BPS LIE ALGEBRAS AND THE LESS PERVERSE FILTRATION ON THE PREPROJECTIVE COHA

BEN DAVISON

Abstract. We introduce a new perverse filtration on the Borel–Moore homology of the stack of representations of a preprojective algebra \( \Pi_Q \), by proving that the derived direct image of the dualizing mixed Hodge module along the morphism to the coarse moduli space is pure. We show that the zeroth piece of the resulting filtration on the preprojective CoHA is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of the associated BPS Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q} \), and that the spherical Lie subalgebra of this algebra contains half of the Kac–Moody Lie algebra associated to the real subquiver of \( Q \). Lifting \( \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q} \) to a Lie algebra in the category of mixed Hodge modules on the coarse moduli space of \( \Pi_Q \)-modules, we prove that the intersection cohomology of spaces of semistable \( \Pi_Q \)-modules provide “cuspidal cohomology” for \( \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q} \) - a conjecturally complete space of simple hyperbolic roots for this Lie algebra.

1. Introduction

1.1. Main results. Let \( \overline{Q} \) be the double of a quiver \( Q \), and let \( \Pi_Q := \mathbb{C}Q/\langle \sum_{a \in Q} [a, a^*] \rangle \) be the preprojective algebra. Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a Serre subcategory of the category of \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules. We set

\[
\mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} := \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}^0} \mathcal{H}^{BM}(\mathfrak{M}^S(\Pi_Q), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\chi_Q(d,d)},
\]

the (shifted) Borel–Moore homology of the stack \( \mathfrak{M}^S(\Pi_Q) \) of finite-dimensional \( \Pi_Q \)-modules which are objects of \( \mathcal{S} \). Here \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}_c(A^1, \mathbb{Q}) \) is a Tate twist, which is introduced so that the object \( \mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} \) carries an associative multiplication. The resulting algebra plays a key role in geometric representation theory; it is the algebra of all conceivable raising operators on the cohomology of Nakajima’s quiver varieties, and so via several decades of work \( \cite{AB, BK, GN, K, MO} \) ... contains half of various quantum groups associated to \( Q \).

Let \( \mathcal{J}_U : \mathfrak{M}(\Pi_Q) \to \mathcal{M}(\Pi_Q) \) be the semisimplification morphism to the coarse moduli space of \( \Pi_Q \)-modules. We study \( \mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} \) via the richer object

\[
\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_Q} := \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}^0} \mathcal{J}_U \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{M}(\Pi_Q)} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\chi_Q(d,d)},
\]

the derived direct image of the dualizing sheaf. The derived category of mixed Hodge modules on \( \mathcal{M}(\Pi_Q) \) is a tensor category via convolution, and \( \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_Q} \) is an algebra object in this category, from which we recover \( \mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} \) by restricting to \( \mathcal{M}^S(\Pi_Q) \) and taking hypercohomology.

Theorem A (Corollary 4.4). There is an isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_Q} \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_Q})[-n]
\]

and \( \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_Q}) \) is pure of weight \( n \), i.e. \( \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_Q} \) is pure. As a result of the above decomposition, the mixed Hodge structure \( \mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} \) carries an ascending perverse filtration \( \mathcal{L}_{\leq n} \mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} \), starting in degree zero, which is respected by the algebra structure on \( \mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} \). Moreover, there is an isomorphism of algebras

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}^S_{\Pi_Q} \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}^S)
\]

where \( \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}^S \) is isomorphic to the BPS Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{l} \) determined by a quiver \( \overline{Q} \), potential \( \overline{W} \) and Serre subcategory \( \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \) of the category of \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules defined in \( \cite{BD} \).

\(^1\)In the interests of digestibility, in the introduction we state all results without reference to extra gauge groups \( G \), stability conditions or slopes. The results in the main body incorporate these generalisations.
The main geometric content of the theorem amounts to the statement that the derived direct image with compact support \( \mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}^0(\Pi_Q) \) is pure, i.e. this complex satisfies the statement of the celebrated decomposition theorem of Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber \([2]\), or more precisely, Saito’s version in the language of mixed Hodge modules \([12, 15]\). This is rather surprising, since the preconditions of that theorem are not met; \( \mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}(\Pi_Q) \) is a highly singular stack, and \( p \) is not projective. The algebraic content of the theorem is that the lowest piece of the perverse filtration can be expressed in terms of the BPS Lie algebras introduced by myself and Sven Meinhardt in \([14]\), as part of a project to realise the cohomological Hall algebras defined by Kontsevich and Soibelman \([28]\) as positive halves of generalised Yangians. This is also quite striking: the BPS Lie algebra is defined by a quite different perverse filtration, on vanishing cycle cohomology of a different Calabi–Yau category.

1.2. Cuspidal cohomology. In general, the BPS Lie algebra \( g_{\Pi_Q} \) satisfies the condition on the dimensions of the cohomologically graded pieces

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim(H^n(g_{\Pi_Q}, d)) q^{n/2} = a_{Q,d}(q^{-1})
\]

where the polynomials on the right hand side are the polynomials introduced by Victor Kac in \([22]\), counting \( d \)-dimensional absolutely irreducible \( Q \)-representations over a finite field of order \( q \). A conjecture of Bozec and Schiffmann \([3, \text{ Conj.1.3}]\) states that the Kac polynomials on the right hand side are the characteristic functions of the \( \mathbb{N}Q_{\mathbb{C}} \)-graded pieces of a cohomologically graded Borcherds algebra, and so it is natural to suspect that \( g_{\Pi_Q} \) itself is the positive half of a cohomologically graded Borcherds algebra. In particular, \( g_{\Pi_Q} \) should be given by some cohomologically graded Cartan datum, including the data of (ultimately infinitely many) imaginary simple roots.

One of the motivations for pursuing a lift of the BPS Lie algebra to the category of mixed Hodge modules is a question of Olivier Schiffmann \([17]\): is there any geometric description of the Cartan datum, for example some algebraic variety \( \mathcal{M}_{\text{cusp}, d}(\Pi_Q) \) along with a natural embedding \( \Psi: \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{cusp}, d}(\Pi_Q), \mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow g_{\Pi_Q, d} \) as the space of imaginary simple roots of weight \( d \)? Such a construction would answer in the affirmative the complex geometric analogue of Conjecture 3.5 of \([17]\).

We can still make sense of the above conjecture in the absence of a proof that \( g_{\Pi_Q} \) is the positive part of a Borcherds algebra. We do so via the special case \( S = \mathbb{C}Q\)-mod of our more general theorem on primitive generators:

**Theorem B.** Let \( d \) be such that there exists a simple \( d \)-dimensional \( \Pi_Q \)-module, let \( \varpi': \mathcal{M}_{d}'(\Pi_Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d(\Pi_Q) \) be the inclusion, and set

\[
\mathfrak{c}u_{\Pi_Q, d}^S := \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_d'(\Pi_Q), \mathbb{C}^\ast \mathcal{M}_d(\Pi_Q)\otimes \mathcal{L}^{1+\chi^d(d,d)}).
\]

There is a canonical decomposition

\[
\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, d}^S \cong \mathfrak{c}u_{\Pi_Q, d}^S \oplus \mathfrak{l}
\]

of mixed Hodge structures, such that the Lie bracket

\[
\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, d}^S \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, d'} \xrightarrow{[\cdot, \cdot]} \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, d''}
\]

for \( d' + d'' = d \) factors through the inclusion of \( \mathfrak{l} \). In particular, the mixed Hodge structures \( \mathfrak{c}u_{\Pi_Q, d}^S \) give a collection of canonical subspaces of generators for \( \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}^S \).

The proof of the above theorem uses the construction of the new perverse filtration on \( \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_Q}^S \) arising from Theorem A and the resulting lift of the Lie algebra \( g_{\Pi_Q} \) to a Lie algebra object in the category of pure Hodge modules on \( \mathcal{M}(\Pi_Q) \). In particular, the decomposition into generators and non-generators in the BPS Lie algebra arises from the decomposition theorem for perverse sheaves/mixed Hodge modules.

\[\text{2} \] We will adopt the convention throughout that where an expected \( S \) superscript is missing, we assume that \( S \) is the whole category \( \mathbb{C}Q\)-mod.
We conjecture that aside from the known simple roots of $\mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$-degree 1, for $i$ a vertex of $Q$, or $d$ such that $\chi_Q(d,d) = 0$, these are all of the generators; see Conjecture 7.7 for the precise statement.

Since by the decomposition theorem there is a canonical embedding
\[ H(M_d(\Pi_Q)), IC_{M_d(\Pi_Q)}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset H(X, \mathbb{Q}) \]
where $X \to M_d(\Pi_Q)$ is a semi-small resolution, Theorem 13 suggests that the answer to the question above is "yes", and the above embedding provides a route towards [17, Conj. 3.5]. For example, any symplectic resolution is a semi-small resolution of singularities, and thus its cohomology contains "cuspidal" cohomology as a canonical summand.

1.3. Comparison with the perverse filtration of [14]. We call the filtration introduced in Theorem A the less perverse filtration, in order to distinguish it from a different perverse filtration, that was introduced in joint work with Sven Meinhardt [14]. This is a perverse filtration on the critical CoHA $H^{S}_{Q, W}$: (as defined in [28, Sec. 7]) that is the crucial part of the definition of the BPS Lie algebra in Theorem A. We recall some of the main facts regarding critical CoHAs, in order to explain the relationship between the two filtrations.

Let $Q'$ be a symmetric quiver, i.e. we assume that for each pair of vertices $i, j$ there are as many arrows going from $i$ to $j$ as from $j$ to $i$. Let $W' \in \mathbb{C}Q'$ be a potential, and let $S'$ be a Serre subcategory of the category of $\mathbb{C}Q'$-modules. We continue to denote by $\mathcal{H}: \mathfrak{M}(Q') \to \mathcal{M}(Q')$ the semisimplification map. We define
\[ RA_{Q', W'} := \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} JH_d \Phi_{\tau(W')}(\mathfrak{M}(Q')) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{X_{Q'}}(d, d)/2. \]
See [21], [22] for the definition of the vanishing cycle functor, half Tate twist, etc. Then $RA_{Q', W'}$ carries the structure of an algebra in the derived category of monodromic mixed Hodge modules on $\mathcal{M}(Q')$, and we obtain the algebra $H^{S'}_{Q', W'}$ by taking (exceptional) restriction and hypercohomology of $RA_{Q', W'}$.

We may summarise the main results of [14] on BPS Lie algebras as follows; there is an isomorphism of complexes of monodromic mixed Hodge modules
\[ RA_{Q', W'} \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} H^n(\mathcal{L}(RA_{Q', W'}))[-n], \]
inducing a filtration $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1} H^{S'}_{Q', W'}$ beginning in degree one. The algebra $\text{Gr}_1 H^{S'}_{Q', W'}$ is supercommutative, so that
\[ \mathcal{P}_{\leq 1} H^{S'}_{Q', W'} \]
is closed under the commutator Lie bracket, and is called the BPS Lie algebra, denoted $H^{S'}_{Q', W'}$.

We define the tripled quiver $\hat{Q}$ to be the quiver obtained from $Q$ by adding a loop to each vertex, and we define $\hat{W}$ as in [21]. We set $S$ to be the Serre subcategory containing those $\mathbb{C}\hat{Q}$-modules for which the underlying $\mathbb{C}\hat{Q}$-module is an object of $S$. Then via the dimensional reduction isomorphism [17] Thm. A.1 there is an isomorphism of algebras
\[ H^{S}_{Q, W} \cong H^{S}_{\hat{Q}, \hat{W}} \]
via which $H^{S}_{\hat{Q}, \hat{W}}$ inherits a perverse filtration, which we denote $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1} H^{S}_{\hat{Q}, \hat{W}}$.

Switching to the ordinary English meaning of the word, the filtration $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1} H^{S}_{\hat{Q}, \hat{W}}$ seems less perverse than $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1} H^{S}_{\Pi_Q}$, since it comes directly from the geometry of the map $\mathfrak{M}(\Pi_Q) \to \mathcal{M}(\Pi_Q)$, rather than the more circuitous route of dimensional reduction, vanishing cycles, and the semisimplification morphism $\mathfrak{M}(\hat{Q}) \to \mathcal{M}(\hat{Q})$ for the auxiliary quiver $\hat{Q}$. The two filtrations are rather different: for instance, the BPS Lie algebra lives inside $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1} H^{S}_{\Pi_Q}$, while $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1} H^{S}_{\Pi_Q} = 0$. In general, perverse degrees with respect to the new filtration are lower than for the old one. It is for these two reasons that we call the new filtration the less perverse filtration.

---

3See [9] for a comprehensive treatment of when we may expect to find such a resolution.

4As a consequence of this difference, there is value in considering them both simultaneously; see [10, 11] for example.
1.4. Halpern–Leistner’s conjecture. Our purity theorem is independent from the statement (proved in [12]) that the mixed Hodge structure on $H_{BM}(\mathcal{H})$ is pure. We explain the particular utility of the purity statement of the current paper, with reference to a particular application: the proof of a conjecture of Halpern–Leistner [13]. The details will appear in forthcoming work with Sjoerd Beentjes.

Let $X$ be a K3 surface, fix a generic ample class $H \in NS(X)_Q$, and fix a Hilbert polynomial $P$. Then there is a moduli stack $\text{Coh}_P^H(X)$ of $H$-semistable coherent sheaves with Hilbert polynomial $P$, and Halpern–Leistner conjectures that the mixed Hodge structure on

$$H_{BM}(\text{Coh}_P^H(X), Q)$$

is pure. The above-mentioned purity result of [12] encouraged this statement, while the purity result of the current paper provides the means to prove it. The proof idea is easy to explain: locally, the morphism $p: \text{Coh}_P^H(X) \to \text{Coh}_P^H(X)$ to the coarse moduli space is modelled as the morphism $\mathcal{M}_d(\Omega_Q) \to \mathcal{M}_d(\Omega_Q)$ for some quiver $Q$, and so Theorem A tells us that the direct image $p^\bullet_{BM}(\Omega_Q)$ is locally, and hence globally, pure. The result then follows from the fact that the direct image of a pure complex of mixed Hodge modules along a projective morphism is pure.

1.5. The algebras $U(g_C)$ and $\mathcal{U}(g_{C, \omega})$. The construction and results of the present paper can be applied in nonabelian Hodge theory, since they concern any category for which the moduli of objects is locally modeled by moduli stacks of modules for preprojective algebras.

Let $C$ be a smooth genus $g$ complex projective curve, which for ease of exposition we assume to be defined over $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{gss}}_{r,0}(C)$ denote the complex algebraic stack of semistable rank $r$ degree zero Higgs bundles on $C$. By [36] there is an equality

$$\sum_{r \geq 0, i, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim(\text{Gr}_{n}^W(H_{BM}^r(\mathfrak{h}^{\text{gss}}_{r,0}(C), Q)))(-1)^i q^{r/2} (q-1)^2 T^r$$

where $\Omega_C, n, 0(q^{1/2}) = a_{C, n, 0}(q^{1/2}, \ldots, q^{1/2})$ is a specialization of Schiffmann’s polynomial, counting absolutely indecomposable vector bundles of rank $r$ on $C$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. On the right hand side we have taken the plethystic exponential, an operation which satisfies the identity

$$\exp \left( \sum_{r, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim \mathfrak{g}_{r, i}(q^{1/2}) T^r \right) = \sum_{r, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim U(\mathfrak{g})_{r, i}(q^{1/2}) T^r$$

for any $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra with finite-dimensional graded pieces. We presume that the second grading agrees with the cohomological grading, so that the Koszul sign rule is in effect with respect to it, e.g.

$$[a, b] = (-1)^{|a||b|+1} [b, a]$$

for $|a|$ and $|b|$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-degrees of $a$ and $b$ respectively. This explains the introduction of the signs in [3]. Via a similar argument to the previous subsection, we may show that the Borel–Moore homology of $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{gss}}_{r,0}(C)$ is pure, so that the only terms that contribute on the left hand side of [2] have $n = i$.

Putting all of these hints together, it is natural to conjecture (as in [17]) that there is some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_C$, and an isomorphism

$$H_{C}^{\text{Higgs}} := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H_{BM}(\mathfrak{h}^{\text{gss}}_{r,0}(C), Q) \otimes O^{(g-1)^2} \cong U_q(\mathfrak{g}_C[u])$$

where the right hand side is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of a current algebra for some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_C$, which should be a “curve” cousin of the Kac–Moody Lie algebras associated to quivers.

This Lie algebra should be defined as the BPS Lie algebra associated to the noncompact Calabi–Yau threefold $Y = T\text{ot}_{C}(\omega_C \oplus O_C)$. Technically, this presents some well-known complications: stacks of coherent sheaves on $Y$ do not have a global critical locus description, so that the definition of vanishing cycle sheaves on them requires a certain amount of extra machinery (see [21] [4]).
outcome of this paper is that there is a "less perverse" definition of \( U(\mathfrak{g}_C) \) ready off the shelf, avoiding d critical structures, vanishing cycles etc.: we may define
\[
U(\mathfrak{g}_C) := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H(\text{Higgs}^\text{sst}_{r,0}(C), \tau \leq 0, p_r \bigotimes \text{Higgs}^\text{sst}_{r,0}(C)) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{(g-1)r^2}
\]
where \( \text{Higgs}^\text{sst}_{r,0}(C) \) is the morphism to the coarse moduli space, and the multiplication is via the correspondences in the CoHA of Higgs sheaves as in \([46, 34]\). Similarly, we define
\[
U(\mathfrak{g}_C^{\text{nilp}}) := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H(\text{Higgs}^\text{sst}_{r,0}(C), g, g^! \tau \leq 0, p_r \bigotimes \text{Higgs}^\text{sst}_{r,0}(C)) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{(g-1)r^2}
\]
where \( g : \text{Higgs}^\text{sst}_{r,0}(C) \to \text{Higgs}^\text{sst}_{r,0}(C) \) is the inclusion of the locus for which the Higgs field is nilpotent, to define the correct enveloping algebra inside the CoHA of nilpotent Higgs bundles in\(^5\).

Whenever the morphism \( p \) from the stack of objects in a category \( \mathcal{C} \) to the coarse moduli space is locally modeled as the semisimplification morphism from the stack of representations of a preprojective algebra, the definition of the enveloping algebra of the BPS Lie algebra for \( \mathcal{C} \) is forced by Theorem A\(\alpha\) we likewise define
\[
U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_g}) := \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} H(\mathcal{M}^\text{sst}_{g,r}, \tau \leq 0, p_r \bigotimes \mathcal{M}^\text{sst}_{g,r}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{(g-1)r^2}
\]
where the \( \mathcal{M}^\text{sst}_{g,r} \) is the semisimplification morphism from the moduli stack of \( r \)-dimensional \( \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \)-modules to the coarse moduli space, for \( \Sigma_g \) a genus \( g \) Riemann surface without boundary\(^4\). The object \( H_{\Sigma_g} \) is the CoHA of representations of the stack of \( \mathbb{C}[\pi_1(\Sigma_g)] \)-modules defined in \([10]\). We leave the detailed study of the algebras \( U(\mathfrak{g}_C) \) and \( U(\mathfrak{g}_{\Sigma_g}) \), as well as a general treatment of the perverse filtration on CoHA\(\)s for 2CY categories in\([39, 25]\) to future work.

1.6. Notation and conventions. All schemes and stacks are defined over \( \mathbb{C} \), and assumed to be locally of finite type. All quivers are finite. All functors are derived.

If \( \mathcal{X} \) is a scheme or stack, and \( p : \mathcal{X} \to \text{pt} \) is the morphism to point, we often write \( H^i \) for the derived functor \( p_* \), and \( H^i \) for the \( i \)th cohomology of \( H \), i.e. we abbreviate
\[
H(\mathcal{F}) := H(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})
\]
\[
H^i(\mathcal{F}) := H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}).
\]
For example,
\[
H(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{vir}} = H(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-\dim(\mathcal{X})/2} \text{ where the half Tate twist is as in } [2.1.3].
\]
We define
\[
H^\text{BM}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}) = H \bigotimes \mathbb{Q}_\mathbb{X}
\]
where \( D \) is the Verdier duality functor.

If \( \mathcal{C} \) is a triangulated category equipped with a t structure we write
\[
H(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathcal{F})[-i]
\]
when the right hand side exists in \( \mathcal{C} \).

If \( V \) is a cohomologically graded vector space with finite-dimensional graded pieces, we define
\[
\chi_t(V) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim(V^i)t^{i/2}.
\]
\(^5\)This case is slightly different, since the moduli stack of \( \pi_1(\Sigma_g)[\omega] \)-modules is written as a global critical locus; see \([10]\).
If $V$ also carries a weight filtration $W_n V$, we define the weight polynomial
\begin{equation}
\chi_{\text{wt}}(V) := \sum_{i,n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim(G^W_{n-i}(V^i)) n^{i/2}.
\end{equation}
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2. Background on CoHAs

2.1. Monodromic mixed Hodge modules.

2.1.1. Mixed Hodge modules. Let $X$ be an algebraic variety. We define as in [44, 45] the category $\text{MHM}(X)$ of mixed Hodge modules on $X$. There is an exact functor
\[ \text{rat}_X : \text{MHM}(X) \to \text{Perv}(X) \]
and moreover the functor $\text{rat}_X : \text{MHM}(X) \to \text{Perv}(X)$ is faithful. We will make light use of the larger category of monodromic mixed Hodge modules $\text{MMHM}(X)$ considered in [28, 44], which is defined to be the Serre quotient $\mathcal{B}_X / \mathcal{G}_X$, of two full subcategories of $\text{MMH}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$. Here, $\mathcal{B}_X$ is the full subcategory containing those objects for which the cohomology mixed Hodge modules are locally constant, away from the origin, when restricted to $\{x\} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ for each $x \in X$. The category $\mathcal{G}_X$ is the full subcategory containing those $\mathcal{F}$ for which such restrictions have globally constant cohomology sheaves.

The functor $(X \times \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ provides an equivalence of categories between $\text{MMHM}(X)$ and the full subcategory of mixed Hodge modules on $X \times \mathbb{G}_m$ containing those $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the condition that the restriction to each $\{x\} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ has locally constant cohomology sheaves. Write $G$ for a quasi-inverse. We define the inclusion $\tau : X \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{G}_m$ by setting $\tau(x) = (x, 1)$. Then there is a faithful functor
\[ \text{rat}^{\text{mon}}_X = \text{rat}_X \circ \tau^*[-1] \circ G : \text{MMHM}(X) \to \text{Perv}(X). \]

Let $z_X : X \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ be the inclusion of the zero section. Then
\[ z_{X,*} : \text{MHM}(X) \to \text{MMHM}(X) \]
is an inclusion of tensor categories, where the tensor product on the target is the one described below. We write $\text{MMHS} := \text{MMHM}(pt)$. The category of polarizable mixed Hodge structures is a full subcategory of $\text{MMHS}$ via $z_{pt,*}$.

2.1.2. Six functors. Excepting the definition of tensor products, the six functor formalism for categories of monodromic mixed Hodge modules is induced in a straightforward way by that of mixed Hodge modules, e.g. for $f : X \to Y$ a morphism of varieties we define
\[ f_* , f! : \mathcal{D}^b(\text{MMHM}(X)) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\text{MMHM}(Y)) \]
to be the functors induced by
\[ (f \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1})_* , (f \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1})! : \mathcal{D}^b(\text{MMHM}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\text{MMHM}(Y \times \mathbb{A}^1)) \]
respectively. The functor $\mathcal{B}_X : \text{MMHM}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \to \text{MMHM}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)^{\text{op}}$ sends objects of $\mathcal{G}_X$ to objects of $\mathcal{G}_X^{\text{op}}$, inducing the functor $\mathcal{B}^{\text{mon}}_X : \text{MMHM}(X) \to \text{MMHM}(X)^{\text{op}}$. We may omit the mon superscript when doing so is unlikely to cause confusion.

If $X$ and $Y$ are schemes over $S$, and $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Ob}(\text{MMHM}(X))$, $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Ob}(\text{MMHM}(Y))$, then taking their external tensor product (as mixed Hodge modules) we obtain $\mathcal{J} \in \text{Ob}(\text{MMHM}(Z \times \mathbb{A}^1))$, where $Z = X \times_S Y$. We define
\[ \mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S \mathcal{G} := (\text{id}_Z \times +)_* \mathcal{J} \in \text{Ob}(\text{MMHM}(Z)). \]
If $X$ is a monoid over $S$, i.e. there exist $S$-morphisms
\[ \nu: X \times_S X \to X \]
\[ i: S \to X \]
satisfying the standard axioms, and $F, G \in \text{Ob}(D^b(\text{MMHM}(X)))$ we define
\[ F \boxtimes_{\nu} G := \nu_!(F \boxtimes_S G) \in \text{Ob}(D^b(\text{MMHM}(X))). \]
This monoidal product is symmetric if $\nu$ is commutative, and is exact if $\nu$ is finite. If $\nu$ is commutative, we define
\[ \text{Sym}_\nu(F) := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{Sym}^i_\nu(F) \]
where $\text{Sym}^i_\nu(F)$ is the $S_i$-invariant part of
\[ F \boxtimes_{\nu} \cdots \boxtimes_{\nu} F, \]
and the $S_i$-action is defined via the isomorphism
\[ (F \boxtimes_{\nu} \cdots \boxtimes_{\nu} F)^{\times i} \cong e^i(F \boxtimes_{\nu} \cdots \boxtimes_{\nu} F)^{\times i} \]
where $e: X \times_S \cdots \times_S X \to X \times \cdots \times X$ is the natural embedding. By [32] (see [14, Sec.3.2]), the target of (5) carries a natural $G_i$-action.

By [32] (see [14, Sec.3.2]), the target of (5) carries a natural $G_i$-action. The functor $v_+: \text{MMHM}(X) \to \text{MMHM}(X)$ from [2,4] is a symmetric monoidal functor.

2.1.3. $\text{MMHM}$s on stacks. If $X$ is a connected locally finite type Artin stack we define the bounded derived category of monodromic mixed Hodge modules $D^b(\text{MMHM}(X))$ as in [13]. Since all Artin stacks that we encounter for the rest of this paper will be global quotient stacks, and aside from some half Tate twists almost all monodromic mixed Hodge modules will be monodromy-free, the reader may think of this as the category of $G$-equivariant mixed Hodge modules described in [1].

The category $D^b(\text{MMHM}(X))$ admits a natural $t$ structure for which the heart is the category $\text{MMHM}(X)$ of monodromic mixed Hodge modules on $X$, which admits a faithful functor $\text{rat}^\text{mon}_X$ to the category of perverse sheaves on $X$. If $X \cong X/G$ is a global quotient stack, then up to a cohomological shift by $\dim(G)$ this is the category of $G$-equivariant perverse sheaves on $X$. For full generality and detail, we refer the reader to [12]. If $X$ is not necessarily connected we define
\[ D^b(\text{MMHM}(X)) = \prod_{X' \in \pi_0(X)} D^b(\text{MMHM}(X')). \]

Let $X$ be a connected locally finite type Artin stack. We define the category $\mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})(X)$ by setting the objects to be $\mathbb{Z}$-tuples of objects $F^{\leq n} \in D^b(\text{MMHM}(X))$ such that $H^m(F^{\leq n}) = 0$ for $m > n$, along with the data of isomorphisms $\tau_{\leq n-1} F^{\leq n} \cong F^{\leq n-1}$. We define $\mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^-(X)$ in the analogous way, by considering tuples of objects $F^{\geq n}$ along with isomorphisms $\tau_{\geq n} F^{\geq n-1} \cong F^{\geq n}$. If $X$ is a disjoint union of locally finite type Artin stacks we define
\[ \mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^-(X) = \prod_{X' \in \pi_0(X)} \mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^-(X'). \]
and likewise for $\mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^+(X)$. For $f: X \to Y$ a morphism of Artin stacks we define functors $f_*: \mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^-(X) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^-(Y)$ and $f^*: \mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^+(X) \to \mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})^+(Y)$ in the natural way (see [13]). The selling point of the categories introduced in this paragraph is that they give us a setting to talk about direct images of complexes of monodromic mixed Hodge modules along non-representable morphisms of stacks without needing a full theory of unbounded derived categories of such objects.

We define $D^b(\text{MHM}(X)), \mathcal{D}(\text{MMHM})(X)$ etc. the same way, and consider these categories as subcategories of their monodromic counterparts via $z_{X,*}$. 
2.1.4. Weight filtrations. If $X$ is a scheme, an object $F \in \text{Ob}(\text{MMHM}(X))$ inherits a weight filtration from its weight filtration in $\text{MHM}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$, and is called pure of weight $n$ if $\text{Gr}^W_i(F) = 0$ for $i \neq n$. For $X$ a stack, an object $F \in \text{D}^b(\text{MMHM}^-(X))$ is called pure if $\mathcal{H}^i(F)$ is pure of weight $i$ for every $i$. An object of $D^{\text{MMHM}^-(X)}$ is called pure if its pullback along a smooth atlas is pure. Via Saito’s results, if $F \in \text{Ob}(\text{D}^{\text{MMHM}^-(X)})$ is pure, then $F \cong \mathcal{H}(F)$. Furthermore, if $p: X \to Y$ is projective, then $p_*F$ is pure.

2.1.5. Intersection cohomology complexes. Let $X$ be a stack. Then 
\[ Q_X \in \text{Ob}(\text{D}^b(\text{MMHM}(X))) \]
is defined by the property that for all smooth morphisms $q: X \to Y$ with $X$ a scheme, $q^*Q_X \cong Q_Y$, the constant complex of mixed Hodge modules on $X$.

Likewise, if $X$ is irreducible we define $\mathcal{IC}_X(Q)$ by the property that $q^*\mathcal{IC}_X(Q) \cong \mathcal{IC}_X(Q)$, the intersection mixed Hodge module complex on $X$. Note that unless $X$ is zero-dimensional, $\mathcal{IC}_X(Q)$ is not a mixed Hodge module, but rather a complex with cohomology concentrated in degree $d = \text{dim}(X)$. This complex is pure, i.e. its $d$th cohomology mixed Hodge module is pure of weight $d$.

Consider the morphism $s: \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^2} \mathbb{A}^1$. We define 
\[ \mathcal{L}^{1/2} := \text{cone}(Q_{\mathbb{A}^1} \to s_*Q_{\mathbb{A}^1}) \in \text{D}^b(\text{MMHM}(\text{pt})). \]
This complex has cohomology concentrated in degree 1, and is pure. Moreover there is an isomorphism 
\[ (\mathcal{L}^{1/2})^\otimes 2 \cong \mathcal{L}, \]
justifying the notation.

We define
\[ \mathcal{IC}_X := \mathcal{IC}_X(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-\text{dim}(X)/2}. \]
Since $\mathcal{L}^{1/2}$ is pure, this is a pure monodromy mixed Hodge module.

2.1.6. $G$-equivariant MMHMs. Assume that we have fixed an algebraic group $G$, and let $X = X/H$ be a global quotient stack, where an embedding $G \subset H$ is understood. Examples relevant to this paper will be $X = \mathcal{M}^{G, \leq \omega}(Q)$ or $X = \mathcal{M}^{G, \leq \omega}(Q)$, defined in [2.2.2]. We define
\[ \mathcal{IC}_X := \mathcal{IC}_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-\text{dim}(G)/2}. \]
The motivation for introducing the extra Tate twist in [7] alongside the one in [2.1.5] comes from the case $H = G$. Thinking of the underlying complex of perverse sheaves for $\mathcal{IC}_X$ as a $G$-equivariant complex of perverse sheaves on $X$, the extra twist of [7] means that this complex is a genuine perverse sheaf (without shifting).

Continuing in the same vein, we shift the natural $t$ structure on $D^{\text{MMHM}^-(X)}$, so that for example
\[ \mathcal{H}^{G, i} \left( \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{M}^{G, \leq \omega}(Q)} \right) \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } i = 0, \]
where the cohomology functor is with respect to the shifted $t$ structure. We denote by $\text{MMHM}^G(X)$ the heart of this $t$ structure (i.e. the shift by $\text{dim}(G)$ of the usual $t$ structure), and $\tau_{\leq 0}^G$ and $\tau_{\leq 0}^G$ the truncation functors with respect to this $t$ structure.

2.1.7. Vanishing cycles. Let $X$ be an algebraic stack\footnote{We state all of Saito’s results for stacks, as opposed to schemes. The details of the extension to stacks can be found in [13].}, and let $f \in \Gamma(X)$ be a regular function on it. An integral part of Saito’s theory is the construction of a functor
\[ \Phi_f[-1]: \text{MHM}(X) \to \text{MHM}(X) \]
lifting the usual vanishing cycle functor
\[ \varphi_f[-1]: \text{Perv}(X) \to \text{Perv}(X), \]
in the sense that there is a natural equivalence $\text{rat}_X \Phi_f \cong \varphi_f \text{rat}_X$. There is a further lift
\[ \Phi_f^{\text{mon}}: \text{MHM}(X) \to \text{MMHM}(X) \]
We fix a complex algebraic group $G$, throughout the paper we fix $G$. Then $G$ contains $|\chi|$, dimension vector $\dim(X)$.

resentations.

s, $t$ along with a pair of morphisms $s, t : Q_{1} \rightarrow Q_{0}$ taking each arrow to its source and target, respectively. We say that $Q$ is symmetric if for every pair of vertices $i, j \in Q_{0}$ there are as many arrows $a$ satisfying $s(a) = i$ and $t(a) = j$ as there are arrows satisfying $s(a) = j$ and $t(a) = i$.

We refer to elements $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_{0}}$ as dimension vectors. We define a bilinear form on the set of dimension vectors by

$$\chi_{Q}(\mathbf{d}', \mathbf{d}'') = \sum_{i \in Q_{0}} d_{i}' d_{i}'' - \sum_{a \in Q_{1}} d_{s(a)}' d_{t(a)}''.$$  

If $Q$ is symmetric this form is symmetric. We define the form $(\bullet, \bullet)_{Q}$ on $\mathbb{N}^{Q_{0}}$ via

$$((\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}'), \mathbf{d}'')_{Q} = \chi_{Q}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}') + \chi_{Q}(\mathbf{d}', \mathbf{d}'').$$

For $K$ a field, we denote by $KQ$ the free path algebra of $Q$ over $K$. Recall that this algebra contains $|Q_{0}|$ mutually orthogonal idempotents $e_{i}$ for $i \in Q_{0}$, the “lazy paths”. We define the dimension vector $\dim(\rho) \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_{0}}$ of a $KQ$-representation via $\dim(\rho)_{i} = \dim_{K}(e_{i}, \rho)$. If $W \in \mathbb{C}Q_{\text{cyc}}$ is a linear combination of cyclic words in $Q$, we denote by $\text{Jac}(Q, W)$ the quotient of $\mathbb{C}Q$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the noncommutative derivatives $\partial W/\partial a$ for $a \in Q_{1}$, as defined in [17].

2.2.1. Extra gauge group. For each pair of (not necessarily distinct) vertices $i, j$ fix a complex vector space $V_{i,j}$ with basis the arrows from $i$ to $j$. Set

$$G_{Q} := \prod_{i,j} \text{GL}(V_{i,j}).$$

Then $G_{Q}$ acts on $A_{d}(Q)$ via the isomorphism

$$A_{d}(Q) \cong \bigoplus_{i,j \in Q_{0}} V_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{j}}).$$

We fix a complex algebraic group $G$, and fix a homomorphism $G \rightarrow G_{Q}$. We define

$$\text{GL}_{d} := \prod_{i \in Q_{0}} \text{GL}_{d_{i}},$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{d} := \prod_{i \in Q_{0}} \mathfrak{g}_{d_{i}},$$

$$\widetilde{\text{GL}}_{d} := \text{GL}_{d} \times G.$$
2.2.2. Stability conditions. By a **King stability condition** we mean a tuple \( \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}^n_+ \). The slope of a nonzero dimension vector \( d \in \mathbb{N}^n_0 \) is defined by

\[
\mu^\zeta(d) = \frac{\zeta \cdot d}{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}_0} d_i}
\]

and we define the slope of a nonzero \( KQ \)-module by setting

\[
\mu^\zeta(\rho) = \mu^\zeta(\dim(\rho)).
\]

For \( \theta \in \mathbb{Q} \) we define

\[
A^\zeta_\theta := \{ d \in \mathbb{N}^n_0 \setminus \{0\} : \mu^\zeta(d) = \theta \} \cup \{0\}.
\]

A \( KQ \)-module \( \rho \) is called \( \zeta \)-stable if for all proper nonzero submodules \( \rho' \subset \rho \) we have \( \mu^\zeta(\rho') < \mu^\zeta(\rho) \), and is \( \zeta \)-semistable if the weak version of this inequality is satisfied. We denote by

\[
A^\zeta_{\text{ss}}(Q) \subset \mathcal{A}_d(Q) := \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_1} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\alpha}, \mathbb{C}^{d_\alpha})
\]

the open subvariety of \( \zeta \)-semistable \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules.

We set

\[
\mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q) := \mathcal{A}^\zeta_{\text{ss}}(Q)/Gd.
\]

where the quotient is the stack-theoretic quotient. If \( G \) is trivial this stack is isomorphic to the stack of \( \zeta \)-semistable \( d \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules. In \([27]\) King constructs \( \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q) \), the coarse moduli space of \( \zeta \)-semistable \( d \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-representations. We denote by \( \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q) \) the stack-theoretic quotient of this variety by the \( G \)-action. We denote by

\[
\mathbb{M}_G^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q) := \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q)/Gd,
\]

the natural map. If \( G \) is trivial, this is the morphism which, at the level of points, takes \( d \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules to their semisimplifications.

Given an algebra \( A \), presented as a quotient of a free path algebra \( \mathbb{C}Q \) by some two-sided ideal \( R \), we denote by \( \mathbb{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(A) \) the moduli stack of \( \zeta \)-semistable \( A \)-modules, and by \( \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(A) \) the substack of \( d \)-dimensional \( A \)-modules. Similarly, we denote by \( \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(A) \) the stack-theoretic quotient of the coarse moduli scheme by the \( G \)-action.

2.2.3. Monoidal structure. The stack \( \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q) \) is a monoid in the category of stacks over \( BG \), via the morphism

\[
\oplus^G : \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q) \times_{BG} \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q) \to \mathcal{M}_d^{\mathbb{G}, \zeta, \text{ss}}(Q)
\]

taking a pair of \( \zeta \)-polystable \( \mathbb{C}Q \)-modules to their direct sum. This morphism is finite and commutative \([23]\) Lem.2.1], and so the monoidal product

\[
\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_{BG} \mathcal{G} := \oplus^G(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{C}Q} \mathcal{G})
\]

for \( \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{DMMHM}^-() \) is biequivalent and symmetric.

2.2.4. Subscript conventions. Throughout the paper, if \( X \) is some object that admits a decomposition with respect to dimension vectors \( d \in \mathbb{N}^n_0 \), we denote by \( X_d \) the subobject corresponding to the dimension vector \( d \). If \( \mathcal{F} \) is a sheaf or mixed Hodge module defined on \( X \), a stack that admits a decomposition indexed by dimension vectors, we denote by \( \mathcal{F}_d \) its restriction to \( X_d \). Finally, if \( f : X \to Y \) is a morphism preserving natural decompositions of \( X \) and \( Y \) indexed by dimension vectors, we denote by \( f_d : X_d \to Y_d \) the induced morphism.

If a stability condition \( \zeta \) is fixed, we set \( \mathcal{X}_d = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{A}_d} X_d \), and extend the conventions of the previous paragraph in the obvious way to objects admitting decompositions indexed by dimension vectors, along with morphisms that preserve these decompositions.
2.2.5. Serre subcategories. Throughout the paper, $\mathcal{S}$ will be used to denote a Serre subcategory of the category of $\mathbb{C}Q$-modules, i.e. $\mathcal{S}$ is a full subcategory such that if

$$0 \to \rho' \to \rho \to \rho'' \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}Q$-modules then $\rho$ is an object of $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if $\rho'$ and $\rho''$ are. We assume that $\mathcal{S}$ admits a geometric definition, in the sense that there is an inclusion of stacks

$$\varpi: \mathcal{M}^{S, G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$$

which at the level of complex points is the inclusion of the set of objects of $\mathcal{S}$, and a corresponding inclusion

$$\varpi': \mathcal{M}^{S, G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$$

of coarse moduli spaces.

If the definition of an object $F^S$ depends on a choice of some Serre subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ of the category of $\mathbb{C}Q'$-modules, for some quiver $Q'$, we omit the superscript $S$ as shorthand for the case in which we choose $\mathcal{S}$ to be the entire category of $\mathbb{C}Q'$-modules.

2.3. Critical CoHAs. We set

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}T_{Q,W,0}^G &:= \varpi_* \varpi^! \Phi^{	ext{mon}}_{\mathbb{C}(W)} \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{C}Q, \xi}(Q) \\
\mathcal{R}A_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} &:= \mathcal{H}^G_\ast \mathcal{D}T_{Q,W,0}^G \\
\mathcal{H}A_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} &:= \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}^{G,\xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q), \varpi_* \varpi^! \Phi^{	ext{mon}}_{\mathbb{C}(W)} \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{C}Q, \xi}(Q)).
\end{align*}$$

**Assumption 2.1.** We will assume throughout that we have chosen $Q, W, \emptyset, S, G, \xi$ so that $\mathcal{H}A_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi}$ is a free $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{H}(BG, Q)$-module.

The purity of $\mathcal{H}A_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi}$ is a sufficient, but not necessary condition for the assumption to hold; see [13] for an impure example for which the assumption holds.

Given dimension vectors $d', d'' \in \Lambda^\xi_0$ with $d = d' + d''$ we define

$$\mathcal{H}^G_{d', d''}(Q) = \mathcal{H}^G_{d, d'}(Q)$$

to be the subset of linear maps preserving the $Q_0$-graded subspace $\mathbb{C}d' \subset \mathbb{C}d$, and we define

$$\mathbb{G}_{d', d''} \subset \mathbb{G}_d$$

to be the subgroup preserving the same subspace. We define $\pi_1', \pi_2', \pi_3'$ to be the natural morphisms from $\mathbb{H}^G_{d', d''}(Q)$ to $\mathcal{H}^G_{d', (Q)}$, $\mathcal{H}^G_{d''}(Q)$ and $\mathcal{H}^G_{d'}(Q)$ respectively. We define

$$\mathcal{M}_{d', d''}(Q) := \mathcal{H}^G_{d', d''}(Q)/\mathbb{G}_{d', d''} \times G.$$

Finally we define $\mathcal{M}_{d', d''}(Q)_{(2)}$ to be the union of the stacks $\mathcal{M}_{d', d''}(Q)$ across all $d', d'' \in \Lambda^\xi_0$.

Consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_{G, \xi}^{0, \xi}(Q) \times_{BG} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \times \pi_3} & \mathcal{M}_{0}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) \\
\downarrow \mathcal{M}_{G, \xi}^{0, \xi}(Q) \times_{BG} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) \downarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathcal{M}_{G, \xi}^{0, \xi}(Q) \\
\mathcal{M}_{G, \xi}^{0, \xi}(Q) \times_{BG} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\varpi_G} & \mathcal{M}_{0}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)
\end{array}
\]

where $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3$ are induced by $\pi_1', \pi_2', \pi_3'$ respectively. Set

$\mathbb{A} := \mathcal{M}_{G, \xi}^{0, \xi}(Q) \times_{BG} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{G, \xi}_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$

$\mathbb{B} := \mathcal{M}_{G, \xi}^{0, \xi}(Q)_{(2)}$

$\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{M}_{G, \xi}^{0, \xi}(Q)$. 


Likewise, if we define the $A$ factors through the surjection

$$f : \mathcal{H}^{\text{mon}}_g (\mathcal{D}^\text{mon})_{\mathcal{O}} \to \tau_2 (\mathcal{Q}_2)$$

we define the morphism

$$(12) \quad \star : \mathcal{R}_A^{S,G,\zeta} \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_A^{S,G,\zeta} \to \mathcal{R}_A^{S,G,\zeta}$$

i.e. a multiplication operation on $\mathcal{R}_A^{S,G,\zeta}$. The proof that this operation is associative is standard, and is as in [28, Sec.2.3]. Applying $H$ to this morphism we obtain a morphism

$$H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta} \otimes_C H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta} \to H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta}$$

and we define the (associative) multiplication on $H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta}$ by composing with the surjection

$$H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta} \otimes_C H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta} \to H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta} \otimes_C H \mathcal{A}^{S,G,\zeta}.$$

### 2.4. The PBW theorem

We next recall some fundamental results for critical CoHAs from [14]. For ease of exposition we assume that $Q$ is symmetric, though for generic stability conditions all results are stated more generally in [13, 13].

Firstly, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^G \mathcal{D}^{G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \cong \mathcal{H} \left( \mathcal{H}^G \mathcal{D}^{G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \right)$$

and

$$\tau^G_{\leq 0} \left( \mathcal{H}^G \mathcal{D}^{G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \right) = 0.$$  

By base change we have

$$\mathcal{R}_A^{S,G,\zeta} \cong \mathcal{H}^G \mathcal{D}^{G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$$

there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{BPS}^{S,G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^G \mathcal{D}^{G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1/2} & \text{if } \mathcal{M}^{\zeta,\text{st}}_0 (Q) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define the BPS cohomology

$$\mathcal{BPS}^{S,G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} := \mathcal{H} \left( \mathcal{M}^{\zeta,\text{st}}_0 (Q), \mathcal{BPS}^{S,G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \right).$$

There is a natural action of $H (\mathcal{B}^\dagger, \mathcal{Q})$ on $\mathcal{R}_A^{S,G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0}$ and this induces the morphism

$$\mathcal{BPS}^{S,G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0} \otimes H (\mathcal{B}^\dagger, \mathcal{Q})_{\text{vir}} \to \mathcal{R}_A^{S,G,\zeta}_{Q,W,0}.$$  

Given an algebra $A$ and an $A$-bimodule $L$ we define

$$T_A(L) := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} L \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A L$$

where the $i = 0$ summand is the $A$-bimodule $A$. Given an $A$-linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, with an $A$-action such that the Lie algebra map

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$$

factors through the surjection

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes_A \mathfrak{g}$$

we define the $A$-linear universal enveloping algebra as the quotient algebra

$$T_A(\mathfrak{g})/\langle a \otimes b - b \otimes a - [a, b]_\mathfrak{g} \rangle.$$  

Likewise, if $N$ is an $A$-bimodule we define

$$\text{Sym}_A(N) := T_A(\mathfrak{g})/\langle a \otimes b - b \otimes a \rangle.$$

---

[8] For the extension to the $G$-equivariant case considered here, we refer the reader to [33].
The main structural result for the critical CoHA is the PBW theorem:

**Theorem 2.2.** The morphism

\[ \Phi : \text{Sym}_C \left( \text{BPS}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \text{H}(BC^*, Q)_{\text{vir}} \right) \rightarrow \text{RA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \]

obtained by combining (15) with the iterated CoHA multiplication map is an isomorphism in \( \text{DMMHM}^{-}(\mathcal{M}^{S,G,\xi}_{0}(Q)) \). Moreover \( \Theta(\Phi) \) is an isomorphism of algebra objects in \( \text{DMMHM}^{-}(\mathcal{M}^{S,G,\xi}_{0}(Q)) \), and \( \text{H}(\Phi) \) is an isomorphism of \( \Lambda^{S,G,\xi}_{0} \)-graded monodromic mixed Hodge structures

\[ \text{Sym}_C \left( \text{BPS}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \text{H}(BC^*, Q)_{\text{vir}} \right) \rightarrow \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} . \]

**Remark 2.3.** Strictly speaking, the symmetric monoidal structure on \( \text{DMMHM}^{-}(\mathcal{M}^{S,G,\xi}_{0}(Q)) \) should be twisted by a sign depending on the Euler form of \( Q \), in the definition of the domain of \( \Phi \). In this paper we only consider Hall algebras \( \text{RA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \) and \( \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \) for quivers \( Q \) satisfying the condition that \( \chi(\mathbf{d}^\vee, \mathbf{d}^\wedge) \in 2 \mathbb{Z} \) for all \( \mathbf{d}^\vee, \mathbf{d}^\wedge \in \mathbb{N} \mathbb{Q}^+ \), and so we may omit this added complication (see [14] Sec.1.6) for details.

By (13) the algebra \( \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \) carries a filtration defined by

\[ \mathcal{T}_{\leq i} \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} := \text{H} \left( \mathcal{M}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0}(Q), \mathcal{W} \right) \cdot \mathcal{I} \left( \mathcal{H}^G \text{DT}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \right) . \]

We define

\[ \mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} := \mathcal{T}_{\leq 1} \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \]

\[ \simeq \text{H} \left( \mathcal{M}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0}(Q), \mathcal{W} \right) \cdot \mathcal{I} \left( \mathcal{H}^G \text{DT}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \right) \]

\[ \simeq \text{BPS}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2}. \]

By Theorem 2.2 the associated graded algebra \( \text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}} \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \) is supercommutative, and so \( \mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} \) is closed under the commutator bracket in \( \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \). The resulting Lie algebra is called the BPS Lie algebra [13].

**Proposition 2.4.** The universal map \( \tau : \text{U}_C (\mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi}) \rightarrow \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \) is an inclusion of algebras.

**Proof.** The projection

\[ \text{BPS}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \text{H}(BC^*, Q)_{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} \]

induces a morphism

\[ \pi : \text{Sym}_C \left( \text{BPS}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \text{H}(BC^*, Q)_{\text{vir}} \right) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_C \left( \mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} \right) \]

which is a left inverse to the morphism

\[ \text{Sym}_C \left( \mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} \right) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_C \left( \text{BPS}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \text{H}(BC^*, Q)_{\text{vir}} \right) \]

induced by the inclusion \( \mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} \hookrightarrow \text{BPS}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \otimes \text{H}(BC^*, Q)_{\text{vir}} \). We obtain the commutative diagram of \( \Lambda^{S,G,\xi}_{0} \)-graded cohomologically graded mixed Hodge structures

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{U}_C (\mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi}) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \text{HA}^{S,G,\xi}_{Q,W,0} \\
\text{PBW} & \simeq & \text{Sym}_C (\mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi} \otimes \text{H}(BC^*, Q)_{\text{vir}}) \\
\text{Sym}_C (\mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Sym}_C (\mathfrak{g}_{Q,W,0}^{S,G,\xi})
\end{array} \]

so that \( \tau \) is indeed injective. \( \square \)
3. Preprojective CoHAs

3.1. The 2-dimensional approach. Given a quiver $Q$ we define the doubled quiver $\overline{Q}$ by setting $\overline{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\overline{Q}_1 = Q_1 \coprod Q_1^{op}$, where $Q_1^{op}$ is the set $\{a^*: a \in Q_1\}$, and we set

$$s(a^*) = t(a)$$
$$t(a^*) = s(a).$$

We define the preprojective algebra as in the introduction:

$$\Pi_Q := \mathbb{C}Q/(\sum_{a \in Q_1} [a,a^*]).$$

For each $i,j \in Q_0$ let $V_{i,j}$ be the vector space with basis given by the set of arrows from $i$ to $j$. We set

$$\text{GL}_{\text{edge}} := \prod_{i \neq j} \text{GL}(V_{i,j}) \times \prod_i \text{Sp}(V_{i,i})$$
$$\text{GL}_{\text{edge}} := \text{GL}_{\text{edge}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$$

where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is a copy of $\mathbb{C}$. Decomposing

$$\mathcal{A}_d(\overline{Q}) = \prod_{i \neq j} (V_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i}(a)}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{i}(a)}))^* \times (V_{i,j} \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i}(a)}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{i}(a)}))$$

$$\times \prod_i ((V_{i,i} \oplus V_{i,i}^*) \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{i}}, \mathbb{C}^{d_{i}}))$$

it follows that $\mathcal{A}_d(\overline{Q})$ carries an action of $\text{GL}_{\text{edge}}^{\prime}$ preserving the natural symplectic form. We let $\mathbb{C}^*$ act by scaling all of $\mathcal{A}_d(\overline{Q})$, so that it acts with weight two on the symplectic form. In the following, we assume that the gauge group action $G \to \mathbb{C}^*$ factors through the morphism $\text{GL}_{\text{edge}} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ that we have defined here.

We denote by

$$\oplus^G_{\text{red}}: \mathcal{M}^{G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q}) \times_{BG} \mathcal{M}^{G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q}) \to \mathcal{M}^{G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q})$$

the morphism taking a pair of polystable $\overline{Q}$-modules to their direct sum.

3.1.1. Serre subcategories. Let $S$ be a Serre subcategory of the category of $\overline{Q}$-modules $\mathcal{A}$ we may consider the examples

1. $\mathcal{K}$ is the full subcategory of $\overline{Q}$-modules $\rho$ for which there is a flag of $Q_0$-graded subspaces $0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V$ of the underlying vector space of $\rho$ such that $\rho(a)(L^i) \subset L^{i-1}$ and $\rho(a^*)(L^i) \subset L^{i-1}$ for every $a \in Q_1$.
2. $SN$ is the full subcategory of $\overline{Q}$-modules $\rho$ for which there is a flag of $Q_0$-graded subspaces as above, satisfying the weaker condition that $\rho(a)(L^i) \subset L^{i-1}$ and $\rho(a^*)(L^i) \subset L^i$.
3. $SSN$ is the full subcategory of $\overline{Q}$-modules satisfying the same conditions as for $SN$, but with the added condition that each of the subquotients $L^i/L^{i-1}$ is supported at a single vertex.

Let

$$\varnothing_{\text{red}}: \mathcal{M}^{S,G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q}) \to \mathcal{M}^{G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q})$$

$$\varnothing_{\text{red}}: \mathcal{M}^{S,G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q}) \to \mathcal{M}^{G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q})$$

denote the inclusion of the stack, or respectively the stack-theoretic quotient of the coarse moduli space, of modules in $S$. Fix a slope $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Pi_Q,d} := \varnothing_{\text{red}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\chi_{d,Q}(d))$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_Q,d} := \mathcal{H}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\text{L-ass}}(\overline{Q})$$

**Remark 3.1.** Since $\chi_{\overline{Q}}(\cdot,\cdot)$ only takes even values, these are genuine mixed Hodge structures, as opposed to monodromic mixed Hodge structures.
By Verdier duality, there is an isomorphism
\[ \mathbf{H}_q^S G, \zeta \simeq \mathbf{H}^B \mathcal{M}_d \mathcal{S} G, \zeta_{\text{ss}} (\Pi_q), Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\dim(G) - \chi_Q(d,d)}. \]

**Assumption 3.2.** We will always choose \( S \) so that \( \mathbf{H}_q^S G, \zeta \) is free as a \( C \)-module.

It is a consequence of purity that this assumption holds if we set \( S \) to be any of \( C\bar{Q} \)-mod, \( N \), \( SN \) or \( SSN \) — see [12], [19] as well as [13] for details and discussion.

The \( \mathcal{L} \)-graded mixed Hodge structure \( \mathbf{H}_q^S G, \zeta \) carries a Hall algebra structure introduced by Schiffmann and Vasserot in the case of the Jordan quiver [48]. It is defined in terms of correspondences since the algebra defined this way is isomorphic to the critical CoHA introduced in [22]. We refrain from giving this definition, instead referring the reader to [48, Sec.4] and [54] for details.

Likewise if we set
\[ \mathcal{R}_q^S G, \zeta := \mathbf{H}^B \mathfrak{m}_d \mathcal{M}_d \mathcal{S} G, \zeta_{\text{ss}} (\Pi_q) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi_q(d,d)/2} \]
the correspondence diagrams that are used to define the Hall algebra structure on \( \mathbf{H}_q^S G, \zeta \) can be used to define an algebra structure on \( \mathcal{R}_q^S G, \zeta \) with respect to the monoidal structure \( \otimes \mathcal{S} G, \zeta \).

Since this algebra object will again be isomorphic to the direct image of an algebra object \( \mathcal{R}_q^S G, \zeta \) for \( \tilde{S}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{W} \) chosen as in [32] we do not recall the definition here (see [13] for details).

**3.2. The 3-dimensional description.** For the description of the preprojective CoHA in terms of vanishing cycles, we introduce a particular class of quivers with potential.

We start with a quiver \( \tilde{Q} \) (not assumed to be symmetric). Then we define the tripled quiver \( \tilde{\tilde{Q}} \) as in (3.3) to be the quiver \( \tilde{Q} \), with an additional set of edge-loops \( \Omega = \{ \omega_i : i \in Q_0 \} \) added to the set of arrows \( \tilde{Q}_1 \), where \( s(\omega_i) = t(\omega_i) = i \). The quiver \( \tilde{Q} \) is symmetric. We extend the action of \( GL_{\text{edge}} \) to an action on
\[ A_d(\tilde{Q}) \cong A_d(\tilde{Q}) \times \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathfrak{g}_i \]
by letting \( GL_{\text{edge}} \) act trivially on \( \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathfrak{g}_i \) and letting \( C_{\mathfrak{g}_i} \mathbb{C} \) act with weight \(-2\). In what follows, we assume that the \( G \)-action, defined by \( G \to G_{\tilde{Q}} \), factors through the inclusion of \( GL_{\text{edge}} \).

We fix
\[ \tilde{W} = \sum_{a \in Q_1} [a, a^*] \sum_{i \in Q_0} \omega_i. \]

The function \( \text{Tr}(\tilde{W}) \) is \( GL_{\text{edge}} \)-invariant, and thus induces a function \( \pi(\tilde{W}) \) on \( \mathfrak{M}^G(\tilde{Q}) \).

We denote by
\[ r : \mathfrak{M}^G(\tilde{Q}) \to \mathfrak{M}^G(\tilde{Q}) \]
the forgetful mapping a \( \mathbb{C} \tilde{Q} \)-module to its underlying \( \mathbb{C} \bar{Q} \)-module. This morphism is the projection map from the total space of a vector bundle. The function \( \pi(\tilde{W}) \) has weight one with respect to the function that scales the fibres.

We denote by
\[ t : \mathfrak{M}^G(\Pi_q) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^G(\tilde{Q}) \]
the inclusion of the substack of representations satisfying the preprojective algebra relations. Then \( t \) is also the inclusion of the set of points \( x \) for which \( r^{-1}(x) \subset \pi(\tilde{W})^{-1}(0) \). By the dimensional reduction theorem [11] Thm.A.1 there is a natural isomorphism
\[ t_* t^* \cong r_* q_{\text{sym}}^{\text{mon}} r^*. \]

Let \( S \) be a Serre subcategory of the category of \( \mathbb{C} \tilde{Q} \)-modules. We denote by \( \tilde{S} \) the Serre subcategory of the category of \( \mathbb{C} \tilde{Q} \)-modules \( \rho \) satisfying the condition that the underlying \( \mathbb{C} \bar{Q} \)-module of \( \rho \) is an object of \( S \).
As in [23] we define

\[ \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, \theta}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} := \omega \cdot \omega_1^{\phi_{\text{mon}}(\tilde{T})} \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, \theta}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \]

\[ \mathcal{R}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, \theta}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} := \mathcal{H}^G \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, \theta}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \]

\[ \mathcal{H}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, \theta}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} := \mathcal{H} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W, \theta}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta}, \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, \theta}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \right) \]

where the last two objects carry algebra structures via the diagram of correspondences [11].

3.2.1. Stability conditions and dimensional reduction. Via the isomorphism [22] there is a natural isomorphism

\[ r_* \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W}^G \cong t_* (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^G) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{Q}[(d,d)]/2}. \]

Applying \( \omega_{\text{red}}, \omega_1^{\text{red}} \) and base change to this isomorphism, gives a natural isomorphism

\[ (23) \quad r_* \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \cong \omega_{\text{red}} \cdot \omega_1^{\text{red}} \cdot t_* (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^G) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{Q}[(d,d)]/2}. \]

We would like to be able to incorporate stability conditions into isomorphism [23] but there is an obvious problem: far from being the projection from a total space of a vector bundle, the forgetful morphism from \( \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \) is not even defined! This is because the underlying \( \mathcal{C}Q \)-module of a \( \zeta \)-semistable \( \mathcal{C}Q \)-module may be unstable. On the way to resolving the problem, we define

\[ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}^\circ(\tilde{Q}) := r_{d}^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q})). \]

Then the morphism \( r_{d}^\circ: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}^\circ(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \) obtained by restricting \( r_d \) is the projection from the total space of a vector bundle, as required in the statement of the dimensional reduction theorem. We will resolve the above problem by use of the following helpful fact.

**Proposition 3.3.** [12] Lem.6.5] The critical locus of the function \( \Sigma(\tilde{W}) \) on \( \mathcal{M}^{\zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \) lies inside \( \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \). As a consequence, the support of \( \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \) is contained in \( \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \).

3.2.2. The absolute CoHA. Let

\[ \kappa: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}^\circ(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \]

be the inclusion. We define

\[ \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\circ, \tilde{S}, G, \zeta} := \kappa^* \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta}. \]

By dimensional reduction [22] there is an isomorphism

\[ (24) \quad r_{d, \circ}^*, \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\circ, \tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \cong \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta}, \]

and so there is an isomorphism of C-modules

\[ (25) \quad \mathcal{H} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}^\circ(\tilde{Q}), \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\circ, \tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \right) \cong \mathcal{H}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta}. \]

On the other hand by Proposition 3.3 we deduce that there are isomorphisms

\[ (26) \quad \mathcal{H} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}), \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \right) \cong \mathcal{H} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{Q}, W}^{G, \zeta-\text{ss}}(\tilde{Q}), \mathcal{D}T_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \right) \]

\[ = \mathcal{H}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta}. \]

Combining [26] and [25] yields the following isomorphism of C-modules in the category of \( \Lambda^\circ \)-graded, cohomologically graded mixed Hodge structures:

\[ (27) \quad \mathcal{H}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \cong \mathcal{H}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta}. \]

As such, \( \mathcal{H}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \) inherits a C-linear algebra structure from the algebra structure on \( \mathcal{H}A_{\tilde{Q}, W, d}^{\tilde{S}, G, \zeta} \).
3.2.3. The relative CoHA. In this section we lift the absolute CoHA constructed in \[3.2.2\] to an algebra structure on the object \(\mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, \mathfrak{θ}}^{S, G, \zeta}\) in the category \(\mathcal{D}MHM^{-1}(M_0^{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}))\).

We denote by \(\kappa': M_{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \to M_{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q})\) the inclusion of the subscheme of \(\mathbb{C}Q\)-modules for which the underlying \(\mathbb{C}Q\)-module is \(\mathfrak{l}\)-semistable, and we denote by \(r': M_{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \to M_{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q})\) the forgetful morphism. To make it easier to keep track of them all, we arrange some of the morphisms introduced in this section into a commutative diagram \[28\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q}) \\
\downarrow \mathcal{M}_{\zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) & & \downarrow \mathcal{M}_{\zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \\
\mathcal{M}_{\zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\kappa'} & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \\
{\mathcal{M}_{\zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q})} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}} & \mathcal{M}_{\zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \\
\end{array}
\]

By dimensional reduction there is a natural isomorphism \(29\)

\[
r'_! \kappa'^* \mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, d}^{S, G, \zeta} \cong \mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, d}^{S, G, \zeta}
\]

obtained via commutativity of the diagram \[28\]. Since \(\kappa'\) and \(r'\) are morphisms of monoids, the object \(\mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, d}^{S, G, \zeta}\) inherits an algebra structure, as promised in \[3.1\]. Furthermore, by Proposition \[3.3\] we obtain the first of the isomorphisms

\[
\mathcal{H}(M_{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}), \kappa'^* \mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, \mathcal{Q}}^{S, G, \zeta}) \cong \mathcal{H}(M_{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}), \mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, \mathcal{Q}}^{S, G, \zeta})
\]

(= \[24\]). The Hall algebra structure on \(\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_0, \mathfrak{θ}}^{S, G, \zeta}\) comes from applying \(\mathcal{H}\) to the Hall algebra structure on \(\mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, \mathfrak{θ}}^{S, G, \zeta}\), i.e. \(\mathcal{RA}_{\Pi_0, \mathfrak{θ}}^{S, G, \zeta}\) is a lift of the CoHA \(\mathcal{H}_{\Pi_0, \mathfrak{θ}}^{S, G, \zeta}\) to the category \(\mathcal{D}MHM^{-1}(M_0^{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}))\).

4. BPS sheaves on \(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q})\)

4.1. Generalities on the BPS sheaves for \((\mathcal{Q}, \tilde{W})\). Let \(Q\) be a quiver, then we define \(\tilde{Q}\) and \(\tilde{W}\) as in \[3.2\] and pick a stability condition \(\zeta \in Q_0^0\) and a slope \(\mathfrak{θ} \in Q_0^1\) as well as an extra gauge group \(G\) along with a homomorphism \(G \to \text{GL}_{\text{edge}}\) as in \[3.1\]. We furthermore pick a \(G\)-invariant Serre subcategory \(S\) of the category of \(\mathbb{C}Q\)-modules, satisfying Assumption \[3.2\] and define \(\tilde{S}\) as in \[3.2\]. Then \(\tilde{S}\) satisfies Assumption \[3.1\] via the isomorphism \[27\].

With this data fixed, we define the BPS sheaf

\[
\mathcal{BPS}_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W}, \theta}^{S, G, \zeta} \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{bir}}\mathbb{MHM}(M_0^{G, \zeta, \text{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}))
\]

as in \[14\]. If \(S = \mathbb{C}Q\)-mod, so \(\tilde{S} = \mathbb{C}Q\)-mod, this is a \(G\)-equivariant (monodromic) mixed Hodge module, otherwise, it may be a complex of monodromic mixed Hodge modules with cohomology in several degrees.

4.1.1. The 2d BPS sheaf. We define \(\text{GL}_{\text{edge}}\) as in \[10\]. We let \(\text{GL}_{\text{edge}}\) act on \(\mathbb{A}^1\) via the projection to \(\mathbb{C}_h^1\), and the weight -2 action of \(\mathbb{C}_h^1\) on \(\mathbb{A}^1\). The inclusion

\[
\mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathfrak{gl}_d
\]

\[
t \mapsto (t \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^d})_{i \in Q_0^0},
\]

along with the decomposition \[20\], induces a \(\text{GL}_{\text{edge}}\)-equivariant inclusion

\[
\mathfrak{d}_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{d}_{\tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}).
\]

\[\text{It is not hard to show that this is an open subscheme; we leave the proof to the reader.}\]

\[\text{We indicate the version where } G = \{1\}. \text{ In general, there should be } G \text{ superscripts everywhere.}\]
This induces the inclusion
\[ l : \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}) \times_{BG} \mathbb{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}). \]
We denote the projection by
\[ h : \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}) \times_{BG} \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}). \]

The following theorem is essentially proved in [12, Lem.4.1], though see [13] for the adjustments necessary to incorporate the additional data of \( S, G, \xi \).

**Theorem/Definition 4.1.** There exists an object
\[ BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \in D^{b, MHM}(\mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q})) \]
along with an isomorphism
\[ BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \cong l_*(h^* BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}). \]

In words, the theorem says that \( BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \) is supported on the locus containing those \( \mathbb{C}\tilde{Q} \)-modules for which all of the generalised eigenvalues of all of the operators \( \omega_i \) are the same complex number \( t \), and the sheaf does not depend on this complex number.

By (31) there is an isomorphism of \( \Lambda_0^G \)-graded, cohomologically graded mixed Hodge structures
\[ H\left( \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}), BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \cong H\left( \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}), BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \right). \]

**Definition 4.2.** We define the Lie algebra
\[ g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^{S, G, \xi} := H\left( \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}), BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \right). \]
The Lie algebra structure is induced by isomorphism (32) and the Lie algebra structure on
\[ g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^{S, G, \xi} \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}), BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2}. \]

Combining with (29) and (17) there is a PBW isomorphism
\[ \text{Sym}_B\left( g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^{S, G, \xi} \otimes H(BC^*, \mathbb{Q}) \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}A_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^{S, G, \xi}. \]

**Remark 4.3.** In contrast with (17) there is no half Tate twist in (33), and all of the terms in (33) are defined as mixed Hodge structures without any monodromy.

We note that the image of \( l \) lies within \( \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, ss}(\tilde{Q}) \), and thus there is an isomorphism
\[ r_*^! \kappa^* BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \cong BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \]
and so, via (29) and the PBW theorem (16), an isomorphism
\[ \text{Sym}_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^*( BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^S G, \xi \otimes H(BC^*, \mathbb{Q}) ) \cong \mathcal{R}A_{\Pi_{\varnothing}, 0}^{S, G, \xi} \]
lifting (34).

### 4.2. Restricted Kac polynomials

In this section we assume that \( G \) is trivial, so we drop it from the notation. Also, we will work with the degenerate stability condition \( \xi = (0, \ldots, 0) \) and slope \( \theta = 0 \), so that we may drop \( \xi \) and \( \theta \) from the notation too.

We recall the connection between the BPS Lie algebra
\[ g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}}^S := H\left( \mathcal{M}(\tilde{Q}), BPS_{\Pi_{\varnothing}}^S \right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2}. \]
and (restricted) Kac polynomials. In the case in which \( S = \mathbb{C}\tilde{Q} \)-mod, it is proved in [12] that \( g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}}^S \) is pure, of Tate type, and has vanishing even cohomology. Thus we have the equality of polynomials
\[ \chi_t(g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}}^S, d) = \chi_{wt}(g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}}^S, d). \]
By (35) there is an equality
\[ \chi_{wt}(g_{\Pi_{\varnothing}}^S, d) = a_{Q, d}(t^{-1}) \]
where $a_{Q,d}(t)$ is the Kac polynomial for $Q$, defined to be the polynomial such that if $q = p^r$ is a prime power, $a_{Q,d}(q)$ is the number of isomorphism classes of absolutely indecomposable $d$-dimensional $CQ$-modules. So combining (38) and (39) we deduce

\begin{equation}
X_t(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}) = a_{Q,d}(t^{-1}).
\end{equation}

Similarly, by [12, Sec.7.2] the mixed Hodge structures on $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}^{SN}, \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}^{SSN}$ are pure, of Tate type. In a little more detail, by purity of $H^*_\text{dR}(Q)$ and $H^*_\text{dR}(Q)$, proved in [9] Sec.4.3, along with the PBW theorem [14], we deduce that $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SN}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SSN}$ are pure of Tate type, since they are subobjects of pure mixed Hodge structures of Tate type.

There are analogues of the Kac polynomials for these Serre subcategories. We recall from [7] that a representation of $\text{SL}(n)$ is called 1-nilpotent if there is a flag $0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \ldots \subset V_r = \mathbb{C}^n$, for every $V_i$ $\in \text{GL}(V_i)$, for every $V_i$ $\in \text{GL}(V_i)$, for every $a$ an edge-loop at $i$. We define $a_{Q,d}(t)$ to be the polynomial counting the isomorphism classes of absolutely indecomposable 1-nilpotent $d$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q Q$-modules, and $a_{Q,d}(t)$ to be the analogous count of absolutely indecomposable nilpotent representations. Then by [7] (see also [12, Sec.7.2] for details on the passage to BPS cohomology) there are identities

\begin{align}
X_{\text{wt}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SN}) &= a_{Q,d}^{SN}(t) \\
X_{\text{wt}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SSN}) &= a_{Q,d}^{SSN}(t),
\end{align}

On the other hand since the mixed Hodge structures on $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SN}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SSN}$ are pure, their weight polynomials agree with their characteristic polynomials. So (38) and (39) yield

\begin{align}
X_t(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SN}) &= a_{Q,d}^{SN}(t) \\
X_t(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}^{SSN}) &= a_{Q,d}^{SSN}(t),
\end{align}

respectively.

4.2.1. Serre relation for BPS sheaves. Let $i, j \in Q_0$ be distinct elements of $Q_0$, and assume that $Q$ has no edge-loops at $i$. Let

\begin{equation}
d = (e + 1) \cdot 1_i + 1_j
\end{equation}

where $e$ is the number of edges between $i$ and $j$ in the underlying graph of $Q$. In Proposition 4.4 we prove a vanishing theorem for BPS sheaves, that strengthens the identity

\begin{equation}
H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q,d}) = 0
\end{equation}

resulting from the Serre relations in $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ (see [6,3 below]). Firstly we will need a proposition due to Yukinobu Toda:

Proposition 4.4. [11, Lem.4.7] Let $Q'$ be a symmetric quiver, let $W' \in \mathbb{C}Q'_{\text{cyc}}$ be a superpotential, let $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}}$ be a stability condition, and let $d \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$ be a dimension vector. Let

\begin{equation}
g_d : M_{d,\xi}^{\text{cyc}}(Q') \to M_d(Q)
\end{equation}

be the affinization map. Then there is an isomorphism

\begin{equation}
g_{d,1}(BPS_{Q'}^{\xi, W', d} \cong BPS_{Q', W', d}.
\end{equation}

Proposition 4.5. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}}$ be an arbitrary stability condition, let $S$ be arbitrary, and let $d = (e + 1) \cdot 1_i + 1_j$ with $i, j$ as above. There is an identity in $\text{MHM}(M_{d,\xi}^{\text{cyc}}(Q))$

\begin{equation}
BPS_{Q, \Pi_Q, d} = 0.
\end{equation}

Proof. Since $BPS_{Q, \Pi_Q, d} = \varphi_{\text{red}} \circ \varphi_{\text{red}} BPS_{Q, \Pi_Q, d}^{G, \xi}$, it is sufficient to prove (41) under the assumption that $S = \mathbb{C}Q_{\text{mod}}$. In addition, we may assume that $G$ is trivial, since a $G$-equivariant perverse sheaf is trivial if and only if the underlying perverse sheaf is.

By Theorem/Definition 1.1 we may equivalently prove that $BPS_{Q, \Pi_Q, d} = 0$. There are three cases to consider:

1. $\xi_i < \xi_j$
2. $\xi_i = \xi_j$
3. $\xi_i > \xi_j$.
The proofs for (1) and (3) are the same, while (2) follows from (1) and the identity
\[ B\text{PS}_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W}, d} \cong \left( \mathcal{M}^{\xi \text{-ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d(\tilde{Q}) \right) \cdot B\text{PS}_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W}, d}^\xi, \]
which is a special case of Proposition 4.4. So we concentrate on (1).

We claim that
\[ \mathfrak{M}^{\xi \text{-ss}}(\tilde{Q}) \cap \text{crit}(\mathcal{T}(\tilde{W})) = \emptyset. \]
We first note that a point in the left hand side of (15) represents a \( \xi \)-semistable \( \text{Jac}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W}) \)-module. By Proposition 3.3, the underlying \( \Pi \) that \( \mathfrak{H} \) supports, and the fact that a variety can be stratified into smooth pieces, it follows that \( m < n \) for all integers \( m < n \).

Similarly, we say that \( \mathcal{G} \) is pure above if (46) holds for all \( m < n \). I.e. purity is the combination of being pure above and pure below.

For example, if \( X \) is a smooth variety then \( H(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) is pure below (considered as a mixed Hodge module on a point), while if \( X \) is projective, \( H(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) is pure above. By Poincaré duality, it follows that \( H_*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) is pure above if \( X \) is smooth. From the long exact sequence in compactly supported cohomology, and the fact that a variety can be stratified into smooth pieces, it follows that \( H_*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \) is pure above for all varieties \( X \). We will use the following generalisation of this fact.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a finite type stack. Let \( p: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \) be a morphism of stacks. Then \( p_! \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{X} \) is pure above.

**Proof.** Since \( p_! \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{X} \) only depends on the reduced structure of \( \mathcal{X} \), we may assume that \( \mathcal{X} \) is reduced. We first claim that \( \mathcal{X} \) can be written as a disjoint union \( \mathcal{X} = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}_i \) of locally closed smooth substacks, where \( I = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) is ordered so that \( \mathcal{X}_i \) is open inside \( \mathcal{X}_\leq i := \bigsqcup_{j \leq i} \mathcal{X}_j \).

This follows from the fact that \( \mathcal{X}_{\text{sm}} \) is smooth and dense inside the reduced stack \( \mathcal{X} \), Noetherian induction, and our assumption that \( \mathcal{X} \) is of finite type.

For \( q \) a morphism of varieties, \( q_* \) decreases weights. Since, for \( q: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}' \) a morphism of stacks, \( q_* \) is still defined in terms of morphisms of varieties, it still decreases weights. Thus \( q_! \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{Z} \) is pure above if \( \mathcal{Z} \) is smooth.

We define
\[ p_i: \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \]
\[ p_{\leq i}: \mathcal{X}_{\leq i} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \]
to be the restrictions of \( p \). Under our assumptions on \( \mathcal{X} \), there are distinguished triangles
\[ p_! \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow p_{\leq i!} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_{\leq i}} \rightarrow p_{\leq i}^* p_{\leq i-1!} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_{\leq i-1}}. \]
The first term is pure above, the last term is pure above by induction on \( i \), and so the middle term is pure above, by the long exact sequence in cohomology. In particular, since \( p_{\leq n} = p \), we deduce that \( p_! \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{X} \) is pure above.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following purity theorem.
Theorem 4.7. The mixed Hodge module

\[ \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{W}^{\xi, \zeta} \in \text{MHM}(\mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})) \]

is pure.

Proof. Setting

\[ q: \mathcal{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{G, \xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q}) \]

to be the quotient map, we have

\[ \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{Q, \tilde{W}}^{\xi, \zeta} \cong q^{*} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{Q, \tilde{W}}^{G, \xi, \zeta} \]

and so it is enough to prove that

\[ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{Q, \tilde{W}}^{\xi, \zeta} \]

is pure, i.e. we can assume that \( G = \{1\} \). Recall that

\[ \mathcal{F} \cong \phi^{\text{mon}}_{\text{Tr}(W)} \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})} \]

Since \( \phi^{\text{mon}}_{\text{Tr}(W)} \) commutes with Verdier duality, and \( \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})} \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})} \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})} \), there is an isomorphism

\[ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})} \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F} \]

and so the existence of the isomorphism (47) implies that for \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have

\[ \text{Gr}_{W}^{m}(H^{n} \mathcal{F}) \neq 0 \]

if and only if

\[ \text{Gr}_{W}^{-m}(H^{-n} \mathcal{F}) \neq 0 \]

In particular, \( \mathcal{F} \) is pure below if and only if it is pure above. Since by (41) we may write

\[ \mathcal{F} \cong l_{*}(\mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{W}^{\xi, \zeta} \boxtimes \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{A}^{1}}) \]

and \( \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{A}^{1}} \) is pure, we deduce that the same symmetry of impurity holds for \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{W}^{\xi, \zeta} \):

\* \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{W}^{\xi, \zeta} \) is pure below if and only if it is pure above.

We will complete the proof by showing that \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{W}^{\xi, \zeta} \) is pure below. We again consider the commutative diagram (28). By (21) there is an isomorphism

\[ r_{*}^{\mathcal{K}^{*}} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}^{\xi, \zeta}_{Q, \tilde{W}} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(d, d)}/2} \]

and thus an isomorphism

\[ (49) \quad r_{*}^{\mathcal{K}^{*}} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{K}^{*}} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{T}^{\xi, \zeta}_{Q, \tilde{W}} \cong \mathcal{J} \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{K}^{*}} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(d, d)}/2} \]

Applying Verdier duality to the right hand side of (19), we get

\[ (50) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{K}^{*}} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(d, d)}/2} \cong \mathcal{J} \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{K}^{*}} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(d, d)}} \]

The Tate twist comes from the calculations

\[ \dim(\mathfrak{M}^{\xi, \zeta}(\mathcal{Q})) = -\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(d, d)} - d \cdot d \]

\[ \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(d, d)} = d \cdot d + \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{(d, d)}/2. \]
By Lemma 4.6 the isomorphic objects of (50) are pure above, and thus the objects of (49) are pure below. On the other hand, there are isomorphisms

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau^* \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \kappa^* \mathcal{D}^c_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}} & \cong \tau^* \kappa^* \Sym_{\mathcal{B}} \left( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^c \otimes \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{vir}} \right) \\
& \cong \Sym_{\mathcal{B}} \left( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^c \otimes \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Q})_{\text{vir}} \otimes \mathcal{L} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where we have used the PBW theorem (16), and the fact that \( \tau^* \) and \( \kappa^* \) are morphisms of monoids to commute them past \( \Sym_{\mathcal{B}} \). Combining (49) and (51) there is an inclusion

\[
\mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^c \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \nu_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}} \nu_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^* \nu_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\chi_2(d, d)/2}.
\]

We deduce that \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^c \) is pure below, and thus also pure above by (\(*\)).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 4.8.** The BPS sheaf

\[
\mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^{G, \mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M}^G(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Q}))
\]

is pure.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 4.7 and the isomorphism (51). \(\square\)

The purity statement of Theorem 4.4 is a special case of the following corollary of Theorem 4.4.

**Corollary 4.9.** The underlying objects of the relative CoHas in \( \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \), i.e.

\[
\mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^{G, \mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \quad \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^{G, \mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q})
\]

respectively, are pure. In particular, applying Verdier duality to the first of these statements, and taking the appropriate Tate twist, the complex of mixed Hodge modules

\[
\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \nu_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}} \nu_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}}^* \nu_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\chi_2(d, d)/2}
\]

is pure.

**Proof.** These purity statements follow from Theorem 4.7 and Corollary 4.8 respectively, via (52). \(\square\)

**Remark 4.10.** Given that the morphism

\[
\mathcal{J} \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q})
\]

is approximated by proper maps (in the sense of [14]) and thus sends pure monodromic mixed Hodge modules to pure monodromic mixed Hodge modules (see [13]), it might feel natural, in light of Corollary 4.7 to conjecture that \( \mathcal{D}^c_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{W}} \) is a pure monodromic mixed Hodge module on \( \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \). However this statement turns out to be false. For example in the case of the Jordan quiver, \( G = \{1\} \) \( \zeta = (0, \ldots, 0) \) and \( d = 4 \), impurity follows from the main result of [16]. It seems that purity goes no “higher” than BPS sheaves.

5. The less perverse filtration

5.1. The Hall algebra in \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q})) \). We consider the following diagram, where the top three rows are defined from diagram (11) (substituting \( \mathcal{Q} \) for \( \mathcal{Q} \) there) by pulling back along the open embeddings

\[
\kappa : \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q})
\]

\[
\kappa' : \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}) \to \mathcal{M}_0^{G, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{ss}}(\mathcal{Q}).
\]
5.2. The relative Lie algebra in $\text{MHM}^G(M_{\emptyset}^{\text{ss}}(Q))$. By Theorem 2.2 there is a split inclusion
\[ BPS_{\emptyset}^G(\mathcal{Q}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}A_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}}. \]

The commutator Lie bracket provides a morphism
\[ [\cdot, \cdot] : \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} = \tau_{\leq 2}^{G} \mathcal{R}A_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}}, \]
and by Theorem 2.2 again, the target $\mathcal{T}$ fits into a split triangle
\[ \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{G, 2}(\mathcal{T}), \]
and the composition
\[ \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{G, 2}(\mathcal{T}) \]
is the zero morphism. The commutator Lie bracket thus induces a morphism
\[ [\cdot, \cdot] : \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \rightarrow \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \]
and applying $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{G} 1 \mathcal{K}$ we obtain the Lie bracket
\[ [\cdot, \cdot] : \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \rightarrow \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}}. \]

This is a Lie algebra object inside the category $\text{MHM}^G(M_{\emptyset}^{\text{ss}}(Q))$, from which we obtain $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G}$ by applying $\mathcal{H}$. Likewise, applying $\mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0} \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0}$ to $\left( \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \right)$ we obtain a Lie algebra structure on the object $\text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \in \mathcal{D}^b \text{MHM}^G(M_{\emptyset}^{\text{ss}}(Q))$, which becomes the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}}$ after applying $\mathcal{H}$.

5.3. Definition of the filtration. By base change, the algebra morphism $\left( \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0} \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0} \right)$ is given by applying $\mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0} \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0}$ to
\[ \mathcal{R}A_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}A_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}}, \]
a morphism in $\mathcal{D}^b \text{MHM}^G(M_{\emptyset}^{\text{ss}}(Q))$. Furthermore by $\left( \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0} \mathfrak{w}_{\mathcal{L}}^{G, 0} \right)$ there is an isomorphism
\[ \mathcal{R}A_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \cong \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{L}} \left( \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(B^*, Q) \right). \]
Since $\text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \in \text{MHM}^G(M_{\emptyset}^{\text{ss}}(Q))$ there is an isomorphism
\[ \mathcal{H}(\text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(B^*, Q)) \cong \text{BPS}_{\Pi_{\emptyset}, 0}^{G, \mathcal{Q}} \otimes \mathcal{H}(B^*, Q) \]
(i.e. the right hand side is isomorphic to its total cohomology) and so also an isomorphism
\[
\text{Sym}_{\Pi_0}^G \left( BPS_{\Pi_0}^G, H(BC^*, Q) \right) \cong H \left( \text{Sym}_{\Pi_0}^G \left( BPS_{\Pi_0}^G, H(BC^*, Q) \right) \right).
\]

We could alternatively have deduced the existence of this isomorphism from the purity of the left hand side of (57). It follows that for every \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \) the morphism
\[
\tau^G_\leq p R \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0, 0}^G, \zeta \rightarrow R \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0, 0}^G, \zeta
\]
has a left inverse \( \alpha_p \), so that \( H \mathcal{L}^G_{\leq p} \cdot \mathcal{L}^G_{\leq p} \cdot \alpha_p \) provides a left inverse to the morphism
\[
H \left( \mathcal{M}^G_0, \zeta \right), \tau^G_\leq p \mathcal{L}^G_{\leq p} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0, 0}^G, \zeta
\]
Thus the objects
\[
\mathcal{L}^G_{\leq p} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0, 0}^G, \zeta := H \left( \mathcal{M}^G_0, \zeta, \tau^G_\leq p \mathcal{L}^G_{\leq p} \right)
\]
provide an ascending filtration of \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0, 0}^{G, \zeta, \zeta} \), the less perverse filtration.

5.3.1. A warning. A variant of [13, Warning 5.5] is in force here; if \( S \) is not the entire category \( CQ \)-mod, the perverse filtration that we have defined here may be quite different from the perverse filtration given by applying perverse truncation functors to \( JH_{\text{red}, *}DT_{\Pi_0, 0}^{G, \zeta, \zeta} \). For instance, let \( Q \) be the Jordan quiver, with one loop, and consider the Serre subcategory \( SSN \). Then one may easily verify that
\[
JH_{\text{red}, *}DT_{\Pi_0, 0}^{SSN, \zeta} \cong i_0Q_{\zeta, 1} \oplus H(BC^*, Q)
\]
where \( i : A^1 \hookrightarrow A^2 \) is the inclusion of a coordinate hyperplane. In particular, the zeroth perverse cohomology of (58) is zero, while if instead we apply \( \mathcal{L}^G_{\leq p} \mathcal{L}^G_{\leq p} \) to the zeroth cohomology of
\[
JH_{\text{red}, *}DT_{\Pi_0, 1} \cong Q_{\zeta, 2} \oplus H(BC^*, Q) \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1}
\]
we get the (shifted) mixed Hodge module \( Q_{\zeta, 1} \), and we find
\[
\mathcal{L}^G_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0, 1}^{SSN, \zeta} \cong H(A^1, Q) \neq 0.
\]
This distinction between the two choices of filtration on \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0}^{SSN, \zeta} \) is crucial in [13, 4].

5.4. Deformed dimensional reduction. We can generalise the results of this paper, incorporating deformed potentials as introduced in [15]. We indicate how this goes in this section. We will not use this generalisation of the less perverse filtration, except in the statement of Corollary 6.4 and the example of [7, 2].

Let \( W_0 \in C^G_{\text{cyc}} \) be a \( G \)-invariant linear combination of cyclic words in \( \mathcal{C} \). We make the assumption that there is a grading of the arrows of \( \tilde{Q} \) so that \( \tilde{W} + W_0 \) is quasihomogeneous of positive degree. Then in [15] it was shown that there is a natural isomorphism
\[
r_* H^G_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W} + W_0} \cong \phi^G_{\text{mon}}(r_*) JH^G_{\text{red}, *} DT^G_{\Pi_0, 1}.
\]
In particular, since \( \phi^G_{\text{mon}}(r_*) \) is exact, and \( JH^G_{\text{red}, *} DT^G_{\Pi_0, 1} \) is pure by Theorem [13] there is an isomorphism
\[
r_* H^G_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W} + W_0} \cong H \left( r_* H^G_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W} + W_0} \right)
\]
and so \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W} + W_0}^G \) carries a less perverse filtration, defined in the same way as the less perverse filtration for \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W}}^G \). As in (5.2) we obtain a Lie algebra structure on \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W}}^G \)
\[
r_* BPS^G_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{W} + W_0} \cong \phi^G_{\text{mon}}(r_*) BPS^G_{\Pi_0, 0}
\]
which recovers the BPS Lie algebra
\[
\mathcal{B}_{\Pi_0, 0}^G, W_0 := \mathcal{B}_{\Pi_0, 0}^G, \tilde{W} + W_0
\]
after applying \( H \).

\footnote{This isomorphism is given in [13].}
For a final layer of generality, for $S$ a Serre subcategory of $CQ$-mod and $\overline{W} + W_0$ a quasi-homogeneous potential as above, one may consider the object $\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0} := \varpi_{red} \mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}$ and the associated Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$ which carries a (less) perverse filtration defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) := \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$$

with 2d BPS sheaf

$$B\mathcal{P}S^G_{\Pi_0,W_0} = \tau_0 B\mathcal{P}S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \cong \varpi_{red} \varphi_{red} \Phi_{\tau_1(W_0)} B\mathcal{P}S^G_{\Pi_0}$$

and associated BPS Lie algebra $S^G_{\Pi_0,W_0} = H(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}), B\mathcal{P}S^G_{\Pi_0,W_0})$. Even at this maximal level of generality, we find that the spherical Lie subalgebra is a Kac-Moody Lie algebra, by Corollary 6.7.

6. The zeroth piece of the filtration

6.1. The subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$. By (55), the less perverse filtration on $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$ begins in degree zero, and thus the subobject

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$$

is closed under the CoHA multiplication. It turns out that this subalgebra has a very natural description in terms of the BPS Lie algebra, completing the proof of Theorem A.

**Theorem 6.1.** There is an isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) \cong U_B(g^G_{\Pi_0,0}).$$

**Proof.** Applying $\tau_0$ to the isomorphism (55) in the special case $S = CQ$-mod yields the isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{G}^G_{\Pi_0,0}}(B\mathcal{P}S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) \cong \mathcal{L}_{\leq 0}(R\mathcal{A}_{\Pi_0,0}^G)$$

defined via the relative CoHA multiplication. Now applying $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$ to (60) we obtain the isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}_B(g^G_{\Pi_0,0}) \cong \mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}).$$

By Proposition 2.4, the image of the induced embedding

$$\text{Sym}_B(g^G_{\Pi_0,0}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$$

is precisely the subalgebra $U_B(g^G_{\Pi_0,0})$. \(\Box\)

6.1.1. The perverse filtration $\mathcal{P}_{< \mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})}$. Since via (27) there is an inclusion

$$I: \mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$$

and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$ carries the “more” perverse filtration $\mathcal{P}_{< \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})}$ defined in (14), we obtain a perverse filtration on $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})$ itself, for which the $i$th piece is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) \cap I^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{< \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0})}).$$

Writing

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2}$$

we obtain the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_S^G_{\overline{W} + W_0}) \cong U_B(g^G_{\Pi_0,0}).$$
we have that
\[\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathcal{H}_A^{S,G,\zeta,\theta} \cap I^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}^F_{\mathfrak{n},O} \mathcal{H}_A^{\tilde{S},G,\zeta,\theta}\right) \cong \mathbb{H} \omega' \otimes_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^{n}(\mathcal{BPS}_{Q,\tilde{W},\theta}^{\tilde{S},G,\zeta} \otimes L^{1/2})\]
\[\cong \mathbb{H} \alpha' \otimes \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \left(\text{Sym}^{i}(\mathcal{BPS}_{Q,\tilde{W},\theta}^{\tilde{S},G,\zeta} \otimes L^{1/2})\right)\]
\[\cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \left(\text{Sym}^{i} \left(\mathcal{BPS}_{Q,\tilde{W},\theta}^{\tilde{S},G,\zeta} \otimes L^{1/2}\right)\right)\]

We deduce the following

**Proposition 6.2.** Under the isomorphism \([59]\), the perverse filtration \([61]\) is sent to the order filtration on the universal enveloping algebra \(U_B(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_{Q},\theta})\).

6.2. **Nakajima quiver varieties.** As preparation for the proof of Theorem 6.6 below, we recall some fundamental results regarding the action of \(\mathcal{H}_A^{\Pi_{Q}}\) on the cohomology of Nakajima quiver varieties, recasting these results in terms of vanishing cycle cohomology along the way.

6.2.1. **Nakajima quiver varieties as critical loci.** Given a quiver \(Q\) and a dimension vector \(d \in \mathbb{N}_{Q_{0}}\), we define the quiver \(Q_f\) by adding one vertex \(\infty\) to the vertex set \(Q_{0}\), and for each vertex \(i \in Q_{0}\) we add \(f_i\) arrows \(a_{i,1}, \ldots, a_{i,f_i}\) with source \(\infty\) and target \(i\).

Given a dimension vector \(d \in \mathbb{N}_{Q_{0}}\) we denote by \(d^+\) the dimension vector for \(Q_f\) defined by

- \(d^+|_{Q_{0}} = d\)
- \(d^+_\infty = 1\).

From the quiver \(Q_f\) we form the quiver \(\tilde{Q}_f\) via the tripling construction of \([32]\). For each \(i \in Q_{0}\) there are \(f_i\) arrows \(a_{i,1}^+, \ldots, a_{i,f_i}^+\) in \((\tilde{Q}_f)_1\) with source \(i\) and target \(\infty\). We denote by \(\tilde{W}_f\) the canonical cubic potential for \(\tilde{Q}_f\). We form \(Q^+\) by removing the loop \(\omega_{\infty}\) from \(\tilde{Q}_f\), and form \(W^+\) from \(\tilde{W}_f\) by removing all paths containing \(\omega_{\infty}\). So in symbols

\[W^+ = \left(\sum_{i \in Q_{0}} \left([a, a^+] + \sum_{m=1}^{f_i} a_{i,m} a_{i,m}^+\right)\right) \left(\sum_{i \in Q_{0}} \omega_i\right)\]

We define the stability condition \(\zeta \in Q_{Q_{0}}^+\) by setting \(\zeta_i = 0\) for \(i \in Q_{0}\) and \(\zeta_{\infty} = 1\). Then a \(d^+\)-dimensional \(\mathbb{C}Q^+\)-module \(\rho\) is \(\zeta^+\)-stable if and only if it is \(\zeta^+\)-semistable. This occurs if and only if the vector space \(e_{\infty} \cdot \rho \cong C\) generates \(\rho\) under the action of \(\mathbb{C}Q^+\).

We define the fine moduli space

\[\mathcal{M}_{f,d}(Q) = \mathfrak{h}_{d^+}^{\zeta^+}(Q^+)/GL_d,\]

which carries the function \(\mathcal{T}_f(W^+_d)\).

Following Nakajima \([38]\), we define \(\mathcal{M}(f,d) = \mathfrak{h}_{d^+}^{\zeta^+}(\tilde{Q}_f)/GL_d\) to be intersection with the \(GL_d\)-quotient of the zero set of the moment map

\[\mathfrak{a}(Q) \times \mathfrak{h}(Q^p) \times \prod_{i \in Q_{0}} ((\mathbb{C}d_i)f_i) - \prod_{i \in Q_{0}} ((\mathbb{C}d_i)f_i)^* \rightarrow gl_d\]

\[\langle A, A^*, I, J \rangle \mapsto [A, A^*] + IJ.\]

We define the embedding \(\iota: \mathcal{M}(f,d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{f,d}(Q)\) by extending a \(\mathbb{C}Q_f\)-module to a \(\mathbb{C}Q^+\) module, setting the action of each of the \(\omega_i\) for \(i \in Q_{0}\) to be zero. If \(\mathcal{M}(f,d) \neq \emptyset\) then

\[\dim(\mathcal{M}(f,d)) = 2f \cdot d - 2c_Q(d,d)\]

**Proposition 6.3.** There is an equality of subschemes

\[\text{crit}(\mathcal{T}_f(W^+_d)) = \mathcal{M}(f,d).\]
Moreover, there is an isomorphism of mixed Hodge module.\footnote{These are indeed mixed Hodge modules, since by \cite{K} there are an even number of half Tate twists in the definition \cite{K} of the right hand side of \eqref{f2}.}

\begin{equation}
\phi_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}_{d_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(Q) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(f_d),
\end{equation}

so that, in particular, there is an isomorphism of mixed Hodge structures

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(Q), \phi_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}_{d_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(Q)\right) \cong \mathcal{H}(M(f_d), Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{Q}(d_d - r_d).
\end{equation}

Proof. The ( Verdier dual of the) isomorphism \cite{IC} is constructed in \cite[Thm.6.6]{IC} via dimensional reduction, so we just need to prove the other parts of the proposition.

Let $c$ be an arrow from $\infty$ to $i$ in $Q^+$. Then

\begin{align*}
\partial W^+ / \partial c &= \omega_i c \\
\partial W^+ / \partial c &= c^* \omega_i.
\end{align*}

For an arrow in $Q_1$ we have

\begin{align*}
\partial W / \partial a^+ &= \omega_{(a)} a - a \omega_{(a)} \\
\partial W / \partial a^+ &= a^* \omega_{(a)} - \omega_{(a)} a^*.
\end{align*}

Putting these facts together, we have an isomorphism of algebras

\begin{equation}
\text{Jac}(Q^+, W^+) \cong \Pi \mathbb{R} \omega e_{\infty} = \{e_{\infty} \omega e_{\infty} = 0\}
\end{equation}

where \( \omega = \sum_{i \in Q^+_0} \omega_i \).

We consider the fine moduli space

\[ \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{A}_{d^+}^{+} / \mathcal{G}_{d^+}. \]

Then the critical locus of \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(f_d) \) is identified with the total space of the tautological line bundle on \( M(f_d) \) for which the fibre over \( \rho \) is the space of endomorphisms of \( \rho \). By \cite{IC}, \( \text{crit}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}) \) is the zero section, i.e. it is \( M(f_d) \).

Since the (scheme-theoretic) critical locus of \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(f_d) \) is smooth, by the holomorphic Bott–Morse lemma this function can be written analytically locally (on \( M(f_d) \)) as

\[ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(f_d) = x_1 + \ldots + x_c \]

where \( c \) is the codimension of \( M(f_d) \) inside \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(f_d) \), and so \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}(f_d) \) is analytically locally isomorphic to \( \mathcal{A}_{d^+}^{+} / \mathcal{G}_{d^+} \). In particular, as a perverse sheaf it is locally isomorphic to \( \mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\overline{Tr}^{(W')}}}(2f_d - 2\chi_{Q}(d_d - d_d)) \), and is thus determined by its monodromy.\footnote{This is the monodromy around \( M(f_d) \) and is unrelated to the “monodromic” in “monodromic mixed Hodge module”.
}

6.2.2. \textit{CoHA modules from framed representations}. There is a general construction, producing modules for cohomological Hall algebras out of moduli spaces of framed quiver representations, see \cite{IC} for a related example and discussion. We recall the variant relevant to us.

Let \( d' \in \mathbb{N}^Q_0 \) be dimension vectors, with \( d = d' + d'' \). We define

\[ \mathcal{A}_{d'}^{+} / \mathbb{C}^{d'}(Q^+) \subset \mathcal{A}_{d'}^{+} / \mathbb{C}^{d'}(Q^+) \]

to be the subspace of \( \mathbb{C}^{d'} \)-modules \( \rho \) such that the underlying \( \mathbb{C}Q^+ \)-module of \( \rho \) preserves the \( Q_0 \)-graded flag

\begin{equation}
0 \subset \mathbb{C}d' \subset \mathbb{C}d
\end{equation}
and for every arrow $c^*$ with $t(c^*) = \infty$ we have $\rho(c^*) (\mathbb{C}^d) = 0$. Given such a $\rho$ we obtain a short exact sequence

\[(67)\quad 0 \to \rho' \to \rho \to \rho'' \to 0\]

where $\dim(\rho') = (d', 0)$ and $\dim(\rho'') = d''$. We set

$$M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) := \mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{C}^d}_{d', d''}(Q^+) / GL_{d', d''}$$

where $GL_{d', d''} \subset GL_d$ is the subgroup preserving the flag (66). There are morphisms

$$\pi_1 : M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) \to M_{d'}(Q)$$
$$\pi_2 : M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) \to M_{f, d}(Q)$$
$$\pi_2 : M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) \to M_{f, d'}(Q)$$

taking a point representing the short exact sequence (67) to $\rho', \rho, \rho''$ respectively.

Then in the correspondence diagram

$$\pi_1 \times \pi_3$$

$$\pi_2$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{d'}(Q) \times M_{f, d'}(Q)$$

$$M_{f, d}(Q)$$

the morphism $\pi_2$ is a proper morphism between smooth varieties, so that as in [23] we can use it to define a pushforward in critical cohomology. Taking some care of the twists, we consider the morphisms of mixed Hodge modules

$$\alpha : \bigotimes M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\otimes \to (\pi_1 \times \pi_3)^* \bigotimes M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\otimes$$
$$\beta : \pi_2^* \bigotimes M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\otimes \to \bigotimes M_{f, d}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{X_Q(d, d) - f} d$$

where

$$\bigotimes = X_Q(d', d') + X_Q(d'', d'') - f \cdot d'$$

and $\beta$ is the Verdier dual of

$$\bigotimes \bigotimes \bigotimes M_{f, d}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{X_Q(d, d) - f} d \to \pi_2^* \bigotimes M_{f, d', a'^*}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{X_Q(d, d) - f} d$$

For $d, f \in N_Q$ we define

$$H N^*_{f, d} := H \left( M_{f, d}(Q), \phi_{\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{C}^d}}^{\text{mon}} \right)$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{H} N^*_{f, d} := \bigoplus_{d \in N_Q} H N^*_{f, d}$$

Applying $\phi_{\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{C}^d}}^{\text{mon}}$ and taking hypercohomology, via the Thom–Sebastiani isomorphism we obtain a morphism

$$H(\phi_{\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{C}^d}}^{\text{mon}}) \circ H(\mathcal{H} N^*_{f, d})$$

endowing $\mathcal{H} N^*_{f, d}$ with the structure of a $\mathcal{H} A_{\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{C}^d}}$-module. By Proposition 5.3 there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H} N^*_{f, d} \cong H(M(f, d), Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{X_Q(d, d) - f} d$$

Here we have used the calculation

$$\dim(M_{f, d}(Q)) = -X_Q(d, d) + 2f \cdot d$$

along with (68). As such, we obtain an action of $H A_{\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{C}^d}} \cong H A_{\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{C}^d}}$ on the cohomology of Nakajima quiver varieties.
Remark 6.4. Let $M^S(f, d) \subset M(f, d)$ be the subvariety for which the underlying $\overline{Q}$-module of $\rho$ lies in some Serre subcategory $S$. Applying exceptional restriction functors to the above morphisms of mixed Hodge modules, we may likewise define an action of $H^A_{\Pi Q}$ on

$$\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}^\sigma_0} H^BM(M^S(f, d), \overline{Q}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{f}d-\chi_Q(d, d),$$

although we will make no use of this generalisation here.

6.2.3. Kac–Moody Lie algebras and quiver varieties. For the rest of §6.2 we assume that $Q$ has no edge-loops. Let $i \in Q_0$ be a vertex and let $1_i \in \mathbb{N}^\sigma_0$ be the basis vector for the vertex $i$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\Psi_i: H^A_{\overline{Q}, \overline{W}, 1_i} \cong H(A^1/\mathbb{C}^*, Q) \cong Q[u]$$

and we set

$$(68) \quad \alpha_i := \Psi_i^{-1}(1) \in H^A_{\overline{Q}, \overline{W}, 1_i}.$$ 

The action of $\alpha_i$ provides a morphism

$$\alpha_i^*: H\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}, d} \to H\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}, d+1}.$$ 

Consider the semisimplification map $JH: M_{\mathfrak{f}, a}(Q) \to M_{\mathfrak{d}^+}(Qf)$. Following Lusztig [30] we consider the Lagrangian subvariety

$$L(f, d) = JH^{-1}(0).$$

There is a contracting $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $M(f, d)$, contracting it onto the projective variety $L(f, d)$, and so we obtain the first in the sequence of isomorphisms

$$H(M(f, d), Q) \cong H(L(f, d), Q) \cong H_c(L(f, d), Q) \cong H^BM(L(f, d), Q)^*.$$ 

Note that since $L(f, d)$ is Lagrangian, its top degree compactly supported cohomology is in degree

$$\dim(M(f, d)) = 2f \cdot d - 2\chi_Q(d, d).$$

Setting

$$H\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}, d} = H^BM(L(f, d), Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{f}d-\chi_Q(d, d)$$

and

$$H\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} := \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}^\sigma_0} H\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}, d},$$

we deduce that there is a $H^A_{\Pi Q}$ action on $H\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ by lowering operators, for which $(\alpha_i^*)^*$ is the lowering operator constructed by Nakajima. By the degree bound on the cohomology of $H_c(L(f, d), Q)$,

$$H^i\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}, d} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 0 \\ \mathbb{Q} \cdot \{\text{top-dimensional components of } L(f, d)\} & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

The main theorem regarding the operators $(\alpha_i^*)^*$ is the following part of Nakajima’s work.

Theorem 6.5. [37, 38] There is an action of the Kac–Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on each $H\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ sending the generators $f_i$ for $i \in Q_0$ to the operators $(\alpha_i^*)^*$. With respect to this $\mathfrak{g}Q$ action, the submodule $H^0\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{f}, d}$ is the irreducible highest weight module with highest weight $\mathfrak{f}$.

The original statement of Nakajima’s theorem does not involve any vanishing cycles, i.e. it only involves the right hand side of the isomorphism (64). Likewise, the correspondences considered in [37, 38] come from an action of the Borel–Moore homology of the stack of $\Pi_Q$-representations, not from the critical cohomology of the stack of $\Pi_Q$-representations. For the compatibility between the two actions via the dimensional reduction isomorphisms (64) and (27) see e.g. [53, Sec.4].
6.3. The subalgebra $\Sigma_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi Q}$. In this section we concentrate on the case in which $S = \mathbb{C}Q$-mod, $G$ is trivial and $\zeta = (0, \ldots, 0)$ is the degenerate stability condition (i.e. we essentially do not consider stability conditions). Note that by \eqref{eq:lie}, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q}$ is concentrated in cohomological degrees less than or equal to zero, and so by \eqref{eq:lie} there is an isomorphism
\begin{equation}
\Sigma_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi Q} \cong U(H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q})).
\end{equation}
We thus reduce the problem to calculating $H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q})$. By \eqref{eq:lie} again, there is an equality
\begin{equation}
\dim(H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q}, d)) = a_{Q,d}(0).
\end{equation}
If $d_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in Q_0$ for which there is an edge-loop $b$, there is a free action of $\mathbb{F}_q$ on the set of absolutely indecomposable $d$-dimensional $\mathbb{C}Q$-modules, defined by
\[
z \cdot \rho(a) = \begin{cases} \rho(a) + z \cdot \text{id}_{e_i} & \text{if } a = b \\ \rho(a) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
and thus $a_{Q,d}(0) = 0$, and so $H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q}, d) = 0$. It follows that if $d_i \neq 0$ for any vertex $i$ supporting an edge loop, then $\Sigma_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi Q, d} = 0$.

We define $Q'$, the real subquiver of $Q$, to be the full subquiver of $Q$ containing those vertices of $Q$ that do not support any edge-loops, along with all arrows between these vertices. From the above considerations, we deduce that the morphism of algebras in $\mathcal{DMHM}^-(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q}))$
\[
\mathcal{R} A_{\Pi Q} \to \mathcal{R} A_{\Pi Q}
\]
becomes an isomorphism after applying $\Sigma_{\leq 0} H^0$.

Hausel’s (first) famous theorem regarding Kac polynomials \cite{hausel} states that
\begin{equation}
\mathfrak{g}_{Q'}(0) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{Q'}, d)
\end{equation}
where $\mathfrak{g}_{Q'}$ is the Kac–Moody Lie algebra associated to the quiver (without edge-loops) $Q'$. We will not recall the definition of $\mathfrak{g}_{Q'}$, since in any case it is a special case of the Borcherds–Bozec algebra (i.e. the case in which $I^\text{am} = \emptyset$), which we recall in \eqref{eq:iso} below.

Comparing \eqref{eq:lie} and \eqref{eq:lie} leads to the identity
\begin{equation}
\dim(H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q}, d)) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{Q'}, d)
\end{equation}
and from there to the obvious conjecture regarding the algebra $\Sigma_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi Q}^{\zeta}$, which we now prove.

**Theorem 6.6.** There is an isomorphism of algebras
\begin{equation}
U(n_{Q'}) \cong \Sigma_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi Q}^{\zeta}
\end{equation}
where $n_{Q'}$ is the negative part of the Kac–Moody Lie algebra for the real subquiver of $Q$. Moreover the isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism with the BPS Lie algebra
\begin{equation}
n_{Q'}^- \cong H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q})
\end{equation}
under the isomorphism \eqref{eq:lie}.

**Proof.** We construct the isomorphism \eqref{eq:lie}, then the isomorphism \eqref{eq:lie} is constructed via \eqref{eq:lie}.

Consider the dimension vector $e = 1_i$, where $i$ does not support any edge-loops. The coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}_e(\mathcal{Q})$ is just a point, and so the less perverse filtration on $H A_{\Pi Q, e} = H(B \mathbb{C}^*, \mathcal{Q})$ is just the cohomological filtration. In particular, the element $\alpha_i$ from \eqref{eq:lie} lies in less perverse degree 0. On the other hand, there is an isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{M}_e(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathbb{A}^1
\]
and writing
\[
H A_{\Pi Q, \mathcal{W}, e} = H(\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{P} C) \otimes H(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathcal{Q})_{\text{vir}}
\]
we see that $\alpha_i$ has perverse degree 1, i.e. by definition it is an element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q, e}$.

We claim that there is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\Phi: n_{Q'}^- \to H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi Q})$ sending $f_i$ to $\alpha_i$. The algebra $n_{Q'}^-$ is the free Lie algebra generated by $f_i$ for $i \in Q_0$ subject to the Serre relations:
\[
[f_i, [\cdot, \cdot]]^{(1,1)\circ}(f_j) = 0
\]
where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q$ is the symmetrized Euler form. So to prove the claim we only need to prove that the elements $\alpha_i$ satisfy the Serre relations. This follows from the stronger claim: for any distinct pair of vertices $i, j \in Q_0$ if we set $\gamma = 1_j + (1 - (1_i, 1_j))1_i$ then there is an equality
\[ \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, \gamma} = 0. \]
This follows from Proposition 4.4. Alternatively, this follows from (38) and the claim that $a_{Q, \gamma}(t) = 0$. Since the Kac polynomial is independent of the orientation of $Q$ this equality is clear: if all the arrows are directed from $i$ to $j$, there are no indecomposable $\gamma$-dimensional $K_Q$-modules for any field $K$.

We next claim that the morphism $n^-_{Q'} \to \mathbf{H}^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q})$ is injective. This follows from Nakajima’s theorem, i.e. we have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{n}^-_{Q'} & \xrightarrow{f_{i \to \alpha_i}} & \mathbf{H}^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}) \\
\downarrow u & & \downarrow \Phi \\
\text{End}_Q(\bigoplus_f \mathbf{H} N_f^\ast) & & \text{End}_Q(\bigoplus_f \mathbf{H} N_f^\ast)
\end{array}
\]
via the module structure of each $\mathbf{H} N_f^\ast$, and the morphism $u$ is injective since the representation $\bigoplus_f \mathbf{H} N_f^\ast$ is a faithful representation. Faithfulness follows, for example, from the Kac–Weyl character formula.

By Hausel’s identity (71), the graded dimensions of the source and target of $\Phi$ are the same, and so $\Phi$ is an isomorphism. Comparing with (69), the induced morphism $U(n^-_{Q'}) \to \mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} \mathbf{H}^0\mathcal{A}^\zeta_{\Pi_Q}$ is an isomorphism. □

Theorem 6.6 shows that Kac–Moody Lie algebras are a natural piece of the BPS Lie algebra in the basic case in which we do not modify potentials, and do not restrict to a Serre subcategory. The next proposition shows that Kac–Moody Lie algebras are a somewhat universal feature of the cohomological Hall algebras that we are considering.

**Corollary 6.7.** Let $Q$ be a quiver, let $Q'$ be the real subquiver of $Q$, let $W_0 \in \mathbb{C}Q_{\text{cyc}}$ be a potential such that $\overline{W} + W_0$ is quasihomogeneous, and let $S$ be any Serre subcategory of $\mathbb{C}Q_{\text{mod}}$ containing each of the 1-dimensional simple modules $S_i$ with dimension vector $1_i$, for $i \in Q_0'$. Then there is a $\mathbb{N}Q_0$-graded inclusion of Lie algebras
\[ n^-_{Q'} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, W_0} \]
with image the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, W_0}$ generated by the graded pieces $\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, W_0, 1_i}$, for $i \in Q_0'$.

**Proof.** By the proof of Theorem 6.6 the subalgebra $n^-_{Q'} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}$ is obtained by applying $\mathbf{H}$ to the Lie subalgebra object $G$ of $\text{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}$ generated by the objects $\text{BPS}_{\Pi_Q, 1}$, for $i \in Q_0$, i.e. $n^-_{Q'} \cong \mathbf{H} G$. There is a decomposition
\[ \text{BPS}_{\Pi_Q} \cong G \otimes \mathcal{L} \]
of mixed Hodge modules, by purity of $\text{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}$, and hence the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \text{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}$ splits in the category of mixed Hodge modules. Applying $\mathbf{H} \varpi_\ast \varpi' \phi\text{mon}_{W_0}$ gives an inclusion of Lie algebras
\[
\mathbf{H} \varpi_\ast \varpi' \phi\text{mon}_{W_0} G \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, W_0}.
\]
Each mixed Hodge module $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ is supported at the origin of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{d}}(\overline{Q})$, since $G$ is generated by mixed Hodge modules supported on the nilpotent locus. The Serre subcategory $S$ contains all nilpotent $\mathbb{C}Q_{\text{mod}}$-modules supported on the subquiver $Q'$, since it is closed under extensions. As such the natural morphisms
\[
\varpi_\ast \varpi' \phi\text{mon}_{W_0} G \to G \\
\phi\text{mon}_{\mathcal{R}(W_0)} G \to G,
\]
are isomorphisms, and $\varpi_\ast \varpi' \phi\text{mon}_{\mathcal{R}(W_0)} G \cong G$ as a Lie algebra object in $\text{MHM}(\mathcal{M}(\overline{Q}))$, proving the corollary. □
6.4. The subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}$. Moving to the case of strongly semi-nilpotent $\Pi_q$-modules (see [31]) we calculate the subalgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}$, in order to compare with work of Bozec [5]. Interestingly, we find that the BPS Lie algebra $g_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}$ is not identified with Bozec’s Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ under the natural isomorphism between their two enveloping algebras, although the two Lie algebras are isomorphic.

First we note that by [12] the Lie algebra $g_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}$ is concentrated in cohomologically nonnegative degrees. Applying $H^0$ to [17], the morphism

$$\text{Sym}(H^0(g_{\Pi_q}^{SSN})) \rightarrow H^0 A_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}$$

is an isomorphism, and thus there is an identity

$$(75) \quad U(H^0(g_{\Pi_q}^{SSN})) = H^0 A_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}.$$ 

Comparing with [63] we deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq 0} H^0 A_{\Pi_q}^{SSN} = H^0 A_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}$$

and so for the rest of [6.4] we just write $H^0 A_{\Pi_q}^{SSN}$ to denote this subalgebra.

6.4.1. The Borcherds–Bozec algebra. We write

$$Q_0 = I^{\text{real}} \coprod I^{\text{im}},$$

where $I^{\text{real}}$ is the set of vertices that do not support an edge-loop, and $I^{\text{im}}$ is the set vertices that do. We furthermore decompose

$$I^{\text{im}} = I^{\text{iso}} \coprod I^{\text{hyp}}$$

where $I^{\text{iso}}$ is the set of vertices supporting exactly one edge-loop, and the vertices of $I^{\text{hyp}}$ support more than one.

Out of the quiver $Q$ we build the Borcherds–Bozec algebra $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ as follows. We set

$$I_\infty = (I^{\text{real}} \times \{1\}) \coprod (I^{\text{im}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$$

and we extend the form [10] to a bilinear form on $\mathbb{N}^{I_\infty}$ by setting

$$((1_{i'}, n), (1_{j'}, m)) = mn(1_{i'}, 1_{j'})_Q$$

and extending linearly. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ is a Borcherds algebra associated to a generalised Cartan datum for which the Cartan matrix is the form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ expressed in the natural basis of $\mathbb{N}^{I_\infty}$. More explicitly, we define $\mathfrak{g}_Q$ to be the free Lie algebra generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by $h_{i'}, e_i, f_i$ for $i' \in Q_0$ and $i \in I_\infty$ subject to the relations

$$[h_{i'}, h_{j'}] = 0$$

$$[h_{j'}, e_{i'(n)}] = n(1_{j'}, 1_{i'})_Q \cdot e_{i'(n)}$$

$$[h_{j'}, f_{i'(n)}] = - n(1_{j'}, 1_{i'})_Q \cdot f_{i'(n)}$$

$$[e_{i'}, e_j] = [f_{i'}, f_j] = 0$$

$$[e_{i'}, f_j] = \delta_{i', j} n h_{i'}$$

$$[e_{i'}, e_j] = 0$$

if $j \in I^{\text{real}} \times \{1\}$, $i \neq j$

if $(i, j) = 0$ if $i = (i', n)$.

The positive half $\mathfrak{n}_Q^+$ has an especially quick presentation: it is the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ freely generated by $e_i$ for $i \in I_\infty$, subject to the relations

$$[e_{i'}, e_j] = 0$$

if $i \in I^{\text{real}} \times \{1\}$, $i \neq j$

$$[e_{i'}, e_j] = 0$$

if $(i, j) = 0$. 

$$[e_{i'}, e_j] = 0$$

if $i \in I^{\text{real}} \times \{1\}$, $i \neq j$
6.4.2. Lagrangian subvarieties. Define $\Lambda(d) := H^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$, the subvariety of $H^d(\mathbb{Q})$ parameterising strictly semi-nilpotent $\mathbb{H}^d$-modules. By [5 Thm.1.15], this is a Lagrangian subvariety of $H_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})$. If $d = n, \ldots, 1$, by [5 Thm.1.4] the irreducible components of $\Lambda(d)$ are indexed by tuples $(n^1, \ldots, n^r)$ such that $\sum n^i = n$. Let $I_r$ be the two-sided ideal in $\mathbb{C}Q$ containing all those paths in $\mathbb{Q}$ containing at least $r$ instances of arrows $a \in Q_1$. The tuple $c$ corresponding to a component $\Lambda(d,c)$ is given by the successive dimensions of the subquotients in the filtration
\[0 = I_r \cdot \rho < I_{r-1} \cdot \rho < \ldots \cdot \rho\]
for $\rho$ a module parameterised by a generic point on $\Lambda(d,c)$. For example there is an equality
\[\Lambda(d)_{(n)} = H_{\mathbb{Q}}(Q^{op}) \subset H^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mu^{-1}(0).
\]
To translate Bozec’s results into our setting we follow the arguments of [40, Sec.2]. Unpicking the definitions, we have
\[H\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d} := \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{Q}_c} H^{BM}_{\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{Q}}}((\Lambda(d)/\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{Q}}), \mathbf{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{R} - \chi_{\mathbb{A}^d}(\mathbb{d,d}).
\]
Since $\Lambda(d)$ is a Lagrangian subvariety of the $2(d \cdot d - \chi_{\mathbb{A}^d}(d,d))$-dimensional subvariety $H_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})$, the irreducible components of $\Lambda(d)/\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are $-\chi_{\mathbb{A}^d}(d,d)$-dimensional. It follows that $H^0\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}$ has a natural basis given by $[\Lambda(d)_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ where $\Lambda(d)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are the irreducible components of $\Lambda(d)$.

**Theorem 6.8.** [5 Prop.1.18, Thm.3.34] There is an isomorphism of algebras
\[U(n^+_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H^0\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d},
\]
which sends $e_{\nu,n}$ to $[\Lambda(n \cdot 1, \nu)_{(\mathbb{Q})}]$.

Combining with (77) we obtain an isomorphism
\[(78) \quad F: U(n^+_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} U(H^0\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}).
\]

**Corollary 6.9.** There exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras
\[n^+_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^0\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}
\]
where the left hand side is the Borcherds-Bozec algebra of the full quiver $Q$.

**Proof.** Let $S$ be a minimal $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{Q}_c$-graded generating set of $H^0\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}$. Then $F^{-1}(S)$ is a minimal generating set of $U(n^+_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and so $|\mathbb{C}_d|$ is the number of degree $d$ generators of $n^+_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We show that the elements of $S$ satisfy the Serre relations.

Let $g, g' \in S$ be of degree $m \cdot 1_{\nu}$ and $n \cdot 1_{\nu}$ respectively. If $(1_{\nu}, 1_{\nu})_Q = 0$ then $g$ and $g'$ commute, i.e. they satisfy the Serre relation $[g, g'] = 0$, dealing with (77).

We next consider (76). Assume that there are no edge-loops of $Q$ at $i'$, so that up to a scalar multiple $g = e_{i',1}$. Write $g'$ as a linear combination of monomials $\prod_{r=1}^s e_{(i',t_r)}$ for $t_r \in \mathbb{N}$ summing to $n$. Since $e_{(i',1)}$ is a derivation, the identity
\[[e_{(i',1), g'}, e_{(i',1), t_r(g',1)}] = 0
\]
follows from the identities
\[[e_{(i',1), e_{(i',t_r)}}, e_{(i',1), t_r(g',1)}] = 0.
\]
So the generators $S$ satisfy the Serre relations and there is a surjection $n^+_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H^0\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}$, which is injective since the graded pieces have the same dimensions.

Although Corollary 6.9 establishes that they are abstractly isomorphic, we spend the rest of 6.4 investigating the difference between the two Lie subalgebras $F(n^+_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $H^0\Lambda^{SSN}_{\mathbb{H}^d}$. 
6.4.3. Isotropic vertices. Let \( i' \in I^{\text{iso}} \), and set \( d = n \cdot i' \). Let 
\[
\Delta_n : \mathbb{A}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d(Q)
\]
be the inclusion, sending \((z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{A}^3 \) to the Jac\((Q, \overline{W})\)-representation for which the action of the three arrows \( a, a^*, \omega_i \) is scalar multiplication by \( z_1, z_2, z_3 \) respectively. Then by [12, Thm.5.1] there is an isomorphism
\[
\Psi_{i, Q, a} : \mathcal{M}_{i, Q, a} \cong \mathbb{T} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}^3}.
\]

Thus by (82) there is an isomorphism
\[
\mathbb{BPS}_{i, Q, d} \cong \Delta_{i, n, i} Q_{\mathbb{A}^3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-3/2} = \Delta_{i, n, i} \mathbb{T} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}^3}.
\]

Remark 6.11. Applying Verdier duality to \([82]\) makes for a cleaner looking statement of the result. The Verdier dual of \([82]\) is the isomorphism
\[
\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{BPS}_{i, Q, n, 1} \cong \operatorname{Sym}_{\oplus} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Delta_{i, n, i} Q_{\mathbb{A}^3} \otimes \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C}_i, Q) \right).
\]

In particular, \( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{BPS}_{i, Q, n, 1} \) is pure, as is
\[
\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{BPS}_{i, Q, n, 1} \cong \operatorname{Sym}_{\oplus} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Delta_{i, n, i} Q_{\mathbb{A}^3} \right).
\]

Proposition 6.10. There is an isomorphism in \( \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{M}}^{-}(\mathbb{M}(Q)) \)
\[
\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{BPS}_{i, Q, n, 1} \cong \operatorname{Sym}_{\oplus} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Delta_{i, n, i} Q_{\mathbb{A}^3} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-3/2} \right).
\]
Since $m \neq n$ the morphism
\[ \oplus_{\text{red}} \circ (\Delta_{\text{red}, n} \times \Delta_{\text{red}, m}) : \mathbb{A}^{4} \to M_{m+n}(\mathbb{Q}) \]
is injective. It follows that the left hand side of \([87]\) is simple, and not isomorphic to the (simple) right hand side, which has 2-dimensional support. It follows that \([87]\) is the zero map, since it is a morphism between distinct simple objects.

**Proposition 6.12.** Let $i' \in Q_{0}^{iso}$, and set $d = n \cdot 1_{\nu'}$ as above. Up to multiplication by a scalar, there is an identity
\[ \alpha_{i', n} = [\Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu\}}] \in H_{0}^{0}A_{SSN} \]

*Proof.* Let $\Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu\}} \subset \Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu'\}}$ be the complement to the intersection with the union of components $\Lambda(d)_{\pi}$ for $\pi \neq (1^{n})$. Denote by
\[ j: \Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu\}}/\text{GL}_{d} \to M_{d}(\mathbb{Q}) \]
the inclusions. Define
\[ G = j_{*}j^{!}[\mathcal{O}_{M_{d}(\mathbb{Q})}] \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi_{d}^{0}(d,d)/2} \]
\[ G' = j_{*}^{\nu'}j'^{!}[\mathcal{O}_{M_{d}(\mathbb{Q})}] \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\chi_{d}^{0}(d,d)/2} \equiv j_{*}^{\nu'}[\Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu\}}] \]
where the isomorphism is due to the fact that $\Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu\}}$ is smooth and has codimension $-\chi_{d}^{0}(d,d)/2$ inside $M_{d}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then since $j$ is closed, and $\Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu\}}$ is open in $\Lambda(d)_{(n)}$, we have a diagram
\[(88) \quad JH_{\text{red}, *}[G] \xrightarrow{\xi} JH_{\text{red}, *}[G] \xrightarrow{q} JH_{\text{red}, *}[DT_{\Pi_{d}}^{SSN}] \]

Applying $H^{0}$, $\xi$ is an isomorphism, and $[\Lambda(d)_{\{1\nu\}}]$ is defined to be $H^{0}(q)(H^{0}(\xi))^{-1}(1)$. Applying $\tau_{\text{cont} \leq 0}$, the truncation functor induced by the non-perverse t structure, the diagram \([88]\) becomes
\[ \Delta_{\text{SSN}_{\nu}, \nu_{1}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Delta_{\text{SSN}_{\nu}, \nu_{1}} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\text{cont} \leq 0}} \tau_{\text{cont} \leq 0} JH_{\text{red}, *}[DT_{\Pi_{d}}^{SSN}] \]
The element $\alpha_{i', n}$ is likewise obtained by applying $H$ to a homomorphism
\[ q': \Delta_{\text{SSN}_{\nu}, \nu_{1}} \to JH_{\text{red}, *}[DT_{\Pi_{d}}^{SSN}] \]
The domain is a mixed Hodge module, shifted by cohomological degree 1, so that $q'$ factors through the morphism
\[ \tau_{\leq 1} JH_{\text{red}, *}[DT_{\Pi_{d}}^{SSN}] \to JH_{\text{red}, *}[DT_{\Pi_{d}}^{SSN}] \]
By \([82]\) there is an isomorphism
\[ \tau_{\leq 1} JH_{\text{red}, *}[DT_{\Pi_{d}}^{SSN}] \cong \Delta_{\text{SSN}_{\nu}, \nu_{1}} \]
and so we deduce that $\dim \left( \text{Hom} \left( \Delta_{\text{SSN}_{\nu}, \nu_{1}}, JH_{\text{red}, *}[DT_{\Pi_{d}}^{SSN}] \right) \right) = 1$, and the proposition follows.

6.4.4. Hyperbolic vertices. Suppose that $i' \in I^{bp}$, i.e. $i'$ supports $l$ edge-loops with $l \geq 2$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and set $d = n \cdot 1_{\nu'}$. The variety $M_{d}(\Pi_{Q})$ is an irreducible variety of dimension $2 + 2(l-1)n^{2}$ by \([9]\) Thm.1.3]. We set
\[ \mathcal{C}_{l', n} := \mathcal{C}(M_{d}(\Pi_{Q})) \subset \text{MHM}(M_{d}(\mathbb{Q})) \]
\[ \mathcal{C}_{l', n} := \mathcal{C}(M_{d}(\Pi_{Q})) \subset \mathcal{H}(\text{MHM}(M_{d}(\mathbb{Q}))) \]
\[ \mathcal{C}_{l', n} := \mathcal{C}(M_{d}(\mathbb{Q}), \mathcal{C}_{l', n}) \]
The following will be proved as a special case of the results in \([7, 11]\)
Proposition 6.13. Set $B_n = \tau_{<0} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{A}_{\Pi_Q,n} \in \text{MHM}(\mathcal{M}_d(\Pi_Q))$. There is an inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \hookrightarrow B_n$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}$ is primitive, i.e. the induced morphism

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow B_n/\left( \sum_{n' + n'' = n, n' \neq 0, n''} \text{Image} \left( B_{n'} \boxtimes B_{n''} \rightarrow B_n \right) \right)
\]

is injective, where $\star$ is the CoHA multiplication of $d_{\mathcal{Z}_A}$.

By Theorem [(77)](77) is a morphism of semisimple objects, as well as being injective, and so it has a left inverse. Applying $H \varpi'_{\text{red},*} \varpi'_{\text{red}}$ we deduce that there is an injective morphism

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \quad \text{and moreover that the induced morphism}
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}/\left( \sum_{n' + n'' = n, n' \neq 0, n''} \text{Image} \left( \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \right) \right)
\]

is injective. Taking the zeroth cohomologically graded piece, we deduce from Corollary [(6.9)](6.9) that

\[
\text{dim}(H^0 \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}) \leq 1.
\]

The inclusion $j: \mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d(Q^{op})$ is an open embedding, so there is a morphism

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}/\left( \sum_{n' + n'' = n, n' \neq 0, n''} \text{Image} \left( \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \right) \right)
\]

is injective. Taking the zeroth cohomologically graded piece, we deduce from Corollary [(6.9)](6.9) that

\[
\text{dim}(H^0 \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}) \leq 1.
\]

The inclusion $j: \mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d(Q^{op})$ is an open embedding, so there is a morphism

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}/\left( \sum_{n' + n'' = n, n' \neq 0, n''} \text{Image} \left( \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \right) \right)
\]

is injective. Taking the zeroth cohomologically graded piece, we deduce from Corollary [(6.9)](6.9) that

\[
\text{dim}(H^0 \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}) \leq 1.
\]

The Tate twist is given by the difference in dimensions of the smooth schemes $\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(\Pi_Q)$ and $\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op})$. Since dim($\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op})) = -\chi_Q(d, d) - 1$ we have

\[
\mathcal{T}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}) = \mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}) \otimes \mathcal{O}^{1+\chi_Q(d, d)},
\]

and thus (93) induces the morphism

\[
\Psi: \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}.
\]

Proposition 6.14. The morphism $H^0\Psi: H^0\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}), Q) \cong Q$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By (92) it is sufficient to show that $H^0\Psi$ is not the zero morphism. Let $h: \mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d(Q^{op})$ be the inclusion. The morphism $\Psi$ factors through the morphism

\[
\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}/\left( \sum_{n' + n'' = n, n' \neq 0, n''} \text{Image} \left( \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \right) \right)
\]

Applying $H^0$ to (94) yields the morphism

\[
H^0\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n} \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}), Q)
\]

which is not the zero morphism, since $[\Lambda(d)]_{(n)}$ does not lie in the kernel. Now let

\[
\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow B_n
\]

be the inclusion of any summand that is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is supported on $\mathcal{M}_d(Q^{op}) \setminus \mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op})$, so that $h^* \mathcal{F}$ is zero, and the morphism

\[
H^0\mathcal{F} \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_d^{\text{simp}}(Q^{op}), Q)
\]

is zero. It follows that $H^0\Psi$ is not the zero morphism.

\[
\square
\]

Corollary 6.15. The images of the inclusions

\[
\xi_n: H^0(\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}_{\nu',n-1},)
\]

generate $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_{\nu',n-1}$. 
Proof. The morphism $H^0\Psi$ factors through $\xi_n$, and so $\xi_n$ is injective. The result then follows from the fact that $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Phi_{Q,n-1,\ell'}$ has one simple imaginary root for each $n$, and injectivity of (91).

We define
\begin{equation}
\alpha_{\ell',n} = (H^0\Psi)^{-1}(1).\tag{95}
\end{equation}

If we express $\alpha_{\ell',n}$ in terms of Bozec’s basis, we have shown that the coefficient of $[\Lambda(d)_{(n)}]$ is 1. The question of what all of the other coefficients are (in particular, whether they are nonzero) seems to be quite difficult without an explicit description of $\mathcal{R}_{\Pi_{Q,d},\ell'}$ like Proposition 6.10 in the hyperbolic case. On the other hand, Remark 6.3 already demonstrates that the isomorphism $F$ from (88) does not identify $\mathfrak{n}^\perp_{Q,d}$ and $H^0(\Pi_{Q,d})$.

**Remark 6.16.** We have shown that the zeroth cohomologically graded pieces of $\alpha_{\ell',n}$ for $n \in Q_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ provide a complete set of generators for $H^0(\Pi_{Q,d})$. This provides evidence for Conjecture 7.7 below.

7. BPS sheaves and cuspidal cohomology

7.1. Generators of $\Phi_{Q,n,\ell}$. In chapter 5, we constructed a lift of $\Phi_{Q,n,\ell}$ to a Lie algebra object in the category $\text{MHM}(\mathcal{M}_{0,1}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}))$. In this section we will use this lift to produce generators for $\Phi_{Q,n,\ell}$.

Fix a dimension vector $d$. Let
\begin{equation}
U \subset \mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})\tag{96}
\end{equation}
be the subscheme parameterising those modules for which the underlying $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$-module is $\ell$-stable, and let
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{H}^0)^{-1}(U) \subset \mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})\tag{97}
\end{equation}
be the open substack parameterising such modules. Note that $U \subset \mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$, so the morphism $\mathcal{U} \to U$ is a $B\mathcal{C}^*$-torsor. Define
\begin{equation}
V = U \cap \mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\text{Jac}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}, W))\tag{98}
\end{equation}
and let $\mathfrak{V} = (\mathcal{H}^0)^{-1}(V)$. Since $\sum_i \omega_i \in \text{Jac}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}, W)$ is central, it acts via scalar multiplication on any module represented by a point in $\mathfrak{V}$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 6.3, we deduce that
\begin{equation}
V = \left(\mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\Pi_Q) \times \mathbb{A}^1\right)/G \subset \mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}).\tag{99}
\end{equation}

The variety $\mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\Pi_Q)$ is smooth, and so both $V$ and $\mathfrak{V}$ are smooth stacks. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ the closure of $V$ in $\mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$. Similarly, arguing as in the proof of (99) we deduce that $\phi_{\text{mon}}^{\text{mon}}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) \simeq \overline{\mathcal{V}} \otimes H(B\mathcal{C}^*, \overline{\mathcal{Q}})_{\text{vir}}$.

By Corollary 6.3 the object $H^0(\mathcal{F}_{\text{mon}}^{\text{mon}}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},\ell,\text{ss}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$ is pure, and so in particular its first cohomology is a semisimple monodromic mixed Hodge module. From (98) and the inclusion
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2} \hookrightarrow H(B\mathcal{C}^*, \overline{\mathcal{Q}})_{\text{vir}}
\end{equation}
we deduce that there is a canonical morphism
\begin{equation}
\Gamma: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2} \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}_{\text{mon}}^{\text{mon}}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},\ell,\text{ss}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})
\end{equation}
for which there is a left inverse $\alpha$, by purity of the target. By (97) we have
\begin{equation}
\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \left(\mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\Pi_Q) \times \mathbb{A}^1\right)/G,
\end{equation}
and so
\begin{equation}
\gamma' \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2} \cong \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_d^{\ell,\text{ss}}(\Pi_Q).
\end{equation}

\footnote{Recall that the right hand side of (98) parameterises those $\mathbb{C}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$-modules $\rho$ for which the underlying $\mathbb{C}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$-module of $\rho$ is $\ell$-semistable.}
Set

\[
\mathcal{C}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta} := \begin{cases} \varphi_{\text{red}} \varphi_{\text{red}}' \mathcal{T} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
\mathcal{A}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta} := \mathcal{H} \mathcal{C}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta}.
\]

Then there is an isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{A}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta} \cong \mathcal{H} \left( \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\varphi) \varphi' \varphi'' \mathcal{T} \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{1/2} \right).
\]

Applying \( \mathcal{H} \varphi' \varphi'' \) to \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{C}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta} \) we obtain a morphism

\[
\beta : \mathcal{A}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta} \to \mathcal{H} \mathcal{A}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta}.
\]

which is an injection, since it has a left inverse (e.g. \( \varphi', \varphi'' \alpha \)).

We can now prove (a generalisation of) Theorem 3.1.1.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let \( \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}_{\Gamma_0} \) be a stability condition, and let \( \theta \in \mathbb{Q} \) be a slope. For each \( \mathbf{d} \in \Lambda_0 \) there is a canonical decomposition

\[
\mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \cong \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \oplus I
\]

for some mixed Hodge structure \( I \), and for \( \mathbf{d}', \mathbf{d}'' \in \Lambda_0 \) such that \( \mathbf{d}' + \mathbf{d}'' = \mathbf{d} \), the morphism

\[
\mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \subset \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \ni \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \to \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta}
\]

factors through the inclusion of \( I \).

**Proof.** We assume that \( \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \neq \emptyset \), as otherwise \( \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} = 0 \) and the statement is trivial. Recall that by \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{C}_{u\Pi_Q,d}^{S,G,\zeta} \) there is an isomorphism

\[
r_{\mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q)} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q)} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \cong \mathcal{H} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q)} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{d-1}
\]

and by Theorem 3.1.1 these are pure complexes of monodromic mixed Hodge modules, so there is a decomposition

\[
\mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \cong \bigoplus_{r \in R_0} F_r[n]
\]

where each \( F_r \) is a simple mixed Hodge module, and each \( R_0 \) is some indexing set.

The stack \( \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \) is smooth, of codimension \( \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} - 1 \) inside \( \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\varphi) \), and so there are an isomorphism

\[
(\mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q))^* \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \cong \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{d-1}
\]

and thus an isomorphism

\[
(\mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q))^* \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \cong \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{d-1}.
\]

Noting that \( \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \) is open inside \( \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \), of dimension \( \chi_Q(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}) - 1 = \chi_Q(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d})/2 + \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} - 1 \), we deduce that in the decomposition \( \mathcal{H} \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\varphi) \) and furthermore the morphology

\[
r_{\varphi} \varphi' : \mathcal{T} \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\varphi) \to \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta}
\]

is the inclusion of this object. Writing

\[
\mathcal{H}^{G,0} \left( \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \right) = \mathcal{T} \mathcal{M}_{d}^{G,\zeta-ss}(\Pi_Q) \oplus \mathcal{G}
\]

\[
\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{r \in R_0} F_r
\]

for \( R' \subset R_0 \), we claim that for all \( \mathbf{d}', \mathbf{d}'' \in \Lambda_0 \) with \( \mathbf{d}' \neq 0 \neq \mathbf{d}'' \) and \( \mathbf{d}' + \mathbf{d}'' = \mathbf{d} \) the multiplication

\[
\mathcal{H}^{G,0} \left( \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{H}^{G,0} \left( \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \right) \to \mathcal{H}^{G,0} \left( \mathcal{A}_{d}^{G,\zeta} \right)
\]
The supports of the semisimple object on the left hand side of (101) are all in the boundary $M_d^{G, ∆}(Π_Q) \setminus M_d^{G, ∆, \text{set}}(Π_Q)$. Applying $H \varpi_{red}′', \varpi_{red}'$, there is a decomposition
\[
\mathcal{E}_{≤ 0} H^4_{M_d} \cong \mathcal{E}_{≤ 0} H^4_{M_d} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{≤ 0} H^4_{M_d}
\]
and the multiplication
\[
\mathcal{E}_{≤ 0} H^4_{M_d} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{≤ 0} H^4_{M_d} \to \mathcal{E}_{≤ 0} H^4_{M_d}
\]
factors through the inclusion of $H \varpi_{red}′', \varpi_{red}'G = 1$, so that the commutator Lie bracket also factors through the inclusion of $f$.

7.2. The BPS Lie algebra $g_{Π_Q}$ for $Q$ affine. Let $Q$ be a quiver for which the underlying graph is an affine Dynkin diagram of extended ADE type. We can use the fact that $\mathfrak{g}_{Π_Q}$ lifts to a Lie algebra object $\mathcal{BPS}^G_{Π_Q}$ in $\text{MHM}^G(\mathcal{M}(Π_Q))$ to calculate it completely.

Set $d = |Ω_0| - 1$. We denote by $q_d : M_d^{G, ∆}(Π_Q) → M_d(Π_Q)$ the affinization map. Let $δ ∈ \mathbb{N}^{Ω_0}$ be the unique primitive imaginary simple root of the quiver $Q$. Let $H ⊂ \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the Kleinian group corresponding to the underlying (finite) Dynkin diagram of $Q$ (obtained by removing a single vertex) via the McKay correspondence. Then (see [29, 8]) for a generic stability condition $ζ ∈ Q^{Q_0}$ there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & M_{SS}^G(Π_Q) \\
\downarrow{p} & & \downarrow{ζ} \\
Y & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_S(Π_Q)
\end{array}
\]

where $p$ is the minimal resolution of the singularity $Y = A^2/H$. Moreover by [24] there is a derived equivalence
\[
\Psi : D^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \to D^b(Π_Q \text{-mod})
\]
restricting to an equivalence between complexes of modules with nilpotent cohomology sheaves and complexes of coherent sheaves with set-theoretic support on the exceptional locus of $p$. For $d ∈ \mathbb{N}^{Ω_0}$ we denote by
\[
0_d ∈ M_d(Π_Q)
\]
the point corresponding to the unique semisimple nilpotent module of dimension vector $d$.

Via the explicit description of the representations of $KQ$ for $Q$ an affine quiver, we have the following identities
\[
a_{Q,d}(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } d \text{ is a positive real root of } \mathfrak{g}_Q \\
1 + d & \text{if } d ∈ \mathbb{Z}_{≥ 1} \cdot δ \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Proposition 7.2. There are isomorphisms
\[
\mathcal{BPS}_{Π_Q,d} \cong \begin{cases} 
\mathcal{S}_{Π_Q,d}^{Q_d} & \text{if } d \text{ is a positive real root of } \mathfrak{g}_Q \\
\Delta_n \circ q_δ, \mathcal{C} & \text{if } d = n \cdot δ \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]
where $\Delta : M_S(Π_Q) → M_{SS}(Π_Q)$ is the embedding of the small diagonal.

We sketch the proof — the complete description of affine preprojective CoHAs will appear in a forthcoming paper with Sven Meinhardt. By Proposition 1.3 there is an isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{BPS}_{Π_Q,d} \cong q_d, \mathcal{BPS}^G_{Π_Q,d}.
\]

On the other hand, any complex of compactly supported coherent sheaves $F$ on $X$ that is not entirely supported on the exceptional locus admits a direct sum decomposition $F' \oplus F''$ where $F''$ is supported at a single point, so that $Ψ(F)$ admits a direct summand $N$ with dimension vector a multiple of $δ$. It follows that all points of $M_d^{G, ∆}(Π_Q)$ correspond to nilpotent modules if $d$ is not a multiple of $δ$, and so $q_d, \mathcal{BPS}^G_{Π_Q,d}$ is supported at the origin. Since by Theorem 1.7
\[BPS_{\Pi,Q,d}\] is pure, and supported at a single point, it is determined by its hypercohomology \(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,d}\). This hypercohomology pure, of Tate type, with dimension given by the Kac polynomial, by the main result of [12]. This deals with the first and last cases of (103).

For the second case, we consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Coh}_n(X) \xrightarrow{h} & \mathfrak{M}_{n}\delta^{\cdot}(\Pi_Q) \\
\text{Sym}_n(X) \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{M}_{n}\delta^{\cdot}(\Pi_Q).
\end{align*}
\]

By Theorem 4.7, \(g^*h^!Q_{\mathfrak{M}_{n}\delta^{\cdot}}\) is pure, and we claim that it contains a single copy of \(\Delta_{X,n}\delta\). Since \(X\) is simply connected, we can cover \(X\) by charts \(U_i\) isomorphic to \(\mathbb{A}^2\) and check the claim on each of the open subvarieties \(U_i\), at which point the claim follows by [79]. Finally, the BPS sheaf is supported on the small diagonal by the support lemma of [12], so \(\Delta_{X,n}\delta\) is \(\mathbb{P}^\delta\). Then the second case follows by Proposition 1.3.

Note that there is an isomorphism

\[(104) \quad g_\delta^*\mathbb{P}^\delta_{\mathcal{M}_{n}\delta^{\cdot}}(\Pi_Q) \cong \mathbb{P}^\delta_{\mathcal{M}_{n}\delta}(\Pi_Q) \oplus \bigoplus_{d}\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,n}^{\delta^d}
\]

since there are \(d\) copies of \(\mathbb{P}^1\) in the exceptional fibre of \(g_\delta\). We deduce from (102) and (35) that \(H^{-2}\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,n}^\delta \cong \mathbb{Q}\) is obtained by applying \(H\) to the first summand of (104).

**Proposition 7.3.** There is an isomorphism of Lie algebras

\[(105) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q} \cong n_{Q^r} \oplus s\mathbb{Q}[s]
\]

where \(Q^r\) is the real subquiver of \(Q\) (i.e. it is equal to \(Q\) unless \(Q\) is the Jordan quiver, in which case it is empty) and \(s\mathbb{Q}[s]\) is given the trivial Lie bracket. The monomial \(s^n\) lives in \(\mathbb{N}Q_0\)-degree \(n \cdot \delta\), and in cohomological degree \(-2\).

**Proof.** By (102) and (35), the graded dimensions of the two sides of (105) match. Furthermore, by Theorem 6.6 there is an isomorphism of Lie algebras between the zeroth cohomology of the RHS and LHS of (105).

So it is sufficient to prove that \(H^{-2}(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q})\) is central, which amounts to showing that

\[ [s^n, r] = 0 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,\beta} \]

for \(r \in H^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,\alpha})\) where \(\alpha = n \cdot \delta\) and \(\beta = n \cdot \delta + \alpha\). On the other hand, the morphism

\[
\mathbb{Q} \otimes s^n \otimes r \to \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,n}^\delta \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,\alpha} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,\beta}
\]

is obtained by applying \(H\) to the morphism of mixed Hodge modules

\[
\Delta_{n,\delta} \circ \mathbb{P}^\delta_{\mathcal{M}_{n}\delta}(\Pi_Q) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{n,\delta}} \mathbb{P}^\delta_{\mathcal{M}_{n}\delta}(\Pi_Q) \oplus \bigoplus_{d}\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi,Q,n}^{\delta^d}
\]

which is a morphism between semisimple mixed Hodge modules with differing supports, and is thus zero. \(\square\)

7.2.1. A *deformed* example. In this subsection we give a curious example, which will not be used later in the paper. It is an example of how deforming the potential can modify the BPS Lie algebra.

Let \(Q\) be the oriented \(\mathbb{A}_d\) quiver, i.e. it contains \(d + 1\) vertices, along with an oriented cycle connecting them all. Let \(W_0 = a_d + \cdots a_2\) be this cycle. We will consider the quiver with potential \((Q, W + W_0)\). The potential \(W + W_0\) is quasihomogeneous, for example we can give the arrows \(a_i\) weight 1, the arrows \(a_i^\ast\) weight \(d\), and the arrows \(\omega_i\) weight zero, so that \(W + W_0\) has weight \(d + 1\).

As in [53], we can calculate \(BPS_{\Pi,Q,W_0}\) by applying \(\Phi_{\Pi,Q,W_0}^{\text{mon}}\) to \(BPS_{\Pi,Q}\). For \(d\) not a multiple of the imaginary simple root, \(BPS_{\Pi,Q,d}\) is supported at \(\Phi_0\) and so it follows that

\[
BPS_{\Pi,Q,W_0,d} \cong \Phi_{\Pi,Q,W_0}^{\text{mon}} BPS_{\Pi,Q,d}
\]

\[
\cong BPS_{\Pi,Q,d}.
\]
In particular, it follows that there is an injective map \( l \) from the Lie subalgebra of \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\Pi_Q} \) generated by \( \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\Pi_Q, i} \), and the only dimension vectors for which this morphism can fail to be an isomorphism are dimension vectors \( e = (n, \ldots, n) \) for some \( n \).

Let \( e \) be such a dimension vector. Propositions 4.4 and 7.2 together yield

\[
\mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\Pi_Q, W_0, e} \cong \Delta_{n, 1} \oplus \varphi(q_{(1, \ldots, 1)}), \Phi^e_{g} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\chi}
\]

where \( X \) is the minimal resolution of the singular surface defined by \( xy = z^d \), and \( g = y \) is the function induced on it by \( T_\tau(W_0) \). The reduced vanishing locus \( X_0 = g^{-1}(0) \) is given by the exceptional chamber of \( d \) copies of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \), along with a line intersecting one of them transversally. In particular, the cohomology of \( X_0 \) is pure. The preimage \( X_1 := g^{-1}(1) \) is isomorphic to a copy of \( \mathbb{A}^1 \). Via the long exact sequence

\[
\rightarrow H^i(X, \Phi^e_g \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\chi}) \rightarrow H^i(X_0, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(X_1, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow
\]

we deduce that there is an isomorphism \( H(X, \Phi^e_g \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\chi}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})[-2] \), i.e. the vanishing cycle cohomology is isomorphic to the reduced cohomology of \( X \). It follows by counting dimensions that the injective map \( H(l_e) \) is surjective, although \( l_e \) is not, since \( \text{crit}(g) \) is not contained in the exceptional locus.

We deduce that

\[
\mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, W_0} \cong \mathfrak{n}_Q
\]

i.e. the BPS Lie algebra for the deformed potential is isomorphic to negative half of the usual Kac–Moody Lie algebra for \( Q \).

It is an interesting question whether for more general quivers there is a quasiisomorphic deformation \( \tilde{W} + W_0 \) of the standard cubic potential so that (106) holds. A related question is: does the nonzero degree cohomology of the BPS Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q, W_0} \) vanish for generic deformations \( W_0 \)? In other words, is the BPS Lie algebra for a generic deformed 3-Calabi–Yau completion [26] of the preprojective algebra \( \Pi_Q \) always \( \mathfrak{n}_Q^- \)?

7.3. The spherical Borcherds algebra. In this section we construct a natural Lie algebra homomorphism \( \Phi: \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}^S \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\Pi_Q}^B \) from the positive half of a Borcherds algebra, extending the inclusion of the Kac–Moody Lie algebra from [6,3]. In the case in which \( S = SSN \) the zeroth cohomologically graded piece of this morphism is the inclusion of the Borcherds–Bozec algebra.

The existence of the morphism \( \Phi \) serves as further evidence towards Conjecture [34].

We introduce a little notation, in order to make the presentation fairly uniform. Given a tensor category \( \mathcal{C} \) we denote by \( \mathcal{C}_{Q_0} \) the category of \( \mathbb{N}^Q_0 \)-graded objects in \( \mathcal{C} \). Given \( F \in \mathcal{C} \) we denote by \( \text{Lie}(F) \) the free Lie algebra generated by \( F \). I.e. we pick a symmetric monoidal embedding \( \text{Vect} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} \), and embed \( \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Q_0} \) as the category of objects concentrated in degree zero, and thus consider \( \text{Lie} \) as an operad in \( \mathcal{C}_{Q_0} \), and take the free algebra over it generated by \( F \). We denote by \( \text{Bor}^+(F) \) the quotient of \( \text{Lie}(F) \) by the Lie ideal generated by the images of the morphisms

\[
(F_d)_{d'}^{-1} - (d', d'')_Q \otimes F_{d''}, d' = \cdots = d'' \rightarrow F
\]

over all pairs of dimension vectors \( d', d'' \) satisfying either of the conditions \( (d', d'')_Q = 0 \) or \( d' = 1 \) for \( i \in Q_0 \).

Example 7.4. Consider the vector space \( V \in \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{N}^Q_0} \) which has basis \( e_i \) for \( i \in I_\infty \), where \( e_{(i', n)} \) is given degree \( n \cdot 1_i \). Then

\[
\mathfrak{n}_Q^+ = \text{Bor}^+(V)
\]

For \( i \in Q_0 \) and \( n \geq 1 \) we denote by \( \Delta_{i, n}: \mathcal{M}_{i, n}^e(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{i, 1}^e(Q) \) the embedding of the small diagonal.

Proposition 7.5. Let \( S \) be a Serre subcategory of \( \mathcal{C}Q \)-mod. Set

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q, \mathfrak{e}ph} := \bigoplus_{i \in Q_{0}^{\mathrm{par}}} \mathcal{I}C_{\mathcal{M}_{i, 1}^e(Q)} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in Q_{0}^{\mathrm{int}}} \mathcal{I}C_{\mathcal{M}_{i, 1}^e(Q)} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in Q_{n}^{\mathrm{pp}} \geq 1} C_{\Pi_Q, n-1}
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q, \mathfrak{e}ph} := \mathbb{H}^\mathfrak{e}ph \otimes_n \mathfrak{e}ph \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q, \mathfrak{e}ph}.
\]
There are morphisms of Lie algebra objects

\[ J : \text{Bor}^+ \left( \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q_{\text{hyp}}}^G \right) \to \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}^G \]

\[ L^S : \text{Bor}^+ \left( \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q_{\text{hyp}}}^S \right) \to \mathcal{S}_{\Pi_Q}^G. \]

extending embeddings of \( \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q_{\text{hyp}}}^G \) and \( \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q_{\text{hyp}}}^S \), respectively, where in the second morphism, \( \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q_{\text{hyp}}}^S \) is considered as an object of \( \mathcal{C} \)-\text{mod}.

**Proof.** The morphism \( L^S \) is obtained as \( Hw^i_{\text{red}}, w^j_{\text{red}} J \), so we concentrate on \( J \).

Firstly, note that for \( i \in Q_0 \)

\[ \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q,1, i}^G = \overline{\mathcal{C}}^G_{M_i}(\overline{Q}) \]

so that the first summand of \( \mathcal{P}_{\Pi_Q_{\text{hyp}}}^G \) naturally embeds inside \( \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}^G \). Secondly, as in Proposition 6.10 there is an embedding (unique up to scalar) of \( \Delta_{i,n} \overline{\mathcal{C}}^G_{M_i}(\overline{Q}) \) inside \( \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q, n, 1}^G \) for each isotropic \( i \). Thirdly, for \( i \) hyperbolic the morphism \( \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}^G \) provides an embedding \( \mathcal{C}^G_{0, \Pi_Q, n, 1, i} \subset \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}^G \). We claim that these embeddings induce the morphism \( J \).

To prove the claim, we need to check the relation (107). Note that if \( i \) and \( j \) are both real, this follows immediately from Proposition 6.13. Otherwise, we need something a little more subtle, i.e. the decomposition theorem.

Let \( i \in I_{\text{real}} \), let \( (j, n) \in I_{\text{imp}} \), and set \( e = 1 - ((i, 1), (j, n)) \). Set

\[ M_i = M^G_i(\overline{Q}) \]

\[ M_{j,n} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{j,n}(M_i) & \text{if } j \in Q_0^{\text{iso}} \\ M^G_{n,1,i}(\overline{Q}) & \text{if } j \in Q_0^{\text{hyp}} \end{cases} \]

Then we wish to show that the morphism

\[ J' : \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{M_i} \boxtimes_{BG} \cdots \boxtimes_{BG} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{M_j} \boxtimes_{BG} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{M_{j,n}} \to \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}^G \]

given by the iterated Lie bracket (as in (107)) is the zero morphism. For this, we note that the morphism

\[ h : M_i \times BG \times M_j \times BG \to M_{n, i+1} \]

is injective, and so since \( \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{M_i} \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{M_{j,n}} \) are simple, the domain of \( J' \) is a simple object. We denote the domain of \( J' \) by \( R \). Since by Theorem 17 the target of \( J' \) is semisimple we deduce that \( J' \) is nonzero only if there is a direct sum decomposition

\[ \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}^G \cong R \oplus G \]

and \( J' \) fits into a commutative diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{J'} & \mathcal{BPS}_{\Pi_Q}^G \\ \downarrow \tau_{R} & \cong \downarrow & \downarrow \\ R \oplus G & \end{array} \]

where \( \tau_{R} \) is the canonical inclusion.

For a contradiction, we assume that this is indeed so. Now we apply \( H^0 g_*g' \), where

\[ g : M^{SSN', G}(\overline{Q}) \to M^G(\overline{Q}) \]

is the inclusion of the strictly semi-nilpotent locus. By [6] in the case of isotropic \( j \), and Proposition 6.14 in the hyperbolic case, there is an isomorphism

\[ H^0 g_*g' \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{M_{j,n}} \cong Q \]

and so, since \( H^0(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{M_i}) \cong Q \) we deduce that

\[ H^0 g_*g' R \cong Q, \]
so that $H^0 g_* g^! 1_R = 1_Q \neq 0$. On the other hand,

$$H^0 g_* g^! f : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^{\ssn}$$

is the morphism taking $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ to $[\alpha_i, \cdot]^c(\alpha_j, n) = 0$, with $\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_j, n$ defined as in [88], [85], [85]. By Corollary 6.9 we have

$$[\alpha_i, \cdot]^c(\alpha_j, n) = 0$$

and so $\xi = 0$ after all.

Now assume that both $i$ and $j$ are imaginary, and $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Q} = 0$. Fix $m \geq 1$. Then, similarly to above, we wish to show that the morphism

$$\mathcal{IC}_{M_{i, m}} \boxtimes_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}} \mathcal{IC}_{M_{j, n}} \to \mathcal{BP} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^G$$

provided by the Lie bracket in $\mathcal{BP} \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^G$ is the zero map. Again, applying $H^0 g_* g^!$ this follows from Corollary 6.9 and injectivity of the morphism

$$\oplus^G : M_{i, m} \times_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}} M_{j, n} \to M_{m, 1 + n, 1}^G(Q).$$

We thus have defined the morphism $J$. □

It is of course very natural to make the following

**Conjecture 7.6.** The morphisms $J$ and $L^S$ are injective.

The results of [73] imply the conjecture in case there are no hyperbolic vertices.

In contrast with the Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}^{\ssn}$, which is generated by the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}, n, 1}$ by [90, Prop.5.8], the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^{\ssn}$ is almost never generated by the subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2, n, 1}$, so that $L$ is almost never surjective. For instance, if $Q$ has no edge loops, then the image of $L$ lies entirely in cohomological degree zero, while unless $Q$ is of finite type, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ will have pieces in strictly negative cohomological degree.

### 7.4. The main conjecture for BPS Lie algebras.

We finish the paper with our main conjecture regarding the structure of BPS Lie algebras for preprojective CohAs. Put informally, the conjecture states that we have found all of the generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^G$. To state the conjecture fully, we make the following definitions.

First fix a Serre subcategory $S$, a stability condition $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_0}$, and $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Set

$$C = \{ \mathbf{d} \in \Lambda^\zeta_0 : [\mathbf{d}]^\zeta_{\text{st}}(\Pi_Q) \neq \emptyset \}. $$

We set

$$\mathsf{St}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{G, \zeta} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in C} \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{d}, \zeta}(\Pi_Q)}$$

and

$$\mathsf{s}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{S, G, \zeta} := \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}}^\zeta \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{red}}^\zeta \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{red}}^\zeta \mathsf{St}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{G, \zeta}. $$

We consider $\mathsf{s}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{S, G, \zeta}$ as a $C$-module below. By [71] there are inclusions

$$\mathsf{St}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{G, \zeta} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{BP} \mathcal{S}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{G, \zeta}.$$

In the case in which the stability condition is trivial and $\theta = 0$, $H$ of this inclusion is the inclusion of all the real simple roots, as well as cuspidal cohomology. To cover isotropic generators, we define

$$E = \{ n \cdot \mathbf{d} : (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d})_Q = 0, \mathbf{d} \in C, n \geq 2 \}.$$ 

By [9], $E \cap C = \emptyset$. Arguing as in [72], for primitive $\mathbf{d} \in E$ and $n \geq 2$ there are embeddings

$$\Delta_{n, \mathbf{d}, \cdot} \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{d}, \zeta}(\Pi_Q)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{BP} \mathcal{S}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{G, \zeta},$$

where $\Delta_{n, \mathbf{d}} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{d}, \zeta}(\Pi_Q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{d}, \zeta}(\Pi_Q)$ is the diagonal embedding. Accordingly, we define

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}_0, \Pi_Q, \theta}^{G, \zeta} := \bigoplus_{n \in E} \Delta_{n, \mathbf{d}, \cdot} \mathcal{IC}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{d}, \zeta}(\Pi_Q)}$$

and

$$\mathsf{w}_{\Pi_Q, \theta}^{S, G, \zeta} := \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{g}}^\zeta \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{red}}^\zeta \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{g}, \text{red}}^\zeta \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}_0, \Pi_Q, \theta}^{G, \zeta}.$$ 

We can now state the main conjecture:
Conjecture 7.7. The above inclusions extend to an isomorphism of Lie algebra objects in $\mathrm{MHM}^G_{c}(\mathcal{M}^\infty_{\theta}((\mathbb{Q})))$

$$\mathrm{Bor}^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Pi G, \theta} \oplus \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}_{\Pi G, \theta}}\right) \cong \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{S}_{\Pi G, \theta}$$

Applying $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_{c}^{\ast}, \varpi_{c}^{\ast}$, we obtain isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Bor}^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Pi G, \theta} \oplus \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}_{\Pi G, \theta}}\right) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\Pi G, \theta}.$$

Setting $\zeta = (0, \ldots, 0), \theta = 0, \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C} Q$-mod and $G = \{1\}$ this conjecture implies the Bozec–Schiffmann conjecture on the Kac polynomials for $Q$, as well as giving a precise interpretation for the cuspidal cohomology.

References


