

# STRONGLY $\psi$ -2-ABSORBING SECOND SUBMODULES

H. ANSARI-TOROGHY\*\*, F. FARSHADIFAR\*, AND S. MALEKI-ROUDPOSHTI\*\*\*

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function, where  $S(M)$  denote the set of all submodules of  $M$ . The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate the notion of strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodules of  $M$  as a generalization of strongly 2-absorbing second and  $\psi$ -second submodules of  $M$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will denote a commutative ring with identity and  $\mathbb{Z}$  will denote the ring of integers. We will denote the set of ideals of  $R$  by  $S(R)$  and the set of all submodules of  $M$  by  $S(M)$ , where  $M$  is an  $R$ -module.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A proper submodule  $P$  of  $M$  is said to be *prime* if for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $rm \in P$ , we have  $m \in P$  or  $r \in (P :_R M)$  [7]. A non-zero submodule  $S$  of  $M$  is said to be *second* if for each  $a \in R$ , the endomorphism of  $M$  given by multiplication by  $a$  is either surjective or zero [10].

Let  $\phi : S(R) \rightarrow S(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Anderson and Bataineh in [1] defined the notation of  $\phi$ -prime ideals as follows: a proper ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is  $\phi$ -*prime* if for  $r, s \in R$ ,  $rs \in P \setminus \phi(P)$  implies that  $r \in P$  or  $s \in P$  [1]. In [11], the author extended this concept to prime submodule. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For a function  $\phi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ , a proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called  $\phi$ -*prime* if whenever  $r \in R$  and  $x \in M$  with  $rx \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ , then  $r \in (N :_R M)$  or  $x \in N$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Farshadifar and Ansari-Toroghy in [8], defined the notation of  $\psi$ -second submodules of  $M$  as a dual notion of  $\phi$ -prime submodules of  $M$ . A non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be a  $\psi$ -*second submodule* of  $M$  if  $r \in R$ ,  $K$  a submodule of  $M$ ,  $rN \subseteq K$ , and  $r\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$ , then  $N \subseteq K$  or  $rN = 0$  [8].

The concept of 2-absorbing ideals was introduced in [6]. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be a *2-absorbing ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ .

In [3], the authors introduced the notion of strongly 2-absorbing second submodules as a dual notion of 2-absorbing submodules and investigated some properties of this class of modules. A non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be a *strongly 2-absorbing second submodule* of  $M$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$ ,  $K$  is a submodule of  $M$ , and  $abN \subseteq K$ , then  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  [3].

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate the notion of strongly

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C13, 13C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Second submodule, strongly 2-absorbing second submodule, strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule.

$\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodules of  $M$  as a generalization of strongly 2-absorbing second and  $\psi$ -second submodules of  $M$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module,  $S(M)$  be the set of all submodules of  $M$ ,  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. We say that a non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is a *strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule* of  $M$  if  $a, b \in R$ ,  $K$  a submodule of  $M$ ,  $abN \subseteq K$ , and  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$ , then  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ .

In Definition 2.1, since  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$  implies that  $ab(\psi(N) + N) \not\subseteq K$ , there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $N \subseteq \psi(N)$  in the rest of this paper.

A non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be a *weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule* of  $M$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$ ,  $K$  is a submodule of  $M$ ,  $abM \not\subseteq K$ , and  $abN \subseteq K$ , then  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  [5].

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We use the following functions  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ .

$$\psi_i(N) = (N :_M \text{Ann}_R^i(N)), \quad \forall N \in S(M), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\psi_\sigma(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi_i(N), \quad \forall N \in S(M).$$

$$\psi_M(N) = M, \quad \forall N \in S(M),$$

Then it is clear that strongly  $\psi_M$ -2-absorbing second submodules are weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules. Clearly, for any submodule and every positive integer  $n$ , we have the following implications:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{strongly } 2 - \text{absorbing second} &\Rightarrow \text{strongly } \psi_{n-1} - 2 - \text{absorbing second} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{strongly } \psi_n - 2 - \text{absorbing second} \Rightarrow \text{strongly } \psi_\sigma - 2 - \text{absorbing second}. \end{aligned}$$

For functions  $\psi, \theta : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ , we write  $\psi \leq \theta$  if  $\psi(N) \subseteq \theta(N)$  for each  $N \in S(M)$ . So whenever  $\psi \leq \theta$ , any strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule is a strongly  $\theta$ -2-absorbing second submodule.

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Clearly every strongly 2-absorbing second submodule and every  $\psi$ -second submodule of  $M$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Also, evidently  $M$  is a strongly  $\psi_M$ -2-absorbing second submodule of itself. In particular,  $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$  is not strongly 2-absorbing second  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module but  $M$  is a strongly  $\psi_M$ -2-absorbing second  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $M$ .

In the following theorem, we characterize strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $N$  be a non-zero submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $N$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ ;
- (b) for submodule  $K$  of  $M$  with  $aN \not\subseteq K$  and  $a \in R$ , we have  $(K :_R aN) = \text{Ann}_R(aN) \cup (K :_R N) \cup (K :_R a\psi(N))$ ;
- (c) for submodule  $K$  of  $M$  with  $aN \not\subseteq K$  and  $a \in R$ , we have either  $(K :_R aN) = \text{Ann}_R(aN)$  or  $(K :_R aN) = (K :_R N)$  or  $(K :_R aN) = (K :_R a\psi(N))$ ;

- (d) for each  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq abN$ , we have either  $abN = aN$  or  $abN = bN$  or  $abN = 0$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let for a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  with  $aN \not\subseteq K$  and  $a \in R$ , we have  $b \in (K :_R aN) \setminus (K :_R a\psi(N))$ . Then since  $N$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , we have  $b \in \text{Ann}_R(aN)$  or  $bN \subseteq K$ . Thus  $(K :_R aN) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(aN)$  or  $(K :_R aN) \subseteq K :_R N$ . Hence,

$$(K :_R aN) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(aN) \cup (K :_R N) \cup (K :_R a\psi(N)).$$

As we may assume that  $N \subseteq \psi(N)$ , the other inclusion always holds.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). This follows from the fact that if an ideal is the union of two ideals, it is equal to one of them.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq abN$  and  $aN \not\subseteq abN$ . Then by part (c), we have either  $(abN :_R aN) = \text{Ann}_R(aN)$  or  $(abN :_R aN) = (abN :_R N)$ . Hence,  $abN = 0$  or  $bN \subseteq abN$ , as needed.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $a, b \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $abN \subseteq K$  and  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$ . If  $ab\psi(N) \subseteq abN$ , then  $abN \subseteq K$  implies that  $ab\psi(N) \subseteq K$ , a contradiction. Thus by part (d), either  $abN = aN$  or  $abN = bN$  or  $abN = 0$ . Therefore,  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$  or  $abN = 0$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

A proper submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be *completely irreducible* if  $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$ , where  $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of submodules of  $M$ , implies that  $N = N_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . It is easy to see that every submodule of  $M$  is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of  $M$  [9].

**Remark 2.4.** (See [2].) Let  $N$  and  $K$  be two submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . To prove  $N \subseteq K$ , it is enough to show that if  $L$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$  such that  $K \subseteq L$ , then  $N \subseteq L$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Let  $N$  be a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  such that  $\text{Ann}_R^2(N)\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$ . Then  $N$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $abN \subseteq K$ . If  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$ , then we are done because  $N$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Thus suppose that  $ab\psi(N) \subseteq K$ . If  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$ , then  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq N \cap K$ . Hence  $abN \subseteq N \cap K$  implies that  $aN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $abN = 0$ , as needed. So let  $ab\psi(N) \subseteq N$ . If  $a\text{Ann}_R(N)\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$ , then  $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))\psi(N) \not\subseteq K$ . Thus  $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$  implies that  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN = (b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$  or  $abN = a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N = 0$ , as required. So let  $a\text{Ann}_R(N)\psi(N) \subseteq K$ . Similarly, we can assume that  $b\text{Ann}_R(N)\psi(N) \subseteq K$ . Since  $\text{Ann}_R^2(N)\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$ , there exist  $a_1, b_1 \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  such that  $a_1b_1\psi(N) \not\subseteq N$ . Thus there exists a completely irreducible submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $N \subseteq L$  and  $a_1b_1\psi(N) \not\subseteq L$  by Remark 2.4. If  $ab_1\psi(N) \not\subseteq L$ , then  $a(b + b_1)\psi(N) \not\subseteq L \cap K$ . Thus  $a(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$  implies that  $aN \subseteq L \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $abN = a(b + b_1)N = 0$ , as needed. So let  $ab_1\psi(N) \subseteq L$ . Similarly, we can assume that  $a_1b\psi(N) \subseteq L$ . Therefore,  $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)\psi(N) \not\subseteq L \cap K$ . Hence,  $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$  implies that  $aN = (a + a_1)N \subseteq K$  or  $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq K$  or  $abN = (a + a_1)(b + b_1)N = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be *coidempotent* if  $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(N))$ . Also,  $M$  is said to be *fully coidempotent* if every submodule of  $M$  is coidempotent [4].

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. If  $M$  is a fully coidempotent  $R$ -module and  $N$  is a proper submodule of  $M$  with  $\text{Ann}_R(\psi(N)) = 0$ , then  $N$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule if and only if  $N$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.

*Proof.* The sufficiency is clear. Conversely, assume on the contrary that  $N \neq M$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  which is not a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule. Then by Theorem 2.5,  $\text{Ann}_R^3(N) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\psi(N))$ . Hence as  $\text{Ann}_R(\psi(N)) = 0$ , we have  $\text{Ann}_R^3(N) = 0$ . Thus since  $N$  is coidempotent,

$$N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(N)) = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^3(N)) = M,$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Let  $N$  be a non-zero submodule of  $M$ . If  $N$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , then for any  $a, b \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$ , we have  $abN = aN \cap bN \cap ab\psi(N)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  and  $ab \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Clearly,  $abN \subseteq aN \cap bN \cap ab\psi(N)$ . Now let  $L$  be a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$  such that  $abN \subseteq L$ . If  $ab\psi(N) \subseteq L$ , then we are done. If  $ab\psi(N) \not\subseteq L$ , then  $aN \subseteq L$  or  $bN \subseteq L$  because  $N$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Hence  $aN \cap bN \cap ab\psi(N) \subseteq L$ . Now the result follows from Remark 2.4.  $\square$

Let  $R_i$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $M_i$  be an  $R_i$ -module for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . Then  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  is an  $R$ -module and each submodule of  $M$  is in the form of  $N = N_1 \times N_2$  for some submodules  $N_1$  of  $M_1$  and  $N_2$  of  $M_2$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  be a ring and  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  be an  $R$ -module, where  $M_1$  is an  $R_1$ -module and  $M_2$  is an  $R_2$ -module. Suppose that  $\psi^i : S(M_i) \rightarrow S(M_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $N_1 \times 0$  is a strongly  $\psi^1 \times \psi^2$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , where  $N_1$  is a strongly  $\psi^1$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M_1$  and  $\psi^2(0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \in R$  and  $K_1 \times K_2$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)(N_1 \times 0) \subseteq K_1 \times K_2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)((\psi^1 \times \psi^2)(N_1 \times 0)) &= a_1 b_1 \psi^1(N_1) \times a_2 b_2 \psi^2(0) \\ &= a_1 b_1 \psi^1(N_1) \times 0 \not\subseteq K_1 \times K_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_1 b_1 N_1 \subseteq K_1$  and  $a_1 b_1 \psi^1(N_1) \not\subseteq K_1$ . Hence,  $a_1 b_1 N_1 = 0$  or  $a_1 N_1 \subseteq K_1$  or  $b_1 N_1 \subseteq K_1$  since  $N_1$  is a strongly  $\psi^1$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M_1$ . Therefore, we have  $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2)(N_1 \times 0) = 0 \times 0$  or  $(a_1, a_2)N_1 \times 0 \subseteq K_1 \times K_2$  or  $(b_1, b_2)N_1 \times 0 \subseteq K_1 \times K_2$ , as requested.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\psi : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. Then we have the following.

- (a) If  $(0 :_M t) \subseteq t\psi((0 :_M t))$ , then  $(0 :_M t)$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule if and only if it is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule.

- (b) If  $(tM :_R \psi(tM)) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(tM)$ , then the submodule  $tM$  is strongly 2-absorbing second if and only if it is strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second.

*Proof.* (a) Suppose that  $(0 :_M t)$  is a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , and  $K$  is a submodule of  $M$  such that  $ab(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ . If  $ab\psi((0 :_M t)) \not\subseteq K$ , then since  $(0 :_M t)$  is strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second, we have  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $ba \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$  which implies  $(0 :_M t)$  is strongly 2-absorbing second. Therefore we may assume that  $ab\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$ . Clearly,  $a(b+t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ . If  $a(b+t)\psi((0 :_M t)) \not\subseteq K$ , then we have  $(b+t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $a(b+t) \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$ . Since  $at \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$  therefore  $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$ . Now suppose that  $a(b+t)\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$ . Then since  $ab\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$ , we have  $ta\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq K$  and so  $t\psi((0 :_M t)) \subseteq (K :_M a)$ . Now  $(0 :_M t) \subseteq t\psi((0 :_M t))$  implies that  $(0 :_M t) \subseteq (K :_M a)$ . Thus  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ , as needed. The converse is clear.

(b) Let  $tM$  be a strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  and assume that  $a, b \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  with  $abtM \subseteq K$ . Since  $tM$  is strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule, we can suppose that  $ab\psi(tM) \subseteq K$ , otherwise  $tM$  is strongly 2-absorbing second. Now  $abtM \subseteq tM \cap K$ . If  $ab\psi(tM) \not\subseteq tM \cap K$ , then as  $tM$  is strongly  $\psi$ -2-absorbing second submodule, we are done. So let  $ab\psi(tM) \subseteq tM \cap K$ . Then  $ab\psi(tM) \subseteq tM$ . Thus  $(tM :_R \psi(tM)) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(tM)$  implies that  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(tM)$ , as requested. The converse is clear.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D.D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 686-696.
- [2] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of some generalizations of prime submodules*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 2396-2416.
- [3] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Some generalizations of second submodules*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, **8**(2) (2019), 1-10.
- [4] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Fully idempotent and coidempotent modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **38** (4) (2012), 987-1005.
- [5] H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, and S. Maleki-Roudposhti, *n-absorbing and strongly n-absorbing second submodules*, Boletim Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica, to appear.
- [6] A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75** (2007), 417-429.
- [7] J. Dauns, *Prime submodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298** (1978), 156-181.
- [8] F. Farshadifar and H. Ansari-Toroghy,  *$\psi$ -second submodules of a module*, Beitrge zur Algebra und Geometrie / Contributions to Algebra and Geometry, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13366-020-00495-7>.
- [9] L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient field*, in : Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249**, 121-145, (2006).
- [10] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **37** (2001), 273-278.
- [11] N. Zamani,  *$\phi$ -prime submodules*, Glasgow Math. J. **52** (2) (2010), 253-259.

\*\* DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF  
GUILAN, P. O. BOX 41335-19141, RASHT, IRAN

*E-mail address:* `ansari@guilan.ac.ir`

\* (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR) ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FARHANGIAN  
UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* `f.farshadifar@cfu.ac.ir`

\*\*\* DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF  
GUILAN, P. O. BOX 41335-19141, RASHT, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* `Sepidehmaleki.r@gmail.com`