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Abstract. Any two geometric ideal triangulations of a one-cusped complete hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$ are related by a sequence of Pachner moves through topological triangulations. We give a bound on the length of this sequence in terms of the total number of tetrahedra and a lower bound on dihedral angles. This leads to a naive but effective algorithm to check if two hyperbolic knots are equivalent, given geometric ideal triangulations of their complements. Given a geometric ideal triangulation of $M$, we also give a lower bound on the systole length of $M$ in terms of the number of tetrahedra and a lower bound on dihedral angles. This allows us to show that given a knot $K$ in $S^3$ and $\theta_0 > 0$, if a knot $K'$ is obtained by twisting pairs of strands of $K$ sufficiently many times then $S^3 \setminus K'$ does not admit any geometric ideal triangulation with all dihedral angles at least $\theta_0$.

1. Introduction

A basic question in knot theory is to determine when two given knots or links are equivalent. There are several algorithms to solve this equivalence problem but the complexity class of this problem is still not known.

Haken [Hak68] gave an algorithm for non-fibered knots in the sixties using a hierarchy of normal surfaces that gives a canonical cell structure on the knot complement. Equivalence then follows from a result by Gordon and Luecke [GL89] which shows that a knot is determined by the homeomorphism class of its complement (up to mirror images). Haken’s algorithm was extended to fibered knots using a solution of the conjugacy class problem for mapping class groups by Hemion [Hem79]. A complete rigorous treatment was recently given by Matveev [Mat07]. We are not aware of any explicit estimations of the complexity of this algorithm.

Thurston has classified nontrivial knots in $S^3$ into torus, satellite and hyperbolic knots. Hyperbolic knots are those whose complements in $S^3$ are complete orientable one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Generically, knots with small crossing numbers, alternating diagrams or highly twisted diagrams are hyperbolic. Given a triangulation of a hyperbolic knot complement, we can calculate a presentation of its fundamental group, which is Kleinian. Sela [Sel95] has given an algorithm to solve the isomorphism problem for Kleinian groups. By the rigidity result of Mostow-Prasad [Mos73] [Pra73], the fundamental group of a complete hyperbolic manifold determines the manifold up to isometry. Combining these results gives an algorithm for equivalence of hyperbolic knots. Sela’s algorithm though is of an

existential nature and this procedure does not lead to a practical algorithm with explicit computation bounds.

An algorithm given by Casson-Manning-Weeks\cite{Man02, Wee93} involves computing a canonical ideal polyhedral decomposition of the hyperbolic knot complement, called the Epstein-Penner decomposition\cite{EPSS}. Commonly used software to study hyperbolic manifolds like SnapPea attempt to implement their algorithm to compute this decomposition. In practice, this seems to be the most efficient way to recognise hyperbolic knots. However, unlike the Casson-Manning-Weeks algorithm SnapPea is not guaranteed to always find the Epstein-Penner decomposition. There may also floating point errors which can lead to equivalent manifolds being considered distinct\cite{Bur14}.

Algorithms with explicit computation bounds have been calculated using either Reidemiester or Pachner moves. Reidemiester moves are local changes to the diagram of the knot while bistellar or Pachner moves are local changes to a triangulation of the knot complement. As there are only 3 pairs of Reidemiester and 2 pairs of Pachner moves so an explicit bound on the number of moves needed to relate knot diagrams or triangulations of knot complements leads to an algorithm to solve the knot equivalence problem with explicit running time bounds. Coward and Lackenby\cite{CL14} have given such a bound for Reidemiester moves while Mijatovic\cite{Mij05} has given such a bound for Pachner moves. These bounds though are huge. The bound on Reidemister moves is a tower of exponentials of height $10^{10^{10^{10000000}}}$, where $m$ is the crossing number of the diagram. The bound on Pachner moves is a tower of exponentials of height $2^{2^{200\cdot m}}$, where $m$ is the number of tetrahedra in the triangulation of the knot complement.

It is conjectured that hyperbolic knot complements always have geometric ideal triangulations. Such triangulations of $M$ may not be unique, for example the complement of the Figure Eight in $S^3$ is a complete orientable one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold with infinitely many geometric ideal triangulations\cite{DD16}. Any two ideal topological triangulations of $M$ are related by a sequence of Pachner moves through topological ideal triangulations\cite{Ame05}. It is remarked in\cite{DD16} that the Figure Eight knot complement has geometric ideal triangulations which can not be related by Pachner moves through geometric ideal triangulations.

It is natural then to ask if there are better bounds for the knot equivalence problem using geometric ideal triangulations instead of topological triangulations of the knot complement. The aim of this article is obtain a substantially lower explicit bound on the number of Pachner moves needed to relate geometric ideal triangulations of hyperbolic knot complements, when the intermediate triangulations are allowed to be topological (not geometric) and have material (non-ideal) vertices.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $M$ be a complete orientable one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ be geometric ideal triangulations of $M$ with at most $m_1$ and $m_2$ many tetrahedra respectively and all dihedral angles at least $\theta_0$. Let $m = m_1 + m_2$. Then the number of Pachner moves needed to relate $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ is less than

$$\left(4.37 \times 10^{10}\right) \cdot \frac{m^{11/2}}{(\sin \theta_0)^{3m+27/2}}$$

This leads to an algorithm to solve the hyperbolic knot equivalence problem with explicit running time bounds:

**Hyperbolic knot equivalence algorithm** Let $\kappa_1$ and $\kappa_2$ be two hyperbolic knots in
Let \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) be geometric ideal triangulations of their complements with \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) many tetrahedra and dihedral angles at least \( \theta_0 \). Let \( m = m_1 + m_2 \).

The algorithm proceeds as follows: Make a list \( L \) of all triangulations that are less than \( N(m, \theta_0) \) Pachner moves away from \( \tau_1 \) where \( N(m, \theta_0) \) is the upper bound calculated in Theorem 1.1. As there are only 4 possible Pachner moves so this is a finite constructible list of triangulations. We will argue that \( \kappa_1 \) is equivalent to \( \kappa_2 \) if and only if some triangulation in \( L \) is combinatorially isomorphic to \( \tau_2 \).

If we can find such a combinatorial isomorphism then the given knot complements are homeomorphic and hence by Gordon-Luecke [GL89] the two knots are equivalent (up to mirror images). Conversely if the two knots are equivalent, then there exists a homeomorphism between their complements. By the Mostow-Prasad rigidity [Mos73] [Pra73], we may assume this homeomorphism is in fact an isometry \( h : S^3 \setminus \kappa_1 \to S^3 \setminus \kappa_2 \). By Theorem 1.1 \( \tau_1 \) and \( h^{-1}(\tau_2) \) are related by less than \( N(m, \theta_0) \) Pachner moves. Therefore \( h \) is a combinatorial isomorphism between \( h^{-1}(\tau_2) \) and \( \tau_2 \), where \( h^{-1}(\tau_2) \) is in the list \( L \).

Finite element methods which use geometric triangulations often assume that there are no slivers, i.e., tetrahedra with very small dihedral angles. We call a geometric ideal triangulation \( \theta_0 \)-thick if all its dihedral angles are at least \( \theta_0 \). In Section 1 we use the Euclidean triangulation induced on a cusp torus of \( M \) to calculate a minimum distance between the edges of a \( \theta_0 \)-thick triangulation in the thick part of \( M \). This allows us to give a bound on the number of polytopes in \( \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \). As the manifold \( M \) is non-compact, it is not a priori obvious why such a bound should even exist. Our required theorem then follows in Section 2 from a previous result by the Phanse and the first author [KP19a] which gives a bound on the number of Pachner moves needed to relate a geometric triangulation and its geometric subdivision.

The existence of a common geometric subdivision also allows us to prove that any two geometric ideal triangulations are in fact related by Pachner moves through geometric triangulations (possibly with material vertices).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( M \) be a complete orientable cusped hyperbolic manifold. Any two geometric ideal triangulations of \( M \) are related by a sequence of Pachner moves through geometric (possibly non-ideal) triangulations.

It is tempting to try and prove this using a simplicial cobordism \( M \times I \) between the given triangulations. However, the geometry of \( M \times I \) may not admit geometric triangulations with the given boundary constraints. The result follows from a theorem by Phanse and the first author [KP19b], using the property of regularity of a certain subdivision of the star of a simplex.

The systole length of a hyperbolic knot is the length of a shortest closed geodesic in the knot complement. As every hyperbolic knot complement contains a simple closed geodesic [AHS99] so the systole length of a hyperbolic knot is an important property of the knot. In Section 1 we calculate a lower bound on the systole length of \( M \) in terms of the number of tetrahedra and a lower dihedral angle bound of a geometric ideal triangulation of \( M \).

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( M \) be a complete orientable one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let \( \tau \) be a geometric ideal triangulation of \( M \) with \( m \) many tetrahedra and all
A twist region of a knot diagram is a maximal string of bigons in the diagram attached end to end. We also require a twist regions to be alternating. A single crossing which is not next to a bigon is also considered a twist region. A full twist of one strand about the other corresponds to a bigon (with two crossings). See Figure 1 for a diagram of a twist region with 3 full twists. Let $K$ be a prime knot in $S^3$ which is not a $(2,q)$-torus knot. Menasco [Men84] has shown that if $K$ is alternating then it is hyperbolic. A knot obtained by twisting an alternating knot is again alternating and is therefore hyperbolic. Futer and Purcell [FP07] have shown that if $K$ has a twist-reduced diagram where every twist region has at least 6 crossings, then $K$ is hyperbolic. Ham and Purcell [HP20] have recently shown that for every $n \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C_n$ such that if $K$ has a twist-reduced diagram with $n$ twist regions and at least $C_n$ crossings in each twist region, then $S^3 \setminus K$ admits a geometric ideal triangulation. For definitions and an overview of hyperbolic knots a good source is [FKP19].

As an application of our lower bound on systole lengths we can show that given $\theta_0 > 0$ if $K'$ is a knot obtained from $K$ by taking sufficiently many twists then $S^3 \setminus K'$ does not contain any $\theta_0$-thick geometric ideal triangulation. This is in contrast to the case of geometric triangulations with material vertices. Breslin [Bre09] has shown that there exists a constant $L$ such that every complete hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$ has a geometric triangulation $\tau$ such that every tetrahedron of $\tau$ that lies in the thick part of $M$ is $L$-bilipschitz diffeomorphic to the standard Euclidean tetrahedron.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let $K$ be a knot which is not a $(2,q)$-torus knot. Given $\theta_0 > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $K'$ is a knot obtained from $K$ by taking $n$ twists on a pair of strands of $K$ with $n > N$ then $S^3 \setminus K'$ has no $\theta_0$-thick geometric ideal triangulation.

Similar techniques can be used to calculate Pachner sequence length and systole length bounds of complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds with multiple cusps. But for simplicity of presentation and as link complements do not determine the link type so we focus in this article only on one-cusped complete orientable hyperbolic manifolds.

2. **COMMON SUBDIVISION WITH BOUNDED MANY POLYTOPES**

Let $M$ be a complete orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold with one cusp. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $M'$ denote the set of points of $M$ with injectivity radius less than $\epsilon/2$. Margulis...
has shown that there exists a universal constant $\epsilon > 0$ (independent of $M$) such that $M'$ consists of tubes around closed geodesics of length less than $\epsilon$ and a neighbourhood $C$ of the cusp homeomorphic to $T \times (0,1)$, where $T$ is a torus. $M'$ is known as the thin part of $M$ and its complement is the thick part. For non-compact orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds, $0.29 \leq \epsilon < 0.616$\cite{Sha11}.

**Definition 2.1.** A geometric ideal triangulation $\tau$ of $M$ is a realisation of $M$ as the quotient of a collection of hyperbolic ideal tetrahedra by face pairing isometries such that the tetrahedra of $\tau$ glue together consistently to give the complete hyperbolic structure on $M$. We say $\tau$ is $\theta_0$-thick if all the dihedral angles of $\tau$ are at least $\theta_0$. Similarly for a Euclidean triangulation $\tau$ of a flat torus, we say $\tau$ is $\theta_0$-thick if the angles of all its triangles are at least $\theta_0$. Note that in either case, as $3\theta_0 \leq \pi$, so $0 < \theta_0 \leq \pi/3$.

The intersection of simplexes of ideal geometric triangulations $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ of $M$ give a polytopal complex $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ which may have material (non-ideal) vertices. In this section we calculate an explicit bound on the number of polytopes in $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ in Theorem 2.17. We also give proofs of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. 

But first we prove some results about thick triangulations of flat tori. The following lemma gives bounds on the lengths of edges of a $\theta_0$-thick triangulation of a flat torus with bounded area and shortest geodesic of unit length.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $T$ be a flat torus with area at most $A$ and the shortest closed geodesic of unit length. Let $\bar{\tau}$ be a $\theta_0$-thick Euclidean triangulation of $T$ with $m$ triangles. Then the edge lengths of $\bar{\tau}$ have lower bound $l_0$ and upper bound $L_0$ where

$$l_0 = \frac{(\sin \theta_0)^m(\sqrt{m^2 + 8m} - m)}{4m}$$

$$L_0 = 2\sqrt{A} \cot \theta_0$$

**Proof.** Let $L$ be the length of a longest edge of $\bar{\tau}$ and let $[abc]$ be a triangle of $\bar{\tau}$ with the edge $[bc]$ of length $L$. As the angles $\angle abc$ and $\angle acb$ are at least $\theta_0$ so the isosceles triangle $[pbc]$ with $\angle pbc = \angle pcb = \theta_0$ lies inside the triangle $[abc]$. The area of the isosceles triangle $[pbc]$ with base $[bc]$ of length $L$ and equal angles $\theta_0$ is $L^2 \tan \theta_0/4$. This area is bound by the area of triangle $[abc]$, which in turn is bounded by $A$. So we get $L \leq 2\sqrt{A} \cot \theta_0$ as required.

Let $\alpha : [0,1] \rightarrow T$ be the shortest closed geodesic in $T$, which is given to be of unit length. Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha|_{[0,1]}$ and let $\alpha_2 = \alpha|_{[s,1]}$. Let $\beta$ be a geodesic arc in $T$ from $\alpha(s)$ to $\alpha(0)$ which is different from $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$, where $\alpha_1$ denotes the curve $\alpha$ in the reverse direction. Then $\alpha_1 * \beta$ and $\alpha_2 * \beta$ are non-trivial closed curves in $T$ and hence both have length at least 1, i.e., $l(\alpha_1) + l(\beta) \geq 1$ and $l(\alpha_2) + l(\beta) \geq 1$. These curves are non-trivial as there are no conjugate points in the flat metric, so there is a unique geodesic in the relative homotopy class of paths between $\alpha(0)$ and $\alpha(s)$. As $l(\alpha) = l(\alpha_1) + l(\alpha_2) = 1$ so $l(\alpha_1) \leq 1/2$ or $l(\alpha_2) \leq 1/2$ and therefore $l(\beta) \geq 1/2$.

Let $\gamma$ be a geodesic that intersects $\alpha$ at times. Let $\beta$ be a segment of $\gamma$ between two consecutive intersection points with $\alpha$. By the above arguments, each such segment of $\gamma$ has length at least 1/2. As there are $k-1$ such segments, so $(k-1)(1/2) \leq l(\gamma)$. If we assume that $l(\gamma) \leq L$ then we get $k \leq 2L + 1$. So any geodesic segment of length less than $L$ intersects $\alpha$ at most $2L + 1$ times.
As $\alpha$ intersects each triangle $t$ of $\tau$ in parallel segments $\delta_i$ inside $t$, so there exists an edge $e$ of $t$ which intersects every $\delta_i$. As $l(e) \leq L$ so taking $\gamma$ as $e$ in the above arguments, we can see that $\alpha$ intersects $t$ in at most $k \leq 2L + 1$ components.

Let $e$ be a smallest edge of $\tau$, with length $l$. Let $t_1$ be a triangle of $\tau$ containing edge $e$ and let $p$ be the vertex of $t_1$ opposite to $e$. As all angles of $t_1$ are at least $\theta_0$ so $p$ lies in a disk with $e$ as a chord and $\theta_0$ the angle subtended on the boundary circle. A side length of $t_1$ is maximum when it is the diameter of this circle. The radius of this circle is $l/(2\sin \theta_0)$. So sides of $t_1$ have length at most $l/\sin \theta_0$.

If $t_2$ is a triangle adjacent to $t_1$ with shortest edge of length $l' \leq l/\sin \theta_0$, then by a similar reasoning the lengths of its sides is bounded above by $l'/\sin \theta_0 \leq l/(\sin \theta_0)^2$. As $\tau$ has $m$ triangles so inductively an upper bound on its edge lengths in terms of $l$ is given by $L \leq l/(\sin \theta_0)^m$. To simplify notation, let $c = 1/(\sin \theta_0)^m$, so that $L \leq cl$. Note that as $0 < \theta_0 \leq \pi/3$, so $c > 0$.

As $\alpha$ intersects each triangle in at most $k$ components so $\alpha$ is divided into at most $km$ segments by the triangles of $\tau$. The length of each such segment is bound by the diameter of the corresponding triangle which is at most $L$. This gives $1 = l(\alpha) \leq kmL \leq (2L + 1)mL$. Using the inequality $L \leq cl$, we get the quadratic inequality

$$q(l) = (2mc^2)l^2 + (mc)l - 1 \geq 0$$

The roots of $q(l)$ are $x = \frac{(-m - \sqrt{m^2 + 8m})/(4mc)}{4m}$ and $y = \frac{(-m + \sqrt{m^2 + 8m})/(4mc)}{4m}$. As the coefficient $2mc^2 > 0$, so $q(l) \geq 0$ for $l \in (-\infty, x] \cup [y, \infty)$ and $q(l) < 0$ for $l \in (x, y)$. As $x < 0$ and $l$ is positive so we can conclude that

$$l \geq y = \frac{(\sin \theta_0)^m(\sqrt{m^2 + 8m} - m)}{4m}$$

Remark 2.3. Let $\theta$ be the angle of a $\theta_0$-thick Euclidean triangle. An observation we shall repeatedly use is that $\sin \theta \geq \sin \theta_0$. If $\theta$ lies in $[\theta_0, \pi)$ and $\sin \theta < \sin \theta_0$, then $\theta \in (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$. But that would imply that the sum of angles of the triangle is greater than $(\pi - \theta_0) + 2\theta_0 > \pi$, which is a contradiction. A similar argument holds when $\theta$ is the dihedral angle of a $\theta_0$-thick ideal tetrahedron.

Lemma 2.4. Let $S$ be the set of all Euclidean triangles with side length at most $L_0$ and angles at least $\theta_0$. The circumradius of any triangle in $S$ is at most $L_0/(2\sin \theta_0)$.

Proof. Let $[pqr]$ be a Euclidean triangle with $l([pq]) = a$ and $\angle r = \theta$. Then its circumradius is given by $a/(2\sin \theta)$. If $[pqr] \in S$ then by Remark 2.3 $a/(2\sin \theta) \leq L_0/(2\sin \theta_0)$ as required. □

Fixing the upper half-space model $\mathbb{H}^3$ for the hyperbolic 3-space, we identify its ideal boundary $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$ with $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ and the group of orientation preserving hyperbolic isometries with $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. By Margulis’ Lemma, there exists a subgroup $\Gamma_\infty \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ generated by parabolics which fix $\infty$ and $h_0 > 0$ such that the set $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{H}^3 : z > h_0\}/\Gamma_\infty$ is isometric to a cusp neighbourhood $C_{h_0}$ via the covering projection $\pi$.

Let $d$ denote the hyperbolic metric in $\mathbb{H}^3$ and let $\bar{d}$ denote the Euclidean metric on the plane $X_\epsilon = \mathbb{R}^2 \times z \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. We will use the same notation $\bar{d}$ to denote the hyperbolic metric in $M$. And similarly, for any $z > h_0$, we will also use the same
notation \( \bar{d} \) to denote the Euclidean metric on the torus \( \bar{T}_z = X_z/\Gamma_\infty \) induced by the Euclidean metric \( d \) of \( X_z \). We call \( T_z = \pi(\bar{T}_z) \) the corresponding embedded cusp torus in \( M \). The metric on \( T_z \) induced from \( M \) is the flat metric of \( \bar{T}_z \) scaled by \( 1/z \), i.e. for \( p, q \in \bar{T}_z \), \( d(\pi(p),\pi(q)) = \bar{d}_\bar{x}(p,q)/z \).

**Definition 2.5.** We call a cusp torus \( T \) of \( M \) Euclidean with respect to an ideal triangulation \( \tau \) of \( M \) if the induced metric on \( T \) is Euclidean and \( \bar{\tau} = \tau \cap T \) is an Euclidean triangulation of \( T \). Furthermore if \( C \) denotes the unbounded component of \( M \setminus T \) and \( cl(C) \) its closure, then \( \tau \cap cl(C) \) is the triangulation given by coning \( \bar{\tau} \) with the cusp point. In other words, an \( n \)-simplex of \( \tau \cap cl(C) \) is the union of geodesic rays perpendicular to \( T \) that begin at points in some fixed \( n-1 \) simplex \( \delta \) of \( \bar{\tau} \) and asymptotically end at the cusp.

Let \( \bar{\tau} \) be any lift of a \( \theta_0 \)-thick triangulation \( \tau \) of \( M \) with \( \infty \) as one of the vertices. We show in the following lemma that there exists \( z_0 > 0 \) such that for any \( z > z_0 \), the plane \( X_z = \mathbb{R}^2 \times z \) avoids all the non-vertical faces of \( \bar{\tau} \). This height \( z_0 \) does not depend on the choice of the \( \theta_0 \)-thick triangulation \( \tau \) of \( M \).

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( M \) be a one-cusped complete orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let \( \epsilon \) be a Margulis number for such manifolds and let \( v_{tet} \sim 1.01494 \) be the volume of the regular ideal hyperbolic tetrahedron. Let

\[
z_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2m v_{tet} \cot \theta_0}}{\epsilon \sin \theta_0}
\]

For any \( z \geq z_0 \), there exists a covering map \( pr : \mathbb{H}^3 \to M \) such that \( T_z = pr(\bar{T}_z) \) is an embedded torus which is Euclidean with respect to any \( \theta_0 \)-ideal thick geometric triangulation of \( M \). Furthermore, the Euclidean area of \( T_z \) is at most \( 2m v_{tet}/\epsilon^2 \) and the shortest Euclidean geodesic in \( \bar{T}_z \) is of unit length.

**Proof.** Fix the upper half-space model for \( \mathbb{H}^3 \). Via the thick-thin decomposition of \( M \) the subgroup \( \Gamma_\infty \) of parabolics of \( \pi_1(M) \) which fix \( \infty \) is generated by two parabolic elements \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). Furthermore, there exists \( h_0 > 0 \) such that the covering projection from \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) to \( M \) induces an isometry from \( \{ (x,y,z) \in \mathbb{H}^3 : z > h_0 \} / \Gamma_\infty \) to the cusp neighbourhood component \( C_{h_0} \) of the thin part of \( M \). Note that for \( h_0 < w < z \), \( C_w \supset C_z \).

Let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) have the form \( \alpha(p) = p + u \) and \( \beta(p) = p + v \), with \( u \) and \( v \) in \( \mathbb{C} = \partial \mathbb{H}^3 \setminus \infty \). Let \( P(u,v) \) denote the Euclidean parallelogram spanned by \( u \) and \( v \). By conjugating the action of the fundamental group with a hyperbolic isometry \( z \to \lambda z \), we may assume that the Euclidean length of the shortest geodesic \( \gamma_w \) in \( \bar{T}_w = X_w/\Gamma_\infty P(u,v)/\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle = 1 \). Let \( pr : \mathbb{H}^3 \to M \) denote the covering projection conjugated by this hyperbolic isometry. As the hyperbolic metric induced on \( T_w \) from \( M \) is the Euclidean metric of \( \bar{T}_w \) scaled by \( 1/w \), so the length of \( pr(\gamma_w) \) in \( M \) is \( 1/w \).

Let \( p \) be a point in \( T_w \) and after a translation we may assume that \( \gamma_w \) passes through \( p \). Let \( w_0 = 1/\epsilon \). For any \( w > w_0 \), \( l(\gamma_w) = 1/w < 1/w_0 = \epsilon \) and so the entire curve \( \gamma_w \) lies inside an \( \epsilon/2 \) radius neighbourhood around \( p \). Thus for \( w > w_0 \), neighbourhoods of radius \( \epsilon/2 \) about the points \( pr(x,y,w) \) are not embedded balls. In other words for any \( w > w_0 \), \( pr(x,y,w) \) lies in the cusp neighbourhood component \( C_{h_0} \) of the thin part of \( M \). So \( C_w \supset C_{h_0} \) and consequently \( w_0 > h_0 \).

As \( pr \) restricted to \( \{ (x,y,z) : z > h_0 \} / \Gamma_\infty \) is isometric to a subset of \( M \) so in particular for any \( w > w_0 \geq h_0 \), \( T_w = pr(\bar{T}_w) \) is an embedded torus in \( M \).
Let \( \bar{A}(\bar{T}_w) = \bar{A}(P(u, v)) \) denote the Euclidean area of the parallelogram \( P(u, v) \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). The volume of the cusp neighbourhood \( C_{w_0} \) is \( \int_{C_{w_0}} (1/z^3) dx dy dz = \bar{A}(P(u, v))/(2w_0^2) \).

So in particular \( \bar{A}(P(u, v))/(2w_0^2) \) is less than the volume of the manifold \( V \). This gives \( \bar{A}(\bar{T}_w) \leq 2Vw_0^2 = 2V/\epsilon^2 \). As the volume of any ideal hyperbolic tetrahedron is at most the volume \( vtet \) of the regular ideal tetrahedron so \( V \leq mvtet \).

Let \( \tau \) be a \( \theta_0 \)-thick ideal geometric triangulation of \( M \) and let \( \bar{\tau} \) be a lift of \( \tau \) to \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) such that \( \infty \) is an ideal vertex of \( \bar{\tau} \). For each simplex of \( \bar{\tau} \) with vertices \( a, b, c, \infty \) the base face \( abc \) lies on a hemisphere with radius the circumradius of the Euclidean triangle \( [abc] \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). So the maximum Euclidean height reached by \( a \) is bounded by the circumradius of the Euclidean triangle \( [abc] \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). As \( \tau \) is a finite triangulation so there are finitely many isometry classes of vertical simplexes in \( \bar{\tau} \).

Furthermore the induced triangulation on \( \mathbb{C} \) is an isometry up to scaling by the constant factor \( 1/z \), so the induced metric on \( \bar{T}_w \) is also Euclidean. Furthermore the induced triangulation on \( C_z \) is the triangulation obtained by coning \( \bar{\tau} \) with the cusp point, as required. Hence we need to choose \( z_0 \) larger than both \( w_0 = 1/z \) and \( \sqrt{2mvtet \cot \theta_0}/(\epsilon \sin \theta_0) \).

Note that \( g(\theta_0) = \sqrt{\cot \theta_0}/\sin \theta_0 \) is a decreasing function of \( \theta_0 \) in \((0, \pi/2)\). As \( \theta_0 \in [0, \pi/3] \) so the minimum value for \( g(\theta_0) \) is \( g(\pi/3) > 0.8 \). Therefore as \( m \geq 2 \) and \( vtet > 1 \) so \( \sqrt{2mvtet}g(\theta_0)/\epsilon > 2(0.8)/\epsilon > 1/\epsilon \). So we only need to take \( z_0 > \sqrt{2mvtet \cot \theta_0}/(\epsilon \sin \theta_0) \).

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \( p = (x, y) \in \mathbb{H}^2 \) and let \( Y = \{(0, y) : y > 0\} \). Then distance between \( p \) and \( Y \) in \( \mathbb{H}^2 \) is given by \( d(p, Y) = h(x, y) \), where

\[ h(x, y) = \arcsinh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \]

**Proof.** As the shortest geodesic from \( p \) to \( Y \) is the arc of a circle through \( p \) perpendicular to both the \( x \)-axis and \( Y \), so it is the geodesic \( \gamma \) joining \( p \) and \( (0, r) \) where \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \). The distance between these points is given by

\[ d(p, Y) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\sqrt{1+(y')^2}}{y} dx = \int_0^x \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 - x^2}} dx = \arctanh \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \right) = \arcsinh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \]

\[ \square \]

For \( K \) a convex submanifold of \( M \) and \( p, q \in K \), we will use the notation \( d_K(p, q) \) to denote the length of the shortest geodesic in \( K \) from \( p \) to \( q \). For any set \( Z \subset M \), denote by \( N(Z, r) = \{p \in M : d(p, Z) < r\} \) and for a convex submanifolds \( K \) of \( M \), define \( N_K(Z, r) = \{p \in M : d_K(p, Z) < r\} \).

We give here some definitions of standard terms in combinatorial topology which we extend to geometric triangulations of hyperbolic manifolds.
**Definition 2.8.** Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be a geometric triangulation of $\mathbb{H}^3$ and let $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ be disjoint simplexes of $\tilde{\tau}$. We define their join $\tilde{A} \ast \tilde{B}$ as the simplex obtained by taking the union of all geodesics joining points in $\tilde{A}$ with points in $\tilde{B}$. We define the link of $\tilde{A}$ in $\tilde{\tau}$ as $lk(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ is the union of simplexes $\tilde{B} \in \tilde{\tau}$ such that $\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B} = \phi$, they do not not have a common vertex in $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$ and $\tilde{A} \ast \tilde{B}$ is a 3-simplex in $\tilde{\tau}$. The (closed) star of $\tilde{A}$ in $\tilde{\tau}$ is defined by $star(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}) = \tilde{A} \ast lk(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$. The open star of $\tilde{A}$ in $\tilde{\tau}$ is the interior of $star(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$ and is denoted by $instar(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau})$.

Let $pr : \mathbb{H}^3 \to M$ be a covering map. Let $\tau$ be a triangulation of $M$ and let $\tilde{\tau}$ be its lift to a triangulation of $\mathbb{H}^3$. The link, star and open star of a simplex $A$ in $\tau$ is defined respectively by $lk(A, \tau) = pr(lk(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}))$, $star(A, \tau) = pr(star(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}))$ and $instar(A, \tau) = pr(instar(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}))$. When the triangulation $\tau$ is unambiguous we drop it from the notation and just refer to links and stars of $A$ as $lk(A)$ and $star(A)$.

We call a geometric ideal triangulation $\tau$ of $M$ simplicial if for every simplex $A$ of $\tau$, $pr : star(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\tau}) \to star(A, \tau)$ is an isometry.

For example, the Gieseking manifold $M_G$ is a cusped non-orientable complete hyperbolic manifold obtained by identifying the faces of a regular ideal tetrahedron in pairs. It therefore has an ideal triangulation consisting of one tetrahedron, two faces and one edge $E$. The link of $\tilde{E}$ in $\tilde{K}$ is a circuit made up of edges all of which are lifts of $E$. So $lk(E, K) = E$ and $star(E, K) = M_G$.

In the next two lemmas we calculate lower bounds on the injectivity radius of points in $M_{z_0} = M \setminus C_{z_0}$, the complement of the cusp neighbourhood $C_{z_0}$.

**Lemma 2.9.** For $E$ an edge of $\tau$, let $p$ be a point in $E \cap M_{z_0}$. Let $\tilde{E}$ be a lift of $E$ in the lifted triangulation $\tilde{\tau}$ of $\tau$ and let $\tilde{p}$ be the lift of $p$ to $\tilde{E}$. Then $N(\tilde{p}, a_0)$ is an embedded ball in the interior of $star(\tilde{E})$ and $N(p, a_0 / 2)$ is an embedded ball in $M$, where $a_0 = \text{arcsinh}(l_0 \sin \theta_0 / z_0)$.

**Proof.** As $\tilde{\tau}$ is an ideal simplicial triangulation of $\mathbb{H}^3$ (i.e. all simplexes are uniquely determined by their vertices on $\partial \mathbb{H}^3$), so $instar(\tilde{E})$ is an open ball. Let $\tilde{s}$ be the closest point of $\partial star(\tilde{E})$ to $\tilde{p}$. Let $\tilde{F}$ be the 2-simplex of $\partial star(\tilde{E})$ which contains $\tilde{s}$. Let $\tilde{E} = [uv]$ then either $u$ or $v$ is a vertex of $\tilde{F}$. Assume that $u$ is a vertex of $\tilde{F}$ and choose the lift $\tilde{\tau}$ such that $u$ is the point $\infty$. Both $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{F}$ are now vertical simplexes in the upper half-space model of $\mathbb{H}^3$.

Take $z_0$ as in Lemma 2.6. For $z \geq z_0$, let $X_z = \mathbb{R}^2 \times z$ and let $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau} \cap X_z$. Then $\tilde{\tau}$ induces a Euclidean triangulation on $\tilde{T}_z = X_z / \Gamma_{\infty}$ and by Lemma 2.2 the Euclidean lengths of edges of $\tilde{\tau}$ are at least $l_0$.

Let $\tilde{p} = \tilde{E} \cap X_z$ be a vertex of $\tilde{\tau}$ and let $[\tilde{q}\tilde{r}] = \tilde{F} \cap X_z$ be an edge of $\tilde{\tau}$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the 3-simplex of $\tilde{\tau}$ containing $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{F}$ so that $\tilde{\Delta} \cap X_z$ is the triangle $[\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{r}]$ of $\tilde{\tau}$. The Euclidean distance in $X_z$ between $\tilde{p}$ and $[\tilde{q}\tilde{r}]$, $d(\tilde{p}, [\tilde{q}\tilde{r}]) \geq l_0 \sin \theta_0$ as the angle at $\tilde{q}$ is at least $\theta_0$ and $l(([\tilde{p}\tilde{q}]) \geq l_0$. Let $\tilde{s}$ be the point in $[\tilde{q}\tilde{r}]$ closest to $\tilde{p}$. Let $Y$ be the vertical geodesic through $\tilde{s}$, then $\tilde{s}$ lies on $Y$. Let $H$ be the vertical geodesic plane containing $Y$ and $\tilde{p}$. As $H$ is isometric to $\mathbb{H}^2$ so by Lemma 2.7 we get $d(\tilde{p}, \partial star(\tilde{E})) = d(\tilde{p}, \tilde{s}) = d(\tilde{p}, Y) \geq h(x, w)$ where $x = d(\tilde{p}, [\tilde{q}\tilde{r}])$ is the horizontal distance between $\tilde{p}$ and $Y$ and $w \leq z_0$. As $x/w \geq l_0 \sin \theta_0 / z_0$, so $d(\tilde{p}, \partial star(\tilde{E})) \geq h(l_0 \sin \theta_0 / z_0) = a_0$. So $N(\tilde{p}, a_0)$ is an embedded ball in $int(star(E))$. In particular the distance between $\tilde{p}$ and any edge of $\tilde{\tau}$ other than $\tilde{E}$ is greater than equal to $a_0$. 
To prove that $N(p, a_0/2)$ is an embedded ball in $M$ we shall show that the covering projection $pr: \mathbb{H}^3 \to M$ restricts to an injection on $N(\bar{p}, a_0/2)$ which is a ball in $\mathbb{H}^3$.

Suppose for points $\bar{q}_0$ and $\bar{q}_1$ in $N(\bar{p}, a_0/2)$, $pr(\bar{q}_0) = pr(\bar{q}_1) = q$. Assume that $q$ lies in the relative interior of the simplex $\delta$ of $\tau$. As $pr$ restricted to the relative interiors of simplexes is injective so $\bar{q}_0$ and $\bar{q}_1$ lie in the relative interior of distinct simplexes $\bar{\delta}_0$ and $\bar{\delta}_1$ of $\text{star}(\bar{E})$, both of which are lifts of $\delta$. Let $C_i = \{\bar{p}\} \cup (\text{int}(\bar{\delta}_i) \cap N(\bar{p}, a_0/2))$, for $i = 0, 1$. As $C_i$ is convex so let $\gamma_i$ be a geodesic in $C_i$ from $\bar{p}$ to $\bar{q}_i$, which is of length less than $a_0/2$. As the interiors of $\bar{\delta}_i$ are disjoint so $C_0 \cap C_1 = \{\bar{p}\}$ and $\gamma_0$ only at $\bar{p}$.

As $pr$ restricted to a small enough neighbourhood of $\bar{p}$ is an isometry, so $\gamma_0 = pr(\gamma_0)$ and $\gamma_1 = pr(\gamma_1)$ are different geodesics in $\delta$ from $p$ to $q$. As distinct geodesics between $p$ and $q$ can not be homotopic so $\alpha = \gamma_0 \star \gamma_1$ is a non-trivial curve in $\delta$ through $p$ of length less than $a_0$. Lifting it to $\mathbb{H}^3$ again we get a path $\tilde{\alpha}$ in $\bar{\delta}_0$ of length less than $a_0$ from $\bar{p}$ to $\bar{q}_0$ to an edge of $\bar{\delta}_0$ other than $\bar{E}$. But as the distance between $\bar{p}$ and any other edge of $\tilde{\tau}$ is greater than equal to $a_0$ so we get a contradiction. Therefore $pr$ restricted to $N(\bar{p}, a_0/2)$ is injective.

**Lemma 2.10.** Fix $c > 0$. Let $F$ be a face of $\tau$ and let $p \in F \cap M_{z_0}$ such that $d(p, \partial F) \geq c$. Let $\bar{F}$ be a lift of $F$ in the lifted triangulation $\bar{\tau}$ of $\tau$ and let $\bar{p}$ be the lift of $p$ to $\bar{F}$. Then $N(\bar{p}, r(c))$ is an embedded ball in the interior of $\text{star}(\bar{F})$ and $N(p, r(c)/2)$ is an embedded ball in $M$, where $r(c) = \arcsinh(\sinh(c) \sin \theta_0)$.

**Proof.** This proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.9 For ease of notation we denote $r(c)$ simply by $r$. We shall first show that the distance between $\bar{p}$ and any other face of $\bar{\tau}$ is greater than equal to $r$ and then argue that the projection map $pr: \mathbb{H}^3 \to M$ restricted to $N(\bar{p}, r/2)$ is an injection.

The interior of $\text{star}(\bar{F})$ is an open ball. Let $\bar{s}$ be the closest point to $\bar{p}$ on $\partial \text{star}(\bar{F})$. Suppose that $\bar{s}$ lies in a face $\bar{G}$ of $\partial \text{star}(\bar{F})$. Assume that $\bar{G}$ intersects $\bar{F}$ in the edge $\bar{E}$. Choose a lift $\gamma$ such that a vertex of $\bar{E}$ is $\infty$, so that both $\bar{F}$ and $\bar{G}$ are vertical ideal triangles in $\mathbb{H}^3$. We may also assume after an isometry of $\mathbb{H}^3$ that $\bar{E}$ lies along the $z$-axis and that $\bar{F}$ lies in the $xz$-plane.

Let $\bar{p} \in \bar{F}$ have the coordinates $(x, 0, z)$ then taking $Y$ as the $z$-axis in Lemma 2.7 $h(x, z) = d(\bar{p}, Y) = d(\bar{p}, \bar{E}) \geq d(p, E) \geq c$. Let $X_z = \mathbb{R}^2 \times z$, let $e_G = \bar{G} \cap X_z$ and $e_F = \bar{F} \cap X_z$. As the dihedral angle between $\bar{F}$ and $\bar{G}$ at $\bar{E}$ is at least $\theta_0$ so the Euclidean distance between $\bar{p}$ and $e_G$ in $X_z$ is at least $c \sin \theta_0$. So by Lemma 2.7 again $d(\bar{p}, \bar{G}) \geq h(x \sin \theta_0, z)$. As $h(x, z) \geq c$ so $x/z \geq \sinh(c)$. Which implies that $h(x \sin \theta_0, z) = \arcsinh(x \sin \theta_0/z) \geq \arcsinh(\sinh(c) \sin \theta_0) = r$. Therefore as $d(\bar{p}, \partial \text{star}(\bar{F})) = d(\bar{p}, \bar{G}) \geq r$ so $N(\bar{p}, r)$ is an embedded ball in $\text{int}(\text{star}(\bar{F}))$. In particular the distance between $\bar{p}$ and any face of $\bar{\tau}$ other than $\bar{F}$ is greater than equal to $r$.

We shall next show that the covering projection $pr: \mathbb{H}^3 \to M$ restricts to an injection on $N(\bar{p}, r/2)$. Suppose for points $\bar{q}_0$ and $\bar{q}_1$ in $N(\bar{p}, r/2)$, $pr(\bar{q}_0) = pr(\bar{q}_1) = q$. Assume that $q$ lies in the relative interior of the simplex $\delta$ of $\tau$. As $pr$ restricted to the relative interiors of simplexes is injective so $q_0$ and $q_1$ lie in the interior of distinct simplexes $\bar{\delta}_0$ and $\bar{\delta}_1$ of $\text{star}(\bar{F})$, both of which are lifts of $\delta$. Let $C_i = \{\bar{p}\} \cup \text{int}(\bar{\delta}_i) \cap N(\bar{p}, r/2)$, for $i = 0, 1$. As $C_i$ is convex so let $\gamma_i$ be a geodesic
in $C_i$ from $\tilde{p}$ to $\tilde{q}_i$ which is of length less than $r_0/2$. As $C_0 \cap C_1 = \{\bar{p}\}$ so $\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_1$ intersect only at $\bar{p}$.

As $pr$ restricted to a small enough neighbourhood of $\bar{p}$ is an isometry, so $\gamma_0 = pr(\gamma_0)$ and $\gamma_1 = pr(\gamma_1)$ are different geodesics in $\delta$ from $p$ to $q$. As no two distinct geodesics between $p$ and $q$ can be homotopic in $M$, so $\alpha = \gamma_0 \ast \gamma_1$ is a non-trivial curve in $\delta$ through $p$ of length less than $r$. Lifting it to $\mathbb{H}^3$ again we get a path $\tilde{\alpha}$ in $\tilde{\delta}$ of length less than $r$ from $\tilde{p}$ to a face of $\tilde{\delta}_0$ other than $\tilde{F}$. But as the distance between $\tilde{p}$ and any other face of $\tilde{\gamma}$ is greater than equal to $r$ so we get a contradiction. Therefore $pr$ restricted to $N(\bar{p}, r/2)$ is injective. □

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $Y = \{(0, y) \in \mathbb{H}^3 : y > 0\}$ and $0 < c < 1/2$. Let $p = (x, y_0)$ and let $q = (0, y_0)$. If $d(p, Y) < c$, then $N(p, r(c)) \subset N(q, 2c)$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.7

$$\text{arcsinh} \left(\frac{x}{y_0}\right) = d(p, Y) < c$$

As $\sqrt{(dx)^2 + (dy)^2}/y \leq dx/y$ so

$$d(p, q) < \frac{x}{y_0} < \sinh(c)$$

To show that $N(p, r(c)) \subset N(q, 2c)$, we need to show that $d(q, p) + r(c) < 2c$.

$$d(p, q) + r(c) < \sinh(c) + \text{arcsinh} (\sinh(c) \sin \theta_0)$$

$$\leq \sinh(c)(1 + \sin \theta_0) \text{ as } \text{arcsinh} t \leq t \text{ for } t > 0$$

$$\leq \sinh(c) \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \text{ as } \theta_0 \leq \pi/3$$

As $\sinh(c) \leq c + c^3/5$ for $c \in (0, 1/2)$, so we get,

$$d(p, q) + r_0 < c \left(1 + \frac{c^2}{5}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) < c \left(1 + \frac{1}{20}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) < 2c$$

□

**Lemma 2.12.** For any $c > 0$, $r(c a_0) < c a_0$ and $a_0 < \sinh(a_0) < \epsilon < 1$.

**Proof.** As $\theta_0 \leq \pi/3$ so $\tan \theta_0 \leq \sqrt{3}$. As $g(m) = (\sqrt{m^2 + 8m} - m)/m$ is a decreasing function taking the value 2 at $m = 1$ and as $v_{tet} > 1$, $m \geq 2$ so

$$a_0 < \sinh(a_0) = \frac{l_0 \sin \theta_0}{z_0} = \frac{\epsilon (\sin \theta_0)^{m+2} \tan \theta_0 g(m)}{4 \sqrt{2 m v_{tet}}} < \frac{3^{1/4} (2\epsilon)}{8} < \epsilon$$

As the Margulis number for cusped complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds is less than 0.616 $\text{[Sh11]}$, so $\epsilon < 1$. As arcsinh is an increasing function and $\text{arcsinh}(x) < x$ for all $x > 0$ so we get,

$$r(c a_0) = \text{arcsinh}(\sinh(c a_0) \sin \theta_0) < \text{arcsinh}(\sinh(c a_0)) = c a_0$$

□

We are now in a position to give a lower bound on the systole length.
Lemma 2.13. Let $M$ be a complete orientable one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let $\tau$ be a geometric ideal triangulation of $M$ with at most $m$ many 3-simplexes and all dihedral angles at least $\theta_0$. Let $0.29 \leq \epsilon < 1$ be the Margulis number for one-cusped complete orientable hyperbolic manifolds. Let $v_{tet} \sim 1.01494$ denote the volume of the regular ideal tetrahedron. Then the systole length of $M$ is bounded below by $s_0(m, \theta_0)$ which is given by the following equations:

\[
s_0 = \arcsinh(\sinh(a_0/4) \sin \theta_0)
\]
\[
a_0 = \arcsinh(l_0 \sin \theta_0 / z_0)
\]
\[
z_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2m v_{tet} \cot \theta_0}}{\epsilon \sin \theta_0}
\]
\[
l_0 = \frac{(\sin \theta_0)^m (\sqrt{m^2 + 8m - m})}{4m}
\]

Proof. Let $\gamma$ be a shortest closed geodesic of $M$. The thin part of $M$ consists of a cusp neighbourhood $C \supset C_{z_0}$ and tubular neighbourhoods around short closed geodesics called Margulis tubes in $M_{z_0}$.

If $\gamma$ intersects the thick part of $M$ then some point of $\gamma$ has injectivity radius greater than equal to $\epsilon/2$ and therefore length of $\gamma$ is at least $\epsilon$. By Lemma 2.12, $s_0 = \tau(a_0/4) < \epsilon$. So if $\gamma$ intersects the thick part of $M$ then $l(\gamma) > s_0$.

As cusp neighbourhoods have no minimal closed geodesics so $\gamma$ can not lie entirely in $C$. Assume that $\gamma$ lies in a Margulis tube. As interiors of simplexes are contractible so $\gamma$ intersects some face of $\tau$ in $M_{z_0}$.

Let $p$ be a point of intersection of $\gamma$ with a face $F$ of $\tau$ in $M_{z_0}$. Suppose that the distance between $p$ and an edge $E$ of $F$ is less than $a_0/4$. By Lemma 2.12, $a_0 < 1$ so taking $c = a_0/4 < 1/2$ in Lemma 2.11 there exists a point $q$ on $E \cap M_{z_0}$ such that $N(p, r(a_0/4)) \subset N(q, a_0/2)$, which by Lemma 2.9 is an embedded ball in $M$. If the distance between $p$ and $\partial F$ is at least $a_0/4$ then by Lemma 2.10, $N(p, s_0/2)$ is an embedded ball. In either case, as $\gamma$ is a geodesic through $p$ so $l(\gamma) > s_0$. \qed

Simplifying this bound results in a proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Taking $t \in (0,1)$, $\sqrt{1 + t} \geq 1 + t/2 - t^2/8$ and $t/2 - t^2/8 > 0$ and we get the following identity

\[
\sqrt{\sqrt{1 + t} - 1} \geq \sqrt{\frac{t}{2} - \frac{t^2}{8}} = \sqrt{\frac{t}{4} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{4}\right)} > \frac{\sqrt{3} t}{4}
\]

As $\cosh(s) = 2 \sinh^2(s/2) + 1$ and $\cosh^2(s) = 1 + \sinh^2(s)$ so $2 \sinh^2(s/2) + 1 = \sqrt{1 + \sinh^2(s)}$. By Lemma 2.12, $\sinh(a_0) < \epsilon < 1$ so putting $s = a_0$ and $t = \sinh^2(a_0)$ in the above identity we get,

\[
\sinh \left(\frac{a_0}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \sinh^2(a_0) - 1}{2}} > \frac{\sqrt{3} \sinh(a_0)}{4}
\]

Again as $\sinh(a_0/2) < \sinh(a_0) < \epsilon < 1$ so we repeat the step above with $s = a_0/2$ and $t = \sinh^2(a_0/2)$ to get

\[
\sinh \left(\frac{a_0}{4}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \sinh^2(a_0/2) - 1}{2}} > \frac{\sqrt{3} \sinh(a_0/2)}{4} > \frac{3}{16} \sinh(a_0)
\]
Let \( g(m) = (\sqrt{m^2 + 8m} - m)/m \). Substituting the values of \( l_0 \) and \( z_0 \) we get,

\[
\sinh(a_0) = \frac{l_0 \sin \theta_0}{z_0} = \frac{\epsilon(\sin \theta_0)^{m^2 + 2} \sqrt{\tan \theta_0} g(m)}{4\sqrt{2mv_{tet}}} > \frac{\epsilon(\sin \theta_0)^{m^2 + 5/2} g(m)}{4\sqrt{2mv_{tet}}}
\]

Using the inequality \( \sqrt{1 + t} \geq 1 + t/2 - t^2/8 \) again with \( t = 8/m \) we get the following lower bound for \( g(m) \) when \( m \geq 5 \)

\[
g(m) = \sqrt{1 + 8/m - 1} \geq \frac{4m - 8}{m^2} > \frac{2}{m}
\]

As \( g(2) \sim 1.2 > 2/2, g(3) \sim 0.9 > 2/3, g(4) \sim 0.7 > 2/4 \) so \( g(m) > 2/m \) for all \( m \). Therefore,

\[
\sinh\left(\frac{a_0}{4}\right) \sin \theta_0 > \frac{3}{16} \sinh(a_0) \sin \theta_0 > \frac{3\epsilon(\sin \theta_0)^{m^2 + 7/2}}{32m\sqrt{2mv_{tet}}}
\]

By Lemma 2.12, \( \sinh(a_0/4) \sin \theta_0 < \sinh(a_0) < \epsilon < 1 \). As \( \arcsinh(t) > t/2 \) for \( t < 4 \) so taking \( t = \sinh(a_0/4) \sin \theta_0 \) we get

\[
s_0 = \arcsinh(\sinh(a_0/4) \sin \theta_0) \geq \frac{\sinh(a_0/4) \sin \theta_0}{2} > \left(\frac{3\epsilon}{64\sqrt{2mv_{tet}}}\right) \frac{(\sin \theta_0)^{m^2 + 7/2}}{m}\sqrt{m}
\]

As \( \epsilon \geq 0.29 \) and \( v_{tet} < 1.02 \) so \( 3\epsilon/\sqrt{2mv_{tet}} > 1/2 \). Therefore using Theorem 1.3 we can conclude that \( 2^{-7} (\sin \theta_0)^{m^2 + 7/2} m^{-3/2} \) is a lower bound for the systole length of \( M \).

We next give a proof of Corollary 1.4 which deals with the existence of manifolds with no thick triangulations.

**Proof of Corollary 1.4** Fix a prime, twist-reduced diagram of \( K \). Suppose that for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( n_j > j \) and a knot \( K_j \) obtained by twisting \( n_j \) times a pair of strands of \( K \), such that \( S^3 \setminus K_j \) is hyperbolic and has a geometric ideal \( \theta_0 \)-thick triangulation \( \tau_j \). See Figure 1 for an example of a pair of strands fully twisted 3 times. As there are only finitely many pairs of strands in \( K \), after passing to a subsequence we may assume that all \( K_j \) are obtained by twisting the same pair of strands.

Let \( M_j = S^3 \setminus K_j \). Lackenby [Lac04] has shown that the volume of \( M_j \) is bounded by a constant multiple of the number of twist regions in a prime alternating projection of \( K_j \). As the number of twist regions of any \( K_j \) is at most one more than the number of twist regions of \( K \) so there is a universal upper volume bound \( V \) on all the \( M_j \). At the same time, the length of the closed geodesic in \( M_j \) that wraps around the two strand approaches zero as \( n \to \infty \), so the systole lengths of \( M_j \) approach zero [Ada05].

The volume of all ideal 3-simplexes of all \( \tau_n \) are bounded below by a positive constant \( v \). To see this, consider the set \( S = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in (0, \pi)^3 \mid \alpha + \beta + \gamma = \pi \} \) of possible dihedral angles for an ideal hyperbolic tetrahedron. Let \( A \) be the subset of \( S \) consisting of all dihedral angles \( \theta \geq \theta_0 \), i.e., \( A = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in S \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq \theta_0 \} \). Clearly, \( A \) is a compact subset of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). As the volume functional is continuous on \( S \), so it has a minimum \( r \) in \( A \). This minimum is non-zero, as the volume of a tetrahedron is zero only if one of its dihedral angles is zero.

Let \( m_j \) be the number of tetrahedra in \( \tau_j \). If \( m_j \to \infty \) then \( \text{vol}(M_j) \geq m_j v \) also becomes arbitrarily large. This is a contradiction as \( \text{vol}(M_n) < V \). So the number of 3-simplexes in \( \tau_j \) has a universal upper bound \( m \). Given such an upper bound
\( m \) on the number of tetrahedra and lower bound \( \theta_0 \) on the dihedral angles of \( \tau_j \), by Theorem 3.3 the systole length of \( M_j \) is bounded below by \( s_0(m, \theta_0) > 0 \). This contradicts the fact that the systole lengths of \( M_j \) approach zero. \( \square \)

We henceforth fix the notation \( r_0 = r(a_0/2) \). Let \( \mathbb{H}^3_{z_0} = pr^{-1}(M_{z_0}) \) be the the complement in \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) of the horoballs which project down to \( C_{z_0} \)

**Lemma 2.14.** Let \( \tau \) be a \( \theta_0 \)-thick triangulation of \( M \). Let \( \Delta \) be a tetrahedron in \( \tau \) and let \( p \in \partial \Delta \cap M_{z_0} \). Let \( \tilde{\Delta} \) be a lift of \( \Delta \) to \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) and let \( \tilde{p} \) be a lift of \( p \) in \( \tilde{\Delta} \). Then \( N(\tilde{p}, r_0) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \) has volume at least \( \theta_0/2\pi \cdot vol(B(r_0)) \).

**Proof.** Let \( F \) be the face of \( \Delta \) containing \( p \) and let \( \tilde{p} \in \tilde{F} \) which is a lift of \( F \) to a face of \( \tilde{\Delta} \). If \( d(\tilde{p}, \partial \tilde{F}) \geq a_0/2 \) then by Lemma 2.10 with \( c = a_0/2 \), \( N(\tilde{p}, r_0) \) is an embedded ball in the interior of \( star(\tilde{F}) \). As simplexes in \( \tilde{\tau} \) are uniquely determined by their vertices on \( \partial \mathbb{H}^3 \) so \( star(\tilde{F}) \) is the union of two tetrahedra \( \tilde{\Delta} \) and \( \tilde{\Delta}' \) identified along \( \tilde{F} \). So \( \tilde{F} \) divides \( N(\tilde{p}, r_0) \) into congruent halves one of which lies entirely in \( \tilde{\Delta} \). Therefore \( N(\tilde{p}, r_0) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \) has volume equal to \( 1/2 \cdot vol(B(r_0)) \geq \theta_0/2\pi \cdot vol(B(r_0)) \) as \( \theta_0 \leq \pi/3 \).

If for some edge \( \tilde{E} \) of \( \tilde{F} \), \( d(\tilde{p}, \tilde{E}) < a_0/2 \) then let \( \tilde{q} \) be a point on \( \tilde{E} \) at the same height as \( \tilde{p} \) in the upper half-space model. By Lemma 2.12 \( a_0/2 < 1/2 \) and so by Lemma 2.11 with \( c = a_0/2 \), \( N(\tilde{p}, r_0) \subseteq N(\tilde{q}, a_0) \). As \( p \in \mathbb{H}^3_{z_0} \) so does \( \tilde{q} \) and by Lemma 2.9 \( N(\tilde{q}, a_0) \) is a ball in \( instar(\tilde{E}) \). We claim that there exists a sector \( S \) of the ball \( N(\tilde{p}, r_0) \) of angle \( \theta_0 \) that lies entirely inside \( \tilde{\Delta} \).

Let \( H \) be the vertical geodesic plane through \( \tilde{p} \) which makes a dihedral angle of \( \theta_0 \) with \( \tilde{F} \) in the side of \( \tilde{\Delta} \) containing \( \tilde{E} \). Let \( H^+ \) be the closed half-space in \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) with boundary \( H \) and which does not contains \( \tilde{E} \). Let \( \delta = \tilde{\Delta} \cap H^+ \). As \( d(\tilde{p}, \partial \tilde{E}) \geq r_0 \) and the dihedral angles of \( \tilde{\Delta} \) are greater than equal to \( \theta_0 \) so \( S = N(\tilde{p}, r_0) \cap \delta \) is a sector of a ball \( B(r_0) \) centered at \( \tilde{p} \) with dihedral angle \( \theta_0 \) and \( S \subseteq \tilde{\Delta} \).

It is therefore enough to prove that \( S \) has volume at least \( \theta_0/2\pi \cdot vol(B(r_0)) \). The hyperbolic volume form in the Poincare ball model of \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) in spherical coordinates is given by

\[
dV = \frac{8}{(1-r^2)^3}dx dy dz = \frac{8}{(1-r^2)^3}(r^2 \sin \phi) dr d\phi d\theta
\]

Let \( f(r) = \int_0^r \frac{2}{1-x^2} dx \). As \( dV \) is independent of \( \theta \) so the volume of \( S \) is given by

\[
vol(S) = \int_{r=0}^{f(r_0)} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta_0} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} dV = \theta_0 \int_{r=0}^{f(r_0)} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} dV
\]

When \( \theta_0 = 2\pi \) then \( S = B(r_0) \) so we get \( vol(S) = \theta_0/2\pi \cdot vol(B(r_0)) \) as required. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.15.** Let \( \tau \) and \( \tau' \) be geometric ideal \( \theta_0 \)-thick triangulations of \( M \). Let \( \Delta \) be a 3-simplex of \( \tau' \). Let \( pr : \mathbb{H}^3 \to M \) be a covering projection. Let \( \tilde{\Delta} \) denote a lift of \( \Delta \) to \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) and let \( \tilde{\tau} \) denote a lift of \( \tau \) to a triangulation of \( \mathbb{H}^3 \). Let \( \tilde{E}(\tilde{\tau}) \) be the set of edges of \( \tilde{\tau} \). Let \( k \) be the number of components of \( E(\tilde{\tau}) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \). Then

\[
k \leq \frac{2\pi \cdot vol(B(r_0/2))}{\theta_0 \cdot vol(B(r_0))}
\]
Proof. Let \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) be upper bounds on the number of 3-simplexes in \( \tau \) and \( \tau' \) respectively. Taking \( m = m_1 + m_2 \) we can calculate the height \( z_0 \) of Lemma 2.6 so that the cusp torus \( T_{z_0} \) is Euclidean with respect to both \( \tau \) and \( \tau' \). The triangulations induced in \( C_{z_0} \) is just the cone over \( \tau \cap T_{z_0} \) and \( \tau' \cap T_{z_0} \) respectively. So each connected component of \( C \) triangulations induced in \( H \) with two geodesics between a pair of points in \( \tilde{\Delta} \) intersects as \( \tilde{\Delta} \) with \( p \) edge of \( \Delta \) or of \( \Delta' \). If no edge of \( \Delta \) or \( \Delta' \) are subsets of the intersection of faces of \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \). By Lemma 2.9, the volume of \( \tilde{\Delta} \) intersects \( \partial \Delta \cap \tilde{\Delta} \cap H^3_{z_0} \). For each such component \( \sigma \) choose a point \( \tilde{p}_\sigma \) in this intersection.

Let \( \tilde{C}_\sigma (r_0/2) = N(\tilde{p}_\sigma, r_0/2) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \). We claim that the collection of sets \( \{ \tilde{C}_\sigma (r_0/2) : \sigma \text{ a component of } E(\tilde{\tau}) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \} \) are pairwise disjoint subsets of \( \tilde{\Delta} \). If \( \tilde{C}_\sigma (r_0/2) \) intersects \( \tilde{C}_\tau (r_0/2) \) then there exist points \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) in edges \( E_0 \) and \( E_1 \) of \( E(\tilde{\tau}) \) with \( d(p_0, p_1) < r_0 \). By Lemma 2.9, \( N(p_0, r) \subset \text{int}(\text{star}(E_0)) \) and as \( E_0 \) is the only edge of \( \tilde{\tau} \) that intersects \( \text{int}(\text{star}(E_0)) \) so \( E_0 = E_1 \). Let \( E = E_0 = E_1 \). As \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) lie on different components of \( E(\tilde{\tau}) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \), so \( E_{[p_0, p_1]} \) can not lie entirely in \( \tilde{\Delta} \). But \( \tilde{\Delta} \) is convex so there exists a geodesic from \( p_0 \) to \( p_1 \) in \( \tilde{\Delta} \). We therefore end up with two geodesics between a pair of points in \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) which is not possible.

By Lemma 2.14 the volume of \( \tilde{C}_\sigma (r_0/2) \) is at least \( v = \theta_0 \text{vol}(B(r_0/2))/(2\pi) \). So if there are \( k \) many components of \( E(\tilde{\tau}) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \) then \( kv \leq \text{vol}(\tilde{\Delta}) \leq v_{\text{tet}}, \) where \( v_{\text{tet}} \) is the volume of the ideal regular tetrahedron in \( \mathbb{H}^3 \). This gives, \( k \leq v_{\text{tet}} 2\pi/((\theta_0 \text{vol}(B(r_0/2)))) \) as required.

**Lemma 2.16.** Let \( \Delta \) be a hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron in \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) and let \( \tau \) be an ideal triangulation of \( \mathbb{H}^3 \). There exists at most one 3-polytope \( P \) in the polytopal complex \( \Delta \cap \tau \) with the property that no edge of \( P \) lies in an edge of \( \Delta \) or in an edge of \( \tau \).

Proof. Let \( \Delta' \) be a tetrahedron of \( \tau \) and let \( P = \Delta \cap \Delta' \) be a 3-polytope in the polytopal complex \( \Delta \cap \tau \). Faces of \( P \) are subsets of faces of \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \), so edges of \( P \) are subsets of the intersection of faces of \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \). If no edge of \( P \) lies in an edge of \( \Delta \) or of \( \Delta' \) then every edge of \( P \) lies in the intersection of a face of \( \Delta \) with a face of \( \Delta' \). Let \( v \) be a vertex of \( P \). If we list the faces of \( P \) that meet at \( v \) in a clockwise fashion, they alternate between faces that lie in faces of \( \Delta \) and faces that
lie in faces of $\Delta'$. So at least two faces of $\Delta$ and at least two faces of $\Delta'$ meet at every vertex of $P$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $P$ with $\{v\} = F_1 \cap F_2 \cap G_1 \cap G_2$ where $F_1$ and $F_2$ are faces of $\Delta$ and $G_1$ and $G_2$ are faces of $\Delta'$. Let $e_1 = F_1 \cap F_2$ and $e_2 = G_1 \cap G_2$ be edges of $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ respectively so that $v = e_1 \cap e_2$. If $w$ is another vertex of $P$ which lies on $e_1$ then as $P$ is convex so $e_1|_{[e_1,w]}$ lies in $P$ and as $P \subset \Delta$ so $e_1|_{[v,w]}$ is an edge of $P$. This contradicts the fact that no edge of $P$ lies in an edge of $\Delta$. Therefore every vertex of $P$ lies on an edge of $\Delta$ and at most one vertex of $P$ lies on any edge of $\Delta$. As $\Delta$ has 6 edges so we can conclude that $P$ must have 6 vertices, one on each edge of $\Delta$. See Figure 2 for an example of this exceptional polytope.

For any vertex $v$ of $\Delta$, let $a,b,c$ be the vertices of $P$ that lie on the edges of $\Delta$ that contain $v$. Let $H$ be the geodesic plane in $\mathbb{H}^3$ containing $a,b$ and $c$. Then $H$ separates $v$ from the edges of $\Delta$ that do not contain $v$. As no vertex of $P$ lies on the side of $H$ containing $v$ so $H \cap P$ is the triangle $[abc]$ which is the convex hull of $a,b,c$. Therefore $[abc]$ is a triangular face of $P$ and we call it a normal triangle of $P$ with respect to vertex $v$.

Suppose there are two 3-polytopes $P_1$ and $P_2$ in $\Delta \cap \tau$ with 6 vertices, one on each edge of $\Delta$. Let $t_1$ and $t_2$ be the normal triangles of $P_1$ and $P_2$ with respect to vertex $v$ of $\Delta$. If $t_1$ intersects $t_2$ then the interiors of $P_1$ and $P_2$ intersect, which is a contradiction as they are both polytopes of a polytopal complex. If $t_1$ and $t_2$ are parallel then assume that $t_1$ is closer to $v$ than $t_2$. As $t_2$ separates $t_1$ and the edges of $\Delta$ not containing $v$, so in particular it separates the vertices of $t_1$ from the vertices of $P_1$ that lie on the edges not containing $v$. And so again, the interiors of $P_1$ and $P_2$ intersect. Therefore there is at most one polytope $P$ in $\Delta \cap \tau$ with the property that no edge of $P$ lies in an edge of $\Delta$ or $\tau$.

\[\square\]

We are finally in a position to prove the main theorem of this section:

**Theorem 2.17.** Let $M$ be an orientable complete one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ be $\theta_0$-thick geometric ideal triangulations of $M$ with at most $m_1$ and $m_2$ many 3-simplexes respectively. Let $m = m_1 + m_2$. Let $\tau_{tet} \sim 1.015$ denote the volume of the regular hyperbolic ideal tetrahedron and let $0.29 \leq \epsilon < 0.616$ be the Margulis number for cusped orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds. The total number of 3-polytopes in the polytopal complex $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ is bounded above by

\[f(m, \theta_0) = \left(\frac{4\pi \tau_{tet}}{\theta_0^3(\sinh(r_0) - r_0)} + 1\right) m\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
    r_0 &= \text{arcsinh}(\sinh(a_0/2) \sin \theta_0) \\
a_0 &= \text{arcsinh}(l_0 \sin \theta_0 / z_0) \\
z_0 &= \sqrt{2m \, \text{vol}_{\text{tet}} \cot \theta_0 / (\epsilon \sin \theta_0)} \\
l_0 &= (\sin \theta_0)^m \left( \sqrt{m^2 + 8m - m} / (4m) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Let \( d \leq 2\pi / \theta_0 \) denote the maximum number of 3-simplexes of \( \tilde{\tau}_1 \) that share a common edge. Let \( \tilde{\Delta} \) be the lift of a tetrahedron \( \Delta \) of \( \tau_2 \). By Lemma 2.15, \( E(\tilde{\tau}_1) \cap \tilde{\Delta} \) has at most \( k \) components. So the number of 3-polytopes in \( \tilde{\tau}_1 \cap \tilde{\Delta} \) which have an edge that lies inside an edge of \( \tilde{\tau}_1 \) is bounded above by \( dk \). As the covering projection restricts to an isometry on the interior of simplexes, so the number of polytopes in \( \tau_1 \cap \Delta \) that have an edge which lies inside an edge of \( \tau_1 \) is also bounded above by \( dk \). Varying \( \Delta \) over all 3-simplexes of \( \tau_2 \), the total number of polytopes of \( \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \) which have an edge that lies in an edge of \( \tau_1 \) is bounded above by \( dkm \).

Similarly the total number of polytopes of \( \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \) which have an edge that lies in an edge of \( \tau_2 \) is bounded above by \( dkm \).

Each polytope of \( \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \) has an edge which lies in either an edge of \( \tau_1 \) or \( \tau_2 \), barring the exceptional polytopes described in Lemma 2.16. As each tetrahedron of \( \tau_1 \) and of \( \tau_2 \) has at most one such exceptional polytope, so in total there are at most \( \min(m_1, m_2) \) many of them. Therefore the total number of polytopes in \( \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \) is bounded above by

\[
k d(m_1 + m_2) + \min(m_1, m_2) \leq (kd + 1)m \leq \left( \frac{(2\pi)^2 \text{vol}(B(r_0/2))}{\theta_0^2} + 1 \right) m
\]

The hyperbolic volume of a ball of radius \( r_0/2 \) is \( \pi (\sinh(r_0) - r_0) \). Substituting this for \( \text{vol}(B(r_0/2)) \) gives the required bound.

\[\Box\]

The proof of Theorem 1.2 now trivially follows from the following result:

**Theorem 2.18** (Theorem 1.2 of [KP19a]). Let \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) be geometric simplicial triangulations of a cusped hyperbolic manifold which have a common geometric subdivision. Then for some \( s \in \mathbb{N} \), the \( s \)-th derived subdivisions \( \beta^s K_1 \) and \( \beta^s K_2 \) are related by geometric Pachner moves.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** By Theorem 2.17, as \( \tau_1 \cap \tau_2 \) is a finite polytopal complex so its derived subdivision \( \beta(\tau_1 \cap \tau_2) \) is a common geometric subdivision of \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \). We can now apply Theorem 2.18 to obtain a sequence of Pachner moves through geometric triangulations between \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \). In dimension 3, it is easy to see that derived subdivisions of geometric triangulations can be realised by Pachner moves through geometric triangulations (see for example Lemma 2.11 of [IS10]). So \( \tau_1 \sim \beta^s \tau_1 \sim \beta^s \tau_2 \sim \tau_2 \).

\[\Box\]

### 3. Bound on Pachner moves

In this section we use the bound on the number of polytopes in a common polytopal subdivision calculated in the previous section to prove Theorem 1.1. The triangulations we shall consider in this section may not be ideal, i.e., they may have material vertices. We call a triangulation geometric if the interior of every
simplex is a totally geodesic disk. The combinatorial techniques we shall use are from previous work by Phanse and the first author [KP19a].

Definition 3.1. Let $pr : \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow M$ be a covering map. Let $\tau$ be a topological triangulation of $M$ possibly with ideal and material vertices. Let $D$ be a sub-complex of $\tau$ such that its lift $\tilde{D}$ is a simplicially triangulated closed 3-ball subcomplex of $\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \partial \mathbb{H}^3$. Let $D'$ be a triangulated closed 3-ball subcomplex of $\partial \Delta^3$ and let $\phi : D' \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ be a simplicial isomorphism. A bistellar or Pachner move on $\tau$ consists of removing $D$ and replacing it with $D'$ attached along the boundary $pr(\phi(\partial D'))$. See Figure 3 for the four possible Pachner moves (in dimension 3).

Definition 3.2. Let $K$ be a simplicial topological triangulation of a closed $n$-ball. A shelling of $K$ is an ordering $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_k$ of the $n$-simplexes of $K$ such that for $1 < j \leq k$, $\Delta_j \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{j-1} \Delta_i)$ is an $(n-1)$-disk subcomplex of $\partial \Delta_j$. We say $K$ is shellable if it has a shelling sequence. Let $K'$ be a simplicial topological triangulation of an $n$-sphere. We say $K'$ is shellable if for some $n$-simplex $\Delta_0$ of $K'$, $K' \setminus \Delta_0$ is shellable. Let $L$ be the subcomplex of a triangulation of $M$. We say $L$ is shellable if there exists a lift of $L$ to $\mathbb{H}^3$ which is shellable.

It is easy to see that 2-polytopes are shellable. Higher dimensional polytopes though may not be shellable. Rudin [Rud58] gave an example of a linear subdivision of a 3-simplex which is not shellable. Lickorish [Lic91] has given a family of unshellable topological triangulations of a 3-sphere. The main result we shall use in this section is a result by Adiprasito and Benedetti [AB17] that the derived subdivision of a convex 3-polytope is shellable.

Shellable balls are 'starrable' i.e., the triangulation of the ball can be changed to the cone over the boundary of the ball by Pachner moves. For the sake of completeness we give here a proof of Lemma 5.7 of [Lic99] in dimension 3:

Lemma 3.3 (Lemma 5.7 of [Lic99]). Let $K$ be a shellable triangulation of a 3-ball with $r$ many 3-simplexes, then $K$ is related to $v \star \partial K$ by a sequence of $r$ Pachner moves.

Proof. We prove this by induction on the number $r$ of 3-simplexes of $K$. If $r = 1$, then $K$ is a 3-simplex and a single 1-4 Pachner move changes $K$ to $v \star \partial K$.

Let $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_r$ be a shelling ordering for the 3-simplexes of $K$. As $K' = \cup_{i=1}^{r-1} \Delta_i$ is a triangulated 3-ball with $r-1$ many 3-simplexes so by induction $K'$ is related to $v \star \partial K'$ by $r-1$ many Pachner moves. Let $\Delta_r = [abcd]$. Let $D = \Delta_r \cap \partial K'$ and let $D' = \partial \Delta_r \setminus \text{int}(D)$ be 2-disk subcomplexes of $\Delta_1$.
There are three possibilities for \( D \). If \( D \) is a 2-simplex \([bcd]\) then \( D' = [abc] \cup [abd] \cup [acd] \). And a 2-3 Pachner move changes \( \Delta_r \cup v \ast D \) to \( v \ast D' \). If \( D \) is the union of two 2-simplexes \([abc] \cup [bcd]\) then \( D' = [abd] \cup [acd] \) and a 3-2 Pachner move change \( \Delta_r \cup v \ast D \) to \( v \ast D' \). So exactly one Pachner move is needed to change \( (v \ast \partial K') \cup \Delta_r \) to \( v \ast \partial K \). So in all, we need \( r \) Pachner moves to change \( K \) to \( v \ast \partial K \).

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( K \) be the geometric triangulation (possibly with material vertices) of a hyperbolic manifold \( M \). Let \( \alpha K \) be a geometric subdivision of \( K \). Let \( \beta^o K \) be the geometric subdivision of \( K \) such that, if \( A \) is a simplex in \( K \) and \( \text{dim}(A) \leq r \), then \( \beta^o A = \alpha A \) and if \( \text{dim}(A) > r \) then \( \beta^o A = b(A) \ast \beta^o \partial \alpha A \) for some point \( b(A) \) in the interior of \( A \), i.e. it is subdivided as the geometric cone on the already defined subdivision of its boundary. Observe that \( \beta^o K \) is \( \alpha K \) while \( \beta^o K = \beta K \) is called the derived subdivision of \( K \). When \( \alpha K = K \), we denote \( \beta^o K \) by \( \beta K \) and call it a partial derived subdivision.

Given \( \theta_0 \)-thick geometric ideal triangulations \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) of \( M \) with at most \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) many 3-simplexes, we obtained a bound \( f \) in the previous section on the number of 3-polytopes in the polytopal complex \( K_1 \cap K_2 \). Its derived subdivision \( K' = \beta(K_1 \cap K_2) \) is then a common geometric subdivision of \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \). In this section, we bound the number of Pachner moves needed to change \( K_i \) to \( K' \), which leads to a proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall Definition 2.8 of open stars of simplexes.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( pr : \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow M \) be a covering projection. Let \( K \) be a geometric ideal triangulation of \( M \) and let \( A \) be an \( i \)-simplex of \( K \). Then \( A \) is also an \( i \)-simplex of \( \beta K \) and \( pr : \text{instar}(\overline{A}, \beta K) \rightarrow \text{instar}(A, \beta K) \) is an isometry.

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma \) be a 3-simplex in \( \text{instar}(A, \beta K) \). We will first show that \( \sigma \setminus \partial A \) is contractible in \( M \). See Figure 3 for examples. Let \( \Delta \) be the 3-simplex of \( K \) which contains \( \sigma \). If \( A \) is 3-dimensional then \( \beta K = K \) and \( \sigma = \text{instar}(A, \beta K) \) so \( \sigma \setminus \partial A = \text{int}(A) \) is contractible. If \( A \) is 2-dimensional then \( \sigma = A \ast b(\Delta) \subset \Delta \), where \( b(\Delta) \) denotes a point in the interior of \( \Delta \). So \( \sigma \setminus \partial A \) is a convex subset of the embedded ball \( \text{int}(\Delta) \cup \text{relint}(A) \) and is therefore contractible. If \( A \) is 1-dimensional, then \( \sigma = A \ast b(\Delta) \ast b(F) \) where \( F \) is a face of \( \Delta \) and \( b(F) \) is a point...
in the interior of $F$. Again as $\sigma \setminus \partial A = \sigma$ is a convex subset of the embedded ball $\text{int}(\Delta) \cup \text{relint}(F) \cup \text{relint}(A)$ so $\sigma \setminus \partial A$ is contractible.

Let $\tilde{A}$ be a lift of the i-simplex $A$ in $\tilde{K}$. The ideal vertices of a simplex of $\tilde{K}$ uniquely determine the simplex. And therefore $\text{star}(\tilde{A}, \beta, K)$ is a closed ball.

Suppose there exist points $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$ in $\text{instar}(\tilde{A}, \beta, K)$ which project down to the same point $q$ in $M$. Assume that $q$ lies in a 3-simplex $\sigma$ of $\text{star}(A, \beta, K)$. As $\partial \tilde{A}$ is disjoint from $\text{instar}(\tilde{A}, \beta, K)$ so $\tilde{q}_i$ do not lie in $\partial \tilde{A}$. As $\sigma \setminus \partial A$ is contractible in $M$ so lifts of $\sigma \setminus \partial A$ are disjoint in $\mathbb{R}^3$. As all 3-simplexes of $\text{star}(\tilde{A}, \beta, K)$ have a common intersection at $\tilde{A}$ so exactly one of the 3-simplexes in $\text{star}(\tilde{A}, \beta, K)$ projects down to $\sigma$. Therefore $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$ lie in the same 3-simplex $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\text{star}(A, \beta, K)$. But as $\sigma \setminus \partial A$ is contractible so $\text{pr} : \tilde{\sigma} \setminus \partial \tilde{A} \to \sigma \setminus \partial A$ is injective and we have a contradiction. □

The following result follows from Lemma 3.5 of [KP19a]. We make some minor modifications to work with ideal triangulations in dimension 3, so we give a complete proof:

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $K$ be a $\theta_0$-thick ideal triangulation of $M$. Let $\alpha K$ be a geometric subdivision of $K$ such that for all 3-simplexes $A$ of $K$, $\alpha A$ is shellable. Let $s_i$ be the number of i-simplexes of $\alpha K$ in the i-skeleton of $K$. Then $\alpha K$ is related to $\beta K$ by $(4\pi/\theta_0)s_1 + 2s_2 + s_3$ Pachner moves.

**Proof.** We shall obtain a sequence of Pachner moves which relate $\alpha K = \beta_3 K \sim \beta_2 K \sim \beta_1 K = \beta K$. Each step of this proof consists of changing the subdivision of the star of an i-simplex $\sigma$ of $\beta_i K$ to a cone over its boundary by Pachner moves. By a Pachner move in $\text{star}(\sigma, \beta_i K)$ we in fact mean a Pachner move in the ball $\text{star}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta_i \tilde{K})$ which we then project down to $\beta_i K$. By Lemma 3.5 the projection from the interior of $\text{star}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta_i \tilde{K})$ to the interior of $\text{star}(\sigma, \beta_i K)$ is an isometry and these Pachner moves do not change the boundary of $\text{star}(\tilde{\sigma}, \beta_i \tilde{K})$.

*Step (i)* Let $A$ be a 3-simplex of $K$. As $\alpha A$ is given to be shellable, so by Lemma 3.3 there exists a sequence of Pachner moves that changes $\alpha A$ to $a \ast \partial \alpha A$ for a coning point $a$ in the interior of $A$. Performing this starring operation on all 3-simplexes $A$ of $K$ requires $s_3$ many Pachner moves. These moves change $\alpha K$ to $\beta_3 K$, i.e., the 2-skeleton of $K$ in $\alpha K$ is unchanged while the subdivisions of the 3-simplexes of $K$ in $\alpha K$ are replaced by cones over their boundaries. Note that while the intermediate triangulations in this sequence are allowed to be non-geometric, $\beta_3 K$ is geometric again.

*Step (ii)* Let $B$ be a 2-simplex of $K$ and let $\text{star}(B, K) = A_1 \cup A_2$, for 3-simplexes $A_1$ and $A_2$ of $K$. If $a_1$ and $a_2$ are the coning points in the interior of $A_1$ and $A_2$ from Step (i), then $\text{lk}(B, \beta_2 K) = a_1 \cup a_2$. Note that $\alpha B \ast \text{lk}(B, \beta_2 K)$ is a subdivision of $\text{star}(B, \beta_2 K) = B \ast \text{lk}(B, \beta_2 K)$. As every 2-polytope is shellable so $\alpha B \ast (a_1 \cup a_2)$ is also shellable. Applying Lemma 3.3 again, we get a sequence of Pachner moves that change $\alpha B \ast (a_1 \cup a_2)$ to $b \ast \partial \alpha B \ast (a_1 \cup a_2)$ for a point $b$ in int$(B)$. There are in total $2s_2$ many 3-simplexes in the union of all such $\alpha B \ast (a_1 \cup a_2)$ so performing this starring operation on all 2-simplexes $B$ of $K$ requires $2s_2$ many Pachner moves. These moves change $\beta_3 K$ to $\beta_2 K$, i.e., the 1-skeleton of $K$ in $\alpha K$ remains unchanged while the subdivisions of the 2 and 3 simplexes of $K$ in $\alpha K$ have become cones over their boundaries.
Step (iii) Let $C$ be an edge of $K$ and let $\text{star}(C, K) = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ for 3-simplices $A_i$ of $K$ such that $B_i = A_i \cap A_{i+1}$ is a 2-simplex of $K$. If $a_i$ and $b_i$ are the corresponding coning points from Step (i) and Step (ii) then the link of $C$ in $\beta_1 K$ is the circuit $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}, a_1)$ in the 1-skeleton of $\beta_1 K$. Note that $\alpha C * \text{lk}(C, \beta_1 K)$ is a subdivision of $\text{star}(C, \beta_1 K) = C * \text{lk}(C, \beta_1 K)$. As the join of shellable complexes is shellable so $\alpha C * \text{lk}(C, \beta_1 K)$ is shellable as well. We proceed as before, starring this shellable complex using Lemma 3.3 to change it to $c * \partial C * \text{lk}(C, \beta_1 K)$ for $c$ an interior point of $C$. Let $\text{deg}(C)$ denote the number of 3-simplexes in $\text{star}(C, \beta_1 K)$ and let $d = \max(\text{deg}(C))$ where the maximum is taken over all edges of $K$. The starring operation for all $C$ involves at most $ds_1$ Pachner moves. As $K$ is $\theta_0$-thick, so the number of 3-simplexes $n$ in $\text{star}(C, K)$ is at most $2\pi/\theta_0$. So the number of edges in the circuit $\text{lk}(C, \beta_1 K) = 2n \leq 4\pi/\theta_0$, i.e., $d \leq 4\pi/\theta_0$. Consequently the number of 3-simplexes in the union of $\alpha C * \text{lk}(C, \beta_1 K)$ over all edges $C$ of $K$ is at most $s_1(4\pi/\theta_0)$. Performing corresponding Pachner moves for all edges $C$ of $K$ changes $\beta_1 K$ to $\beta K$ in at most $s_1(4\pi/\theta_0)$ moves.

We therefore obtain a sequence of Pachner moves from $\alpha K$ to $\beta K$ with length bounded by $s_3 + 2s_2 + (4\pi/\theta_0)s_1$.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3.7. Let $K$ be a $\theta_0$-thick geometric ideal triangulation of $M$. Let $K'$ be a geometric subdivision of $K$. Let $p_i$ be the number of $i$-simplexes of $K$ for $i > 0$. Let $s_i$ be the number of $i$-simplexes of $K'$ that lie in the $i$-skeleton of $K$. Then $K'$ is related to $K$ by $(8\pi/\theta_0)s_1 + 12s_2 + 24s_3 + (4\pi/\theta_0)p_1 + 2p_2 + p_3$ Pachner moves.

Proof. We first relate $\beta K'$ to $\beta K$. Each $i$-simplex of $K'$ is split into $(i + 1)!$ many $i$-simplexes on taking a derived subdivision. The number of $i$-simplexes of $\beta K'$ in the $i$-skeleton of $K$ is therefore $(i + 1)!s_i$. Denote $K'$ by $\alpha K$. Let $A$ be a simplex of $K$ and let $\alpha A$ be its subdivision. Let $\alpha A$ denote the lift of $\alpha A$ to the subdivision of a simplex in the Klein model of $\mathbb{H}^3$. As geodesics are straight lines in the Klein model so $\alpha A$ is the subdivision of a Euclidean 3-simplex in $\mathbb{E}^3$. By Theorem A of [AB17], its derived subdivision $\beta \alpha A = \beta \alpha A$ is shellable. Therefore $(\beta \alpha)A$ is shellable for all 3-simplexes $A$ of $K$. So replacing $s_i$ in Lemma 3.6 with $(i + 1)!s_i$ we get the bound $(4\pi/\theta_0)(2s_1) + 2(6s_2) + (24s_3)$ on the number of Pachner moves needed to go from $\beta K'$ to $\beta K$.

As a 3-simplex is trivially shellable, so we next take $\alpha K = K$ and $s_1 = p_1$ in Lemma 3.6. This gives the bound $(4\pi/\theta_0)p_1 + 2p_2 + p_3$ on the number of Pachner moves needed to relate $K$ and $\beta K$.

Putting these sequences of Pachner moves together we get the required bound on the number of Pachner moves needed to go from $\beta K'$ to $K$.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3.8. Let $s$ be the number of 3-simplexes of $K' = \beta(K_1 \cap K_2)$ and let $f$ be the number of 3-polytopes in $K_1 \cap K_2$. Then $s \leq 112f$.

Proof. Let $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ be 3-simplexes of $K_1$ and $K_2$ respectively and let $P$ be a 3-polytopal component of $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$. Let $F(P)$ denote the number of faces of $P$. As each face of $P$ is a subset of a unique face of $\Delta_1$ or $\Delta_2$ so $F(P) \leq 8$. Consequently each face has at most 7 edges and on taking derived subdivisions, each face splits into at most $7 \times 2$ many 2-simplexes. Therefore $\beta P$ has at most $14F(P) \leq 112$ many 3-simplexes. Summing over all 3-polytopes $P$ of $K_1 \cap K_2$ gives $s \leq 112f$.

\[ \square \]
We can now finally calculate the explicit bound on the number of Pachner moves needed to relate ideal geometric triangulations.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $M$ be a complete orientable one-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. Let $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ be geometric ideal triangulations of $M$ with at most $m_1$ and $m_2$ many 3-simplexes respectively and all dihedral angles at least $\theta_0$. Let $m = m_1 + m_2$. Then the number of Pachner moves needed to relate $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ is less than

$$N(m, \theta) = (10752 + 3584\pi/\theta_0)f + (5 + 8\pi/\theta_0)m$$

where,

$$f = \left(\frac{4\pi v_{tet}}{\theta_0^2(\sinh(r_0) - r_0)} + 1\right)m$$

$$r_0 = \arcsinh(\sinh(a_0/2) \sin \theta_0)$$

$$a_0 = \arcsinh(l_0 \sin \theta_0 / z_0)$$

$$z_0 = \sqrt{2m v_{tet} \cot \theta_0 / (\varepsilon \sin \theta_0)}$$

$$l_0 = (\sin \theta_0)m(\sqrt{m^2 + 8m - m})/(4m)$$

**Proof.** Let $p_i$ and $q_i$ be the number of $i$-simplexes of $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ respectively. The polytopal complex $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ has $f$ many 3-polytopes as given by Theorem 2.17. Let $s_i$ be the number of $i$-simplexes of $K' = \beta(\tau_1 \cap \tau_2)$ which lie in the $i$-skeleton of $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$. So $s_i$ is greater than equal to the number of $i$-simplexes of $K'$ which lie in the $i$-skeleton of $\tau_1$ and of $\tau_2$. Applying Lemma 2.12 twice, we get a bound on the number of Pachner moves to relate $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ via $\beta K'$ as

$$(16\pi/\theta_0)s_1 + 24s_2 + 48s_3 + (4\pi/\theta_0)(p_1 + q_1) + 2(p_2 + q_2) + (p_3 + q_3).$$

As each face of $\tau_1$ lies in 2 tetrahedra and each tetrahedron has 4 faces so 4$p_3$ counts each face of $\tau_1$ exactly twice, therefore $2p_2 = 4p_3$. As each edge of $\tau_2$ lies in at least 3 tetrahedra and each tetrahedron has 6 edges so $3p_3 \leq 6p_3$. As $p_3 = m_1$ so we get $p_1 \leq 2m_1$ and $p_2 = 2m_1$. Similar identities hold for $q_1$. And similarly, each face of $K'$ lies in two tetrahedra of $K'$ but as some face of $K'$ may not lie in the 2-skeleton of $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ so we get $2s_2 \leq 4s_3$. Each edge of $K'$ lies in at least 3 tetrahedron of $K'$ and as each tetrahedron of $K'$ has at most 6 edges which lie in the 1-skeleton of $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ so $3s_1 \leq 6s_3$.

Plugging in these values into the bound above gives us the bound $((16\pi/\theta_0)2 + (24)2 + 48)s + ((4\pi/\theta_0)2 + (2)2 + 1)(m_1 + m_2)$. Using the inequality $s \leq 112f$ obtained in Lemma 3.8, we get the bound $(10752 + 3584\pi/\theta_0)f + (5 + 8\pi/\theta_0)m$. Finally, we can plug in the value for $f$ from Theorem 2.17 to get the required bound.

This bound in the above lemma can be simplified to highlight the dependence on $\theta$ and $m$ to obtain the main result of this article:

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** This proof is essentially a simplification of the bound obtained in Lemma 3.9. By Lemma 2.12

$$\sinh(a_0/2) \sin \theta_0 < \sinh(a_0) < \epsilon < 1$$

Also, from the calculations in proof of Theorem 1.2 we get,

$$\sinh \left(\frac{a_0}{2}\right) \sin \theta_0 \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon (\sin \theta_0)^{m+5/2}}{4\sqrt{2m v_{tet}}} \cdot \frac{2}{m} \cdot \sin \theta_0$$
As \( t - \arcsinh(t) \geq \frac{t^3}{3} - \frac{t^5}{60} \geq \frac{t^3}{12} \) for \( t \leq 1 \) so putting \( t = \sinh(a_0/2) \sin \theta_0 \) and substituting the value \( r_0 = \arcsinh(\sin(a_0/2) \sin \theta_0) \) we get

\[
\sinh(r_0) - r_0 = \left( \sinh \left( \frac{a_0}{2} \right) \sin \theta_0 - \arcsinh \left( \sinh \left( \frac{a_0}{2} \right) \sin \theta_0 \right) \right) \geq \left( \frac{\sin \left( \frac{a_0}{2} \right) \sin \theta_0}{12} \right)^3 \geq \frac{3\sqrt{3} \epsilon^3}{21^3 \sqrt{2} \cdot 12 \cdot (\varepsilon m)^{3/2}} \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2} m^{9/2}
\]

This gives,

\[
\frac{4\pi v_{tet}}{\theta_0^2 (\sinh(r_0) - r_0)} \leq \frac{12\pi 2^{12} \sqrt{2} \left( v_{tet} \right)^{5/2}}{3\sqrt{3} \epsilon^3} \cdot \frac{m^{9/2}}{\theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}}
\]

\[
\frac{4\pi v_{tet}}{\theta_0^2 (\sinh(r_0) - r_0)} + 1 \leq \frac{12\pi 2^{12} \sqrt{2} \left( v_{tet} \right)^{5/2} m^{9/2} + 3\sqrt{3} \epsilon^3 \theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}}{3\sqrt{3} \epsilon^3 \theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}}
\]

As \( \theta_0 \leq \pi/3, \epsilon < 1, 1 < v_{tet} \) and \( 4 \leq m \) so

\[
3\sqrt{3} \epsilon^2 \theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2} < 2^{12} \sqrt{2} \pi (4)^{9/2} < 2^{12} \sqrt{2} \pi \left( v_{tet} \right)^{5/2} m^{9/2}
\]

As \( \epsilon \geq 0.29 \) and \( v_{tet} < 1.015 \), so we have,

\[
f(m, \theta_0) = \left( \frac{4\pi v_{tet}}{\theta_0^2 (\sinh(r_0) - r_0)} + 1 \right) m \leq \frac{2^{12} \sqrt{2} (13) \pi (v_{tet})^{5/2} m^{11/2}}{3\sqrt{3} \epsilon^3 \theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}}
\]

Let \( N(m, \theta_0) \) be the number of Pachner moves required to relate \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \).

So \( N(m, \theta) \leq \left( 10752 + \frac{3584\pi}{\theta_0} \right) f + \left( 5 + \frac{8\pi}{\theta_0} \right) m 
\]

\[
\leq (10752k + 5) m + \left( \frac{3584k + 8\pi}{\theta_0} \right) m
\]

where \( k = \frac{2^{12} \sqrt{2} \left( 335 \right) m^{9/2}}{\theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}} \)

\[
10752k + 5 = \frac{10752 \left( 335 \right) 2^{12} \sqrt{2} \left( v_{tet} \right)^{9/2} + 5}{\theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}} \leq \frac{10752 \left( 335 \right) 2^{12} \sqrt{2} \left( v_{tet} \right)^{9/2} + 5 \theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}}{\theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}}
\]

\[
10752k + 5 \leq \frac{10752 \left( 335 \right) 2^{12} \sqrt{2} \left( v_{tet} \right)^{9/2} + 5 \theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}}{\theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}} \leq \frac{(2.08646 \times 10^{10}) m^{9/2}}{\theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}} \text{ as } 5\theta_0^2 < 4^{9/2} \leq m^{9/2}
\]

Similarly,

\[
3584k + 8 \leq \frac{(6.95485 \times 10^{9}) m^{9/2}}{\theta_0^2 \left( \sin \theta_0 \right)^{3m+21/2}} \text{ as } 8\theta_0^2 \leq 4^{9/2} \leq m^{9/2}
\]
As $1/t < 1/\sin(t)$ for $t > 0$ and as $\theta_0 \leq \pi/3$, so from Lemma 3.9 we get

$$N(m, \theta) \leq \frac{m^{11/2}}{\theta_0^2 (\sin(\theta_0))^{3m+21/2}} \left( (2.08646 \times 10^{10}) + \frac{(6.95485 \times 10^3)\pi}{\theta_0} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{m^{11/2}}{(\sin(\theta_0))^{3m+21/2}} \left( (2.08646 \times 10^{10}) \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + (6.95485 \times 10^3)\pi \right)$$

$$N(m, \theta) \leq (4.37 \times 10^{10}) \frac{m^{11/2}}{(\sin(\theta_0))^{3m+27/2}}$$
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