Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

On 1-absorbing prime submodules

Emel Aslankarayigit Ugurlu

Received: 02 July 2020 / Accepted: date

arXiv:2007.01103v1 [math.AC] 2 Jul 2020

Abstract In this study, we aim to introduce the concept of *1-absorbing prime submodule* of an unital module over a commutative ring with non-zero identity. Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. For all non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ if $abm \in N$, either $ab \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$, then N is called 1-absorbing prime submodule of M. We show that the new concept is a generalization of prime submodules at the same time it is a kind of special 2-absorbing submodule. In addition to some properties of a 1-absorbing prime submodule, we obtain a characterization of it in a multiplication module.

Keywords 1-absorbing prime ideal · 1-absorbing prime submodule · prime submodule

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 16D10 · 16D80

1 Introduction

In this article, we focus only on commutative rings with non-zero identity and non-zero unital left modules. Let R always denote such a ring and let M denote such an R-module. The concept of prime ideals and its generalizations have a significant place in commutative algebra since they are used in understanding the structure of rings. Recall that a proper ideal P of R is said to be *prime ideal* if $ab \in P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$, [3]. Several authors have extended the notion of prime ideals to modules, see, for example, [7,9,10]. A proper submodule N of a module M over a commutative ring R is said to be *prime submodule* if whenever $rm \in N$ for some $r \in R, m \in M$, then $m \in N$ or $rM \subseteq N$, [1].

E. Aslankarayigit Ugurlu
Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
E-mail: emel.aslankarayigit@marmara.edu.tr

$Id(R)$ and $S(M)$ denote the lattice of all ideals of R and the lattice of all submodules of M, respectively. The *radical of* I, denoted by $\sqrt{I}$, is defined as the intersection of all prime ideals contain I. Note that we have the equality $\sqrt{I} = \{r \in R \mid r^k \in I \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, see [3]. For any $a \in R$, the principal ideal generated by a is denoted by (a). All unit elements of R is denoted by $U(R)$. For any element $x \in M$, the set $< m >= Rm = \{rm : \forall r \in R\}$ is the cyclic submodule of M. If $M =< X >$, we say that M is a finitely generated R-module for any finite subset X of M. Now we define the residue of N by M. If N is a submodule of an R-module M, the ideal $\{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$ is called the *residue* of N by M and it is denoted by $(N :_R M)$. If R is clear, it is written by only $(N : M)$. In particular, $(0_M :_R M)$ is called the *annihilator of* M and denoted by $Ann(M)$, see [8]. If the annihilator of M equal to 0_R, then M is called a *faithful module*. For an element $m \in M$, the *annihilator of* m is defined as $Ann(m) := \{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$ and it is an ideal of R. For a proper submodule N of M, the *radical of* N, denoted by $rad(N)$, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N. If there is no prime submodule containing N, then $rad(N) = M$, see [8].

In 2007, the notion of 2-absorbing ideal, which is a generalization of prime ideal, was introduced by Badawi as the following: a proper ideal I of R is called a *2-absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$, see [4]. Then in 2011, A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia defined the concept of 2-absorbing submodule as following: N is said to be a *2-absorbing submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ then $ab \in (N : M)$ or $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$, see [6]. Actually, the concept of 2-absorbing submodule is a generalization of prime submodules.

Recently, Yassine et al. defined a new class of ideals, which is an intermediate class of ideals between prime ideals and 2-absorbing ideals. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a *1-absorbing prime ideal* if for each non-units $a, b, c \in R$ with $abc \in I$, then either $ab \in I$ or $c \in I$, see [12]. Note that every prime ideal is a 1-absorbing prime and every 1-absorbing prime ideal is a 2-absorbing ideal. Thus we have a chain: prime ideals $\Rightarrow$ 1-absorbing ideals $\Rightarrow$ 2 absorbing ideals. On the other hand, we have a second chain: prime submodules $\Rightarrow$ 2 absorbing submodules. Thus we realize that there is a missing part in the second chain, which is between prime submodules and 2-absorbing submodules. Then we define the missing part of the chain as *1-absorbing prime submodules.*

In this paper, after introducing the notion of *1-absorbing prime submodule* of an unital left module over a commutative ring with non-zero identity, we examine the properties of the new class. For all non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, if $abm \in N$, either $ab \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$, then N is called *1-absorbing prime submodule* of M, see Definition 1. Firstly, we investigate in Proposition 1, the relation between 1-absorbing prime submodules and other classical submodules such as prime submodules, 2-absorbing submodules. We prove that every prime submodule is a 1-absorbing submodule, but the converse is not true: To see this, consider the cyclic submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_4-$module $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ generated by X, that is, $< X >$. Indeed, it is 1-absorbing submodule, but is not a prime submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_4-$module $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$, see Example 2. Fur-

thermore, we show that every 1-absorbing prime submodule is a 2-absorbing submodule. However it is not true that every 2-absorbing submodule is a 1-absorbing prime submodule. For instance, consider the cyclic submodule of $\mathbb{Z}-$module $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$ generated by $\overline{6}$, it is a 2-absorbing submodule but not a 1-absorbing prime submodule, see Example 3. Actually, by the help of Proposition 1, the second chain is completed. For the completed picture of these algebraic structures, see Figure 1. Among other results in this paper, in Section 2, we proved that N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of $M \Leftrightarrow$ for any two proper ideals I, J and for a proper submodule K of M, $IJK \subseteq N$ implies either $IJ \subseteq (N : M)$ or $K \subseteq N$, see Theorem 1. In Corollary 1, after Theorem 2, we conclude that if R is a quasi local ring the concepts of 1-absorbing prime submodule and prime submodule are equivalent. After we investigate the behavior of 1-absorbing prime submodules under homomorphisms, in Corollary 2, we prove that if N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M, then N/K is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M/K. In Section 3, we examine 1-absorbing prime submodules of a multiplication module. Under special conditions, we show that for a 1-absorbing prime ideal I of R, $abm \in IM$ implies $ab \in I$ or $m \in IM$ for all non-units $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, see Theorem 5. By using this result in Theorem 6, we obtain a characterization of 1-absorbing prime submodules in multiplication modules.

2 Properties of 1-Absorbing Prime Submodules of Modules

Definition 1 Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. For all non-units element $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, if $abm \in N$, either $ab \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$, then N is called **1-absorbing prime submodule** of M.

It is clear that if I is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R, it is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of R-module R.

Proposition 1 *Prime submodules $\Rightarrow$ 1-absorbing prime submodules $\Rightarrow$ 2-absorbing submodules.*

Proof Let N be a prime submodule of M. Take non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in N$. Since N is a prime submodule, $ab \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$, as desired. Suppose N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M. Take any $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in N$. We must obtain that $ab \in (N : M)$ or $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. If a, b are non-units, we have $ab \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$, it is done. Without loss generality, assume a is unit. Then $abm \in N$ implies $bm \in N$, as desired.

Example 1 Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring with $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (0_R)$ and M be a R-module. Then every proper submodule is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M. To see this, choose non-units $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in N$. Since $ab \in \mathfrak{m}^2 = (0_R)$, we have $ab \in (N : M)$, which implies N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.

Example 2 **(1-absorbing prime submodule that is not prime)**Consider $\mathbb{Z}_4-$module $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ and the submodule $N =< X >$. By previous example, N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule. But N is not a prime submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$.

Example 3 **(2-absorbing submodule that is not 1-absorbing prime)** Let consider $\mathbb{Z}-$module $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$. Suppose that N is the cyclic submodule of $\mathbb{Z}-$module $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$ generated by $\overline{6}$, that is, $N =< \overline{6} >$. It is clear that $< \overline{6} >$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of $\mathbb{Z}-$module $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$, but it is not a 1-absorbing prime submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$. Indeed, $2 \cdot 2 \cdot \overline{3} \in < \overline{6} >$ but $\overline{4} \notin (N : \mathbb{Z}_{30})$ and $3 \notin < \overline{6} >$.




Fig. 1 1-absorbing prime submodules (ideals) vs other classical submodules (ideals)

Proposition 2 *If N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M,*

1. *$(N : M)$ is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R.*
2. *$(N : m)$ is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R, for every $m \in M \backslash N$.*

Proof Let N be a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.

(1): Choose non-units $a, b, c \in R$ such that $abc \in (N : M)$. For all $m \in M$ then $abcm \in N$. By our hypothesis, $ab \in (N : M)$ or $cm \in N$. This implies that $ab \in (N : M)$ or $c \in (N : M)$. Consequently, $(N : M)$ is 1-absorbing prime ideal of R.

(2): Similar to (1).

The converse of the item (1) of above Proposition is not true for general cases. For this see the following example:

Example 4 Let $M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Consider $N =< (3,0) >= \mathbb{Z}(3,0)$. Then it is clear that $(N : M) = (0)$. Then $(N : M)$ is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$, so it is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$. But $\mathbb{Z}(3,0)$ is not a 1-absorbing prime submodule of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, take non-units $3, 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(1,0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $3 \cdot 2 \cdot (1,0) \in \mathbb{Z}(3,0)$. But $6 \notin (N : M) = (0)$ and $(1,0) \notin \mathbb{Z}(3,0)$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}(3,0)$ is not a 1-absorbing prime submodule of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

By the help of the next Lemma, we will prove that N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of $M \Leftrightarrow IJK \subseteq N$ implies that either $IJ \subseteq (N : M)$ or $K \subseteq N$ for any two proper ideals I, J and a proper submodule K of M.

Lemma 1 *Let M be an R-module and N be a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M. For a proper submodule K of M and for non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ if $abK \subseteq N$, then $ab \in (N : M)$ or $K \subseteq N$.*

Proof Suppose that $abK \subseteq N$ for non-unit elements $a, b \in R$. Assume $K \nsubseteq N$. Then there is an element $0 \neq m \in K \backslash N$. By assumption, we have $abm \in N$. Since N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule, either $ab \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$. The second one implies a contradiction, we conclude $ab \in (N : M)$, it is done.

Theorem 1 *Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*
2. *If $IJK \subseteq N$, then either $IJ \subseteq (N : M)$ or $K \subseteq N$ for any two proper ideals I, J and for a proper submodule K of M.*

Proof Let N be a proper submodule of M.

(1) $\Rightarrow$: (2) : Choose any two proper ideals I, J and a proper submodule K of M such that $IJK \subseteq N$. Suppose $IJ \nsubseteq (N : M)$. Then there are non-units $a, b \in R$ such that $a \in I$, $b \in J$ and $ab \in IJ \backslash (N : M)$. This means that $abK \subseteq N$. Thus we obtain that $K \subseteq N$ by Lemma 1.

(2) $\Rightarrow$: (1) : Take non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in N$. Assume $m \notin N$. This means that $< m > \nsubseteq N$. Consider $I = (a), J = (b)$ and $K =< m >$. Thus since $IJK \subseteq N$ and $K \nsubseteq N$, we conclude $IJ \subseteq (N : M)$ by our hypothesis. Consequently, it means that $ab \in (N : M)$, as desired.

Note that if R has exactly one maximal ideal, then R is called a *quasilocal ring.*

Theorem 2 *Let M be an R-module. If N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M that is not a prime submodule, then R is a quasilocal ring.*

Proof Suppose that N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M that is not a prime submodule. Then there exist a non-unit $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $rm \in N$ but $r \notin (N : M)$ and $m \notin N$. Choose a non-unit element $s \in R$. Hence we have that $rsm \in N$ and $m \notin N$. Since N is 1-absorbing prime, $rs \in (N : M)$. Let us take a unit element $u \in R$. We claim that $s + u$ is a unit

element of R. To see this, assume $s+u$ is non-unit. Then $r(s+u)m \in N$. As N is 1-absorbing prime, $r(s+u) \in (N : M)$. This means that $ru \in (N : M)$, i.e., $r \in (N : M)$, which is a contradiction. Thus for any non-unit element s and unit element u in R, we have $s+u$ is a unit element. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [12], we obtain R is a quasilocal ring.

Corollary 1 *Let M be an R-module and R is not a quasilocal ring. Then a proper submodule N of M is a 1-absorbing prime submodule if and only if N is a prime submodule of M.*

Proposition 3 *Let $\{N_i\}_{i\in\triangle}$ be a chain of 1-absorbing prime submodules of R-module M. Then the followings hold:*

1. $\bigcap\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i$ *is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*
2. *If M is a finitely generated R-module, then $\bigcup\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i$ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*

Proof Let $\{N_i\}_{i\in\triangle}$ be a chain of 1-absorbing prime submodules of M.

(1): Take non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in \bigcap\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i$. Assume that $m \notin \bigcap\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i$, so there exists $i \in\triangle$ such that $m \notin N_i$. Since N_i is 1-absorbing prime, we conclude $ab \in (N_i : M)$. For any $j \in\triangle$, we two cases. If $N_i \subseteq N_j$, then $(N_i : M) \subseteq (N_j : M)$, that is, $ab \in (N_j : M)$. If $N_j \subset N_i$, then we obtain that $ab \in (N_j : M)$ since $m \notin N_j$ and N_j is 1-absorbing prime. As a consequence, for all cases we have $ab \in (\bigcap\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i : M)$.

(2): Since M is finitely generated, $\bigcup\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i$ is a proper submodule of M. Choose non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in \bigcup\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i$ and $m \notin \bigcup\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i$. Thus for $i \in\triangle$, $abm \in N_i$ and $m \notin N_i$. This gives us $ab \in (N_i : M) \subseteq (\bigcup\limits_{i\in\triangle} N_i : M)$. It is done.

Proposition 4 *Let $f : M \to M'$ be a homomorphism of R-module M and M'. Then the followings hold:*

1. *If N' is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M' with $f^{-1}(N') \neq M$, then $f^{-1}(N')$ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*
2. *Suppose that f is an epimorphism. If N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M containing $Ker(f)$, then $f(N)$ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M'.*

Proof Let $f : M \to M'$ be a homomorphism of R-module M and M'.

(1): Let choose non-units $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in f^{-1}(N')$. This means that $abf(m) = f(abm) \in N'$. Since N' is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M', we have $ab \in (N' : M')$ or $f(m) \in N'$. Then we have $ab \in (f^{-1}(N') : M)$ or $m \in f^{-1}(N')$.

(2): Take non-units $a, b \in R$ and $m' \in M'$ such that $abm' \in f(N)$. By assumption there exists $m \in M$ such that $m' = f(m)$ and so $f(abm) \in f(N)$. Then $abm \in f^{-1}(f(N)) \subseteq N$, as $Ker(f) \subseteq N$. This implies that either $ab \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$. If $ab \in (N : M)$, then $abM \subseteq N$, that is, $abf(M) = abM' \subseteq f(N)$. Thus $ab \in (f(N) : M')$, it is done. If $m \in N$, then $m' = f(m) \in f(N)$, as required.

By previous Proposition, one can easily obtain the following result:

Corollary 2 *Let M be an R-module and $K \subset N$ be submodules of M. If N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M, then N/K is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M/K.*

Definition 2 Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. Let P be a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M such that $N \subseteq P$. If there is not exist a 1-absorbing prime submodule P' such that $N \subseteq P' \subset P$, then P is called a **minimal 1-absorbing prime submodule of** N.

Theorem 3 *Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. If P is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M such that $N \subseteq P$, then there exists a minimal 1-absorbing prime submodule of N that it is contained in P.*

Proof Let define $\Lambda := \{P_i \in S(M) : P_i$ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M such that $N \subseteq P_i \subseteq P\}$. Since $N \subseteq P$, we get $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Consider $(\Lambda, \supseteq)$. Let us take a chain $\{N_i\}_{i\in\Delta}$ in Λ. By Proposition 3(1), since $\bigcap_{i\in\Delta} N_i$ is a 1-absorbing prime, we can use Zorn's Lemma. Thus there exists a maximal element $K \in \Lambda$. Then A is 1-absorbing prime and $N \subseteq K \subseteq P$. Now we will show that K is a minimal 1-absorbing prime submodule of N. For the contrary, assume that there exists a 1-absorbing prime submodule K' such that $N \subseteq K' \subseteq K$. Then $K' \in \Lambda$ and $K \leq K'$. This implies $K = K'$. Consequently, K is a minimal 1-absorbing prime submodule of N.

Corollary 3 *Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M.*

1. *Every 1-absorbing prime submodule of M contains at least one minimal 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*
2. *If M is finitely generated, then every proper submodule of M has at least one minimal 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*
3. *If M is finitely generated, then there exists a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M such that contains N.*

Proof Let N be a proper submodule of M.

(1): Obvious by Theorem 3.

(2): Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. Then there exists a prime submodule P such that $N \subseteq P$, see [10]. As every prime is 1-absorbing prime, P is a 1-absorbing prime submodule. Thus, by the item (1), it is done.

(3): By the item (2), it is clear.

Definition 3 For any $I \in Id(R)$, we define

$$\Omega := \{I_i \in Id(R) : \ I_i \text{ is a 1-absorbing prime ideal such that } I \subseteq I_i\}.$$

Then the intersection of all elements in Ω is called $radical_1$ of I, and we denote it as

$$rad_1(I) := \bigcap_{I_i \in \Omega} I_i \text{ and if } \Omega = \emptyset \text{ or } I = R, \text{ we define } rad_1(I) := R.$$

Remark 1 It is clear that $rad_1(I) \subseteq \sqrt{I} = rad(I)$, since every prime ideal is a 1-absorbing prime ideal.

Definition 4 For any $N \in S(M)$, we define

$$\Omega := \{P_i \in S(M) : \ P_i \text{ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule such that } N \subseteq P_i\}.$$

Then the intersection of all elements in Ω is called $radical_1$ of N, and we denote it as

$$rad_1(N) := \bigcap_{P_i \in \Omega} P_i \text{ and if } \Omega = \emptyset \text{ or } N = M, \text{ we define } rad_1(N) := M.$$

Remark 2 It is clear that $rad_1(N) \subseteq rad(N)$, since every prime submodule is a 1-absorbing prime submodule.

Proposition 5 *Let M be an R-module and N, L be two submodules of M. The following statements are hold:*

1. $N \subseteq rad_1(N)$,
2. $rad_1(rad_1(N)) \subseteq rad_1(N)$,
3. $rad_1(N \cap L) \subseteq rad_1(N) \cap rad_1(L)$,
4. $rad_1(IM) \subseteq rad_1(\sqrt{I}M)$.
5. $rad_1(N : M) \subseteq (rad_1(N) : M)$.

Proof The first four items are elementary.

(5): If $rad_1(N) = M$, it is trivial. Let $rad_1(N) \neq M$. Then there is a 1-absorbing prime submodule K of M such that $N \subseteq K$. Thus $(K : M)$ is a 1-absorbing prime ideal with $(N : M) \subseteq (K : M)$. This means that $rad_1(N : M) \subseteq (K : M)$, that is, $rad_1(N : M)M \subseteq K$. The containment is held for all 1-absorbing prime submodule K_i of M such that $N \subseteq K_i$. This implies that $rad_1(N : M)M \subseteq rad_1(N)$, i.e., $rad_1(N : M) \subseteq (rad_1(N) : M)$.

Proposition 6 *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N be a submodule of M. Then $rad_1(N) = M$ if and only if $N = M$.*

Proof Let $rad_1(N) = M$. Suppose that $N \neq M$. By Corollary 3(3), there exists a 1-absorbing prime submodule N' of M such that $N \subseteq N'$. Hence we conclude that $rad_1(N) = M \subseteq N'$, a contradiction. The other way is clear.

Theorem 4 *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N, L be two submodules of M. Then $N + L = M \Leftrightarrow rad_1(N) + rad_1(L) = M$.*

Proof Let M be a finitely generated R-module.

$\Rightarrow$: Let $N + L = M$. We know that $N \subseteq rad_1(N)$ and $L \subseteq rad_1(L)$. Thus $M = N + L \subseteq rad_1(N) + rad_1(L)$, it is done.

$\Leftarrow$: Suppose that $N + L \neq M$. Since M is finitely generated, there is a maximal submodule K of M such that $N + L \subseteq K$, see [10]. Furthermore, K is a prime submodule (so 1-absorbing prime submodule). As $rad_1(N) \subseteq K$ and $rad_1(L) \subseteq K$, we conclude $rad_1(N) + rad_1(L) \subseteq K$, that is $M \subseteq K$. This contradicts our hypothesis.

3 Properties of 1-Absorbing Prime Submodules of Multiplication Modules

For the integrity of our study, we would like to give some information about multiplication module. An R-module M is called a *multiplication module* if every submodule N of M has the form IM for some ideal I of R, see [8]. Note that, since $I \subseteq (N : M)$ then $N = IM \subseteq (N : M)M \subseteq N$. So, if M is multiplication, $N = (N : M)M$, for every submodule N of M. Afterwards, a multiplication R-module M is characterized by a maximal ideal of R. Let Q be a maximal ideal of R. To give the characterization, let us define the submodule $T_Q(M) = \{m \in M : \text{there is a } q \in Q \text{ such that } (1 - q)m = 0_M\}$ of M. If $T_Q(M) = M$, we call M is a *Q-torsion module.* If there exist $q \in Q$ and $m \in M$ such that $(1 - q)M \subseteq Rm$, we say M is a *Q-cyclic module.* Then the authors proved that M is a multiplication R-module $\Leftrightarrow$ for every maximal ideal Q of R, either M is a Q-cyclic module or M is a Q-torsion module, see Theorem 1.2 in [8]. For more information about multiplication modules, we refer [5] and [2] to the reader.

Theorem 5 *Let M be a faithful and multiplication R-module. Let I be a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R. Then $abm \in IM$ implies $ab \in I$ or $m \in IM$ for all non-units $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$.*

Proof Choose non-units $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in IM$. Suppose $ab \notin I$. Let us define $I' := \{r \in R : rm \in IM\}$. If $I' = R$, it is done. If $I' \neq R$, there is a maximal ideal Q of R such that $I' \subseteq Q$. Now, we claim that $m \notin T_Q(M)$. Indeed, if $m \in T_Q(M)$, there is an element $q \in Q$ such that $(1 - q)m = 0_M$. This means that $1 - q \in I' \subseteq Q$, a contradiction. Thus $T_Q(M) \neq M$. Since M is a multiplication module, M is a Q-cyclic module, by Theorem 1.2 in [8]. Hence there is $q' \in Q$ and $m' \in M$ such that $(1 - q')M \subseteq Rm'$. Then $(1 - q')m \in Rm'$, there is $s \in R$ such that $(1 - q')m = sm'$. Then $(1 - q')abm = sabm' \in IM$ and $(1 - q')abm \in Rm'$. Thus there are $a' \in I$ such that $(1 - q')abm = a'm'$. Since $sabm' = a'm'$, we obtain $abs - a' \in Ann(m')$. On the other hand, $(1 - q')M \subseteq Rm'$ implies that $(1 - q')Ann(m')M \subseteq RAnn(m')m' = 0_M$, that is, $(1 - q')Ann(m') \subseteq Ann(M)$.

As M is faithful, $(1-q')Ann(m') = 0_R$. Then $(1-q')(abs- a') = 0_R$. Thus we have $abs(1-q') = a'(1-q') \in I$. Then $abs(1-q') \in I$. Here we have 2 situations for $s \in R$:

Case 1: Let s be unit. Then we have $ab(1-q') \in I$. If $1-q'$ is a unit element of R, then $ab \in I$. This contradicts our assumption $ab \notin I$. Let $1-q'$ be non-unit. Since I is a 1-absorbing prime, $ab \in I$ (again, it is not possible) or $1-q' \in I$. If $1-q' \in I$, then we have $(1-q')m \in IM$, so $1-q' \in I' \subseteq Q$, a contradiction.

Case 2: Let s be non-unit. Now, we have 2 possibilities for $1-q'$. If $1-q'$ is a unit element of R, then $sab \in I$. Since I is a 1-absorbing prime, $ab \in I$ (again, it is not possible) or $s \in I$. Then $sm' \in IM$. Since $sm' = (1-q')m$, we have $(1-q')m \in IM$. So $1-q' \in I' \subseteq Q$, not possible. If $1-q'$ is non-unit, since I is a 1-absorbing prime, either $abs \in I$ or $1-q' \in I$. Again, since it is 1-absorbing prime, we have $ab \in I$ or $s \in I$ or $1-q' \in I$. All possibilities give us a contradiction because of the above explanations.

As a consequence, $I' = R$, that is, $m \in IM$.

Corollary 4 *Let M be a faithful and multiplication R-module. Let I be a 1-absorbing prime of R. If $IM \neq M$, then IM is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*

Proof Take non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in IM$. Suppose $ab \notin (IM : M)$. Then $ab \notin I$. By Theorem 5, it must be $m \in IM$. It is done.

Proposition 7 *Let I, J be two ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$. If J is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R, then J/I is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R/I.*

Proof Let I be a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R. Choose two non-unit elements $a+I, b+I, c+I$ in R/I such that $abc+I \in J/I$. This implies that $abc \in J$. As $\{r+I : r \in U(R)\} \subseteq U(R/I)$, we obtain a, b, c are non-units. Since J is 1-absorbing prime, either $ab \in J$ or $c \in J$. This means $ab+I \in J/I$ or $c+I \in J/I$.

Definition 5 Let I be an ideal of R. If the following equation holds, then we say R has a **good unit element property for** I.

$$U(R/I) = \{r+I : r \in U(R)\}$$

Remark 3 For the other way of Proposition 7, we need to the good unit element property for I. See the Corollary 2.17 of [12]: Let J be an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq J$ and $U(R/I) = \{r+I : r \in U(R)\}$. Then J is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of $R \Leftrightarrow J/I$ is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R/I.

Proposition 8 *Let M be an R-module and R has a good unit element property for $Ann(M)$. If N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule as $R/Ann(M)$-module M, then N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of R-module M.*

Proof Take non-unit elements $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in N$. We must show that either $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $m \in N$. Consider $(a + Ann(M))(b + Ann(M))m = abm + Ann(M)m \in N$. Since R has good unit element property for $Ann(M)$, we say $a + Ann(M)$ and $b + Ann(M)$ are non-unit elements of $R/Ann(M)$. As N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule as $R/Ann(M)$-module, we obtain that either $ab + Ann(M) \in (N :_{R/Ann(M)} M)$ or $m \in N$. If the second one holds, it is done. The first one implies that $abM \subseteq N$, that is, $ab \in (N :_R M)$, as required.

Theorem 6 *Let M be a multiplication R-module and R has a good unit element property for $Ann(M)$. Then the followings are equivalent:*

1. *N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of M.*
2. *$(N : M)$ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of R.*
3. *For a proper ideal I of R such that $Ann(M) \subseteq I$ and I is a 1-absorbing prime ideal, then $N = IM$.*

Proof Let M be a multiplication R-module.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : By Proposition 2.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) : Consider $I = (N : M)$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : Since M is a multiplication R-module, M is a faithful multiplication $R/Ann(M)$-module by page 759 of [8]. Also, as I is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of R, $I/Ann(M)$ is a 1-absorbing prime ideal of $R/Ann(M)$, see Proposition 7. Then $[I/Ann(M)]M$ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of $R/Ann(M)$-module M, by Corollary 4. This means that $[I/Ann(M)]M$ is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of R-module M, by Proposition 8. As $[I/Ann(M)]M = N$, consequently, N is a 1-absorbing prime submodule of R-module M.

References

1. *Ameri R.,* On the prime submodules of multiplication modules, Inter. J. of Math. and Mathematical Sciences, 2003, **27**, 1715–1724.
2. *Anderson D. D., Arabaci T., Tekir U., Koc S.,* On S-multiplication modules, Communacations in algebra, 2020, 3398-3407.
3. *Atiyah M. F. and MacDonald I. G.,* Introduction to commutative algebra, CRC Press, 1969.
4. *Badawi A.,* On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75**, 2007, 417-429.
5. *Barnard A.,* Multiplication modules, Journal of Algebra, **71** ,1981, 174-178.
6. *Darani A. Y. and Soheilnia F.,* On 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules, Thai J. Math., 2011, **9**, 577-584.
7. *Dauns J.*, Prime modules, J. reine Angew. Math., 1978, **2,** 156–181.
8. *El-Bast Z. A. and Smith P. F.,* Multiplication modules, Comm. in Algebra, 1988, **16,** 755-779.
9. *Lu C. P.,* Prime submodules of modules, Comm. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli, 1984, **33**, 61–69.

10. *McCasland R. L. and Moore M. E.,* Prime submodules, Comm. Algebra, 1992, **20,** 1803–1817.
11. *Smith P. F.* Some remarks on Multiplication modules, Arch Math., 1988, **50**, 223-235.
12. *Yassine A., Nikmehr M. J. and Nikandish R.,* On 1-absorbing prime ideals of commutative rings, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, 2020, (to appear).