arXiv:2007.01461v1 [math.AP] 3 Jul 2020

Diffusion Limit of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann System

Hai-Liang Li[1], Tong Yang[2], Mingying Zhong[3]

[1] *School of Mathematical Science, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China.*

E-mail: hailiang.li.math@gmail.com

[2] *Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China*

& School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China

E-mail: matyang@cityu.edu.hk

[3] *College of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Guangxi University, Nanning, China.*

E-mail: zhongmingying@sina.com

Abstract

In the present paper, we study the diffusion limit of the classical solution to the unipolar Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann (VPB) system with initial data near a global Maxwellian. We prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of the global strong solution to the unipolar VPB system towards the solution to an incompressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson-Fourier system based on the spectral analysis with precise estimation on the initial layer.

Key words. Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system, spectral analysis, diffusion limit, convergence rate.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76P05, 82C40, 82D05.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Spectrum analysis **7**

3 Fluid approximation of semigroup **17**

4 Diffusion limit **27**

1 Introduction

The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system (VPB) can be used to model the motion of the dilute charged particles in plasma or semiconductor devices under the influence of the self-consistent electric field [16]. In general, the rescaled VPB system for one-species takes the form

$$\partial_t F_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x F_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_x \Phi_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_v F_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathcal{Q}(F_\epsilon, F_\epsilon), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\Delta_x \Phi_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_\epsilon dv - 1, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter related to the mean free path, $F_\epsilon = F_\epsilon(t, x, v)$ is the distribution function with $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, and $\Phi_\epsilon(t, x)$ denotes the electric potential, respectively. Throughout this paper, we

assume $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. The collision between particles is given by the standard Boltzmann collision operator $\mathcal{Q}(f,g)$ as below

$$\mathcal{Q}(f,g) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-v_*|,\omega)(f'_*g' + f'g'_* - f_*g - fg_*)dv_*d\omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$f'_* = f(t,x,v'_*), \quad f' = f(t,x,v'), \quad f_* = f(t,x,v_*), \quad f = f(t,x,v),$$
$$v' = v - [(v-v_*)\cdot\omega]\omega, \quad v'_* = v_* + [(v-v_*)\cdot\omega]\omega, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

For monatomic gas, the collision kernel $B(|v-v_*|,\omega)$ is a non-negative function of $|v-v_*|$ and $|(v-v_*)\cdot\omega|$:

$$B(|v-v_*|,\omega) = B(|v-v_*|,\cos\theta), \quad \cos\theta = \frac{|(v-v_*)\cdot\omega|}{|v-v_*|}, \quad \theta \in \left[0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right].$$

In the following, we consider both the hard sphere model and hard potential with angular cutoff. Precisely, for the hard sphere model,

$$B(|v-v_*|,\omega) = |(v-v_*)\cdot\omega| = |v-v_*|\cos\theta; \tag{1.4}$$

and for the models of the hard potentials with Grad angular cutoff assumption,

$$B(|v-v_*|,\omega) = b(\cos\theta)|v-v_*|^\gamma, \quad 0 \le \gamma < 1, \tag{1.5}$$

where we assume for simplicity

$$0 \le b(\cos\theta) \le C|\cos\theta|.$$

There has been significant progress made on the well-posedness and long time behavior of solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system for fixed ϵ. In particular, the global existence of renormalized weak solution for general large initial data was shown in [18]. The global existence of unique strong solution with the initial data near the normalized global Maxwellian was obtained in a spatially periodic domain in [8] and in the whole space in [5, 26, 27] for hard sphere model, and further in [6, 7] for hard potential or soft potential. As for the long-time behavior of the VPB system, we refer to the works [4, 14, 15, 25, 28]. In addition, the spectrum structure and the optimal decay rate of the classical solution were investigated in [14, 15].

The fluid dynamical limit of the solution to the VPB system near Maxwellian was also studied in [10, 24]. In [10], the authors proved the convergence of the solutions to the unipolar VPB system towards a solution to the compressible Euler-Poisson system in the whole space. In [24], the author established a global convergence result of the solution to the bipolar VPB system towards a solution to the incompressible Vlasov-Navier-Stokes-Fourier system.

On the other hand, the diffusion limit to the Boltzmann equation is a classical problem with pioneer work by Bardos-Golse-Levermore in [1], and significant progress on the limit of renormalized solutions to Leray solution to Navier-Stokes system in [12]. In contrast to the works on Boltzmann equation [1, 2, 3, 17, 20, 11] and the VPB system [10, 24], the diffusion limit of the classical solution to the unipolar VPB system (1.6)–(1.8) has not been given despite of its importance. Moreover, the convergence rate of the classical solution to the VPB system towards its fluid dynamical limits was not given in [10, 24].

In this paper, we study the diffusion limit of the strong solution to the rescaled VPB system (1.1)–(1.2) with initial data near the normalized Maxwellian $M(v)$, where

$$M = M(v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Hence, we denote the perturbation of F_ϵ and Φ_ϵ as

$$F_\epsilon = M + \epsilon\sqrt{M}f_\epsilon, \quad \Phi_\epsilon = \epsilon\phi_\epsilon.$$

Then the VPB system (1.1)–(1.2) for f_ϵ and ϕ_ϵ is

$$\partial_t f_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v\cdot\nabla_x f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon} v\sqrt{M}\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} L f_\epsilon = G_1(f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} G_2(f_\epsilon), \tag{1.6}$$

$$\Delta_x \phi_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_\epsilon \sqrt{M} dv, \tag{1.7}$$

with the initial condition

$$f_\epsilon(0,x,v) = f_0(x,v), \tag{1.8}$$

by assuming that the initial data f_0 is independent of ϵ. As usual, the linear operator L and the nonlinear operators G_1, G_2 are given by

$$\begin{cases} \quad Lf_\epsilon = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{M}}[\mathcal{Q}(M,\sqrt{M}f_\epsilon) + \mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{M}f_\epsilon, M)], \\ G_1(f_\epsilon) = \dfrac{1}{2}(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon) f_\epsilon - \nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\cdot\nabla_v f_\epsilon, \\ G_2(f_\epsilon) = \Gamma(f_\epsilon, f_\epsilon) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{M}f_\epsilon, \sqrt{M}f_\epsilon). \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

The linearized collision operator L can be written as

$$\begin{cases} (Lf)(v) = (Kf)(v) - \nu(v) f(v), \\ \quad \nu(v) = \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-v_*|,\omega) M_* d\omega dv_*, \\ (Kf)(v) = \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-v_*|,\omega)(\sqrt{M'_*}f' + \sqrt{M'}f'_* - \sqrt{M}f_*)\sqrt{M_*} d\omega dv_* \\ \qquad = \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v,v_*) f(v_*) dv_*, \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\nu(v)$, the collision frequency, is a real function, and K is a self-adjoint compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ with a real symmetric integral kernel $k(v,v_*)$. In addition, $\nu(v)$ satisfies

$$\nu_0(1+|v|)^\gamma \le \nu(v) \le \nu_1(1+|v|)^\gamma, \tag{1.11}$$

with $\gamma = 1$ for hard sphere and $0 \le \gamma < 1$ for hard potential.

The nullspace of the operator L, denoted by N_0, is a subspace spanned by the orthonormal basis $\{\chi_j,\ j = 0,1,\cdots,4\}$ with

$$\chi_0 = \sqrt{M}, \quad \chi_j = v_j\sqrt{M}\ (j=1,2,3), \quad \chi_4 = \frac{(|v|^2-3)\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{6}}. \tag{1.12}$$

Let $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a Hilbert space of complex-value functions $f(v)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the inner product and the norm

$$(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v)\overline{g(v)} dv, \quad \|f\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 dv\right)^{1/2}.$$

Denote the standard macro-micro decomposition as follows

$$\begin{cases} f = P_0 f + P_1 f, \\ P_0 f = \sum_{k=0}^4 (f,\chi_k)\chi_k, \ \ P_1 f = f - P_0 f, \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

From the Boltzmann's H-theorem, L is non-positive and moreover, L is locally coercive in the sense that there is a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$(Lf, f) \le -\mu\|P_1 f\|^2, \quad f \in D(L), \tag{1.14}$$

where $D(L)$ is the domains of L given by

$$D(L) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \,|\, \nu(v) f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

Without the loss of generality, we assume in this paper that $\nu(0) \geq \nu_0 \geq \mu > 0$.

This paper aims to prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of strong solutions $(f_\epsilon, \phi_\epsilon)$ to (1.6)–(1.8) towards (u, ϕ), where $u = n\chi_0 + m \cdot v\chi_0 + q\chi_4$ and $(n, m, q, \phi)(t, x)$ is the solution of the following incompressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson-Fourier (NSPF) system:

$$\nabla_x \cdot m = 0, \tag{1.15}$$

$$n + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}q - \phi = 0, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\partial_t m - \kappa_0 \Delta_x m + \nabla_x p = n\nabla_x \phi - \nabla_x \cdot (m \otimes m), \tag{1.17}$$

$$\partial_t \left(q - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}n \right) - \kappa_1 \Delta_x q = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} m \cdot \nabla_x \phi - \frac{5}{3}\nabla_x \cdot (qm), \tag{1.18}$$

$$\Delta_x \phi = n, \tag{1.19}$$

where p is the pressure, and the initial data $(n, m, q)(0)$ satisfies

$$m(0) = Pm_0, \quad q(0) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}n(0) = q_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}n_0, \tag{1.20}$$

$$n(0) - \Delta_x^{-1} n(0) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} q(0) = 0. \tag{1.21}$$

Here, P is the projection to the divergence-free subspace and n_0, m_0 and q_0 are given by

$$n_0 = (f_0, \chi_0), \quad m_0 = (f_0, v\chi_0), \quad q_0 = (f_0, \chi_4), \tag{1.22}$$

and the viscosity coefficients κ_0, $\kappa_1 > 0$ are defined by

$$\kappa_0 = -(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_2), v_1\chi_2), \quad \kappa_1 = -(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_4), v_1\chi_4). \tag{1.23}$$

In general, the convergence is not uniform near $t = 0$ because of the appearance of an initial layer. However, we can show that if the initial data f_0 satisfies

$$\begin{cases} f_0(x, v) = n_0(x)\chi_0 + m_0(x) \cdot v\chi_0 + q_0(x)\chi_4, \\ \nabla_x \cdot m_0 = 0, \quad n_0 - \Delta_x^{-1} n_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} q_0 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.24}$$

then the uniform convergence is up to $t = 0$.

We give a formally derivation of the macroscopic equations (1.15)–(1.19) as follows. Set

$$f_\epsilon = f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + \epsilon^2 f_2 + \cdots,$$
$$\phi_\epsilon = \phi_0 + \epsilon\phi_1 + \epsilon^2\phi_2 + \cdots.$$

Substituting the above expansion into (1.6), we have the following Hilbert expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}: \ Lf_0 = 0, \\ &\frac{1}{\epsilon}: \ v \cdot \nabla_x f_0 - v\sqrt{M} \cdot \nabla_x \phi_0 - Lf_1 = \Gamma(f_0, f_0), \\ &\qquad \Delta_x \phi_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_0 \sqrt{M} dv, \\ &\epsilon^0: \ \partial_t f_0 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 - v\sqrt{M} \cdot \nabla_x \phi_1 - Lf_2 \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{2} v \cdot \nabla_x \phi_0 f_0 - \nabla_x \phi_0 \cdot \nabla_v f_0 + \Gamma(f_1, f_0) + \Gamma(f_0, f_1), \end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

$$\Delta_x \phi_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1 \sqrt{M} dv.$$

From the ϵ^{-2} step in (1.25), we deduce that $f_0 \in N_0$, i.e.,

$$f_0 = n_0 \chi_0 + m_0 \cdot v \chi_0 + q_0 \chi_4.$$

Taking the inner product between the ϵ^{-1} step in (1.25) and $\{\chi_0, v\chi_0, \chi_4\}$, we have

$$\nabla_x \cdot m_0 = 0, \quad \nabla_x n_0 - \nabla_x \phi_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nabla_x q_0 = 0. \tag{1.26}$$

From the ϵ^{-1} step in (1.25), we can represent f_1 by

$$P_1 f_1 = L^{-1} P_1 (v \cdot \nabla_x f_0) - L^{-1} \Gamma(f_0, f_0). \tag{1.27}$$

By taking the inner product between the ϵ^0 step in (1.25) and $\{\chi_0, v\chi_0, \chi_4\}$, and using (1.27), we have

$$\partial_t n_0 + \nabla_x \cdot m_1 = 0, \tag{1.28}$$

$$\partial_t m_0 - \kappa_0 \Delta_x m_0 + \nabla_x p_0 = n_0 \nabla_x \phi_0 - \nabla_x \cdot (m_0 \otimes m_0), \tag{1.29}$$

$$\partial_t q_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \nabla_x \cdot m_1 - \kappa_1 \Delta_x q_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} m_0 \cdot \nabla_x \phi_0 - \frac{5}{3} \nabla_x \cdot (q_0 m_0), \tag{1.30}$$

where (n_1, m_1, q_1) are the macroscopic density, momentum and energy of f_1 given by

$$n_1 = (f_1, \chi_0), \quad m_1 = (f_1, v\chi_0), \quad q_1 = (f_1, \chi_4),$$

and p_0 is the pressure determined by f_0 and f_1:

$$p_0 = n_1 - \phi_1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} q_1 - \frac{1}{3} m_0^2.$$

By taking the summation $-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(1.28) + (1.30)$, we have

$$\partial_t \left(q_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} n_0 \right) - \kappa_1 \Delta_x q_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} m_0 \cdot \nabla_x \phi_0 - \frac{5}{3} \nabla_x \cdot (q_0 m_0). \tag{1.31}$$

By combining (1.26), (1.29) and (1.31), we obtain (1.15)–(1.19).

Notations: Before state the main results in this paper, we list some notations. Throughout this paper, C denotes a generic positive constant. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, we denote

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3}, \quad \partial_v^\beta = \partial_{v_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{v_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{v_3}^{\beta_3}.$$

The Fourier transform of $f = f(x, v)$ is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi, v) = \mathcal{F} f(\xi, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x, v) dx,$$

where and throughout this paper we denote $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Denote the Sobolev space $H_k^N = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3) \,|\, \|f\|_{H_k^N} < \infty \}$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_k^N} = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \le N} \|\nu^k \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)}.$$

For $q \ge 1$, denote

$$L_v^2(L_x^q) = L^2(\mathbb{R}_v^3, L^q(\mathbb{R}_x^3)), \quad \|f\|_{L_v^2(L_x^q)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x, v)|^q dx \right)^{2/q} dv \right)^{1/2},$$

$$L^q_x(L^2_v) = L^q(\mathbb{R}^3_x, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v)), \quad \|f\|_{L^q_x(L^2_v)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x,v)|^2 dv \right)^{q/2} dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

In the following, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_{x,v}}$ the norm of the function space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v)$, and denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L^q_x}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^q_v}$ the norms of the function spaces $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3_x)$ and $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ respectively. For any integer $k \geq 1$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{H^k_x}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_v(H^k_x)}$ the norms in the spaces $H^k(\mathbb{R}^3_x)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v, H^k(\mathbb{R}^3_x))$ respectively.

Now we are ready to state main results in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N \geq 4$. For any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{H^N_1} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)} \leq \delta_0$, then the VPB system (1.6)–(1.8) admits a unique global solution $f_\epsilon(t) = f_\epsilon(t,x,v)$ satisfying the following time-decay estimate:*

$$\|f_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^N_1} + \|\nabla_x \phi_\epsilon(t)\|_{H^N_x} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{1.32}$$

where $\phi_\epsilon(t,x) = \Delta_x^{-1}(f_\epsilon(t,x), \chi_0)$ and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ.

There exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^N_x)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)} \leq \delta_0$, then the NSPF system (1.15)–(1.21) admits a unique global solution $(n,m,q)(t,x) \in L^\infty_t(H^N_x)$. Moreover, $u(t,x,v) = n(t,x)\chi_0 + m(t,x)\cdot v\chi_0 + q(t,x)\chi_4$ has the following time-decay rate:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2_v(H^N_x)} + \|\nabla_x \phi(t)\|_{H^N_x} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \tag{1.33}$$

where $\phi(t,x) = \Delta_x^{-1} n(t,x)$ and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(f_\epsilon, \phi_\epsilon) = (f_\epsilon(t,x,v), \phi_\epsilon(t,x))$ be the global solution to the VPB system (1.6)–(1.8), and let $(n,m,q,\phi) = (n,m,q,\phi)(t,x)$ be the global solution to the NSPF system (1.15)–(1.21). Then, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{H^6_1} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)} + \|\nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1}(f_0, \chi_0)\|_{L^p_x} \leq \delta_0$ with $p \in (1,2)$, we have*

$$\|f_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)} + \|\nabla_x \phi_\epsilon(t) - \nabla_x \phi(t)\|_{L^\infty_x} \leq C\delta_0 \left(\epsilon^a (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1 + \epsilon^{-1} t\right)^{-b} \right), \tag{1.34}$$

where $u(t,x,v) = n(t,x)\chi_0 + m(t,x)\cdot v\chi_0 + q(t,x)\chi_4$, $b = \min\{1, p'\}$ with $p' = 3/p - 3/2 \in (0, 3/2)$, and $a = b$ when $b < 1$; $a = 1 + 2\log_\epsilon |\ln \epsilon|$ when $b = 1$.

Moreover, if the initial data f_0 satisfies (1.24) and $\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^6_x \cap L^1_x)} \leq \delta_0$, then we have

$$\|f_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)} + \|\nabla_x \phi_\epsilon(t) - \nabla_x \phi(t)\|_{L^\infty_x} \leq C\delta_0 \epsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}. \tag{1.35}$$

Remark 1.3. *By taking the Fourier transform to (1.20) and (1.21), we have*

$$\hat{n}(0) = -\frac{\sqrt{6}|\xi|^2}{3+5|\xi|^2}\left(\hat{q}_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{n}_0\right), \quad \hat{q}(0) = \frac{3+3|\xi|^2}{3+5|\xi|^2}\left(\hat{q}_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{n}_0\right). \tag{1.36}$$

This implies that the initial data f_0 of the VPB system has the same regularity in x as the initial data $(n,m,q)(0)$ of the NSPF system.

Remark 1.4. *Define the oscalation part of f_ϵ as*

$$u^{osc}_\epsilon(t,x,v) = \sum_{j=-1,1} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t - b_j(|\xi|)t} \left(P_0 \hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi) \right)_\xi h_j(\xi) \right), \tag{1.37}$$

where $(\eta_j(|\xi|), h_j(\xi))$ and $b_j(|\xi|)$, $j = -1, 1$ are defined by (2.24) and (2.59)respectively. Then, under the first assumption of Theorem 1.2 we have

$$\|f_\epsilon(t) - u(t) - u^{osc}_\epsilon(t) - e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} P_1 f_0\|_{L^\infty_P} \leq C\delta_0 \epsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.38}$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty_P}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty_P} = \|f\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)} + \|\nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1}(f, \chi_0)\|_{L^\infty_x}. \tag{1.39}$$

The rest of this paper will be organized as follows. In the next section, we present the results about the spectrum analysis on the linearized VPB system. In Section 3, we establish the first and second order fluid approximations of the solution to the linearized VPB system. In Section 4, we prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of the global solution to the nonlinear VPB system towards the solution to the nonlinear NSP system.

2 Spectrum analysis

In this section, we are concerned with the spectral analysis of the linear VPB operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ defined by (2.5), which will be applied to study diffusion limit of the solution to the VPB system (1.6)–(1.8).

From the system (1.6)–(1.8), we have the following linearized VPB system:

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^2 \partial_t f_\epsilon = B_\epsilon f_\epsilon, \quad t > 0, \\ f_\epsilon(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v), \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$B_\epsilon = L - \epsilon v \cdot \nabla_x + \epsilon v \cdot \nabla_x \Delta_x^{-1} P_d, \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$P_d g = (g, \sqrt{M})\sqrt{M}, \quad \forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v). \tag{2.3}$$

Take Fourier transform to (2.1) to get

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^2 \partial_t \hat{f}_\epsilon = B_\epsilon(\xi) \hat{f}_\epsilon, \quad t > 0, \\ \hat{f}_\epsilon(0, \xi, v) = \hat{f}_0(\xi, v), \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$B_\epsilon(\xi) = L - i\epsilon(v \cdot \xi) - i\epsilon \frac{v \cdot \xi}{|\xi|^2} P_d, \quad \xi \neq 0. \tag{2.5}$$

For $\xi \neq 0$, define a weight Hilbert space $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by

$$L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \,|\, \|f\|_\xi = \sqrt{(f, f)_\xi} < \infty \}$$

with the inner product

$$(f, g)_\xi = (f, g) + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2}(P_d f, P_d g). \tag{2.6}$$

Since P_d is a self-adjoint projection operator, it follows that $(P_d f, P_d g) = (P_d f, g) = (f, P_d g)$ and hence

$$(f, g)_\xi = (f, g + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} P_d g) = (f + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} P_d f, g). \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.7), we have for any $f, g \in L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v) \cap D(B_\epsilon(\xi))$,

$$(B_\epsilon(\xi) f, g)_\xi = (B_\epsilon(\xi) f, g + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} P_d g) = (f, B_\epsilon(-\xi) g)_\xi. \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is a dissipate operator in $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

$$\mathrm{Re}(B_\epsilon(\xi) f, f)_\xi = (Lf, f) \leq 0, \quad \forall f \in L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3). \tag{2.9}$$

We can regard $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ as a linear operator from the space $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to itself because

$$\|f\|^2 \leq \|f\|^2_\xi \leq (1 + |\xi|^{-2}) \|f\|^2, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

We denote $\rho(A)$ and $\sigma(A)$ to be the resolvent set and spectrum set of the operator A respectively. The discrete spectrum of A, denoted by $\sigma_d(A)$, is the set of all isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity. The essential spectrum of A, $\sigma_{ess}(A)$, is the set $\sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_d(A)$. By (2.8), (2.9), (1.11) and (1.14), we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *The operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which satisfies*

$$\|e^{tB_\epsilon(\xi)}f\|_\xi \le \|f\|_\xi, \quad \text{for any } t>0,\ f\in L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Since the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is a densely defined closed operator on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and both $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ and $B_\epsilon(\xi)^* = B_\epsilon(-\xi)$ are dissipative on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows from Corollary 4.4 on p.15 of [21] that $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$. □

Lemma 2.2. *The following conditions hold for all $\xi\neq 0$ and $\epsilon\in(0,1)$.*

(1) $\sigma_{ess}(B_\epsilon(\xi))\subset\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\le-\nu_0\}$ and $\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi))\cap\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,-\nu_0<\mathrm{Re}\le 0\}\subset\sigma_d(B_\epsilon(\xi))$.

(2) If λ is an eigenvalue of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$, then $\mathrm{Re}\lambda<0$ for any $\xi\neq0$ and $\epsilon\in(0,1)$.

Proof. Define

$$A_\epsilon(\xi) = -\nu(v) - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi). \tag{2.11}$$

By (1.11), $\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda>-\nu_0$ and hence $\sigma(A_\epsilon(\xi))\subset\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\le-\nu_0\}$. Since $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is a compact perturbation of $A_\epsilon(\xi)$, it follows from Theorem 5.35 in p.244 of [13] that $\sigma_{ess}(B_\epsilon(\xi))=\sigma_{ess}(A_\epsilon(\xi))$ and $\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ in the domain $\mathrm{Re}\lambda>-\nu_0$ consists of discrete eigenvalues with possible accumulation points only on the line $\mathrm{Re}\lambda=-\nu_0$. This proves (1). By a similar argument as Proposition 2.2.8 in [23], we can prove (2) and the details are omitted for brevity. □

Now denote by T a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ or $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$, and we define the corresponding norms of T by

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1}\|Tf\|, \quad \|T\|_\xi = \sup_{\|f\|_\xi=1}\|Tf\|_\xi.$$

Obviously, it holds that

$$(1+|\xi|^{-2})^{-1/2}\|T\| \le \|T\|_\xi \le (1+|\xi|^{-2})^{1/2}\|T\|. \tag{2.12}$$

First, we consider the spectrum and resolvent sets of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi|>r_0$ with $r_0>0$ being a constant. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi) &= \lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi) - K + i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d\\ &= \left(I - K(\lambda-A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} + i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d(\lambda-A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\right)(\lambda-A_\epsilon(\xi)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Then, we estimate the terms on the right hand side of (2.13) as follows.

Lemma 2.3 ([23, 14])**.** *There is a constant $C>0$ such that the following holds.*

1. For any $\delta>0$, if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\nu_0+\delta$, we have

$$\|K(\lambda-A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\| \le C\delta^{-11/13}(1+\epsilon|\xi|)^{-2/13}. \tag{2.14}$$

2. For any $\delta>0$, if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\nu_0+\delta$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|\ge(2\epsilon|\xi|)^{5/3}\delta^{-2/3}$, we have

$$\|K(\lambda-A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\| \le C\delta^{-3/5}(1+|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|)^{-2/5}. \tag{2.15}$$

3. For any $\delta>0$, $r_0>0$, if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\nu_0+\delta$ and $|\xi|\ge r_0$, we have

$$\|(v\cdot\xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_d(\lambda-A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\| \le C(\delta^{-1}+1)(r_0^{-1}+1)(|\xi|+|\lambda|)^{-1}. \tag{2.16}$$

By (2.13) and Lemma 2.3, we have the spectral gap of the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi|>r_0$.

Lemma 2.4 (Spectral gap). *Fixed $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. For any $r_0 > 0$, there exists $\alpha = \alpha(r_0) > 0$ such that for $\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_0$,*

$$\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \leq -\alpha\}. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta\}$ with $\delta > 0$. We first show that $\sup_{\epsilon|\xi|\geq r_0} |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| < +\infty$. By (2.12), (2.14) and (2.16), there exists $r_1 = r_1(\delta) > 0$ large enough such that for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta$ and $\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_1$,

$$\|K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi \leq 1/4, \quad \|\epsilon(v\cdot\xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_d(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi \leq 1/4. \tag{2.18}$$

This implies that $I + K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} + i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi)|\xi|^{-2}P_d(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}$ is invertible on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$, which together with (2.13) yields that $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is also invertible on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and satisfies

$$(\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} = (\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\left(I - K(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} + i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d(\lambda - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\right)^{-1}. \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore, $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta\} \subset \rho(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_1$.

As for $r_0 \leq \epsilon|\xi| \leq r_1$, by (2.15) and (2.16), there exists $\beta = \beta(r_0, r_1, \delta) > 0$ such that if $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta$, $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \beta$ and $\epsilon|\xi| \in [r_0, r_1]$, then (2.18) still holds and thus $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible. This implies that $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta, |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| > \beta\} \subset \rho(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ for $r_0 \leq \epsilon|\xi| \leq r_1$. Hence, we conclude that for $\epsilon|\xi| \geq r_0$,

$$\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta\} \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \geq -\nu_0 + \delta,\ |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \leq \beta\}. \tag{2.20}$$

Next, we prove that $\sup_{\epsilon|\xi|\geq r_0} \mathrm{Re}\lambda < 0$. Based on the above argument and Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathrm{Re}\lambda < 0$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \in [r_0, r_1]$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \leq \beta$. This can be proved by contradiction. Suppose that there exists $\epsilon|\xi_n| \in [r_0, r_1]$, $f_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\|f_n\| = 1$, $\lambda_n \in \sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi_n))$ such that

$$Lf_n - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi_n)f_n - i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi_n}{|\xi_n|^2}P_df_n = \lambda_n f_n, \quad \mathrm{Re}\lambda_n \to 0.$$

Write

$$(\lambda_n + \nu - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi_n))f_n = Kf_n - i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi_n}{|\xi_n|^2}P_df_n.$$

Since K and P_d are compact, there exists a subsequence f_{n_j} of f_n and $g_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $|C_0| \leq 1$ such that $Kf_{n_j} \to g_1$ and $P_df_{n_j} \to C_0\sqrt{M}$ as $j \to \infty$. Due to the fact that $\epsilon|\xi_n| \in [r_0, r_1]$, $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda_n| \leq \beta$ and $\mathrm{Re}\lambda_n \to 0$, there exists a subsequence of (still denoted by) $(\xi_{n_j}, \lambda_{n_j})$, and (ξ_0, λ_0) with $\epsilon|\xi_0| \in [r_0, r_1]$, $\mathrm{Re}\lambda_0 = 0$ such that $(\xi_{n_j}, \lambda_{n_j}) \to (\xi_0, \lambda_0)$ and $i(v\cdot\xi_{n_j})|\xi_{n_j}|^{-2}P_df_{n_j} \to g_2 = i(v\cdot\xi_0)|\xi_0|^{-2}C_0\sqrt{M}$ as $j \to \infty$. Noting that $|\lambda_n + \nu + i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi_n)| \geq \delta$, we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} f_{n_j} = \lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{g_1 - \epsilon g_2}{\lambda_{n_j} + \nu - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi_{n_j})} = \frac{g_1 - \epsilon g_2}{\lambda_0 + \nu - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi_0)} := f,$$

and hence $Kf = g_1$ and $i(v\cdot\xi_0)|\xi_0|^{-2}P_df = g_2$. It follows that $B_\epsilon(\xi_0)f = \lambda_0 f$ and thus λ_0 is an eigenvalue of $B_\epsilon(\xi_0)$ with $\mathrm{Re}\lambda_0 = 0$, which contradicts $\mathrm{Re}\lambda < 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$ established by Lemma 2.2. This proves the lemma. □

Then, we study the spectrum and resolvent sets of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \leq r_0$. To this end, we decompose

$$\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi) = \lambda P_0 - D_\epsilon(\xi) + \lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi) + i\epsilon P_0(v\cdot\xi)P_1 + i\epsilon P_1(v\cdot\xi)P_0, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$D_\epsilon(\xi) = -i\epsilon P_0(v\cdot\xi)P_0 - i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d, \quad Q_\epsilon(\xi) = L - i\epsilon P_1(v\cdot\xi)P_1. \tag{2.22}$$

Here $D_\epsilon(\xi) = \epsilon D(\xi)$ is a linear operator from N_0 to N_0, where $D(\xi) = -iP_0(v\cdot\xi)P_0 - i\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d$ is a linear operator represented by a matrix in the basis of N_0 as

$$-D(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & i\xi^T, & 0 \\ i\xi(1+\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}), & 0 & i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\xi \\ 0, & i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\xi^T, & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.23}$$

It is easy to verify that $\eta_j(|\xi|)$ and $h_j(\xi)$, $j=-1,0,1,2,3$ are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $D(\xi)$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\pm1}(|\xi|)=\pm i\sqrt{1+\dfrac{5}{3}|\xi|^2}, \quad \eta_k(|\xi|)=0, \ \ k=0,2,3, \\ h_0(\xi)=\dfrac{\sqrt{2}|\xi|^2}{\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}\sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}}\chi_0-\dfrac{\sqrt{3+3|\xi|^2}}{\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}}\chi_4, \\ h_{\pm1}(\xi)=\dfrac{\sqrt{3/2}|\xi|}{\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}}\chi_0\pm\sqrt{\dfrac{1}{2}}v\cdot\dfrac{\xi}{|\xi|}\chi_0+\dfrac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}}\chi_4, \\ h_k(\xi)=v\cdot W^k\chi_0, \quad k=2,3, \\ (h_j(\xi),h_k(\xi))_\xi=\delta_{jk}, \quad j,k=-1,0,1,2,3, \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

where W^k, $k=2,3$, are orthonormal vectors satisfying $W^k\cdot\xi=0$.

Lemma 2.5 ([14]). *Let $\xi\neq0$, the following holds for $D_\epsilon(\xi)$ and $Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ defined by* (2.22).

1. *If $\lambda\neq\epsilon\eta_j(|\xi|)$, then the operator $\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on N_0 and satisfies*

$$\|(\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi=\max_{-1\le j\le3}\left(|\lambda-\epsilon\eta_j(|\xi|)|^{-1}\right), \tag{2.25}$$

$$\|P_1(v\cdot\xi)P_0(\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi\le C|\xi|\max_{-1\le j\le3}\left(|\lambda-\epsilon\eta_j(|\xi|)|^{-1}\right), \tag{2.26}$$

   where $\eta_j(|\xi|)$, $j=-1,0,1,2,3$, are the eigenvalues of $D(\xi)$ defined by (2.24).

2. *If $\mathrm{Re}\lambda>-\mu$, then the operator $\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $N_0^\perp$ and satisfies*

$$\|(\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|\le(\mathrm{Re}\lambda+\mu)^{-1}, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\|P_0(v\cdot\xi)P_1(\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi\le C(1+|\lambda|)^{-1}[(\mathrm{Re}\lambda+\mu)^{-1}+1](|\xi|+\epsilon|\xi|^2). \tag{2.28}$$

By (2.21) and Lemmas 2.2–2.5, we are able to analyze the spectral and resolvent sets of the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ as follows.

Lemma 2.6. *For fixed $\epsilon\in(0,1)$, the following facts hold.*

1. *For all $\xi\neq0$, there exists $y_0>0$ such that*

$$\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\frac{\mu}{2},\,|\mathrm{Im}\lambda|\ge y_0\}\cup\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\lambda>0\}\subset\rho(B_\epsilon(\xi)). \tag{2.29}$$

2. *For any $\delta>0$, there exists $r_0=r_0(\delta)>0$ such that for $\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0$,*

$$\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi))\cap\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\frac{\mu}{2}\}\subset\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,|\lambda|\le\delta\}. \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. By Lemmas 2.5, we have for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda>-\mu$ and $\lambda\neq\epsilon\eta_j(|\xi|)$ that the operator $\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi)+\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and satisfies

$$(\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi)+\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}=(\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}+(\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}, \tag{2.31}$$

because the operator $\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi)$ is orthogonal to $\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi)$. Therefore, we can re-write (2.21) as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda-B_\epsilon(\xi)=&(I+Y_\epsilon(\lambda,\xi))(\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi)+\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi)), \\ Y_\epsilon(\lambda,\xi)=&i\epsilon P_1(v\cdot\xi)P_0(\lambda P_0-D_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}+i\epsilon P_0(v\cdot\xi)P_1(\lambda P_1-Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, there exists $R_0>0$ large enough so that for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\nu_0/2$ and $\epsilon|\xi|\ge R_0$, $\lambda-B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and satisfies (2.19). Thus, $\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\nu_0/2\}\subset\rho(B_\epsilon(\xi))$ for $\epsilon|\xi|\ge R_0$.

For $\epsilon|\xi| \le R_0$, by (2.26) and (2.28) we can choose $y_0 > 0$ sufficiently large such that for $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \ge -\mu/2$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \ge y_0$,

$$\|\epsilon P_1(v\cdot\xi)P_0(\lambda P_0 - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi \le \frac{1}{4}, \quad \|\epsilon P_d(v\cdot\xi)P_1(\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}\|_\xi \le \frac{1}{4}. \tag{2.32}$$

This implies that the operator $I + Y_\epsilon(\lambda,\xi)$ is invertible on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and thus $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and satisfies

$$(\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1} = \big((\lambda P_0 - A_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}P_0 + (\lambda P_1 - Q_\epsilon(\xi))^{-1}P_1\big)\,(I + Y_\epsilon(\lambda,\xi))^{-1}. \tag{2.33}$$

Therefore, $\rho(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \supset \{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\lambda \ge -\mu/2, |\mathrm{Im}\lambda| \ge y_0\}$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \le R_0$. This and Lemma 2.2 lead to (2.29).

Assume that $|\lambda| > \delta$ and $\mathrm{Re}\lambda \ge -\mu/2$. Then, by (2.26) and (2.28) we can choose $r_0 = r_0(\delta) > 0$ so that estimates in (2.32) still hold for $\epsilon|\xi| \le r_0$, and then $\lambda - B_\epsilon(\xi)$ is invertible on $L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, we have $\rho(B_\epsilon(\xi)) \supset \{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,|\lambda| > \delta, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \ge -\mu/2\}$ for $\epsilon|\xi| \le r_0$, which gives (2.30). □

Now we study the asymptotic expansions of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi|$ sufficiently small. Firstly, we consider a 1-D eigenvalue problem:

$$B_\epsilon(s)e =: \Big(L - i\epsilon v_1 s - i\epsilon\frac{v_1}{s}P_d\Big)\,e = \beta e, \quad s\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.34}$$

Let e be the eigenfunction of (2.34), we rewrite e in the form $e = g_0 + g_1$, where $g_0 = P_0 e$ and $g_1 = (I - P_0)e = P_1 e$. The eigenvalue problem (2.34) can be decomposed into

$$\beta g_0 = -i\epsilon s P_0[v_1(g_0+g_1)] - i\epsilon\frac{v_1}{s}P_d g_0, \tag{2.35}$$

$$\beta g_1 = Lg_1 - i\epsilon s P_1[v_1(g_0+g_1)]. \tag{2.36}$$

From Lemma 2.5 and (2.36), we obtain that for any $\mathrm{Re}\beta > -\mu$,

$$g_1 = i\epsilon s(L - \beta P_1 - i\epsilon s P_1 v_1 P_1)^{-1}(P_1 v_1 g_0). \tag{2.37}$$

Substituting (2.37) into (2.35), we have

$$\beta g_0 = -i\epsilon s P_0 v_1 g_0 - i\epsilon\frac{v_1}{s}P_d g_0 + \epsilon^2 s^2 P_0[v_1 R(\beta,\epsilon s)P_1 v_1 g_0], \tag{2.38}$$

where

$$R(\beta,s) = (L - \beta P_1 - isP_1 v_1 P_1)^{-1}. \tag{2.39}$$

We will now reduce (2.38) to a problem of 5-dimension linear system. Since $g_0 \in N_0$, we have

$$g_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{4} W_j\chi_j \quad \text{with} \quad W_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_0\chi_j dv.$$

Taking the inner product of (2.38) with $\{\chi_j,\ j = 0,1,2,3,4\}$ gives

$$\beta W_0 = -i\epsilon s W_1, \tag{2.40}$$

$$\beta W_1 = -i\epsilon W_0\left(s + \frac{1}{s}\right) - i\epsilon s\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}W_4 + \epsilon^2 s^2 W_1 R_{11}(\beta,\epsilon s) + \epsilon^2 s^2 W_4 R_{41}(\beta,\epsilon s), \tag{2.41}$$

$$\beta W_j = \epsilon^2 s^2 W_j R_{22}(\beta,\epsilon s), \quad j = 2,3, \tag{2.42}$$

$$\beta W_4 = -i\epsilon s\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}W_1 + \epsilon^2 s^2 W_1 R_{14}(\beta,\epsilon s) + \epsilon^2 s^2 W_4 R_{44}(\beta,\epsilon s), \tag{2.43}$$

where

$$R_{jk}(\beta,s) = (R(\beta,s)P_1(v_1\chi_j), v_1\chi_k), \quad j,k = 1,2,4. \tag{2.44}$$

Denote

$$D_0(z,s) = z - s^2 R_{22}(z,s), \tag{2.45}$$

$$D_1(z,s,\epsilon) = \begin{vmatrix} z & is & 0 \\ i\left(s+\frac{1}{s}\right) & z-\epsilon s^2 R_{11}(\epsilon z,\epsilon s) & is\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}-\epsilon s^2 R_{41}(\epsilon z,\epsilon s) \\ 0 & is\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}-\epsilon s^2 R_{14}(\epsilon z,\epsilon s) & z-\epsilon s^2 R_{44}(\epsilon z,\epsilon s) \end{vmatrix}. \tag{2.46}$$

Then, by a direct computation and the implicit function theorem, we can show

Lemma 2.7. *There are two small constants $r_0, r_1 > 0$ such that the equation $D_0(z,s) = 0$ has a unique C^∞ solution $z = z(s)$ for $|s| \le r_0$ and $|z| \le r_1$, which is a C^∞ function of s satisfying*

$$z(0) = 0, \quad z'(0) = 0, \quad z''(0) = 2(L^{-1}(v_1\chi_2), v_1\chi_2). \tag{2.47}$$

Moreover, $z(s)$ is an even, real function.

We have the following result about the solution of $D_1(z,s,\epsilon) = 0$.

Lemma 2.8. *There are two small constants $r_0, r_1 > 0$ such that the equation $D_1(z,s,\epsilon) = 0$ has exactly three solutions $z_j = z_j(s,\epsilon)$, $j = -1,0,1$ for $\epsilon|s| \le r_0$ and $|z_j - \eta_j(s)| \le r_1|s|$. They are C^∞ functions of s and ϵ, which satisfy*

$$z_j(s,0) = \eta_j(s), \quad \partial_\epsilon z_j(s,0) = -b_j(s), \tag{2.48}$$

where $\eta_j(s) = ji\sqrt{1+\frac{5}{3}s^2}$, $j = -1,0,1$, and

$$\begin{cases} b_0(s) = -\dfrac{3(s^2+s^4)}{3+5s^2}(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_4), v_1\chi_4), \\ b_{\pm1}(s) = -\dfrac{1}{2}s^2(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_1), v_1\chi_1) - \dfrac{s^4}{3+5s^2}(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_4), v_1\chi_4). \end{cases} \tag{2.49}$$

In particular, $z_j(s,\epsilon)$, $j = -1,0,1$ satisfy the following expansions

$$z_j(s,\epsilon) = \eta_j(s) - \epsilon b_j(s) + O(1)(\epsilon^2 s^3). \tag{2.50}$$

Proof. By (2.46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(z,s,\epsilon) =& z^3 - z^2\epsilon s^2(R_{11}+R_{44}) + z\Big[1+\frac{5}{3}s^2 + i\epsilon\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}s^3\,(R_{41}+R_{14}) \\ &+ \epsilon^2 s^4(R_{44}R_{11} - R_{14}R_{41})\Big] - \epsilon(s^2+s^4)R_{44}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

where $R_{jk} = R_{jk}(\epsilon z, \epsilon s)$, $j,k = 1,2,4$ are defined by (2.44). It follows that

$$D_1(z,s,0) = z\left(z^2 + 1 + \frac{5}{3}s^2\right) = 0 \tag{2.52}$$

has three solutions $\eta_j(s) = ji\sqrt{1+\frac{5}{3}s^2}$ for $j = -1,0,1$. Moreover, $D_1(z,s,\epsilon)$ is C^∞ with respect to (z,s,ϵ) and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\epsilon D_1(z,s,\epsilon) =& - z^2 s^2(R_{11}+R_{44}) - z^2\epsilon s^2(\partial_\epsilon R_{11} + \partial_\epsilon R_{44}) \\ &+ z\Big[i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}s^3(R_{14}+R_{41}) + i\epsilon\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}s^3\partial_\epsilon(R_{14}+R_{41}) + 2\epsilon s^4 R_{11}R_{44} \\ &+ \epsilon^2 s^4\partial_\epsilon(R_{11}R_{44}) - 2\epsilon s^4 R_{14}R_{41} + \epsilon^2 s^4\partial_\epsilon(R_{14}R_{41})\Big] \\ &- (s^2+s^4)R_{44} - \epsilon(s^2+s^4)\partial_\epsilon R_{44}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z D_1(z,s,\epsilon) =& 3z^2+1+\frac{5}{3}s^2-2z\epsilon s^2(R_{11}+R_{44})+z^2\epsilon s^2(\partial_z R_{11}+\partial_z R_{44})\\ &+\Big[\epsilon^2 s^4(R_{11}R_{44}-R_{14}R_{41})-i\epsilon s^3\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(R_{14}+R_{41})\Big]\\ &+z\Big[\epsilon^2 s^4\partial_z(R_{11}R_{44}-R_{14}R_{41})-i\epsilon s^3\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\partial_z R_{14}+\partial_z R_{41})\Big]\\ &-\epsilon(s^2+s^4)\partial_z R_{44}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.54}$$

For $j=-1,0,1$, we define

$$G_j(z,s,\epsilon)=z-\left(3\eta_j(s)^2+1+\frac{5}{3}s^2\right)^{-1}D_1(z,s,\epsilon).$$

It is straightforward to verify that a solution of $D_1(z,s,\epsilon)=0$ for any fixed s and ϵ is a fixed point of $G_j(z,s,\epsilon)$.

Since

$$|\partial_z R_{ij}(\epsilon z,\epsilon s)|\le C\epsilon,\quad |\partial_\epsilon R_{ij}(\epsilon z,\epsilon s)|\le C(|z|+|s|),\quad i,j=1,2,4,$$

it follows from (2.53) and (2.54) that

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial_z G_j(z,s,\epsilon)|&=\left|1-\left(3\eta_j(s)^2+1+\frac{5}{3}s^2\right)^{-1}\partial_z D_1(z,s,\epsilon)\right|\le Cr_1,\\ |\partial_\epsilon G_j(z,s,\epsilon)|&=\left|\left(3\eta_j(s)^2+1+\frac{5}{3}s^2\right)^{-1}\partial_\epsilon D_1(z,s,\epsilon)\right|\le Cs^2,\end{aligned}$$

for $|z-\eta_j(s)|\le r_1|s|$ and $\epsilon|s|\le r_0$ with $r_0,r_1>0$ sufficiently small. This implies that for $|z-\eta_j(s)|\le r_1|s|$ and $\epsilon|s|\le r_0$ with $r_0,r_1\ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}|G_j(z,s,\epsilon)-\eta_j(s)|&=|G_j(z,s,\epsilon)-G_j(\eta_j(s),s,0)|\\ &\le|G_j(z,s,\epsilon)-G_j(z,s,0)|+|G_j(z,s,0)-G_j(\eta_j(s),s,0)|\\ &\le|\partial_\epsilon G_j(z,s,\widetilde{\epsilon})||\epsilon|+|\partial_z G_j(\widetilde{z},s,0)||z-\eta_j(s)|\le r_1|s|,\\ |G_j(z_1,s,\epsilon)-G_j(z_2,s,\epsilon)|&\le|\partial_z G_j(\bar{z},s,\epsilon)||z_1-z_2|\le\frac{1}{2}|z_1-z_2|,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ is between 0 and ϵ, $\widetilde{z}$ is between z and $\eta_j(s)$, and $\bar{z}$ is between z_1 and z_2.

Hence by the contraction mapping theorem, there exist exactly three functions $z_j(s,\epsilon)$, $j=-1,0,1$ for $\epsilon|s|\le r_0$ and $|z_j-\eta_j(s)|\le r_1|s|$ such that $G_j(z_j(s,\epsilon),s,\epsilon)=z_j(s,\epsilon)$ and $z_j(s,0)=\eta_j(s)$. This is equivalent to that $D_1(z_j(s,\epsilon),s,\epsilon)=0$. Moreover, by (2.53)–(2.54) we have

$$\partial_\epsilon z_0(s,0)=-\frac{\partial_\epsilon D_1(0,s,0)}{\partial_z D_1(0,s,0)}=\frac{3(s^2+s^4)}{3+5s^2}(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_4),v_1\chi_4),\tag{2.55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\epsilon z_{\pm1}(s,0)&=-\frac{\partial_\epsilon D_1(\eta_{\pm1}(s),s,0)}{\partial_z D_1(\eta_{\pm1}(s),s,0)}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}s^2(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_1),v_1\chi_1)+\frac{s^4}{3+5s^2}(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_4),v_1\chi_4).\end{aligned}\tag{2.56}$$

Combining (2.52), (2.55) and (2.56), we obtain (2.48) and (2.49).

Finally, we deal with (2.50). By (2.53) and (2.54), we obtain that for $|z-\eta_j(s)|\le r_1|s|$ and $\epsilon|s|\le r_0$,

$$-\frac{\partial_\epsilon D_1(z,s,\epsilon)}{\partial_z D_1(z,s,\epsilon)}=b_j(s)+o(1)|s|^2,$$

which implies that for $\epsilon|s|\le r_0$,

$$|z_j(s,\epsilon)-\eta_j(s)|=|\partial_\epsilon z_j(s,\widetilde{\epsilon})||\epsilon|=\frac{|\partial_\epsilon D_1(z_j(s,\widetilde{\epsilon}),s,\widetilde{\epsilon})|}{|\partial_z D_1(z_j(s,\widetilde{\epsilon}),s,\widetilde{\epsilon})|}|\epsilon|\le C\epsilon|s|^2,\tag{2.57}$$

where $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ is between 0 and ϵ. Thus, it follows from (2.53), (2.54) and (2.57) that

$$\partial_\epsilon z_j(s,\epsilon) = -\frac{\partial_\epsilon D_1(z_j(s,\epsilon),s,\epsilon)}{\partial_z D_1(z_j(s,\epsilon),s,\epsilon)} = b_j(s) + O(1)\epsilon|s|^3, \quad \epsilon|s| \le r_0.$$

The above estimate and (2.48) lead to (2.50). The proof of the lemma is then completed. □

With the help of Lemmas 2.7–2.8, we are able to construct the eigenvalue $\beta_j(s,\epsilon)$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $e_j(s,\epsilon)$ of $B_\epsilon(s)$ for $\epsilon|s|$ sufficiently small.

Theorem 2.9. *There exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that the spectrum $\sigma(B_\epsilon(s)) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\lambda \ge -\mu/2\}$ consists of five points $\{\beta_j(s,\epsilon),\ j = -1,0,1,2,3\}$ for $\epsilon|s| \le r_0$. The eigenvalues $\beta_j(s,\epsilon)$ and the corresponding eigenfunction $e_j(s,\epsilon)$ are C^∞ functions of s and ϵ. In particular, the eigenvalues $\beta_j(s,\epsilon)$, $j = -1,0,1,2,3$ admit the following asymptotic expansion*

$$\beta_j(s,\epsilon) = \epsilon\eta_j(s) - \epsilon^2 b_j(s) + O(\epsilon^3 s^3), \quad \epsilon|s| \le r_0, \tag{2.58}$$

where $\eta_j(s)$, $j = -1,0,1,2,3$ are defined by (2.24), *and*

$$\begin{cases} b_0(s) = -\dfrac{3(s^2+s^4)}{3+5s^2}(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_4), v_1\chi_4), \\ b_{\pm1}(s) = -\dfrac{1}{2}s^2(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_1), v_1\chi_1) - \dfrac{s^4}{3+5s^2}(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_4), v_1\chi_4), \\ b_k(s) = -s^2(L^{-1}P_1(v_1\chi_2), v_1\chi_2), \quad k = 2,3. \end{cases} \tag{2.59}$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions $e_j(s,\epsilon) = e_j(s,\epsilon,v)$, $j = -1,0,1,2,3$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (e_j, \overline{e_k})_s = (e_j, \overline{e_k}) + s^{-2}(P_d e_j, P_d \overline{e_k}) = \delta_{jk}, \ \ -1 \le j,k \le 3, \\ e_j(s,\epsilon) = P_0 e_j(s,\epsilon) + P_1 e_j(s,\epsilon), \\ P_0 e_j(s,\epsilon) = g_j(s) + O(\epsilon s), \\ P_1 e_j(s,\epsilon) = i\epsilon s L^{-1} P_1(v_1 g_j(s)) + O(\epsilon^2 s^2), \end{cases} \tag{2.60}$$

where $g_j(s)$, $j = -1,0,1,2,3$ are defined by

$$\begin{cases} g_0(s) = -\dfrac{\sqrt{2}s^2}{\sqrt{3+5s^2}\sqrt{1+s^2}}\chi_0 + \dfrac{\sqrt{3+3s^2}}{\sqrt{3+5s^2}}\chi_4, \\ g_{\pm1}(s) = \dfrac{\sqrt{3/2}s}{\sqrt{3+5s^2}}\chi_0 \pm \sqrt{\dfrac{1}{2}}v_1\chi_0 + \dfrac{s}{\sqrt{3+5s^2}}\chi_4, \\ g_k(s) = v_k\chi_0, \quad k = 2,3. \end{cases} \tag{2.61}$$

Proof. The eigenvalues $\beta_j(s,\epsilon)$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions $e_j(s,\epsilon)$ of $B_\epsilon(s)$ can be constructed as follows. For $j = 2,3$, we take $\beta_j(s,\epsilon) = z(\epsilon s)$ with $z(y)$ being a solution of $D_0(z,y) = 0$ defined in Lemma 2.7, and take $W_0 = W_1 = W_4 = 0$ given in (2.40)–(2.43). And the corresponding eigenfunctions $e_j(s,\epsilon)$, $j = 2,3$ are defined by

$$e_j(s,\epsilon) = b_2(s,\epsilon)\chi_j + ib_2(s,\epsilon)\epsilon s(L - \beta_j(s,\epsilon) - i\epsilon s P_1 v_1 P_1)^{-1} P_1(v_1\chi_j), \tag{2.62}$$

which are orthonormal, i.e., $(e_2(s,\epsilon), \overline{e_3(s,\epsilon)})_s = 0$.

For $j = -1,0,1$, we choose $\beta_j(s,\epsilon) = \epsilon z_j(s,\epsilon)$ with $z_j(s,\epsilon)$ being a solution of $D_1(z,s,\epsilon) = 0$ defined in Lemma 2.8, and take $W_2 = W_3 = 0$. We denote by $\{a_j, b_j, c_j\} =: \{W_0^j, W_1^j, W_4^j\}$ as a solution of system (2.40), (2.41) and (2.43) for $\beta = \beta_j(s,\epsilon)$. Then we can construct $e_j(s,\epsilon)$, $j = -1,0,1$ as

$$\begin{cases} e_j(s,\epsilon) = P_0 e_j(s,\epsilon) + P_1 e_j(s,\epsilon), \\ P_0 e_j(s,\epsilon) = a_j(s,\epsilon)\chi_0 + b_j(s,\epsilon)\chi_1 + c_j(s,\epsilon)\chi_4, \\ P_1 e_j(s,\epsilon) = i\epsilon s(L - \beta_j(s,\epsilon) - i\epsilon s P_1 v_1 P_1)^{-1} P_1\left(v_1 P_0 e_j(s,\epsilon)\right). \end{cases} \tag{2.63}$$

Write

$$\left(L - i\epsilon s v_1 - i\epsilon \frac{v_1}{s} P_d\right) e_j(s,\epsilon) = \beta_j(s,\epsilon) e_j(s,\epsilon), \quad j = -1, 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

By taking inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_s$ of above with $\overline{e_k}(s,\epsilon)$ and using the fact that

$$\left(L + i\epsilon s v_1 + i\epsilon \frac{v_1}{s} P_d\right) \overline{e_j}(s,\epsilon) = \overline{\beta_j}(s,\epsilon)\overline{e_j}(s,\epsilon),$$
$$(B_\epsilon(s) f, g)_s = (f, B_\epsilon(-s) g)_s, \quad \forall f, g \in D(B_\epsilon(s)),$$

we have

$$(\beta_j(s,\epsilon) - \beta_k(s,\epsilon))(e_j(s,\epsilon), \overline{e_k}(s,\epsilon))_s = 0, \quad j,k = -1,0,1,2,3. \tag{2.64}$$

For $|\epsilon s|$ sufficiently small, $\beta_j(s,\epsilon) \neq \beta_k(s,\epsilon)$ for $-1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2$. Therefore, we have the orthogonality relation

$$(e_j(s,\epsilon), \overline{e_k}(s,\epsilon))_s = 0, \quad -1 \leq j \neq k \leq 3. \tag{2.65}$$

We also normalize these eigenfunctions by $(e_j(s,\epsilon), \overline{e_j}(s,\epsilon))_s = 1$ for $-1 \leq j \leq 3$.

The coefficient $b_2(s,\epsilon)$ in (2.62) is determined by the normalization condition as

$$b_2(s,\epsilon)^2 \left(1 + \epsilon^2 s^2 D_2(s,\epsilon)\right) = 1 \tag{2.66}$$

with $D_2(s,\epsilon) = (R(\beta_0, \epsilon s) P_1(v_1\chi_2), R(\overline{\beta_0}, -\epsilon s) P_1(v_1\chi_2))$. It follows from (2.66) and (2.58) that

$$b_2(s,\epsilon) = 1 + O(\epsilon^2 s^2), \quad \epsilon|s| \leq r_0,$$

which together with (2.62) leads to (2.60) for $j = 2, 3$.

To obtain the expansion of $e_j(s,\epsilon)$ for $j = -1, 0, 1$ defined in (2.63), we consider its macroscopic part and microscopic part respectively. By (2.40), (2.41) and (2.43), the macroscopic part $P_0(e_j(s,\epsilon))$ is determined in terms of the coefficients $\{a_j(s,\epsilon), b_j(s,\epsilon), c_j(s,\epsilon)\}$ that satisfy

$$\begin{cases} z_j(s,\epsilon) a_j(s,\epsilon) + i s b_j(s,\epsilon) = 0, \\ i\left(s + \dfrac{1}{s}\right) a_j(s,\epsilon) + \left(z_j(s,\epsilon) - \epsilon s^2 R_{11}\right) b_j(s,\epsilon) \\ \qquad + \left(is\sqrt{\dfrac{2}{3}} + \epsilon s^2 R_{41}\right) c_j(s,\epsilon) = 0, \\ \left(is\sqrt{\dfrac{2}{3}} - \epsilon s^2 R_{14}\right) b_j(s,\epsilon) + \left(z_j(s,\epsilon) - \epsilon s^2 R_{44}\right) c_j(s,\epsilon) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.67}$$

where $R_{kl} = R_{kl}(\epsilon z_j(s,\epsilon), \epsilon s)$ and $z_j(s,\epsilon)$ are given by (2.44) and (2.48) respectively. Furthermore, we have the normalization condition:

$$1 = a_j^2(s,\epsilon)\left(1 + \frac{1}{s^2}\right) + b_j^2(s,\epsilon) + c_j^2(s,\epsilon) + \epsilon^2 s^2 D_3(s,\epsilon), \quad \epsilon|s| \leq r_0, \tag{2.68}$$

where $D_3(s,\epsilon) = (R(\beta_j, \epsilon s) P_1(v_1 P_0 e_j), R(\overline{\beta_j}, -\epsilon s) P_1(v_1 P_0 e_j))$.

By (2.67) and (2.50), we can expand $a_j(s,\epsilon), b_j(s,\epsilon), c_j(s,\epsilon)$ as

$$a_j(s,\epsilon) = a_{j,0}(s) + O(\epsilon s), \quad b_j(s,\epsilon) = b_{j,0}(s) + O(\epsilon s), \quad c_j(s,\epsilon) = c_{j,0}(s) + O(\epsilon s).$$

Substituting above expansions and (2.50) into (2.67) and (2.68), we have the following expansion

$$\begin{cases} j\sqrt{1 + \frac{5}{3}s^2}\, a_{j,0}(s) + s b_{j,0}(s) = 0, \\ (s^2+1) a_{j,0}(s) + j s\sqrt{1 + \frac{5}{3}s^2}\, b_{j,0}(s) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} s^2 c_{j,0}(s) = 0, \\ s\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} b_{j,0}(s) + j\sqrt{1 + \frac{5}{3}s^2}\, c_{j,0}(s) = 0, \\ a_{j,0}^2(s)\left(1 + \frac{1}{s^2}\right) + b_{j,0}^2(s) + c_{j,0}^2(s) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.69}$$

By combining (2.69) and (2.63), we can prove (2.60) for $j = -1, 0, 1$ by a straightforward computation. The proof is then completed. □

We now consider the following 3-D eigenvalue problem:

$$B_\epsilon(\xi)\psi = \left(L - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi) - i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d\right)\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad \xi\in\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{2.70}$$

With the help of Lemma 2.9, we have the expansion of the eigenvalues $\lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon)$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon)$ of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ for $\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0$ as follows.

Theorem 2.10. *There exists a constant $r_0>0$ such that the spectrum $\sigma(B_\epsilon(\xi))\cap\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,|\,\mathrm{Re}\lambda\ge-\mu/2\}$ consists of five points $\{\lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon),\ j=-1,0,1,2,3\}$ for $\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon)$, $j=-1,0,1,2,3$ are C^∞ functions of $(|\xi|,\epsilon)$, and satisfy the following expansions for $\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0$:*

$$\lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon) = \epsilon\eta_j(|\xi|) - \epsilon^2 b_j(|\xi|) + O(\epsilon^3|\xi|^3), \tag{2.71}$$

where $\eta_j(|\xi|)$ and $b_j(|\xi|)$ are defined by (2.24) *and* (2.59) *respectively.*

The eigenfunctions $\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon)=\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon,v)$, $j=-1,0,1,2,3$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (\psi_j,\overline{\psi_k})_\xi = (\psi_j,\overline{\psi_k}) + |\xi|^{-2}(P_d\psi_j, P_d\overline{\psi_k}) = \delta_{jk}, \ \ -1\le j,k\le 3,\\ \psi_j(\xi,\epsilon) = P_0\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon) + P_1\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon),\\ P_0\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon) = h_j(\xi) + O(\epsilon|\xi|),\\ P_1\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon) = i\epsilon L^{-1}P_1[(v\cdot\xi)P_0h_j(\xi)] + O(\epsilon^2|\xi|^2), \end{cases} \tag{2.72}$$

where $h_j(\xi)\in N_0$ are defined by (2.24).

Proof. Let $\mathbb{O}$ be a rotational transformation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathbb{O}:\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\to(1,0,0)$. We have

$$\mathbb{O}^{-1}\left(L - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi) - i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d\right)\mathbb{O} = L - i\epsilon v_1 s - i\epsilon\frac{v_1}{s}P_d. \tag{2.73}$$

Thus, from Lemma 2.9, we have the following eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for (2.70):

$$\left(L - i\epsilon(v\cdot\xi) - i\epsilon\frac{v\cdot\xi}{|\xi|^2}P_d\right)\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon) = \lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon)\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon),$$
$$\lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon) = \beta_j(|\xi|,\epsilon), \quad \psi_j(\xi,\epsilon) = \mathbb{O}e_j(|\xi|,\epsilon), \quad j=-1,0,1,2,3.$$

This proves the theorem. □

By virtue of Lemmas 2.3–2.6 and Theorem 2.10, we can analyze on the semigroup $S(t,\xi,\epsilon) = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}$ precisely by using an argument similar to that of Theorem 3.4 in [15]. Hence, we only state the result as follows and omit the detail of the proof for brevity.

Theorem 2.11. *The semigroup $S(t,\xi,\epsilon) = e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}$ has the following decomposition:*

$$S(t,\xi,\epsilon)f = S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)f + S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)f, \quad f\in L^2_\xi(\mathbb{R}^3_v), \tag{2.74}$$

where

$$S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)f = \sum_{j=-1}^{3} e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}\lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon)}\left(f,\overline{\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon)}\right)_\xi \psi_j(\xi,\epsilon)1_{\{\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0\}}, \tag{2.75}$$

with $(\lambda_j(|\xi|,\epsilon),\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon))$ being the eigenvalue and eigenfunction of the operator $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ given in Theorem 2.10 for $\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0$, and $S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)$ satisfies for two constants $d>0$ and $C>0$ independent of ξ and ϵ that

$$\|S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)f\|_\xi \le Ce^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\|f\|_\xi. \tag{2.76}$$

3 Fluid approximation of semigroup

In this section, we give the first and second order fluid approximations of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$, which will be used to prove the convergence and establish the convergence rate of the solution to the VPB system (1.6)–(1.8) towards the solution to the NSPF system (1.15)–(1.21).

Firstly, introduce a function sapce H^k_P ($L^2_P = H^0_P$) with the norm

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{H^k_P} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|\xi|^2)^k\|\hat{f}\|^2_\xi d\xi\right)^{1/2}\\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|\xi|^2)^k\left(\|\hat{f}\|^2+\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\left|(\hat{f},\sqrt{M})\right|^2\right)d\xi\right)^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{f}=\hat{f}(\xi,v)$ is the Fourier transformation of $f(x,v)$. Note that

$$\|f\|^2_{H^k_P} = \|f\|^2_{L^2_v(H^k_x)} + \|\nabla_x\Delta_x^{-1}(f,\sqrt{M})\|^2_{H^k_x}. \tag{3.1}$$

Also, we define the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty_P} = \|f\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)} + \|\nabla_x\Delta_x^{-1}(f,\sqrt{M})\|_{L^\infty_x}. \tag{3.2}$$

For any $f_0\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_x\times\mathbb{R}^3_v)$, set

$$e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0 = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}\mathcal{F})f_0. \tag{3.3}$$

By Lemma 2.1, it holds that

$$\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0\|_{H^k_P} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|\xi|^2)^k\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|\xi|^2)^k\|\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi = \|f_0\|_{H^k_P}.$$

This means that the operator $\epsilon^{-2}B_\epsilon$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$ in H^k_P, and therefore, $f(x,v,t)=e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0$ is a global solution to the linearized VPB system (2.1) for any $f_0\in H^k_P$.

Consider the following linear Navier-Stokes-Poisson-Fourier (NSPF) system of $(n,m,q)(t,x)$:

$$\nabla_x\cdot m = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$n+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}q-\Delta_x^{-1}n = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\partial_t m+\kappa_0\Delta_x m+\nabla_x p = H_1, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\partial_t\left(q-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}n\right)+\kappa_1\Delta_x q = H_2, \tag{3.7}$$

where $H_1=(H_1^1,H_1^2,H_1^3)$ and H_2 are given functions, p is the pressure satisfying $p=\Delta_x^{-1}\mathrm{div}_x H_1$, and the initial data $(n,m,q)(0)$ satisfies (1.20) and (1.21).

For any $U_0=U_0(x,v)\in N_0$, we define

$$V(t,\xi)\hat{U}_0 = \sum_{j=0,2,3}e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(\hat{U}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi h_j(\xi), \tag{3.8}$$

where $b_j(|\xi|)$ and $h_j(\xi)$, $j=0,2,3$ are defined by (2.59) and (2.24) respectively. Set

$$V(t)U_0 = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}V(t,\xi)\mathcal{F})U_0. \tag{3.9}$$

Then, we can represent the solution to the NSPF system (3.4)–(3.7) by the semigroup $V(t)$ as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $f_0\in L^2_v(L^2_x)$ and $H_i\in L^1_t(L^2_x)$, $i=1,2$, define*

$$U(t,x,v) = V(t)P_0f_0+\int_0^t V(t-s)H(s)ds,$$

where

$$H(t,x,v) = H_1(t,x)\cdot v\chi_0 + H_2(t,x)\chi_4.$$

Let $(n,m,q) = ((U,\chi_0),(U,v\chi_0),(U,\chi_4))$. *Then* $(n,m,q)(t,x) \in L^\infty_t(L^2_x)$ *is an unique global solution to the linear NSPF system* (3.4)–(3.7) *with the initial data* $(n,m,q)(0)$ *satisfies* (1.20)–(1.21).

Proof. By taking Fourier transform to (3.4)–(3.7), we have

$$i\xi\cdot\hat{m} = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\hat{n} + \frac{1}{|\xi|^2}\hat{n} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{q} = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\partial_t\hat{m} - \kappa_0|\xi|^2\hat{m} + i\xi\hat{p} = \hat{H}_1, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\partial_t\left(\hat{q} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{n}\right) - \kappa_1|\xi|^2\hat{q} = \hat{H}_2, \tag{3.13}$$

where the initial data $(\hat{n},\hat{m},\hat{q})(0)$ satisfies

$$\hat{m}(0) = \mathbb{O}_1\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, v\chi_0\right), \quad \hat{q}(0) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{n}(0) = \left(P_0\hat{f}_0, \chi_4 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\chi_0\right), \tag{3.14}$$

with $\mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{O}_1(\xi)$ being a projection defined by

$$\mathbb{O}_1 y = y - \left(y\cdot\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \quad \forall y\in\mathbb{R}^3.$$

By (3.11) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\hat{n} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}\hat{q}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\frac{3+5|\xi|^2}{3+3|\xi|^2}\partial_t\hat{q} - \kappa_1|\xi|^2\hat{q} = \hat{H}_2. \tag{3.16}$$

It follows from (3.16), (3.14), (2.24) and (2.59) that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{q}(\xi,t) &= e^{-b_0(|\xi|)t}\hat{q}(0) + \int_0^t e^{-b_0(|\xi|)(t-s)}\frac{3+3|\xi|^2}{3+5|\xi|^2}\hat{H}_2(s)ds\\ &= e^{-b_0(|\xi|)t}\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_0(\xi)\right)_\xi (h_0(\xi),\chi_4)\\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-b_0(|\xi|)(t-s)}\left(\hat{H}(s), h_0(\xi)\right)_\xi (h_0(\xi),\chi_4)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

This and (3.15) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{n}(\xi,t) &= e^{-b_0(|\xi|)t}\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_0(\xi)\right)_\xi (h_0(\xi),\chi_0)\\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-b_0(|\xi|)(t-s)}\left(\hat{H}(s), h_0(\xi)\right)_\xi (h_0(\xi),\chi_0)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.10) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{m}(\xi,t) &= e^{-b_2(|\xi|)t}\mathbb{O}_1\hat{m}(0) + \int_0^t e^{-b_2(|\xi|)(t-s)}\mathbb{O}_1\hat{H}_1(s)ds\\ &= \sum_{j=2,3} e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi (h_j(\xi), v\chi_0)\\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2,3}\int_0^t e^{-b_j(|\xi|)(t-s)}\left(\hat{H}(s), h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi (h_j(\xi), v\chi_0)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Noting that $(h_0(\xi), v\chi_0) = 0$ and $(h_j(\xi),\chi_0) = (h_j(\xi),\chi_4) = 0$, $j = 2,3$, we can prove the lemma by using (3.17)–(3.19). □

We have the time decay rates of the semigroups $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$ and $V(t)$ as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and any $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v)$, we have*

$$\left\|P_0\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|_{L^2_P} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{m}{2}} \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \tag{3.20}$$

$$\left\|P_1\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \le C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{m}{2}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \tag{3.21}$$

where $\alpha' \le \alpha$, $m = |\alpha - \alpha'|$, and $d, C > 0$ are two constants independent of ϵ.

Moreover, if $P_d f_0 = 0$, then

$$\left\|P_0\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|_{L^2_P} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{m}{2}} \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \tag{3.22}$$

$$\left\|P_1\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \le C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{m}{2}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \tag{3.23}$$

and if $P_0 f_0 = 0$, then

$$\left\|P_0\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|_{L^2_P} \le C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{m}{2}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \tag{3.24}$$

$$\left\|P_1\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \le C\left(\epsilon^2(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{4}-\frac{m}{2}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|P_0\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|^2_{L^2_P} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\|P_0\xi^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}\hat{f}_0\right\|^2_\xi d\xi \\
&\le \int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left\|\xi^\alpha P_0 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\right\|^2_\xi d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\|\xi^\alpha S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\right\|^2_\xi d\xi, \qquad (3.26)\\
\left\|P_1\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\right\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\|P_1\xi^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}\hat{f}_0\right\|^2 d\xi \\
&\le \int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \left\|\xi^\alpha P_1 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\right\|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\|\xi^\alpha S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\right\|^2 d\xi. \qquad (3.27)
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.76) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\xi^\alpha)^2}{|\xi|^2}\left|(\hat{f}_0,\chi_0)\right|^2 d\xi &\le \sup_{|\xi|\le 1}\left|\xi^{\alpha'}(\hat{f}_0,\chi_0)\right|^2 \int_{|\xi|\le 1}\frac{1}{|\xi|^2}d\xi + \int_{|\xi|>1}(\xi^\alpha)^2\left|(\hat{f}_0,\chi_0)\right|^2 d\xi \\
&\le C\left(\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)} + \|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}\right), \quad \alpha' \le \alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

we can estimate the second term on the right hand side of (3.26)–(3.27) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi^\alpha)^2\|S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi &\le Ce^{-2\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi^\alpha)^2\|\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi \\
&\le Ce^{-2\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \qquad (3.28)
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.75) and Theorem 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\|\xi^\alpha P_0 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi &\le C\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}|\xi|^{2|\alpha|}\|\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi \\
&\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-m}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \qquad (3.29)\\
\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\|\xi^\alpha P_1 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi &\le C\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}\epsilon^2|\xi|^{2+2|\alpha|}\|\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi \\
&\le C\epsilon^2(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-m}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \qquad (3.30)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha' \le \alpha$, $m = |\alpha - \alpha'|$ and $c > 0$ is a constant. Combining (3.26)–(3.30), we prove (3.20) and (3.21).

If $P_d f_0 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \|\xi^\alpha P_0 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\|_\xi^2 d\xi &\le C\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}|\xi|^{2|\alpha|}\|\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}-m}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \qquad (3.31)\\ \int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \|\xi^\alpha P_1 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi &\le C\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}\epsilon^2|\xi|^{2+2|\alpha|}\|\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi \\ &\le C\epsilon^2(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}-m}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \qquad (3.32)\end{aligned}$$

If $P_0 f_0 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \|\xi^\alpha P_0 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\|_\xi^2 d\xi &\le C\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}\epsilon^2|\xi|^{2+2|\alpha|}\|\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi \\ &\le C\epsilon^2(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}-m}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \qquad (3.33)\\ \int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} \|\xi^\alpha P_1 S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi &\le C\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}\epsilon^4|\xi|^{4+2|\alpha|}\|\hat{f}_0\|^2 d\xi \\ &\le C\epsilon^4(1+t)^{-\frac{7}{2}-m}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(H^2_x)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \qquad (3.34)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.26), (3.27) and (3.31)–(3.34), we obtain (3.22)–(3.25). The proof is then completed. □

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and any $u_0 \in N_0$, we have*

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha V(t)u_0\|_{L^2_P} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{m}{2}}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \qquad (3.35)$$

where $\alpha' \le \alpha$, $m = |\alpha - \alpha'|$, and $C > 0$ is a constant. Moreover,

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha V(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty_P} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} t^{-\frac{m}{2}}\left(\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\right). \qquad (3.36)$$

Proof. By (3.8), we have

$$V(t,\xi)\hat{u}_0 = e^{-b_0(|\xi|)t}R_0(\xi)\left(\hat{U}_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{U}_4\right) + e^{-b_2(|\xi|)t}\sum_{j=1}^3 R_j(\xi)\hat{U}_j, \qquad (3.37)$$

$$\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2}(V(t,\xi)\hat{u}_0, \chi_0) = e^{-b_0(|\xi|)t}R_4(\xi)\left(\hat{U}_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\hat{U}_4\right), \qquad (3.38)$$

where $U_j = (u_0, \chi_j)$, $j = 0,1,2,3,4$, and

$$\begin{aligned}R_0(\xi) &= \frac{2|\xi|^2}{3+5|\xi|^2}\chi_0 - \frac{\sqrt{6}(1+|\xi|^2)}{3+5|\xi|^2}\chi_4,\\ R_j(\xi) &= v_j\chi_0 - \frac{(v\cdot\xi)}{|\xi|^2}\xi_j\chi_0, \quad j = 1,2,3,\\ R_4(\xi) &= \frac{2\xi}{3+5|\xi|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.37) and (3.38) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^\alpha V(t)u_0\|^2_{L^2_P} &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi^\alpha)^2 e^{-2c|\xi|^2 t}\|\hat{u}_0\|^2 d\xi\\ &\le \sup_{|\xi|\le 1}(\xi^{\alpha'})^2\|\hat{u}_0\|^2\int_{|\xi|\le 1}(\xi^{\alpha-\alpha'})^2 e^{-2c|\xi|^2 t}d\xi + \int_{|\xi|\ge 1} e^{-2ct}(\xi^\alpha)^2\|\hat{u}_0\|^2 d\xi\\ &\le C(1+t)^{-3/2-m}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \qquad (3.39)\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha' \le \alpha$, $m = |\alpha - \alpha'|$ and $c > 0$ is a constant. This proves (3.20)

Then, (3.36) can be proved as follows. For $t > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^\alpha V(t)u_0\|_{L_P^\infty} &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi^\alpha| e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}\|\hat{u}_0\| d\xi \\ &\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\xi^{\alpha-\alpha'})^2 e^{-2c|\xi|^2 t} d\xi\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\xi^{\alpha'})^2 \|\hat{u}_0\|^2 d\xi\right)^{1/2} \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-3/4-m/2}\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

For $t \le 1$, we only estimate the term $\|V(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}$. Introduce the smooth cut-off function $\chi_1(\xi)$ with

$$\chi_1(\xi) = 1, \quad |\xi| \le 1; \quad \chi_1(\xi) = 0, \quad |\xi| \ge 2,$$

and decompose $V(t)u_0$ into

$$\partial_x^\alpha V(t)u_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi^\alpha V(t,\xi)\hat{u}_0\chi_1(\xi)) + \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi^\alpha V(t,\xi)\hat{u}_0\chi_2(\xi)) =: I_1 + I_2, \tag{3.41}$$

where $\chi_2(\xi) = 1 - \chi_1(\xi)$. We can bound I_1 by

$$\|I_1\| \le C\int_{|\xi|\le 2} |\xi^\alpha| e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}\|\hat{u}_0\| d\xi \le C(1+t)^{-3/4-m/2}\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}}. \tag{3.42}$$

Denote G_j, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ by their Fourier transforms:

$$\hat{G}_0(t,\xi) = e^{-b_0(|\xi|)t} R_0(\xi)\chi_2(\xi), \quad \hat{G}_j(t,\xi) = e^{-b_2(|\xi|)t} R_j(\xi)\chi_2(\xi), \ \ j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We can represent I_2 as

$$I_2 = \partial_x^\alpha G_0(t) * \left(U_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} U_4\right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_x^\alpha G_j(t) * U_j. \tag{3.43}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\partial_\xi^\alpha(\xi^\beta \hat{G}_j(t,\xi))\right\| &\le C\sum_{\alpha'\le\alpha} \partial_\xi^{\alpha'} e^{-b_i(|\xi|)t}\|\partial_\xi^{\alpha-\alpha'}(\xi^\beta R_j(\xi)\chi_2(\xi))\| \\ &\le C\sum_{\alpha'\le\alpha} t^{|\alpha'|/2}(1+|\xi|^2 t)^{|\alpha'|/2} e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}|\xi|^{|\beta|-|\alpha-\alpha'|} \\ &\le C|\xi|^{|\beta|}\left(t^{|\alpha|/2}(1+|\xi|^2 t)^{|\alpha|/2} + |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}\right) e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}, \quad |\xi| \ge 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = j = 0$ and $i = 2, j = 1, 2, 3$, it follows that

$$|x^{2\alpha}|\left\|\partial_x^\beta G_j(t,x)\right\| \le C\int_{|\xi|\ge 1}\left\|\partial_\xi^{2\alpha}(\xi^\beta \hat{G}_j(t,\xi))\right\| d\xi \le Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{|\beta|}{2}} t^{|\alpha|}, \quad t \le 1. \tag{3.44}$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $|\alpha| = 0$ for $|x|^2 \le t$ and $|\alpha| = n$ for $|x|^2 \ge t$, and obtain from (3.44),

$$\left\|\partial_x^\beta G_j(t,x)\right\| \le Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{|\beta|}{2}}\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{t}\right)^{-n}. \tag{3.45}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.43) and (3.45) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_2\| &\le C\|\partial_x^{\alpha-\alpha'} G_0(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\left(\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} U_0\|_{L^\infty_x} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} U_4\|_{L^\infty_x}\right) \\ &\quad + \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\alpha'} G_j(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} U_j\|_{L^\infty_x} \\ &\le Ct^{-m/2}\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}, \quad m = |\alpha - \alpha'|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Combining (3.41), (3.42) and (3.46), we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha V(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)} \le Ct^{-m/2}\left(\|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} u_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\right), \quad t \le 1. \tag{3.47}$$

By combining (3.40), (3.41), (3.42) and (3.47), we obtain (3.36). The proof is completed. □

We now prepare two lemmas that will be used to study the fluid dynamical approximation of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $a > 1$ be a constant. For any function $\theta(\xi)$ satisfying $|\partial_\xi^\alpha \theta(\xi)| \le C(1+|\xi|)^{-a-|\alpha|}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\theta(\xi)d\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3_x), \tag{3.48}$$

where $b_j(|\xi|)$, $j=-1,0,1,2,3$ are defined by (2.59).

Proof. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $j=-1,0,1,2,3$, by (2.59) we obtain

$$\left|\partial_\xi^\alpha e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\right| \le Ct^{|\alpha|/2}(1+|\xi|^2 t)^{|\alpha|/2}e^{-c|\xi|^2 t},$$

where $c, C > 0$ are two constants. This implies that

$$\left|x^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}d\xi\right| \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\partial_\xi^\alpha e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\right|d\xi \le Ct^{-3/2+|\alpha|/2},$$

which gives

$$\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\right)\right| \le Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(1+\frac{|x|^2}{t}\right)^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.49}$$

Since $|\partial_\xi^\alpha\theta(\xi)| \le C(1+|\xi|)^{-a-|\alpha|}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, we have

$$\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\theta(\xi)\right| \le C\frac{1}{|x|^2}(1+|x|)^{-n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.50}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.49) and (3.50) that

$$\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\theta(\xi)\right)\right\|_{L^1_x} \le C\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\right)\right\|_{L^1_x}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\theta(\xi)\right\|_{L^1_x} \le C,$$

which proves (3.48). □

Lemma 3.5. *For any $f_0 \in N_0$, we have*

$$\|S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)f_0\|_\xi \le C\left(\epsilon|\xi|1_{\{\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0\}} + 1_{\{\epsilon|\xi|\ge r_0\}}\right)e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\|f_0\|_\xi. \tag{3.51}$$

Proof. Define a projection $P_\epsilon(\xi)$ by

$$P_\epsilon(\xi)f = \sum_{j=-1}^{3}\left(f, \overline{\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon)}\right)_\xi \psi_j(\xi,\epsilon), \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v),$$

where $\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon)$, $j=-1,0,1,2,3$ are the eigenfunctions of $B_\epsilon(\xi)$ defined by (2.72) for $\epsilon|\xi| \le r_0$.

By Theorem 2.11, we have

$$S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon) = S_{21}(t,\xi,\epsilon) + S_{22}(t,\xi,\epsilon), \tag{3.52}$$

where

$$S_{21}(t,\xi,\epsilon) = S(t,\xi,\epsilon)1_{\{\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0\}}\left(I - P_\epsilon(\xi)\right), \tag{3.53}$$

$$S_{22}(t,\xi,\epsilon) = S(t,\xi,\epsilon)1_{\{\epsilon|\xi|\ge r_0\}}. \tag{3.54}$$

It holds that

$$\|S_{2j}(t,\xi,\epsilon)g\|_\xi \le Ce^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\|g\|_\xi, \quad \forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v), \ \ j=1,2. \tag{3.55}$$

Since $h_j(\xi)$, $j=-1,0,1,2,3$ are the orthonormal basis of N_0, it follows that for any $f_0 \in N_0$,

$$f_0 - P_\epsilon(\xi)f_0 = \sum_{j=-1}^{3}(f_0, h_j(\xi))_\xi h_j(\xi) - \sum_{j=-1}^{3}(f_0, \overline{\psi_j(\xi,\epsilon)})_\xi \psi_j(\xi,\epsilon),$$

which together with (2.72) gives rise to

$$\|S_{21}(t,\xi,\epsilon)f_0\|_\xi \le C\epsilon|\xi|1_{\{\epsilon|\xi|\le r_0\}}e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\|f_0\|_\xi, \quad \forall f_0 \in N_0. \tag{3.56}$$

By combining (3.52)–(3.56), we obtain (3.51). □

Now we are going to estimate the first and second order expansions of the semigroup $e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}$.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and any $f_0 \in L^2_v(L^2_x)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0 - V(t)P_0f_0\right\|_{L^\infty_P} \le& C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-q}\right)\\ &\times\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^4_x)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{4,1}_x)} + \|\nabla_x\phi_0\|_{L^p_x}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

for any $1<p<2$ and $q=3/p-3/2$, where $\phi_0=\Delta_x^{-1}(f_0,\chi_0)$. Moreover, if f_0 satisfies (1.24), then

$$\left\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0 - V(t)P_0f_0\right\|_{L^\infty_P} \le C\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \tag{3.58}$$

Proof. First, we prove (3.57) as follows. By Theorem 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0 - V(t)P_0f_0\right\| =& C\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix\cdot\xi}\left(e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon(\xi)}\hat{f}_0 - V(t,\xi)P_0\hat{f}_0\right)d\xi\right\|\\ \le& C\left\|\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{ix\cdot\xi}\left(S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0 - V(t,\xi)P_0\hat{f}_0\right)d\xi\right\|\\ &+ C\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\left\|V(t,\xi)P_0\hat{f}_0\right\|d\xi + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left\|S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\right\|d\xi\\ =:& I_1+I_2+I_3.\end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

We estimate I_j, $j=1,2,3$ as follows. By (2.75) and Theorem 2.10, we have

$$S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0 = \sum_{j=-1}^{3} e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t - b_j(|\xi|)t + O(\epsilon|\xi|^3)t}\left[\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi h_j(\xi) + O(\epsilon|\xi|)\right],$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 \le& \sum_{j=-1}^{3}\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\left\|e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t - b_j(|\xi|)t + O(\epsilon|\xi|^3)t}\left[\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi h_j(\xi) + O(\epsilon|\xi|)\right]\right.\\ &\left. - e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t - b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi h_j(\xi)\right\|d\xi\\ &+ \sum_{j=-1,1}\left\|\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t - b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi h_j(\xi)d\xi\right\|\\ =:& I_{11}+I_{12}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

For I_{11}, it follows from (2.59) and (2.72) that

$$\begin{aligned}I_{11} \le& C\epsilon\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}} e^{-c|\xi|^2t}\left(|\xi|^3t\|P_0\hat{f}_0\|_\xi + |\xi|\|\hat{f}_0\|_\xi\right)d\xi\\ \le& C\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

Then, we estimate I_{12} as follows. By (2.24), we have

$$\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi h_j(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{4}\hat{R}_{jk}\hat{F}^1_k + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2}\left(R_{j5}(\xi)\hat{F}^1_0 + R_{j6}(\xi)\sum_{l=1}^{3}\xi_l\hat{F}^1_l\right), \tag{3.62}$$

where $j=-1,1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{j0}(\xi)&=\frac{1+|\xi|^2}{3+5|\xi|^2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\chi_0+\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\chi_4\right)+\frac{j\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}}(v\cdot\xi)\chi_0,\\
R_{jl}(\xi)&=\frac{j\sqrt{3}\xi_l}{2\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}}\left(\chi_0+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\chi_4\right),\quad l=1,2,3,\\
R_{j4}(\xi)&=\frac{\sqrt{3}|\xi|^2}{3+5|\xi|^2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_0+\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\chi_4\right)+\frac{j\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}}(v\cdot\xi)\chi_0,\\
R_{j5}(\xi)&=\frac{j\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3+5|\xi|^2}}v\chi_0,\quad R_{j6}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}v\chi_0,\\
\hat{F}^1_k(\xi)&=\left(P_0\hat{f}_0,\chi_k\right)1_{\{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}\}},\quad k=0,1,2,3,4.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{cases}
\hat{G}_j(t,\xi)=e^{\frac{ji\sqrt{1+\frac{5}{3}|\xi|^2}}{\epsilon}t}\left(1+\frac{5}{3}|\xi|^2\right)^{-\frac{5}{4}},\quad j=-1,1,\\
\hat{H}_{jl}(t,\xi)=e^{-b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(1+\frac{5}{3}|\xi|^2\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}R_{jl}(\xi),\ \ 0\le l\le 6,\\
\hat{F}^2_k(\xi)=\left(1+\frac{5}{3}|\xi|^2\right)^{2}\left(P_0\hat{f}_0,\chi_k\right)1_{\{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}\}},\ \ 0\le k\le 4.
\end{cases}\tag{3.63}$$

By (3.62) and (3.63), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t-b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(P_0\hat{f}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi h_j(\xi)\\
=&\sum_{k=0}^{4}\hat{G}_j\hat{H}_{jk}\hat{F}^2_k+\hat{G}_j\hat{H}_{j5}\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2}\hat{F}^2_0+\sum_{l=1}^{3}\hat{G}_j\hat{H}_{j6}\frac{\xi\xi_n}{|\xi|^2}\hat{F}^2_l.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.64}$$

We deal with the terms in the right side of (3.64) as follows. From [19], one has

$$\|G_j(t)\|_{L^p_x}\le C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{p}},\quad 2\le p\le\infty.\tag{3.65}$$

Since $\|\partial^\alpha_\xi R_{jk}(\xi)\|\le C(1+|\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3$ and $0\le k\le 6$, it follows from (3.63) and Lemma 3.4 that

$$\|H_{jk}(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\le C,\quad 0\le k\le 6.\tag{3.66}$$

By ellipticity, we have

$$\|D_{ij}\Delta_x^{-1}g\|_{L^q}\le C\|g\|_{L^q},\quad \forall g\in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \ q\in(1,\infty).\tag{3.67}$$

This and (3.64), (3.65), (3.66) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12}\le&\|G_j(t)\|_{L^r_x}\|H_{jk}(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\|F^2_k\|_{L^p_x}+\|G_j(t)\|_{L^r_x}\|H_{j5}(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\|\nabla_x\Delta_x^{-1}F^2_0\|_{L^p_x}\\
&+\|G_j(t)\|_{L^r_x}\|H_{j6}(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\|D^2_x\Delta_x^{-1}F^2_l\|_{L^p_x}\\
\le&C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{r}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{4,p}_x)}+\|\nabla_x\phi_0\|_{L^p_x}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.68}$$

where $1/p+1/r=1$ with $1<p<2$. By combining (3.60), (3.61) and (3.68), we obtain

$$I_1\le C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-q}\right)\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^4_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{4,1}_x)}+\|\nabla_x\phi_0\|_{L^p_x}\right)\tag{3.69}$$

for any $1<p<2$ and $q=3/p-3/2$.

By (3.37) and (2.76), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\le C\left(\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\frac{1}{|\xi|^4}d\xi\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}e^{-2c|\xi|^2t}|\xi|^4\|P_0\hat{f}_0\|^2d\xi\right)^{1/2}\\ &\le Ce^{-\frac{cr_0^2t}{\epsilon^2}}\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}d\xi\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{-2\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}(1+|\xi|^2)^2\|\hat{f}_0\|_\xi^2d\xi\right)^{1/2}\\ &\le Ce^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.59) and (3.69)–(3.71) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0-V(t)P_0f_0\right\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}\le& C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-q}\right)\\ &\times\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^4_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{4,1}_x)}+\|\nabla_x\phi_0\|_{L^p_x}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

for any $1<p<2$ and $q=3/p-3/2$.

By a similar argument as above, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\nabla_x\Delta_x^{-1}\left(e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0-V(t)P_0f_0,\chi_0\right)\right\|_{L^\infty_x}\\ \le& C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-q}\right)\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^4_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{4,1}_x)}+\|\nabla_x\phi_0\|_{L^p_x}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

We now turn to (3.58). If f_0 satisfies (1.24), we have

$$\left(P_0\hat{f}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi=0,\quad j=-1,1,$$

which implies that $I_{12}=0$. The term I_{11} satisfies (3.61). It follows from (3.37) that

$$I_2\le C\epsilon\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}e^{-c|\xi|^2t}|\xi|\|P_0\hat{f}_0\|d\xi\le C\epsilon e^{-\frac{cr_0^2t}{\epsilon^2}}\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)}.$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3&\le C\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\epsilon|\xi|e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\|\hat{f}_0\|_\xi d\xi+C\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\|\hat{f}_0\|_\xi d\xi\\ &\le C\epsilon e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (3.58). This proves the lemma. □

Remark 3.7. *From Lemma 3.6, we have*

$$\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}P_0f_0-V(t)P_0f_0-u_\epsilon^{osc}(t)\|_{L^\infty_P}\le C\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right), \tag{3.74}$$

where $u_\epsilon^{osc}(t)=u_\epsilon^{osc}(t,x,v)$ is defined by (1.37).

Lemma 3.8. *For any $\epsilon\in(0,1)$ and any $f_0\in L^2_v(L^2_x)$ satisfying $P_0f_0=0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0+V(t)P_0(v\cdot\nabla_xL^{-1}f_0)\right\|_{L^\infty_P}\\ \le& C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-p}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right)\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^5_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{5,1}_x)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.75}$$

for any $0<p<3/2$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0+V(t)P_0(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}f_0)\right\|\\
\le& C\left\|\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}e^{ix\cdot\xi}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0+V(t,\xi)P_0(iv\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0)\right)d\xi\right\|\\
&+C\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\left\|V(t,\xi)P_0(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0)\right\|d\xi+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}S_2(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0\right\|d\xi\\
=:&I_1+I_2+I_3.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.76}$$

We estimate I_j, $j=1,2,3$ as follows. By (2.75) and Theorem 2.10, for any $f_0\in L^2_v(L^2_x)$ satisfying $P_0f_0=0$, we have

$$S_1(t,\xi,\epsilon)\hat{f}_0=i\epsilon\sum_{j=-1}^{3}e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t-b_j(|\xi|)t+O(\epsilon|\xi|^3)t}\left[\left(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)h_j(\xi)+O(\epsilon|\xi|^2)\right],$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1\le&\sum_{j=-1}^{3}\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\left\|e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t-b_j(|\xi|)t+O(\epsilon|\xi|^3)t}\left[\left(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)h_j(\xi)+O(\epsilon|\xi|^2)\right]\right.\\
&\left.-e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t-b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)h_j(\xi)\right\|d\xi\\
&+\sum_{j=-1,1}\left\|\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}e^{ix\cdot\xi}e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t-b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)h_j(\xi)d\xi\right\|\\
=:&I_{11}+I_{12}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.77}$$

For I_{11}, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11}\le&C\epsilon\int_{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}e^{-c|\xi|^2t}\left(|\xi|^3t\|P_0(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0)\|+|\xi|^2\|\hat{f}_0\|\right)d\xi\\
\le&C\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^4_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.78}$$

Then, we estimate I_{12} as follows. Let

$$\hat{U}_k(\xi)=\left(1+\frac{5}{3}|\xi|^2\right)^2(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0,\chi_k)1_{\{|\xi|\le\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}\}},\quad k=1,2,3,4.$$

By (3.64), we have

$$e^{\frac{\eta_j(|\xi|)}{\epsilon}t-b_j(|\xi|)t}\left(v\cdot\xi L^{-1}\hat{f}_0,h_j(\xi)\right)h_j(\xi)=\sum_{k=1}^{4}\hat{G}_j\hat{H}_{jk}\hat{U}_k+\sum_{l=1}^{3}\hat{G}_j\hat{H}_{j6}\frac{\xi\xi_l}{|\xi|^2}\hat{U}_l,\tag{3.79}$$

where $\hat{G}_j(t,\xi)$, $\hat{H}_{jk}(t,\xi)$ are defined by (3.63). It follows from (3.79), (3.65), (3.66) and (3.67) that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12}\le&\|G_j(t)\|_{L^r_x}\|H_{jk}(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\|U_k\|_{L^q_x}+\|G_j(t)\|_{L^r_x}\|H_{j6}(t)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\|D^2_x\Delta_x^{-1}U_l\|_{L^q_x}\\
\le&C\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{r}}\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{5,q}_x)},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.80}$$

where $1/r+1/q=1$ with $1<q<2$. By (3.77), (3.78) and (3.80), we obtain

$$I_1\le C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-p}\right)\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^5_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{5,1}_x)}\right)\tag{3.81}$$

for any $0<p<3/2$.

By (2.76) and (3.37), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\le C\left(\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}\frac{1}{|\xi|^4}d\xi\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{|\xi|\ge\frac{r_0}{\epsilon}}e^{-2c|\xi|^2t}|\xi|^6\|P_1\hat{f}_0\|^2d\xi\right)^{1/2}\\
&\le Ce^{-\frac{cr_0^2t}{\epsilon^2}}\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)}, \qquad (3.82)\\
I_3 &\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}d\xi\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}e^{-2\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}(1+|\xi|^2)^2\|\hat{f}_0\|^2_\xi d\xi\right)^{1/2}\\
&\le C\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right). \qquad (3.83)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.76) and (3.81)–(3.83) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0+V(t)P_0(v\cdot\nabla_xL^{-1}f_0)\right\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}\\
\le& C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-p}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right)\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^5_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{5,1}_x)}\right) \qquad (3.84)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $0<p<3/2$.

By a similar argument as above, we can prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\nabla_x\Delta_x^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0+V(t)P_0(v\cdot\nabla_xL^{-1}f_0),\chi_0\right)\right\|_{L^\infty_x}\\
\le& C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{2}}+\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-p}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right)\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^5_x)}+\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{5,1}_x)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the lemma. □

4 Diffusion limit

In this section, we study the diffusion limit of the solution to the nonlinear VPB system (1.6)–(1.8) based on the fluid approximations of the semigroup given in Section 3.

Since the operator B_ϵ generates a contraction semigroup in H^k_P $(k\ge0)$, the solution $f_\epsilon(t)=f_\epsilon(t,x,v)$ to the VPB system (1.6)–(1.8) can be represented by

$$
f_\epsilon(t)=e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0+\int_0^t e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}\left[G_1(f_\epsilon)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}G_2(f_\epsilon)\right](s)ds, \qquad (4.1)
$$

where the nonlinear terms $G_1(f_\epsilon)$ and $G_2(f_\epsilon)$ are defined in (1.9).

Let $(n,m,q)(t,x)$ be the global solution to the Navier-Stokes-Poisson-Fourier system (1.15)–(1.21). Then by Lemma 3.1, $u(t)=u(t,x,v)=n(t,x)\chi_0+m(t,x)\cdot v\chi_0+q(t,x)\chi_4$ can be represented by

$$
u(t)=V(t)P_0f_0+\int_0^t V(t-s)\left[Z_1(u)+\mathrm{div}_xZ_2(u)\right](s)ds, \qquad (4.2)
$$

where

$$
Z_1(u)=(n\nabla_x\phi)\cdot v\chi_0+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(m\cdot\nabla_x\phi)\chi_4, \qquad (4.3)
$$

$$
Z_2(u)=-(m\otimes m)\cdot v\chi_0-\frac{5}{3}(qm)\chi_4. \qquad (4.4)
$$

Firstly, we establish the existence and energy estimates of the solutions $f_\epsilon(t)$ and $u(t)$ as follows. By taking the inner product between $\{\chi_0,\, v\chi_0,\, \chi_4\}$ and (1.6), we obtain

$$
\partial_tn_\epsilon+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathrm{div}_xm_\epsilon=0, \qquad (4.5)
$$

$$\partial_t m_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla_x n_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\nabla_x q_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla_x \phi_\epsilon = n_\epsilon \nabla_x \phi_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon}(v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon, v\chi_0), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\partial_t q_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} m_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x \phi_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon}(v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon, \chi_4), \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$n_\epsilon = (f_\epsilon, \chi_0), \quad m_\epsilon = (f_\epsilon, v\chi_0), \quad q_\epsilon = (f_\epsilon, \chi_4).$$

Taking the microscopic projection P_1 to (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t(P_1 f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}P_1(v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}L(P_1 f_\epsilon)\\ =&-\frac{1}{\epsilon}P_1(v\cdot\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon) + P_1 G_1(f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}P_1 G_2(f_\epsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

By (4.8), we can express the microscopic part $P_1 f_\epsilon$ as

$$\begin{aligned}P_1 f_\epsilon =&\epsilon L^{-1}[\epsilon\partial_t(P_1 f_\epsilon) + P_1(v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) - \epsilon P_1 G_1 - P_1 G_2]\\ &- \epsilon L^{-1} P_1(v\cdot\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting (4.9) into (4.5)–(4.7), we obtain

$$\partial_t n_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t m_\epsilon + \epsilon\partial_t R_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla_x n_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\nabla_x q_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\\ &\quad= \kappa_0\left(\Delta_x m_\epsilon + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_x \mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon\right) + n_\epsilon\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon + R_3,\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$\partial_t q_\epsilon + \epsilon\partial_t R_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon = \kappa_1\Delta_x q_\epsilon + m_\epsilon\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon - R_4, \tag{4.12}$$

where the coefficients $\kappa_0, \kappa_1 > 0$ are defined by (1.23) and the remainder terms

$$\begin{aligned}R_1 &= \left(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}(P_1 f_\epsilon), v\chi_0\right), \quad R_2 = \left(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}(P_1 f_\epsilon), \chi_4\right),\\ R_3 &= \left(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}[P_1(v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) - \epsilon P_1 G_1 - P_1 G_2], v\chi_0\right),\\ R_4 &= \left(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}[P_1(v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) - \epsilon P_1 G_1 - P_1 G_2], \chi_4\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $N \geq 4$. For any $k \geq 0$ and any $f_\epsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}E_k(f_\epsilon) &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N}\|\nu^k\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N}\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x},\\ H_k(f_\epsilon) &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N}\|\nu^k\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N-1}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x}\right),\\ D_k(f_\epsilon) &= \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq N}\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\|\nu^{k+1/2}\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}\\ &\quad+ \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N-1}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_\epsilon = \Delta_x^{-1}(f_\epsilon, \chi_0)$. For simplicity, we denote $E(f_\epsilon) = E_0(f_\epsilon)$, $H(f_\epsilon) = H_0(f_\epsilon)$ and $D(f_\epsilon) = D_0(f_\epsilon)$.

First, we have the existence and the energy estimate for the solution f_ϵ to the VPB system (1.6)–(1.8).

Lemma 4.1. *For any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $E(f_0) \leq \delta_0^2$, then the system (1.6)–(1.8) admits a unique global solution $f_\epsilon(t) = f_\epsilon(t,x,v)$ satisfying the following energy estimate:*

$$E(f_\epsilon(t)) + d_1\int_0^t D(f_\epsilon(s))ds \leq C\delta_0^2, \tag{4.13}$$

where $d_1, C > 0$ are two constants independent of ϵ.

Proof. First, we establish the macroscopic energy estimate of the solution f_ϵ. Taking the inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon$ and $\partial_x^\alpha(4.11)$ with $|\alpha|\le N-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2+\|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2+\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2\right)+\epsilon\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_1\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx\\
&+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x q_\epsilon\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx+\kappa_0\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x m_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2+\frac{1}{3}\|\partial_x^\alpha\mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2\right)\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha(n_\epsilon\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon)\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_3\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx+\epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_1\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx\\
&=: I_1+I_2+I_3.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

From [5, 8], for any $\beta\in\mathbb{N}^3$ and $k\in[0,1]$ one has

$$\|\nu^{-k}\partial_v^\beta\Gamma(f,g)\|_{L_v^2}\le C\sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2\le\beta}\left(\|\nu^{1-k}\partial_v^{\beta_1}f\|_{L_v^2}\|\partial_v^{\beta_2}g\|_{L_v^2}+\|\partial_v^{\beta_1}f\|_{L_v^2}\|\nu^{1-k}\partial_v^{\beta_2}g\|_{L_v^2}\right).\tag{4.15}$$

By Cauchy inequality and (4.15), we have

$$I_1\le C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon),\tag{4.16}$$

$$I_2\le\frac{C}{\delta}\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2+\delta\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x m_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2+C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon),\tag{4.17}$$

where $\delta>0$ is a small constant to be determined later. By (4.6), we can bound I_3 by

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3\le&\frac{C}{\delta}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2+\|\partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2\right)+C\epsilon\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon)\\
&+\delta\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x n_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2+\|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2+\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x q_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

Therefore, it follows from (4.14), (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|(n_\epsilon,m_\epsilon)\|_{H_x^{N-1}}^2+\|\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\|_{H_x^{N-1}}^2\right)+\epsilon\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_1\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx\\
&+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x q_\epsilon\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx+\frac{\kappa_0}{2}\left(\|\nabla_x m_\epsilon\|_{H_x^{N-1}}^2+\frac{1}{3}\|\mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon\|_{H_x^{N-1}}^2\right)\\
&\le C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon)+\frac{C}{\delta}\|\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L_v^2(H_x^N)}^2+\delta\left(\|\nabla_x n_\epsilon\|_{H_x^N}^2+\|\nabla_x q_\epsilon\|_{H_x^{N-1}}^2\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.19}$$

Similarly, taking the inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon$ and $\partial_x^\alpha(4.12)$ with $|\alpha|\le N-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon\|_{L_x^2}^2+\epsilon\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_2\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx+\frac{\kappa_1}{2}\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x q\|_{L_x^2}^2\\
&+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha\mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx\\
&=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha(m_\epsilon\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon)\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_4\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx+\epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_3\partial_t\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx\\
&=: I_4+I_5+I_6.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

We estimate I_j, $j=4,5,6$ as follows. For $|\alpha|\ge1$, it holds that

$$I_4\le C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon),\tag{4.21}$$

and for $|\alpha|=0$, it follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$I_4=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big[m_\epsilon\cdot\Big(\epsilon\partial_t m_\epsilon+\nabla_x n_\epsilon+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\nabla_x q_\epsilon-\epsilon n_\epsilon\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad - (v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon, v\chi_0)\Big)\Big] q_\epsilon dx \\
&\le \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(m_\epsilon)^2 q_\epsilon dx + C\left(\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}+E(f_\epsilon)\right)D(f_\epsilon). \qquad (4.22)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy inequality and (4.15), we have

$$
I_5 \le \frac{C}{\delta}\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \delta\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x q_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x} + C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon). \qquad (4.23)
$$

By (4.7), we can bound I_6 by

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_6 \le{}& \frac{C}{\delta}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}\right) + C\epsilon\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon) \\
&+ \delta\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x n_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x q_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x}\right). \qquad (4.24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, it follows from (4.20), (4.21)–(4.24) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|q_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{N-1}_x} + \epsilon\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_2\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx - \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(m_\epsilon)^2 q_\epsilon dx \\
&+\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha \mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_1\|\nabla_x q_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{N-1}_x} \\
&\le C\left(\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}+E(f_\epsilon)\right)D(f_\epsilon) + C\|\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_v(H^{N-1}_x)} + \delta\|\nabla_x m_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{N-1}_x}. \qquad (4.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Agian, taking the inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x n_\epsilon$ and $\partial_x^\alpha(4.6)$ with $|\alpha|\le N-1$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x n_\epsilon dx + \frac{1}{2}\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x n_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|\partial_x^\alpha n_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x} \\
&\le C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon) + \|\partial_x^\alpha\mathrm{div}_x m_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x q_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x} + C\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x(P_1 f_\epsilon)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}. \qquad (4.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we take the summation of $(4.19)+(4.25)+C_0(4.26)$ with $C_0>0$ small enough to obtain the macroscopic energy estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|P_0 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_v(H^{N-1}_x)} + \|\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{N-1}_x}\right) + \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(m_\epsilon)^2 q_\epsilon dx \\
&+\epsilon\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\sum_{|\alpha|\le N-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_1\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha R_2\partial_x^\alpha q_\epsilon dx + C_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_x^\alpha m_\epsilon\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x n_\epsilon dx\right) \\
&+\frac{C_0}{2}\left(\|\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_v(H^{N-1}_x)} + \|n_\epsilon\|^2_{H^{N-1}_x}\right) \\
&\le C\left(\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}+E(f_\epsilon)\right)D(f_\epsilon) + C\|P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_v(H^N_x)}. \qquad (4.27)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we deal with the microscopic energy estimate. Taking inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon$ and $\partial_x^\alpha(1.6)$ with $|\alpha|\le 4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_x}\right) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(L\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon)\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon dxdv \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6}\partial_x^\alpha(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon f_\epsilon)\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon dxdv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^6}\partial_x^\alpha(\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\cdot\nabla_v f_\epsilon)\partial_x^\alpha f_\epsilon dxdv \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6}\partial_x^\alpha\Gamma(f_\epsilon,f_\epsilon)\partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon dxdv \\
&=: I_7 + I_8 + I_9. \qquad (4.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate I_j, $j=7,8,9$ as follows. For $|\alpha|=0$, it holds that

$$
I_8 = 0, \quad I_9 \le C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon). \qquad (4.29)
$$

To estimate I_7 with $|\alpha|=0$, we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6}(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon P_0 f_\epsilon)P_0 f_\epsilon dxdv + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6}(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon P_1 f_\epsilon)P_0 f_\epsilon dxdv \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^6}(v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon P_1 f_\epsilon)P_1 f_\epsilon dxdv \\ &=: I_{71}+I_{72}+I_{73}. \end{aligned}$$

It holds that $I_{72}, I_{73} \le C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon)$, and by (4.22),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{71} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(n_\epsilon\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon)m_\epsilon dx + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(m_\epsilon\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon)q_\epsilon dx \\ &\le \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(m_\epsilon)^2 q_\epsilon dx + C\left(\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}+E(f_\epsilon)\right)D(f_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

For $|\alpha|\ge 1$, we have

$$I_7, I_8, I_9 \le C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon). \tag{4.31}$$

Thus, it follows from (4.28)–(4.31) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\|f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_v(H^N_x)} + \|\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\|^2_{H^N_x}\right) + \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(m_\epsilon)^2 q_\epsilon dx + \frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon^2}\|\nu^{1/2}P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_v(H^N_x)} \\ \le& C\left(\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}+E(f_\epsilon)\right)D(f_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Finally, we estimate the mixed derivative terms $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon$ with $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le N$. For $|\beta|=0$, we take the inner product between $P_1 f_\epsilon$ and (1.6) and using Cauchy inequality to obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon^2}\|\nu^{1/2}P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \le C\|\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon). \tag{4.33}$$

To estimate $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon$ with $|\beta|\ge 1$, we rewrite (1.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t(P_1 f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\nu(v)P_1 f_\epsilon \\ =& -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}K(P_1 f_\epsilon) - \frac{1}{\epsilon}P_0(v\cdot\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon) - \frac{1}{\epsilon}P_1(v\cdot\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon}\Gamma(f_\epsilon, f_\epsilon) + P_1\left(\frac{1}{2}v\cdot\nabla_x\phi_\epsilon f_\epsilon - \nabla_x\phi_\epsilon\cdot\nabla_v f_\epsilon\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Let $1\le k\le N$, and choose α,β with $|\beta|=k$ and $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le N$. Taking the inner product between $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon$ and $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta(4.34)$ and summing the resulted equations, we obtain after a tedious calculation

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{|\beta|=k\\ |\alpha|+|\beta|\le N}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{\substack{|\beta|=k\\ |\alpha|+|\beta|\le N}}\|\nu^{1/2}\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ \le& C\sum_{|\alpha|\le 4-k}\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}\right) + \frac{C_k}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{\substack{|\beta|\le k-1\\ |\alpha|+|\beta|\le N}}\|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} \\ &+ C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Taking the summation $\sum_{1\le k\le N}p_k(4.35)$ with

$$\mu_1 p_k \ge 2\sum_{1\le j\le N-k} p_{k+j}C_{k+j},\quad 1\le k\le N-1,\quad p_N = 1,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\sum_{1\le k\le N}p_k\sum_{\substack{|\beta|=k\\ |\alpha|+|\beta|\le N}}\|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} + \frac{\mu_1}{2\epsilon^2}\sum_{1\le k\le N}p_k\sum_{\substack{|\beta|=k\\ |\alpha|+|\beta|\le N}}\|\nu^{1/2}\partial_x^\alpha\partial_v^\beta P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}$$

$$\leq C\sum_{|\alpha|\leq N-1}\|\partial_x^\alpha\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}+C\sum_{|\alpha|\leq N}\|\partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}+C\sqrt{E(f_\epsilon)}D(f_\epsilon). \tag{4.36}$$

Assume that $E(f_\epsilon)\leq C\delta_0^2$. Taking the summation of $A_1(4.27)+A_2(4.32)+(4.36)$ with $A_2>C_0A_1>0$ being large enough and integrate in time t, we prove (4.13). Based on the uniform energy estimates (4.13), we can prove the existence of the global solution by the standard continuity argument. The detail are omitted for brevity. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

By a similar argument as for Lemma 4.1, we have the following result with the detailed proof omitted.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\epsilon\in(0,1)$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0>0$ such that if $E_1(f_0)\leq\delta_0^2$, then there are two energy functionals $\mathcal{E}_1(f_\epsilon(t))\sim E_1(f_\epsilon(t))$ and $\mathcal{H}_1(f_\epsilon(t))\sim H_1(f_\epsilon(t))$ such that*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{E}_1(f_\epsilon(t))+D_1(f_\epsilon(t))\leq 0, \tag{4.37}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{H}_1(f_\epsilon(t))+D_1(f_\epsilon(t))\leq C\|\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}}, \tag{4.38}$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of ϵ.

With the help of Lemmas 3.2, 4.1 and 4.2, we have the time decay rate of f_ϵ as follows.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\epsilon\in(0,1)$, there exists a small constant $\delta_0>0$ such that if $E_1(f_0)+\|f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\leq\delta_0^2$, then the solution $f_\epsilon(t)=f_\epsilon(t,x,v)$ to the system (1.6)–(1.8) has the following time-decay rate estimates:*

$$E_1(f_\epsilon(t))\leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.39}$$

$$H_1(f_\epsilon(t))\leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{4.40}$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of ϵ. In particular, we have

$$\|P_1 f_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2_v(H^{N-3}_x)}\leq C\delta_0\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}+e^{-\frac{dt}{4\epsilon^2}}\right), \tag{4.41}$$

where $d,C>0$ are two constants independent of ϵ.

Moreover, if f_0 satisfies (1.24) and $E_1(f_0)+\|f_0\|^2_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\leq\delta_0^2$, then

$$E_1(f_\epsilon(t))\leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{4.42}$$

$$H_1(f_\epsilon(t))\leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}. \tag{4.43}$$

Proof. Define

$$Q_\epsilon(t)=\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left\{(1+s)^{1/4}E_1(f_\epsilon(s))^{1/2}+(1+s)^{3/4}H_1(f_\epsilon(s))^{1/2}\right\}.$$

We claim that

$$Q_\epsilon(t)\leq C\delta_0. \tag{4.44}$$

It is straightforward to verify that the estimates (4.39) and (4.40) follow from (4.44).

By (4.15) and (1.9), we have

$$\|G_j(f_\epsilon(s))\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\leq CE_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}H_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}\leq CQ_\epsilon(t)^2(1+s)^{-1}, \tag{4.45}$$

$$\|G_j(f_\epsilon(s))\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\leq CE_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}E_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}\leq CQ_\epsilon(t)^2(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.46}$$

and

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha G_j(f_\epsilon(s))\|_{L^2_{x,v}}\leq CH_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}H_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}\leq CQ_\epsilon(t)^2(1+s)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{4.47}$$

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha G_j(f_\epsilon(s))\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\leq CE_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}H_1(f_\epsilon)^{1/2}\leq CQ_\epsilon(t)^2(1+s)^{-1}, \tag{4.48}$$

where $j = 1, 2$, $1 \le |\alpha| \le N-1$, $0 \le s \le t$ and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Since $P_d G_1(f_\epsilon) = 0$ and $P_0 G_2(f_\epsilon) = 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.2, (4.1) and (4.45)–(4.48) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 f_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2_P} &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right) \\
&\quad + C\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_0^{t/2} \left((1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{d(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \\
&\qquad \times \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha G_j(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|G_j(s)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right) ds \\
&\quad + C\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_{t/2}^{t} \left((1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{d(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \\
&\qquad \times \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha G_j(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^\alpha G_j(s)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right) ds \\
&\le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} + CQ_\epsilon(t)^2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

for $|\alpha| = 0, 1$. By (4.38), (4.49) and noting that $D_1(f_\epsilon) \ge c\mathcal{H}_1(f_\epsilon)$ for $c > 0$ a constant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1(f_\epsilon(t)) &\le Ce^{-ct}H_1(f_0) + \int_0^t e^{-c(t-s)}\|\nabla_x P_0 f_\epsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2_{x,v}} ds \\
&\le C\delta_0^2 e^{-ct} + C(\delta_0 + Q_\epsilon(t)^2)^2 \int_0^t e^{-c(t-s)}(1+s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds \\
&\le C(\delta_0 + Q_\epsilon(t)^2)^2(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Combining (4.49)–(4.50), we obtain

$$Q_\epsilon(t) \le C\delta_0 + CQ_\epsilon(t)^2,$$

which leads to (4.44) provided $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small.

Then, we deal with (4.41). For any $f_0, g_0 \in L^2_v(L^2_x)$ satisfying $P_d f_0 = 0$ and $P_0 g_0 = 0$, by (3.27), (3.32) and (3.34) we can obtain

$$\|P_1 e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \le C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right)(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|\nabla_x f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}), \tag{4.51}$$

$$\|P_1 e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} g_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \le C\left(\epsilon^2(1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right)(\|g_0\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)} + \|\nabla_x g_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}). \tag{4.52}$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 3.2, (4.51) and (4.52) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^\alpha P_1 f_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} &\le C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{\epsilon^2}}\right)\left(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^1_x)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right) \\
&\quad + C\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_0^{t/2} \left(\epsilon(1+t-s)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{d(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \\
&\qquad \times \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha G_j(s)\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)} + \|G_j(s)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right) ds \\
&\quad + C\sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_{t/2}^{t} \left(\epsilon(1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{d(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}}\right) \\
&\qquad \times \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha G_j(s)\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)} + \|\partial_x^\beta G_j(s)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}\right) ds \\
&\le (C\delta_0 + CQ_\epsilon(t)^2)\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + e^{-\frac{dt}{4\epsilon^2}}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

where $|\beta| = 1$ for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \alpha$ for $1 \le |\alpha| \le N-3$. By (4.53), we can obtain (4.41).

If f_0 satisfies (1.24), we have

$$\left(P_0\hat{f}_0, h_j(\xi)\right)_\xi = 0, \quad j = -1, 1.$$

This and Theorems 2.10, 2.11 imply that

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} f_0\|_{L^2_P} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{m}{2}}(\|\partial_x^\alpha f_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}), \tag{4.54}$$

where $\alpha' \le \alpha$, $m = |\alpha - \alpha'|$. By (4.54), Lemma 3.2 and a similar argument as above, we can prove (4.42)–(4.43). The proof of the lemma is completed. □

By (4.2) and Lemma 3.3, we have

Lemma 4.4. *Let $N \ge 4$. There exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^N_x)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)} \le \delta_0$, then the NSPF system (1.15)–(1.21) admits a unique global solution $(n, m, q)(t, x) \in L^\infty_t(H^N_x)$. Moreover, $u(t, x, v) = n(t, x)\chi_0 + m(t, x) \cdot v\chi_0 + q(t, x)\chi_4$ has the following time-decay rate:*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2_v(H^N_x)} + \|\nabla_x \phi(t)\|_{H^N_x} \le C\delta_0(1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \tag{4.55}$$

where $\phi(t, x) = \Delta_x^{-1} n(t, x)$ and $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. Define

$$Q(t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \left\{ (1 + s)^{3/4} \left(\|u(s)\|_{L^2_v(H^N_x)} + \|\nabla_x \phi(s)\|_{H^N_x} \right) \right\}.$$

It holds that

$$\|Z_j(u(s))\|_{L^2_x(H^N_x)} + \|Z_j(u(s))\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)} \le CQ(t)^2(1 + s)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{4.56}$$

for $j = 1, 2$, $0 \le s \le t$ and $C > 0$ a constant. By (3.39), we obtain that for any vector $U_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha V(t) \mathrm{div}_x U_0\|_{L^2_P} \le C \left((1 + t)^{-\frac{5}{4} - \frac{m}{2}} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ct} \right) \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha U_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\partial_x^{\alpha'} U_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)} \right), \tag{4.57}$$

where $\alpha' \le \alpha$, $m = |\alpha - \alpha'|$ and $c > 0$ is a constant.

Then, it follows from Lemma 3.3, (4.2), (4.56) and (4.57) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^2_P} &\le C(1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{|\alpha|}{2}} (\|\partial_x^\alpha P_0 f_0\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|P_0 f_0\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}) \\
&\quad + C \sum_{j=1,2} \int_0^t \left((1 + t - s)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{|\alpha|}{2}} + (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-c(t-s)} \right) \\
&\qquad \times (\|\partial_x^\alpha Z_j(s)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|Z_j(s)\|_{L^2_v(L^1_x)}) ds \\
&\le C\delta_0(1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + CQ(t)^2(1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.58}$$

for $0 \le |\alpha| \le N$. By (4.58), we can obtain

$$Q(t) \le C\delta_0,$$

provided $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small. This proves (4.55). The existence of the solution can be proved by the contraction mapping theorem, the details are omitted. The proof of the lemma is completed. □

Lemma 4.5. *For any $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, it holds that*

$$\Gamma(v_i\chi_0, v_j\chi_0) = -\frac{1}{2} LP_1(v_i v_j \chi_0), \tag{4.59}$$

$$\Gamma(v_i\chi_0, |v|^2\chi_0) = -\frac{1}{2} LP_1(v_i |v|^2 \chi_0), \tag{4.60}$$

$$\Gamma(|v|^2\chi_0, |v|^2\chi_0) = -\frac{1}{2} LP_1(|v|^4 \chi_0). \tag{4.61}$$

Proof. Let $u = v_*$, $u' = v'_*$. Since

$$v_i + u_i = v'_i + u'_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad |v|^2 + |u|^2 = |v'|^2 + |u'|^2,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{cases}
(v_i + u_i)(v_j + u_j) = (v'_i + u'_i)(v'_j + u'_j), \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \\
(v_i + u_i)(|v|^2 + |u|^2) = (v'_i + u'_i)(|v'|^2 + |u'|^2), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\
(|v|^2 + |u|^2)(|v|^2 + |u|^2) = (|v'|^2 + |u'|^2)(|v'|^2 + |u'|^2).
\end{cases} \tag{4.62}$$

By (1.3), (1.10) and (4.62), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(v_i\chi_0, v_j\chi_0) =&\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-u|,\omega)(u_i'v_j' + v_i'u_j' - u_iv_j - v_iu_j)M(u)d\omega du\\ =&-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-u|,\omega)(u_i'u_j' + v_i'v_j' - v_iv_j - u_iu_j)M(u)d\omega du\\ =&-\frac{1}{2}LP_1(v_iv_j\chi_0),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(v_i\chi_0, |v|^2\chi_0) =&\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-u|,\omega)(u_i'|v'|^2 + |v'|^2u_i' - u_i|v|^2 - |u|^2v_i)M(u)d\omega du\\ =&-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-u|,\omega)(u_i'|u'|^2 + v_i'|v'|^2 - v_i|v|^2 - u_i|u|^2)M(u)d\omega du\\ =&-\frac{1}{2}LP_1(v_i|v|^2\chi_0),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(|v|^2\chi_0, |v|^2\chi_0) =&\sqrt{M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-u|,\omega)(|u'|^2|v'|^2 - |v|^2|u|^2)M(u)d\omega du\\ =&-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B(|v-u|,\omega)(|u'|^4 + |v'|^4 - |v|^4 - |u|^4)M(u)d\omega du\\ =&-\frac{1}{2}LP_1(|v|^4\chi_0).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Define

$$Q_\epsilon(t) = \sup_{0\le s\le t}\left(\epsilon^a(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1+\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b}\right)^{-1}\|f_\epsilon(s) - u(s)\|_{L^\infty_P}, \tag{4.63}$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty_P}$ is defined by (1.39).

We claim that

$$Q_\epsilon(t) \le C\delta_0, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{4.64}$$

It is easy to verify that the estimate (1.34) follows from (4.64).

By (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_\epsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{L^\infty_P}\\ \le&\left\|e^{\frac{t}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}f_0 - V(t)P_0f_0\right\|_{L^\infty_P} + \int_0^t\left\|e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}G_1(f_\epsilon) - V(t-s)Z_1(u)\right\|_{L^\infty_P}ds\\ &+\int_0^t\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}G_2(f_\epsilon) - V(t-s)\mathrm{div}_xZ_2(u)\right\|_{L^\infty_P}ds\\ =:&I_1 + I_2 + I_3.\end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

By (3.57), we can bound I_1 by

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 \le& C\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-r}\right)\left(\|f_0\|_{L^2_v(H^4_x)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v(W^{4,1}_x)} + \|\nabla_x\phi_0\|_{L^p_x}\right)\\ \le& C\delta_0\left(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-r}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

where $r = 3/p - 3/2 \in (0, 3/2)$ and $p \in (1, 2)$.

To estimate I_2, we decompose

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \le & \int_0^t \left\| e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon} G_1(f_\epsilon) - V(t-s)P_0 G_1(f_\epsilon) \right\|_{L^\infty_P} ds \\ & + \int_0^t \| V(t-s)P_0 G_1(f_\epsilon) - V(t-s)Z_1(u) \|_{L^\infty_P} ds \\ =: & I_{21} + I_{22}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

By (3.57), (4.45)–(4.48), and noting that $(G_1(f_\epsilon), \chi_0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} \le & C \int_0^t \left(\epsilon (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{t-s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-q} \right) \\ & \times \left(\| G_1(f_\epsilon) \|_{L^2_v(H^4_x)} + \| G_1(f_\epsilon) \|_{L^2_v(W^{4,1}_x)} \right) ds \\ \le & C\delta_0^2 \int_0^t \left(\epsilon (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{t-s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-q} \right) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ \le & C\delta_0^2 \left(\epsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-q} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

for any $q \in (1, 3/2)$.

Since

$$P_0 G_1(f_\epsilon) = (n_\epsilon \nabla_x \phi_\epsilon) \cdot v \chi_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (m_\epsilon \cdot \nabla_x \phi_\epsilon) \chi_4,$$

it follows from (3.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} \le & C \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\ & \times \left(\| f_\epsilon - u \|_{L^\infty_x (L^2_v)} \| \nabla_x \phi_\epsilon \|_{H^2_x} + \| u \|_{L^2_v (H^2_x)} \| \nabla_x \phi_\epsilon - \nabla_x \phi \|_{L^\infty_x} \right) ds \\ \le & C\delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t) \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\epsilon^a (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b} \right) (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

Denote

$$J_0 = \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(1 + \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds, \quad b \in (0, 1]. \tag{4.70}$$

We estimate J_0 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 = & \left(\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(1 + \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds \\ \le & C\epsilon |\ln \epsilon| (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C \left(1 + \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}, \quad b = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.71}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 = & \left(\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t \right) (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(1 + \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds \\ \le & C\epsilon^b (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_0^{t/2} s^{-b} (1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds + C \left(1 + \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b} \\ \le & C\epsilon^b (1+t)^{-r(b)} + C \left(1 + \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b}, \quad 0 < b < 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.72}$$

where $r(b)=\frac{1}{4}-b$ when $b\in[3/4,1)$, $r(b)=b$ when $b\in(0,3/4)$. Thus, it follows from (4.69)–(4.72) that

$$I_{22}\le C\delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t)\bigg(\epsilon^a(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\bigg(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\bigg)^{-b}\bigg). \tag{4.73}$$

To estimate I_3, we decompose

$$\begin{aligned}I_3\le&\int_0^t\bigg\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{\frac{t-s}{\epsilon^2}B_\epsilon}G_2(f_\epsilon)-V(t-s)P_0\left(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}G_2(f_\epsilon)\right)\bigg\|_{L^\infty_P}ds\\&+\int_0^t\left\|V(t-s)P_0\left(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}G_2(f_\epsilon)\right)-V(t-s)\mathrm{div}_x Z_2\right\|_{L^\infty_P}ds\\=:&I_{31}+I_{32}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.74}$$

By (3.75), (4.45)–(4.48), and noting that $P_0G_2(f_\epsilon)=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_{31}\le&C\int_0^t\bigg(\epsilon(1+t-s)^{-\frac{5}{2}}+\bigg(1+\frac{t-s}{\epsilon}\bigg)^{-q}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{d(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}}\bigg)\\&\times\Big(\|G_2(f_\epsilon)\|_{L^2_v(H^5_x)}+\|G_2(f_\epsilon)\|_{L^2_v(W^{5,1}_x)}\Big)ds\\\le&C\delta_0^2\int_0^t\bigg(\epsilon(1+t-s)^{-\frac{5}{2}}+\bigg(1+\frac{t-s}{\epsilon}\bigg)^{-q}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}e^{-\frac{d(t-s)}{\epsilon^2}}\bigg)(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}ds\\\le&C\delta_0^2\bigg(\epsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\bigg(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\bigg)^{-q}\bigg),\end{aligned}\tag{4.75}$$

for any $q\in(1,3/2)$.

To estimate I_{32}, we decompose

$$\begin{aligned}&P_0\left(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}G_2(f_\epsilon)\right)\\=&P_0(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}\Gamma(P_0f_\epsilon,P_0f_\epsilon))+2P_0(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}\Gamma(P_0f_\epsilon,P_1f_\epsilon))\\&+P_0(v\cdot\nabla_x L^{-1}\Gamma(P_1f_\epsilon,P_1f_\epsilon))=:J_1+J_2+J_3.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}J_1=&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^3\left(\partial_k(m^i_\epsilon m^j_\epsilon P_1(v_iv_j\chi_0)),v_kv_l\chi_0\right)v_l\chi_0\\&-\frac{1}{12}\sum_{j=1}^3\left(\partial_j(q^2_\epsilon P_1(|v|^4\chi_0)),v_j^2\chi_0\right)v_j\chi_0-\sum_{j=1}^3\left(\partial_j(m^j_\epsilon q_\epsilon P_1(v_j\chi_4)),v_j\chi_4\right)\chi_4.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&P_1(v_iv_j\chi_0)=(v_iv_j-\delta_{ij}|v|^2/3)\chi_0,\quad i,j=1,2,3,\\&P_1(v_j\chi_4)=v_j(\chi_4-\sqrt{2/3}\chi_0),\quad j=1,2,3,\\&P_1(|v|^4\chi_0)=|v|^4\chi_4-15\chi_0-10\sqrt{6}\chi_4,\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&(P_1(v_iv_j\chi_0),v_iv_j\chi_0)=1,\quad i\ne j,\\&(P_1(v_i^2\chi_0),v_i^2\chi_0)=4/3,\quad(P_1(v_i^2\chi_0),v_j^2\chi_0)=-2/3,\quad j\ne i,\\&(P_1(v_j\chi_4),v_j\chi_4)=5/3,\quad(P_1(|v|^4\chi_0),v_j^2\chi_0)=0,\quad j=1,2,3.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$J_1=-\sum_{i,j=1}^3\partial_i(m^i_\epsilon m^j_\epsilon)v_j\chi_0+\frac{1}{3}\sum_{i,j=1}^3\partial_j(m^i_\epsilon)^2v_j\chi_0-\frac{5}{3}\sum_{j=1}^3\partial_j(m^j_\epsilon q_\epsilon)\chi_4.$$

This and (3.8) give

$$V(t)J_1 = -V(t)\mathrm{div}_x\left[(m_\epsilon \otimes m_\epsilon)\cdot v\chi_0 + \frac{5}{3}(q_\epsilon m_\epsilon)\chi_4\right] =: V(t)\mathrm{div}_x J_4. \tag{4.76}$$

By (3.36), (4.39), (4.41), (4.57) and (4.76), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{32} \le & \int_0^t \|V(t-s)\mathrm{div}_x(J_4 - Z_2)\|_{L^\infty_P}\, ds + \sum_{k=2}^{3}\int_0^t \|V(t-s)J_k\|_{L^\infty_P}\, ds \\
\le & C\int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f_\epsilon - u\|_{L^\infty_x(L^2_v)}\left(\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)} + \|u\|_{L^2_v(H^2_x)}\right) ds \\
& + C\int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)}\left(\|P_0 f_\epsilon\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)} + \|P_1 f_\epsilon\|_{L^2_v(H^3_x)}\right) ds \\
\le & C\delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t)\int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\epsilon^a(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1+\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b}\right)(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds \\
& + C\delta_0^2\int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\left(\epsilon(1+s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} + e^{-\frac{ds}{\epsilon^2}}\right)(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

Denote

$$J_5 = \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds, \quad b \in (0,1]. \tag{4.78}$$

We estimate J_5 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5 = & \left(\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t\right)(1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds \\
\le & C\epsilon|\ln\epsilon| t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1} \\
\le & C\epsilon|\ln\epsilon|^2(1+t)^{-1} + C\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-1}, \quad b=1,\ t \ge \epsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.79}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5 = & \left(\int_0^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^t\right)(1+t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds \\
\le & C\epsilon^b t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\int_0^{t/2} s^{-b}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds + C\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b} \\
\le & C\left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b}, \quad 0<b<1,\ t \ge \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

Thus, it follows from (4.77)–(4.80) that

$$I_{32} \le C(\delta_0^2 + \delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t))\left(\epsilon^a(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1+\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)^{-b}\right). \tag{4.81}$$

By combining (4.65)–(4.68), (4.73)–(4.75) and (4.81), we obtain

$$Q_\epsilon(t) \le C\delta_0 + C\delta_0^2 + C\delta_0 Q_\epsilon(t), \tag{4.82}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of ϵ. By taking $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough, we can obtain (4.64), which proves (1.34). By (3.58), (4.42), (4.43) and a similar argument as above, we can prove (1.35). The proof is completed. □

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Yan Guo for some insightful discussions. The research of the first author was supported partially by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars No. 11225102, the National Natural Science Foundation of China Nos. 11871047, 11671384 and 11461161007, and the Capacity Building for Sci-Tech Innovation-Fundamental Scientific Research Funds 00719530050166. The research of the second author was supported by the General Research Fund of Hong Kong, CityU 11302518, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities No.2019CDJCYJ001. The research of the third author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11671100, the National Science Fund for Excellent Young Scholars No. 11922107, and Guangxi Natural Science Foundation No. 2018GXNSFAA138210.

References

[1] C. Bardos, F. Golse and D. Levermore, Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations I: Formal derivations, *J. Statist. Phys.*, 63 (1991), 323-344.

[2] C. Bardos, F. Golse and D. Levermore, Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations II: Convergence proofs for the Boltzmann equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 46 (1993), 667-753.

[3] C. Bardos and S. Ukai, The classical incompressible Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 1 (1991), 235-257.

[4] R.J. Duan and R. M. Strain, Optimal time decay of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 199(1) (2011), 291-328

[5] R.J. Duan and T. Yang, Stability of the one-species Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 41 (2010), 2353-2387.

[6] R.J. Duan, T. Yang and H.J. Zhao, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in the whole space: The hard potential case. *J. Differential equations*, 252 (2012), 6356-6386.

[7] R.J. Duan, T. Yang and H.J. Zhao, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system for soft potentials. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 23(6) (2013), 979-1028

[8] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 55(9), (2002), 1104-1135.

[9] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system near vacuum. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 218(2), (2001), 293-313.

[10] Y. Guo and J. Jang, Global Hilbert Expansion for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann System. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 299 (2010), 469-501.

[11] Y. Guo, Boltzmann diffusive limit beyond the Navier-Stokes approximation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 59 (2006), 626-687.

[12] F. Golse and L. Saint-Raymond, The Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation for bounded collision kernels. *Invent. Math.* 155(2004), no. 1, 81-161.

[13] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory of Linear Operator*. Springer, New York, 1996.

[14] H.-L. Li, T. Yang and M. Zhong, Spectrum analysis for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. Preprint, arXiv: 1402.3633v1 [math.AP].

[15] H.-L. Li, T. Yang and M. Zhong, Spectrum analysis and optimal decay rates of the bipolar Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 65 (2016), 665-725.

[16] P.A. Markowich, C.A. Ringhofer and C. Schmeiser, *Semiconductor Equations*. Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1990.

[17] A. De Masi, R. Esposito and J. L. Lebowitz, Incompressible Navier-Stokes and Euler limits of the Boltzmann equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42, (1989), 1189-1214.

[18] S. Mischler, On the initial boundary value problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 210, (2000), 447-466.

[19] S. Nelson, On some solutions to the Klein-Gordon equations related to an integral of Sonine. Trans. A. M. S. 154, 227-237 (1971).

[20] K. Nishida, Fluid dynamical limit of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation to the level of the compressible Euler equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 61, (1978), 119-148.

[21] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[22] S. Ukai, On the existence of global solutions of mixed problem for non-linear Boltzmann equation. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 50, (1974), 179-184.

[23] S. Ukai and T. Yang, *Mathematical Theory of Boltzmann Equation*. Lecture Notes Series-No. 8, Hong Kong: Liu Bie Ju Center for Mathematical Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, March 2006.

[24] Y.J. Wang, The Diffusive Limit of the Vlasov-Boltzmann System for Binary Fluids, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 43(1), (2011), 253-301.

[25] Y.J. Wang, Decay of the two-species Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system, *J. Differential Equations*, 254(5), (2013), 2304-2340.
[26] T. Yang, H.J. Yu and H.J. Zhao, Cauchy problem for the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 182, (2006), 415-470.
[27] T. Yang and H.J. Zhao, Global existence of classical solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 268, (2006), 569-605.
[28] T. Yang and H.J. Yu, Optimal convergence rates of classical solutions for Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 301, (2011), 319-355