

# Nonlinear maps preserving the mixed Jordan triple $\eta$ -\*-product between factors

Fangjuan Zhang

*School of Science, Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications, Xi'an  
710121, P. R. China*

---

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two factor von Neumann algebras and  $\eta$  be a non-zero complex number. A nonlinear bijective map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  has been demonstrated to satisfy

$$\phi([A, B]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta C) = [\phi(A), \phi(B)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(C)$$

for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\eta = 1$ , then  $\phi$  is a linear \*-isomorphism, a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism, the negative of a linear \*-isomorphism, or the negative of a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism. If  $\eta \neq 1$  and satisfies  $\phi(I) = 1$ , then  $\phi$  is either a linear \*-isomorphism or a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism.

*2000AMS classification* 47B48; 46L10

*Key words:* Mixed Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-product ; Isomorphism; von Neumann algebras

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a \*-algebra. For a non-zero scalar  $\eta$ , the Jordan  $\eta$ -\*-product of two elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  is defined by  $A \diamond_\eta B = AB + \eta BA^*$ . The Jordan  $(-1)$ -\*-product, customarily called the skew Lie product, has been extensively studied because it is naturally seen in the problem of representing quadratic functionals with sesquilinear functionals ([12,13,14]) and that of characterizing

---

\* This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11601420) and the Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China (Program No. 2018JM1053).

\* Corresponding author.

*Email address:* zhfj888@126.com (Fangjuan Zhang).

ideals ([10,11]). We often write the Jordan  $(-1)$ -\*-product by  $[A, B]_*$ , the Jordan  $(1)$ -\*-product by  $A \bullet B$  and the Jordan  $(-\eta)$ -\*-product by  $[A, B]_*^\eta$ , i.e.,  $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$ ,  $A \bullet B = AB + BA^*$ ,  $[A, B]_*^\eta = AB - \eta BA^*$ . A not necessarily linear map  $\phi$  between \*-algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to preserve the Jordan  $\eta$ -\*-product if  $\phi(A \diamond_\eta B) = \phi(A) \diamond_\eta \phi(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Recently, researchers have focused on maps preserving the Jordan  $\eta$ -\*-product between \*-algebra ([1,2,3,8,9]).

Huo et al. [1] reported a more general problem, considering the Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-product of three elements  $A, B$  and  $C$  in a \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  as defined by  $A \diamond_\eta B \diamond_\eta C = (A \diamond_\eta B) \diamond_\eta C$  (note that  $\diamond_\eta$  is not necessarily associative). Moreover, a map  $\phi$  between \*-algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to preserve the Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-product if  $\phi(A \diamond_\eta B \diamond_\eta C) = \phi(A) \diamond_\eta \phi(B) \diamond_\eta \phi(C)$  for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . In [1], let  $\eta \neq -1$  be a non-zero complex number and let  $\phi$  be a bijection between two von Neumann algebras, one of which has no central abelian projections, satisfying  $\phi(I) = I$  and preserving the Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-product. Huo et al. reported that  $\phi$  is a linear \*-isomorphism if  $\eta$  is not real and  $\phi$  is the sum of a linear \*-isomorphism and a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism if  $\eta$  is real. Nevertheless, Huo et al. have not considered the case  $\eta = -1$ . Li et al. in [2] considered maps that preserve the Jordan triple  $(-1)$ -\*-product without the assumption  $\phi(I) = I$  and confirmed that such a map between factors is a linear \*-isomorphism, a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism, the negative of a linear \*-isomorphism, or the negative of a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism. In [3], Zhao and Li discussed maps that preserve the Jordan triple  $(1)$ -\*-product without the assumption  $\phi(I) = I$  and the results are similar to [2]. The Lie product of two elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  is defined by  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ . A map  $\phi$  between factor von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to preserve Lie product if  $\phi([A, B]) = [\phi(A), \phi(B)]$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . In [7], Zhang and Zhang studied nonlinear bijective maps preserving Lie products between factors.

The mixed Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-product of three elements  $A, B$  and  $C$  in a \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by  $[A, B]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta C$ . Moreover, a not necessarily linear map  $\phi$  between factor von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  preserves mixed Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-products if

$$\phi([A, B]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta C) = [\phi(A), \phi(B)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(C)$$

for every  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . The primary result of this study confirms the following: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two factor von Neumann algebras and Let  $\eta$  be a non-zero complex number and  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a bijection that preserves the mixed Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-product. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) If  $\eta = 1$ , then  $\phi$  is a linear \*-isomorphism, a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism, the negative of a linear \*-isomorphism, or the negative of a conjugate linear \*-isomorphism.
- (2) If  $\eta \neq 1$  and satisfies  $\phi(I) = 1$ , then  $\phi$  is either a linear \*-isomorphism or

a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.

As usual,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote respectively the real field and complex field. Throughout, algebras and spaces are over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a weakly closed, self-adjoint algebra of operators on a Hilbert space  $H$  containing the identity operator  $I$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is a factor means that its center only contains the scalar operators. It is well known that the factor  $\mathcal{A}$  is prime, in the sense that  $AAB = \{0\}$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  implies either  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  be the space of all projection operators of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([3, Lemma 2.2]) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a factor and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $AB + BA^* = 0$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  implies that  $A \in i\mathbb{R}I$  ( $i$  is the imaginary number unit).*

**Lemma 1.2** ([4, Lemma 2.2]) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a factor von Neumann algebra and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $[A, B]_* \in \mathbb{C}I$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \in \mathbb{C}I$ .*

**Lemma 1.3** ([5, Problem 230]) *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra with the identity  $I$ . If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  are such that  $[A, B] = \lambda I$ , where  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ , then  $\lambda = 0$ .*

## 2 The mixed Jordan triple 1- $*$ -product preserving maps

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be two factor von Neumann algebras. Suppose that  $\phi$  is a bijective map from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\phi([A, B]_* \bullet C) = [\phi(A), \phi(B)]_* \bullet \phi(C)$  for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\phi$  is a linear  $*$ -isomorphism, a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism, the negative of a linear  $*$ -isomorphism, or the negative conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.*

First we give a key technique. Assume that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  and  $T$  are in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\phi(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(A_i)$ . Then for  $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ , we obtain

$$\phi([T, S_2]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta S_3) = [\phi(T), \phi(S_2)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(S_3) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi([A_i, S_2]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta S_3), \quad (1)$$

$$\phi([S_1, T]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta S_3) = [\phi(S_1), \phi(T)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(S_3) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi([S_1, A_i]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta S_3) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\phi([S_1, S_2]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta T) = [\phi(S_1), \phi(S_2)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi([S_1, S_2]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_i). \quad (3)$$

Choose an arbitrary nontrivial projection  $P_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ , write  $P_2 = I - P_1$ . Denote  $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i \mathcal{A} P_j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , then  $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we

can write it as  $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 A_{ij}$ , where  $A_{ij}$  denotes an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . We will complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 by proving several lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1**  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

**Proof** Since  $\phi$  is surjective, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(A) = 0$ . Hence  $\phi(0) = \phi([0, A]_* \bullet A) = [\phi(0), \phi(A)]_* \bullet \phi(A) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2**  $\phi(\mathbb{R}I) = \mathbb{R}I$ ,  $\phi(\mathbb{C}I) = \mathbb{C}I$  and  $\phi$  preserves self-adjoint elements in both directions.

**Proof** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. It is easily seen that

$$0 = \phi([\lambda I, B]_* \bullet C) = [\phi(\lambda I), \phi(B)]_* \bullet \phi(C)$$

holds true for any  $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\phi$  is surjective, by Lemma 1.1, which indicates that

$$[\phi(\lambda I), \phi(B)]_* \in i\mathbb{R}I.$$

Then  $[\phi(\lambda I), B]_* \in \mathbb{C}I$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We obtain from Lemma 1.2 that  $\phi(\lambda I) \in \mathbb{C}I$ , so there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $(\lambda_0 - \overline{\lambda_0})B \in \mathbb{C}I$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\phi(\lambda I) \in \mathbb{R}I$ . Note that  $\phi^{-1}$  has the same properties as  $\phi$ . Similarly, if  $\phi(A) \in \mathbb{R}I$ , then  $A \in \mathbb{R}I$ . Therefore,  $\phi(\mathbb{R}I) = \mathbb{R}I$ .

Since  $\phi(\mathbb{R}I) = \mathbb{R}I$ , exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(\lambda I) = I$ . For any  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we obtain

$$0 = \phi([A, \lambda I]_* \bullet B) = [\phi(A), I]_* \bullet \phi(B),$$

by the surjectivity of  $\phi$  and Lemma 1.1, the above equation indicates  $[\phi(A), I]_* \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . Then there exists  $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(A)^* = \phi(A) + \lambda I$ . However,

$$0 = \phi([A, A]_* \bullet B) = [\phi(A), \phi(A)]_* \bullet \phi(B)$$

for all  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Similarly,  $[\phi(A), \phi(A)]_* \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . Then  $\lambda\phi(A) \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\phi(A) \in \mathbb{R}I$ . It follows from  $\phi(\mathbb{R}I) = \mathbb{R}I$  that  $A = A^* \in \mathbb{R}I$ , which is contradiction. Thus  $\lambda = 0$ . Now we get that  $\phi(A) = \phi(A)^*$ . Similarly, if  $\phi(A) = \phi(A)^*$ , then  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore  $\phi$  preserves self-adjoint elements in both directions.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary. For every  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{A}$ , we obtain that

$$0 = \phi([A, \lambda I]_* \bullet B) = [\phi(A), \phi(\lambda I)]_* \bullet \phi(B)$$

holds true for any  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . By the surjectivity of  $\phi$  and Lemma 1.1 again, the above equation indicates  $[\phi(A), \phi(\lambda I)]_* \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . Since  $A = A^*$ , we have  $\phi(A) = \phi(A)^*$ . Hence  $[\phi(A), \phi(\lambda I)] \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . We obtain from Lemma 1.3 that

$[\phi(A), \phi(\lambda I)] = 0$ , and then  $B\phi(\lambda I) = \phi(\lambda I)B$  for any  $B = B^* \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , since  $B = B_1 + iB_2$  with  $B_1 = \frac{B+B^*}{2}$  and  $B_2 = \frac{B-B^*}{2i}$ , we get

$$B\phi(\lambda I) = \phi(\lambda I)B$$

for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $\phi(\lambda I) \in \mathbb{C}I$ . Similarly, if  $\phi(A) \in \mathbb{C}I$ , then  $A \in \mathbb{C}I$ . Therefore,  $\phi(\mathbb{C}I) = \mathbb{C}I$ .

**Lemma 2.3**  $\phi(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) + \mathbb{R}I) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}) + \mathbb{R}I$ .

**Proof** Fix a nontrivial projection  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ . Based on Lemma 2.2, exists  $A = A^* \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(A) = P + \mathbb{R}I$ . For any  $B = B^* \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{A}$ , we then have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([A, B]_* \bullet C) &= [\phi(A), \phi(B)]_* \bullet \phi(C) \\ &= [P, \phi(B)]_* \bullet \phi(C) = [([P, \phi(B)]_* \bullet P), P]_* \bullet \phi(C) \\ &= [([\phi(A), \phi(B)]_* \bullet \phi(A)), \phi(A)]_* \bullet \phi(C) = \phi([([A, B]_* \bullet A), A]_* \bullet C). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of  $\phi$ , we concur that  $[[A, B]_* \bullet A), A]_* \bullet C = [A, B]_* \bullet C$ , which indicates  $[[A, B]_* \bullet A), A]_* - [A, B]_* \in i\mathbb{R}I$  for all  $B = B^* \in \mathcal{A}$ . For every  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $X = X_1 + iX_2$ , where  $X_1 = \frac{X+X^*}{2}$  and  $X_2 = \frac{X-X^*}{2i}$  are self-adjoint. We obtain  $[A, [A, [A, X]]] - [A, X] \in i\mathbb{R}I$ , i.e.,

$$A^3X - 3A^2XA + 3AXA^2 - XA^3 - AX + XA \in i\mathbb{R}I \quad (4)$$

holds true for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the group of unitary operators of  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $\varphi$  be the set of the functions  $U \rightarrow f(U)$  defined on  $\mathcal{U}$  with non-negative real values, zero except on a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  and such that  $\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} f(U) = 1$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \varphi$ , we put  $f \cdot A = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} f(U)UAU^*$ .

For any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , by Eq. (4),

$$(A^3 - A)U - 3A^2UA + 3AUA^2 - U(A^3 - A) = \alpha I$$

for certain  $\alpha \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . It follows that

$$A^3 - A - 3A^2UAU^* + 3AUA^2U^* - U(A^3 - A)U^* = \alpha U^*,$$

and so  $A^3 - A - 3A^2f \cdot A + 3Af \cdot A^2 - f \cdot A^3 + f \cdot A = \alpha U^*$  for any  $f \in \varphi$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a factor von Neumann algebra, we obtain from [6, Lemma 5 (Part III, Chapter 5)] that there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$A^3 - A - 3\lambda_1 A^2 + 3\lambda_2 A - (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)I = \alpha U^*.$$

Thus  $U(A^3 - A)U^* - 3\lambda_1UA^2U^* + 3\lambda_2UAU^* - (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)I = \alpha U^*$  and then  $f \cdot A^3 - f \cdot A - 3\lambda_1f \cdot A^2 + 3\lambda_2f \cdot A - (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)I = \alpha U^*$  for any  $f \in \varphi$ . By [6, Lemma 5 (Part III, Chapter 5)] again, we have  $\alpha U^* = 0$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\alpha = 0$ . Thus we have

$$(A^3 - A)U - 3A^2UA + 3AUA^2 - U(A^3 - A) = 0 \quad (5)$$

and

$$A^3 - A = 3\lambda_1A^2 - 3\lambda_2A + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)I \quad (6)$$

for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . By Eqs. (5)–(6), we conclude that

$$(\lambda_1A^2 - \lambda_2A)U - A^2UA + AUA^2 - U(\lambda_1A^2 - \lambda_2A) = 0$$

and

$$(\lambda_1A^2 - \lambda_2A)UAU^* - A^2UA^2U^* + AUA^3U^* - U(\lambda_1A^2 - \lambda_2A)AU^* = 0$$

for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus

$$(\lambda_1A^2 - \lambda_2A)f \cdot A - A^2f \cdot A^2 + Af \cdot A^3 - \lambda_1f \cdot A^3 + \lambda_2f \cdot A^2 = 0$$

for any  $f \in \varphi$ . By applying [6, Lemma 5 (Part III, Chapter 5)] again, we obtain

$$\lambda_1(\lambda_1A^2 - \lambda_2A) - \lambda_2A^2 + \lambda_3A + (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1\lambda_3)I = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2)A^2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)A + (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1\lambda_3)I = 0. \quad (7)$$

If  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^2$ , we obtain from  $\phi(\mathbb{C}I) = \mathbb{C}I$  and  $\phi(A) = P + \mathbb{R}I \notin \mathbb{C}I$  that  $A \notin \mathbb{C}I$ , then  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^3$ , so by Eq. (6), we have  $(A - \lambda_1I)^3 = A - \lambda_1I$ . Let  $B = A - \lambda_1I$ , then

$$B^3 = B \quad \text{and} \quad [B, [B, [B, X]]] = [B, X]$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . This indicates that

$$B^2XB - BXB^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $E_1 = \frac{1}{2}(B^2 + B)$  and  $E_2 = \frac{1}{2}(B^2 - B)$ . It follows from the fact  $B^3 = B$  that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are idempotents of  $\mathcal{A}$  and that

$$B = E_1 - E_2, \quad B^2 = E_1 + E_2, \quad E_1E_2 = E_2E_1 = 0.$$

This along with Eq. (8) shows us that  $E_1XE_2 = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $E_1 = 0$  or  $E_2 = 0$ , and so  $A = \lambda_1I + E_1$  or  $A = \lambda_1I - E_2$  is the sum of a scalar and an idempotent of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1^2$ , by Eq. (7), we have  $A^2 = \lambda A + \mu I$  for certain  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . This along with Eq. (5) indicates that

$$(\lambda^2 + 4\mu - 1)(AU - UA) = 0 \quad (9)$$

for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $A \notin \mathbb{C}I$ , we have  $AU - UA \neq 0$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . By Eq. (9), we obtain  $\lambda^2 + 4\mu - 1 = 0$ . Let  $E = A + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)I$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E^2 &= A^2 + (1 - \lambda)A + \frac{1}{4}(1 - \lambda)^2 I = \lambda A + \mu I + (1 - \lambda)A + \frac{1}{4}(1 - \lambda)^2 I \\ &= A + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda^2 + 4\mu - 2\lambda + 1)I = A + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)I = E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)I + E$  is the sum of a scalar and an idempotent of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $A = A^*$ , then  $A = \alpha I + E, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}I, E \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $E = 0$  or  $E = I$ , from  $\phi(A) = P + \mathbb{R}I$ , we obtain  $\phi(\mathbb{R}I) = P + \mathbb{R}I$ . It follows  $\phi(\mathbb{R}I) = \mathbb{R}I$  that  $P = 0$  or  $P = I$ , since  $P$  is a nontrivial projection, which is a contradiction. Thus,  $A$  is the sum of a real number and a nontrivial projection of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Applying the same argument to  $\phi^{-1}$ , we can obtain the reverse inclusion and equality follows.

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\phi(A_{ii} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ij})$  and  $\phi(A_{ii} + B_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ji})$  for all  $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$  and  $B_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^2 X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ij})$ . It follows from Eq. (2) that

$$\phi(X_{ij} + X_{ij}^*) = \phi([P_i, X]_* \bullet P_j) = \phi([P_i, A_{ii}]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi([P_i, B_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) = \phi(B_{ij} + B_{ij}^*).$$

By the injectivity of  $\phi$ , we have  $X_{ij} = B_{ij}$ . We obtain from Eq. (2) that

$$\phi(X_{ji} + X_{ji}^*) = \phi([P_j, X]_* \bullet P_i) = \phi([P_j, A_{ii}]_* \bullet P_i) + \phi([P_j, B_{ij}]_* \bullet P_i) = 0,$$

which indicates that  $X_{ji} = 0$ . For every  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ , by applying Eq. (2) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(T_{ij}X_{jj} + X_{jj}^*T_{ij}^*) &= \phi([T_{ij}, X]_* \bullet P_j) \\ &= \phi([T_{ij}, A_{ii}]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi([T_{ij}, B_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $T_{ij}X_{jj} = X_{jj}^*T_{ij}^* = 0$  for all  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . By the primeness of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we get  $X_{jj} = 0$ . For every  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ , by applying Eq. (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(X_{ii}T_{ij} + T_{ij}^*X_{ii}^*) &= \phi([X, T_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) \\ &= \phi([A_{ii}, T_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi([B_{ij}, T_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) = \phi(A_{ii}T_{ij} + T_{ij}^*A_{ii}^*), \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $X_{ii}T_{ij} = A_{ii}T_{ij}$  for all  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . By the primeness of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we obtain  $X_{ii} = A_{ii}$ . Thus  $\phi(A_{ii} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ij})$ . In the second case, we can similarly prove that the conclusion is valid.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ji})$  for all  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$  and  $B_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ .*

**Proof** Choose  $X = \sum_{i,i=1}^2 X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ji})$ . It follows from Eq. (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(X_{ji} + X_{ji}^*) &= \phi([X, P_i]_* \bullet P_i) \\ &= \phi([A_{ij}, P_i]_* \bullet P_i) + \phi([B_{ji}, P_i]_* \bullet P_i) = \phi(B_{ji} + B_{ji}^*), \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $X_{ji} = B_{ji}$ . Similarly,  $X_{ij} = A_{ij}$ . For every  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ , By applying Eq. (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(T_{ij}X_{jj} + X_{jj}^*T_{ij}^*) &= \phi([T_{ij}, X]_* \bullet P_j) \\ &= \phi([T_{ij}, A_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi([T_{ij}, B_{ji}]_* \bullet P_j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from this, we get  $X_{jj} = 0$ . In the same manner, we obtain  $X_{ii} = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.6**  $\phi(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 A_{ij}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \phi(A_{ij})$  for all  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ .

**Proof** Let  $X = \sum_{i,i=1}^2 X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \phi(A_{ij})$ . It follows from Eq. (2) that  $\phi([P_1, X]_* \bullet P_2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \phi([P_1, A_{ij}]_* \bullet P_2)$ , i.e.,  $\phi(X_{12} + X_{12}^*) = \phi(A_{12} + A_{12}^*)$ , which implies that  $X_{12} = A_{12}$ . Similarly,  $X_{21} = A_{21}$ . For every  $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ , by applying Eq. (2) again, we obtain  $\phi([T_{12}, X]_* \bullet P_2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \phi([T_{12}, A_{ij}]_* \bullet P_2)$  for all  $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ . Thus we have  $X_{22} = A_{22}$ . In the same manner, we obtain  $X_{11} = A_{11}$ .

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ij})$  for all  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$  and  $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ .*

**Proof** It follows from  $A_{ij} + B_{ij} + A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}A_{ij}^* = [-\frac{i}{2}I, iP_i + iA_{ij}]_* \bullet (P_j + B_{ij})$  and Lemmas 2.6, 2.4, 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) + \phi(A_{ij}^*) + \phi(B_{ij}A_{ij}^*) \\ &= \phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij} + A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}A_{ij}^*) \\ &= \phi([-\frac{i}{2}I, iP_i + iA_{ij}]_* \bullet (P_j + B_{ij})) \\ &= [\phi(-\frac{i}{2}I), \phi(iP_i + iA_{ij})]_* \bullet \phi(P_j + B_{ij}) \\ &= [\phi(-\frac{i}{2}I), \phi(iP_i) + \phi(iA_{ij})]_* \bullet (\phi(P_j) + \phi(B_{ij})) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \phi\left(-\frac{i}{2}I, iP_i\right]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi\left(-\frac{i}{2}I, iP_i\right]_* \bullet B_{ij}) \\
&\quad + \phi\left(-\frac{i}{2}I, iA_{ij}\right]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi\left(-\frac{i}{2}I, iA_{ij}\right]_* \bullet B_{ij}) \\
&= \phi(B_{ij}) + \phi(A_{ij} + A_{ij}^*) + \phi(B_{ij}A_{ij}^*) \\
&= \phi(B_{ij}) + \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(A_{ij}^*) + \phi(B_{ij}A_{ij}^*),
\end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ij})$ .

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $\phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ii})$  for all  $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$  and  $B_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ .*

**Proof** Choose  $X = \sum_{i,i=1}^2 X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ii})$ . It follows from Eq. (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(X_{ij} + X_{ij}^*) = \phi([P_i, X]_* \bullet P_j) \\
&= \phi([P_i, A_{ii}]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi([P_i, B_{ii}]_* \bullet P_j) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $X_{ij} = 0$ . Similarly,  $X_{ji} = 0$ . For every  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ , By applying Eq. (2) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(T_{ij}X_{jj} + X_{jj}^*T_{ij}^*) = \phi([T_{ij}, X]_* \bullet P_j) \\
&= \phi([T_{ij}, A_{ii}]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi([T_{ij}, B_{ii}]_* \bullet P_j) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $T_{ij}X_{jj} = X_{jj}^*T_{ij}^* = 0$ . By the primeness of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we obtain  $X_{jj} = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\phi(X_{ii}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ii}). \quad (10)$$

For every  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ , it follows from Eq. (1) and Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(X_{ii}T_{ij} + T_{ij}^*X_{ii}^*) = \phi([X, T_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) \\
&= \phi([A_{ii}, T_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) + \phi([B_{ii}, T_{ij}]_* \bullet P_j) \\
&= \phi(A_{ii}T_{ij} + T_{ij}^*A_{ii}^*) + \phi(B_{ii}T_{ij} + T_{ij}^*B_{ii}^*) \\
&= \phi(A_{ii}T_{ij}) + \phi(T_{ij}^*A_{ii}^*) + \phi(B_{ii}T_{ij}) + \phi(T_{ij}^*B_{ii}^*) \\
&= \phi(A_{ii}T_{ij} + B_{ii}T_{ij}) + \phi(T_{ij}^*A_{ii}^* + T_{ij}^*B_{ii}^*) \\
&= \phi(A_{ii}T_{ij} + B_{ii}T_{ij} + T_{ij}^*A_{ii}^* + T_{ij}^*B_{ii}^*),
\end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $X_{ii} = A_{ii} + B_{ii}$ . This together with Eq. (10) shows that  $\phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ii})$ .

**Lemma 2.9**  *$\phi$  is additive and  $\phi(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ .*

**Proof** By Lemmas 2.6–2.8,  $\phi$  is additive. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2, we have  $\phi(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ .

**Remark 2.1** Since  $[P_1, B]_* \bullet C = [B, P_2]_* \bullet C$  for all  $B = B^* \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{A}$ , from Lemma 2.9

$$[Q_1, \phi(B)]_* \bullet \phi(C) = [\phi(B), Q_2]_* \bullet \phi(C),$$

where  $Q_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The surjectivity of  $\phi$  indicates that  $[Q_1, \phi(B)]_* - [\phi(B), Q_2]_* \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $[Q_1 + Q_2, B] \in i\mathbb{R}I$  holds true for all  $B = B^* \in \mathcal{B}$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $[Q_1 + Q_2, B] = 0$ . Thus for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , because  $B = B_1 + iB_2$  with  $B_1 = \frac{B+B^*}{2}$  and  $B_2 = \frac{B-B^*}{2i}$ , we get  $[Q_1 + Q_2, B] = 0$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . From this, exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Q_1 + Q_2 = \lambda I.$$

Multiplying by  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  from the left and right respectively in the above equation, we obtain  $Q_1 + Q_1Q_2 = \lambda Q_1$  and  $Q_1Q_2 + Q_2 = \lambda Q_2$ . Therefore, we can concur that  $(1 - \lambda)(Q_1 - Q_2) = 0$  by subtracting the above two equations. By the injectivity of  $\phi$ , exists  $P_1 \neq P_2$  such that  $Q_1 \neq Q_2$ . Thus  $\lambda = 1$  and then  $Q_2 = I - Q_1$ .

**Lemma 2.10**  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Proof** Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ . By the fact  $A_{ij} = [P_i, A_{ij}]_* \bullet \frac{I}{2}$ , Lemma 2.9 and Remark 2.1, we have

$$\phi(A_{ij}) = (Q_i\phi(A_{ij}) - \phi(A_{ij})Q_i)\phi(\frac{I}{2}) - \phi(\frac{I}{2})(\phi(A_{ij})^*Q_i - Q_i\phi(A_{ij})^*).$$

From this and Lemma 2.2, we get  $Q_i\phi(A_{ij})Q_i = Q_j\phi(A_{ij})Q_j = 0$ . Thus

$$\phi(A_{ij}) = Q_i\phi(A_{ij})Q_j + Q_j\phi(A_{ij})Q_i. \quad (11)$$

For every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we obtain from the fact  $[A_{ij}, P_i]_* \bullet B = 0$ , Lemma 2.9 and Remark 2.1 that  $[\phi(A_{ij}), Q_i]_* \bullet \phi(B) = 0$ . Thus  $[\phi(A_{ij}), Q_i]_* \in i\mathbb{R}I$ , which together with Eq. (11) indicates that  $Q_j\phi(A_{ij})Q_i - Q_i\phi(A_{ij})^*Q_j \in i\mathbb{R}I$ . Multiplying by  $Q_j$  and  $Q_i$  from the left and right respectively in the above equation, we have  $Q_j\phi(A_{ij})Q_i = 0$ . It follows from Eq. (11) that  $\phi(A_{ij}) = Q_i\phi(A_{ij})Q_j$ , and then we obtain  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ . Applying the same argument to  $\phi^{-1}$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{B}_{ij} \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij})$ . Thus  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $A_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}$  and  $i \neq j$ . It follows from Lemma 2.9 and Remark 2.1 that

$$0 = \phi([P_i, A_{jj}]_* \bullet P_j) = [Q_i, \phi(A_{jj})]_* \bullet Q_j = Q_i\phi(A_{jj})Q_j + Q_j\phi(A_{jj})^*Q_i$$

and

$$0 = \phi([P_j, A_{jj}]_* \bullet P_i) = [Q_j, \phi(A_{jj})]_* \bullet Q_i = Q_j\phi(A_{jj})Q_i + Q_i\phi(A_{jj})^*Q_j.$$

which indicates that  $Q_i\phi(A_{jj})Q_j = Q_j\phi(A_{jj})Q_i = 0$ . Now we obtain

$$\phi(A_{jj}) = Q_i\phi(A_{jj})Q_i + Q_j\phi(A_{jj})Q_j. \quad (12)$$

For every  $A_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $T_{ji} = \phi^{-1}(A_{ji}) \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ . Therefore

$$0 = \phi([A_{ji}, A_{jj}]_* \bullet C) = [T_{ji}, \phi(A_{jj})]_* \bullet \phi(C).$$

Using the surjectivity of  $\phi$ , the above equation indicates  $[T_{ji}, \phi(A_{jj})]_* \in \mathbb{I}R I$ . It follows from Eq. (12) that

$$T_{ji}\phi(A_{jj})Q_i - Q_i\phi(A_{jj})T_{ji}^* \in \mathbb{I}R I. \quad (13)$$

By Remark 2.1, multiplying by  $Q_j$  and  $Q_i$  from the left and right respectively in Eq. (13), we can get that  $T_{ji}\phi(A_{jj})Q_i = 0$  for all  $T_{ji} \in \mathcal{B}_{ji}$ . By the primeness of  $\mathcal{B}$ , we obtain that  $Q_i\phi(A_{jj})Q_i = 0$ , thus  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{jj}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{jj}$ . Applying the same argument to  $\phi^{-1}$ , we can obtain  $\mathcal{B}_{jj} \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{A}_{jj})$ . Consequently,  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{jj}) = \mathcal{B}_{jj}$ .

**Lemma 2.11**  $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)\phi(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof** It follows from Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.10 that  $\phi([P_i, A_{ij}]_* \bullet B_{ji}) = [\phi(P_i), \phi(A_{ij})]_* \bullet \phi(B_{ji}) = [Q_i, \phi(A_{ij})]_* \bullet \phi(B_{ji})$ . Thus

$$\phi(A_{ij}B_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{ji}). \quad (14)$$

For  $T_{ji} \in \mathcal{B}_{ji}$ , we have  $X_{ji} = \phi^{-1}(T_{ji}) \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$  by Lemma 2.10. Therefore

$$\phi(A_{ii}B_{ij})T_{ji} = \phi(A_{ii}B_{ij}X_{ji}) = \phi([A_{ii}, B_{ij}]_* \bullet X_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ii})\phi(B_{ij})T_{ji}.$$

By the primeness of  $\mathcal{B}$ , we obtain

$$\phi(A_{ii}B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii})\phi(B_{ij}). \quad (15)$$

It follows from Eqs. (14)–(15) that

$$\phi(A_{ij}B_{jj})T_{ji} = \phi(A_{ij}B_{jj}X_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{jj}X_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{jj})T_{ji}.$$

In the same manner, we obtain

$$\phi(A_{ij}B_{jj}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{jj}). \quad (16)$$

By Eq. (15), we have

$$\phi(A_{jj}B_{jj})T_{ji} = \phi(A_{jj}B_{jj}X_{ji}) = \phi(A_{jj})\phi(B_{jj}X_{ji}) = \phi(A_{jj})\phi(B_{jj})T_{ji}.$$

Thus

$$\phi(A_{jj}B_{jj}) = \phi(A_{jj})\phi(B_{jj}). \quad (17)$$

From Eqs. (14)–(17) and Lemmas 2.9, 2.10, we obtain  $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)\phi(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 2.12**  $\phi$  is a linear  $*$ -isomorphism, or a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism, or the negative of a linear  $*$ -isomorphism, or the negative of a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.

**Proof** It follows from Lemmas 2.9 and 2.11 that  $\phi$  is a ring isomorphism. By Lemma 2.2, exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\phi(I) = \lambda I$ . By the equality  $\phi(I^3) = \phi(I)^3$ , we concur that  $\phi(I) = I$  or  $\phi(I) = -I$ . In the rest of this section, we deal with these two cases respectively.

**Case 1** If  $\phi(I) = I$ , then  $\phi$  is either a linear  $*$ -isomorphism or a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.

For every rational number  $q$ , we have  $\phi(qI) = qI$ . Indeed, since  $q$  is rational number, exist two integers  $r$  and  $s$  such that  $q = \frac{r}{s}$ . Since  $\phi(I) = I$  and  $\phi$  is additive, we get that  $\phi(qI) = \phi(\frac{r}{s}I) = r\phi(\frac{1}{s}I) = \frac{r}{s}\phi(I) = qI$ .

Let  $A$  be a positive element in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $A = B^2$  for some self-adjoint element  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.11 that  $\phi(A) = \phi(B)^2$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get that  $\phi(B)$  is self-adjoint. So  $\phi(A)$  is positive. This shows that  $\phi$  preserves positive elements.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Choose sequence  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  of rational numbers such that  $a_n \leq \lambda \leq b_n$  for all  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lambda$ . It follows from  $a_n I \leq \lambda I \leq b_n I$  that  $a_n I \leq \phi(\lambda I) \leq b_n I$ . Taking the limit, we obtain that  $\phi(\lambda I) = \lambda I$ . Hence for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\phi(\lambda A) = \phi((\lambda I)A) = \phi(\lambda I)\phi(A) = \lambda\phi(A)$ . Thus  $\phi$  is real linear. For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows from  $-\phi(A) = \phi(i^2 A) = \phi(iI)^2 \phi(A)$  that  $\phi(iI)^2 = -1$ , which implies that  $\phi(iI) = iI$  or  $\phi(iI) = -iI$ . By Lemma 2.11, we obtain that  $\phi(iA) = i\phi(A)$  or  $\phi(iA) = -i\phi(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

For all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A = A_1 + iA_2$ , where  $A_1 = \frac{A+A^*}{2}$  and  $A_2 = \frac{A-A^*}{2i}$  are self-adjoint elements. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.9, if  $\phi(iA) = i\phi(A)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A^*) &= \phi(A_1 - iA_2) = \phi(A_1) - \phi(iA_2) = \phi(A_1) - i\phi(A_2) \\ &= \phi(A_1)^* - i\phi(A_2)^* = \phi(A_1)^* + (i\phi(A_2))^* = \phi(A)^* \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $\phi(iA) = -i\phi(A)$ , we also obtain  $\phi(A^*) = \phi(A)^*$ . Then  $\phi$  is either a linear  $*$ -isomorphism or a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.

**Case 2** If  $\phi(I) = -I$ , then  $\phi$  is either the negative of a linear  $*$ -isomorphism or the negative of a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.

Consider that the map  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  defined by  $\psi(A) = -\phi(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that  $\psi$  satisfies  $\psi([A, B]_*, C) = [[\psi(A), \psi(B)]_*, \psi(C)]$  for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\psi(I) = I$ . Then the arguments for Case 1 ensure that  $\psi$  is either a linear  $*$ -isomorphism or a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism. So  $\phi$  is

either the negative of a linear  $*$ -isomorphism or the negative of a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.

Combining Cases 1–2, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is finished.

### 3 The mixed Jordan triple $\eta$ - $*$ -product preserving maps

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be two factor von Neumann algebras and let  $\eta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose that  $\phi$  is a bijective map from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\phi([A, B]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta C) = [\phi(A), \phi(B)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(C)$  for all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\phi$  is additive.*

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be two factor von Neumann algebras, let  $\eta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a bijective map, satisfying  $\phi(I) = I$  and preserving the mixed Jordan triple  $\eta$ - $*$ -product, Then  $\phi$  is either a linear  $*$ -isomorphism or a conjugate linear  $*$ -isomorphism.*

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** In the following, we will complete the proof by proving several claims.

**Claim 1**  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

Since  $\phi$  is surjective, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(A) = 0$ . Then we obtain  $\phi(0) = \phi([0, A]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A) = [[\phi(0), \phi(A)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(A) = 0$ .

**Claim 2**  $\phi(A_{11} + A_{22}) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{22})$  for all  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$  and  $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ .

Let  $X = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{22})$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $[I, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{22} = 0$ . By applying Eq. (3) and Claim 1, we obtain  $\phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X) = \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{11})$ . By the injectivity of  $\phi$ , we get that  $[I, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X = [I, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{11}$ , i.e.,

$$(\lambda + \bar{\lambda}\eta)X_{11} + \lambda X_{12} + \bar{\lambda}\eta X_{21} = (\lambda + \bar{\lambda}\eta)A_{11}.$$

Assume that  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\lambda + \bar{\lambda}\eta \neq 0$ , we have  $X_{11} = A_{11}, X_{12} = X_{21} = 0$ . In the same manner, we obtain  $X_{22} = A_{22}$ .

**Claim 3**  $\phi(A_{12} + A_{21}) = \phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{21})$  for all  $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ .

Let  $X = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{21})$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , since  $[I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{12} = 0$ , Applying Eq. (3) and Claim 1 again, we obtain  $\phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X) = \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{21})$ . The injectivity of  $\phi$  implies that

$$[I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X = [I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{21}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$(\lambda + \bar{\lambda}\eta)X_{11} - (\lambda + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta})X_{22} + (\bar{\lambda}\eta - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta})X_{21} = (\bar{\lambda}\eta - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta})A_{21}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus we get  $X_{11} = X_{22} = 0$ .

Since  $[A_{12}, \lambda P_1]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I = 0$ . It follows from Eq. (1) that  $\phi([X, \lambda P_1]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I) = \phi([A_{21}, \lambda P_1]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I)$ . Thus we obtain  $(\lambda - \bar{\lambda}|\eta|^2)X_{21} + \eta(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)X_{21}^* = (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}|\eta|^2)A_{21} + \eta(\bar{\lambda} - \lambda)A_{21}^*$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , which indicates that  $X_{21} = A_{21}$ . In the same manner, we obtain  $X_{12} = A_{12}$ .

**Claim 4** Let  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\phi(A_{kk} + A_{ij}) = \phi(A_{kk}) + \phi(A_{ij})$  for all  $A_{kk} \in \mathcal{A}_{kk}$  and  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ .

We only prove the case  $i = k = 1$  and  $j = 2$ , the proof of the other cases is similar. Now assume that  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $\phi(X) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , since  $[I, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{11} = 0$ , by applying Eq. (3) and Claim 1 again, we obtain  $\phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X) = \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{12})$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus we get  $X_{12} = A_{12}, X_{21} = X_{22} = 0$ .

Since  $[I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{12} = 0$ , we have

$$\phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X) = \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{11})$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , which indicates that  $X_{11} = A_{11}$ .

**Claim 5**  $\phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{21})$  and  $\phi(A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{21}) + \phi(A_{22})$  for all  $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}, A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$  and  $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ .

Choose  $X = \sum_{i=1}^2 X_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{21})$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , it follows from Claim 4 and Eq. (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi((\lambda + \eta\bar{\lambda})X_{22} + \lambda X_{21} + \bar{\lambda}\eta X_{12}) \\ &= \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X) \\ &= \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{11}) + \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{12}) + \phi([I, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{21}) \\ &= \phi(\bar{\lambda}\eta A_{12}) + \phi(\lambda A_{21}) = \phi(\bar{\lambda}\eta A_{12} + \lambda A_{21}), \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $X_{12} = A_{12}, X_{21} = A_{21}$  and  $X_{22} = 0$ . Thus we get  $X = X_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}$ .

Since  $[I, \frac{-\bar{\lambda}P_1 + \lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{21} = 0$ , by applying Eq. (3) and Claim 4 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi((-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta} - \lambda)X_{11} + (-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta} + \eta\bar{\lambda})X_{12}) \\
&= \phi([I, \frac{-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta}P_1 + \lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X) \\
&= \phi([I, \frac{-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta}P_1 + \lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{11}) + \phi([I, \frac{-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta}P_1 + \lambda P_2}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{12}) \\
&= \phi((-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta} - \lambda)A_{11}) + \phi((-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta} + \eta\bar{\lambda})A_{12}) \\
&= \phi((-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta} - \lambda)A_{11} + (-\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\eta} + \eta\bar{\lambda})A_{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

This indicates that  $X_{11} = A_{11}$ . In the second case, we can similarly prove that the conclusion is valid.

**Claim 6** Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ij})$  for all  $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ .

Since  $[[I, \frac{P_i + A_{ij}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta, P_j + B_{ij}]^\eta = A_{ij} + B_{ij} + \eta A_{ij}^* + \eta B_{ij} A_{ij}^*$ , it follows from Claims 5, 4 and 3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) + \phi(\eta A_{ij}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{ij} A_{ij}^*) = \phi([I, \frac{P_i + A_{ij}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (P_j + B_{ij})) \\
&= [\phi(I), \phi(\frac{P_i + A_{ij}}{1-\eta})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(P_j + B_{ij}) \\
&= [\phi(I), \phi(\frac{P_i}{1-\eta}) + \phi(\frac{A_{ij}}{1-\eta})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (\phi(P_j) + \phi(B_{ij})) \\
&= [\phi(I), \phi(\frac{P_i}{1-\eta})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(P_j) + [\phi(I), \phi(\frac{P_i}{1-\eta})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(B_{ij}) \\
&\quad + [\phi(I), \phi(\frac{A_{ij}}{1-\eta})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(P_j) + [\phi(I), \phi(\frac{A_{ij}}{1-\eta})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(B_{ij}) \\
&= \phi(B_{ij}) + \phi(A_{ij} + \eta A_{ij}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{ij} A_{ij}^*) \\
&= \phi(B_{ij}) + \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(\eta A_{ij}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{ij} A_{ij}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ij})$ .

**Claim 7**  $\phi(T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) = \phi(T_{12}A_{21}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21}) + \phi(\eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{12}T_{12}^*)$  for all  $T_{12}, A_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $A_{21}, B_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ .

It follows from Claims 3 and 6 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (A_{21} + B_{21} + A_{12} + B_{12})) \\
&= [\phi(I), \phi(\frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (\phi(A_{21}) + \phi(B_{21}) + \phi(A_{12}) + \phi(B_{12})) \\
&= \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{21}) + \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta B_{21}) \\
&\quad + \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{12}) + \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta B_{12}) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{21}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21}) + \phi(\eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{12}T_{12}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

**Claim 8**  $\phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (A + B)) = \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A) + \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta B)$  for all  $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 A_{ij}$  and  $B = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 B_{ij}$ , it follows from Claims 5, 6 and 7 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (A + B)) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{22} + T_{12}B_{22} + T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} \\
&\quad + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta A_{22}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{22} + T_{12}B_{22}) + \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) \\
&\quad + \phi(\eta A_{22}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{22}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{22}) + \phi(T_{12}A_{21}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21}) \\
&\quad + \phi(\eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta A_{22}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{22}) + \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta A_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&\quad + \phi(T_{12}B_{22}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21} + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{22} + T_{12}A_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta A_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&\quad + \phi(T_{12}B_{22} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A) + \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta B).
\end{aligned}$$

**Claim 9**  $\phi$  is additive.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be in  $\mathcal{A}$ . To show that  $\phi(A + B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B)$ , we choose  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(X) = \phi(A) + \phi(B)$ . For any  $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ , it follows from Claim 8 and Eq. (3) that

$$\phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X) = \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A) + \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta B)$$



$$= \phi([I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (A+B)),$$

which indicates that

$$[I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta X = [I, \frac{T_{12}}{1-\eta}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta (A+B).$$

Thus we get  $T_{12}(X-A-B) - \eta(X-A-B)T_{12}^* = 0$ . By  $iT_{12}$  replacing  $T_{12}$  in the above equation, we get  $T_{12}(X-A-B) + \eta(X-A-B)T_{12}^* = 0$  and hence  $T_{12}(X-A-B) = 0$  for all  $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ , this indicates that  $X-A-B = 0$ . We concur that  $\phi$  is additive, and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 3.2** In the rest of this section, we will deal with two cases.

**Case 1**  $|\eta| = 1$ .

For all  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows from

$$\phi([A, B]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta C) = [\phi(A), \phi(B)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(C)$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(ABC - \eta BA^*C + \eta CB^*A^* - |\eta|^2 CAB^*) \\ &= \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(C) - \eta\phi(B)\phi(A)^*\phi(C) \\ & \quad + \eta\phi(C)\phi(B)^*\phi(A)^* - |\eta|^2\phi(C)\phi(A)\phi(B)^*. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Replacing  $\eta$  with  $-\eta$  in Eq. (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(ABC + \eta BA^*C - \eta CB^*A^* - |\eta|^2 CAB^*) \\ &= \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(C) + \eta\phi(B)\phi(A)^*\phi(C) \\ & \quad - \eta\phi(C)\phi(B)^*\phi(A)^* - |\eta|^2\phi(C)\phi(A)\phi(B)^*. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

It follows from Eqs. (18)–(19) and Theorem 3.1 that

$$\phi(ABC - CAB^*) = \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(C) - \phi(C)\phi(A)\phi(B)^*. \tag{20}$$

Taking  $A = I$  in Eq. (20), since  $\phi(I) = I$ , we obtain

$$\phi(BC - CB^*) = \phi(B)\phi(C) - \phi(C)\phi(B)^*$$

for all  $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . Based on the result of [9],  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -ring isomorphism.

**Case 2**  $|\eta| \neq 1$ .

Take  $\alpha = \frac{1}{1-|\eta|^2}$ . Then we obtain  $\alpha(1-|\eta|^2) = 1$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

**Clam 2.1**  $\phi(\alpha I) = \alpha I$  and  $\phi$  preserves self-adjoint elements in both directions.

Since  $I = \phi([\alpha I, I]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I) = [\phi(\alpha I), I]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I = (1 - |\eta|^2)\phi(\alpha I) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha I)$ , we have  $\phi(\alpha I) = \alpha I$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A = A^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A) &= \phi([\alpha I, A]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I) = [\alpha I, \phi(A)]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I \\ &= (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}\eta)\phi(A) + (\bar{\alpha}\eta - \alpha|\eta|^2)\phi(A)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\phi(A)^* = \frac{1 - \alpha + \bar{\alpha}\eta}{\bar{\alpha}\eta - \alpha|\eta|^2}\phi(A) = \phi(A),$$

which indicates the sufficiency. The necessity can be obtained by considering  $\phi^{-1}$ .

**Clam 2.2**  $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $P$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Since  $(\alpha P)^* = \alpha P$ , it follows from Claim 2.1 that  $\phi(\alpha P)^* = \phi(\alpha P)$ . Thus  $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$  is self-adjoint. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha P) &= \phi([\alpha P, I]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \alpha P) \\ &= [\phi(\alpha P), I]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(\alpha P) = (1 - |\eta|^2)\phi(\alpha P)^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)^2\end{aligned}$$

and  $(\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P))^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ . So  $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$  is a projection, which shows the sufficiency. The necessity can be proved by considering  $\phi^{-1}$ .

**Clam 2.3** Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ .

Choose a projection  $Q_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , by Clam 2.2, we have  $P_i = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi^{-1}(\alpha Q_i)$  is projection in  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that  $Q_i = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P_i)$ .

For any  $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha(1 - \eta)A_{ij}) &= \phi([I, \alpha P_i]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{ij}) \\ &= [I, \alpha Q_i]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(A_{ij}) = \alpha(1 - \eta)Q_i\phi(A_{ij}) + \bar{\alpha}\eta(1 - \bar{\eta})\phi(A_{ij})Q_i,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $Q_j\phi(\alpha(1 - \eta)A_{ij})Q_j = 0$ . In the same manner, we obtain  $Q_i\phi(\bar{\alpha}\eta(\bar{\eta} - 1)A_{ij})Q_i = 0$ . Since  $A_{ij}$  is arbitrary, we obtain  $\phi(A_{ij}) = B_{ij} + B_{ji}$  for some  $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_{ij}$  and  $B_{ji} \in \mathcal{B}_{ji}$ . Because

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi([I, A_{ij}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \alpha P_i) \\
&= [I, \phi(A_{ij})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \alpha Q_i = \alpha(1 - \eta)B_{ji} + \alpha\eta(1 - \bar{\eta})B_{ji}^*
\end{aligned}$$

and  $\alpha(1 - \eta) \neq 0$ , we obtain  $B_{ji} = 0$ , this indicates  $\phi(A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ . By considering  $\phi^{-1}$ , we get  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ .

**Clam 2.4**  $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ii}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ii}, i = 1, 2$ .

Let  $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$  and  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi([I, \alpha P_j]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{ii}) \\
&= [I, \alpha Q_j]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(A_{ii}) = \alpha(1 - \eta)Q_j\phi(A_{ii}) + \bar{\alpha}\eta(1 - \bar{\eta})\phi(A_{ii})Q_j,
\end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $Q_j\phi(A_{ii})Q_i = Q_i\phi(A_{ii})Q_j = 0$  and  $\phi(A_{ii}) = B_{ii} + B_{jj}$  for some  $B_{ii} \in \mathcal{B}_{ii}$  and  $B_{jj} \in \mathcal{B}_{jj}$ .

Let  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_{ij}$  and  $i \neq j$ . It follows from Claim 2.3 that  $\phi^{-1}(T_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi([I, \phi^{-1}(T_{ij})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta A_{ii}) \\
&= [I, T_{ij}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta \phi(A_{ii}) = (1 - \eta)T_{ij}B_{jj} + \eta(1 - \bar{\eta})B_{jj}T_{ij}^*,
\end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $B_{jj} = 0$ . So we have  $\phi(A_{ii}) = B_{ii} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ii}$ .

**Clam 2.5**  $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)\phi(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

To prove  $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)\phi(B)$ , we need to consider that  $\phi(A_{ij}B_{kl}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{kl})$  for any  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2\}$ . If  $j \neq k$ , it follows from Claims 2.3 and 2.4 that  $\phi(A_{ij}B_{kl}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{kl}) = 0$ , then we just need to prove the cases with  $j = k$ .

It follows from  $\phi(B_{ij})\phi(A_{ii})^* = 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(A_{ii}B_{ij}) + \phi(\eta B_{ij}^* A_{ii}^*) = \phi([A_{ii}, B_{ij}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I) \\
&= [\phi(A_{ii}), \phi(B_{ij})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I = \phi(A_{ii})\phi(B_{ij}) + \eta\phi(B_{ij})^*\phi(A_{ii})^*.
\end{aligned}$$

By Claims 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain  $\phi(A_{ii}B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii})\phi(B_{ij})$ .

For any  $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_{ij}, i \neq j$ , we have  $C_{ij} = \phi^{-1}(T_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$  by Clam 2.3. So

$$\phi(A_{ii}B_{ii})T_{ij} = \phi(A_{ii}B_{ii}C_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii})\phi(B_{ii}C_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ii})\phi(B_{ii})T_{ij}.$$

By the primeness of  $\mathcal{B}$ , we obtain that  $\phi(A_{ii}B_{ii}) = \phi(A_{ii})\phi(B_{ii})$ .

Since  $\phi(B_{ji})\phi(A_{ij})^* = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A_{ij}B_{ji}) + \phi(\eta B_{ji}^* A_{ij}^*) &= \phi([A_{ij}, B_{ji}]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I) \\ &= [\phi(A_{ij}), \phi(B_{ji})]_*^\eta \diamond_\eta I = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{ji}) + \eta\phi(B_{ji})^* \phi(A_{ij})^*.\end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $\phi(A_{ij}B_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{ji})$ .

For any  $T_{ji} \in \mathcal{B}_{ji}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we have  $C_{ji} = \phi^{-1}(T_{ji}) \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ . So

$$\phi(A_{ij}B_{jj})T_{ji} = \phi(A_{ij}B_{jj}C_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{jj}C_{ji}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{jj})T_{ji}.$$

This indicates that  $\phi(A_{ij}B_{jj}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{jj})$ .

Combining Cases 1–2, similar to the case 1 of Theorem 2.1, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is finished.

## References

- [1] Huo DH, Zheng BD, Liu HY. Nonlinear maps preserving Jordan triple  $\eta$ -\*-products. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2015;430:830-844.
- [2] Li CJ, Chen QY, Wang T. Nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan triple \*-product on factor von Neumann algebras. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B. 2018;39(4):633-642.
- [3] Zhao FF, Li CJ. Nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan triple \*-product between factors. Indagationes Mathematicae. 2018;29:619-627.
- [4] Liang YX, Zhang JH. Nonlinear mixed Lie triple derivable mappings on factor von Neumann algebras. Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series. 2019;62(1):13-24.
- [5] Halmos PR. A Hilbert Space Problem Book, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heideberg, Berlin. 1982.
- [6] Dixmier J. Von Neumann Algebras. North-Holland Publishing Company. 1981.
- [7] Zhang JH, Zhang FJ. Nonlinear maps preserving Lie products on factor von Neumann algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. 2008;429:18-30.
- [8] Li CJ, Lu FY, Fang XC. Nonlinear maps preserving product  $XY + YX^*$  on factor von Neumann algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. 2013;438:2339-2345.
- [9] Zhang FJ. Nonlinear preserving product  $XY - \xi YX^*$  on prime \*-rings. Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series. 2014;57(4):775-784.
- [10] Brešar M, Fošner A. On ring with involution equipped with some new product. Publ. Math. Debrecen. 2000;57:121-134.
- [11] Molnár L. A condition for a subspace of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  to be an ideal. Linear Algebra Appl. 1996;235:229-234.

- [12] Šemrl P. On Jordan  $*$ -derivations and an application. *Colloq. Math.* 1990;59:241-251.
- [13] Šemrl P. Quadratic functionals and Jordan  $*$ -derivations. *Studia Math.* 1991;97:157-165.
- [14] Šemrl P. Quadratic and quasi-quadratic functionals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1993;119:1105-1113.