A DOUBLE (∞,1)-CATégorICAL NERVe FOR DOUBLE CATEGORIES

LYNE MOSER

ABSTRACT. We construct a nerve from double categories into double (∞,1)-categories and show that it gives a right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful functor between the model structure for weakly horizontally invariant double categories and the model structure on bisimplicial spaces for double (∞,1)-categories seen as double Segal objects in spaces complete in the horizontal direction. We then restrict the nerve along a homotopical horizontal embedding of 2-categories into double categories, and show that it gives a right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful functor between Lack’s model structure for 2-categories and the model structure for 2-fold complete Segal spaces. We further show that Lack’s model structure is right-induced along this nerve from the model structure for 2-fold complete Segal spaces.
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Higher category theory aims to study more structured objects than categories. While categories consist of objects and morphisms (or 1-cells) between objects, higher categories also have higher cells. In this perspective, a 2-category is obtained by also adding 2-cells between the morphisms. A 2-category can actually be seen as a category enriched in categories – its morphisms and 2-cells between any pair of objects form a category. Another type of 2-dimensional categories is given by internal categories to categories, called double categories. Such a structure has two types of morphisms between objects – horizontal and vertical morphisms – and its 2-cells are squares. In particular, a 2-category \( \mathcal{A} \) can be seen as a horizontal double category \( \mathbb{H}\mathcal{A} \) in which every vertical morphism is trivial; or equivalently, as an internal category to categories whose category of objects is discrete.

Many aspects of 2-category theory benefit from a passage to double categories. For example, a good notion of limit for 2-categories is that of a 2-limit, first introduced by Auderset \[1\] and Borceux-Kelly \[5\], and further developed by Street \[31\], Kelly \[20, 21\] and Lack \[24\]. As clingman and the author show in \[9\], a 2-limit cannot be characterized as a 2-terminal object in the 2-category of cones, but a passage to double categories allows such a characterization by results of Grandis and Paré \[12, 13\]. Indeed, they show that the 2-limit of a 2-functor \( F \) is double terminal in the double category of cones over the corresponding double functor \( \mathbb{H}F \).

These notions of categories, 2-categories, and double categories are often too strict to accommodate many examples that appear in nature. In the perspective of generalizing categories, an \((\infty,1)\)-category is interpreted as a categorical structure that admits cells in all dimensions with all \( k \)-cells invertible for \( k > 1 \), where compositions are only defined, associative, and unital up to higher cells. Such a higher structure should be thought of as a homotopical version of a category. Similarly to the strict case, we can then interpret an \((\infty,2)\)-category, as a “category enriched in \((\infty,1)\)-categories”, and a double \((\infty,1)\)-categories, as an “internal category to \((\infty,1)\)-categories”. A natural expectation is that \((\infty,2)\)-categories also admit a “horizontal embedding” into double \((\infty,1)\)-categories and that 2-categories and double categories embed into their more homotopical versions, in such a way that the following diagram commutes (maybe only up to “homotopy”).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\{2\text{-categories}\} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{H}} & \{((\infty,2)\text{-categories}\}
\\
\{\text{double categories}\} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{H}} & \{\text{double }((\infty,1)\text{-categories}\}
\end{array}
\]

The existence of such a commutative diagram would show that aspects of the theory of \((\infty,2)\)-categories would also benefit from a passage to double \((\infty,1)\)-categories. With this idea in mind, the aim of forthcoming work is to develop a notion of \((\infty,2)\)-limits by defining a limit of an \((\infty,2)\)-functor as a terminal object in the double \((\infty,1)\)-category of cones over the induced “horizontal” double \((\infty,1)\)-functor.

To make these \(\infty\)-notions precise, the machinery used is often that of model categories, introduced by Quillen in \[28\], and these \(\infty\)-notions are then defined as the fibrant objects of a given model structure. This is the approach we will be taking here. As a model for \((\infty,1)\)-category, we consider complete Segal spaces, due to Rezk \[29\] and defined as the Segal objects in spaces such that the space of objects is equivalent to the space of equivalences, i.e., invertible morphisms up to higher cells. This last condition is called the completeness condition and assures that no extra data have been added by considering a space of objects instead of a set of objects. There are many other models of \((\infty,1)\)-categories, but the choice we make here is motivated by the fact that models
of \((\infty, 2)\)-categories and double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories have been developed as “internal categories” to complete Segal spaces, where the complete Segal space of objects is required to be discrete for \((\infty, 2)\)-categories. More precisely, these are given by 2-fold complete Segal spaces defined by Barwick in [2] as the complete Segal objects in complete Segal spaces, and by double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories defined by Haugseng in [16] as the Segal objects in complete Segal spaces. Haugseng’s definition of double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories requires the completeness condition in the vertical direction, i.e., that the space of objects is equivalent to the space of vertical equivalences. Since we want our double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories to be compatible with the horizontal embedding of 2-categories into double categories, we require instead horizontal completeness, i.e., that the space of objects is equivalent to the space of horizontal equivalences. However, these two models of double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories are easily shown to be equivalent via a transpose functor. Furthermore, there are model structures 2CSS and \(\text{DblCat}_{\infty}^h\) on bisimplicial spaces whose fibrant objects are the 2-fold complete Segal spaces and the horizontally complete double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories, respectively. We can obtain 2CSS as localization of \(\text{DblCat}_{\infty}^h\), and this implies that the identity functor \(2\text{CSS} \rightarrow \text{DblCat}_{\infty}^h\) is a right Quillen functor, which we interpret as the horizontal embedding of \((\infty, 2)\)-categories into double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories.

To define an embedding – called nerve – of 2-categories and double categories into their \(\infty\)-analogue, we also need model structures in this stricter setting. In [22, 23], Lack endows the category \(2\text{Cat}\) of 2-categories and 2-functors with a model structure in which the weak equivalences are the biequivalences and all 2-categories are fibrant. Several nerves that fully embed this homotopy theory of 2-categories into the one of \((\infty, 2)\)-categories have already been constructed: into saturated 2-precomplicial sets by Ozornova and Rovelli in [27], into 2-quasi-categories by Campbell in [7], and into \(\infty\)-bicategories by Gagna, Harpaz, and Lanari in [11].

In the double categorical case, several model structures for double categories are constructed by Fiore, Paoli, and Pronk in [10], but the horizontal embedding of 2-categories does not induce a Quillen pair between Lack’s model structure and any of these model structures. Therefore, in [25], the author, Sarazola, and Verdugo define another model structure on the category \(\text{DblCat}\) of double categories and double functors in which the weak equivalence are the double biequivalences and all double categories are fibrant. Indeed, this model structure is constructed in such a way that the horizontal embedding \(\mathbb{H}: 2\text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{DblCat}\) creates Lack’s model structure, and the functor \(\mathbb{H}\) is right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful. However, this model structure is not well-behaved with respect to vertical composition; for example, the free double category on two composable vertical morphisms is not cofibrant, while the one on two composable horizontal morphisms is.

To remedy this failure, the author, Sarazola, and Verdugo construct in [26] a model structure on \(\text{DblCat}\) with the same weak equivalences, i.e., the double biequivalences, and an additional cofibration \([0] \sqcup [0] \rightarrow \mathbb{V}[1]\). The existence of this model structure was independently noticed by Campbell [6]. As we show in [26], this new model structure is Quillen equivalent to the previous one through the identity functor on \(\text{DblCat}\). The modification fixes the issue with respect to the vertical composition mentioned above, but the horizontal embedding \(\mathbb{H}\) is not right Quillen anymore. Indeed, the fibrant double categories are now the weakly horizontally invariant ones (see Definition [22.7]), and the horizontal double category \(\mathbb{H}[\mathcal{A}]\) of a 2-category \(\mathcal{A}\) does not generally satisfy this condition. Therefore a fibrant replacement of \(\mathbb{H}\) needs to be considered instead and it is given by a more homotopical version \(\mathbb{H}^\simeq: 2\text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{DblCat}\) of \(\mathbb{H}\) sending a 2-category to a double category whose underlying horizontal 2-category is still \(\mathcal{A}\), but whose vertical morphisms are given by the adjoint equivalences of \(\mathcal{A}\). This gives a right Quillen and homotopically
fully faithful functor \( H : 2\text{Cat} \to \text{DblCat} \), where \( \text{DblCat} \) is endowed with the model structure for weakly horizontally invariant double categories.

In this paper, we construct a nerve functor \( N : \text{DblCat} \to \text{sSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}} \), and we show in Theorems 5.2.8 and 5.3.1 that \( N \) is a right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful functor from \( \text{DblCat} \) to \( \text{DblCat}^\infty_h \).

**Theorem A.** There is a Quillen pair

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{DblCat} & \xrightarrow{N} & \text{DblCat}^\infty_h \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} & A
\end{array}
\]

between the model structure on \( \text{DblCat} \) for weakly horizontally invariant double categories and the model structure on \( \text{sSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}} \) for horizontally complete double \((\infty,1)\)-categories.

Moreover, the components \( \epsilon_A : CNA \to A \) of the (derived) counit are double biequivalences, for all double categories \( A \), and therefore the nerve functor \( N : \text{DblCat} \to \text{DblCat}^\infty_h \) is homotopically fully faithful.

We then restrict the nerve functor \( N \) along the homotopical horizontal embedding \( H : 2\text{Cat} \to \text{DblCat} \) and show in Theorems 6.1.1 and 6.1.3 that this gives a right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful functor from \( 2\text{Cat} \) to \( 2\text{CSS} \). In the case of 2-categories, it is further true that their homotopy theory is created by \( \text{NH}^\infty \) in the sense that \( 2\text{Cat} \) is right-induced from \( 2\text{CSS} \) along \( \text{NH}^\infty \).

**Theorem B.** The adjunction

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{L^C} & 2\text{CSS} \\
\downarrow \text{NH}^\infty & & \downarrow \\
A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_A} & A
\end{array}
\]

is a Quillen pair between the model structure on \( 2\text{Cat} \) for 2-categories and the model structure on \( \text{sSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}} \) for 2-fold complete Segal spaces, i.e., \((\infty,2)\)-categories.

Moreover, the components \( \epsilon_A : L^C \text{NH}^\infty A \to A \) of the (derived) counit are biequivalences, for all 2-categories \( A \), and therefore the nerve functor \( \text{NH}^\infty : 2\text{Cat} \to 2\text{CSS} \) is homotopically fully faithful.

Furthermore, Lack’s model structure on \( 2\text{Cat} \) is right-induced from \( 2\text{CSS} \) along \( \text{NH}^\infty \).

This gives a commutative diagram of homotopically fully faithful right Quillen functors.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{Cat} & \xrightarrow{\text{NH}^\infty} & 2\text{CSS} \\
\downarrow \text{NH}^\infty & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
\text{DblCat} & \xrightarrow{N} & \text{DblCat}^\infty_h
\end{array}
\]

However, we were hoping to find a nerve that is compatible with the horizontal embedding functor \( \text{H} \), but the nerve \( \text{NH} \) of a horizontal double category \( H \) associated to a 2-category is not generally a double \((\infty,1)\)-category nor a 2-fold complete Segal space (see Remark 5.4.9). We show in Theorem 6.3.5 that \( \text{NH}^\infty \) gives a fibrant replacement of \( \text{NH} \) in \( 2\text{CSS} \) (or in \( \text{DblCat}^\infty_h \)).

**Theorem C.** There is a level-wise homotopy equivalence \( \text{NH} \) \( A \to \text{NH}^\infty \) \( A \), which exhibits \( \text{NH}^\infty \) \( A \) as a fibrant replacement of \( \text{NH} \) in \( 2\text{CSS} \) (or in \( \text{DblCat}^\infty_h \)), for every 2-category \( A \).

In particular, it follows from this result that we have a diagram of homotopically fully faithful right Quillen functors
filled with a natural transformation which is level-wise a weak equivalence. This gives the expected compatibility of the nerve \( \mathbb{N} \) with the horizontal embedding \( \mathbb{H} \).

1.1. Outline. In Section 2, we first recall the basic terminology for 2-categories and double categories, and describe several functors between the categories \( 2\text{Cat} \) and \( \text{DblCat} \). We then introduce notions of horizontal equivalences and weakly horizontally invertible squares in a double category, which allows us to define weakly horizontally invariant double categories. In Section 3, we recall the main features of Lack’s model structure on \( 2\text{Cat} \) and of the model structure of \[26\] on \( \text{DblCat} \). Then, in Section 4, we get to the \( \infty \)-setting and describe the model structures \( \text{DblCat}_{\infty}^{h} \) and \( \text{2CSS} \) for double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories and 2-fold complete Segal spaces. Finally, in Section 5, we construct a nerve functor \( \mathbb{N} \colon \text{DblCat} \to \text{DblCat}_{\infty}^{h} \) and show that it is right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful. By restricting along the functor \( \mathbb{H} \colon \text{2Cat} \to \text{DblCat} \), we show in Section 6 that the nerve functor \( \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{H}} \colon \text{2Cat} \to \text{2CSS} \) is also right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful. Furthermore, we prove that Lack’s model structure on \( 2\text{Cat} \) is right-induced from \( \text{2CSS} \) along the nerve \( \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{H}} \).

The aim of Appendix A is to prove some technical results about weakly horizontally invertible squares, which were recently introduced independently by the author, Sarazola, and Verdugo in \[25\], and by Grandis and Paré in \[14\]. In particular, we show that a horizontal pseudo-natural transformation is an equivalence if and only if each of its square components are weakly horizontally invertible squares. The aim of Appendix B is to describe the lower simplices of the nerves \( \mathbb{N}_{A}, \mathbb{N}_{H_{2}}{A}, \) and \( \mathbb{N}_{H_{1}}{A} \) in order to give intuition on what the nerve construction is doing to a double category or a 2-category. In particular, this allows us to better understand the difference between the nerves \( \mathbb{N}_{H_{2}}{A} \) and \( \mathbb{N}_{H_{1}}{A} \) and provides intuition on why the latter is not fibrant.
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2. Preliminaries on 2-dimensional categories

There are two notions of strict 2-dimensional categories: 2-categories and double categories. In Section 2.1, we first recall the definitions of the category \( 2\text{Cat} \) of 2-categories and 2-functors, of an equivalence in a 2-category, and of the Gray tensor product on \( 2\text{Cat} \).
We then introduce the category DblCat of double categories and double functors, and the horizontal embedding $\mathbb{H} : 2\text{Cat} \to \text{DblCat}$ together with its left adjoint $L$, and its right adjoint $H$ given by the underlying horizontal 2-category of a double category. The category of double categories also admits a Gray tensor product [4], which restricts along $\mathbb{H}$ to a tensoring functor of DblCat over 2Cat. This gives a 2Cat-enrichment on DblCat, whose hom-objects are given by the 2-categories of double functors, horizontal pseudo-natural transformations, and modifications; see Appendix [A.3] for definitions. We then introduce two other functors between 2-categories and double categories. The functor $V : \text{DblCat} \to 2\text{Cat}$ extracting from a double category a 2-category whose objects are the vertical morphisms and whose morphisms are the squares, and another horizontal-like full embedding $\mathbb{H}^\simeq : 2\text{Cat} \to \text{DblCat}$ together with its left adjoint $L^\simeq$. In Section 2.2, we define notions of weak invertibility in a double category $A$ for horizontal morphisms and squares, which are defined as the equivalences in the 2-categories $\mathbb{H}A$ and $VA$, respectively. We then introduce weakly horizontally invariant double categories, which will be the fibrant objects of the model structure on DblCat we consider.

2.1. 2-categories, double categories, and their relations. Recall that a 2-category $A$ consists of objects, morphisms $f : A \to B$ between objects, and 2-cells $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$ between parallel morphisms. A 2-functor $F : A \to B$ consists of assignments on objects, on morphisms, and on 2-cells which preserve the 2-categorical structures strictly.

**Notation 2.1.1.** We denote by 2Cat the category of 2-categories and 2-functors. Since 2-categories have not only morphisms, but also 2-cells, a good notion of invertibility for a morphism in a 2-category is given by requiring that it has an inverse up to an invertible 2-cell, instead of strictly.

**Definition 2.1.2.** An equivalence $f : A \xrightarrow{\simeq} B$ in a 2-category $A$ is a tuple $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ consisting of morphisms $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to A$ and invertible 2-cells $\eta : id_A \Rightarrow gf$ and $\epsilon : fg \Rightarrow id_B$ in $A$. An equivalence $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ is an adjoint equivalence if, moreover, the 2-cells $\eta$ and $\epsilon$ satisfy the following triangle identities.

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \xrightarrow{f} A, & B & \xrightarrow{g} A, \\
B & \xleftarrow{\eta} B, & B & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} B, \\
B & \xrightarrow{f} B, & B & \xrightarrow{g} B.
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 2.1.3.** Although we often denote an equivalence only by $f$, we always mean that we have the whole data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$.

Given an equivalence $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$, we can always modify the invertible 2-cell $\epsilon$ into an invertible 2-cell $\epsilon'$ such that the new data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon')$ is an adjoint equivalence.

**Lemma 2.1.4.** Every equivalence in a 2-category can be promoted to an adjoint equivalence.

**Proof.** See, for example, [30, Lemma 2.1.11].

The category 2Cat admits a closed symmetric monoidal structure introduced by Gray in [15].

**Definition 2.1.5.** Let $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be 2-categories. We denote by $[\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{A}]_{2,\text{ps}}$ the pseudo-hom 2-category of 2-functors $\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}$, pseudo-natural transformations, and modifications. For a definition of these notions, see [19, Definition 4.2.1 and 4.4.1].
Then the Gray tensor product $\otimes_2 : 2\text{Cat} \times 2\text{Cat} \to 2\text{Cat}$ endows the category 2Cat with a closed symmetric monoidal structure with respect to these pseudo-homs. More explicitly, for all 2-categories $I$, $A$, and $B$, we have a bijection

$$2\text{Cat}(I \otimes_2 B, A) \cong 2\text{Cat}(B, [I, A]_{2, \text{ps}})$$

natural in $I$, $A$, and $B$.

**Notation 2.1.6.** Let $i : I \to A$ and $i' : I' \to A'$ be 2-functors. We denote by $i \Box_{\otimes_2} i'$ their pushout product

$$i \Box_{\otimes_2} i' : A \otimes_2 I' \coprod_{I \otimes_2 I'} A' \to A \otimes_2 A'.$$

We are now ready to introduce the other type of 2-dimensional categories of interest in this paper: the double categories. While 2-categories are defined as categories enriched over Cat, the category of categories and functors, double categories are defined as internal categories to Cat. They therefore admit two categorical directions, called horizontal and vertical.

**Definition 2.1.7.** A double category $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the following data:

(i) a collection of objects $A, B, \ldots$,

(ii) horizontal morphisms $f : A \to B$ with a horizontal identity $\text{id}_A : A \to A$ for each object $A$,

(iii) vertical morphisms $u : A \leftrightarrow A'$ with a vertical identity $e_A : A \leftrightarrow A$ for each object $A$,

(iv) squares $\alpha : (u^f, v)$ of the form

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \\
\downarrow^u \quad \alpha \quad \downarrow^v \\
A' \quad \downarrow^{u'} \\
B' \\
\end{array}
\]

with a vertical identity $e_f : (e_A^f, e_B)$ for each horizontal morphism $f : A \to B$ and a horizontal identity $\text{id}_u : (u^{\text{id}_A}, u)$ for each vertical morphism $u : A \leftrightarrow A'$,

(v) an associative and unital horizontal composition law for horizontal morphisms, and squares along their vertical boundaries,

(vi) an associative and unital vertical composition law for vertical morphisms, and squares along their horizontal boundaries,

such that horizontal and vertical compositions of squares satisfy the interchange law.

**Definition 2.1.8.** A double functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ consists of assignments on objects, on horizontal morphisms, on vertical morphisms, and on squares, which are compatible with domains and codomains and preserve all compositions and identities strictly.

**Notation 2.1.9.** We denote by DblCat the category of double categories and double functors.

In particular, a 2-category can be seen as an internal category to Cat where the category of objects is discrete. This gives an embedding of 2Cat into DblCat which associates to a 2-category its corresponding horizontal double category.

**Definition 2.1.10.** We define the horizontal embedding functor $\mathbb{H} : 2\text{Cat} \to \text{DblCat}$. It sends a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$ to the double category $\mathbb{H}\mathcal{A}$ with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$, whose horizontal morphisms are the morphisms of $\mathcal{A}$, with only trivial vertical morphisms, and whose squares
are given by the 2-cells $\alpha: f \Rightarrow f'$ of $A$. Compositions are induced by the ones in $A$.

The functor $H$ sends a 2-functor $F: A \to B$ to the double functor $HF: HA \to HB$ which acts as $F$ does on the corresponding data.

The functor $H$ admits both adjoints. Its right adjoint extracts from a double category its underlying horizontal 2-category, which forgets about the vertical direction, while its left adjoint acts on a double category by squashing the vertical direction.

**Definition 2.1.11.** We define the functor $H: \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat}$. It sends a double category $A$ to its **underlying horizontal 2-category** $HA$ with the same objects as $A$, whose morphisms are the horizontal morphisms of $A$, and whose 2-cells $\alpha: f \Rightarrow f'$ are given by the squares in $A$ of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
  \bullet & \downarrow{} & \bullet \\
  A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B'
\end{array}
\]

Compositions are induced by the ones in $A$.

The functor $H$ sends a double functor $F: A \to B$ to the 2-functor $HF: HA \to HB$ which acts as $F$ does on the corresponding data.

**Remark 2.1.12.** Since $H: \text{2Cat} \to \text{DblCat}$ is a functor between locally presentable categories which preserves limits and colimits, it admits both a left and a right adjoint by the Adjoint Functor Theorem. Its right adjoint is given by the functor $H: \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat}$ (see [10, Proposition 2.5]), and we denote by $L$ its left adjoint.

The functor $L: \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat}$ sends a double category $A$ to a 2-category $LA$ whose objects are equivalence classes of objects in $A$ under the following relation: two objects are identified if and only if they are related by a zig-zag of vertical morphisms. The morphisms of $LA$ are then generated by the horizontal morphisms of $A$, and the 2-cells of $LA$ are generated by the squares of $A$.

Since 2-categories can also be embedded vertically into double categories, there are analogous functors for the vertical direction. However, in this paper, a 2-category is always seen as a horizontal double category, unless specified otherwise.

**Remark 2.1.13.** Similarly, there is a functor $V: \text{2Cat} \to \text{DblCat}$ sending a 2-category $A$ to the double category $VA$ with the same objects as $A$, only trivial horizontal morphisms, vertical morphisms the morphisms of $A$, and squares given by the 2-cells of $A$. This functor also admits both adjoints, and its right adjoint $V: \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat}$ sends a double category to its **underlying vertical category**.

As in the 2-categorical case, the category $\text{DblCat}$ also admits a closed symmetric monoidal structure given by a Gray tensor product introduced by Böhm in [4], with hom
double categories having horizontal and vertical morphisms the horizontal and vertical pseudo-natural transformations.

**Definition 2.1.14.** Let \( \mathbb{I} \) and \( \mathcal{A} \) be double categories. We define the **pseudo-hom double category** \( \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A} \) ps to be the double category of double functors \( \mathbb{I} \to \mathcal{A} \), horizontal pseudo-natural transformations, vertical pseudo-natural transformations, and modifications. See [4, §2.2] or [12, §3.8] for more details.

By [4, §3], the **Gray tensor product** \( \otimes_G \colon \text{DblCat} \times \text{DblCat} \to \text{DblCat} \) endows the category \( \text{DblCat} \) with a closed symmetric monoidal structure with respect to these pseudo-homs. More explicitly, for all double categories \( \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}, \) and \( \mathcal{B} \), we have a bijection

\[
\text{DblCat}(\mathbb{I} \otimes_G \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) \cong \text{DblCat}(\mathcal{B}, [\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}]_{\text{ps}})
\]

natural in \( \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}, \) and \( \mathcal{B} \).

In this paper, we are interested in the underlying horizontal 2-categories of these pseudo-hom double categories. This gives a tensored and cotensored \( \text{2Cat} \)-enrichment on \( \text{DblCat} \) with tensoring functor obtained by restricting the Gray tensor product for double categories defined above along the horizontal embedding \( \mathbb{H} \) in one of the variables.

**Definition 2.1.15.** Let \( \mathbb{I} \) and \( \mathcal{A} \) be double categories. We define the **pseudo-hom 2-category** \( \mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}]_{\text{ps}} \) to be the 2-category of double functors \( \mathbb{I} \to \mathcal{A} \), horizontal pseudo-natural transformations, and modifications; see Definitions A.3.1 and A.3.2.

Then the Gray tensor product \( \otimes_G \colon \text{DblCat} \times \text{DblCat} \to \text{DblCat} \) restricts to a tensoring functor

\[
\otimes := \text{DblCat} \times 2\text{Cat} \xrightarrow{id \times \mathbb{H}} \text{DblCat} \times \text{DblCat} \xrightarrow{\otimes_G} \text{DblCat}
\]

with respect to these pseudo-homs. More explicitly, for every pair of double categories \( \mathbb{I} \) and \( \mathcal{A} \), and every 2-category \( \mathcal{B} \), we have a bijection

\[
\text{DblCat}(\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) \cong 2\text{Cat}(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{H}[\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}]_{\text{ps}})
\]

natural in \( \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}, \) and \( \mathcal{B} \). See [25, Proposition 7.6].

**Notation 2.1.16.** Given a double functor \( \mathbb{I} \to \mathcal{A} \) in \( \text{DblCat} \) and a 2-functor \( i \colon \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A} \) in \( \text{2Cat} \), we denote by \( \mathbb{I} \Box i \) their pushout product

\[
\mathbb{I} \Box i \colon \mathcal{A} \Box \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{I} \Box \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A} \Box \mathcal{A}.
\]

Before introducing another functor from \( \text{DblCat} \) to \( \text{2Cat} \) which first appears in [25, Definition 2.12] and extracts from a double category a 2-category of vertical morphisms, squares, and 2-cells as below, we first settle the following notations.

**Notation 2.1.17.** We denote by \( [n] \) the category given by the poset \( \{0 < 1 < \ldots < n\} \), for \( n \geq 0 \). It can be thought of as the free category on \( n \) composable morphisms. In particular, the category \( [0] \) is the terminal category, and the category \( [1] \) is the free category \( \{0 \to 1\} \) on a morphism.

**Definition 2.1.18.** We define the functor \( \mathcal{V} \colon \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat} \) to be

\[
\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{H}[[\mathcal{V}[1], -]]_{\text{ps}} : \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat}.
\]

More explicitly, it sends a double category \( \mathcal{A} \) to the 2-category \( \mathcal{V}\mathcal{A} \) whose objects are the vertical morphisms of \( \mathcal{A} \), and whose morphisms are the squares of \( \mathcal{A} \). A 2-cell in \( \mathcal{V}\mathcal{A} \) between parallel morphisms \( \alpha : (u \overset{f}{\to} v) \) and \( \beta : (u \overset{g}{\to} v) \) consists of squares \( \sigma : (e_A \overset{f}{\to} e_B) \) and \( \sigma' : (e_A' \overset{g'}{\to} e_B') \) satisfying the following pasting in \( \mathcal{A} \).
As we will see later in the paper, the horizontal embedding is sometimes not homotopically good enough to embed 2-categories into double categories, and we therefore introduce for a 2-category \( \mathcal{A} \) a double category \( \mathbb{H} \mathcal{A} \) whose underlying horizontal 2-category is still \( \mathcal{A} \), but where the vertical direction sees all the adjoint equivalences of \( \mathcal{A} \), instead of just the identities.

**Definition 2.1.19.** We define the functor \( \mathbb{H} \approx : \text{2Cat} \to \text{DblCat} \). It sends a 2-category \( \mathcal{A} \) to the double category \( \mathbb{H} \approx \mathcal{A} \) with the same objects as \( \mathcal{A} \), whose horizontal morphisms are the morphisms of \( \mathcal{A} \), whose vertical morphisms are the adjoint equivalences of \( \mathcal{A} \), and whose squares are given by the 2-cells \( \alpha : v f \Rightarrow f' u \) in \( \mathcal{A} \). Compositions are induced by the ones in \( \mathcal{A} \).

The functor \( \mathbb{H} \approx \) is not a left adjoint, since it does not preserve colimits; see [26, Remark 3.10]. However, it admits a left adjoint, which we describe below.

**Remark 2.1.20.** The functor \( \mathbb{H} \approx \) preserves all limits, and therefore it admits a left adjoint, denoted by \( L \approx \), by the Adjoint Functor Theorem.

The functor \( L \approx : \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat} \) sends a double category \( \mathcal{A} \) to the 2-category \( L \approx \mathcal{A} \) with the same objects as \( \mathcal{A} \), and whose morphisms are generated by a morphism for each horizontal morphism in \( \mathcal{A} \) and by an adjoint equivalence for each vertical morphism in \( \mathcal{A} \). The 2-cells are generated by the squares of \( \mathcal{A} \). See [26, Proposition 3.4].

2.2. **Notions of equivalences in a double category.** As for 2-categories, a good notion of invertibility for a horizontal morphism in a double category is not given by that of an isomorphism, but rather by a weaker notion. Indeed, a double category has an underlying horizontal 2-category which contains all horizontal morphisms, and which we can use to define the notion of horizontal equivalences. Let us fix a double category \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Definition 2.2.1.** A horizontal morphism \( f : A \to B \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) is a **horizontal equivalence** if \( f \) is an equivalence in the 2-category \( \mathbf{H} \mathcal{A} \). In other words, \( f \) is a horizontal equivalence if we have the data \( (f, g, \eta, \epsilon) \) of horizontal morphisms \( f : A \to B \) and \( g : B \to A \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) and vertically invertible squares \( \eta \) and \( \epsilon \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) as depicted below.
Analogously, we also have a notion of **horizontal adjoint equivalence**.

**Definition 2.2.2.** A horizontal morphism \( f : A \to B \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) is a **horizontal adjoint equivalence** if \( f \) is an adjoint equivalence in the 2-category \( \mathcal{HA} \). In other words, \( f \) is a horizontal adjoint equivalence if it is a horizontal equivalence \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) in \( \mathcal{A} \) and, moreover, the squares \( \eta \) and \( \epsilon \) satisfy the following triangle identities.

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\eta} \begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{g} \begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{f} \begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

Since these horizontal equivalences are actually equivalences of a 2-category, we can apply Lemma 2.1.4 to obtain the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.3.** Every horizontal equivalence in a double category \( \mathcal{A} \) can be promoted to a horizontal adjoint equivalence.

In Definition 2.1.18, we described a 2-category \( \mathcal{VA} \) whose objects and morphisms are vertical morphisms and squares of \( \mathcal{A} \). By looking at the equivalences in this 2-category, we get a notion of weak invertibility for squares.

**Definition 2.2.4.** A square \( \alpha : (u^f, v) \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) is **weakly horizontally invertible** if \( \alpha \) is an equivalence in the 2-category \( \mathcal{VA} \). In other words, \( \alpha \) is weakly horizontally invertible if we have the data of a square \( \beta : (u^g, v) \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) together with vertically invertible squares \( \eta, \eta', \epsilon, \) and \( \epsilon' \) satisfying the following pasting equalities.

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\eta} \begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{id_u} \begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{g} \begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{g} \begin{array}{c}
A \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{g} \begin{array}{c}
A' \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \begin{array}{c}
B \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]
Note that the data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) and \((f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\) are horizontal equivalences in \(A\), and we call \(\beta\) a weak inverse of \(\alpha\) with respect to the horizontal equivalence data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) and \((f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\).

By applying Lemma 2.1.4 to the equivalences of the 2-category \(\mathcal{V}A\), we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.5.** Every weakly horizontally invertible square in a double category \(A\) can be promoted to a weakly horizontally invertible square whose horizontal equivalence data are horizontal adjoint equivalences.

**Proof.** A square \(\alpha: (u \xymatrix{f} v)\) is an adjoint equivalence in the 2-category \(\mathcal{V}A\) if and only if it is weakly horizontally invertible in \(A\) and the horizontal equivalence data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) and \((f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\) are horizontal adjoint equivalences. Then the result follows from Lemma 2.1.4. \(\square\)

**Remark 2.2.6.** If the horizontal equivalence data of a weakly horizontally invertible square are horizontal adjoint equivalences, we call it horizontal adjoint equivalence data.

With this terminology settled, we are now ready to define what will be the fibrant double categories in the considered model structure on DblCat.

**Definition 2.2.7.** A double category \(A\) is weakly horizontally invariant if for every pair of horizontal equivalences \(f: A \xymatrix{\Rightarrow} B\) and \(f': A' \xymatrix{\Rightarrow} B'\) and every vertical morphism \(v: B \xymatrix{\Rightarrow} B'\) in \(A\), there is a vertical morphism \(u: A \xymatrix{\Rightarrow} A'\) together with a weakly horizontally invertible square in \(A\) as depicted below.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \xymatrix{\Rightarrow} B \\
\downarrow^u \quad \quad \downarrow^v \\
A' \xymatrix{\Rightarrow} B'
\end{array}
\]

### 3. Model structures on 2Cat and DblCat

The category 2Cat admits a model structure in which the weak equivalences are the biequivalences, constructed by Lack in [22, 23]. In [25], we constructed a model structure on DblCat right-induced from two copies of Lack’s model structure along the functor \((H, \mathcal{V}): \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat} \times \text{2Cat} \). The horizontal embedding functor \(\mathbb{H}\) then creates Lack’s model structure from this model structure on DblCat. However, as mentioned in the introduction, this model structure is not well-behaved with respect to composition of vertical morphisms in a double category. Therefore, in [26], we constructed another model structure obtained by adding the inclusion \([0] \cup [0] \to \mathcal{V}[1]\) to the set of generating cofibrations and keeping the same weak equivalences. With this new model structure, the functor \(\mathbb{H}\) is not right Quillen anymore, but the functor \(\mathbb{H}^\mathbb{R}\) fulfills this role. While in the first model structure, all double categories were fibrant, the fibrant double categories of this new model structure are precisely the weakly horizontally invariant double categories.
In Section 3.1, we recall the main features of Lack’s model structure and, in Section 3.2, those of the model structure of [26]. In particular, we describe the generating (trivial) cofibrations and characterize the cofibrations of these model structures since these descriptions will be used to prove that the left adjoint to the double \((\infty, 1)\)-nerve is left Quillen.

3.1. Lack’s model structure for 2-categories. Let us first recall the definition of a biequivalence between 2-categories.

**Definition 3.1.1.** A 2-functor \(F: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}\) is a biequivalence if it is

(i) bi-essentially surjective on objects, i.e., for every object \(B \in \mathcal{B}\), there is an object \(A \in \mathcal{A}\) together with an equivalence \(B \cong FA\) in \(\mathcal{B}\),

(ii) essentially full on morphisms, i.e., for every morphism \(g: FA \to FC\) in \(\mathcal{B}\), there is a morphism \(f: A \to C\) in \(\mathcal{A}\) together with an invertible 2-cell \(g \sim Ff\) in \(\mathcal{B}\),

(iii) fully faithful on 2-cells, i.e., for every 2-cell \(\beta: Ff \Rightarrow Ff'\) in \(\mathcal{B}\), there is a unique 2-cell \(\alpha: f \Rightarrow f'\) in \(\mathcal{A}\) such that \(\beta = F\alpha\).

We introduce two sets of 2-functors which correspond to sets of generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations for Lack’s model structure on \(2\text{-Cat}\).

**Notation 3.1.2.** We denote by \(\mathcal{I}_2\) the set containing the following 2-functors:

(i) the unique map \(i_1: \emptyset \to [0]\),

(ii) the inclusion \(i_2: [0] \sqcup [0] \to [1]\),

(iii) the inclusion \(i_3: \delta C \to C\), where \(C\) is the free 2-category on a 2-cell, and \(\delta C\) is its sub-2-category containing the boundary of the 2-cell, i.e., it is free on two parallel morphisms,

(iv) the 2-functor \(i_4: C_2 \to C\) sending the two non-trivial 2-cells of \(C_2\) to the non-trivial 2-cell of \(C\), where \(C_2\) is the free 2-category on two parallel 2-cells.

We denote by \(\mathcal{J}_2\) the set containing the following 2-functors:

(i) the inclusion \(j_1: [0] \to E_{\text{adj}}\), where the 2-category \(E_{\text{adj}}\) is the “free-living adjoint equivalence”.

(ii) the inclusion \(j_2: [1] \to C_{\text{inv}}\), where the 2-category \(C_{\text{inv}}\) is the “free-living invertible 2-cell”.

We state the main features of Lack’s model structure.

**Theorem 3.1.3.** There is a cofibrantly generated model structure on \(2\text{-Cat}\), in which the weak equivalences are the biequivalences, and sets of generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations are given by \(\mathcal{I}_2\) and \(\mathcal{J}_2\), respectively. In particular, every 2-category is fibrant.

Moreover, the model structure \(2\text{-Cat}\) is monoidal with respect to the Gray tensor product \(\otimes_2\).

**Proof.** The existence of the model structure is given in [23, Theorem 4] (which is a slightly modified version of [22, Theorem 3.3]). The sets of generating (trivial) cofibrations are described at the beginning of [22, §3], and the monoidality is the content of [22, Theorem 7.5].

**Remark 3.1.4.** In particular, the model structure on \(2\text{-Cat}\) being monoidal with respect to \(\otimes_2\) implies that the pushout-product \(i \Box_{\otimes_2} i'\) (see Notation 2.1.3) of two cofibrations \(i\) and \(i'\) in \(2\text{-Cat}\) is a cofibration in \(2\text{-Cat}\), which is trivial if \(i\) or \(i'\) is a biequivalence.

The following results provide characterizations of cofibrations and of cofibrant objects in \(2\text{-Cat}\). We denote by \(U: 2\text{-Cat} \to \text{Cat}\) the functor sending a 2-category to its underlying category.
Proposition 3.1.5. A 2-functor $F: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a cofibration in $2\text{Cat}$ if and only if
(i) it is injective on objects and faithful on morphisms, and
(ii) the underlying category $U\mathcal{B}$ is a retract of a category obtained from the image of $U\mathcal{A}$ under $UF$ by freely adjoining objects and then morphisms between objects.

Proof. This follows from [22, Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.12].

Corollary 3.1.6. A 2-category $\mathcal{A}$ is cofibrant in $2\text{Cat}$ if and only if its underlying category $U\mathcal{A}$ is free.

Proof. This is given by [22, Theorem 4.8].

3.2. Model structure for weakly horizontally invariant double categories. We now present the weak equivalences, called double biequivalences, of the model structure on $\text{DblCat}$ of [26], which were first introduced in [25, Definition 3.7]. These correspond to the double functors which are sent by both $H$ and $V$ to biequivalences (see [25, Proposition 3.12]).

Definition 3.2.1. A double functor $F: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a double biequivalence if it is
(i) horizontally bi-essentially surjective on objects, i.e., for every object $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there is an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ together with a horizontal equivalence $B \xrightarrow{\sim} FA$ in $\mathcal{B}$,
(ii) essentially full on horizontal morphisms, i.e., for every horizontal morphism $g: FA \to FC$ in $\mathcal{B}$, there is a horizontal morphism $f: A \to C$ in $\mathcal{A}$ together with a vertically invertible square in $\mathcal{B}$
\[
\begin{array}{c}
FA \ar[r]^g & FC \\
\ar[ur] & \\
FA \ar[r]_{Ff} & FC,
\end{array}
\]
(iii) bi-essentially surjective on vertical morphisms, i.e., for every vertical morphism $v: B \leftrightarrow B'$ in $\mathcal{B}$, there is a vertical morphism $u: A \leftrightarrow A'$ in $\mathcal{A}$ together with a weakly horizontally invertible square
\[
\begin{array}{c}
B \ar[r]^\sim & FA \\
v \ar[ur] & \\
B' \ar[r]_{\sim} & FA',
\end{array}
\]
(iv) fully faithful on squares, i.e., for every square $\beta$ in $\mathcal{B}$ of the form
\[
\begin{array}{c}
FA \ar[r]^{Ff} & FC \\
Fv \ar[ur] & \\
FA' \ar[r]_{Ff'} & FC',
\end{array}
\]
there is a unique square $\alpha: (u f, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\beta = F\alpha$.

We introduce two sets of double functors which correspond to sets of generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations in the model structure on $\text{DblCat}$ of [26].

Notation 3.2.2. We denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set containing the following double functors:
(i) the unique map $I_1: \emptyset \to [0]$,
(ii) the inclusion $I_2: [0] \sqcup [0] \to \mathbb{H}[1]$,
(iii) the inclusion $I_3: [0] \sqcup [0] \to \mathbb{V}[1]$,
(iv) the inclusion $I_4: \delta S \to S$, where $S$ is the free double category on a square, and $\delta S$ is its sub-double category containing the boundary of the square, i.e. it is free on two horizontal morphisms and two vertical morphisms sharing some boundaries,
(v) the 2-functor $I_5: S_2 \to S$ sending the two non-trivial squares of $S_2$ to the non-trivial square of $S$, where $S_2$ is the free double category on two parallel squares.

We denote by $J$ the set containing the following double functors:

(i) the inclusion $J_1: [0] \to HE_{\text{adj}}$, where the 2-category $E_{\text{adj}}$ is the “free-living adjoint equivalence”,
(ii) the inclusion $J_2: H[1] \to HC_{\text{inv}}$, where the 2-category $C_{\text{inv}}$ is the “free-living invertible 2-cell”,
(iii) the inclusion $J_3: W^- \to W$, where the double category $W$ is the “free-living weakly horizontally invertible square with horizontal adjoint equivalence data”, and $W^-$ is its sub-double category as depicted below.

\[
\begin{align*}
W = & \begin{array}{c}
0 \xrightarrow{\sim} 1 \\
\downarrow & \sim & \downarrow \\
0' \xrightarrow{\sim} Y'
\end{array} & W^- = \begin{array}{c}
0 \xrightarrow{\sim} 1 \\
\downarrow & \sim & \downarrow \\
0' \xrightarrow{\sim} Y'
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

Theorem 3.2.3. There is a cofibrantly generated model structure on DblCat in which the weak equivalences are the double biequivalences, and sets of generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations are given by $I$ and $J$, respectively. In particular, the fibrant objects are precisely the weakly horizontally invariant double categories.

Moreover, the model structure on DblCat is monoidal with respect to the Gray tensor product $\otimes_G$, and it is therefore enriched over the model structure for 2-categories of Theorem 3.1.3 with respect to the $2\text{-Cat}$-enrichment $H[-,-]_{\text{ps}}$.

Proof. The existence of the model structure is given in [26, Theorem 2.19]. The fibrant objects are characterized in [26, Theorem 2.20], and the sets of generating (trivial) cofibrations are described in [26, Definitions 2.10 and 2.12]. The monoidality and enrichment are the content of [26, Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.5].

Remark 3.2.4. In particular, the model structure on DblCat being enriched over $2\text{-Cat}$ with respect to $H[-,-]_{\text{ps}}$ implies that the pushout-product $I \square \otimes i$ (see Notation 2.1.10) of a cofibration $I$ in DblCat and a cofibration $i$ in $2\text{-Cat}$ is a cofibration in DblCat, which is trivial if $I$ is a double biequivalence or $i$ is a biequivalence.

The following results state characterizations of cofibrations and cofibrant objects in DblCat.

Proposition 3.2.5. A double functor $F: A \to B$ is a cofibration in DblCat if and only if
(i) it is injective on objects and faithful on horizontal and vertical morphisms,
(ii) the horizontal underlying category $UH_B$ is a retract of a category obtained from the image of $UH_A$ under $UF$ by freely adjoining objects and then morphisms between objects, and
(iii) the vertical underlying category $UV_B$ is a retract of a category obtained from the image of $UV_A$ under $UF$ by freely adjoining objects and then morphisms between objects.

Proof. This is [26, Corollary 2.16].

Corollary 3.2.6. A double category $A$ is cofibrant in DblCat if and only if its underlying horizontal and vertical categories $UH_A$ and $UV_A$ are free.

Proof. This is [26, Corollary 2.17].
The horizontal embedding functor $\mathbb{H}$ is not right Quillen with respect to Lack’s model structure on $\mathcal{2}\text{Cat}$ and the model structure on $\mathcal{DblCat}$ of Theorem 3.2.3. However, its better suited homotopical version $\mathbb{H}^\simeq$ is such a right Quillen functor and it gives a homotopically full embedding of 2-categories into double categories. In particular, the double category $\mathbb{H}^\simeq\mathcal{A}$ associated to a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$ provides a fibrant replacement of the horizontal double category $\mathbb{H}\mathcal{A}$.

**Remark 3.2.7.** The functor $\mathbb{H} : \mathcal{2}\text{Cat} \to \mathcal{DblCat}$ is not right Quillen. Indeed, its left adjoint $L$ does not preserve cofibrations: it sends the cofibration $I_3 : [0] \sqcup [0] \to \mathbb{V}[1]$ to the 2-functor $L(I_3) : [0] \sqcup [0] \to [0]$ and this is not a cofibration in $\mathcal{2}\text{Cat}$ since it is not injective on objects. See also [26, Remark 3.12].

In [25], we first built another model structure on $\mathcal{DblCat}$ in which the weak equivalences are also the double biequivalences, but with all double categories fibrant. This model structure is Quillen equivalent to the model structure of Theorem 3.2.3 and is such that the adjunction $L \dashv \mathbb{H}$ is a Quillen pair. In particular, the double functor $I_3 : [0] \sqcup [0] \to \mathbb{V}[1]$ is not a cofibration in the model structure of [25].

**Theorem 3.2.8.** The adjunction

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{2}\text{Cat} & \overset{\mathbb{H}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{DblCat} \\
\downarrow L^\simeq & & \downarrow \mathbb{H}^\simeq \\
\end{array}
$$

is a Quillen pair between Lack’s model structure on $\mathcal{2}\text{Cat}$ and the model structure on $\mathcal{DblCat}$ for weakly horizontally invariant double categories. Moreover, the derived counit of this adjunction is level-wise a biequivalence in $\mathcal{2}\text{Cat}$.

**Proof.** This is [26, Theorem 3.6].

**Proposition 3.2.9.** The inclusion $\mathbb{H}\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{H}^\simeq\mathcal{A}$ is a double biequivalence and exhibits $\mathbb{H}^\simeq\mathcal{A}$ as a fibrant replacement of $\mathbb{H}\mathcal{A}$ in the model structure on $\mathcal{DblCat}$ for weakly horizontally invariant double categories.

**Proof.** This is [26, Proposition 3.13].

4. **Model structures for $(\infty, 2)$-categories and double $(\infty, 1)$-categories**

The model for $(\infty, 1)$-categories we are considering here is that of complete Segal spaces, due to Rezk [29]. An $(\infty, 2)$-category can then be defined as a Segal object in complete Segal spaces whose complete Segal space of objects is essentially discrete; this is the notion of 2-fold complete Segal space, due to Barwick [2]. Haugseng then defined in [16] a model for double $(\infty, 1)$-categories as the Segal objects in complete Segal spaces. The completeness condition for these objects is therefore in the vertical direction. The model of double $(\infty, 1)$-categories we will use here requires completeness in the horizontal direction instead, so that the embedding of $(\infty, 2)$-categories into double $(\infty, 1)$-categories is compatible with the homotopical horizontal embedding of 2-categories into double categories after applying the nerves. However, these two models of double $(\infty, 1)$-categories are Quillen equivalent through a transpose functor.

In Section 4.1, we give the definition of horizontally complete double $(\infty, 1)$-categories and show that they are the fibrant objects in a model structure on bisimplicial spaces. Then, in Section 4.2 we recall the definition of 2-fold complete Segal spaces and show how to obtain the model structure on bisimplicial spaces in which they are the fibrant objects by localizing the model structure for horizontally complete double $(\infty, 1)$-categories. The construction of these two model structures are inspired from constructions given by Bergner and Rezk in [3]. In particular, the model structure for 2-fold complete Segal spaces is precisely the model structure of [3, Corollary 7.2] for $n = 2$ and $i = 1$. 
4.1. Model structures for double (∞,1)-categories. Let us denote by sSet the category of simplicial sets and by Δ the simplex category. We endow the category sSet with the Quillen model structure, constructed in [28]. Then we consider the Reedy or injective model structure on sSet^Δ^{op} × Δ, which coincide by results of Bergner and Rezk; see [3] Proposition 3.15 and Corollary 4.5]. This allows us to describe both the (trivial) cofibrations and the fibrant objects of this model structure.

The objects of study here are bisimplicial spaces, i.e., trisimplicial sets, and we introduce notations for the representables in each of the three copies of Δ^{op}.

**Notation 4.1.1.** We denote by R[m], F[k], and Δ[n] the representable bisimplicial spaces in the first, second or third variable respectively. The first direction is called the horizontal direction, the second the vertical direction, and the last one the space direction. We also denote by ℓ^{R}_{m}: δR[m] → R[m], ℓ^{F}_{k}: δF[k] → F[k], and ℓ^{Δ}_{n}: δΔ[n] → Δ[n] their boundary inclusions, and by ℓ^{Δ}_{n,t}: Λ^{t}[n] → Δ[n] the (n,t)-horn inclusion in Δ[n].

**Notation 4.1.2.** Given two maps f: X → Y and f': X' → Y' in sSet^Δ^{op} × Δ^{op}, we denote by f □_{×} f' their pushout product

\[ f □_{×} f': Y × X' \coprod_{X × X'} X × Y' → Y × Y'. \]

**Remark 4.1.3.** A set of generating cofibrations for the Reedy/injective model structure on sSet^Δ^{op} × Δ^{op} is given by the collection of maps

\[ (ℓ^{R}_{m}: δR[m] → R[m]) □_{×} (ℓ^{F}_{k}: δF[k] → F[k]) \coprod_{X × Y'} X × Y' \]

for m, k, n ≥ 0, and a set of generating trivial cofibrations by the collection of maps

\[ (ℓ^{R}_{m}: δR[m] → R[m]) □_{×} (ℓ^{F}_{k}: δF[k] → F[k]) \coprod_{X × Y'} X × Y' \]

for m, k ≥ 0, n ≥ 1, and 0 ≤ t ≤ n. In particular, the cofibrations are precisely the monomorphisms.

**Definition 4.1.4.** A bisimplicial space X: Δ^{op} × Δ^{op} → sSet is Reedy fibrant if the map

\[ X_{m,k} ≃ \text{Map}(R[m] × F[k], X) \rightarrow \text{Map}(δR[m] × F[k], X) \coprod_{R[m] × δF[k]} R[m] × δF[k], X), \]

induced by ℓ^{R}_{m} □_{×} ℓ^{F}_{k}, is a Kan fibration in sSet, where Map(−, −) denotes the mapping simplicial set in sSet^Δ^{op} × Δ^{op}.

We also introduce the following notation.

**Notation 4.1.5.** We denote by N^R: Cat → (Δ^{op}×3) the discrete nerve constant in the vertical and space directions. It is given by (N^R C)m,k,n = Cat([m], C) at a category C.

**Example 4.1.6.** Let I = \{x \cong y\} ∈ Cat be the “free-living isomorphism”. Its discrete nerve is given by (N^R I)m,k,n = Cat([m], I). In particular, a functor [m] → I can be described as a word of m letters in \{x, y\}. For example, when m = 0, we have that (N^R I)0,k,n = \{x, y\}; and, when m = 1, (N^R I)1,k,n = \{xx, xy, yx, yy\} where xx and yy are degenerate and represent the identities at x and y, and xy and yx represent the two inverse morphisms between x and y. In particular, for X ∈ sSet^Δ^{op} × Δ^{op} and k ≥ 0 such that X_{−,k} ∈ sSet^Δ^{op} is a Segal space, then

\[ \text{Map}(N^R I × F[k], X) ≃ (X_{1,k})^{heq} \]

is the space of homotopy equivalences in X_{1,k}, as described in [29] §5.7].

We now present the ∞-version of double categories of use in this paper.
Definition 4.1.7. A horizontally complete double \((\infty, 1)\)-category is a bisimplicial space \(X: \Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op} \to sSet\) such that

(i) \(X\) is Reedy/injective fibrant,

(ii) \(X_{m,-}: \Delta^{op} \to sSet\) is a Segal space, for every \(m \geq 0\), i.e., the Segal maps

\[
\Map(F[k], X_{m,-}) \cong X_{m,k} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{m,1} \times \cdots \times X_{m,1} \cong \Map(F[1] \sqcup \cdots \sqcup F[1], X_{m,-})
\]

induced by the maps \(\{i, i + 1\}: [1] \to [k]\) of \(\Delta\) for \(0 \leq i \leq k - 1\) are weak equivalences in \(sSet\), for all \(m, k \geq 0\),

(iii) \(X_{-k}: \Delta^{op} \to sSet\) is a complete Segal space, for every \(k \geq 0\), i.e., the Segal maps

\[
\Map(R[m], X_{-k}) \cong X_{m,k} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{1,k} \times \cdots \times X_{1,k} \cong \Map(R[1] \sqcup \cdots \sqcup R[1], X_{-k})
\]

induced by the maps \(\{j, j + 1\}: [1] \to [m]\) of \(\Delta\) for \(0 \leq j \leq m - 1\) are weak equivalences in \(sSet\), for all \(m, k \geq 0\), and the map

\[
\Map(N^R I, X_{-k}) \cong (X_{1,k})^\heq \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{0,k} \cong \Map(R[0], X_{-k})
\]

induced by the inclusion \(x: [0] \to I = \{x \equiv y\}\) into the “free-living isomorphism”, is a weak equivalence in \(sSet\), for all \(k \geq 0\).

We are making a slight abuse of notation in (ii) and (iii) since \(F[k]\), \(R[m]\), and \(N^R I\) are bisimplicial spaces (rather than simplicial spaces) which are constant in the horizontal or vertical direction. For a careful definition of a complete Segal space, we refer the reader to [29].

We obtain a model structure on \(sSet^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}\) for horizontally complete double \((\infty, 1)\)-category by localizing the Reedy/injective model structure with respect to monomorphisms, i.e., cofibrations, with respect to which being local corresponds precisely to satisfying conditions (ii) and (iii) of the above definition.

Theorem 4.1.8. There is a model structure on \(sSet^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}\), denoted by \(\text{DblCat}^\infty\), obtained as a left Bousfield localization of the Reedy/injective model structure in which the fibrant objects are precisely the horizontally complete double \((\infty, 1)\)-categories.

Proof. We localize the Reedy/injective model structure with respect to the cofibrations

- \(\text{id}_{R[m]} \times g^F_k: R[m] \times G[k] \to R[m] \times F[k]\), for all \(m, k \geq 0\), where \(g^F_k\) is the inclusion

\[
g^F_k: G[k] = F[1] \sqcup F[0] \sqcup \cdots \sqcup F[0] \to F[k]
\]

induced by the maps \(\{i, i + 1\}: [1] \to [k]\) in \(\Delta\), for \(0 \leq i \leq k - 1\),

- \(\text{id}_{F[k]} \times q^R_m: Q[m] \times F[k] \to R[m] \times F[k]\), for all \(m, k \geq 0\), where \(q^R_m\) is the inclusion

\[
q^R_m: Q[m] = R[1] \sqcup R[0] \sqcup \cdots \sqcup R[0] \to R[m]
\]

induced by the maps \(\{j, j + 1\}: [1] \to [m]\) in \(\Delta\), for \(0 \leq j \leq m - 1\),

- \(e^R \times \text{id}_{F[k]}: F[k] \cong R[0] \times F[k] \to N^R I \times F[k]\), for all \(k \geq 0\), where \(e^R\) is the inclusion \(e^R: R[0] \to N^R I\) induced by the functor \(x: [0] \to I = \{x \equiv y\}\), where the category \(I\) is the “free-living isomorphism” and \(N^R I\) is its discrete nerve constant in the vertical and space directions.

The existence of this model structure is given by [17] Theorem 4.1.1. Moreover, a Reedy/injective fibrant bisimplicial set is local with respect to this collection of maps if and only if it is a horizontally complete double \((\infty, 1)\)-category.

Remark 4.1.9. We could also have defined a notion of double \((\infty, 1)\)-category, where the completeness is in the vertical direction. These correspond to the Segal objects in complete Segal spaces defined by Haugseng in [16] Definition 2.2.2.1. Let us denote by \(\text{DblCat}^\infty\) the model structure for these vertically complete double \((\infty, 1)\)-category which can be
obtained by localizing the Reedy/injective model structure on sSet$^{\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}}$ analogously to above. Then the functor
\[ t : \Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op} \to \Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}, \quad ([m], [k]) \mapsto ([k], [m]) \]
swapping the two copies of $\Delta^\text{op}$ induces a functor $t^\ast : \text{sSet}^{\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}} \to \text{sSet}^{\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}}$, and we get a Quillen equivalence
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{DblCat}_\infty^h & \mathop{\simeq}^t & \text{DblCat}_\infty^v \\
\mathop{\perp}^t & & \mathop{\perp}^t
\end{array}
\]
between the two model structures for double $(\infty,1)$-categories. This functor $t^\ast$ can be thought of as a transpose functor.

4.2. Model structure for 2-fold complete Segal spaces. Before defining 2-fold complete Segal spaces, we first introduce the following notation for the discrete nerve in the vertical direction.

**Notation 4.2.1.** We denote by $N^F : \text{Cat} \to \text{Set}^{(\Delta^\text{op}) \times \Delta}$ the discrete nerve constant in the horizontal and space directions. It is given by $(N^F C)_{m,k,n} = \text{Cat}([k], C)$ at a category $C$.

**Definition 4.2.2.** A 2-fold complete Segal space (or $(\infty,2)$-category) is a bisimplicial space $X : \Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op} \to \text{sSet}$ such that

(i) $X$ is Reedy/injective fibrant,

(ii) $X_{m,-} : \Delta^\text{op} \to \text{sSet}$ is a complete Segal space, for every $m \geq 0$, i.e., we have the Segal condition as in Definition 4.1.7 (ii), and the map
\[
\text{Map}(N^F I, X_{m,-}) \cong (X_{m,1})^\text{heq} \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{m,0} \cong \text{Map}(F[0], X_{m,-})
\]
induced by the inclusion $x : [0] \to I = \{x \cong y\}$ into the “free-living isomorphism” is a weak equivalence in $\text{sSet}$, for all $m \geq 0$,

(iii) $X_{-,k} : \Delta^\text{op} \to \text{sSet}$ is a complete Segal space, for every $k \geq 0$,

(iv) $X_{0,-} : \Delta^\text{op} \to \text{sSet}$ is essentially constant, for all $k \geq 0$, i.e., the map
\[
\text{Map}(F[k], X_{0,-}) \cong X_{0,k} \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{0,0} \cong \text{Map}(F[0], X_{0,-})
\]
induced by the map $0 : [0] \to [k]$ in $\Delta$ is a weak equivalence in $\text{sSet}$, for all $k \geq 0$.

We are making a slight abuse of notation in (ii) and (iv) since $F[k]$ and $N^F I$ are bisimplicial spaces (rather than simplicial spaces) which are constant in the horizontal direction.

We obtain a model structure for 2-fold complete Segal spaces as a left Bousfield localization of the model structure $\text{DblCat}_\infty^h$, obtained analogously to above. Then the functor $t^\ast$ is a weak equivalence in $\text{sSet}$, for all $m \geq 0$.

**Theorem 4.2.3.** There is a model structure on $\text{sSet}^{\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}}$, denoted by $2\text{CSS}$, obtained as a left Bousfield localization of the model structure $\text{DblCat}_\infty^h$ for horizontally complete double categories in which the fibrant objects are precisely the 2-fold complete Segal spaces, i.e., the $(\infty,2)$-categories.

**Proof.** We localize the model structure $\text{DblCat}_\infty^h$ with respect to the cofibrations

- $\text{id}_{R[m]} \times e^F : R[m] \times e^F(0) \to R[m] \times F[0]$, for all $m \geq 0$, where $e^F$ is the inclusion $e^F : F[0] \to N^F I$ induced by the functor $x : [0] \to I = \{x \cong y\}$, where the category $I$ is the “free-living isomorphism” and $N^F I$ is its discrete nerve constant in the horizontal and space directions,

- $e_k : F[0] \to F[k]$, for all $k \geq 0$, induced by the map $0 : [0] \to [k]$ in $\Delta$.

The existence of this model structure is given by [17] Theorem 4.1.1]. Moreover, a horizontally complete double $(\infty,1)$-category is local with respect to this collection of maps if and only if it is a 2-fold complete Segal space. \qed
The following result is obtained as a direct consequence of the fact that $2CSS$ is a localization of $DblCat^h_\infty$.

**Corollary 4.2.4.** The identity adjunction on $sSet^{\Delta^op \times \Delta^op}$ is a Quillen pair

$$2CSS \xleftarrow{id} \xrightarrow{id} DblCat^h_\infty.$$ 

Moreover, the (derived) counit is level-wise a weak equivalence. In particular, this gives a homotopically full embedding of $2CSS$ into $DblCat^h_\infty$.

5. Nerve of double categories

This section gives a construction of a nerve functor from double categories to bisimplicial spaces. In Section 5.1, we define the nerve and its left adjoint, and in Section 5.2, we show that they form a Quillen pair between the model structure on $DblCat$ for weakly horizontally invariant double categories and the model structure $DblCat^h_\infty$ for horizontally complete double $(\infty,1)$-categories. Once this fact is established, we prove in Section 5.3 that the nerve functor is homotopically fully faithful, by showing that the (derived) counit of this adjunction is level-wise a double biequivalence. Finally, in Section 5.4, we show that the nerve of a double category is almost fibrant; namely, it satisfies all conditions of a horizontally complete double $(\infty,1)$-category except for the Reedy/injective fibrancy in the vertical condition. This latter condition is satisfied by the nerve if and only if the double category considered is weakly horizontally invariant.

5.1. Definition of the nerve. To define the nerve we make use of truncated versions of the $n$-orientals $O(n)$, introduced by Street in [32]. More precisely:

**Definition 5.1.1.** For $n \geq 0$, let $O_2(n)$ denote the 2-truncated $n$-oriental. It is the 2-category described by the following data:

(i) its set of objects is given by $\{0, \ldots, n\}$,

(ii) for $0 \leq x, x' \leq n$, its hom-category $O_2(n)(x, x')$ is given by the poset

$$O_2(n)(x, x') = \begin{cases} \{I \subseteq [x, x'] \mid x, x' \in I\} & \text{if } x' \leq x \\ \emptyset & \text{if } x > x' \end{cases}$$

where $[x, x'] = \{y \in \{0, \ldots, n\} \mid x \leq y \leq x'\}$.

We define the 2-category $\widetilde{O_2}(n)$ obtained from $O_2(n)$ by formally inverting every 2-cell, and we define the 2-category $\overset{\sim}{O_2}(n)$ obtained from $\widetilde{O_2}(n)$ by formally making every morphism into an adjoint equivalence.

In order to have a better sense of what these 2-categories look like, we describe the lower cases.

**Example 5.1.2.** For $n = 0$, the 2-categories $O_2(0)$, $\widetilde{O_2}(0)$, and $\overset{\sim}{O_2}(0)$ are all given by the terminal (2-)category $\{0\}$.

For $n = 1$, the 2-categories $O_2(1)$ and $\widetilde{O_2}(1)$ are both given by the free (2-)category $[1]$ on a morphism, while the 2-category $\overset{\sim}{O_2}(1)$ is the “free-living adjoint equivalence” $E_{\text{adj}}$.

For $n = 2$, the 2-categories $O_2(2)$, $\widetilde{O_2}(2)$, and $\overset{\sim}{O_2}(2)$ are generated, respectively, by the following data,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \overset{1}{\to} & 2 \\
\uparrow & \searrow & \\
& 1 & \\
0 & \overset{1}{\to} & 2 \\
\uparrow & \searrow & \\
& 1 & \\
0 & \overset{1}{\to} & 2 \\
\end{array}$$
where \( \cong \) denotes the data of an adjoint equivalence.

For \( n = 3 \), the 2-category \( O_2(3) \) is generated by the following data

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \rightarrow & 2 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & 3
\end{array}
\]

and the 2-category \( O_2^{-}(3) \) is given by the corresponding 2-category with all 2-cells invertible, while the 2-category \( \widetilde{O}_2(3) \) is given by the corresponding 2-category with all morphisms being adjoint equivalences and all 2-cells being invertible.

The nerve functor is then defined as the right adjoint of left Kan extension of the following tricosimplicial double category along the Yoneda embedding. Recall the tensoring functor \( \otimes : \text{DblCat} \times \text{2Cat} \rightarrow \text{DblCat} \) introduced in Definition 2.1.15.

**Definition 5.1.3.** We define the tricosimplicial double category

\[
\mathbb{X} : \Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \text{DblCat}
\]

\[
([m],[k],[n]) \mapsto \mathbb{X}_{m,k,n} := (V O^{-}_2(k) \otimes O^{-}_2(m)) \otimes \widetilde{O}_2(n),
\]

where the cosimplicial maps are induced by the ones of the cosimplicial objects

\[
\Delta \rightarrow \text{DblCat} \quad \Delta \rightarrow \text{2Cat}
\]

\[
[k] \mapsto V O^{-}_2(k), \quad [m] \mapsto O^{-}_2(m), \quad \text{and} \quad [n] \mapsto \widetilde{O}_2(n).
\]

**Proposition 5.1.4.** The tricosimplicial double category \( \mathbb{X} \) induces an adjunction

\[
\Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta \xrightarrow{\mathbb{X}} \text{DblCat}
\]

where \( \mathbb{C} \) is the left Kan extension of \( \mathbb{X} \) along the Yoneda embedding, and we have that

\[
(N \mathbb{A})_{m,k,n} \cong \text{DblCat}((V O^{-}_2(k) \otimes O^{-}_2(m)) \otimes \widetilde{O}_2(n), \mathbb{A}),
\]

for all \( \mathbb{A} \in \text{DblCat} \) and all \( m,k,n \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** This is a direct application of [8, Theorem 1.1.10]. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.1.5.** As expected from a nerve construction, the 0-simplices of the simplicial set \((N \mathbb{A})_{0,0}\) are given by the objects of \( \mathbb{A} \), the ones of \((N \mathbb{A})_{1,0}\) by the horizontal morphisms of \( \mathbb{A} \), the ones of \((N \mathbb{A})_{0,1}\) by the vertical morphisms of \( \mathbb{A} \), and the ones of \((N \mathbb{A})_{1,1}\) by the squares of \( \mathbb{A} \). These can therefore be thought of as the spaces of objects, horizontal morphisms, vertical morphisms, and squares. For a description of the 1- and 2-simplices of these simplicial sets, we refer the reader to Appendix B.1. For \( m \geq 2 \) or \( k \geq 2 \), the simplicial sets \((N \mathbb{A})_{m,k}\) witness “compositions” in \( \mathbb{A} \) of the data above.

**Remark 5.1.6.** Since \( \mathbb{C} \) is the left Kan extension of \( \mathbb{X} \) along the Yoneda embedding, it is given on representables by \( \mathbb{C}(F[k] \times R[m] \times \Delta[n]) = \mathbb{X}_{m,k,n} \). In particular, we have that

\[
\mathbb{C}(F[k]) = V O^{-}_2(k), \quad \mathbb{C}(R[m]) = \mathbb{H}O^{-}_2(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}(\Delta[n]) = \mathbb{H}\widetilde{O}_2(n).
\]

We also introduce a functor \( \mathbb{C}^\ast \), which takes values in 2-categories and coincides with \( \mathbb{C} \) in the horizontal and space directions. Here \( \otimes_2 : \text{2Cat} \times \text{2Cat} \rightarrow \text{2Cat} \) is the Gray tensor product; see Definition 2.1.5.
Notation 5.1.7. We denote by $\mathbb{X}: \Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta \to \mathbf{2Cat}$ the tricosimplicial 2-category given by $\mathbb{X}_{m,k,n} := O^2_\Delta(m) \otimes O_2(n)$, and by $\mathbb{Y}: \text{Set}^{(\Delta^\op)^3} \to \mathbf{2Cat}$ the left Kan extension of $\mathbb{X}$ along the Yoneda embedding.

Remark 5.1.8. Note that $\mathbb{X}_{m,0,n} = \mathbb{H} \mathbb{X}_{m,0,n}$. Therefore, if $X \in \text{Set}^{(\Delta^\op)\times 3}$ is constant in the vertical direction, then $\mathbb{Y}X = \mathbb{H} \mathbb{Y}X$. In particular, we have that $\mathbb{Y}(R[m]) = \mathbb{H} \mathbb{Y}(R[m])$ and $\mathbb{Y}(\Delta[n]) = \mathbb{H} \mathbb{Y}(\Delta[n])$, where $\mathbb{Y}(R[m]) = O^2_\Delta(m)$ and $\mathbb{Y}(\Delta[n]) = O_2(n)$.

5.2. The nerve $N$ is right Quillen. We now want to prove that the adjunction $\mathbb{C} \dashv N$ is a Quillen pair between $\mathbf{DblCat}$ and $\mathbf{DblCat}_{\infty}$. To prove this result, we will make use of the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be model categories and suppose that $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{M} \perp \mathcal{U}$ is a Quillen pair. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a set of cofibrations in $\mathcal{M}$ such that the left Bousfield localization $L_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{C}$ exists. If $\mathcal{U}$ sends every morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ to a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{N}$, then the adjunction $\mathcal{N} L_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \perp \mathcal{U}$ is also a Quillen pair.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [17, Theorem 3.3.20], since the localization of $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to maps in $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{N}$ itself as maps in $\mathcal{C}$ are already weak equivalences in $\mathcal{N}$. □

To apply this theorem, we first show that $\mathbb{C} \dashv \mathbb{N}$ is a Quillen pair between the model structure on $\mathbf{DblCat}$ and the Reedy/injective model structure on $\mathbf{sSet}^{\Delta^\op \times \Delta^\op}$.

Proposition 5.2.2. The adjunction $\mathbf{DblCat} \leftarrow \mathbf{N} \mathbf{C}$ is a Quillen pair between the model structure on $\mathbf{DblCat}$ of Theorem 3.2.3 and the Reedy/injective model structure on $\mathbf{sSet}^{\Delta^\op \times \Delta^\op}$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $\mathbb{C}$ sends generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations in $\mathbf{sSet}^{\Delta^\op \times \Delta^\op}$ to cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in $\mathbf{DblCat}$, respectively. Recall from Remark 4.1.3 that generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations are given by pushout-product of maps $(\iota_k^F \Box \iota_m^R) \Box \iota_n^\Delta$ and $(\iota_k^F \Box \iota_m^R) \Box \iota_{n,t}^\Delta$, respectively. Note that the map $\iota_k^F$ is constant in the horizontal and space directions, the map $\iota_m^R$ is constant in the vertical and space directions, and the maps $\iota_n^\Delta$ and $\iota_{n,t}^\Delta$ are constant in the horizontal and vertical directions. Therefore, since the functor $\mathbb{C}$ preserves colimits and by Remark 5.1.8, we have that

$$\mathbb{C}((\iota_k^F \Box \iota_m^R) \Box \iota_n^\Delta) \cong (\mathbb{C} \iota_k^F \Box \mathbb{C} \iota_m^R) \Box \iota_n^\Delta \cong (\mathbb{C} \iota_k^F \Box \mathbb{C} \iota_m^R) \Box \iota_n^\Delta,$$

and similarly for $\iota_{n,t}^\Delta$ in place of $\iota_n^\Delta$. Since the model structure $\mathbf{DblCat}$ is enriched over $\mathbf{2Cat}$, pushout-products of cofibrations with respect to $\Box$ are cofibrations, which are trivial if one of the maps involved is a weak equivalence, by Remark 5.2.4. Therefore, it
is enough to show that $C_k^F$ is a cofibration in DblCat, for all $k \geq 0$, that $C_m^R$ and $C_n^Δ$ are cofibrations in 2Cat, for all $m, n \geq 0$, and that $C_n^Δ$ is a trivial cofibration in 2Cat, for all $n \geq 1, 0 \leq t \leq n$. These statements are verified in Lemmas 5.2.5 to 5.2.7. □

To prove that the boundary and horn inclusions mentioned above are sent to cofibrations in 2Cat and DblCat, we introduce the following definitions of the boundary of $O_2(n)$ and the $(n, t)$-horn of $O_2(n)$, which will be used to describe the images under $C$ of the boundary and horn inclusions.

**Definition 5.2.3.** For $n \geq 0$, we define the boundary 2-category $δO_2(n)$ as the coequalizer in 2Cat

$$\bigsqcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} O_2(n - 2) \rightrightarrows \bigsqcup_{0 \leq i \leq n} O_2(n - 1) \to δO_2(n),$$

where the maps in the $(i, j)$-copy are induced by the cosimplicial identities $d^r d^s = d^{r-1} d^s$, where $d^r : O_2(n - 2) \to O_2(n - 1)$ and $d^s : O_2(n - 1) \to O_2(n)$ denote the face maps for $r = i, j$ and $s = i, j - 1$. In particular, there is an inclusion $δO_2(n) \to O_2(n)$ induced by the face maps $d^r : O_2(n - 1) \to O_2(n)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. More explicitly, these 2-categories are given by the following:

- for $n = 0$, $δO_2(0) = \emptyset$ with $δO_2(0) = \emptyset \to O_2(0) = [0]$ given by the unique map,
- for $n = 1$, $δO_2(1) = [0] \sqcup [0]$ with $δO_2(1) = [0] \sqcup [0] \to O_2(1) = [1]$ given by including the two copies of $[0]$ as the two endpoints of the morphism in $[1]$,
- for $n = 2$, $δO_2(2)$ is the sub-2-category of $O_2(2)$ where the 2-cell is missing and the inclusion $δO_2(2) \to O_2(2)$ is given by the following.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & 2 \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 2
\end{array}
$$

- for $n = 3$, $δO_2(3)$ is the sub-2-category of $O_2(3)$ where only the equality between the two pasting diagrams in $O_2(3)$ – as depicted in Example 5.1.2 – is missing,
- for $n \geq 4$, $δO_2(n) = O_2(n)$.

Similarly, we define the boundary 2-categories $δO^{\ast}_2(n)$ and $δ\widetilde{O}_2(n)$.

**Definition 5.2.4.** For $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq n$, we define the $(n, t)$-horn 2-category $Λ^tO_2(n)$ as the coequalizer in 2Cat

$$\bigsqcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq n \atop i \neq t, j \neq t} O_2(n - 2) \rightrightarrows \bigsqcup_{0 \leq i \leq n \atop i \neq t} O_2(n - 1) \to Λ^tO_2(n),$$

where the maps in the $(i, j)$-copy are induced by the cosimplicial identities $d^r d^s = d^{r-1} d^s$, where $d^r : O_2(n - 2) \to O_2(n - 1)$ and $d^s : O_2(n - 1) \to O_2(n)$ denote the face maps for $r = i, j$ and $s = i, j - 1$. In particular, there is an inclusion $Λ^tO_2(n) \to O_2(n)$ induced by the face maps $d^r : O_2(n - 1) \to O_2(n)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n, i \neq t$. More explicitly, these 2-categories are given by the following:

- for $n = 1$, $Λ^tO_2(1) = [0]$ with $Λ^tO_2(1) = [0] \to O_2(1) = [1]$ given by the inclusion of $[0]$ at the source of the morphism in $[1]$ if $t = 1$ and at the target if $t = 0$,
- for $n = 2$, $Λ^2O_2(2)$, $Λ^1O_2(2)$, and $Λ^0O_2(2)$ are generated, respectively, by the following data

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \to & 2 \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \to & 2 \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \to & 2 \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 2
\end{array}
$$

with the obvious inclusions into $O_2(2)$,
for \( n = 3 \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq 3 \), \( \Lambda^t O_2(3) \) is the sub-2-category where the equality between the two pasting diagrams in \( O_2(3) \) and the 2-cell opposite to the object \( t \) are missing. For example, when \( t = 0 \), the inclusion \( \Lambda^0 O_2(3) \to O_2(3) \) is given by the following.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \to & 2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 3
\end{array}
\quad \to \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \to & 2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 3
\end{array}
\quad = \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \to & 2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 3
\end{array}
\]

for \( n \geq 4 \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq n \), \( \Lambda^t O_2(n) = O_2(n) \).

Similarly, we define the \((n,t)\)-horn 2-categories \( \Lambda^t O_\sim_2(n) \) and \( \Lambda^t \tilde{O}_2(n) \).

We are now ready to prove the promised lemmas which complete the proof of Proposition 5.2.2.

**Lemma 5.2.5.** For all \( k \geq 0 \), the double functor \( \mathbb{C}(\iota^F_k) : \mathbb{C}(\delta F[k]) \to \mathbb{C}(F[k]) \) is a cofibration in \( \text{DblCat} \).

**Proof.** We have that \( \delta F[k] \) is defined as the coequalizer in \( \text{sSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}} \)

\[
\bigsqcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} F[k - 2] \rightrightarrows \bigsqcup_{0 \leq i \leq n} F[k - 1] \to \delta F[k],
\]

where the maps in the \((i,j)\)-copy are induced by the cosimplicial identities \( d^i d^j = d^j - 1 d^i \).

By construction of \( \delta O_\sim_2(k) \) (see Definition 5.2.3), by Remark 5.1.6, and since \( \mathbb{C} \) preserves colimits, we find that \( \mathbb{C}(\delta F[k]) = \mathbb{V} \delta O_\sim_2(k) \) and \( \mathbb{C}(F[k]) = \mathbb{V} O_\sim_2(k) \), for all \( k \geq 0 \). Therefore, the double functors \( \mathbb{C}(\iota^F_k) \) are given by

- for \( k = 0 \), the generating cofibration \( I_1 : \emptyset \to [0] \),
- for \( k = 1 \), the generating cofibration \( I_3 : [0] \sqcup [0] \to \mathbb{V}[1] \),
- for \( k = 2 \), the inclusion

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \to & 1
\end{array}
\]

which is a cofibration by Proposition 5.2.5 since it is the identity on underlying horizontal and vertical categories,

- for \( k = 3 \), the inclusion \( \mathbb{V} \delta O_\sim_2(3) \to \mathbb{V} O_\sim_2(3) \), which is a cofibration by Proposition 5.2.5 since it is the identity on underlying horizontal and vertical categories,

- for \( k \geq 4 \), the identity.

This shows that the double functor \( \mathbb{C}(\iota^F_k) \) is a cofibration in \( \text{DblCat} \), for all \( k \geq 0 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.2.6.** For all \( m, n \geq 0 \), the 2-functors \( \mathbb{T}(\iota^R_m) : \mathbb{T}(\delta R[m]) \to \mathbb{T}(R[m]) \) and \( \mathbb{T}(\iota^\Delta_n) : \mathbb{T}(\delta \Delta[n]) \to \mathbb{T}(\Delta[n]) \) are cofibrations in \( \text{2Cat} \).

**Proof.** We first prove the statement for \( \mathbb{T}(\iota^R_m) \). As in the proof of Lemma 5.2.5 and by Remark 5.1.8 we find that

\[
\mathbb{T}(\delta R[m]) = \delta O_\sim_2(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}(R[m]) = O_\sim_2(m),
\]

for all \( m \geq 0 \). Therefore, the 2-functors \( \mathbb{T}(\iota^R_m) \) are given by

- for \( m = 0 \), the generating cofibration \( \iota_1 : \emptyset \to [0] \),
• for $m = 1$, the generating cofibration $i_2: [0] \sqcup [0] \to [1]$,
• for $m = 2$, the inclusion $\delta O_2^R(2) \to \tilde{O}_2^R(2)$, which is a cofibration by Proposition 3.1.5 since it is the identity on underlying categories,
• for $m = 3$, the inclusion $\delta O_2^R(3) \to \tilde{O}_2^R(3)$, which is a cofibration by Proposition 3.1.5 since it is the identity on underlying categories,
• for $m \geq 4$, the identity.

Therefore, the $2$-functor $\mathcal{T}(i_m^R)$ is a cofibration in $2$Cat, for all $m \geq 0$.

We now prove the statement for $\mathcal{T}(i_n^A)$. As above, we find that

$$\mathcal{T}(\delta \Delta[n]) = \delta \tilde{O}_2(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}(\Delta[n]) = \tilde{O}_2(n),$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore the $2$-functors $\mathcal{T}(i_n^A): \delta \tilde{O}_2(n) \to \tilde{O}_2(n)$ can be described as the $2$-functors $\mathcal{T}(i_m^R)$ above, but where all the morphisms of the $2$-categories in play are adjoint equivalences. In particular, the $2$-functor $\mathcal{T}(i_n^A)$ is also a cofibration in $2$Cat, for all $n \geq 0$.

**Lemma 5.2.7.** For all $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq n$, the $2$-functor $\mathcal{T}(t_n^A): \tilde{\Lambda}^t[n] \to \mathcal{T}(\Delta[n])$ is a trivial cofibration in $2$Cat.

**Proof.** We have that $\Lambda^t[n]$ is defined as the coequalizer in $\text{sSet}^{\Delta^{op}} \times \Delta^{op}$

$$\bigsqcup_{0 \leq i < j \leq n, i \neq t, j \neq t} \Delta[n-2] \Rightarrow \bigsqcup_{0 \leq i \leq n, i \neq t} \Delta[n-1] \to \Lambda^t[n],$$

where the maps in the $(i,j)$-copy are induced by the cosimplicial identities $d^i d^j = d^{i-1} d^j$.

By construction of $\tilde{\Lambda}^t \tilde{O}_2(n)$ (see Definition 5.2.1), by Remark 5.1.8 and since $\mathcal{T}$ preserves colimits, we find that

$$\mathcal{T}(\Lambda^t[n]) = \tilde{\Lambda}^t \tilde{O}_2(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}(\Delta[n]) = \tilde{O}_2(n),$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq n$. Therefore, the $2$-functors $\mathcal{T}(t_n^A): \tilde{\Lambda}^t \tilde{O}_2(n) \to \tilde{O}_2(n)$ are given by

• for $n = 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the generating trivial cofibration $j_1: [0] \to \tilde{O}_2(1) = E_{\text{adj}}$, including $[0]$ as one of the two end points,
• for $n = 2$ and $0 \leq t \leq 2$, the inclusion $\tilde{\Lambda}^t \tilde{O}_2(2) \to \tilde{O}_2(2)$, which is a cofibration by Proposition 3.1.5 since it is given by adding two morphisms $x \to y$ and $y \to x$ freely between objects $x < y \in \{0, 1, 2\} \setminus \{t\}$ on underlying categories. Moreover, it is a biequivalence, since it is bijective on objects, essentially full on morphisms, and fully faithful on $2$-cells, where essential fullness on morphisms can be shown using the fact that all the morphisms are adjoint equivalences.
• for $n = 3$ and $0 \leq t \leq 3$, the inclusion $\tilde{\Lambda}^t \tilde{O}_2(3) \to \tilde{O}_2(3)$, which is a cofibration by Proposition 3.1.5 since it is the identity on underlying categories. Moreover, it is a biequivalence, since it is bijective on objects and morphisms, and it is fully faithful on $2$-cells, where fully faithfulness follows from the fact that there is a unique invertible $2$-cell filling the triangle of the missing invertible $2$-cell and it is given by the obvious composite of the three other invertible $2$-cells.
• for $n \geq 4$ and $0 \leq t \leq n$, the identity.

Therefore, the $2$-functor $\mathcal{T}(t_n^A)$ is a trivial cofibration in $2$Cat, for all $n \geq 1$ and for all $0 \leq t \leq n$. \(\square\)

We now state and prove the theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.8.** The adjunction...
is a Quillen pair between the model structure on DblCat for weakly horizontally invariant double categories and the model structure on sSet$^{\Delta^p \times \Delta^p}$ for horizontally complete double $(\infty,1)$-categories.

**Proof.** By Theorem 5.2.1 and Proposition 5.2.2, it is enough to show that the cofibrations $g_k^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]}$, $\text{id}_{F[k]} \times q_m^R$, and $\text{id}_{F[k]} \times e^R$, with respect to which we localize the Reedy/injective model structure on sSet$^{\Delta^p \times \Delta^p}$ in order to obtain the model structure DblCat$^h_\infty$ of Theorem 4.1.8 are sent by $C$ to weak equivalences in DblCat. By definition of $C$ and by Remark 5.1.8, we have that

$$C(g_k^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]}) \cong C(g_k^F) \otimes \text{id}_{\overline{C}R[m]} = C(g_k^F) \otimes (\emptyset \to \overline{C}R[m])$$

and similarly, that

$$C(\text{id}_{F[k]} \times q_m^R) \cong (\emptyset \to C\text{F}[k]) \otimes \overline{C}(q_m^R), \quad C(\text{id}_{F[k]} \times e^R) \cong (\emptyset \to C\text{F}[k]) \otimes \overline{C}(e^R).$$

Since $C$ is left Quillen from the Reedy/injective model structure on sSet$^{\Delta^p \times \Delta^p}$ in which every object is cofibrant, the unique maps $\emptyset \to \overline{C}R[m]$ and $\emptyset \to C\text{F}[k]$ are cofibrations in 2Cat and DblCat, respectively. Moreover, the maps $C(g_k^F)$, $\overline{C}(q_m^R)$, and $\overline{C}(e^R)$ are cofibrations in DblCat and 2Cat, since they are images of monomorphisms in sSet$^{\Delta^p \times \Delta^p}$. As the model structure on DblCat is 2Cat-enriched, it is enough to show that $C(g_k^F)$ is a double biequivalence and that $\overline{C}(q_m^R)$ and $\overline{C}(e^R)$ are biequivalences by Remark 5.2.4. These statements are the content of Lemmas 5.2.9 and 5.2.10, respectively. □

The following two lemmas complete the proof of Theorem 5.2.8.

**Lemma 5.2.9.** For all $k \geq 0$, the double functor $C(g_k^F) : C(G[k]) \to C(F[k])$ is a double biequivalence in DblCat.

**Proof.** Since $C$ preserve colimits and $[k] = [1] \sqcup_0 \ldots \sqcup_0 [1]$, we have that

$$C(G[k]) = \mathcal{V}[k] \quad \text{and} \quad C(F[k]) = \mathcal{V}\mathcal{O}^\vee_{\mathcal{Z}}(k),$$

for all $k \geq 0$. First note that, when $k = 0, 1$, the double functor $\overline{C}(g_k^F)$ is an identity. For $k \geq 2$, let us give an example. When $k = 2$, the double functor $C(g_k^2)$ is given by the inclusion

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
1 & \cong & 1 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
2 & 2 & 2 \\
\end{array}
$$

Having this example in mind, we can see that, for all $k \geq 0$, $C(g_k^F) : \mathcal{V}[k] \to \mathcal{V}\mathcal{O}^\vee_{\mathcal{Z}}(k)$ is the identity on objects and horizontal morphisms, and it is fully faithful on squares, since all squares in $\mathcal{V}[k]$ are trivial. The double functor $C(g_k^F)$ is also injective on vertical morphisms. Moreover, since every vertical morphism $i \leftrightarrow j$ in $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{O}^\vee_{\mathcal{Z}}(k)$ is related by a horizontally invertible square to the composite $i \leftrightarrow i + 1 \leftrightarrow \ldots \leftrightarrow j$, then $C(g_k^F)$ is essentially full on vertical morphisms. This shows that the double functor $C(g_k^F)$ is a double biequivalence, for all $k \geq 0$. □
Lemma 5.2.10. For all $m \geq 0$, the 2-functors
\[ \mathbb{T}(q^R_m): \mathbb{T}(Q[m]) \to \mathbb{T}(R[m]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}(e^R): \mathbb{T}(R[0]) \to \mathbb{T}(NRI) \]
are biequivalences in 2Cat.

Proof. We first show the result for $\mathbb{T}(q^R_m)$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.2.9 and by Remark 5.1.8 we have that
\[ \mathbb{T}(Q[m]) = [m] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}(R[m]) = O^*_2(m), \]
for all $m \geq 0$. First note that, when $m = 0, 1$, the 2-functor $\mathbb{T}(q^R_m)$ is an identity. For $m \geq 2$, let us give an example. When $m = 3$, the 2-functor $\mathbb{T}(q^R_3)$ is given by the inclusion
\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow 2 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
0 \quad 3
\end{array}
\rightarrow
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow 2 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
0 \quad 3
\end{array}
\]
\[ \cong
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow 2 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
0 \quad 3
\end{array}
\]
\[ =
\begin{array}{c}
1 \rightarrow 2 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
0 \quad 3
\end{array}
\]

Having this example in mind, we can see that, for all $m \geq 0$, $\mathbb{T}(q^R_m): [m] \to O^*_2(m)$ is the identity on objects, and it is fully faithful on 2-cells, since all 2-cells in $[m]$ are trivial. The 2-functor $\mathbb{T}(q^R_m)$ is also injective on morphisms. Moreover, since every morphism $i \to j$ in $O^*_2(m)$ is related by an invertible 2-cell to the composite $i \to i + 1 \to \ldots \to j$, then $\mathbb{T}(q^R_m)$ is essentially full on morphisms. This shows that the 2-functor $\mathbb{T}(q^R_m)$ is a biequivalence, for all $m \geq 0$.

It remains to show that $\mathbb{T}(e^R)$ is a biequivalence. We have that $\mathbb{T}(R[0]) = [0]$, and we compute $\mathbb{T}(NRI)$. Recall from Example 4.1.6 that $m$-simplices of the bisimplicial space $NRI$ constant in the vertical and space directions are given by words of $m$ letters in $\{x, y\}$. Since $\mathbb{T}(NRI)$ is obtained by gluing a copy of $O^*_2(m)$ for each $m$-simplex of $NRI$, we have that $\mathbb{T}(NRI)$ has
- two objects 0 and 1, given by the 0-simplices $x$ and $y$,
- two morphisms $f: 0 \to 1$ and $g: 1 \to 0$, given by the 1-simplices $xy$ and $yx$,
- two invertible 2-cells $\eta: \text{id}_x \cong gf$ and $\epsilon: \text{id}_y \cong fg$, given by the 2-simplices $xyx$ and $xyy$.

Such that $\eta$ and $\epsilon$ satisfy the triangle identities, expressed by the 3-simplices $yxyx$ and $xyxy$. Higher simplices of $NRI$ do not add any relations. Therefore, the 2-category $\mathbb{T}(NRI) = E_{\text{adj}}$ is the “free-living adjoint equivalence”, and $\mathbb{T}(e^R) = j_1: [0] \to E_{\text{adj}}$ is a generating trivial cofibration in 2Cat. \(\square\)

5.3. The nerve $\mathbb{N}$ is homotopically fully faithful. We now show that the nerve functor is homotopically fully faithful. For this, we show that the derived counit of the adjunction $\mathbb{C} \dashv \mathbb{N}$ is level-wise a double biequivalence. Since all objects are cofibrant in $\text{DblCat}^h_\infty$, the derived counit coincides with the counit.

Theorem 5.3.1. The components $\varepsilon_\mathbb{N}: \mathbb{C}\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{A}$ of the (derived) counit are trivial fibrations in $\text{DblCat}$, for all double categories $\mathbb{A}$. In particular, these are double biequivalences and therefore the nerve functor $\mathbb{N}: \text{DblCat} \to \text{DblCat}^h_\infty$ is homotopically fully faithful.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a double category. We first compute the double category $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}\mathbb{A}$. By a formula for left Kan extensions, we have that
\[ \mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}\mathbb{A} = \text{colim}(\mathcal{Y} \downarrow \mathbb{N}\mathbb{A} \to \Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta \xrightarrow{X} \text{DblCat}), \]
where $\mathcal{Y}: \Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta \to \text{Set}^{(\Delta^{op})^3}$ denotes the Yoneda embedding and $\mathcal{Y} \downarrow \mathbb{N}\mathbb{A}$ is the slice category over $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{A}$. An object in $\mathcal{Y} \downarrow \mathbb{N}\mathbb{A}$ is a map $R[m] \times F[k] \times \Delta[n] \to \mathbb{N}\mathbb{A}$, or equivalently, by the adjunction $\mathbb{C} \dashv \mathbb{N}$, a double functor $(\forall O^*_2(k) \otimes O^*_2(m)) \otimes \mathbb{O}_2(n) \to \mathbb{A}$. 

Therefore, for each double functor \( (\mathcal{O}_2^*(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2^*(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \), we glue a copy of \( \mathbb{X}_{m,k,n} = (\mathcal{O}_2^*(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2^*(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_2(n) \) in \( \mathbb{CNA} \).

The double category \( \mathbb{CNA} \) is cofibrant, since every object in \( \text{DblCat}^h \) is cofibrant and \( C \) is left Quillen. Therefore its underlying horizontal and vertical categories are free by Corollary 3.2.6 and it is enough to describe the generators. First note that \( \mathbb{CNA} \) has the same objects as \( \mathbb{A} \). The horizontal morphisms in \( \mathbb{CNA} \) are given by

- a horizontal morphism \( \overline{f} : A \rightarrow B \), for each horizontal morphism \( f \) of \( \mathbb{A} \),
- a horizontal morphism \( \overline{f} (f,g,\eta,\epsilon) : A \rightarrow B \) together with a horizontal morphism \( \overline{g} (f,g,\eta,\epsilon) : B \rightarrow A \), for each horizontal adjoint equivalence \( (f,g,\eta,\epsilon) \) in \( \mathbb{A} \).

where \( \overline{id}_A, \overline{f}(id_A,id_A,id_A,id_A), \) and \( \overline{g}(id_A,id_A,id_A,id_A) \) are identified with the identity \( id_A \) at the object \( A \) of \( \mathbb{CNA} \). The underlying horizontal category of \( \mathbb{CNA} \) is the free category generated by these horizontal morphisms. The vertical morphisms in \( \mathbb{CNA} \) are given by a vertical morphism \( \overline{f} : A \rightarrow A' \), for each vertical morphism \( u \) of \( \mathbb{A} \), where \( \overline{u} \) is identify with the identity \( e_A \) at the object \( A \) of \( \mathbb{CNA} \). The underlying vertical category is the free category generated by these vertical morphisms. It remains to identify the squares of \( \mathbb{CNA} \). They are given by:

- vertically invertible squares \( \overline{f}(f,g,\eta,\epsilon) : (e_A \overline{id}_A \overline{g} f e_A) \) and \( \overline{g}(f,g,\eta,\epsilon) : (e_B \overline{id}_B \overline{e} f e_B) \) satisfying the triangle identities, for each horizontal adjoint equivalence \( (f,g,\eta,\epsilon) \) in \( \mathbb{A} \),
- a square \( \overline{\alpha} : (\overline{f} f \overline{g} \overline{f}) \), for each square \( \alpha \) in \( \mathbb{A} \),
- a square \( \overline{\alpha} : (\overline{f} f \overline{g} \overline{f}) \), for each square \( \alpha \) in \( \mathbb{A} \) whose horizontal boundaries are horizontal adjoint equivalences \( (f,f',\eta,\epsilon) \) and \( (g,g',\eta',\epsilon') \),
- a vertically invertible square \( \overline{f} (f,k,\eta,\epsilon) : (e_A \overline{id}_A \overline{g} \overline{k} e_A) \), for each vertically invertible square \( \theta \) in \( \mathbb{A} \) as depicted below,
- a vertically invertible square \( \overline{f} (f,g,h) : (e_A \overline{g} \overline{h} e_A) \), for each vertically invertible square \( \varphi \) in \( \mathbb{A} \) as depicted below,
- a horizontally invertible square \( \overline{f} (f,g,h) : (e_A \overline{g} \overline{h} e_A) \), for each horizontally invertible square \( \psi \) in \( \mathbb{A} \) as depicted below.
A DOUBLE ($\infty, 1$)-CATEGORICAL NERVE FOR DOUBLE CATEGORIES

Furthermore, these squares are submitted to relations represented by double functors

\[ (\nu \otimes \nu) \circ \rho^{\otimes 3} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}, \text{ where } k + m + n \geq 3. \]

In particular, these relations hold for the squares that represent them in $\mathcal{A}$.

The double functor $\epsilon^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{CNA} \to \mathcal{A}$ is given by the identity on objects and by sending each horizontal morphism, vertical morphism, and square to the horizontal morphism, vertical morphism, and square representing it. This defines a double functor since the underlying horizontal and vertical categories are free, and the relations on squares in $\mathcal{CNA}$ are satisfied by the squares representing them in $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, it is straightforward to see that this double functor is surjective on objects, full on horizontal, and full on vertical morphisms. Fully faithfulness on squares follows from the fact that, given a boundary in $\mathcal{CNA}$, for each square in $\mathcal{A}$ in the representing boundary, we added a unique square, and the fact that the relations satisfied for squares in $\mathcal{A}$ are also satisfied in $\mathcal{CNA}$. \[\square\]

**Remark 5.3.2.** Since $\text{DblCat}^{h}_{\infty}$ is obtained as a localization of the Reedy/injective model structure on $\text{sSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}$, all objects are cofibrant in $\text{DblCat}^{h}_{\infty}$, and hence the functor $\mathbb{C} : \text{DblCat}^{h}_{\infty} \to \text{DblCat}$ preserves weak equivalences by Ken Brown’s Lemma (see [18, Lemma 1.1.12]). Therefore, since the components $\epsilon^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{CNA} \to \mathcal{A}$ of the counit are double biequivalences by Theorem 5.3.1, for all $\mathcal{A} \in \text{DblCat}$, the nerve $\mathbb{N} : \text{DblCat} \to \text{DblCat}^{h}_{\infty}$ reflects weak equivalences by 2-out-of-3.

### 5.4. Level of fibrancy of nerves of double categories

The nerve of any double category is almost fibrant in the model structure $\text{DblCat}^{h}_{\infty}$ of Theorem 4.1.8. Indeed, aside from the vertical Reedy/injective fibrancy, the nerve of a double category satisfies the conditions of a horizontally complete double ($\infty, 1$)-category. As we will see, vertical Reedy/injective fibrancy for the nerve of a double category $\mathcal{A}$ is satisfied if and only if the double category $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly horizontally invariant.

Let us first summarize the properties of the nerve of a general double category in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.1.** The nerve of a double category $\mathcal{A}$ is such that

(i) $(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A})_{-,-} : \Delta^{op} \to \text{sSet}$ is Reedy/injective fibrant, for all $k \geq 0$,

(ii) $(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A})_{m,-} : \Delta^{op} \to \text{sSet}$ satisfies the Segal condition, for all $m \geq 0$,

(iii) $(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A})_{-,-} : \Delta^{op} \to \text{sSet}$ is a complete Segal space, for all $k \geq 0$.

To show this theorem we will need several technical results. The first piece is a Quillen pair between $\text{2Cat}$ and $\text{sSet}$ whose left adjoint is given by the restriction of the functor $\text{C} : \text{sSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}} \to \text{2Cat}$ to its space component.

**Definition 5.4.2.** We define the cosimplicial 2-category

\[ \mathcal{X}_2 : \Delta \to \text{2Cat} \]

\[ [n] \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{O}_2(n)}. \]

**Proposition 5.4.3.** The cosimplicial 2-category $\mathcal{X}_2$ induces an adjunction
\[ \begin{align*}
\Delta & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}_2} 2\text{Cat} \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{Set}^\text{op} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathcal{N}_2
\end{align*} \]

where \( \mathcal{C}_2 \) is the left Kan extension of \( \mathcal{X}_2 \) along the Yoneda embedding, and we have that

\[
(\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{A})_n \cong 2\text{Cat}(\widetilde{O_2(n)}, \mathcal{A}),
\]

for all \( \mathcal{A} \in 2\text{Cat} \) and all \( n \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** This is a direct application of [8, Theorem 1.1.10]. □

This adjunction gives the desired Quillen pair between the model structures for 2-categories and for Kan complexes.

**Proposition 5.4.4.** The adjunction

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}_2} & \text{sSet} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{N}_2 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_2} & \text{sSet}^\text{op}
\end{array}
\]

is a Quillen pair between Lack’s model structure on 2Cat and the Quillen model structure on sSet.

**Proof.** It is enough to show that \( \mathcal{C}_2 \) sends generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations in sSet to cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in 2Cat, respectively. Recall that generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations in sSet are given by maps \( c_n^\Delta : \delta\Delta[n] \rightarrow \Delta[n] \), for \( n \geq 0 \), and \( \ell_{n,t}^\Delta : A[n] \rightarrow \Delta[n] \), for \( n \geq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq t \leq n \), respectively. Note that we have \( \mathcal{C}_2(c_n^\Delta) = \mathcal{C}(\ell_{n,t}^\Delta) \) and \( \mathcal{C}_2(\ell_{n,t}^\Delta) = \mathcal{C}(\ell_{n,t}^\Delta) \). Therefore, by Lemmas 5.2.6 and 5.2.7, we see that these are cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in 2Cat, respectively. This shows the result. □

We will reformulate conditions (i-iii) of Theorem 5.4.1, which are for now given in terms of weak equivalences between mapping spaces, using the right Quillen functor \( \mathcal{N}_2 \) of the above proposition. This can be done by applying the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.5.** Let \( X \in \text{sSet}^{\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}} \) be a bisimplicial space which is constant in the space direction. Then, for every double category \( \mathcal{A} \), we have an isomorphism of simplicial sets

\[ \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{N}_2 \mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbb{H}[[\mathcal{C}(X), \mathcal{A}]_{\text{ps}}]) \]

natural in \( X \) and \( \mathcal{A} \).

**Proof.** For all \( n \geq 0 \), we have natural isomorphisms of sets

\[
\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{N}_2 \mathcal{A})_n \cong \text{sSet}^{\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}}(X \times \Delta[n], \mathcal{N}_2 \mathcal{A}) \\
\cong \text{DbiCat}(\mathbb{C}(X \times \Delta[n]), \mathcal{A}) \\
\cong \text{DbiCat}(\mathbb{C}(X) \otimes \widetilde{O_2(n)}, \mathcal{A}) \\
\cong 2\text{Cat}(\widetilde{O_2(n)}, \mathbb{H}[[\mathcal{C}(X), \mathcal{A}]_{\text{ps}}]) \\
\cong \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbb{H}[[\mathcal{C}(X), \mathcal{A}]_{\text{ps}}])_n,
\]

where the first isomorphism holds by definition of the mapping space, the second by the adjunction \( \mathbb{C} \dashv \mathbb{N} \), the third by definition of \( \mathbb{C} \) and the fact that \( X \) is constant in the space direction, the fourth by the universal property of \( \otimes \) (see Definition 2.1.15), and the last isomorphism by definition of \( \mathcal{N}_2 \). These isomorphisms of sets assemble into an
isomorphism \( \text{Map}(X, \Lambda A) \cong \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C}(X), A]_{\text{ps}}) \) of simplicial sets, which is natural in \( X \) and \( A \).

We now prove Theorem \ref{thm:main} assuming Lemmas \ref{lem: fibration} and \ref{lem: injective fibrant} below.

**Proof of Theorem \ref{thm:main}** Let \( A \) be a double category. By Lemmas \ref{lem: fibration} and \ref{lem: injective fibrant} the 2-functor \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times t^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \) is a fibration in 2Cat, and the 2-functors

\[
\mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}}, \quad \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times e^R, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle e^R \times \mathbf{id}_{R[m]}, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}}
\]

are trivial fibrations in 2Cat, for all \( m,k \geq 0 \). As \( \mathcal{N}_2 : 2\text{Cat} \to \text{sSet} \) is right Quillen by Proposition \ref{prop: Quillen}, these are sent by \( \mathcal{N}_2 \) to fibrations and trivial fibrations in sSet, respectively. As the map \( \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times t^R_m \) is constant in the space direction, by Lemma \ref{lem: constant in space} we have that

\[
\text{Map}(\mathbf{id}_F[k] \times t^R_m, \Lambda A) \cong \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times t^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}}).
\]

By the above arguments, this is a fibration in sSet, for all \( m, k \geq 0 \), which shows (i) saying that \( (\Lambda A)_{-k} \) is Reedy/injective fibrant. Similarly, we have that

\[
\text{Map}(\mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, \Lambda A) \cong \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}})
\]

and these are trivial fibrations in sSet by the above arguments, for all \( m, k \geq 0 \). The fact that \( \text{Map}(\mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, \Lambda A) \) and \( \text{Map}(\mathbf{id}_F[k] \times e^R, \Lambda A) \) are in particular weak equivalences in sSet shows that (iii) holds, i.e., we have the Segal and completeness conditions for \( (\Lambda A)_{-k} \), and the fact that \( \text{Map}(\mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, \Lambda A) \) is in particular a weak equivalence in sSet gives (ii), i.e., the Segal condition for \( (\Lambda A)_{m,-} \).

The following two lemmas complete the proof of Theorem \ref{thm:main}

**Lemma 5.4.6.** Let \( A \) be a double category. The 2-functor \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times t^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \) is a fibration in 2Cat, and the 2-functors \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \) and \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times e^R, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \) are trivial fibrations in 2Cat, for all \( m, k \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** By promoting the bijections in Definition \ref{def: monoidal} of the tensor \( \otimes \), we get isomorphisms of 2-categories as in the following commutative square.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(k) \otimes \mathcal{V}O^*_2(m), A]_{\text{ps}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times t^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}}} & \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(k) \otimes \delta \mathcal{V}O^*_2(m), A]_{\text{ps}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(m), \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(k), A]_{\text{ps}}]_{2,\text{ps}} & \cong & [\delta \mathcal{V}O^*_2(m), \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(k), A]_{\text{ps}}]_{2,\text{ps}}
\end{array}
\]

As every 2-category is fibrant and \( \overline{\mathcal{C}}(t^R_m) \) is a cofibration in 2Cat by Lemma \ref{lem: cofibration}, the 2-functor \( [\overline{\mathcal{C}}(t^R_m), \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(k), A]_{\text{ps}}]_{2,\text{ps}} \) is a fibration in 2Cat by monoidality of Lack’s model structure. Hence \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times t^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \) is also a fibration in 2Cat.

Similarly, we have isomorphisms \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \cong \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle q^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \) and \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times e^R, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \cong \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle e^R, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \). By Lemma \ref{lem: monoidal} and since \( \overline{\mathcal{C}} \) preserves cofibrations, the 2-functors \( \overline{\mathcal{C}}(q^R_m) \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{C}}(e^R) \) are trivial cofibrations in 2Cat. Therefore, by monoidality of Lack’s model structure, the 2-functors

\[
[\overline{\mathcal{C}}(q^R_m), \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(k), A]_{\text{ps}}]_{2,\text{ps}} \quad \text{and} \quad [\overline{\mathcal{C}}(e^R), \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O^*_2(k), A]_{\text{ps}}]_{2,\text{ps}}
\]

are trivial fibrations in 2Cat and hence so are

\[
\mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times q^R_m, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{C} \langle \mathbf{id}_F[k] \times e^R, A \rangle]_{\text{ps}}.
\]
The last piece for the proof of Theorem 5.4.1 makes use of the data in the 2-category $H[-,-]_{ps}$ of double functors, horizontal pseudo-natural transformations, and modifications, whose definitions can be found in Appendix A.3.

**Lemma 5.4.7.** Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a double category. The 2-functor $H[\mathbb{C}(i_k^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]}), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}$ is a trivial fibration in $2\text{Cat}$, for all $m, k \geq 0$.

**Proof.** By promoting the bijections in Definition 2.1.14 of the Gray tensor $\otimes_G$, we get isomorphisms of 2-categories as in the following commutative square.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H[\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k) \otimes O_2^-(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H[\mathbb{V}[k] \otimes O_2^-(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps} \\
\mathbb{H}[O_2^-(k), [\mathbb{H}O_2^-(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}] & \xrightarrow{H[\mathbb{C}(i_k^F), [\mathbb{H}O_2^-(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}]} & H[\mathbb{V}[k], [\mathbb{H}O_2^-(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}]_{ps}
\end{array}
\]

To see that the 2-functor $H[\mathbb{C}(i_k^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]}), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}$ is a trivial fibration in $2\text{Cat}$, it is enough to show that the 2-functor

\[H[\mathbb{C}(i_k^F), \mathbb{B}]_{ps} : H[\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k), \mathbb{B}]_{ps} \rightarrow H[\mathbb{V}[k], \mathbb{B}]_{ps}\]

is a trivial fibration in $2\text{Cat}$, for any $\mathbb{B} \in \text{DblCat}$. Then, by applying this result to $\mathbb{B} = [\mathbb{H}O_2^-(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}$, we get that $H[\mathbb{C}(i_k^F), [\mathbb{H}O_2^-(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}]_{ps}$ is a trivial fibration in $2\text{Cat}$. By the commutative square above, we get that $H[\mathbb{C}(i_k^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]}), \mathbb{A}]_{ps}$ is also a trivial fibration in $2\text{Cat}$.

We first describe the double functor $\mathbb{C}(i_k^F) : \mathbb{V}[k] \rightarrow \mathbb{V}O_2^-(k)$ on objects and vertical morphisms. Since the horizontal morphisms and squares of $\mathbb{V}[k]$ are all trivial, this describes the image of $\mathbb{C}(i_k^F)$ completely. Let us denote by $u_i : i \mapsto i + 1$, for $0 \leq i < k$, the generating vertical morphisms of $\mathbb{V}[k]$. Then the double functor $\mathbb{C}(i_k^F)$ is the identity on objects and sends a generating vertical morphism $u_i : i \mapsto i + 1$ of $\mathbb{V}[k]$ to the vertical morphism $i \mapsto i + 1$ of $\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k)$ represented by $\{i, i + 1\}$.

Now let $\mathbb{B}$ be a double category. We show that the 2-functor $H[\mathbb{C}(i_k^F), \mathbb{B}]_{ps}$ is a trivial fibration in $2\text{Cat}$, by verifying that it is surjective on objects, full on morphisms, and fully faithful on 2-cells.

Given a double functor $F : \mathbb{V}[k] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$, consider the composite

\[\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{V}[k] \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{B},\]

where $\eta : O_2^-(k) \rightarrow [k]$ is the identity on objects and acts on hom-categories as the unique functor $O_2^-(k)(i, j) \rightarrow [k](i, j) = [0]$. The composite above is a double functor in $H[\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k), \mathbb{B}]_ps$ such that $F(\mathbb{V}F)C(i_k^F) = F$, which proves surjectivity on objects.

Let $F, G : \mathbb{V}O_2^-(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be double functors, and $\varphi : F\mathbb{C}(i_k^F) \Rightarrow G\mathbb{C}(i_k^F)$ be a horizontal pseudo-natural transformation in $H[\mathbb{V}[k], \mathbb{B}]_ps$. We want to define a horizontal pseudo-natural transformation $\overline{\varphi} : F \Rightarrow G$ in $H[\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k), \mathbb{B}]_ps$ such that $\overline{\varphi}(i_k^F) = \varphi$. It is enough to define $\overline{\varphi}$ on the generating vertical morphisms of $\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k)$ which are represented by $\{i, j\}$ for $i < j$. When $j = i + 1$, we set $\overline{\varphi}_{i,i+1} := \varphi_{u_i}$. For $j > i + 1$, let $\theta$ denote the unique horizontally invertible square in $\mathbb{V}O_2^-(k)$ from the vertical morphism represented by $\{i, j\}$ to the vertical composite of morphisms represented by $[i, j] = \{k \mid i \leq k \leq j\}$. Then there is a unique way of defining $\overline{\varphi}_{i,j}$ so that $\overline{\varphi}$ is natural; namely as follows.
Theorem 5.4.8. The nerve of a double category \( A \) is such that \((NA)_{m,-}: \Delta^{op} \to sSet\) is Reedy/injective fibrant, for all \( m \geq 0 \), if and only if the double category \( A \) is weakly horizontally invariant.

Proof. Let \( A \) be a double category. Suppose that \( A \) is weakly horizontally invariant, then \( NA \) is a horizontally complete double \((\infty, 1)\)-category since \( N: DblCat \to DblCat^\Delta_\infty \) is right Quillen. In particular, this says that \((NA)_{m,-}: \Delta^{op} \to sSet\) is Reedy/injective fibrant, for all \( m \geq 0 \).

Conversely, suppose that \((NA)_{m,-}: \Delta^{op} \to sSet\) is Reedy/injective fibrant, for all \( m \geq 0 \). Then \((NA)_{0,-}\) is Reedy/injective fibrant and therefore the map

\[
(\ell^F_1)^* : (NA)_{0,1} \cong \text{Map}(F[1], NA) \to \text{Map}(\delta F[1], NA) \cong (NA)_{0,0} \times (NA)_{0,0}.
\]

is a fibration in \( sSet \), by Definition 4.1.17. In particular, it has the right lifting property with respect to \( \ell^A_1: \Delta[0] \to \Delta[1] \), i.e., there is a lift in every commutative diagram as below.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta[0] & \xrightarrow{v} & (NA)_{0,1} \\
\text{\(\ell^A_1\)} & \downarrow & \nearrow (\ell^F_1)^* \\
\Delta[1] & \xrightarrow{(f, f)} & (NA)_{0,0} \times (NA)_{0,0}
\end{array}
\]
By Descriptions B.1.2 and B.1.4, the upper map \( v \) is the data of a vertical morphism \( v : B \to B' \) in \( \mathcal{A} \), while the bottom map \((f,f')\) is the data of a pair of horizontal adjoint equivalences \((f : A \xrightarrow{\simeq} B, f' : A' \xrightarrow{\simeq} B')\) in \( \mathcal{A} \). Therefore, the existence of a lift in each diagram as above corresponds to the existence of a weakly horizontally invertible square in \( \mathcal{A} \) of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
\downarrow & \simeq & \downarrow v \\
A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B'
\end{array}
\]

for each such data \((v,f,f')\). In other words, this says that \( \mathcal{A} \) is weakly horizontally invariant. \( \square \)

Remark 5.4.9. In particular, since a horizontal double category is not generally weakly horizontally invariant (see [26 Remark 3.12]), the nerve \( \mathbb{N} \mathcal{A} \) of a 2-category \( \mathcal{A} \) is not generally fibrant. Since every 2-category is fibrant in Lack’s model structure on \( 2\text{Cat} \), this shows that the composite \( \mathbb{N} \) is not right Quillen from \( 2\text{Cat} \) to \( \text{DblCat}_{h}^{\infty} \). Therefore, we will need to define the nerve for 2-categories differently in the next section.

6. Nerve of 2-categories

As 2-categories are embedded in double categories, we hope that the nerve functor \( \mathbb{N} : \text{DblCat} \to \text{DblCat}_{h}^{\infty} \) restricts to a nerve functor \( 2\text{Cat} \to 2\text{CSS} \). Since the nerve of a double category \( \mathbb{H} \mathcal{A} \) associated to a 2-category \( \mathcal{A} \) is not generally fibrant, as explained in Remark 5.4.9, we need to define the nerve of a 2-category as the nerve of its fibrant replacement \( \mathbb{H}^{\simeq} \mathcal{A} \) in \( \text{DblCat} \); see Proposition 3.2.9. In Section 6.1, we show that the composite of the Quillen pairs \( L^{\simeq} \dashv \mathbb{H}^{\simeq} \) and \( C \dashv \mathbb{N} \) restrict to a Quillen pair between \( 2\text{Cat} \) and \( 2\text{CSS} \). The (derived) counit of the composite of these adjunctions is also level-wise a biequivalence, and we get a homotopically full embedding of \( 2\text{Cat} \) into \( 2\text{CSS} \).

As all objects are fibrant in \( 2\text{Cat} \), the nerve \( \mathbb{N}^{\simeq} \) preserves weak equivalences, and we can further show in Section 6.2 that Lack’s model on \( 2\text{Cat} \) is right-induced from \( 2\text{CSS} \) along \( \mathbb{N}^{\simeq} \). In particular, as the weak equivalences and fibrations are determined through their images under \( \mathbb{N}^{\simeq} \), this says that the homotopy theory of 2-categories is created by that of 2-fold complete Segal spaces. In Section 6.3, we compare the nerve of the double categories \( \mathbb{H} \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathbb{H}^{\simeq} \mathcal{A} \), by showing that the nerve of the latter is a fibrant replacement of the nerve of the former in \( 2\text{CSS} \), and hence also in \( \text{DblCat}_{h}^{\infty} \).

6.1. The nerve \( \mathbb{N}^{\simeq} \) is right Quillen and homotopically fully faithful. We consider the composite of the Quillen pairs

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{L^{\simeq}} & \mathbb{H}^{\simeq} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \mathbb{N} \\
2\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}^{\simeq}} & \text{DblCat}_{h}^{\infty}
\end{array}
\]

and show that this gives a Quillen pair between \( 2\text{Cat} \) and the localization \( 2\text{CSS} \) of \( \text{DblCat}_{h}^{\infty} \).

Theorem 6.1.1. The adjunction

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{L^{\simeq} \mathbb{N}} & 2\text{CSS} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \mathbb{N}^{\simeq} \\
2\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}^{\simeq} \mathbb{N}} & 2\text{CSS}
\end{array}
\]
is a Quillen pair between Lack’s model structure on 2Cat and the model structure on sSet$^\Delta^\text{op} \times \Delta^\text{op}$ for 2-fold complete Segal spaces, i.e., $(\infty,2)$-categories.

Remark 6.1.2. Note that the functor $L^\infty: \text{DblCat} \to 2\text{Cat}$ does not preserve tensors. For example, the 2-category $L^\infty(\mathbb{V}[1] \square [1])$ is as below-left, while the 2-category $L^\infty(\mathbb{V}[1] \square_2 [1])$ is as below-right.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 
\rightarrow 1 \\
\Downarrow \cong 
\Downarrow \cong \\
0' 
\rightarrow 1'
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
0 
\rightarrow 1 \\
\Downarrow \cong 
\Downarrow \cong \\
0' 
\rightarrow 1'
\end{array}
$$

The fact that the left-hand 2-cell is not invertible in a square coming from a pair of a vertical morphism and a horizontal morphism is the only difference between $L^\infty(- \square -)$ and $L^\infty(-) \square_2 L^\infty(-)$.

Proof. First note that the adjunction $L^\infty\mathbb{C} \dashv \mathbb{N}H^\infty$ is a Quillen pair between 2Cat and DblCat$^h_{\infty}$, since it is a composite of two Quillen pairs. By Theorem [5,2.1], it is enough to show that the functors $L^\infty\mathbb{C}$ sends the cofibrations $\epsilon^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]}$ and $c_k$, with respect to which we localize DblCat$^h$ to obtain 2CSS, to weak equivalences in 2Cat.

We first show that $L^\infty\mathbb{C}(\epsilon^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]})$ is a biequivalence. By a similar computation to the one of $\mathbb{C}(N^R I)$ in the proof of Lemma [5,2.10], we obtain that

$$L^\infty\mathbb{C}(N^F I \times R[m]) \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{V}O_2(k) \otimes O^e_2(m)).$$

Then the squares in the tensor $\mathbb{V}O_2(k) \otimes O^e_2(m)$ induced from vertical morphisms in $\mathbb{V}O_2(k)$ and morphisms in $O^e_2(m)$ must be weakly vertically invertible, since all vertical morphisms in $\mathbb{V}O_2(k)$ are vertical equivalences, and these correspond to invertible 2-cells in $L^\infty(\mathbb{V}O_2(k) \otimes O^e_2(m))$, by a dual version of Lemma [A,2.4]. By Remark [6.1.2], we deduce that $L^\infty$ preserves this tensor:

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{V}O_2(k) \otimes O^e_2(m)) \cong O_2(k) \otimes_2 O^e_2(m) \cong L^\infty\mathbb{C}(N^F I) \otimes_2 L^\infty\mathbb{C}(R[m]).$$

Therefore, $L^\infty\mathbb{C}(\epsilon^F \times \text{id}_{R[m]}) \cong L^\infty\mathbb{C}(\epsilon^F) \square_2 \text{(} \emptyset \rightarrow L^\infty\mathbb{C}R[m])$. Both maps in this pushout-product are cofibrations in 2Cat since $L^\infty\mathbb{C}$ is left Quillen from DblCat$^h$, and therefore, by Remark [5.1.4], it is enough to show that $L^\infty\mathbb{C}(\epsilon^F)$ is a biequivalence. But this is clear since the 2-functor $L^\infty\mathbb{C}(\epsilon^F): L^\infty\mathbb{C}(F[0]) \to L^\infty\mathbb{C}(N^F I)$ can be identified with the generating trivial cofibration $j_1: [0] \to E_{2,0}$ in 2Cat.

We now show that the 2-functor $L^\infty\mathbb{C}(c_k): L^\infty\mathbb{C}(F[0]) \to L^\infty\mathbb{C}(F[k])$ is a biequivalence. It is given by the inclusion $[0] \to O_2(k)$. First note that for $k = 0$, this is the identity. For $k \geq 1$, it is a biequivalence since it is

- bi-essentially surjective on objects as every object in $O_2(k)$ is related by an adjoint equivalence to the object 0,
- essentially full on morphisms since every composite of equivalences $0 \to 0$ in $O_2(k)$ is related by an invertible 2-cell to $\text{id}_0$, which is given by a pasting of units and counits of the adjoint equivalences,
- fully faithful on 2-cells since the only 2-cell $\text{id}_0 \Rightarrow \text{id}_0$ in $O_2(k)$ is the identity.

This proves the theorem. □

As in the double categorical case, the nerve $\mathbb{N}H^\infty$ is homotopically fully faithful. Again, since all objects in 2CSS are cofibrant, the derived counit of the adjunction $L^\infty\mathbb{C} \dashv \mathbb{N}H^\infty$ coincide with the counit, and we show that it is level-wise a biequivalence.
Theorem 6.1.3. The components $\epsilon_A : L^\infty \mathcal{CNH}^\infty \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ of the (derived) counit are biequivalences, for all 2-categories $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, the nerve $\mathcal{NH}^\infty : 2\text{Cat} \to 2\text{CSS}$ is homotopically fully faithful.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the (derived) counits of the adjunctions $\mathcal{C} \dashv \mathcal{N}$ and $L^\infty \dashv \mathcal{H}^\infty$ are weak equivalences, by Theorems 3.2.8 and 5.3.1, respectively. $\square$

Remark 6.1.4. Let us denote by $D : \text{Cat} \to 2\text{Cat}$ the functor sending a category $\mathcal{C}$ to the 2-category $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}$ with the same objects and morphisms as $\mathcal{C}$ and only trivial 2-cells. The functor $D$ has a left adjoint $P : 2\text{Cat} \to \text{Cat}$ given by base change along the functor $\pi_0 : \text{Cat} \to \text{Set}$ sending a category to its set of connected components. By [22, Theorem 8.2], these functors form a Quillen pair between the canonical model structure on $\text{Cat}$ and Lack’s model structure on $2\text{Cat}$, and its derived counit is level-wise an equivalence of categories.

Composing with the Quillen pair of Theorem 6.1.1 we obtain a Quillen pair

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{\perp} & 2\text{Cat} \\
\downarrow P & \downarrow \perp & \downarrow \mathcal{NH}^\infty \\
2\text{CSS} & \xrightarrow{\perp} & 2\text{CSS}
\end{array}
$$

between the canonical model structure on $\text{Cat}$ and the model structure on $\text{SSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}$ for 2-fold complete Segal spaces, i.e., $(\infty, 2)$-categories, whose derived counit is level-wise an equivalence of categories.

6.2. $2\text{Cat}$ is right-induced from $2\text{CSS}$ along $\mathcal{NH}^\infty$. We now show that Lack’s model structure on $2\text{Cat}$ is right-induced from $2\text{CSS}$ along the nerve $\mathcal{NH}^\infty$. In particular, this says that the homotopy theory of 2-categories is determined by the homotopy theory of 2-fold complete Segal spaces through its image under $\mathcal{NH}^\infty$.

Theorem 6.2.1. Lack’s model structure on $2\text{Cat}$ is right-induced along the adjunction

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{Cat} & \xleftarrow{\perp} & 2\text{CSS} \\
\downarrow \mathcal{NH}^\infty & \downarrow \perp & \downarrow \mathcal{NH}^\infty \\
\end{array}
$$

where $2\text{CSS}$ denotes the model structure on $\text{SSet}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}$ for 2-fold complete Segal spaces.

Proof. It is enough to show that a 2-functor $F$ is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration) in $2\text{Cat}$ if and only if $\mathcal{NH}^\infty F$ is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration) in $2\text{CSS}$, as model structures are uniquely determined by their classes of weak equivalences and fibrations.

Since the functor $\mathcal{NH}^\infty$ is right Quillen, it preserves fibrations. Moreover, since all objects are fibrant in $2\text{Cat}$, the functor $\mathcal{NH}^\infty$ also preserves weak equivalences by Ken Brown’s Lemma (see [18, Lemma 1.1.12]). This shows that, if $F$ is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration) in $2\text{Cat}$, then $\mathcal{NH}^\infty F$ is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration) in $2\text{CSS}$.

Now let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a 2-functor such that $\mathcal{NH}^\infty F : \mathcal{NH}^\infty \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{NH}^\infty \mathcal{B}$ is a weak equivalence in $2\text{CSS}$. Since all objects are cofibrant in $2\text{CSS}$, by Ken Brown’s Lemma (see [18, Lemma 1.1.12]), the left Quillen functor $L^\infty \mathcal{C}$ preserves weak equivalences. Therefore, the 2-functor $L^\infty \mathcal{CNH}^\infty F$ is a biequivalence. We then have a commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L^\infty \mathcal{CNH}^\infty \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{L^\infty \mathcal{CNH}^\infty F} & L^\infty \mathcal{CNH}^\infty \mathcal{B} \\
\downarrow \epsilon_A & \simeq & \downarrow \epsilon_B \\
\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{B}
\end{array}
$$
where the vertical 2-functors are biequivalences by Theorem 6.1.3. By 2-out-of-3, we get that \( F \) is also a biequivalence.

Finally, let \( F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \) be a 2-functor such that \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq F : \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \mathcal{B} \) is a fibration in 2CSS. We show that \( F \) has the right lifting property with respect to the generating trivial cofibrations \( j_1 : [0] \to \mathcal{E}_{\text{adj}} \) and \( j_2 : [1] \to \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} \) in \( 2\text{Cat} \) as described in Notation 3.1.2, where \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{adj}} \) denotes the “free-living adjoint equivalence” and \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} \) denotes the “free-living invertible 2-cell”. First note that, if \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq F \) is a fibration, then \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq F \) is a fibration in sSet for all \( m, k \geq 0 \), since fibrations between fibrant objects in 2CSS are in particular level-wise fibrations.

By taking \( m = k = 0 \), as \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq F \) is a fibration in sSet, there is a lift in every commutative diagram as above left. By Description B.2.1, a 0-simplex in \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \mathcal{A} \) is an object of \( \mathcal{A} \), and a 1-simplex in \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \mathcal{A} \) is an adjoint equivalence in \( \mathcal{A} \). Therefore, the existence of a lift in each diagram as above left corresponds to the existence of a lift in each diagram as above right. This shows that \( F \) has the right lifting property with respect to \( j_1 \).

Now take \( m = 1 \) and \( k = 0 \). As \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \mathcal{A} \) is a fibration in sSet, there is a lift in every commutative diagram as above left. By Description B.2.2, a 0-simplex in \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \mathcal{A} \) is a morphism of \( \mathcal{A} \), and a 1-simplex in \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \mathcal{A} \) is an invertible 2-cell in \( \mathcal{A} \), as depicted in Description B.2.3 (1). Therefore, the existence of a lift in each diagram as above left corresponds to the existence of a lift in each diagram as above right. Now the generating trivial cofibration \( j_2 : [1] \to \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} \) is a retract of \( j_2' \),

where \( i \) sends the invertible 2-cell of \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} \) to the invertible 2-cell of \( [1] \otimes_2 \mathcal{E}_{\text{adj}} \), and \( r \) sends the adjoint equivalences of \( \mathcal{E}_{\text{adj}} \) to identities, and the invertible 2-cell of \( [1] \otimes_2 \mathcal{E}_{\text{adj}} \) to the invertible 2-cell of \( \mathcal{C}_{\text{inv}} \). Therefore, since \( F \) has the right lifting property with respect to \( j_2' \), then \( F \) also has the right lifting property with respect to \( j_2 \). This shows that \( F \) is a fibration in \( 2\text{Cat} \) and concludes the proof. □

6.3. **Comparison between the nerves** \( \mathcal{NH} \) and \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \). We now want to compare the nerves \( \mathcal{NH} \) and \( \mathcal{NH}^\simeq \) of a 2-category \( \mathcal{A} \). For this, we will construct a homotopy
equivalence between the spaces \((\mathbb{H}A)_{m,k}\) and \((\mathbb{H}^\simeq A)_{m,k}\). Their sets of \(n\)-simplices are given by
\[
(\mathbb{H}A)_{m,k,n} = \text{DblCat}(X_{m,k,n}, \mathbb{H}A) \cong 2\text{Cat}(LX_{m,k,n}, A)
\]
and
\[
(\mathbb{H}^\simeq A)_{m,k,n} = \text{DblCat}(X_{m,k,n}, \mathbb{H}^\simeq A) \cong 2\text{Cat}(L^\simeq X_{m,k,n}, A).
\]

Let us first describe the 2-categories \(L^\simeq X_{m,k,n}\) and \(LX_{m,k,n}\).

**Description 6.3.1.** The 2-category \(LX_{m,k,n}\) is obtained from the double category
\[
X_{m,k,n} = (\text{VO}_2^\simeq (k) \otimes O_2^\simeq (m)) \otimes \widehat{O_2(n)}
\]
by identifying the objects \((x, y, z) \sim (x', y', z)\), for all \(0 \leq x \leq m\), \(0 \leq y, y' \leq k\), and \(0 \leq z \leq n\), and by identifying the vertical morphisms \((x, g, z) : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x', y', z)\), where \(g \in O_2^\simeq (k)(y, y')\), with the identity at \((x, y, z) \sim (x', y', z)\). We denote by \([x, z]\) the equivalence class \(\{(x, y, z) | 0 \leq y \leq k\}\). Then, the 2-category \(LX_{m,k,n}\) has
- objects \([x, z]\) for all \(0 \leq x \leq m\) and \(0 \leq z \leq n\),
- morphisms freely generated by
  - a morphism \((f, y, z) : [x, z] \to [x', z]\) where \(f \in O_2^\simeq (m)(x, x')\) is represented by the set \([x, x']\), for all \(0 \leq x, x' \leq m\), \(0 \leq y \leq k\), and \(0 \leq z \leq n\),
  - a morphism \((x, y, h) : [x, z] \to [x, z']\) where \(h \in O_2^\simeq (n)(z, z')\) is represented by the set \([z, z']\), for all \(0 \leq x \leq m\), \(0 \leq y \leq k\), and \(0 \leq z, z' \leq n\),
- a 2-cell \(\alpha : \overline{f} \Rightarrow \overline{f}'\) for each square \(\alpha : (u, f, v) \in X_{m,k,n}\).

**Description 6.3.2.** The 2-category \(L^\simeq X_{m,k,n}\) has
- the same objects as the double category \(X_{m,k,n} = (\text{VO}_2^\simeq (k) \otimes O_2^\simeq (m)) \otimes \widehat{O_2(n)}\), i.e., triples \((x, y, z)\) with \(0 \leq x \leq m\), \(0 \leq y \leq k\), \(0 \leq z \leq n\),
- morphisms generated by
  - a morphism \((f, y, z) : (x, y, z) \to (x', y, z)\) where \(f \in O_2^\simeq (m)(x, x')\) is represented by the set \([x, x']\), for all \(0 \leq x, x' \leq m\), \(0 \leq y \leq k\), and \(0 \leq z \leq n\),
  - a morphism \((x, y, h) : (x, y, z) \to (x, y, z')\) where \(h \in O_2^\simeq (n)(z, z')\) is represented by the set \([z, z']\), for all \(0 \leq x \leq m\), \(0 \leq y \leq k\), and \(0 \leq z, z' \leq n\),
  - an adjoint equivalence \((x, g, z) : (x, y, z) \overset{\sim}{\Rightarrow} (x', y, z)\) where \(g \in O_2^\simeq (k)(y, y')\) is represented by the set \([y, y']\), for all \(0 \leq x \leq m\), \(0 \leq y, y' \leq k\), and \(0 \leq z \leq n\),
- a 2-cell \(\alpha : \overline{v} \Rightarrow \overline{u}\) for each square \(\alpha : (u, f, v) \in X_{m,k,n}\).

**Example 6.3.3.** We compute these 2-categories in the case where \(m = 1\), \(k = 1\), and \(n = 0\). Let us denote by \(u : 0' \leftrightarrow 1'\) the vertical morphism in \(\mathbb{V}[1]\) and by \(f : 0 \to 1\) the morphism in [1]. We have that \(L(\mathbb{V}[1] \otimes [1])\) is the free 2-category on a 2-cell as depicted below left, while \(L^\simeq (\mathbb{V}[1] \otimes [1])\) is the 2-category as depicted below right. We omit the \(z\)-component since it is always 0.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0, 0' & \xrightarrow{(f, 0')} & 1, 0' \\
(0, u) & \xrightarrow{f, u} & (1, u) \\
0, 1' & \xrightarrow{(f, 1')} & 1, 1'
\end{array}
\]

**Remark 6.3.4.** Using these descriptions, we can see that the 0-simplices of the simplicial sets \((\mathbb{H}A)_{0,0}\) and \((\mathbb{H}^\simeq A)_{0,0}\) are the objects of \(A\), and the ones of \((\mathbb{H}A)_{1,0}\) and \((\mathbb{H}^\simeq A)_{1,0}\) the morphisms of \(A\). The 0-simplices in \((\mathbb{H}A)_{1,1}\) are the 2-cells of \(A\) as in the
above left diagram of Example 6.3.3, while the ones of \((\operatorname{NHe}^\infty \mathcal{A})_{1,1}\) are the 2-cells of \(\mathcal{A}\) as in the above right diagram of Example 6.3.3. In particular, the 0-simplices in \((\operatorname{NH} \mathcal{A})_{0,1}\) are just objects of \(\mathcal{A}\), while the ones of \((\operatorname{NHe}^\infty \mathcal{A})_{0,1}\) are adjoint equivalences in \(\mathcal{A}\). We describe these simplicial sets in greater details in Appendices [B.2] and [B.3]

There is a comparison map \(\pi_m,k,n : L_{\infty}^\mathcal{X}_{m,k,n} \to LX_{m,k,n}\) which sends an object \((x, y, z)\) to the object \([x, z]\), morphisms \((f, y, z)\) and \((x, y, h)\) to the morphisms \((f, y, z)\) and \((x, y, h)\), the adjoint equivalences \((x, g, z)\) to the identity at \([x, z]\), and a 2-cell \(\alpha : \tilde{\tau} \Rightarrow \tilde{\eta}\) to the corresponding 2-cell \(\alpha : \tilde{\tau} \Rightarrow \tilde{\eta}\). Note that this is a 2-functor since the adjoint equivalences are sent to identities. Moreover, this 2-functor is clearly surjective on objects, full on morphisms, and fully faithful on 2-cells. By constructing an inverse 2-functor up to pseudo-natural equivalence to this comparison map \(\pi_m,k,n\), we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 6.3.5.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a 2-category. The map \(\pi^* : \operatorname{NH} \mathcal{A} \to \operatorname{NHe}^\infty \mathcal{A}\) induced by the comparison maps \(\pi_m,k,n : L_{\infty}^\mathcal{X}_{m,k,n} \to LX_{m,k,n}\) is level-wise a homotopy equivalence in \(\mathcal{S}e\mathcal{T}^\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}\). In particular, this exhibits \(\operatorname{NHe}^\infty \mathcal{A}\) as a fibrant replacement of \(\operatorname{NH} \mathcal{A}\) in \(\mathcal{CSS}\) (or in \(\mathcal{DblCat}_{1,\infty}^\infty\)).

**Proof.** We first construct an inverse 2-functor up to pseudo-natural equivalence

\[\iota_{m,k,n} : LX_{m,k,n} \to L_{\infty}^\mathcal{X}_{m,k,n}\]

to the 2-functor \(\pi_{m,k,n}\) such that the composite \(\pi_{m,k,n} \circ \iota_{m,k,n}\) is the identity at \(LX_{m,k,n}\). It sends an object \([x, z]\) to the object \([x, 0, z]\), a morphism \((f, y, z) : [x, z] \to [x', z]\) to the composite

\[
(x, 0, z) \xrightarrow{(x, g, z) \simeq} (x, y, z) \xrightarrow{(f, y, z)} (x', y, z) \xrightarrow{(x', g', z) \simeq} (x', 0, z),
\]

and a morphism \((x, y, h) : [x, z] \to [x, z']\) to the composite

\[
(x, 0, z) \xrightarrow{(x, g, z) \simeq} (x, y, z) \xrightarrow{(x, y, h)} (x, y, z') \xrightarrow{(x, g', z') \simeq} (x, 0, z')
\]

where \(g \in \overline{O_2}(k)(0, y)\) is represented by the set \(\{0, y\}\) and \(g' \in \overline{O_2}(k)(y, 0)\) is its weak inverse. The assignment on 2-cells is uniquely determined by these assignments on objects and morphisms, since the 2-functor \(\pi_{m,k,n}\) is fully faithful on 2-cells and we impose that \(\pi_{m,k,n} \circ \iota_{m,k,n} = \operatorname{id}_{LX_{m,k,n}}\). In particular, since the morphisms in the 2-category \(LX_{m,k,n}\) are freely generated by the morphisms \((f, y, z)\) and \((x, y, h)\), this defines a 2-functor \(\iota_{m,k,n} : LX_{m,k,n} \to L_{\infty}^\mathcal{X}_{m,k,n}\).

We now construct a pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence \(\epsilon_{m,k,n} : \iota_{m,k,n} \circ \pi_{m,k,n} \Rightarrow \operatorname{id}_{L_{\infty}^\mathcal{X}_{m,k,n}}\). At an object \((x, y, z) \in L_{\infty}^\mathcal{X}_{m,k,n}\), we define \(\epsilon_{(x, y, z)}\) to be the morphism

\[
\epsilon_{(x, y, z)} := (x, g, z) : (x, 0, z) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (x, y, z)
\]

where \(g \in \overline{O_2}(k)(0, y)\) is represented by the set \(\{0, y\}\). Note that the morphism \(\epsilon_{(x, y, z)}\) as defined above is an adjoint equivalence. Given a morphism \((f, y, z) : (x, y, z) \to (x', y, z)\), we define \(\epsilon_{(f, y, z)}\) to be the following invertible 2-cell
induced by the counit $gg' \cong \text{id}_y$ of the adjoint equivalence $(g, g')$. We define $\epsilon_{(x,y,h)}$ for a morphism $(x, y, h): (x, y, z) \to (x, y, z')$ similarly. This defines a pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence $\epsilon_{m,k,n}: \iota_{m,k,n} \pi_{m,k,n} \to \text{id}_{L^\sim \mathfrak{X}_{m,k,n}}$, which can be represented by a 2-functor $O_2^\sim(1) \to [L^\sim \mathfrak{X}_{m,k,n}, L^\sim \mathfrak{X}_{m,k,n}]_{2,ps}$, since it corresponds to an adjoint equivalence in the hom 2-category. By definition of the Gray tensor product $\otimes_2$ (see Definition 2.1.5), this pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence can equivalently be seen as a 2-functor $L^\sim \mathfrak{X}_{m,k,n} \otimes_2 O_2^\sim(1) \to L^\sim \mathfrak{X}_{m,k,n}$.

We claim that these 2-functors $\epsilon_{m,k,n}$ induce a homotopy $\epsilon^*_{m,k}$ as in

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathfrak{NH}^\sim A)_{m,k} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*_{m,k}} & (\mathfrak{NH}^\sim A)_{m,k} \\
\text{id} \times d^! & & \text{id} \times d^! \\
(\mathfrak{NH}^\sim A)_{m,k} \times \Delta[1] & \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*_{m,k}} & (\mathfrak{NH}^\sim A)_{m,k}
\end{array}
$$

where the $n$th component of $\epsilon^*_{m,k}$ is obtained by applying the functor $2\text{Cat}(-, \mathcal{A})$ to $\epsilon_{m,k,n}$, for all $n \geq 0$.

For each $F \in (\mathfrak{NH}^\sim A)_{m,k,n}$, we want to describe the corresponding $(\Delta[n] \times \Delta[1])$-prism of the homotopy, which coincide with $F\tau_{m,k,n} \pi_{m,k,n}$ at $0 \in \Delta[1]$ and with $F$ at $1 \in \Delta[1]$. Note that a $(\Delta[n] \times \Delta[1])$-prism in $(\mathfrak{NH}^\sim A)_{m,k}$ corresponds to a 2-functor

$$
L^\sim((\overline{VO}_2^\sim(1) \otimes O_2^\sim(1)) \otimes (\overline{O_2(n)} \otimes_2 \overline{O_2(1)})) \to \mathcal{A}.
$$

The squares induced by vertical morphisms in $VO_2^\sim(k)$ and morphisms in $O_2^\sim(1)$ must be weakly horizontally invertible in $(\overline{VO}_2^\sim(1) \otimes O_2^\sim(m)) \otimes (\overline{O_2(n)} \otimes_2 \overline{O_2(1)})$, since the morphisms in $\overline{O_2(1)}$ are adjoint equivalences. It follows from Lemma A.2.4 that the
corresponding 2-cells in $L^\simeq((\bigvee O^2_\simeq(k) \otimes O^2_\simeq(m)) \otimes (\overline{O_2(n)} \otimes_2 \overline{O_2(1)}))$ are invertible and therefore, by Remark 6.1.2 we get that

$$L^\simeq((\bigvee O^2_\simeq(k) \otimes O^2_\simeq(m)) \otimes (\overline{O_2(n)} \otimes_2 \overline{O_2(1)}))$$

$$\simeq L^\simeq((\bigvee O^2_\simeq(k) \otimes O^2_\simeq(m)) \otimes \overline{O_2(n)} \otimes_2 \overline{O_2(1)})$$

$$= L^\simeq \chi_{m,k,n} \otimes_2 \overline{O_2(1)}.$$

This says that a $(\Delta[n] \times \Delta[1])$-simplex in $(\mathbb{NH}_\simeq A)_{m,k}$ corresponds to a 2-functor

$$L^\simeq \chi_{m,k,n} \otimes_2 \overline{O_2(1)} \to A.$$

We can therefore define the component of the homotopy at $F \in (\mathbb{NH}_\simeq A)_{m,k,n}$ to be $F_{\epsilon_{m,k,n}}$. This shows the claim.

Since $i^*_m \circ \pi^*_m = 1_{(\mathbb{NH}_\simeq A)_{m,k}}$ and by the above homotopy, we see that $i^*_m$ and $\pi^*_m$ give a homotopy equivalence between $(\mathbb{NH}_A)_{m,k}$ and $(\mathbb{NH}_\simeq A)_{m,k}$, for all $m, k \geq 0$. These assemble into maps $i^*$ and $\pi^*$ of $s\text{Set}^{\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op}}$ which give a level-wise weak equivalence between $\mathbb{NH}_A$ and $\mathbb{NH}_{\simeq} A$. This is in particular a weak equivalence in 2CSS and in DblCat$_h$. Since $\mathbb{NH}_{\simeq} A$ is fibrant in 2CSS and in DblCat$_h$, we conclude that it gives a fibrant replacement of $\mathbb{NH}_A$. \qed

Remark 6.3.6. Recall from Remark 6.1.4 the Quillen pair $P \dashv D$ between $\text{Cat}$ and $2\text{Cat}$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. We compute the nerve of $\mathbb{H}DC$

$$(\mathbb{NH}DC)_{m,k,n} = 2\text{Cat}(LX_{m,k,n}, DC) \cong \text{Cat}(PLX_{m,k,n}, C).$$

By applying the functor $P$ to the 2-category $LX_{m,k,n}$ as given in Description 6.3.1 we can see that $PLX_{m,k,n} \cong [m] \times I[n]$, where $I[n]$ is the category with object set $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ and a unique isomorphism between any two objects. Therefore,

$$(\mathbb{NH}DC)_{m,k,n} \cong \text{Cat}([m] \times I[n], C) = N_{\text{Rezk}}(C)_{m,n}$$

is given by the Rezk nerve (defined in [29, §3.5]) constant in the vertical direction. Similarly, we compute the nerve of $\mathbb{H}^\simeq DC$ and find that

$$(\mathbb{NH}^\simeq DC)_{m,k,n} = 2\text{Cat}(L^\simeq \chi_{m,k,n}, DC)$$

$$\cong \text{Cat}(PL^\simeq \chi_{m,k,n}, C) \cong \text{Cat}((I[k] \times [m]) \times I[n], C).$$

By Theorem 6.3.3 we get a level-wise homotopy equivalence $\mathbb{NH}DC \to \mathbb{NH}^\simeq DC$ which exhibits $\mathbb{NH}^\simeq DC$ as a fibrant replacement of the Rezk nerve of $\mathcal{C}$ in 2CSS (or DblCat$_h$).

**Appendix A. Weakly horizontally invertible squares**

In this first appendix, we give some technical results about weakly horizontally invertible squares, which will be of use to describe the nerves in low dimensions in Appendix B. These results also find their utility in the papers [25, 26] by the authors, Sarazola, and Verdugo. Some of the lemmas presented here (Lemmas A.1.1, A.2.1, and A.3.3) were also proven independently in another context by proven by Grandis and Paré in [14] — their terminology for weakly horizontally invertible squares is that of equivalence cells. In Appendix A.1 we first prove that the weak inverse of a weakly horizontally invertible square is unique when one first fixes horizontal adjoint equivalence data. In Appendix A.2 we consider weakly horizontally invertible squares of special forms and characterize them. Finally, in Appendix A.3 we give a definition of horizontal pseudo-natural transformations and modifications, which correspond to the morphisms and 2-cells in the hom 2-categories $H[-,-]_{ps}$ of the 2Cat-enrichment of DblCat given in Definition 2.1.15. We then characterize the equivalences in these hom 2-categories.
A.1. **Unique inverse lemma.** We first show the existence and uniqueness of a weak inverse for a weakly horizontally invertible square with respect to fixed horizontal adjoint equivalence data.

**Lemma A.1.1.** Let \( \alpha : (u_\mathcal{A}^f, v_\mathcal{A}) \) be a weakly horizontally invertible square in a double category \( \mathcal{A} \). Suppose \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) and \((f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\) are horizontal adjoint equivalences. Then there is a unique square \( \beta : (v_\mathcal{A}, g_\mathcal{A}) \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) which is the weak inverse of \( \alpha \) with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) and \((f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\).

**Proof.** Since \( \alpha \) is weakly horizontally invertible, by definition, there is a weak inverse \( \gamma \) of \( \alpha \) with respect to horizontal adjoint equivalence data \((f, h, \mu, \delta)\) and \((f', h', \mu', \delta')\). We define \( \beta \) to be given by the following pasting.

We check that \( \beta \) is a weak inverse of \( \alpha \) with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) and \((f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\). We have that
where the first equality holds by definition of $\beta$, the second by the triangle identities for $(\eta, \epsilon)$ and $(\eta', \epsilon')$, and the last by definition of $\gamma$ being a weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, h, \mu, \delta)$ and $(f', h', \mu', \delta')$. The other pasting equality for $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\epsilon^{-1}$, and $\epsilon'$ also holds by definition of $\gamma$ being a weak inverse of $\alpha$, and by the triangle identities for $(\mu, \delta)$ and $(\mu', \delta')$. This shows that $\beta$ is a weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ and $(f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$.

Now suppose that $\beta': (v'g', u')$ is another weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ and $(f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$. Then we have that
where the second equality holds by definition of $\beta$ being a weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ and $(f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$, the third by definition of $\beta'$ being a weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ and $(f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$, and the last by the triangle identities for $(\eta, \epsilon)$ and $(\eta', \epsilon')$. This shows that $\beta' = \beta$ and therefore such a weak inverse is unique. \hfill \Box

A.2. Weakly horizontally invertible square in $H \mathcal{A}$, $H^\approx \mathcal{A}$, and $L^\approx \mathcal{A}$. We first show that weakly horizontally invertible square with trivial vertical boundaries correspond to vertically invertible squares between horizontal equivalences.

Lemma A.2.1. Let $\alpha$ be a square in a double category $\mathcal{A}$ of the form

$$
\begin{array}{c}
A \\ \alpha \\ A
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
B \\ B \\ B
\end{array}
$$

where $f$ and $f'$ are horizontal equivalences in $\mathcal{A}$. Then the square $\alpha$ is weakly horizontally invertible if and only if it is vertically invertible.

Proof. Suppose first that $\alpha$ is weakly horizontally invertible. Let $\beta$ be its weak inverse with respect to horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ and $(f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$. We define $\gamma$ to be given by the following pasting.

We show that $\gamma$ is a vertical inverse of $\alpha$. We have that
where the first equality holds by definition of $\gamma$, the second by definition of $\beta$ being a weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ and $(f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$, and the last by the triangle identities for $(\eta, \epsilon)$. Similarly, one can show that the other vertical composite gives the vertical identity $e_{f'}$ by using the definition of $\beta$ being a weak inverse of $\alpha$, and the triangle identities for $(\eta', \epsilon')$. This shows that $\alpha$ is vertically invertible with $\alpha^{-1} = \gamma$.

Suppose now that $\alpha$ is vertically invertible. Let $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ be an adjoint equivalence data and define $\eta'$ and $\epsilon'$ to be the following pasting.

Then $(f', g, \eta', \epsilon')$ is a horizontal adjoint equivalence, and $e_{g}$ is a weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ and $(f', g, \eta', \epsilon')$. This shows that $\alpha$ is weakly horizontally invertible. □

**Remark A.2.2.** Given a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$, Lemma A.2.1 shows that a square in $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}$ is weakly horizontally invertible if and only if its associated 2-cell is invertible.

We now use the result above to characterize the weakly horizontally invertible squares in $\mathcal{H}^{\simeq}\mathcal{A}$ as invertible 2-cells.

**Lemma A.2.3.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a 2-category and let $\alpha: (u \overset{f'}{\rightarrow} v)$ be a square in $\mathcal{H}^{\simeq}\mathcal{A}$, where $f$ and $f'$ are equivalences in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\alpha$ is weakly horizontally invertible if and only if its associated 2-cell $\alpha: vf \Rightarrow f'u$ is invertible.

**Proof.** Consider a square $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\simeq}\mathcal{A}$ of the form
where \( f \) and \( f' \) are horizontal equivalences. Note that the 2-cell \( \alpha: vf \Rightarrow f'u \) also gives rise to a square in \( \mathbb{H} \cong A \)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{f} B \\
\downarrow \alpha \quad \downarrow \beta \\
A' \xrightarrow{f'} B',
\end{array}
\]

where the composites \( vf \) and \( f'u \) are horizontal equivalences. We show that \( \alpha \) is weakly horizontally invertible if and only if its associated square \( \overline{\alpha} \) is weakly horizontally invertible. We can then conclude by applying Lemma A.2.1.

Let us fix adjoint equivalence data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon)\) and \((f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\). Suppose first that the following square in \( \mathbb{H} \cong A \)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
B \xrightarrow{g} A \\
\downarrow \beta \quad \downarrow \gamma \\
B' \xrightarrow{g'} A'
\end{array}
\]

is a weak inverse of \( \alpha \) with respect to the adjoint equivalence data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon), (f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\). Then its mate

\[
\begin{array}{c}
B' \xrightarrow{v'} B \xrightarrow{g} A \\
\downarrow \beta \quad \downarrow \gamma \\
B' \xrightarrow{g'} A'
\end{array}
\]

is a weak inverse for the square \( \overline{\alpha} \) with respect to the composite of adjoint equivalence data \((vf, gv', (g* \eta_0 * f)\eta, \epsilon_v(v * \epsilon * v'))\) and \((f'u, u'g', (u' * \eta' * u)\eta_u, \epsilon'(f' * \epsilon_u * g'))\), where \(*\) denotes the whisker of a morphism and a 2-cell. This follows from the triangle identities for \((\eta_u, \epsilon_u)\) and \((\eta_v, \epsilon_v)\) and the definition of \( \beta \) being a weak inverse of \( \alpha \) with respect to the adjoint equivalence data \((f, g, \eta, \epsilon), (f', g', \eta', \epsilon')\).

Conversely, suppose that the following square in \( \mathbb{H} \cong A \)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
B' \xrightarrow{v'} B \xrightarrow{g} A \\
\downarrow \beta \\
B' \xrightarrow{g'} A'
\end{array}
\]

is a weak inverse of \( \overline{\alpha} \) with respect to the composite of adjoint equivalence data \((vf, gv', (g* \eta_0 * f)\eta, \epsilon_v(v * \epsilon * v'))\) and \((f'u, u'g', (u' * \eta' * u)\eta_u, \epsilon'(f' * \epsilon_u * g'))\). Then its mate
is a weak inverse of $\alpha$ with respect to the adjoint equivalence data $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$, $(f', g', \eta', \epsilon')$. \qed

In particular, we can see that a 2-cell in $L^\approx A$ corresponding to a weakly horizontally invertible square in a double category $A$ is invertible.

**Lemma A.2.4.** Consider the left adjoint $L^\approx : \text{DblCat} \to \text{2Cat}$ of the functor $\mathbb{H}^\approx$ and let $A$ be a double category.

(i) If $f : A \to B$ is a horizontal equivalence in $A$, then the corresponding morphism $f : A \to B$ in $L^\approx A$ is an equivalence.

(ii) If $\alpha : (u f f' v)$ is a weakly horizontally invertible square in $A$, then the corresponding 2-cell

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \xrightarrow{f} B \\
u \downarrow & \Downarrow \alpha \Downarrow v \\
A' & \xrightarrow{f'} B'
\end{align*}
$$

in $L^\approx A$ is invertible.

**Proof.** Given a horizontal equivalence $(f, g, \eta, \epsilon)$ in $A$, then there are corresponding morphisms $f$ and $g$ and corresponding invertible 2-cells $\eta : \text{id} \cong gf$ and $\epsilon : fg \cong \text{id}$ in $L^\approx A$, i.e., this is the data of an equivalence in $L^\approx A$. This proves (i).

Now, given a weakly horizontally invertible square $\alpha : (u f f' v)$, then the corresponding morphisms $f$ and $f'$ are equivalences in $L^\approx A$ by (i). The relations expressing the fact that $\alpha$ is a weakly horizontally invertible square in $A$ translate to relations in $\mathbb{H}^\approx L^\approx A$ implying that the corresponding square

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \xrightarrow{f} B \\
u \downarrow & \Downarrow \alpha \Downarrow v \\
A' & \xrightarrow{f'} B'
\end{align*}
$$

is weakly horizontally invertible in $\mathbb{H}^\approx L^\approx A$. By Lemma A.2.3 we obtain that the associated 2-cell $\alpha : vf \Rightarrow f'u$ is invertible. \qed

**A.3. Horizontal pseudo-natural equivalences.** We now give complete definitions of the morphisms and 2-cells of the hom 2-category $\text{H}[I, A]_{ps}$ of double functors defined in Definition 2.1.15.
Definition A.3.1. Let $F, G : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}$ be double functors. A **horizontal pseudo-natural transformation** $\varphi : F \Rightarrow G$ consists of

(i) a horizontal morphism $\varphi_i : F_i \to G_i$ in $\mathcal{A}$, for each object $i \in \mathcal{I}$,

(ii) a square $\varphi_u : (F_u \varphi_i G_u)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, for each vertical morphism $u : i \leftrightarrow i'$ in $\mathcal{I}$,

(iii) a vertically invertible square in $\mathcal{A}$

$$Fi \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} Gi \xrightarrow{Gf} Gj,$$

for each horizontal morphism $f : i \to j$ in $\mathcal{I}$,

such that the following conditions hold:

1. for every object $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\varphi_{ei} = e_{\varphi_i} : (e_{Fi} \varphi_i e_{Gi})$,

2. for every pair of composable vertical morphisms $u : i \leftrightarrow i'$ and $v : i' \leftrightarrow i''$ in $\mathcal{I}$,

3. for every object $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\varphi_{id_i} = e_{\varphi_i} : (e_{Fi} \varphi_i e_{Gi})$,

4. for every pair of composable horizontal morphisms $f : i \to j$ and $g : j \to k$ in $\mathcal{I}$,

5. for every $\alpha : (u f', v)$ in $\mathcal{I}$,

Definition A.3.2. Let $\varphi, \psi : F \Rightarrow G$ be horizontal pseudo-natural transformations between double functors $F, G : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}$. A **modification** $\mu : \varphi \to \psi$ consists of a square $\mu_i : (e_{Fi} \varphi_i e_{Gi})$ in $\mathcal{A}$, for each object $i \in \mathcal{I}$, such that

1. for every horizontal morphisms $f : i \to j$ in $\mathcal{I}$,
In particular, we show that an equivalence in \( H[I, A]_{\text{ps}} \) is precisely a horizontal pseudo-natural transformations whose squares components are weakly horizontally invertible squares.

**Lemma A.3.3.** Let \( \varphi : F \Rightarrow G \) be a horizontal pseudo-natural transformation between double functors \( F, G : I \to A \). Then \( \varphi \) is an equivalence in the 2-category \( H[I, A]_{\text{ps}} \) if and only if the square \( \varphi_u : (Fu \overset{\varphi_i}{\Rightarrow} Gu) \) is weakly horizontally invertible, for every vertical morphism \( u : i \leftrightarrow i' \) in \( I \). In particular, the horizontal morphism \( \varphi_i : Fi \to Gi \) is a horizontal equivalence, for every object \( i \in I \).

**Proof.** Suppose first that \( (\varphi, \psi, \eta, \epsilon) \) is an equivalence in the 2-category \( H[I, A]_{\text{ps}} \), i.e., we have the data of horizontal pseudo-natural transformations \( \varphi : F \Rightarrow G \) and \( \psi : G \Rightarrow F \) together with invertible modifications \( \eta : \text{id}_F \cong \psi \varphi \) and \( \epsilon : \varphi \psi \cong \text{id}_G \). By applying condition (2) of Definition [A.3.2]{A.3.2} to the modifications \( \eta \) and \( \epsilon \), we directly get that \( (\varphi_u, \psi_u) \) are weak inverses with respect to the horizontal equivalence data \( (\varphi_i, \psi_i, \eta_i, \epsilon_i) \) and \( (\varphi_{i'}, \psi_{i'}, \eta_{i'}, \epsilon_{i'}) \), for every vertical morphism \( u : i \leftrightarrow i' \) in \( A \). This shows that every square \( \varphi_u \) is weakly horizontally invertible.

Now suppose that the square \( \varphi_u : (Fu \overset{\varphi_i}{\Rightarrow} Gu) \) is weakly horizontally invertible, for every vertical morphism \( u : i \leftrightarrow i' \) in \( I \). For each object \( i \in I \), let us fix a horizontal adjoint equivalence data \( (\varphi_i, \psi_i, \eta_i, \epsilon_i) \). For each vertical morphism \( u : i \leftrightarrow i' \) in \( I \), we denote by \( \psi_u : (Gu \overset{\psi_i}{\Rightarrow} Fu) \) the unique weak inverse of \( \varphi_u \) given by Lemma [A.1.1]{A.1.1} with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data \( (\varphi_i, \psi_i, \eta_i, \epsilon_i) \) and \( (\varphi_{i'}, \psi_{i'}, \eta_{i'}, \epsilon_{i'}) \).

We define a horizontal pseudo-natural transformation \( \psi : G \Rightarrow F \) which is given by the horizontal morphism \( \psi_i : Gi \to Fi \), at each object \( i \in I \), the square \( \psi_u : (Gu \overset{\psi_i}{\Rightarrow} Fu) \), at each vertical morphism \( u : i \leftrightarrow i' \) in \( I \), and by the vertically invertible square \( \psi_f \).
at each horizontal morphism \( f : i \to j \) in \( \mathbb{I} \). We show that this data assemble into a horizontal pseudo-natural transformation \( \psi : G \Rightarrow F \) by verifying conditions (1)-(5) of Definition A.3.1. We have (1), since \( \psi e_i \) is the inverse of \( \varphi e_i \), which is unique by Lemma A.1.1 and therefore must be equal to \( e_{\psi i} \). Condition (2) follows from the fact that the vertical composite of \( \psi u \) and \( \psi v \), and the square \( \psi u \psi v \) are both weak inverse of \( \varphi u v \) with respect to the horizontal adjoint equivalence data \((\varphi_i, \psi_i, \eta_i, \epsilon_i)\) and \((\varphi_{iv}, \psi_{iv}, \eta_{iv}, \epsilon_{iv})\); they must therefore be equal since such a weak inverse is unique by Lemma A.1.1 Conditions (3) and (4) follow from the definition of \( \psi_f \) and the triangle identities for \((\eta_i, \epsilon_i)\), for each \( i \in \mathbb{I} \). The last condition follows from the definition of \( \psi_f \) and condition (5) for the horizontal pseudo-natural transformation \( \varphi \). Moreover, it is straightforward to check that the vertically invertible squares \( \eta_i \) and \( \epsilon_i \) assemble into invertible modifications \( \eta : \text{id}_F \cong \psi \varphi \) and \( \epsilon : \varphi \psi \cong \text{id}_G \). This shows that \((\varphi, \psi, \eta, \epsilon)\) is an equivalence in \( \mathbf{H}[\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}]_{ps} \). \( \square \)

**Appendix B. Explicit description of the nerves in lower dimensions**

In this appendix, we describe the nerve of the different double categories considered in this paper in lower dimensions; namely, for \( 0 \leq m, k \leq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq n \leq 2 \). The aim of these descriptions is to give the intuition that the space of the nerve at \((m, k) = (0, 0)\) is indeed the space of objects, the one at \((m, k) = (1, 0)\) the space of horizontal morphisms, the one at \((m, k) = (0, 1)\) the space of vertical morphisms, and the one at \((m, k) = (1, 1)\) the space of squares of the double category. In Appendix B.1 we first describe the nerve \( \mathbb{N} \) of a general double category. Then, in Appendix B.2 we describe the nerve \( \mathbb{NH} \cong \mathbb{N} \) of a 2-category. Finally, in Appendix B.3 we also describe the nerve \( \mathbb{NH} \) of a 2-category, in order to compare it with its fibrant replacement \( \mathbb{NH}^\sim \).

**B.1. Nerve of a double category.** Let \( \mathbb{A} \) be a double category. We want to describe the 0-, 1-, and 2-simplices of the space \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{A})_{m,k}\) for \( 0 \leq m, k \leq 1 \).

**Description B.1.1.** By definition of \( \mathbb{N} \), we have that

\[
(\mathbb{N} \mathbb{A})_{m,k,n} = \text{DblCat}(\mathcal{V}O_2^\sim(k) \otimes O_2^\sim(m)) \otimes O_2^\sim(n), \mathbb{N} \mathbb{A})
\]

\[
\cong \text{2Cat}(O_2^\sim(n), \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O_2^\sim(k) \otimes O_2^\sim(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps})
\]

Therefore we can describe the 0-, 1-, and 2-simplices of the space \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{A})_{m,k}\) as follows.

1. A 0-simplex in \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{A})_{m,k}\) is a double functor \( F : \mathcal{V}O_2^\sim(k) \otimes O_2^\sim(m) \to \mathbb{A} \).
2. A 1-simplex in \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{A})_{m,k}\) is an adjoint equivalence in \( \mathbf{H}[\mathcal{V}O_2^\sim(k) \otimes O_2^\sim(m), \mathbb{A}]_{ps} \), i.e., by Lemma A.3.3, a horizontal pseudo-natural transformation

\[
\mathcal{V}O_2^\sim(k) \otimes O_2^\sim(m) \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{A}
\]

\[
\varphi \quad \psi
\]

\[
\mathbb{A}
\]

\[
\eta \quad \epsilon
\]
such that, the horizontal morphism \( \varphi_i: F_i \to G_i \) is a horizontal adjoint equivalence, for each object \( i \in \mathcal{V}_\sim \), and the square \( \varphi_u: (F u \varphi_i, G u) \) is weakly horizontally invertible, for each vertical morphism \( u \) in \( \mathcal{V}_\sim \). In what follows, we call such a \( \varphi \) a **horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence** and we write \( \varphi: F \Rightarrow G \).

(2) A 2-simplex is the data of three horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalences \( \varphi: F \Rightarrow G, \psi: G \Rightarrow H \), and \( \theta: F \Rightarrow H \) together with an invertible modification \( \mu \) as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
F \xleftarrow{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\psi} G \\
\downarrow{\mu} \downarrow{\theta} \\
H
\end{array}
\]

We first compute the space \((\mathcal{N}A)_{0,0}\), which is given by the space of objects. As expected from the completeness condition being in the horizontal direction, its 0-simplices are given by the objects, and its 1-simplices by the horizontal adjoint equivalences.

**Description B.1.2** \((m = 0, k = 0)\). We describe the space \((\mathcal{N}A)_{0,0}\). First note that the double category \( \mathcal{V}_\sim \) is the terminal (double) category.

(0) A 0-simplex in \((\mathcal{N}A)_{0,0}\) is a double functor \( A: [0] \to \mathcal{A} \), i.e., the data of an object \( A \in \mathcal{A} \).

(1) A 1-simplex in the space \((\mathcal{N}A)_{0,0}\) is a horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence \( \varphi: A \Rightarrow B \), i.e., the data of a horizontal adjoint equivalence \( \varphi: A \Rightarrow C \) in \( \mathcal{A} \).

(2) A 2-simplex in \((\mathcal{N}A)_{0,0}\) is an invertible modification \( \mu: \theta \cong \psi \varphi \) between such horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalences, i.e., the data of a vertically invertible square in \( \mathcal{A} \)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\theta} & E \\
\downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{\cong} \\
\cong & \mu \parallel & \\
A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \psi \rightarrow E.
\end{array}
\]

We now turn our intention to the space of horizontal morphisms \((\mathcal{N}A)_{1,0}\). We observe that the squares appearing as \( n \)-simplices of this space all have trivial vertical boundaries. In particular, this prevents a completeness condition for \((\mathcal{N}A)_{1,-}\) for a general double category.

**Description B.1.3** \((m = 1, k = 0)\). We describe the space \((\mathcal{N}A)_{1,0}\). First note that \( \mathcal{V}_\sim (0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\sim (1) = \mathcal{H}[1] \) is the free double category on a horizontal morphism.

(0) A 0-simplex in \((\mathcal{N}A)_{1,0}\) is a double functor \( f: \mathcal{H}[1] \to \mathcal{A} \), i.e., the data of a horizontal morphism \( f: A \to B \) in \( \mathcal{A} \).

(1) A 1-simplex in the space \((\mathcal{N}A)_{1,0}\) is a horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence \( \varphi: f \Rightarrow g \), i.e., the data of two horizontal adjoint equivalences \( \varphi_0: A \Rightarrow C \) and \( \varphi_1: B \Rightarrow D \) together with a vertically invertible square in \( \mathcal{A} \)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & C & \xrightarrow{g} & D \\
\downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{\parallel} & & \downarrow{\cong} \\
A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \varphi_1 \rightarrow D.
\end{array}
\]
(2) A 2-simplex in \((N\mathbb{A})_{1,0}\) is an invertible modification \(\mu: \theta \cong \psi\varphi\) between such horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalences, i.e., the data of two vertically invertible squares \(\mu_0\) and \(\mu_1\) in \(\mathbb{A}\) satisfying the following pasting equality.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{\theta_0} E \xrightarrow{h} F \\
\downarrow \cong \downarrow \mu_0 \downarrow \\
A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} C \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} E \xrightarrow{\psi_0} F \\
\downarrow \cong \downarrow \psi_0 \downarrow \\
A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} D \xrightarrow{\psi_1} F
\end{array} = \begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{\theta_0} E \xrightarrow{h} F \\
\downarrow \theta_0 \downarrow \\
A \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} C \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} E \xrightarrow{\psi_1} F \\
\downarrow \psi_1 \downarrow \\
A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} D \xrightarrow{\psi_1} F
\end{array}
\]

We now compute the lower simplices of the space \((N\mathbb{A})_{0,1}\) – the space of vertical morphisms. As expected from the horizontally complete condition, its 0-simplices are given by the vertical morphisms, and its 1-simplices by the weakly horizontally invertible squares.

**Description B.1.4** \((m = 0, k = 1)\). We describe the space \((N\mathbb{A})_{0,1}\). First note that \(\mathbb{V}_0 \cong \mathbb{O}_0 \boxtimes \mathbb{O}_0 = \mathbb{V}[1]\) is the free double category on a vertical morphism.

(0) A 0-simplex in \((N\mathbb{A})_{0,1}\) is a double functor \(u: \mathbb{V}[1] \to \mathbb{A}\), i.e., the data of a vertical morphism \(u: A \to A'\) in \(\mathbb{A}\).

(1) A 1-simplex in the space \((N\mathbb{A})_{0,1}\) is a horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence \(\varphi: u \xrightarrow{\cong} w\), i.e., the data of two horizontal adjoint equivalences \(\varphi: A \xrightarrow{\cong} C\) and \(\varphi': A' \xrightarrow{\cong} C'\) together with a weakly horizontally invertible square in \(\mathbb{A}\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{\varphi} C \\
\downarrow \varphi \downarrow \\
A' \xrightarrow{\varphi'} C'
\end{array}
\]

(2) A 2-simplex in \((N\mathbb{A})_{0,1}\) is an invertible modification \(\mu: \theta \cong \psi\varphi\) between such horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalences, i.e., the data of two vertically invertible squares \(\mu\) and \(\mu'\) in \(\mathbb{A}\) satisfying the following pasting equality.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{\theta} E \\
\downarrow \mu \downarrow \\
A \xrightarrow{\varphi} C \xrightarrow{\psi} E \\
\downarrow \varphi \downarrow \psi \downarrow \\
A' \xrightarrow{\varphi'} C' \xrightarrow{\psi'} E'
\end{array} = \begin{array}{c}
A \xrightarrow{\theta} E \\
\downarrow \theta \downarrow \\
A' \xrightarrow{\theta'} E' \\
\downarrow \theta' \downarrow \\
A' \xrightarrow{\varphi'} C' \xrightarrow{\psi'} E'
\end{array}
\]

Finally, we consider the space of squares \((N\mathbb{A})_{1,1}\).

**Description B.1.5** \((m = 1, k = 1)\). We describe the space \((N\mathbb{A})_{1,1}\). First note that \(\mathbb{V}_0 \boxtimes \mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{V}[1] \times \mathbb{H}[1]\) is the free double category on a square.

(0) A 0-simplex in \((N\mathbb{A})_{1,1}\) is a double functor \(\alpha: \mathbb{V}[1] \times \mathbb{H}[1] \to \mathbb{A}\), i.e., the data of a square \(\alpha\) in \(\mathbb{A}\).
A DOUBLE ($\infty,1$)-CATEGORICAL NERVE FOR DOUBLE Categories

(1) A 1-simplex in the space $(\mathbb{NA})_{1,1}$ is a horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalence $\varphi: \alpha \overset{\cong}{\Rightarrow} \beta$, i.e., the data of four horizontal adjoint equivalences $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi'_0, \text{ and } \varphi'_1$, two vertically invertible squares $\varphi$ and $\varphi'$, and two weakly horizontally invertible squares $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ in $A$ fitting in the following pasting equality.

(2) A 2-simplex in $(\mathbb{NA})_{1,1}$ is an invertible modification $\mu: \theta \cong \psi \varphi$ between such horizontal pseudo-natural adjoint equivalences, i.e., the data of four vertically invertible squares in $A$.

such that

- $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ satisfies the pasting equality as in Description B.1.3 (2) with respect to $\varphi$, $\psi$, and $\theta$,
- $(\mu'_0, \mu'_1)$ satisfies the pasting equality as in Description B.1.3 (2) with respect to $\varphi'$, $\psi'$, and $\theta'$,
- $(\mu_0, \mu'_0)$ satisfies the pasting equality as in Description B.1.4 (2) with respect to $\tilde{\varphi}_0$, $\tilde{\psi}_0$, and $\tilde{\theta}_0$,
- $(\mu_1, \mu'_1)$ satisfies the pasting equality as in Description B.1.4 (2) with respect to $\tilde{\varphi}_1$, $\tilde{\psi}_1$, and $\tilde{\theta}_1$.

B.2. Nerve of a 2-category. By computing the nerve of a 2-category, we expect to see the space of objects at $(m,k) = (0,0)$, the space of morphisms at $(m,k) = (1,0)$, and the space of 2-cells at $(m,k) = (1,1)$, while the space at $(m,k) = (0,1)$ should be homotopically the same as the space of objects.

Let $A$ be a 2-category. Recall that its nerve is given by the nerve of its associated double category $\mathbb{H}^\cong A$. We therefore translate Descriptions B.1.2 to B.1.5 to this setting. In particular, we first obtain the space of objects $(\mathbb{NH}^\cong A)_{0,0}$, whose 0-simplices are the
objects, and whose 1-simplices are the adjoint equivalences of \( A \), as expected by the completeness condition.

**Description B.2.1** \((m = 0, k = 0)\). We describe the space \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,0}\).

1. A 0-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,0}\) is the data of an object \( A \in A \).
2. A 1-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,0}\) is the data of an adjoint equivalence \( A \simeq C \) in \( A \).
3. A 2-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,0}\) is the data of an invertible 2-cell as in the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & E \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
C & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & D
\end{array}
\]

As for the space of morphisms \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{1,0}\), we can see that the completeness condition is now satisfied for \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{1,-}\), since vertical morphisms are now adjoint equivalences in \( A \) and they therefore also appear in the horizontal direction.

**Description B.2.2** \((m = 1, k = 0)\). We describe the space \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{1,0}\).

1. A 0-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{1,0}\) is the data of a morphism \( f : A \to B \) in \( A \).
2. A 1-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{1,0}\) is the data of two adjoint equivalences and an invertible 2-cell in \( A \) as in the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\
B & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & D
\end{array}
\]

(2) A 2-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{1,0}\) is the data of two invertible 2-cells filling the triangles of the following pasting equality.

The space \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,1}\) is actually given by the space of adjoint equivalences. Since the “free-living adjoint equivalence” is biequivalent to the point, this space can be interpreted as “homotopically the same” as the space of objects.

**Description B.2.3** \((m = 0, k = 1)\). We describe the space \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,1}\).

1. A 0-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,1}\) is the data of an adjoint equivalence \( u : A \simeq A' \) in \( A \).
2. A 1-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,1}\) is the data of an invertible 2-cell as in the following diagram, by Lemma A.2.3

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C \\
\downarrow \circ \simeq & & \downarrow \circ \simeq \\
A' & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & C'
\end{array}
\]

(2) A 2-simplex in \((\mathbb{NH}^\simeq A)_{0,1}\) is the data of two invertible 2-cells filling the triangles of the following pasting equality.
Finally, we compute the space of 2-cells \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{1,1}\). Although its 0-simplices are not precisely the 2-cells of \(\mathbb{A}\), homotopically they give the right notion as the vertical morphisms \(u\) and \(v\) in the square below are adjoint equivalences.

**Description B.2.4.** We describe the space \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{1,1}\).

1. A 0-simplex in \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{1,1}\) is the data of a 2-cell in \(\mathbb{A}\) as in the following diagram.

2. A 1-simplex in \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{1,1}\) is the data of four adjoint equivalences and four invertible 2-cells in \(\mathbb{A}\) as in the following diagram.

3. A 2-simplex in \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{1,1}\) is the data of four invertible 2-cells filling triangles satisfying relations as described in Description B.2.2 (2) and Description B.2.3 (2).

**B.3. Nerve of a horizontal double category.** Finally, we want to compute the nerve of a horizontal double category \(\mathbb{H} \mathbb{A}\), where \(\mathbb{A}\) is a 2-category, in order to compare it with the nerve \(\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A}\) described above. Since \(\mathbb{H} \mathbb{A}\) and \(\mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A}\) have the same underlying horizontal 2-category, namely \(\mathbb{A}\) itself, then the spaces \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H} \mathbb{A})_{0,0}\) and \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H} \mathbb{A})_{1,0}\) are equal to the spaces \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{0,0}\) and \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{1,0}\) and they can therefore be described as in Descriptions B.2.1 and B.2.2, respectively. In particular, they are the desired space of objects and space of morphisms.

We now turn our intention to the space \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H} \mathbb{A})_{0,1}\). Unlike \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H}^\infty \mathbb{A})_{0,1}\), this space has 0-simplices the objects of \(\mathbb{A}\). This prohibits a completeness condition in the vertical direction since equalities are not homotopically good enough.

**Description B.3.1** \((m = 0, k = 1)\). We describe the space \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H} \mathbb{A})_{0,1}\).

1. A 0-simplex in \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H} \mathbb{A})_{0,1}\) is the data of an object \(A \in \mathbb{A}\).
2. A 1-simplex in \((\mathbb{N} \mathbb{H} \mathbb{A})_{0,1}\) is the data of an invertible 2-cell as in the following diagram, by Lemma A.2.1.
(2) A 2-simplex in \((\mathcal{H} \mathcal{A})_{0,1}\) is the data of two invertible 2-cells filling the triangles of the following pasting equality.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {A};
\node (B) at (2,0) {B};
\node (C) at (1,-1) {C};
\node (D) at (3,-1) {D};
\node (E) at (2,1) {E};
\draw[->] (A) to node[auto] {$f$} (B);
\draw[->] (A) to node[auto] {$f'$} (B);
\draw[->] (B) to node[auto] {$g$} (D);
\draw[->] (C) to node[auto] {$g'$} (D);
\draw[->] (C) to node[auto] {$\alpha$} (B);
\draw[->] (A) to node[auto] {$\sim$} (C);
\draw[->] (D) to node[auto] {$\sim$} (E);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}
\]

Finally, we compute the space of 2-cells \((\mathcal{H} \mathcal{A})_{1,1}\), which appears to have precisely the 2-cells of \(\mathcal{A}\) as 0-simplices. However, as explained above, this description is not homotopically well-behaved, since we would also need to consider adjoint equivalences in the vertical direction.

**Description B.3.2.** We describe the space \((\mathcal{H} \mathcal{A})_{1,1}\).

0) A 0-simplex in \((\mathcal{H} \mathcal{A})_{1,1}\) is the data of a 2-cell in \(\mathcal{A}\)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {A};
\node (B) at (2,0) {B};
\draw[->] (A) to node[auto] {$f$} (B);
\draw[->] (B) to node[auto] {$f'$} (A);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}
\]

1) A 1-simplex in \((\mathcal{H} \mathcal{A})_{1,1}\) is the data of four adjoint equivalences and four invertible 2-cells in \(\mathcal{A}\) as in the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (A) at (0,0) {A};
\node (B) at (2,0) {B};
\node (C) at (1,-1) {C};
\node (D) at (3,-1) {D};
\draw[->] (A) to node[auto] {$f$} (B);
\draw[->] (A) to node[auto] {$f'$} (B);
\draw[->] (B) to node[auto] {$g$} (D);
\draw[->] (C) to node[auto] {$g'$} (D);
\draw[->] (C) to node[auto] {$\alpha$} (B);
\draw[->] (A) to node[auto] {$\sim$} (C);
\draw[->] (D) to node[auto] {$\sim$} (E);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{array}
\]

2) A 2-simplex in \((\mathcal{H} \mathcal{A})_{1,1}\) is the data of four invertible 2-cells filling triangles satisfying relations as described in Description B.2.2 (2) and Description B.3.1 (2).

**References**


**E-mail address**: lyne.moser@epfl.ch