

# WEAK TYPE $(p, p)$ BOUNDS FOR SCHRÖDINGER GROUPS VIA GENERALIZED GAUSSIAN ESTIMATES

ZHIJIE FAN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $L$  be a non-negative self-adjoint operator acting on  $L^2(X)$ , where  $X$  is a space of homogeneous type with a dimension  $n$ . Suppose that the heat operator  $e^{-tL}$  satisfies the generalized Gaussian  $(p_0, p'_0)$ -estimates of order  $m$  for some  $1 \leq p_0 < 2$ . It is known that the operator  $(I + L)^{-s} e^{itL}$  is bounded on  $L^p(X)$  for  $s \geq n|1/2 - 1/p|$  and  $p \in (p_0, p'_0)$  (see for example, [6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 31]). In this paper we study the endpoint case  $p = p_0$  and show that for  $s_0 = n|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_0}|$ , the operator  $(I + L)^{-s_0} e^{itL}$  is of weak type  $(p_0, p_0)$ , that is, there is a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $t$  and  $f$  so that

$$\mu\left(\left\{x : |(I + L)^{-s_0} e^{itL} f(x)| > \alpha\right\}\right) \leq C(1 + |t|)^{n(1-\frac{p_0}{2})} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\alpha}\right)^{p_0}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

for  $\alpha > 0$  when  $\mu(X) = \infty$ , and  $\alpha > (\|f\|_{p_0}/\mu(X))^{p_0}$  when  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

Our results can be applied to Schrödinger operators with rough potentials and higher order elliptic operators with bounded measurable coefficients although in general, their semigroups fail to satisfy Gaussian upper bounds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we suppose that  $(X, d, \mu)$  is a metric measure space with a distance function  $d$  and a measure  $\mu$ . We say that  $(X, d, \mu)$  satisfies the doubling property (see Chapter 3, [9]) if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C\mu(B(x, r)) \quad \forall r > 0, x \in X,$$

Note that the doubling property implies the following strong homogeneity property,

$$(1.2) \quad \mu(B(x, \lambda r)) \leq C\lambda^n \mu(B(x, r)).$$

for some  $C, n > 0$  uniformly for all  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $x \in X$ . In Euclidean space with Lebesgue measure, the parameter  $n$  corresponds to the dimension of the space, but in our more abstract setting, the optimal  $n$  need not even be an integer.

Let  $L$  be a non-negative self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $L^2(X)$ . Consider the Schrödinger equation in  $X \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + Lu = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = f \end{cases}$$

with initial data  $f$ . Then the solution can be formally written as

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, t) = e^{itL} f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda} dE_L(\lambda) f(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

for  $f \in L^2(X)$ , where  $E_L$  denotes the resolution of the identity associated with  $L$ . By the spectral theorem ([30]), the operator  $e^{itL}$  is continuous on  $L^2(X)$ , and forms the Schrödinger group. A natural

*Date:* February 26, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B37, 35J10, 47F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Weak type  $(p, p)$  bounds, Schrödinger group, generalized Gaussian estimates, off-diagonal estimates, space of homogeneous type .

problem is to study the mapping properties of families of operators derived from the Schrödinger group on various functional spaces defined on  $X$ . This has attracted a lot of attention in the last decades, and has been a very active research topic in harmonic analysis and partial differential equations— see for example, [1, 6, 7, 8, 10, 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 34].

In this paper, we consider a non-negative self-adjoint operator  $L$  and numbers  $m \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq p_0 \leq 2$ . Following [4, 6, 12], we say that the semigroup  $e^{-tL}$  generated by  $L$ , satisfies the generalized Gaussian  $(p_0, p'_0)$ -estimate of order  $m$ , if there exist constants  $C, c > 0$  such that

$$(GGE_{p_0, p'_0, m}) \quad \|P_{B(x, t^{1/m})} e^{-tL} P_{B(y, t^{1/m})}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow p'_0} \leq C \mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))^{-(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p'_0})} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{d(x, y)^m}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}\right)$$

for every  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Note that condition  $(GGE_{p_0, p'_0, m})$  for the special case  $p_0 = 1$  is equivalent to  $m$ -th order Gaussian estimates (see for example, [6]). This means that the semigroup  $e^{-tL}$  has integral kernels  $p_t(x, y)$  satisfying the following Gaussian upper estimate:

$$(GE_m) \quad |p_t(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu(B(x, t^{1/m}))} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{d(x, y)^m}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}\right)$$

for every  $t > 0, x, y \in X$ , where  $c, C$  are two positive constants and  $m \geq 2$ . There are numbers of operators which satisfy generalized Gaussian estimates and, among them, there exist many for which classical Gaussian estimates  $(GE_m)$  fail. This happens, e.g., for Schrödinger operators with rough potentials [33], second order elliptic operators with rough lower order terms [29], or higher order elliptic operators with bounded measurable coefficients [16].

Recently, under the assumption  $(GGE_{p_0, p'_0, m})$ , Chen, Duong, Li and Yan [12] showed that if  $L$  satisfies the estimate  $(GGE_{p_0, p'_0, m})$  for some  $m \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq p_0 < 2$ , then for every  $p \in (p_0, p'_0)$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(n, p) > 0$  independent of  $t$  and  $f$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|(I + L)^{-s} e^{itL} f\|_{L^p(X)} \leq C(1 + |t|)^s \|f\|_{L^p(X)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s \geq n \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right|.$$

See also [6, 8, 10, 15, 31].

In this paper, we extend the previous result to the endpoint case  $p = p_0$  and obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $(X, d, \mu)$  is a space of homogeneous type with a dimension  $n$  and that  $L$  satisfies the property  $(GGE_{p_0, p'_0, m})$  for some  $1 \leq p_0 < 2$  and  $m \geq 2$ . Then for  $s_0 = n \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_0} \right|$ , the operator  $(I + L)^{-s_0} e^{itL}$  is of weak type  $(p_0, p_0)$ , that is, there is a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $t$  and  $f$  so that*

$$\mu\left(\left\{x : |(I + L)^{-s_0} e^{itL} f(x)| > \alpha\right\}\right) \leq C(1 + |t|)^{n(1 - \frac{p_0}{2})} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\alpha}\right)^{p_0}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

for  $\alpha > 0$  when  $\mu(X) = \infty$  and  $\alpha > (\|f\|_{p_0}/\mu(X))^{p_0}$  when  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

We would like to mention that when  $L$  satisfies the Gaussian estimates  $(GE_m)$ , Chen, Duong, Li, Song and Yan [14, Theorem 1.1] proved that the operator  $(I + L)^{-n/2} e^{itL}$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$ . In their proof, it heavily relies on the following Plancherel-type estimate

$$(1.5) \quad \int_X |K_{e^{-(1-i\tau)R^{-m}L}}(x, y)|^2 d(x, y)^s d\mu(y) \leq C \mu(B(x, 1/R))^{-1} R^{-s} (1 + |\tau|)^s,$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $s \geq 0, R > 0$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $K_{e^{-(1-i\tau)R^{-m}L}}(x, y)$  denotes the integral kernel of the operators  $e^{-(1-i\tau)R^{-m}L}$ . In our setting, we do not have such an estimate at our disposal. To overcome this difficulty, we apply the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem to show that the

generalized Gaussian estimates ( $\text{GGE}_{p_0, p'_0, m}$ ) implies the following  $L^{p_0} - L^2$  off-diagonal estimates of the operator  $e^{-(1-i\tau)R^{-1}L}$ :

$$\|\chi_{C_\nu(B)} e^{-(1-i\tau)R^{-1}L} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))^{-(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{2})} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{\sqrt[m]{R} 2^\nu r}{1 + |\tau|}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right),$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $R > 0$  and balls  $B \subset X$  with center  $x_B$  and radius  $r$ . This estimate is a suitable substitute of (1.5) and it helps us deduce that for any  $\frac{n}{2} < s < mM$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $k > k_0$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $\nu \geq \nu_0$ , and any ball  $B$  with radius  $r \sim 2^k$ , such that

$$(1.6) \quad \|\chi_{C_\nu(B)} e^{itL} F_k(L) \chi_B f\|_2 \leq C 2^{-\nu s} \mu(B)^{-(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{2})} (1 + |t|)^{p_0(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{2})(s + \frac{n}{2})} \|f\|_{p_0},$$

where  $F_k(L) = (I + L)^{-(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{2})n} (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)}L)$ , and  $\varphi_0$  is a smooth function with  $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi_0(\lambda) = 1$  on  $[0, 1/2]$ , and  $k_0 \sim 2^{-1} p_0 \log_2(1 + |t|)$ . This inequality plays a crucial role in obtaining the sharp growth  $(1 + |t|)^{(1 - \frac{p_0}{2})n}$  in the setting of homogeneous space (see Remark 2.6).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present some  $L^{p_0} - L^2$  off-diagonal estimates for heat semigroups, resolvent and compactly supported spectral multipliers. In section 3, we apply the off-diagonal estimates obtained in section 2, combined with the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and duality argument, to show Theorem 1.1.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We now set some notations and common concepts to be used throughout the course of the paper. For  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , we denote the norm of a function  $f \in L^p(X, d\mu)$  by  $\|f\|_p$ . We let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the scalar product in  $L^2(X, d\mu)$ . If  $T$  is a bounded linear operator from  $L^p(X, d\mu)$  to  $L^q(X, d\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ , we write  $\|T\|_{p \rightarrow q}$  for the operator norm of  $T$ . The indicator function of a subset  $E \subseteq X$  is denoted by  $\chi_E$ . Let  $f$  be a tempered distribution, then the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Next, let  $B(x, r) = \{y \in X, d(x, y) < r\}$  be the open ball with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$ . To simplify notation we often just use  $B$  instead of  $B(x, r)$ . Let  $V_s$  be a multiplier operator defined by  $V_s f(x) := \mu(B(x, s)) f(x)$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  will be denoted by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Also, for  $1 \leq p_0 \leq 2$ , let

$$\sigma_{p_0} := \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

For simplicity, we write

$$C_1(B) := 2B \quad \text{and} \quad C_\nu(B) := 2^\nu B - 2^{\nu-1}B, \quad \nu = 2, 3, \dots$$

In this section we will prove  $L^{p_0} - L^2$  off-diagonal estimates for resolvent and compactly spectral multipliers, which play crucial roles in obtaining the sharp growth  $(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}$  for the operator norm  $\|e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{L^{p_0} \rightarrow L^{p_0, \infty}}$  in the setting of homogeneous space. To begin with, we show the following  $L^{p_0} - L^2$  off-diagonal estimates for heat semigroups.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any ball  $B \subset X$  with center  $x_B$  and radius  $r$  and any  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.1) \quad \|\chi_{C_\nu(B)} e^{-\lambda L} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \mu(B(x_B, \lambda^{1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{d(C_\nu(B), B)}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{m/(m-1)}\right).$$

*Proof.* The proof was essentially proved in [5, Theorem 1.2]. We give a brief argument of this proof for completeness and the convenience of readers.

By [5, Theorem 1.2], property (GGE $_{p_0, p'_0, m}$ ) implies the following two ball estimate:

$$\|\chi_{B_1} V_{\lambda^{1/m}}^a e^{-\lambda L} V_{\lambda^{1/m}}^b \chi_{B_2}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(B_1, B_2)}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right), \text{ for any balls } B_1, B_2,$$

where  $a, b \geq 0$  such that  $a + b = \sigma_{p_0}$ . Therefore, estimate (2.1) holds for  $\nu = 0$ . For any  $\nu \geq 1$ , we note that there exist  $c_n$  balls  $\{B_\nu^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{c_n}$  such that  $C_\nu(B) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{c_n} B_\nu^{(j)}$  and  $d(C_\nu(B), B) \sim d(B_\nu^{(j)}, B)$ , for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, c_n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{C_\nu(B)} e^{-\lambda L} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} &\leq \mu(B(x_B, \lambda^{1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \sum_{j=1}^{c_n} \|\chi_{B_\nu^{(j)}} e^{-\lambda L} V_{\lambda^{1/m}}^{\sigma_{p_0}} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \\ &\leq C \mu(B(x_B, \lambda^{1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(C_\nu(B), B)}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.1. $L^{p_0} - L^2$ off-diagonal estimates for resolvent.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for any  $\nu \geq 2$ ,*

$$(2.2) \quad \|\chi_{C_\nu(B)} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r^m L})^M \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C 2^{-\nu N} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}},$$

and there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|\chi_{2B} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r^m L})^M \chi_{2B}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \max\{1, r^{\sigma_{p_0} n}\} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}},$$

where  $M$  is a fixed parameter chosen to be bigger than  $\frac{N}{m}$  and the constant  $C$  is independent of  $B = B(x_B, r)$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma_{p_0} n)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda L} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} d\lambda.$$

From it we use the change of variables to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r^m L})^M &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma_{p_0} n)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda L} (I - e^{-r^m L})^M e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} d\lambda \\ (2.4) \quad &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma_{p_0} n)} \int_0^\infty g_{r^m}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda L} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad g_s(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell=0}^M C_M^\ell (-1)^\ell \chi_{\{\lambda > \ell s\}}(\lambda) (\lambda - \ell s)^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} e^{-(\lambda - \ell s)}.$$

Then, it can be verified that

$$|g_{r^m}(\lambda)| \leq \begin{cases} C \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} e^{-\lambda}, & 0 < \lambda < r^m, \\ C (r^m)^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} e^{-r^m} + (\lambda - \beta r^m)^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} e^{-(\lambda - \beta r^m)}, & \beta r^m \leq \lambda < (\beta + 1) r^m, 1 \leq \beta \leq M, \\ C r^{mM} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1 - M} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2(M+1)}}, & \lambda \geq (M + 1) r^m, \end{cases}$$

Now let us prove (2.2). By the formula (2.4), Lemma 2.1 and doubling condition (1.2), we get

$$\|\chi_{C_\nu(B)} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r^m L})^M \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \int_0^\infty |g_{r^m}(\lambda)| \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) d\lambda \\
&= C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \left(\int_0^{r^m} + \int_{r^m}^{(M+1)r^m} + \int_{(M+1)r^m}^\infty\right) |g_{r^m}(\lambda)| \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) d\lambda \\
&=: I + II + III.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term  $I$ , we use the property of  $g_m$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \int_0^{r^m} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0}n-1} e^{-\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) d\lambda \\
&\leq C2^{-\nu N} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1+\frac{N-\sigma_{p_0}n}{m}} d\lambda \\
(2.6) \quad &\leq C2^{-\nu N} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}},
\end{aligned}$$

for some large  $N > \sigma_{p_0}n$ . For the term  $II$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \int_{\ell r^m}^{(\ell+1)r^m} (r^m)^{\sigma_{p_0}n-1} e^{-r^m} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) d\lambda \\
&\quad + C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \int_{\ell r^m}^{(\ell+1)r^m} (\lambda - \ell r^m)^{\sigma_{p_0}n-1} e^{-(\lambda - \ell r^m)} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) d\lambda \\
(2.7) \quad &\leq C2^{-\nu N} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the term  $III$ . Since  $M > \frac{N}{m}$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
III &\leq C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \int_{(M+1)r^m}^\infty r^{mM} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0}n-1-M} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2(M+1)}} \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) d\lambda \\
&\leq C2^{-\nu N} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \int_1^\infty \lambda^{-1-M+\frac{N}{m}} d\lambda \\
&\leq C2^{-\nu N} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This, in combination with estimates (2.6) and (2.7), shows the desired estimate (2.2).

To show estimate (2.3), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \chi_{2B} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} (I - e^{-r^m L})^M \chi_{2B} \right\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \\
&\leq C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \int_0^\infty |g_{r^m}(\lambda)| \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} d\lambda \\
&= C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \left(\int_0^{r^m} + \int_{r^m}^{(M+1)r^m} + \int_{(M+1)r^m}^\infty\right) |g_{r^m}(\lambda)| \left(1 + \frac{r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we can deduce that the last two parts are no larger than  $C\mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}}$  by the same way. Also, It can be shown by a simple modification of the estimate of  $III$  that the first part can be bounded by  $C \max\{1, r^{\sigma_{p_0}n}\} \mu(B)^{-\sigma_{p_0}}$ . We omit the details and leave it to the readers.  $\square$

**2.2.  $L^{p_0} - L^2$  off-diagonal estimates for compactly supported spectral multipliers.** To begin with, we state the following version of Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that function  $F$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$  and that*

$$|F(z)| \leq a_1 (\operatorname{Re} z)^{-\beta_1},$$

$$|F(\lambda)| \leq a_1 t^{-\beta_1} \exp(-a_2 t^{-\beta_2}),$$

for some  $a_1, a_2 > 0$ ,  $\beta_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\beta_2 \in (0, 1]$ , all  $t > 0$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ . Then

$$|F(z)| \leq a_1 2^{\beta_1} (\operatorname{Re} z)^{-\beta_1} \exp\left(-\frac{a_2 \beta_2}{2} |z|^{-\beta_2-1} \operatorname{Re} z\right)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ .

*Proof.* For the proof, we refer it to [17, Lemma 9].  $\square$

By Lemma 2.3, we can modify the argument from [13, Lemma 3.3] to extend the off-diagonal estimate (2.1) from real times  $t > 0$  to complex times  $z = -(1 - i\tau)R^{-1}$  for any  $R > 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any ball  $B \subset X$  with center  $x_B$  and radius  $r$ , integer  $v \geq 2$ , real number  $R > 0$ , the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.8) \quad \|\chi_{C_v(B)} e^{-(1-i\tau)R^{-1}L} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{\sqrt[m]{R} 2^v r}{1 + |\tau|}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right).$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we write  $z = -(1 - i\tau)R^{-1}$ . For any ball  $B \subset X$ , consider the analytic function  $F : \mathbb{C}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$F(z) := e^{-Rz} \mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))^{\sigma_{p_0}} \langle e^{-zL} f_1, f_2 \rangle,$$

where  $\operatorname{supp} f_1 \subset B$  and  $\operatorname{supp} f_2 \subset C_v(B)$ .

It was shown in [6, Proposition 3.1] that

$$\|e^{-\frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{4} L} V_{(\operatorname{Re} z)^{1/m}}^{\sigma_{p_0}}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C.$$

This, together with the spectral theorem, shows that

$$\|e^{-zL} V_{(\operatorname{Re} z)^{1/m}}^{\sigma_{p_0}}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq \|e^{-\frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{4} L}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \|e^{-(z - \frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{2})L}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \|e^{-\frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{4} L} V_{(\operatorname{Re} z)^{1/m}}^{\sigma_{p_0}}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C.$$

Hence,

$$(2.9) \quad |\langle e^{-zL} f_1, f_2 \rangle| \leq \|e^{-zL} V_{(\operatorname{Re} z)^{1/m}}^{\sigma_{p_0}}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \|V_{(\operatorname{Re} z)^{1/m}}^{-\sigma_{p_0}} f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2 \leq C \mu(B(x_B, (\operatorname{Re} z)^{1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2.$$

This, in combination with doubling condition (1.2), yields

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq C e^{-R \operatorname{Re} z} \left( \frac{\mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))}{\mu(B(x_B, (\operatorname{Re} z)^{1/m}))} \right)^{\sigma_{p_0}} \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2 \\ &\leq C e^{-R \operatorname{Re} z} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R \operatorname{Re} z} \right)^{\frac{\sigma_{p_0} n}{m}} \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2 \\ &\leq C (R \operatorname{Re} z)^{-\frac{\sigma_{p_0} n}{m}} \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(\lambda)| &\leq e^{-R\lambda} \mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))^{\sigma_{p_0}} \|\chi_{C_v(B)} e^{-\lambda L} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2 \\ &\leq C e^{-R\lambda} \left( \frac{\mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))}{\mu(B(x_B, \lambda^{1/m}))} \right)^{\sigma_{p_0}} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{2^v r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2 \\ &\leq C e^{-R\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\sigma_{p_0} n}{m}} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{2^v r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2 \\ &\leq C (R\lambda)^{-\frac{\sigma_{p_0} n}{m}} \exp\left(-c \left(\frac{2^v r}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) \|f_1\|_{p_0} \|f_2\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, combining (2.10) with (2.11), we choose  $z = (1 - i\tau)R^{-1}$ ,  $a_1 = CR^{-\frac{\sigma_{p_0}n}{m}}\|f_1\|_{p_0}\|f_2\|_2$ ,  $a_2 = c(2^\nu r)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}$ ,  $\beta_1 = \frac{\sigma_{p_0}n}{m}$  and  $\beta_2 = 1/(m-1)$  in Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$|F((1 - i\tau)R^{-1})| \leq C \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{\sqrt[m]{R}2^\nu r}{1 + |\tau|}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right)\|f_1\|_{p_0}\|f_2\|_2,$$

which yields the estimate (2.8).  $\square$

We define a Besov type norm of  $F$  by

$$\|F\|_{B^s} := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{F}(\tau)|(1 + |\tau|)^s d\tau,$$

where  $\hat{F}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $F$ . Applying Fubini theorem, we can easily check that for every functions  $F$  and  $G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|FG\|_{B^s} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{FG}(\tau)|(1 + |\tau|)^s d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{F}(\tau - \eta)\hat{G}(\eta)|(1 + |\tau - \eta|)^s (1 + |\eta|)^s d\eta d\tau \\ (2.12) \quad &\leq \|F\|_{B^s} \|G\|_{B^s}. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, for any  $R > 0$ , we denote the dilation of a function  $F$  by  $\delta_R F(\cdot) := F(R\cdot)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *For every  $s \geq 0$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $v \geq 2$ ,*

$$(2.13) \quad \|\chi_{C_v(B)} F(L) \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} (\sqrt[m]{R} 2^\nu r)^{-s} \|\delta_R F\|_{B^s}$$

for all balls  $B := B(x_B, r) \subseteq X$ , and all Borel functions  $F$  such that  $\text{supp} F \subseteq [-R, R]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G(\lambda) = (\delta_R F)(\lambda) e^\lambda$ . In virtue of the Fourier inversion formula

$$F(L) = G(L/R) e^{-L/R} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(i\tau-1)R^{-1}L} \hat{G}(\tau) d\tau.$$

This, in combination with estimate (2.8) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{C_v(B)} F(L) \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\chi_{C_v(B)} e^{(i\tau-1)R^{-1}L} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} |\hat{G}(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq C \mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{\sqrt[m]{R} 2^\nu r}{1 + |\tau|}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) |\hat{G}(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq C \mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} (\sqrt[m]{R} 2^\nu r)^{-s} \|G\|_{B^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\text{supp} \delta_R F \subseteq [-1, 1]$ . Thus by taking a smooth cutoff function  $\psi$  such that  $\text{supp} \psi \subset [-2, 2]$  and  $\psi(\lambda) = 1$  for  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ , we have

$$G(\lambda) = (\delta_R F)(\lambda) e^\lambda = (\delta_R F)(\lambda) \psi(\lambda) e^\lambda.$$

Hence, by (2.12),

$$\|G\|_{B^s} \leq C \|\delta_R F\|_{B^s} \|\psi(\lambda) e^\lambda\|_{B^s} \leq C \|\delta_R F\|_{B^s}.$$

This ends the proof of proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** In [26, Lemma 2.5], the author used some techniques introduced by Blunck[6] to show that for any  $v \geq 2$ ,  $e^{-zL}$  satisfies the following off-diagonal estimate:

$$\|\chi_{C_v(B)} e^{-zL} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_B, (\text{Re} z))^{\frac{1}{m-1}} |z|)^{\sigma_{p_0}}} \left(\frac{|z|}{\text{Re} z}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0} n} 2^{\nu n} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{(\text{Re} z)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} |z|}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right).$$



It follows that for any  $\nu \geq 2$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(2.14)

$$\|\chi_{C_\nu(B)} e^{-(1-i\tau)R^{-1}L} \chi_B\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m} \sqrt{1+\tau^2}))^{\sigma_{p_0}}} (1+\tau^2)^{\frac{\sigma_{p_0} n}{2}} 2^m \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^\nu r}{\sqrt{1+\tau^2}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right),$$

for all  $B = B(x_B, r) \subseteq X$ . In our Lemma 2.4, we made an important improvement in obtaining the upper bound on the right hand side of (2.14) without the factor “ $2^m$ ”, which plays a key role in obtaining the sharp growth  $(1+|t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}$  in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Also, in the setting of homogeneous space, it seems hard to remove  $\sqrt{1+\tau^2}$  directly from the volume term  $\mu(B(x_B, R^{-1/m} \sqrt{1+\tau^2}))^{\sigma_{p_0}}$  appeared on the right hand side of (2.14) by the doubling condition (1.2). Instead, our lemma 2.4 provide a slight different but much more subtle upper bound such that the factor  $\sqrt{1+\tau^2}$  doesn't appear on the volume term, which is helpful to obtain off-diagonal estimates (2.13) of compactly supported spectral multipliers.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Fix  $f \in L^{p_0}(X)$ . For  $\alpha > \mu(X)^{-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}$ , we apply the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition at height  $\alpha$  to  $|f|$ . Then there exist constants  $C$  and  $K$  so that

- (i)  $f = g + b = g + \sum_j b_j$ ;
  - (ii)  $\|g\|_{p_0} \leq C\|f\|_{p_0}$ ,  $\|g\|_\infty \leq C\alpha$ ;
  - (iii)  $b_j$  is supported in  $B_j$  and  $\#\{j : x \in 2B_j\} \leq K$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
  - (iv)  $\int_X |b_j|^{p_0} d\mu \leq C\alpha^{p_0} \mu(B_j)$  and  $\sum_j \mu(B_j) \leq C\alpha^{-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}$ .
- Let  $r_{B_j}$  be the radius of  $B_j$  and let

$$J_k = \{j : 2^k \leq r_{B_j} < 2^{k+1}\}, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We decompose the bad function  $b(x)$  as follows

$$b(x) = \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} b_j(x) + \sum_{k > k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} b_j(x) =: h_1(x) + h_2(x),$$

where  $k_0$  is an integer such that  $2^{k_0} \leq (1+|t|)^{\frac{p_0}{2}} < 2^{k_0+1}$ . Then it is enough to show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\alpha$  and  $t$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \mu\left(\left\{x : \left|e^{itL}(I+L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} g(x)\right| > \alpha\right\}\right) \leq C\alpha^{-p_0} (1+|t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}$$

and such that for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \mu\left(\left\{x : \left|e^{itL}(I+L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} h_i(x)\right| > \alpha\right\}\right) \leq C\alpha^{-p_0} (1+|t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.$$

By the property (ii) and spectral theory,

$$\mu\left(\left\{x : \left|e^{itL}(I+L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} g(x)\right| > \alpha\right\}\right) \leq \alpha^{-2} \|e^{itL}(I+L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} g\|_2^2 \leq \alpha^{-2} \|g\|_2^2 \leq C\alpha^{-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0},$$

which proves (3.1).

**Proof of (3.2) for  $i = 1$ .**

Since the Schrödinger group  $e^{-itL}$  is bounded on  $L^2(X)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\left\{x : \left|e^{itL}(I+L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} h_1(x)\right| > \alpha\right\}\right) &\leq \alpha^{-2} \|e^{itL}(I+L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} h_1\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \alpha^{-2} \|(I+L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} h_1\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M b_j(x) \right\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} [I - (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M] b_j(x) \right\|_2^2 \\
&=: I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we borrow the argument from [2] to estimate these two parts. We first estimate the term  $I$  by duality:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad I &= \alpha^{-2} \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \left( \int_X u(x) \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M b_j(x) d\mu(x) \right)^2 \\
&\leq \alpha^{-2} \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \left( \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} A_{\nu j} \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{\nu j} := \int_{C_\nu(B_j)} |(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M b_j(x)| |u(x)| d\mu(x)$ . By Proposition 2.2 and the fact that  $r_{B_j} \leq 2^{k_0+1} \leq 2(1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0}{2}}$ , for any  $\nu \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad \|(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M b_j\|_{L^2(C_\nu(B_j))} &\leq \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \|b_j\|_{p_0} \\
&\leq C(1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}{2}} 2^{-\nu N} \alpha \mu(B_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also for any  $y \in B_j$  and any  $\nu \geq 1$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(C_\nu(B_j))} \leq \|u\|_{L^2((\nu+1)B_j)} \leq \mu((\nu+1)B_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C 2^{\frac{\nu n}{2}} \mu(B_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where in the last inequality we used the doubling condition (1.2). We apply Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$A_{\nu j} \leq \|(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M b_j\|_{L^2(C_\nu(B_j))} \|u\|_{L^2(C_\nu(B_j))} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}{2}} 2^{-(N-\frac{n}{2})\nu} \alpha \mu(B_j) \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Averaging over  $B_j$  yields

$$A_{\nu j} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}{2}} 2^{-(N-\frac{n}{2})\nu} \alpha \int_{B_j} \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(y).$$

Choosing  $N$  sufficient large and then Summing over  $\nu \geq 1$  and  $j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \left( \int_{\bigcup_j B_j} \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(y) \right)^2 \\
&\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \mu\left(\bigcup_j B_j\right) \|u^2\|_1 \\
&\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \alpha^{-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the next to last inequality, we use Kolmogorov's lemma and the weak type  $(1,1)$  of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, and in the last one we apply the property (iv) in the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition.

Next, we estimate the second part  $II$ , by spectral theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= \alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} [I - (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M] b_j(x) \right\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} [I - (I - e^{-r_{B_j}^m L})^M] b_j(x) \right\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $[I - (I - e^{-jr_{B_j}^m L})^M]$  is a finite combination of the terms  $e^{-jr_{B_j}^m L}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, M$  and that, by the doubling condition (1.2) and Lemma 2.1, semigroup  $e^{-jr_{B_j}^m L}$  satisfies the following estimate

$$\|\chi_{C_r(B_j)} e^{-jr_{B_j}^m L} \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C 2^{-\gamma N} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}}.$$

We can easily apply the same duality argument as estimating the term  $I$  to show that

$$II \leq C \alpha^{-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.$$

Combining the estimates for  $I$  and  $II$ , we obtain (3.2) for  $i = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\mu(\{x : |e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} h_1(x)| > \alpha\}) \leq C \alpha^{-p_0} (1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}n} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.$$

**Proof of (3.2) for  $i = 2$ .**

Set  $\Omega_t := \bigcup_j 2(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}} B_j$ . By (iv) in the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x \in \Omega_t : |e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} h_2(x)| > \alpha\}) &\leq C \mu(\bigcup_j 2(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}} B_j) \\ &\leq C (1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}n} \sum_j \mu(B_j) \\ &\leq C \alpha^{-p_0} (1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}n} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that

$$\mu(\{x \in \Omega_t^c : |e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} h_2(x)| > \alpha\}) \leq C \alpha^{-p_0} (1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}n} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.$$

Note that for every  $j \in J_k$ ,  $k > k_0$ , the function  $b_j$  is supported in  $B_j$ , and the radius of the ball  $B_j$  is equivalent to  $2^k$ . We decompose  $(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} e^{itL} b_j$  into two parts:

$$(3.6) \quad e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} b_j = e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} [I - (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M] b_j + e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M b_j,$$

where  $M$  is a fixed parameter chosen to be bigger than  $\frac{n}{2m}$ .

Consider the term  $e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} [I - (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M] b_j$ . By the spectral theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x \in \Omega_t^c : |\sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} [I - (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M] b_j(x)| > \alpha\}) \\ \leq \alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} [I - (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M] b_j(x) \right\|_2^2 \\ \leq \alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} [I - (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M] b_j(x) \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we follow the similar procedure as estimating the term  $II$  to show that

$$\mu(\{x \in \Omega_t^c : |\sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} [I - (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M] b_j(x)| > \alpha\}) \leq C \alpha^{-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.$$

For the term  $e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M b_j$  in (3.6), we let  $\varphi_1$  be a smooth function such that  $\text{supp } \varphi_1 \subset [1/2, \infty]$  and  $\varphi_1(\lambda) = 1$  on  $[1, \infty]$ . Also, let  $\varphi_0(\lambda) = 1 - \varphi_1(\lambda)$ . We further decompose this part as follow

$$e^{itL}(I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M b_j = e^{itL} F_k(L) b_j + e^{itL} G_k(L) b_j,$$

where

$$F_k(L) = (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n} (I - e^{-2^{mk}L})^M \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)$$

and

$$G_k(L) = (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-2^{mk} L})^M \varphi_1(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu\left(\left\{x \in \Omega_t^c : \left| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-2^{mk} L})^M b_j(x) \right| > \alpha \right\}\right) \\ & \leq \mu\left(\left\{x \in \Omega_t^c : \left| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL} F_k(L) b_j(x) \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}\right) \\ & + \mu\left(\left\{x \in \Omega_t^c : \left| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL} G_k(L) b_j(x) \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}\right) =: III + IV. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the term *III* is delicate. We define  $v_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^{v_0} \leq 2(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}} < 2^{v_0+1}$ . It is easy to see that  $v_0$  is well-defined. Next we show the following lemma, which plays a crucial role in estimating the term *III*.

**Lemma 3.1.** *With the notation above, then for any  $\frac{n}{2} < s < mM$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $k > k_0$ ,  $j \in J_k$  and  $v \geq v_0$ , such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \|\chi_{C_v(B_j)} e^{itL} F_k(L) \chi_{B_j} f\|_2 \leq C 2^{-vs} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} (1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} (s + \frac{n}{2})} \|f\|_{p_0}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a non-negative  $C_c^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{supp} \phi \subseteq (1/4, 1)$  and

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-\ell} \lambda) = 1, \quad \forall \lambda > 0,$$

and let  $\phi_\ell(\lambda)$  denote the function  $\phi(2^{-\ell} \lambda)$ .

By the spectral theory, one writes

$$e^{itL} F_k(L) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} e^{itL} (I + L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-2^{mk} L})^M \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L) \phi(2^{-\ell} L).$$

Set  $F_{k,\ell}(\lambda) := e^{it\lambda} (1 + \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (I - e^{-2^{mk} \lambda})^M \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} \lambda) \phi(2^{-\ell} \lambda)$ , then we apply Minkowski's inequality, estimate (2.13) and the doubling condition (1.2) to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{C_v(B_j)} e^{itL} F_k(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} & \leq \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} \|\chi_{C_v(B_j)} F_{k,\ell}(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \\ & \leq C \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} \mu(B(x_{B_j}, 2^{-\ell/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} (2^{\ell/m} 2^v r_{B_j})^{-s} \|\delta_{2^\ell} F_{k,\ell}\|_{B^s} \\ (3.8) \quad & \leq C 2^{-vs} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} (1 + 2^{\ell/m} r_{B_j})^{\sigma_{p_0} n} (2^{\ell/m} r_{B_j})^{-s} \|\delta_{2^\ell} F_{k,\ell}\|_{B^s}. \end{aligned}$$

To go on, we claim that

$$(3.9) \quad \|\delta_{2^\ell} F_{k,\ell}\|_{B^s} \leq C \min\{1, 2^{(\ell+mk)M}\} \min\{1, 2^{-\sigma_{p_0} n \ell}\} \max\{1, (2^\ell (1 + |t|))^s\}.$$

Let us show the claim (3.9). Now we let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp} \eta \subset [1/8, 2]$  and  $\eta(\lambda) = 1$  for  $\lambda \in [1/4, 1]$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta_{2^\ell} F_{k,\ell}(\lambda)\|_{B^s} \\ & = \|e^{it2^\ell \lambda} (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (1 - e^{-2^{mk+\ell} \lambda})^M \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} 2^\ell \lambda) \phi(\lambda)\|_{B^s} \\ & \leq \|\eta(\lambda) \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} 2^\ell \lambda)\|_{B^s} \|\eta(\lambda) (1 - e^{-2^{mk+\ell} \lambda})^M\|_{B^s} \|\phi(\lambda) e^{it2^\ell \lambda} (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{B^s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \|\eta(\lambda) \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} 2^\ell \lambda)\|_{C^{s+2}} \|\eta(\lambda)(1 - e^{-2^{mk+\ell}\lambda})^M\|_{C^{s+2}} \|\phi(\lambda) e^{i2^\ell \lambda} (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{B^s}.$$

Note that  $\ell \leq m(k - k_0)/(m - 1)$  and  $\text{supp } \eta \subset [1/8, 2]$ ,

$$\|\eta(\lambda) \varphi_0(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} 2^\ell \lambda)\|_{C^{s+2}} \leq C$$

and

$$\|\eta(\lambda)(1 - e^{-2^{mk+\ell}\lambda})^M\|_{C^{s+2}} \leq C \min\{1, 2^{(\ell+mk)M}\}$$

with  $C$  independent of  $k$  and  $\ell$ .

As for the third term  $\|\phi(\lambda) e^{i2^\ell \lambda} (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{B^s}$ , we note that the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}(\phi e^{i2^\ell \cdot} (1 + 2^\ell \cdot)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n})(\tau)$  of  $\phi(\lambda) e^{i2^\ell \lambda} (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi e^{i2^\ell \cdot} (1 + 2^\ell \cdot)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n})(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\lambda) \frac{e^{i(2^\ell t - \tau)\lambda}}{(1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{\sigma_{p_0} n}} d\lambda.$$

Integration by parts gives for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\phi e^{i2^\ell \cdot} (1 + 2^\ell \cdot)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n})(\tau)| \leq C(1 + 2^\ell)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (1 + |2^\ell t - \tau|)^{-N},$$

which yields that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(\lambda) e^{i2^\ell \lambda} (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{B^s} &\leq C \min\{1, 2^{-\sigma_{p_0} n \ell}\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |2^\ell t - \tau|)^{-N} (1 + |\tau|)^s d\tau \\ &\leq C \min\{1, 2^{-\sigma_{p_0} n \ell}\} (1 + 2^\ell t)^s \\ &\leq C \min\{1, 2^{-\sigma_{p_0} n \ell}\} \max\{1, (2^\ell (1 + |t|))^s\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.9) holds.

It follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\chi_{C_v(B_j)} e^{itL} F_k(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \\ &\leq C 2^{-\nu s} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} (1 + 2^{\ell/m} r_{B_j})^{\sigma_{p_0} n} (2^{\ell/m} r_{B_j})^{-s} \min\{1, 2^{(\ell+mk)M}\} \min\{1, 2^{-\sigma_{p_0} n \ell}\} \max\{1, (2^\ell (1 + |t|))^s\} \\ &\leq C 2^{-\nu s} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} r_{B_j}^{\sigma_{p_0} n - s} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} 2^{(s-\sigma_{p_0} n)(1-\frac{1}{m})\ell} (1 + |t|)^s + C_s 2^{-\nu s} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} r_{B_j}^{\sigma_{p_0} n - s} \sum_{\ell=-2k_0/p_0}^0 2^{(s+\frac{\sigma_{p_0} n - s}{m})\ell} (1 + |t|)^s \\ &\quad + C 2^{-\nu s} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} r_{B_j}^{\sigma_{p_0} n - s} \sum_{\ell=-mk}^{-2k_0/p_0} 2^{\frac{\ell}{m}(\sigma_{p_0} n - s)} + C_s 2^{-\nu s} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{-mk} 2^{(\ell+mk)(M-\frac{s}{m})} \\ &\leq C 2^{-\nu s} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} (1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} (s+\frac{n}{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that  $\frac{n}{2} < s < mM$ .

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

Back to the estimate of the term III, we now apply Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad III &= \mu\left(\left\{x \in \Omega_t^c : \left|\sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL} F_k(L) b_j(x)\right| > \alpha\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \alpha^{-1} \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{v=v_0}^{\infty} \int_{C_v(B_j)} |e^{itL} F_k(L) b_j(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \alpha^{-1} \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{v=v_0}^{\infty} \mu(C_v(B_j))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{C_v(B_j)} |e^{itL} F_k(L) b_j(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This, in combination with the doubling condition (1.2) and Lemma 3.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (3.10)} &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{v=\nu_0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{mv}{2}} \mu(B_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_{C_v(B_j)} e^{itL} F_k(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \|b_j\|_{p_0} \\
&\leq C \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{v=\nu_0}^{\infty} \mu(B_j) 2^{v(\frac{n}{2}-s)} (1+|t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0}(s+\frac{n}{2})} \\
&\leq C(1+|t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \sum_j \mu(B_j) \\
&\leq C(1+|t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \alpha^{-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning the term  $IV$ , since the Schrödinger group  $e^{-itL}$  is bounded on  $L^2(X)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
IV &\leq C\alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} e^{itL} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C\alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C\alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{2B_j} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 + C\alpha^{-2} \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{(2B_j)^c} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 =: IV_1 + IV_2.
\end{aligned}$$

To handle the term  $IV_1$ , we first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_k(L) b_j\|_2 &\leq \|G_k(L) (I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \|(I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} b_j\|_2 \\
&\leq \|(1+\lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (1 - e^{-2^{mk} \lambda})^M \varphi_1(2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} \lambda) (1 + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} \lambda)^{\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{\infty} \|(I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} b_j\|_2 \\
&\leq C 2^{-\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1} \sigma_{p_0} n} \|(I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} b_j\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the  $2B_j$ 's have bounded overlaps, we apply Minkowski's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{2B_j} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 &\leq C \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \int_X |G_k(L) b_j(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} 2^{-\frac{2m(k-k_0)}{m-1} \sigma_{p_0} n} \|(I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} b_j\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} 2^{-\frac{2m(k-k_0)}{m-1} \sigma_{p_0} n} \|\chi_{2B_j} (I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} \chi_{2B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2}^2 \|b_j\|_{p_0}^2 \\
(3.11) \quad &+ C \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{2m(k-k_0)}{m-1} \sigma_{p_0} n} \|\chi_{C_v(B_j)} (I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2}^2 \|b_j\|_{p_0}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the representation formula

$$(I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma_{p_0} n)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} d\lambda$$

and Lemma 2.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\chi_{2B_j} (I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} \chi_{2B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} &\leq C \int_0^{\infty} \|\chi_{2B_j} e^{-\lambda 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)} L} \chi_{2B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} d\lambda \\
&\leq C \int_0^{\infty} \mu(B(x_{B_j}, \lambda^{\frac{1}{m}} 2^{-\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0} n - 1} d\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

and that for any  $\nu \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)}(I + 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)}L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n}\chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} &\leq C \int_0^\infty \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)}e^{-\lambda 2^{-m(k-k_0)/(m-1)}L}\chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0}n-1} d\lambda \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \mu(B(x_{B_j}, \lambda^{\frac{1}{m}} 2^{-\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^{\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}} 2^\nu r_{B_j}}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0}n-1} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The doubling condition (1.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_{B_j}, \lambda^{\frac{1}{m}} 2^{-\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}}))} &= \frac{\mu(B(x_{B_j}, 2^{-\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}}))}{\mu(B(x_{B_j}, \lambda^{\frac{1}{m}} 2^{-\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}}))} \cdot \frac{\mu(B(x_{B_j}, 2^k))}{\mu(B(x_{B_j}, 2^{-\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}}))} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(B(x_{B_j}, 2^k))} \\ &\leq C 2^{\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}n} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0 n}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^n \frac{1}{\mu(B_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that

$$(3.12) \quad \|\chi_{2B_j}(I + 2^{-\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}}L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n}\chi_{2B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C 2^{\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}\sigma_{p_0}n} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}{2}} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}},$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)}(I + 2^{-\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}}L)^{-\sigma_{p_0}n}\chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} &\leq C 2^{\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}\sigma_{p_0}n} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}{2}} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{2^{\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}} 2^\nu r_{B_j}}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right) e^{-\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/m}}\right)^{\sigma_{p_0}n} \lambda^{\sigma_{p_0}n-1} d\lambda \\ (3.13) \quad &\leq C 2^{\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}\sigma_{p_0}n} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n}{2}} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \left(2^{\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}} 2^\nu r_{B_j}\right)^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that  $2^{\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}} r_{B_j} \geq 1$  for  $k > k_0$ , we combine (3.11), (3.12) with (3.13) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{2B_j} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 &\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu(B_j)^{-2\sigma_{p_0}} \|b_j\|_{p_0}^2 \\ &\quad + C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{\nu=2}^\infty \mu(B_j)^{-2\sigma_{p_0}} \|b_j\|_{p_0}^2 \left(2^{\frac{k-k_0}{m-1}} 2^\nu r_{B_j}\right)^{-N} \\ &\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu(B_j)^{-2\sigma_{p_0}} \|b_j\|_{p_0}^2 \\ &\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu(B_j)^{-2\sigma_{p_0}} \|b_j\|_{p_0}^{2-p_0} \|b_j\|_{p_0}^{p_0} \\ &\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \alpha^{2-p_0} \sum_j \int_X |b_j(y)|^{p_0} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C(1 + |t|)^{p_0 \sigma_{p_0} n} \alpha^{2-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it remains to show that

$$(3.14) \quad \left\| \sum_{k>k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{(2B_j)^c} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 \leq C \alpha^{2-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.$$

To continue, we claim that for any  $N > \sigma_{p_0}n$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for any  $\nu \geq 2$  and  $k > k_0$ , we have

$$(3.15) \quad \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)} G_k(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \leq C 2^{-\nu N} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}}.$$

To show (3.15), we apply (2.13) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)} G_k(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} &\leq \sum_{\ell=-1}^{\infty} \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)} G_{k,\ell}(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} \\
 (3.16) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq C \sum_{\ell=-1}^{\infty} \mu(B(x_{B_j}, 2^{-\ell/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} (2^{\ell/m} 2^\nu r_{B_j})^{-N} \|\delta_{2^\ell} G_{k,\ell}\|_{B^N},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_{k,\ell}(\lambda) := G_k(\lambda) \phi(2^{-\ell} \lambda)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\delta_{2^\ell} G_{k,\ell}\|_{B^N} &= \|(1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n} (1 - e^{-2^{mk+\ell} \lambda})^M \varphi_1(2^{-\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}} 2^\ell \lambda) \phi(\lambda)\|_{B^N} \\
 &\leq \|\phi(\lambda) (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{B^N} \|\eta(\lambda) (1 - e^{-2^{mk+\ell} \lambda})^M\|_{B^N} \|\eta(\lambda) \varphi_1(2^{-\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}} 2^\ell \lambda)\|_{B^N} \\
 &\leq C \|\phi(\lambda) (1 + 2^\ell \lambda)^{-\sigma_{p_0} n}\|_{C^{N+2}} \|\eta(\lambda) (1 - e^{-2^{mk+\ell} \lambda})^M\|_{C^{N+2}} \|\eta(\lambda) \varphi_1(2^{-\frac{m(k-k_0)}{m-1}} 2^\ell \lambda)\|_{C^{N+2}} \\
 &\leq C 2^{-\ell \sigma_{p_0} n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This, in combination with (3.16) and the doubling condition (1.2), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\chi_{C_\nu(B_j)} G_k(L) \chi_{B_j}\|_{p_0 \rightarrow 2} &\leq C \sum_{\ell=-1}^{\infty} \mu(B(x_{B_j}, 2^{-\ell/m}))^{-\sigma_{p_0}} (2^{\ell/m} 2^\nu r_{B_j})^{-N} 2^{-\ell \sigma_{p_0} n} \\
 &\leq C 2^{-\nu N} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}} \sum_{\ell=-1}^{\infty} (2^{\ell/m} r_{B_j})^{\sigma_{p_0} n - N} 2^{-\ell \sigma_{p_0} n} \\
 &\leq C 2^{-\nu N} \mu(B_j)^{-\sigma_{p_0}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that  $r_{B_j} \geq 1$  when  $k \geq k_0$ . This ends the proof of estimate (3.15).

Now we follow the similar procedure as estimating the term  $I$  to show (3.14).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \sum_{k > k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{(2B_j)^c} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 &= \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \left( \int_X u(x) \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{(2B_j)^c} G_k(L) b_j(x) d\mu(x) \right)^2 \\
 (3.17) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \left( \sum_{k \leq k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} B_{\nu j} \right)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_{\nu j} := \int_{C_\nu(B_j)} |G_k(L) b_j(x)| |u(x)| d\mu(x)$ .

By (3.5) and (3.15), one obtains

$$B_{\nu j} \leq \|G_k(L) b_j\|_{L^2(C_\nu(B_j))} \|u\|_{L^2(C_\nu(B_j))} \leq C 2^{-(N-\frac{n}{2})\nu} \alpha \mu(B_j) \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Averaging over  $B_j$  yields

$$B_{\nu j} \leq C 2^{-(N-\frac{n}{2})\nu} \alpha \int_{B_j} \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(y).$$

Choosing  $N$  sufficient large and then summing over  $\nu \geq 1$  and  $j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \sum_{k > k_0} \sum_{j \in J_k} \chi_{(2B_j)^c} G_k(L) b_j \right\|_2^2 &\leq C \alpha^2 \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \left( \int_{\bigcup_j B_j} \mathcal{M}(|u|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(y) \right)^2 \\
 &\leq C \alpha^2 \sup_{\|u\|_2=1} \mu\left(\bigcup_j B_j\right) \|u^2\|_1 \\
 &\leq C \alpha^{2-p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$



The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

**Acknowledgements:** The author would like to thank P. Chen and L.X. Yan for helpful discussions. Z.J. Fan was supported by International Program for Ph.D. Candidates, Sun Yat-Sen University.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alexopoulos, Oscillating multipliers on Lie groups and Riemannian manifolds. *Tohoku Math. J.* **46** (1994), 457–468. [2](#)
- [2] P. Auscher, on necessary and sufficient conditions for  $L^p$ -estimates of Riesz transforms associated to elliptic operators on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and related estimates. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **186** (2007), no 871. [9](#)
- [3] S. Blunck and P.C. Kunstmann, Calderón-Zygmund theory for non-integral operators and the  $H^\infty$  functional calculus. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **19** (2003), 919–942.
- [4] S. Blunck, A Hörmander-type spectral multiplier theorem for operators without heat kernel. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5), (2003), 449–459. [2](#)
- [5] S. Blunck and P.C. Kunstmann, Generalized Gaussian estimates and the Legendre transform. *J. Operator Theory* **53** (2), (2005), 351–365. [4](#)
- [6] S. Blunck, Generalized Gaussian estimates and Riesz means of Schrödinger groups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **82** (2007), 149–162. [1](#), [2](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [7] P. Brenner, The Cauchy problem for systems in  $L_p$  and  $L_{p,\alpha}$ . *Ark. Mat.* **2** (1973), 75–101. [2](#)
- [8] T.A. Bui, P. D’Ancona, F. Nicola, Sharp  $L^p$  estimates for Schrödinger groups on spaces of homogeneous type. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **36** (2020), 455–484. [1](#), [2](#)
- [9] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **242**. Springer, Berlin-New York, (1971). [1](#)
- [10] G. Carron, T. Coulhon and E.M. Ouhabaz, Gaussian estimates and  $L^p$ -boundedness of Riesz means. *J. Evol. Equ.* **2** (2002), 299–317. [1](#), [2](#)
- [11] S. Chanillo, D.S. Kurtz and G. Sampson, Weighted weak  $(1, 1)$  and weighted  $L^p$  estimates for oscillating kernels. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **295** (1986), 127–145.
- [12] P. Chen, X.T. Duong, J. Li and L.X. Yan, Sharp endpoint  $L^p$  estimates for Schrödinger groups. To appear in *Math. Ann.* [1](#), [2](#)
- [13] P. Chen, X.T. Duong, J. Li and L.X. Yan, Sharp endpoint estimates for Schrödinger groups on Hardy spaces. Available at arXiv: 1902.08875 (2019). [6](#)
- [14] P. Chen, X.T. Duong, J. Li, L. Song and L.X. Yan, Weak type  $(1, 1)$  bounds for Schrödinger groups. Available at arXiv: 1906.05519 (2019). [2](#)
- [15] P. D’Ancona and F. Nicola, Sharp  $L^p$  estimates for Schrödinger groups. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **32** (2016), 1019–1038. [1](#), [2](#)
- [16] E.B. Davies, Limits on  $L^p$  regularity of self-adjoint elliptic operators. *J. Diff. Equa.* **135** (1997), 83–102. [2](#)
- [17] E.B. Davies, Uniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients. *J. Funct. Anal.* **132** (1995), 141–169. [6](#)
- [18] X.T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **15** (1999), no. 2, 233–265.
- [19] X.T. Duong and D.W. Robinson, Semigroup kernels, Poisson bounds, and holomorphic functional calculus. *J. Funct. Anal.* **142** (1996), no. 1, 89–128.
- [20] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein,  $H^p$  spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.*, **129** (1972), 137–195.
- [21] O. El-Mennaoui and V. Keyantuo, On the Schrödinger equation in  $L^p$  spaces. *Math. Ann.* **304** (1996), 293–302. [2](#)
- [22] M. Hieber, Integrated semigroups and differential operators on  $L^p$  spaces. *Math. Ann.* **291** (1991), 1–16. [2](#)
- [23] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces. *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 93–140. [2](#)
- [24] A. Jensen and S. Nakamura, Mapping properties of functions of Schrödinger operators between  $L^p$ -spaces and Besov spaces. In *Spectral and scattering theory and applications*, 187–209. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **23**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1994. [2](#)
- [25] A. Jensen and S. Nakamura,  $L^p$ -mapping properties of functions of Schrödinger operators and their applications to scattering theory. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **47** (1995), 253–273. [2](#)
- [26] P. Kunstmann and M. Uhl, Spectral multiplier theorems of Hörmander type on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces. *J. Operator Theory* **73** (2015), 27–69. [7](#)
- [27] E. Lanconelli, Valutazioni in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  della soluzione del problema di Cauchy per l’equazione di Schrödinger. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4)* **1** (1968), 591–607. [2](#)

- [28] N. Lohoué, Estimations des sommes de Riesz d'opérateurs de Schrödinger sur les variétés riemanniennes et les groupes de Lie. *C.R.A.S. Paris*. **315** (1992), 13-18. [2](#)
- [29] V. Liskevich, Z. Sobol and H. Vogt, On the  $L^p$  theory of  $C^0$ -semigroups associated with second-order elliptic operators II. *J. Funct. Anal.* **193** (2002), 55–76. [2](#)
- [30] A. McIntosh, Operators which have an  $H_\infty$  functional calculus, *Miniconference on operator theory and partial differential equations (North Ryde, 1986)*, 210-231, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, **14**. Australian National University, Canberra, 1986. [1](#)
- [31] A. Miyachi, On some Fourier multipliers for  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect IA Math* **27** (1980), 157-179. [1](#), [2](#)
- [32] E.M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains*. London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, **31**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. [2](#)
- [33] G. Schreieck and J. Voigt, Stability of the  $L_p$ -spectrum of generalized Schrödinger operators with form small negative part of the potential. In *Function Analysis (Essen, 1991)*, 95-105. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **150**. Dekker, New York, 1994. [2](#)
- [34] S. Sjöstrand, On the Riesz means of the solutions of the Schrödinger equation. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*. **24** (1970), 331-348. [2](#)

ZHIJIE FAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN (ZHONGSHAN) UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, 510275, P.R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* fanzhj3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn