

# Gauge functions and Galilean invariance of Lagrangians

Z. E. Musielak and T. B Watson

*Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019, USA*

---

## Abstract

A novel method to make Lagrangians Galilean invariant is developed. The method, based on null Lagrangians and their gauge functions, is used to demonstrate the Galilean invariance of the Lagrangian for Newton's law of inertia. It is suggested that this new solution of an old physics problem may have implications and potential applications to all gauge-based theories of physics.

---

## 1. Introduction

Invariant equations of motion can be derived from Lagrangians that are not themselves invariant. The best-known example is Newton's law of inertia, whose equation of motion is invariant with respect to the transformations that form the Galilean group of the metric [1], despite the fact that its standard Lagrangian is not [2,3]. This is possible because the Galilean transformations induce the Galilean gauge [3], which is either omitted [2] or removed by redefining the standard Lagrangian [3].

A novel method to enforce Galilean invariance on the Lagrangian is developed. Using this method, a null Lagrangian can be constructed and its gauge function allows removing the Galilean gauge induced by the Galilean transformations. After the Galilean gauge is removed, the Galilean invariant Lagrangian is obtained. This Lagrangian may still contain gauges introduced by the null Lagrangian; however all remaining gauges are also Galilean invariant. The developed method is general and may be extended to other physical problems that involve Galilean or Poincaré invariance of dynamical equations of classical and quantum mechanics.

The main characteristics of a null Lagrangian (NL) are that it causes the Euler-Lagrange (E-L) equation to vanish identically, and that it can be expressed as the total derivative of a scalar function [4-7], called a gauge function [3,5]. This means that the NL can be added to any Lagrangian without changing the derivation of the original equation. In general, the gauge function can be any continuous and differentiable function [4-7]; however, in this Letter, we construct an explicit gauge function of lowest orders in the dynamic variables.

The developed method applies to second-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs), such as Newton's equations of dynamics, harmonic oscillators with and without damping, and other physical systems with similar equations of motion; the method can be generalized to partial differential equations (PDEs). In the specific application presented in this Letter, we resolve the long-standing problem of the Galilean non-invariance of the Lagrangian for

Newton's law of inertia via the construction of the explicitly Galilean invariant standard and null Lagrangians for this equation.

The NLs and their gauge functions are important in studies of Noether's [8,9] and other [10,11] symmetries in physical systems with given Lagrangians, in Carathéodory's theory of fields of extremals, and in integral invariants [5,6]. Moreover, the NLs play a role in studies of elasticity, where they physically represent the energy density function of materials [12]. There is a large body of literature on NLs (also called trivial Lagrangians [6,7]), and their applications (e.g., [13-15]). It is shown that the obtained NLs can be applied to fundamental (Galilean invariant) equations of physics, and suggested that this approach may be extended to other gauge-based theories of modern physics.

## 2. Lagrangians and gauge functions

According to Newton's law of inertia, the motion of a body is always rectilinear and uniform with respect to an inertial frame of reference. Using the Galilean group of the metric, Galilean observers associated with different inertial frames that are moving with respect to each other with constant velocities, are introduced. The observers agree on description of physics in these frames and they use a Cartesian coordinate system  $(x, y, z)$  with time  $t$  being the same in all inertial frames (see Sect. 3).

Then, the law of inertia for one-dimensional (along  $x$ ) motion of a body in one inertial frame can be expressed as

$$\hat{D}x(t) = \frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} = \ddot{x}(t) = 0 , \quad (1)$$

where  $x(t)$  is a dynamical variable of the body in one inertial frame.

It is known since the work of Lagrange in the 18th Century that the Lagrangian for this equation is

$$L_s[\dot{x}(t)] = \frac{1}{2}C_o[\dot{x}(t)]^2 , \quad (2)$$

where  $C_o$  is an arbitrary constant. We refer to this Lagrangian as standard because of its origin and dependence on the square of the dependent variable time derivative, which is a kinetic energy-like term if  $C_o$  represents the mass of a moving body.

Since the standard Lagrangian (SL) depends on the square of  $\dot{x}(t)$ , we construct two test-Lagrangians, one that combines the dependent variable with its derivative or combines the dependent variable (or its derivative) with the independent variable, and the other that depends exclusively on either the dependent or independent variable. We write these Lagrangians as

$$L_a[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = C_1\dot{x}(t)x(t) + C_2\dot{x}(t)t + C_3x(t)t , \quad (3)$$

and

$$L_b[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = C_4\dot{x}(t) + C_5x(t) + C_6 , \quad (4)$$

where  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$  and  $C_6$  are constants as yet undetermined. The constants must have different physical dimensions to match the dimensions of  $L_a[\dot{x}(t), x(t)]$  and  $L_b[\dot{x}(t), x(t)]$  as that of  $L_s[\dot{x}(t), x(t)]$ . This will be addressed when the constants are evaluated.

Defining  $\hat{E}L$  to be the E-L equation operator, then  $\hat{E}L(L_n) = 0$  is required for  $L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t)]$  to be a null Lagrangian<sup>1</sup>. Adding  $L_a[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t]$  and  $L_b[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t]$ , the condition  $\hat{E}L(L_a + L_b) = 0$  is only valid if, and only if,  $C_3 = 0$  and  $C_5 = C_2$ . Then the NL is given by

$$L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = \sum_{i=1}^3 L_{ni}[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] , \quad (5)$$

where  $i = 1, 2$  and  $3$ , and the partial NLs are given by  $L_{n1}[\dot{x}(t), x(t)] = C_1\dot{x}(t)x(t)$ ,  $L_{n2}[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = C_2[\dot{x}(t)t + x(t)]$  and  $L_{n3}[\dot{x}(t)] = C_4\dot{x}(t) + C_6$ . This is the most general NL that can be constructed by taking the lowest orders of the dynamical variable.

Adding the NL, Eq. (5), to the SL, Eq. (2), we obtain  $L[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = L_s[\dot{x}(t)] + L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t]$ , or expressed in terms of the gauge function

$$L[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = L_s[\dot{x}(t)] + \frac{d\Phi_n(t)}{dt} . \quad (6)$$

Using either  $L[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t]$  or  $L_s[\dot{x}(t)]$  the same equation of motion (see Eq. 1) is obtained.

The gauge function  $\Phi_n(t)$  given in terms of the partial gauge functions is

$$\Phi_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_{ni}(t) , \quad (7)$$

where the partial gauge functions  $\Phi_{ni}(t)$  correspond to the partial null Lagrangians  $L_{ni}[\dot{x}(t), x(t)]$ , and are defined as  $\Phi_{n1}(t) = C_1 x^2(t)/2$ ,  $\Phi_{n2}(t) = C_2 x(t)t$  and  $\Phi_{n3}(t) = C_4 x(t) + C_6 t$ . It is not the aim of this Letter to demonstrate that the constructed  $\Phi_n(t)$  is sufficient to make the SL given by Eq. (2) Galilean invariant.

The existence of the standard Lagrangian for Eq. (1) is guaranteed by the Helmholtz conditions [16,17]; however, the existence of the NLs is independent from these conditions because the NLs do not affect the derivation of the original equation. In general, the problem of finding all NLs for a given equation has not yet been fully solved [6]; nevertheless, some progress has been made [5-15], and the presented results contribute to this progress.

Typically, if the NLs are known they are omitted from the standard Lagrangian [1] or removed by redefining this Lagrangian [2]. This is done in order to obtain a Galilean invariant Lagrangian free of the Galilean gauge [3]. Our novel result is that the NLs may be used to remove the unwanted Galilean gauges and make the standard Lagrangian Galilean invariant.

---

<sup>1</sup>Our notation here is such that the subscript "n" denotes a "null" Lagrangian and is not to be taken as an index

### 3. Galilean invariance

In general, two coordinate systems may be rotated, translated and boosted relative to each other. In Galilean space and time, all these transformations form the Galilean group of the metric. This group is used to study Galilean invariance of classical [3,18] and quantum [19,20] physical systems. The structure of this group is  $G = [T(1) \otimes R(3)] \otimes_s [T(3) \otimes B(3)]$ , where  $T(1)$ ,  $R(3)$ ,  $T(3)$  and  $B(3)$  are the subgroups of translation in time, rotations in space, translations in space and boosts, respectively. The subgroups  $T(1)$ ,  $T(3)$  and  $B(3)$  are Abelian Lie groups; however, the subgroup  $B(3)$  is a non-Abelian Lie group. The direct product is denoted as  $\otimes$ , and  $\otimes_s$  denotes the semi-direct product.

Let  $(x, t)$  be an inertial frame (see Sect. 2), and  $(x', t')$  be another inertial frame moving with respect to each other with velocity  $v_0 = \text{const}$ , and let the system's origins coincide at  $t = t' = t_0 = 0$ . Then the Galilean transformations relating these two systems are:  $x' = x - v_0 t$  and  $t' = t$ . In other words, a classical particle moving with the velocity  $u = \dot{x}$  in the  $(x, t)$  system has the velocity  $u' = \dot{x}'$  in the  $(x', t')$ . These two velocities are related by the above Galilean transformation, so that  $u' = u - v_0$ .

The solution of  $\hat{D}x(t) = 0$  (see Eq. 1) can be written as  $x(t) = at + b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integration constants. Setting the following initial conditions  $u(t)|_{t=0} = \dot{x}(t)|_{t=0} = u_0$  and  $x(t)|_{t=0} = x_0$ , then  $a = u_0$  and  $b = x_0$ , and the solution becomes  $x(t) = u_0 t + x_0$ . It is shown below that using this solution some constants of the NL can be expressed in terms of  $u_0$  and  $x_0$ .

### 4. Galilean invariant Lagrangians

Using Eq. (7), we write the gauge function  $\Phi_n(t)$  in the explicit form

$$\Phi_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}C_1x^2(t) + C_2x(t)t + C_4x(t) + C_6t. \quad (8)$$

After a Galilean transformation ( $x \rightarrow x'$ ) with  $t' = t$ , the transformed Lagrangian  $L'[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t]$  is given by

$$L'[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t] = L'_s[\dot{x}'(t)] + \frac{d\Phi'_n(t)}{dt} + \frac{d}{dt} [\Phi'_{Gs}(t) + \Phi'_{Gn}(t)], \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Phi'_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}C_1x'^2(t) + C_2x'(t)t + C_4x'(t) + C_6t, \quad (10)$$

is of the same form as  $\Phi_n(t)$ . The Galilean invariant standard gauge function  $\Phi'_{Gs}(t)$  is

$$\Phi'_{Gs}(t) = C_0 \left[ x'(t) + \frac{1}{2}v_0 t \right] v_0. \quad (11)$$

This gauge function is local and is the same as the phase of the wavefunction in the Schrödinger equation [19]; it corresponds to a projective unitary representation of the

Galilean group of the metric [3]. The Galilean invariant null gauge function  $\Phi'_{Gn}(t)$  is also local and given by

$$\Phi'_{Gn}(t) = \left[ C_1 \left( x'(t) + \frac{1}{2} v_o t \right) + C_2 t + C_4 \right] v_o t . \quad (12)$$

Both  $\Phi'_{Gs}(t)$  and  $\Phi'_{Gn}(t)$  are gauge functions because they give  $L'_{Gs}[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t]$  and  $L'_{Gn}[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t]$ , respectively, which are the NLs. In order for  $L[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t]$  and  $L'[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t]$  to be of the same form and Galilean invariant,  $\Phi'_{Gs}(t) + \Phi'_{Gn}(t)$  must be either zero or constant; since the second case is more general, the condition  $\Phi'_{Gs}(t) + \Phi'_{Gn}(t) = C$  is imposed; the constants are evaluated using the initial conditions.

Using  $x'(t) = u'_0 t + x'_0$ , where  $u'_0 = u_0 - v_0$  and  $x'_0 = x_0$ , we have  $x'(t) = (u_0 - v_0)t + x_0$ , the following three constants can be evaluated  $C = C_0 v_0 x_0$

$$C_2 = -C_1 \left( u_0 - \frac{1}{2} v_0 \right) , \quad (13)$$

and

$$C_4 = -C_0 \left( u_0 - \frac{1}{2} v_0 \right) - C_1 x_0 . \quad (14)$$

The constants remain the same in all inertial frames of reference.

Both gauge functions  $\Phi_n(t)$  and  $\Phi'_n(t)$  are Galilean invariant, which means that the null Lagrangians resulting from these functions are also Galilean invariant. Thus, the Lagrangian in the  $(x, t)$  frame is

$$L[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = L_s[\dot{x}(t)] + L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] , \quad (15)$$

where  $L_s[\dot{x}(t)] = [\dot{x}^2(t)]/2$ , and  $L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t]$  is

$$L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] = C_1 \dot{x}(t)x(t) + C_2 [\dot{x}(t)t + x(t)] + C_4 \dot{x} + C_6 , \quad (16)$$

and the Lagrangian in the  $(x', t')$  frame becomes

$$L'[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t] = L'_s[\dot{x}'(t)] + L'_n[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t] , \quad (17)$$

where  $L'_s[\dot{x}'(t)] = [(\dot{x}')^2(t)]/2$  and  $L'_n[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t]$  can be written as

$$L'_n[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t] = C_1 \dot{x}'(t)x'(t) + C_2 [\dot{x}'(t)t + x'(t)] + C_4 \dot{x}' + C_6 , \quad (18)$$

remains the same, which means that the Lagrangian is Galilean invariant.

## 5. Physical implications

In most previous studies of classical systems of physics, the null Lagrangians either never appeared or if they did were simply omitted [2,3] as unimportant in the derivation of equations of motion; the only known exception was a limited application of the null

Lagrangians to elasticity [12]. Nevertheless, many mathematical aspects and applications of the null Lagrangians were investigated in the framework of the calculus of variations [4-7, 13-15].

The main result of this Letter is that the standard Lagrangian

$$L_s[\dot{x}(t)] \rightarrow L'_s[\dot{x}'(t)] + L'_{Gs}[x'(t), t] , \quad (19)$$

which is not Galilean invariant, can only be made Galilean invariant if, and only if, the standard Lagrangian is supplemented by a null Lagrangian, which must also be Galilean invariant. This can be written as

$$L_s[\dot{x}(t)] + L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t] \rightarrow L'_s[\dot{x}'(t)] + L'_n[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t] , \quad (20)$$

where  $L_s[\dot{x}(t)]$ ,  $L_n[\dot{x}(t), x(t), t]$ ,  $L'_s[\dot{x}'(t)]$  and  $L'_n[\dot{x}'(t), x'(t), t]$  are Galilean invariant Lagrangians.

This shows the role that the null Lagrangians and their gauge functions play in making the standard Lagrangian for Newton's law of inertia Galilean invariant. The obtained results demonstrate that there is only one general null Lagrangian, constructed to the lowest orders of the dynamical variable, that simultaneously remains Galilean invariant and also induces invariance in the standard Lagrangian. The presented method to construct the Galilean invariant Lagrangian may be extended to different physical problems that require Galilean invariance of dynamical equations of classical or quantum mechanics as well as to physical problems that involve Poincaré invariance. The obtained results also show that the null Lagrangians and their gauge functions are present in classical mechanics if the invariance of Lagrangians is required.

The presented results are relevant to studies of Noether [9,21,22], non-Noether [11,23,24] and other [8,10,25] symmetries of Lagrangians and the equations of motion. As demonstrated in [9], the Noether symmetries of standard Lagrangians remain the same whether null Lagrangians are added to them or not. Similarly, standard and standard+null Lagrangians yield their two corresponding non-Noether symmetries in a unique way [23]. In general, Lagrangians possess less symmetry than the equations of motion resulting from them due to assumptions on which the Noether theorem [10,25] is based. However, we postulate (without a proof) that the Galilean standard Lagrangian, not only allows deriving the Galilean invariant equation of motion [21], but also its underlying symmetries are identical with the symmetries of the resulting equation of motion.

Symmetries of differential equations and their Lagrangians are related to Lie groups [4]. For example, let  $L(\dot{q}, q, t) \equiv L[\dot{q}(t), q(t), t]$  be a Lagrangian,  $q$  be a generalized variable,  $M$  be a configuration manifold, and  $TM$  be a tangent bundle associated with this manifold, then  $L : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , and  $L$  is defined on  $TM$  [26]. Let now  $G$  be a manifold associated with a given Lie group  $G$ , so that  $L : TG \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ . Then, the Lagrangian  $L(\dot{q}, q, t)$  remains also  $G$ -invariant but 'new' variational principles and 'new' Euler-Lagrange equations may be required [27,28]. The invariance of  $L(\dot{q}, q, t)$  is important because it is strongly related to its Lie group [4]. The problem may also be reversed; for every known Lagrangian its invariance with respect to rotations, translations and boosts may indicate the presence of

the underlying Lie group [29,30], which means that the group may be identified by investigating the Lagrangian invariance. Moreover, the invariance of  $L(\dot{q}, q, t)$  guarantees that the original equation derived from this Lagrangian preserves the same invariance [21]. This is the case for the Galilean invariant Newton's first equation of dynamics and its Galilean invariant standard and null Lagrangians derived in this Letter. In addition, Galilean invariance guarantees that the same Lie group that underlies the Newton law of inertia is also the group for the Lagrangians.

**Acknowledgments** We are indebted to three anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions that allow us to improve significantly the first version of this manuscript. The authors also would like to thank L. C. Vestal and N. Davachi for checking our derivations and their comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (Z.E.M.).

## References

- [1] V.I. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics (Springer, New York, 1978)
- [2] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifschitz, Mechanics, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1969
- [3] J.-M. Levy-Leblond, Comm. Math. Phys. 12 (1969) 64
- [4] P.J. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993
- [5] M. Crampin and D.J. Saunders, Diff. Geom. and its Appl., 22 (2005) 131
- [6] D.R. Grigore, J. Phys. A 28 (1995) 2921
- [7] D. Krupka and J. Musilova, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 9 (1998) 225
- [8] D.E. Betounes, J. Math. Phys. 28 (1987) 2347
- [9] G.F. Torres del Castillo, Rev. Mex. Fis., 60 (2014) 129
- [10] S. Hojman, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17 (1984) 2399
- [11] M. Lutzky, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 (1995) L637
- [12] D.R. Anderson, D.E. Carlson and E. Fried, J. Elasticity 56 (1999) 35
- [13] P.J. Olver and J. Sivaloganathan, Nonlinearity 1 (1989) 389
- [14] D. Krupka, O. Krupkova, and D. Saunders, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 7 (2010) 631
- [15] R. Vitolo, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 10 (1999) 293
- [16] H. Helmholtz, J. f. d. Reine u. Angew Math., 100 (1887) 213
- [17] J. Lopuszanski, The Inverse Variational Problems in Mechanics, World Scientific, 1999
- [18] J.L. Fry and Z.E. Musielak, Ann. Phys. 325 (2010) 2668
- [19] Z.E. Musielak and J.L. Fry, Ann. Phys. 324 (2009) 296
- [20] N.A. Doughty, Lagrangian Interaction, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1990
- [21] G. F. Torres del Castillo, arXiv:1705.08446v1 [physics.class-ph] 23 May 2017.
- [22] A.K. Halder, A. Paliathanasis, P.G.L. Leach, Symmetry 10 (2018) 744
- [23] W. Sarlet, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 (1983) L229
- [24] G. Chavchanidze, arXiv: math-ph / 0405003v1v1 3 May 2004
- [25] S. Hojman, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1992) L59
- [26] J.V. José, E.J. Saletan, Classical Dynamics, A Contemporary Approach, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002
- [27] A. Lucas, arXiv:1111.1275v1 [physics.class-ph] 5 Nov 2011.
- [28] J.E. Marsden, S. Pekarsky, S. Shkoller, arXiv:math/0004018v1 [math.N.A.] 4 April 2000.
- [29] Z. Muzsnay, G. Thompson, Diff. Geom. Appl. 23 (2005) 257
- [30] C. Muriel, J.L. Romero, P.J. Olver, J. Diff. Eqs. 222 (2006) 164