An Application of Newsboy Problem in Supply Chain Optimisation of Online Fashion E-Commerce

K Chandramouli*
chandramouli.k@myntra.com
Data Science Division
Myntra Designs
Bangalore, Karnataka, India

A Gopinath*
gopinath.a@myntra.com
Supply Chain Management
Myntra Designs
Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Nachiappan Sundaram*
nachiappan.sundaram@myntra.com
Data Science Division
Myntra Designs
Bangalore, Karnataka, India

T Ravindra Babu
ravindra.babu@myntra.com
Data Science Division
Myntra Designs
Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Chaithanya Bandi
chaithanya.bandi@myntra.com
Kellogg School of Management
Illinois, USA

arXiv:2007.02510v1 [stat.AP] 6 Jul 2020

ABSTRACT

We describe a supply chain optimization model deployed in an online fashion e-commerce company in India called Myntra. Our model is simple, elegant and easy to put into service. The model utilizes historic data and predicts the quantity of Stock Keeping Units (SKUs) to hold so that the metrics “Fulfilment Index" and “Utilization Index" are optimized. We present the mathematics central to our model as well as compare the performance of our model with baseline regression based solutions.

KEYWORDS

Newsboy Problem, Fulfilment Index (FI), Utilization Index (UI), Stock Keeping Unit (SKU)

1 INTRODUCTION

The single-item single-period newsboy problem has been extensively studied in the literature. It is still an active research problem with several extensions to real-world scenarios. In its basic formulation the problem aims to obtain a replenishment strategy for a perishable item with a stochastic demand such that the expected profit is optimized. Many extensions and modifications that introduce additional complexity to this basic formulation are proposed in the literature.

Some of the research effort on extensions of newsboy problem are focused on businesses that wish to maximize expected profit with diverse range of assumptions on demand distribution and inventory models. For example [9] assumes additive as well as multiplicative price-demand relationship in the newsboy problem to maximize the expected profit. [3] presents a multi-period model for inventory control with price-dependent stochastic demand. In [10], a variation of newsboy problem is considered where the objective is to maximize the minimum profit given only the mean and variance of the demand distribution. Moreover it has been shown that the distribution that achieves this objective is close to a Poisson distribution.

Other modifications to classical newsboy problem include multi-item considerations [5, 7] with capacity constraints for the items, multi-location models [1, 2] with dependence on season. Recently deep-learning based methods have also been employed [8, 11] to solve the newsboy problem by mitigating the dependency on demand distribution.

In the area of e-commerce [4, 6] invoke newsboy problem and describe models similar to our model. However our primary focus is on fashion e-commerce. Here, as a consequence of dynamic nature of fashion and limited total quantity of a typical style/item, we face challenges like limited history of sales, frequent change of products on the platform etc. We approach these challenges with a simple choice for demand distribution based on heuristics.

In our work in fashion e-commerce we model the problem of systematic placement of inventory as an instance of newsboy problem and obtain an efficient algorithm that optimizes our business metrics “Fulfilment Index" and “Utilization Index". Our key contributions are summarised as follows.

Our contributions:

- We define and mathematically formulate our business objectives.
- We model the problem of optimization of our objectives as an instance of newsboy problem and solve it analytically to obtain globally optimal solution.
- Based on heuristic analysis of this optimal solution, we develop a SKU allocation algorithm.
- We establish better performance of our algorithm compared to regression based models to solve the SKU allocation problem on real world data.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Most business organizations admit that the supply chain is the backbone of their day to day operations. So it is critical for the supply chain to be efficient and optimized.

*Authors contributed equally to this work.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
San Diego '20, 23 August 2020, San Diego, California - USA
© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.
ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM…$15.00
https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

At Myntra our supply chain outbound works as follows. The country is divided into clusters based on the zipcode. In each cluster a local warehouse/ Forward Deployment Center (FDC) hosts a select group of products. An order of a product from the customer of a cluster first reaches the FDC of the cluster and gets delivered if it is available at the FDC. If the product is unavailable at the FDC the order is forwarded to the central warehouse/Big Box (BB).

For better customer satisfaction through faster fulfillment of orders and efficient delivery it is essential that an ordered product be available at the FDC of the cluster most of the time. One way to accomplish this task is to hold a diverse range and quantity of products at the FDC and replenish them every week. But due to space and financial constraints it is infeasible to host a large number of products at each FDC of the country. Clearly there is a trade-off between these two requirements. The following definitions quantify these requirements.

Definition 1. *Fulfilment Index (FI):*
It is defined as the ratio between number of SKUs delivered from the FDC of the cluster and number of SKUs ordered from the cluster in a week.

$$FI(cluster) = \frac{\#Delivered\ SKUs\ in\ the\ week}{\#Ordered\ SKUs\ in\ the\ week}$$

Definition 2. *Utilization Index (UI):*
It is defined as the ratio between number of SKUs predicted for sale by the model for a given FDC in a week and number of SKUs delivered from the given FDC in the previous week.

$$UI(cluster) = \frac{\#predicted\ SKUs\ for\ the\ week}{\#SKUs\ sold\ in\ the\ previous\ week}$$

Now from the definition of FI it is clear that the metric measures the efficiency of our storage. Higher values of FI signify efficient storage of high demand products and better customer service for the customers of the FDC cluster. On the other hand UI measures the space efficiency or congestion at the FDC. Moreover the ability to control this metric, accomplished by incorporating a tunable parameter r in the solution, accounts for fluctuations in manpower allotment for the SKU movement. High UI for large number of weeks signify that the FDC is getting congested. In summary a FI of 100% at 1 UI is an ideal situation where every week all the items moved to FDC are sold completely.

Also observe that FI and UI are dependent metrics. For example higher values of UI account for large and diverse range of products at FDC thereby ensuring higher values of FI but with significant storage cost as well as eviction cost of unsold items. Our objective is to come up with a solution that maximizes FI and minimizes UI simultaneously. We achieve this objective by modeling our problem as an instance of newsboy problem.

3 SOLUTION

First we define approximations for the FI of a cluster and UI of a cluster at the level of SKU and model the reduced problem as an instance of newsboy problem. To begin we setup some notation.

Given a SKU, denote by

D : Demand random variable of the given SKU
f : Probability density function of D with support in $(0, \infty)$
F : Cumulative distribution function of D
q : Quantity of a given SKU to be transported to the FDC
s : Last week sales of SKU
r : Relative importance between FI and UI
$\mathbb{E}$: Expectation with respect to F

Similar to FI/UI of a cluster we look into FI/UI of the given SKU.

$$\begin{aligned} FI(SKU) &:= \frac{\#\text{Deliveries of the SKU in the week}}{\#\text{ Orders of the SKU in the week}} \\ &= \frac{\min\{q, D\}}{D} \\ UI(SKU) &:= \frac{\#\text{Predicted quantity of the SKU for the week}}{\#\text{Quantity of the SKU sold in the previous week}} \\ &= \frac{q}{s} \end{aligned}$$

To achieve the objective consider the following allocation function of q, $a(q)$.

$$\begin{aligned} a(q) &:= \mathbb{E}\left[FI(SKU) - r\ UI(SKU)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\min\{q, D\}}{D} - r\frac{q}{s}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\min\{q, D\}}{D}\mathbb{1}_{\{D \le q\}} + \frac{\min\{q, D\}}{D}\mathbb{1}_{\{D > q\}} - r\frac{q}{s}\right] \\ &= F(q) + q\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{D}\mathbb{1}_{\{D>q\}}\right] - r\frac{q}{s} \\ &= F(q) + q\int_q^\infty \frac{1}{x}f(x)dx - r\frac{q}{s} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *q^* given by $\int_{q^*}^\infty \frac{1}{x}f(x)dx = \frac{r}{s}$ is the global maximizer of allocation function a.*

Proof. Differentiating $a(q)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} a'(q) &= f(q) + \int_q^\infty \frac{1}{x}f(x)dx - q\frac{f(q)}{q} - \frac{r}{s} \\ &= \int_q^\infty \frac{1}{x}f(x)dx - \frac{r}{s} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover on twice differentiating $a(q)$ we get

$$a''(q) = -\frac{f(q)}{q} \le 0.$$

So $a'(q^*) = 0$ and $a''(q*) \le 0$. Hence $a(q)$ is convex and q^* is a global maximizer of allocation function a. Now observe that for $q_1 < q_2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{q_1}^\infty \frac{1}{x}f(x)dx > \int_{q_2}^\infty \frac{1}{x}f(x)dx \\ \iff &\int_{q_1}^{q_2} \frac{1}{x}f(x)dx > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a'(q)$ is strictly decreasing function of q. Hence $a'(q) = 0$ has a unique solution. So q^* is the unique global maximizer of the allocation function. □

Motivated by [10], we employ the following heuristics as the demand of a SKU in the case of fashion e-commerce is a discrete distribution. We assume that each SKU follows a Poisson distribution. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_q^\infty \frac{1}{x} f(x)dx &\approx \sum_{k\geq q} \frac{1}{k} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k\geq q} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \\ &= \frac{1 - F_{\text{Poisson}}(q)}{\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

where λ is the parameter of the Poisson distribution. With this heuristics we obtain the solution

$$q^* = F^{-1}_{\text{Poisson}}(1 - \frac{\lambda r}{s})$$

4 ALGORITHM

Algorithm 1 SKU allocation algorithm

Input:
Historic weekly sales of each SKU
Output:
Quantity to allocate for each SKU

1: **procedure** ALLOCATE:
2: **for** each SKU **do**
3: Estimate the parameter of F_{Poisson} for the SKU utilizing historic weekly sales of the SKU.
4: Evaluate $q^*_{SKU} = F^{-1}_{\text{Poisson}}(1 - \frac{r\widehat{\lambda}_{\text{SKU}}}{s_{\text{SKU}}})$ for the SKU.
5: **return** q^*_{SKU} for each SKU

Our algorithm obtains a cluster level demand distribution F_{Poisson} for each SKU by Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) of the parameter of F_{Poisson} for the SKU. It is easy to see that the estimator $\widehat{\lambda}_{\text{SKU}}$ given by MLE is the mean of the historical weekly sale samples of the SKU at the cluster. Observe that $F^{-1}_{\text{Poisson}}\left(1 - \frac{r\widehat{\lambda}_{\text{SKU}}}{s_{\text{SKU}}}\right)$ is well defined if and only if $0 < \left(1 - \frac{r\widehat{\lambda}_{\text{SKU}}}{s_{\text{SKU}}}\right) \iff r\widehat{\lambda}_{\text{SKU}} < s_{\text{SKU}}$. Hence there is a recommendation q^*_{SKU} for the SKU only under the condition that the last week sales, s_{SKU}, is larger than the relative importance, r, times the mean of sales $\widehat{\lambda}_{\text{SKU}}$ of the SKU, a intuitive thing to do, and the quantity to recommend is obtained as a quantile of the demand distribution.

5 EXPERIMENTS

To evaluate and compare our algorithm we have extensively utilized the real world data available at Myntra.com. First we have chosen a target week for evaluation. Then for our algorithm 1 based on newsboy problem we prepared the dataset as described below. Given a region/FDC/cluster (see Table 1) and a SKU (see Figure 1) on our platform we obtained the weekly sales of the SKU in the region for the 9 weeks that precede the target week. As discussed earlier in Section 4 we obtain the parameter of the Poisson demand distribution of the SKU in the cluster by maximum likelihood estimation. In our case the parameter estimate is the sample mean of the 9 weekly demand samples of the SKU. We then utilize the sample mean and weekly demand sample for the week that immediately precedes the target week, denoted $\widehat{\lambda}_{SKU}$ and s_{SKU} respectively, and evaluate step 4 of the algorithm with the choice $r = 0.1$ to obtain the quantity that maximizes our allocation objective funtion. We note that the parameter r allows us to trade FI for UI and vice-versa. It enables us to handle fluctuations in the implementation of the solution. The dependency of FI and UI on r is summarised in Table 1 for a set of 12 FDCs.

We compared our algorithm with a regression based model that is an earlier production model and predicts the demand of a SKU at a cluster/FDC given features of the SKU. The regression model is an ARMAX time series model that utilizes cluster level as well as platform level features constructed from 14 week history of the SKU that precedes the target week and predicts the weekly demand of the target week. Table 3 describes dominant features of the regression model.

We summarise our observations from the comparison of the methods here. As shown in Table 2, in almost all clusters chosen we see that both FI and UI see significant improvement over baseline method. We observe that the weekly sales data the precede the target week is also utilized (see Table 3) in the benchmark algorithm. However the boost in performance, unlike in benchmark where the objective is mean square error, is a result of direct optimization of an objective that depends on FI and UI.

Figure 1: Typical SKUs in our dataset



6 CONCLUSION

We have modeled our business problem as an instance of newsboy problem and solved it. Based on heuristic analysis of this solution we proposed a SKU allocation algorithm. We have compared and shown that our algorithm has superior business metrics compared to regression based benchmark.

REFERENCES

[1] Pao-Long Chang and Chin-Tsai Lin. 1991. On the effect of centralization on expected costs in a multi-location newsboy problem. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 42, 11 (1991), 1025–1030.
[2] Miao-Sheng Chen and Chin-Tsai Lin. 1990. An example of disbenefits of centralized stocking. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 41, 3 (1990), 259–262.
[3] Awi Federgruen and Aliza Heching. 1999. Combined pricing and inventory control under uncertainty. *Operations research* 47, 3 (1999), 454–475.
[4] Aravind Govindarajan, Amitabh Sinha, and Joline Uichanco. 2017. Inventory optimization for fulfillment integration in omnichannel retailing. *Ross School of Business Working Paper* (2017).
[5] Hon-Shiang Lau and Amy Hing-Ling Lau. 1996. The newsstand problem: A capacitated multiple-product single-period inventory problem. *European Journal of Operational Research* 94 (1996), 29–42.

Table 1: Cluster wise FI and UI metrics with different r-values

Region	$r = 0.025$		$r = 0.05$		$r = 0.1$		$r = 0.2$		$r = 0.4$	
	FI	**UI**	**FI**	**UI**	**FI**	**UI**	**FI**	**UI**	**FI**	**UI**
FDC_1	44%	3.07	44%	2.92	36%	0.85	35%	0.67	32%	0.57
FDC_2	70%	2.86	69%	2.64	67%	0.97	63%	0.80	58%	0.64
FDC_3	38%	3.33	38%	3.22	31%	0.83	29%	0.67	28%	0.58
FDC_4	68%	2.53	67%	2.30	62%	0.98	58%	0.82	53%	0.64
FDC_5	44%	3.37	44%	3.18	36%	0.88	34%	0.70	31%	0.58
FDC_6	61%	3.28	60%	3.04	52%	0.98	50%	0.82	46%	0.66
FDC_7	42%	3.11	42%	2.97	35%	0.83	33%	0.67	31%	0.57
FDC_8	40%	3.10	40%	2.97	34%	0.83	32%	0.66	31%	0.57
FDC_9	54%	2.59	53%	2.38	45%	0.90	42%	0.71	38%	0.58
FDC_10	34%	3.14	35%	3.03	28%	0.75	27%	0.60	25%	0.52
FDC_11	71%	2.86	70%	2.63	69%	0.98	65%	0.81	61%	0.65
FDC_12	46%	2.84	46%	2.67	37%	0.86	35%	0.69	32%	0.58

Table 2: Comparison of cluster wise FI and UI metrics for both models

Region	Regression Model		Our Algorithm	
	FI	**UI**	**FI**	**UI**
FDC_1	25%	1.24	**36%**	**0.85**
FDC_2	47%	1.98	**67%**	**0.97**
FDC_3	18%	0.81	**31%**	**0.83**
FDC_4	54%	2.06	**62%**	**0.98**
FDC_5	21%	1.08	**36%**	**0.88**
FDC_6	41%	2.10	**52%**	**0.98**
FDC_7	21%	0.70	**35%**	**0.83**
FDC_8	21%	0.84	**34%**	0.83
FDC_9	32%	0.83	**45%**	**0.90**
FDC_10	15%	0.75	**28%**	**0.75**
FDC_11	48%	1.99	**69%**	**0.98**
FDC_12	33%	1.81	**37%**	**0.86**

Table 3: Dominant features of regression based model

Features	Description
atc_count	Add to cart count of the SKU
mrp_range	Article retail price classification
inventory_refill_days	Average days to refill the inventory from stock out
t_1:12_quantity	Weekly sales in the 12 weeks that precede the target week
three_w_max_units	Last three weeks maximum inventory in units
three_w_min_units	Last three weeks minimum inventory in units
sku_selling_rt	Ratio between cluster SKU sales and cluster style sales
sku_style_rt	Ratio between overall SKU sales and overall style sales
catalog_live_week_no_diff	Age of the product
wl_count	Wish list count

[6] Shouyu Ma, Zied Jemai, Evren Sahin, and Yves Dallery. 2017. The news-vendor problem with drop-shipping and resalable returns. *International Journal of Production Research* 55, 22 (2017), 6547–6571.

[7] Steven Nahmias and Charles P Schmidt. 1984. An efficient heuristic for the multi-item newsboy problem with a single constraint. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* 31, 3 (1984), 463–474.

[8] Afshin Oroojlooyjadid, Lawrence V Snyder, and Martin Takáč. 2020. Applying deep learning to the newsvendor problem. *IISE Transactions* 52, 4 (2020), 444–463.

[9] Nicholas C Petruzzi and Maqbool Dada. 1999. Pricing and the newsvendor problem: A review with extensions. *Operations research* 47, 2 (1999), 183–194.

[10] Herbert E Scarf. 1957. *A min-max solution of an inventory problem.* Technical Report. RAND CORP SANTA MONICA CALIF.

[11] Yanfei Zhang and Junbin Gao. 2017. Assessing the performance of deep learning algorithms for newsvendor problem. In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing*. Springer, 912–921.