^2 = \tilde{C}_{ijkl}\tilde{C}^{ijkl}. \quad (8)$$

Eq. (7) shows that the Weyl tensor of the geometry  $\mathbf{h}$  affects the solution. The branch with the minus sign admits a GR limit by taking  $\hat{\gamma} \rightarrow 0$ . The non-negative constant  $\tilde{I}_W^2$  vanishes iff  $\mathbf{h}$  is conformally flat (so necessarily when  $n = 3$ ), in which case one recovers the well-known black holes with a constant curvature base space [19–21].

### 3.2. Pure cubic Lovelock gravity

In more than six dimensions, a natural extension of Gauss-Bonnet (and Einstein) gravity is given by Lovelock gravity [22]. The special *purely cubic* theory is defined by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} (c_0 + c_3 \mathcal{L}^{(3)}), \quad \mathcal{L}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{8} \delta_{\mu_1\nu_1\mu_2\nu_2\mu_3\nu_3}^{\rho_1\sigma_1\rho_2\sigma_2\rho_3\sigma_3} R_{\rho_1\sigma_1}^{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\rho_2\sigma_2}^{\mu_2\nu_2} R_{\rho_3\sigma_3}^{\mu_3\nu_3}, \quad (9)$$

$\delta_{\rho_1\dots\rho_p}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_p} = p! \delta_{[\rho_1}^{\mu_1} \dots \delta_{\rho_p]}^{\mu_p}$  and  $c_0, c_3$  are constants.

It possesses the solution

$$a(r) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$f(r) - K = \frac{1}{(2\hat{c}_3)^{1/3}} \left[ c_0 r^6 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-5}} + \hat{c}_3 \tilde{J}_W + \sqrt{\left( c_0 r^6 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-5}} + \hat{c}_3 \tilde{J}_W \right)^2 + 4\hat{c}_3^2 \tilde{I}_W^6} \right]^{1/3} \\ + \frac{1}{(2\hat{c}_3)^{1/3}} \left[ c_0 r^6 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-5}} + \hat{c}_3 \tilde{J}_W - \sqrt{\left( c_0 r^6 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-5}} + \hat{c}_3 \tilde{J}_W \right)^2 + 4\hat{c}_3^2 \tilde{I}_W^6} \right]^{1/3}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mu$  is an integration constant and we have defined  $I_W^2$  as in (8) and

$$\hat{c}_3 = (n+1)n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)c_3, \quad (12)$$

$$(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)\tilde{J}_W = 4\tilde{C}_{ijkl}\tilde{C}^{klmn}\tilde{C}_{mn}{}^{ij} + 8\tilde{C}_{ijkl}\tilde{C}^{mjkn}\tilde{C}_{mn}{}^i{}_l. \quad (13)$$

The above solution was obtained in [23] for the special case when  $\mathbf{h}$  is a product of two identical spheres (a solution for cubic Lovelock theory including lower order curvature terms was obtained earlier in [6]). When  $I_W^6 = 0$  ( $\Rightarrow J_W = 0$ ) the base space is of constant curvature and one recovers the solution obtained in [24] (see also [7, 25]).

Comments about static black hole solutions in generic Lovelock gravity with a base space not of constant curvature can be found in [7, 8].

### Acknowledgments

S.H. was supported through the Research Council of Norway, Toppforsk grant no. 250367: *Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Polynomial Curvature Invariants: Classification, Characterisation and Applications*. M.O. was supported by research plan RVO: 67985840 and research grant GAČR 19-09659S.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Hervik S and Ortaggio M 2020 *JHEP* **02** 047
- [2] Tangherlini F R 1963 *Il Nuovo Cimento* **27** 636
- [3] Gibbons G W and Wiltshire D L 1987 *Nucl. Phys. B* **287** 717
- [4] Birmingham D 1999 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16** 1197
- [5] Dotti G and Gleiser R J 2005 *Phys. Lett. B* **627** 174
- [6] Farhangkhah N and Dehghani M H 2014 *Phys. Rev. D* **90** 044014
- [7] Ray S 2015 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **32** 195022
- [8] Ohashi S and Nozawa M 2015 *Phys. Rev. D* **92** 064020
- [9] Bleecker D D 1979 *J. Diff. Geom.* **14** 599
- [10] Wolf J A 1968 *Acta Math.* **120** 59
- [11] Besse A L 1987 *Einstein Manifolds* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [12] Eddington A 1930 *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity* 2nd edn (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [13] Iyer V and Wald R M 1994 *Phys. Rev. D* **50** 846
- [14] Gürses M 1992 *Phys. Rev. D* **46** 2522
- [15] Dotti G, Oliva J and Troncoso R 2009 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24** 1690
- [16] Bogdanos C, Charmousis C, Goutéraux B and Zegers R 2009 *JHEP* **10** 037
- [17] Maeda H 2010 *Phys. Rev. D* **81** 124007
- [18] Dotti G, Oliva J and Troncoso R 2010 *Phys. Rev. D* **82** 024002
- [19] Boulware D G and Deser S 1985 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** 2656
- [20] Wheeler J T 1986 *Nucl. Phys. B* **268** 737
- [21] Cai R G 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 084014
- [22] Lovelock D 1971 *J. Math. Phys.* **12** 498
- [23] Dadhich N and Pons J M 2015 *JHEP* **05** 067
- [24] Cai R G and Ohta N 2006 *Phys. Rev. D* **74** 064001
- [25] Bueno P, Cano P A, Lasso A. Ó and Ramírez P F 2016 *JHEP* **04** 028