

# LIMIT THEOREMS FOR HIGHER RANK ACTIONS ON HEISENBERG NILMANIFOLDS

MINSUNG KIM\*

**ABSTRACT.** The main result of this paper is a construction of finitely additive measures for higher rank abelian actions on Heisenberg nilmanifolds. Under a full measure set of Diophantine conditions for the generators of the action, we construct *Bufetov functionals* on rectangles on  $(2g + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg manifolds. We prove that deviation of the ergodic integral of higher rank actions is described by the asymptotic of Bufetov functionals for a sufficiently smooth function. As a corollary, the distribution of normalized ergodic integrals which have variance 1, converges along certain subsequences to a non-degenerate compactly supported measure on the real line.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Introduction	2
1.2. Definitions and statement of results	3
Main results	5
2. Analysis on Heisenberg manifolds	8
2.1. Sobolev space	8
2.2. Invariant currents	9
2.3. Diophantine condition	10
3. Constructions of the functionals	12
3.1. Remainder estimates	12
3.2. Constructions of the functionals	16
3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3	18
3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.6	20
4. Limit distributions	21
4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.7	21
5. $L^2$ -lower bounds	24
5.1. Structure of return map	24
5.2. Higher cohomology for $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action of skew-shifts	25
5.3. Changes of coordinates	26
5.4. $L^2$ -lower bound of functional	27
6. Analyticity of functionals	30
6.1. Analyticity	30
6.2. Extensions of domain	33
7. Measure estimation for bounded-type	35

---

*Date:* April 18, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A17, 37A20, 37A44, 60F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Higher rank abelian actions, Limit theorems, Heisenberg groups.

Acknowledgments

37

References

37

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Introduction.** The asymptotic behavior and limiting distribution of ergodic averages of translation flows were studied by A. Bufetov in his series of works [Buf14a, Buf10, Buf14b]. He constructed finitely-additive Hölder measures and co-cycles over translation flows that are known as *Bufetov functionals*. Such functionals are constructed to derive the deviation of ergodic integrals of translation flows by providing a new proof of the celebrated work of G. Forni [For02]. Bufetov observed a relation between his finitely-additive Hölder measures and Forni's invariant distributions which already appeared in the work of solving cohomological equations. Following these observations, a bijection between the space of such functionals and the space of invariant distributions was constructed analogously for other parabolic flows and higher rank actions (e.g. [Buf13, BS13, BF14, FK20b, For20a]). It also turned out that his construction of such functionals is closely related to limit shapes of ergodic sums for interval exchange transformations [MMY10, MUY20].

In this paper, our main results are on effective equidistributions for higher rank abelian actions and limit theorems on Heisenberg nilmanifolds. We firstly introduce the construction of the Bufetov functional for higher rank abelian actions. The main argument is based on the renormalization method and induction argument inspired by the work of Cosentino and Flaminio [CF15]. Then we prove that the functional exists for a full measure set of frame  $\alpha$  under a sufficient Diophantine condition for the recurrence (see §2.3.2). Likewise, we construct the bijections between functionals and invariant currents, which appeared in [CF15] to obtain a new deviation formula. As a corollary, we prove the existence of the limit distributions of (normalized) ergodic integrals for higher rank actions. More specifically, as a random variable, the normalized ergodic integrals converge in distribution along certain subsequences to a non-degenerate, compactly supported measure on the real line.

It is natural to consider possible applications to the limit theorems of theta sums. It is known that the structure of Heisenberg flows (or quasi-abelian flows on higher steps, respectively), as a first return map on a transverse torus can be expressed as a skew-translation map. These relations provide the correspondence between the bound of ergodic integrals of some nilflows and exponential sums (so called *Weyl sums*). In several contexts, such bound of Weyl sums and effective equidistribution of nilflows were discovered and compared independently [FJK, FF06, F16, T15]. Furthermore, their limit theorems were studied in a similar context by several authors as well [GM14, CM16, FK20b, CGO22]. As a minor application on multi-parameters, we obtain limit theorems for theta series on Siegel half spaces, which generalize previous results [GG04, Mar99] (see [Tol78, MM07, MNN07] for a general introduction).

In the later sections, an  $L^2$ -lower bound of the Bufetov functional on a transverse torus and its analyticity on the higher dimensional rectangular domain are obtained. These parts indicate that our functionals do not vanish on a certain transverse torus and they extend to the complex domain in the weighted space

(of fast-decaying coefficient of functionals). From these results, polynomial type lower bound for the analytic function are obtained on certain sub-level sets. This tool was originally devised by Forni and Kanigowski to study the bound of correlations for time-changes of Heisenberg nilflows [FK20b]. Unfortunately, it turned out that we can not obtain similar results for higher rank abelian actions because the time-changes of higher rank actions on Heisenberg nilmanifolds are all *trivial*. This follows from the triviality of the first cohomology group (see [CF15, Theorem 3.16]). Therefore the time-changes of higher rank actions are conjugated to the linear action and never mixing.

Regarding mixing properties for time-changes of nilflows, they were firstly studied for Heisenberg nilflows [AFU11]. Then, the method was extended to non-trivial time-changes for any uniquely ergodic nilflows on general nilmanifolds [Rav18, AFRU21]. On time-changes of Heisenberg flows, multiple mixing and disjointness [FK20a] were also obtained by verifying a certain type of divergence of orbits, so called Ratner's property. In  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ -actions, mixing of shapes for automorphisms on nilmanifolds was proved [GS14, GS15].

Comprehensive studies of temporal limit theorems for horocycle flows were carried out in the work of D. Dolgopyat and O. Sarig [DS17]. Recent work of Ravotti [Rav21] reproved spatial and temporal limit theorems for horocycle flows independently, by following Ratner's argument, not relying on the methods for invariant distributions (or currents). It is still unknown if temporal (or weaker) limit theorems for nilflows can be proved, and it will be a possible subject of further works.

**1.2. Definitions and statement of results.** We review the definitions about Heisenberg manifold and its moduli space.

**1.2.1. Heisenberg manifold.** Let  $g \geq 1$  and  $H^g$  be the standard  $2g + 1$  dimensional Heisenberg group and set  $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}^g \times \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  a discrete and co-compact subgroup of  $H^g$ . We shall call it standard lattice of  $H^g$  and the quotient  $M := H^g/\Gamma$  will be called *Heisenberg manifold*. Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}^g = \text{Lie}(H^g)$  is equipped with a basis  $(X_1, \dots, X_g, Y_1, \dots, Y_g, Z)$  satisfying canonical commutation relations

$$(1) \quad [X_i, Y_j] = \delta_{ij}Z, \quad \text{where } \delta_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } i = j, \text{ and } \delta_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

For  $1 \leq d \leq g$ , let  $P := P^d$  be a subgroup of  $H^g$  where its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p} := \text{Lie}(P^d)$  is generated by  $\{X_1, \dots, X_d\}$ . For any  $\alpha \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ , set  $(X_i^\alpha, Y_i^\alpha, Z) = \alpha^{-1}(X_i, Y_i, Z)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We define a parametrization of the subgroup  $P^{d,\alpha} = \alpha^{-1}(P^d)$  according to

$$P_x^{d,\alpha} := \exp(x_1 X_1^\alpha + \dots + x_d X_d^\alpha), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By central extension of  $\mathbb{R}^{2g}$  by  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z(H^g) \rightarrow H^g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2g} \rightarrow 0.$$

The natural projection  $pr : M \rightarrow H^g/(\Gamma Z(H^g))$  maps  $M$  onto a  $2g$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^{2g} := \mathbb{R}^{2g}/\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ .

**1.2.2. Moduli space.** The group of automorphisms of  $H^g$  that are trivial on the center is denoted by  $\text{Aut}_0(H^g) = Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2g}$ . Since dynamical properties of actions are invariant under inner automorphism, we restrict our interest to  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ . We regard  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  as the *deformation space* of the standard Heisenberg manifold  $M$  and call the quotient  $\mathfrak{M}_g = Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})/Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  the *moduli space* of (standard) Heisenberg manifold.

*Siegel modular variety* is a double coset space  $\Sigma_g = K_g \backslash Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}) / Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  where  $K_g$  is a maximal compact subgroup  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}) \cap SO_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  of  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $\alpha \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ , we denote  $[\alpha] := \alpha Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  by its projection on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_g$  and write  $[[\alpha]] := K_g \alpha Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  the projection of  $\alpha$  to the Siegel modular variety  $\Sigma_g$ .

Double coset  $K_g \backslash Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}) / 1_{2g}$  is identified to the Siegel upper half space  $\mathfrak{H}_g := \{Z \in Sym_g(\mathbb{C}) \mid \Im(Z) > 0\}$ . *Siegel upper half space* of genus  $g$  is a complex manifold of symmetric complex  $g \times g$  matrices  $Z = X + iY$  with positive-definite symmetric imaginary part  $\Im(Z) = Y$  and arbitrary (symmetric) real part  $X$ . Denote by  $\Sigma_g \approx Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{H}_g$ .

1.2.3. *Representation.* We write the Hilbert sum decomposition of

$$(2) \quad L^2(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n$$

into closed  $H^g$ -invariant subspaces. Set  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n \in L^2(M)$  and  $f_n \in H_n$  where

$$H_n = \{f \in L^2(M) \mid \exp(tZ)f = \exp(2\pi i n K t)f\}$$

for some fixed  $K > 0$ . The center  $Z(H^g)$  has spectrum  $2\pi\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  on  $L_0^2(M)$ , the space of zero mean. Then the space  $L^2(M)$  splits as Hilbert sum of  $H^g$ -module  $H_n$ , which is equivalent to irreducible representation  $\pi$ .

By Stone-Von Neumann theorem, the unitary irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group of non-zero central parameter  $K > 0$  is unitarily equivalent to the Schrödinger representation  $\pi$ . By differentiating the Schrödinger representation, we obtain a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}^g$  on Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^g) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^g)$  (as a  $C^\infty$ -vector). This is called *infinitesimal derived representation*  $d\pi_*$  with parameter  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, g$ ,

$$(3) \quad d\pi_*(X_k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad d\pi_*(Y_k) = 2\pi i n K x_k, \quad d\pi_*(Z) = 2\pi i n K$$

acts on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^g) \simeq L^2(H_n)$ .

Given a basis  $(V_i)$  of the Lie algebra, we set a Laplacian  $\Delta = -\sum_i V_i^2$  and define  $L^2$ -Sobolev norm  $\|f\|_s^2 = \langle f, (1 + \Delta)^s f \rangle$  where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an ordinary inner product. For  $s > 0$ , the *Sobolev space*  $W^s(M)$  is defined by a completion of  $C^\infty(M)$  equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_s$ . The Sobolev space  $W^s(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W^s(H_n)$  decomposes to closed  $H^g$ -invariant subspaces  $W^s(H_n) = W^s(M) \cap H_n$ .

1.2.4. *Renormalization flow.* Denote diagonal matrix  $\delta_i = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_g)$  with  $d_i = 1$ ,  $d_k = 0$  if  $k \neq i$ . Then, for each  $1 \leq i \leq g$ , we denote  $\hat{\delta}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sp}_{2g} = \text{Lie}(Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

Any such  $\hat{\delta}_i$  generate one-parameter subgroups of automorphism (renormalization flow)  $r_i^t := e^{t\hat{\delta}_i}$ . We denote (rank  $d$ ) renormalization actions  $r_t := r_{i_1}^{t_1} \cdots r_{i_d}^{t_d}$  for  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$  and  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_d \leq g$ . We also write the corresponding automorphism

$$(4) \quad \exp(t\hat{\delta}(d)) : (x, y, z) \mapsto (e^{t\hat{\delta}}x, e^{-t\hat{\delta}}y, z)$$

of the Heisenberg group (see §2.2.3 for its use).

**Main results.** One of the main objects in this paper is to construct finitely-additive measures defined on the space of rectangles on the Heisenberg manifold  $M$ . We state our results with an overview of Bufetov functional.

**Definition 1.1.** For  $(m, \mathbf{T}) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$ , denote the *standard rectangle* for action  $\mathbf{P}$ ,

$$(5) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X(m) = \{P_{\mathbf{t}}^{d,\alpha}(m) \mid \mathbf{t} \in U(\mathbf{T}) = [0, \mathbf{T}^{(1)}] \times \cdots \times [0, \mathbf{T}^{(d)}]\}.$$

Let  $Q_y^{d,Y} := \exp(y_1 Y_1 + \cdots + y_d Y_d)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an action generated by elements  $Y_i$  of standard basis. Let  $\phi_z^Z := \exp(zZ)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  be a flow generated by the central element  $Z$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the collection of the *generalized rectangles* in  $M$ . For any  $1 \leq j \leq d \leq g$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$ , we set

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{R} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq d} \bigcup_{(y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^j \times \mathbb{R}} \bigcup_{(m,\mathbf{T}) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+^d} \{(\phi_{t_i z}^Z) \circ Q_y^{j,Y} \circ P_{\mathbf{t}}^{d,\alpha}(m) \mid \mathbf{t} \in U(\mathbf{T})\}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** For any irreducible representation  $H$ , there exists a finitely-additive measure  $\hat{\beta}_H(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}$  defined on every standard  $d$ -rectangle  $\Gamma$  such that the following holds:

- (1) (Additive property) For any decomposition of disjoint rectangles  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i$  or whose intersections have zero measure,

$$\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma_i).$$

- (2) (Scaling property) For  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\hat{\beta}_H(r_{\mathbf{t}}(\alpha), \Gamma) = e^{-(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)/2} \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma).$$

- (3) (Invariance property) For any action  $Q_{\tau}^{j,Y}$  generated by  $Y_i$ 's for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+^j$  and  $1 \leq j \leq d$ ,

$$\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, (Q_{\tau}^{j,Y})_* \Gamma) = \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma).$$

- (4) (Bounded property) For any rectangle  $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{R}$ , there exists a constant  $C(\Gamma) > 0$  such that for  $\hat{X} = \hat{X}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{X}_d$ ,

$$|\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma)| \leq C(\Gamma) \left( \int_{\Gamma} |\hat{X}| \right)^{d/2}.$$

**Corollary 1.4.** The functional  $\hat{\beta}_H$  defined on standard rectangle  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X$  extends to the class  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Remark.** By the additive property of functionals on rectangles, it is plausible to extend the shape of the domain to a general boundary by approximation. However, this method may cause limitations on estimate by having a weaker bound for ergodic integrals. It may be also interesting to compare the methods of Shah [S09a, S09b] and to obtain effective equidistribution results for smooth (or Lipschitz) boundary in our settings.

Let us consider arbitrary two  $d$ -standard rectangles  $U(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and  $U(\mathbf{T}_2)$ . For convenience, these rectangles are translated to intersect at only one vertex as in the figure. Without loss of generality, assume that  $d$  distinct faces of  $U(\mathbf{T}_1)$  emanate




FIGURE 1. Illustration of the rectangles  $U(\mathbf{T}_1)$  and  $U(\mathbf{T}_2)$  on  $i, j$ -th coordinate.

from the origin and  $U(\mathbf{T}_1) \cap U(\mathbf{T}_2) = \{(\mathbf{T}_1^{(l)})_{1 \leq l \leq d}\}$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{T}_1)$  a collection of  $2^d$  vertices  $v = (v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  of  $U(\mathbf{T}_1)$ .

Then we define a vector  $\mathbf{T}_v = (\mathbf{T}_v^{(l)}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  associated with  $v$  given by

$$\mathbf{T}_v^{(l)} := \begin{cases} \mathbf{T}_2^{(l)} & \text{if } v^{(l)} = \mathbf{T}_1^{(l)} \\ \mathbf{T}_1^{(l)} & \text{if } v^{(l)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let us denote*

$$(7) \quad \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) := \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X(m)).$$

*The function  $\beta_H$  satisfies the following properties:*

(1) (Cocycle property) *For all  $(m, \mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+^d \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$ ,*

$$\beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2) = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{T}_1)} \beta_H(\alpha, \mathbf{P}_v^{d,\alpha}(m), \mathbf{T}_v).$$

(2) (Scaling property) *For all  $m \in M$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$ ,*

$$\beta_H(r_{\mathbf{t}}(\alpha), m, \mathbf{T}) = e^{-(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}).$$

(3) (Bounded property) *Let us denote the largest length of edges of  $U(\mathbf{T})$  by  $T_{\max} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \mathbf{T}^{(i)}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \leq CT_{\max}^{d/2}.$$

(4) (Orthogonality) *For all  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\beta_H(\alpha, \cdot, \mathbf{T})$  belongs to an irreducible component, i.e.,*

$$\beta_H(\alpha, \cdot, \mathbf{T}) \in H \subset L^2(M).$$

By representation theory introduced in §1.2.3, for any  $f = \sum_H f_H \in W^s(M)$ , define a *Bufetov cocycle* associated to  $f$  as a sum

$$(8) \quad \beta^f(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) = \sum_H D_{\alpha}^H(f) \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}).$$

*Notation.* Let  $\hat{X}_i^{\alpha}$  be a 1-form dual to the vector field  $X_i^{\alpha}$ , in the sense that  $\hat{X}_i^{\alpha}(X_i^{\alpha}) = 1$  and  $\hat{X}_i^{\alpha}(X_j^{\alpha}) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  on  $M$ . Given a Jordan region  $U$  and a point  $m \in M$ , set  $\mathcal{P}_U^{d,\alpha} m$  the *Birkhoff integrals* (currents) associated to the action  $\mathbf{P}_x^{d,\alpha}$  given by

$$\langle \mathcal{P}_U^{d,\alpha} m, \omega_f \rangle := \int_U f(\mathbf{P}_x^{d,\alpha} m) dx_1 \cdots dx_d, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for any degree  $d$   $\mathfrak{p}$ -form  $\omega_f = f \hat{X}_1^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{X}_d^\alpha$  with a smooth function  $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$  with zero averages.

**Theorem 1.6.** *For all  $s > d(d+11)/4 + g + 1$ , there exists a constant  $C_s > 0$  such that for almost all frequency  $\alpha$ , for all  $f \in W^s(M)$  and for all  $(m, \mathbf{T}) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have*

$$(9) \quad \left| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha} m, \omega_f \right\rangle - \beta^f(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \right| \leq C_s \|\omega_f\|_s$$

for  $U(\mathbf{T}) = [0, T^{(1)}] \times \cdots \times [0, T^{(d)}]$  and  $\omega_f = f \omega^{d,\alpha} \in \Lambda^d \mathfrak{p} \otimes W^s(M)$ .

The family of random variable

$$E_{\mathbf{T}_n}(f) := \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}_n))^{1/2}} \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T}_n)}^{d,\alpha}(m), \omega_f \right\rangle$$

is defined where  $U(\mathbf{T}_n)$  is a sequence of rectangles. The point  $m \in M$  is distributed accordingly to the probability measure  $\text{vol}$  on  $M$ . Our goal is to understand the asymptotic behavior of the probability distributions of  $E_{\mathbf{T}_n}(f)$  as  $U(\mathbf{T}_n) \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$  in a sense of Følner.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\{\mathbf{T}_n\}$  be any sequence such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{\log \mathbf{T}_n}[\alpha] = \alpha_\infty \in \mathfrak{M}_g.$$

*For every closed form  $\omega_f \in \Lambda^d \mathfrak{p} \otimes W^s(M)$  with  $s > d(d+11)/4 + g + 1$ , which is not a coboundary, the limit distribution of the family of random variables  $E_{\mathbf{T}_n}(f)$  exists along a subsequence of  $\{\mathbf{T}_n\}$ . In particular, for almost all  $\alpha$ , the limit distribution of  $E_{\mathbf{T}_n}(f)$  has compact support.*

We finish the section by giving some remarks on a new adaptation of transfer operator techniques from hyperbolic dynamics. The method stems from the analysis of the transfer operator, firstly treated by P. Giulietti and C. Liverani [GL19]. They set up a non-linear flow on the torus and proved asymptotics of ergodic averages with expansions of invariant distributions and eigenvalues of transfer operators called *Ruelle resonances* (see also [AB18, FGL19, For20b, B19] for applications in parabolic flows).

A recent work of L. Simonelli and O. Butterley [BS20] reproved some of the results of Flaminio and Forni [FF06] by analytical methods of hyperbolic theory, not relying on representation theory. Their work was restricted to a periodic type of flow, (the flow is only renormalized by partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism) but their methods showed indirect similarities with the work of Forni-Kanigowski [FK20b] and our current work.<sup>1</sup> However, it is still not known if it is possible to extend their approach to full measure set of automorphism  $\alpha$ , requiring an existence of new renormalization cocycle, so called *Transfer cocycle*. It is also not well-studied how to replace the use of Anisotropic norm, not relying on the previous result from Faure-Tsujii [FT15].<sup>2</sup>

On higher step nilmanifolds, there does not exist a renormalization flow (moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}$  is trivial). It is not possible to construct the Bufetov functionals

<sup>1</sup>For instance, it is plausible to view their construction of functionals obtained by spectral projection as a functional  $\hat{\beta}_H$  on each sub-representation  $H$  in our setting (see [BS20, §4]).

<sup>2</sup>Furthermore, instead of relying on the methods of Sobolev constant techniques introduced in [FF06], we do not have proper tools on Anisotropic spaces yet.

by the same strategies introduced in here, but other methods in handling non-renormalizable flows are possibly applied (cf. [FF14, FFT16, Kim21]).

*Outline of the paper.* In section 2, we give basic definitions on higher rank actions, moduli spaces and Sobolev spaces. In section 3, we state main theorems and prove constructions of Bufetov functionals with the properties. In section 4, we prove asymptotic formula of ergodic integrals and limit theorems for normalized ergodic integrals. In section 5, we prove  $L^2$ -lower bound of the Bufetov functionals on transverse torus to the actions. In section 6, analyticity of functional and extensions of domain are provided. In section 7, there exist measure estimates of functionals on the sets where values of functionals are small. This result only holds when frame  $\alpha$  is of bounded type.

## 2. ANALYSIS ON HEISENBERG MANIFOLDS

This section reviews the definitions of Sobolev space, currents, representation, and renormalization flows on moduli space.

### 2.1. Sobolev space.

**2.1.1. Sobolev norm.** Given a basis  $(V_i)$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}^g$ , we write a new basis  $((\alpha^{-1})_* V_i)$  for  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbf{H}^g)$ . Similarly, denote by Laplacian  $\Delta_\alpha = -\sum_i (\alpha^{-1})_* V_i^2$  with respect to the new basis. For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , *Sobolev norm* is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,s} = \langle f, (1 + \Delta_\alpha)^s f \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Let  $W_\alpha^s(M)$  be a completion of  $C^\infty(M)$  with the norm above. The dual space of  $W_\alpha^s(M)$  is denoted by  $W_\alpha^{-s}(M)$  and it is isomorphic to  $W_\alpha^s(M)$ . Extending it to the exterior algebra, define the Sobolev spaces of the form  $\Lambda^d \mathfrak{p} \otimes W_\alpha^s(M)$  of cochains of degree  $d$  and we use the same notations for the norm.

**2.1.2. Sobolev bundle.** The group  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on the right on the trivial bundles

$$Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}) \times W^s(M) \rightarrow Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$$

where

$$(\alpha, \varphi) \mapsto (\alpha, \varphi)\gamma = (\alpha\gamma, \gamma^* \varphi), \quad \gamma \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We obtain the quotient flat bundle of Sobolev spaces over the moduli space:

$$(Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}) \times W^s(M))/Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_g = Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})/Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$$

and the fiber over  $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{M}_g$  is locally identified with the space  $W_\alpha^s(M)$ .

By invariance of  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  action, the class of  $(\alpha, \varphi)$  is denoted by  $[\alpha, \varphi]$  and  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant Sobolev norm is written by

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,s} := \|[\alpha, f]\|_s.$$

We denote the bundle of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -forms of degree  $j$  of Sobolev order  $s$  by  $A^j(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^s)$ . The space of continuous linear functional on  $A^j(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^s)$  will be called the *space of currents* of dimension  $j$  and denoted by  $A_j(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^{-s})$ . There is a flat bundle of (currents) distribution  $A_j(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^{-s})$  whose fiber over  $[\alpha]$  is locally identified with the space  $W_\alpha^{-s}(M)$ . Likewise, the space of Sobolev currents  $A_j(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M))$  of dimension  $j$  with order  $s$  is identified with  $\Lambda^j \mathfrak{p} \otimes W^{-s}(M)$ . We write the norm for form  $\omega$  and currents  $\mathcal{D}$  by

$$\|\omega\|_{\alpha,s} := \|[\alpha, \omega]\|_s, \quad \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\alpha,s} := \|[\alpha, \mathcal{D}]\|_s.$$



2.1.3. *Best Sobolev constant.* The *Sobolev embedding theorem* implies that for any  $\alpha \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $s > g + 1/2$ , there exists a constant  $B_s(\alpha) > 0$  such that for any  $f \in W_\alpha^s(M)$ ,

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq B_s(\alpha) \|f\|_{\alpha,s}.$$

The *best Sobolev constant* is defined as the function on the  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$(10) \quad B_s(\alpha) := \sup_{f \in W_\alpha^s(M) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{\|f\|_{\alpha,s}}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 in [CF15], the best Sobolev constant  $B_s$  is a  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ -modular function on  $\mathfrak{H}_g$ . Thus, we shall write  $B_s([\alpha])$  or  $B_s([\alpha])$  for  $B_s(\alpha)$ . By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have a bound for the Birkhoff integral current.

**Lemma 2.1.** [CF15, Lemma 5.5] *For any Jordan region  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with Lebesgue measure  $|U|$ , for any  $s > g + 1/2$  and all  $m \in M$ ,*

$$\left\| [\alpha, \mathcal{P}_U^{d,\alpha} m] \right\|_{-s} \leq B_s([\alpha]) |U|.$$

## 2.2. Invariant currents.

2.2.1. *Identification.* The boundary operators

$$\partial : A_j(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M)) \rightarrow A_{j-1}(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M))$$

are adjoint of the differentials  $d$  such that  $\langle \partial \mathcal{D}, \omega \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D}, d\omega \rangle$  for any  $\omega \in \Lambda^{j-1} \mathfrak{p} \otimes W^s(M)$ . A current  $\mathcal{D}$  is called *closed* if  $\partial \mathcal{D} = 0$ .

For  $s > 0$ , we denote  $Z_d(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M))$  by the space of closed currents of dimension  $d$  and Sobolev order  $s$ .  $I_d(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M))$  is denoted by the  $d$ -dimensional space of P-invariant currents of Sobolev order  $s$ . Now we review the relations between these two currents.

**Proposition 2.2.** [CF15, Proposition 3.13] *For any  $s > d/2 = \dim \mathfrak{P}/2$ , we have  $I_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^g)) \subset W^{-d/2-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^g)$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Additionally,*

- $I_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^g))$  is one dimensional space if  $\dim \mathfrak{P} = g$ ,
- $I_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^g))$  is an infinite-dimensional space if  $\dim \mathfrak{P} < g$ ,
- $I_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^g)) = Z_d(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M))$  for any  $1 \leq d \leq g$ .

2.2.2. *Basic currents.* The current  $B_\alpha$  is called *basic* if for all  $j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_d\}$ ,

$$\iota_{X_j} B_\alpha = L_{X_j} B_\alpha = 0.$$

For an irreducible representation  $H$ , there exists a unique *basic current*  $B_\alpha^H$  (of degree  $2g + 1 - d$  and dimension  $d$ ) associated to an invariant distribution  $D_\alpha^H$ . It is defined by  $B_\alpha^H = D_\alpha^H \eta_X$  and this formula implies that for every  $d$ -form  $\xi$ ,

$$(11) \quad B_\alpha^H(\xi) = D_\alpha^H \left( \frac{\eta_X \wedge \xi}{\omega_{vol}} \right)$$

where  $\eta_X := \iota_{X_{i_1}} \cdots \iota_{X_{i_d}} \omega_{vol}$  and  $\omega_{vol}$  is an invariant volume form (with total unit volume).

The basic current  $B_\alpha^H$  belongs to the Sobolev space of currents and it is P-invariant. It follows that for all  $s > d/2$ , by Sobolev embedding theorem,  $B_\alpha^H \in A_j(\mathfrak{p}, W_\alpha^{-s}(M))$  if and only if  $D_\alpha^H \in W_\alpha^{-s}(M)$  for all  $s > d/2$ .

**Remark.** The formula (11) yields an isomorphism between the space of basic (closed) currents and invariant distributions (see also [For02, §6.1] and [BF14, §2.1]). For any  $d$ -dimensional  $\mathfrak{p}$ -form  $\omega_f = f \hat{X}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{X}_d$  with  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , we will identify currents  $\mathcal{D}$  with distribution  $D$  by writing

$$\langle D, f \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D}, \omega_f \rangle.$$

**2.2.3. Renormalization.** Let  $s > d/2$ . Recall the definition of renormalization flow (4) in §1.2.4. For  $\omega \in \Lambda^d \mathfrak{p} \otimes W^s(\mathbb{R}^g)$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \in Z_d(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M))$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$r_i^t[\alpha, \omega] = [r_i^t \alpha, \omega], \quad r_i^t[\alpha, \mathcal{D}] = [r_i^t \alpha, \mathcal{D}].$$

By Proposition 5.2 in [CF15], the sub-bundle  $Z_d(\mathfrak{p}, W^{-s}(M))$  is invariant under the renormalization flows  $r_i^t$ . Furthermore, we have

$$(12) \quad \|r_1^{t_1} \cdots r_d^{t_d}[\alpha, \mathcal{D}]\|_{-s} = e^{-(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)/2} \|[\alpha, \mathcal{D}]\|_{-s}.$$

By reparametrization of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -action  $r_{\mathbf{t}} = r_1^{t_1} \cdots r_d^{t_d}$ ,

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{P}_x^{d, (r_1^{t_1} \cdots r_d^{t_d} \alpha)} = \mathbf{P}_{(e^{-t_1} x_1, \dots, e^{-t_d} x_d)}^{d, \alpha}.$$

Then, denoting  $(e^{-t_1}, \dots, e^{-t_d})U$  diagonal automorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  applied to  $U$ , the Birkhoff integral current also satisfies the following identity

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{P}_U^{d, (r_1^{t_1} \cdots r_d^{t_d} \alpha)} m = e^{(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)} \mathcal{P}_{(e^{-t_1}, \dots, e^{-t_d})U}^{d, \alpha} m.$$

Let  $U_{\mathbf{t}} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a unitary operator for  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d)$ ,

$$(15) \quad U_{\mathbf{t}} f(x) = e^{(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)/2} f(e^{t_1} x_1, \dots, e^{t_d} x_d)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . This map intertwines  $\alpha = (X, Y, Z)$  and  $r_{\mathbf{t}}(\alpha) = (r_{\mathbf{t}} X, r_{-\mathbf{t}} Y, Z)$ . I.e their derived representation (3) intertwines by  $U_{\mathbf{t}}$ . Here we note that the domain of operator  $U_{\mathbf{t}}$  trivially extends by adding non-scaled coordinates to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^g)$  if  $d < g$ .

### 2.3. Diophantine condition.

#### 2.3.1. Height function.

**Definition 2.3** (Height function). The *height* of a point  $Z \in \mathfrak{H}_g$  in Siegel upper half space is the positive number

$$hgt(Z) := \det \Im(Z).$$

The *maximal height function*  $Hgt : \Sigma_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is the maximal height of a  $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  orbit of  $Z$ . That is, for the class of  $[Z] \in \Sigma_g$ ,

$$Hgt([Z]) := \max_{\gamma \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})} hgt(\gamma(Z)).$$

By Proposition 4.8 of [CF15], there exists a universal constant  $C(s) > 0$  such that the best Sobolev constant satisfies the estimate

$$(16) \quad B_s([\alpha]) \leq C(s) \cdot (Hgt([\alpha]))^{1/4}.$$

We rephrase Lemma 4.9 in [CF15] regarding the bound of renormalized height.

**Lemma 2.4.** For any  $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{M}_g$  and any  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ ,

$$(17) \quad Hgt([\exp(-\mathbf{t} \hat{\delta}(d)) \alpha]) \leq (\det(e^{\mathbf{t} \hat{\delta}}))^2 Hgt([\alpha]).$$

### 2.3.2. Diophantine condition.

**Definition 2.5.** An automorphism  $\alpha \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  or a point  $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{M}_g$  is  $\hat{\delta}(d)$ -Diophantine of type  $\sigma$  if there exists a  $\sigma > 0$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(18) \quad Hgt([\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))\alpha]) \leq CHgt([\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))])^{(1-\sigma)} Hgt([\alpha]), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d.$$

This states that  $\alpha \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $\hat{\delta}(d)$ -Diophantine if the height of the projection of  $\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))\alpha$  in the Siegel modular variety  $\Sigma_g$  is bounded by  $e^{2(t_1+\dots+t_d)(1-\sigma)}$ . Furthermore,

- $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{M}_g$  satisfies a  $\hat{\delta}(d)$ -Roth condition if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(19) \quad Hgt([\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))\alpha]) \leq CHgt([\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))])^\epsilon Hgt([\alpha]), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d.$$

That is,  $\hat{\delta}(d)$ -Diophantine of every type  $0 < \sigma < 1$ .

- $[\alpha]$  is of bounded type if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(20) \quad Hgt([\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))\alpha]) \leq C, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d.$$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $X = G/\Lambda$  be a homogeneous space equipped with the probability Haar measure  $\mu$ . A function  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said  $k$ -DL (distance like) for some exponent  $k > 0$  if it is uniformly continuous and if there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$C_1 e^{-kz} \leq \mu(\{x \in X \mid \phi(x) \geq z\}) \leq C_2 e^{-kz}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the work of Kleinblock and Margulis, a multi-parameter generalization of Khinchin-Sullivan logarithm law for geodesic excursion [Sul82] holds.

**Theorem 2.7.** [KM99, Theorem 1.9] Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors,  $\mu$  its normalized Haar measure,  $\Lambda \subset G$  an irreducible lattice,  $\mathfrak{a}$  a Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{d}_+$  be a non-empty open cone in a  $d$ -dimensional subalgebra  $\mathfrak{d}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}$  ( $1 \leq d \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(G)$ ). If  $\phi : G/\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $k$ -DL function for some  $k > 0$ , then for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in G/\Lambda$  one has

$$\limsup_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{d}_+, \mathbf{z} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(\exp(\mathbf{z})x)}{\log \|\mathbf{z}\|} = \frac{d}{k}$$

By Lemma 4.7 of [CF15], the logarithm of Height function is DL-function with exponent  $k = \frac{g+1}{2}$  on the Siegel variety  $\Sigma_g$  (and induces on  $\mathfrak{M}_g = Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})/Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ ). Hence, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.8.** Under the assumption  $X = \mathfrak{M}_g$  of Theorem 2.7, for  $s > g+1/2$ , there exists a full measure set  $\Omega_g(\hat{\delta})$  and for all  $[\alpha] \in \Omega_g(\hat{\delta}) \subset \mathfrak{M}_g$

$$(21) \quad \limsup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d, t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Hgt([\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))\alpha])}{\log \|t\|} \leq \frac{2d}{g+1}.$$

Any such  $[\alpha]$  satisfies the  $\hat{\delta}(d)$ -Roth condition (19).

For any  $L > 0$  and  $1 \leq d \leq g$ , let  $DC(L)$  denote the set of  $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{M}_g$  such that

$$(22) \quad \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty e^{-(t_1+\dots+t_d)/2} Hgt([r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)])^{1/4} dt_1 \dots dt_d \leq L.$$

Let  $DC$  denote the union of the sets  $DC(L)$  over all  $L > 0$ . It follows immediately that the set  $DC \subset \mathfrak{M}_g$  has full Haar volume.

**Remark.** In the work of Cosentino-Flaminio [CF15], Diophantine condition is restricted to one-parameter subgroup  $\exp(-t\hat{\delta})$  for non-negative ( $g$ -dimensional) diagonal directions. This is based on the easy part of theorem in Kleinblock and Margulis [KM99, Theorem 1.7]. Our condition is for  $d$ -dimensional renormalization actions. We will write the notation  $r_{-t}$  instead of  $\exp(-t\hat{\delta}(d))$  for later sections.

### 3. CONSTRUCTIONS OF THE FUNCTIONALS

In this section, we construct Bufetov functionals for higher rank actions on the standard rectangular domain. Then we verify the properties of functionals  $\hat{\beta}_H$  and cocycles  $\beta_H$  stated in Theorem 1.3.

**3.1. Remainder estimates.** For any exponent  $s > d/2$ , Hilbert bundle induces an orthogonal decomposition

$$A_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^{-s}) = Z_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^{-s}) \oplus R_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^{-s})$$

where  $R_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^{-s}) = Z_d(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{M}^{-s})^\perp$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{I}^{-s}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^{-s}$  the corresponding orthogonal projection operator and by  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{-s}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{-s}$  the restrictions to the fiber over  $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{M}$  for  $\alpha \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ . In particular, for the current (Birkhoff integrals)  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{P}_U^{d,\alpha} m$ , we call  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha^{-s}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{I}^{-s}[\alpha, \mathcal{D}]$  boundary term and  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^{-s}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{R}^{-s}[\alpha, \mathcal{D}]$  remainder term respectively. Consider the orthogonal decomposition of current

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}_{r_{-t}[\alpha]}^{-s}(\mathcal{D}) + \mathcal{R}_{r_{-t}[\alpha]}^{-s}(\mathcal{D}).$$

We firstly recall the following estimate of boundary term.

**Lemma 3.1.** [CF15, Lemma 5.7] *Let  $s > d/2 + 2$ . There exists a constant  $C = C(s) > 0$  such that for all  $t_i \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}^{-s}[\alpha, \mathcal{D}]\|_{-s} &\leq e^{-(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \|\mathcal{I}^{-s}[r_1^{-t_1} \dots r_d^{-t_d} \alpha, \mathcal{D}]\|_{-s} \\ &+ C_1 |t_1 + \dots + t_d| \int_0^1 e^{-u(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \|\mathcal{R}^{-s}[r_1^{-ut_1} \dots r_d^{-ut_d} \alpha, \mathcal{D}]\|_{-(s-2)} du. \end{aligned}$$

By Stokes' theorem, we have the following remainder estimate.

**Lemma 3.2.** [CF15, Lemma 5.6] *Let  $s > g + d/2 + 1$ . For any non-negative  $s' < s - (d+1)/2$  and Jordan region  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists  $C = C(d, g, s, s') > 0$  such that*

$$\left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[\alpha, (\mathcal{P}_U^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} \leq C \left\| [\alpha, \partial(\mathcal{P}_U^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s'}.$$

A quantitative bound of Birkhoff integrals on the square domain was obtained in [CF15], but we need to extend the result to the rectangular shapes for analyticity of functionals in the section §6.

From now on, assume that  $s_{d,g} := d(d+11)/4 + g + 1/2$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *For  $s > s_{d,g}$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(s, d) > 0$  such that the following holds. For any  $t_i > 0$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $U_d(t) = [0, e^{t_1}] \times \cdots \times [0, e^{t_d}]$ , we have*

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| [\alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t)}^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^d \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq d} \int_0^{t_{i_k}} \cdots \int_0^{t_{i_1}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^d t_l - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^k u_{i_l}\right) \\ &\quad \times Hgt\left(\left[\prod_{1 \leq j \leq d} r_j^{-t_j} \prod_{l=1}^k r_{i_l}^{u_{i_l}} \alpha\right]\right)^{1/4} du_{i_1} \cdots du_{i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. For  $d = 1$ , it follows from the Theorem 5.8 in [CF15]. We assume that the result holds for  $d - 1$ . Decompose the current as a sum of boundary and remainder term as in (23).

**Step 1.** We firstly estimate the boundary term. By Lemma 3.1, renormalize the terms with  $r^u = r_1^u \cdots r_d^u$ . Then, we have

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{I}^{-s}[\alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t)}^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} &\leq e^{-(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)/2} \left\| \mathcal{I}^{-s}[r_1^{-t_1} \cdots r_d^{-t_d} \alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t)}^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} \\ &\quad + C_1(s) \int_0^{t_1 + \cdots + t_d} e^{-ud/2} \left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[r^{-u} \alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t)}^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-(s-2)} du \\ &:= (I) + (II). \end{aligned}$$

By renormalization (14) and Lemma 2.1 for unit volume,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathcal{I}^{-s}[r_1^{-t_1} \cdots r_d^{-t_d} \alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t)}^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} \\ &= e^{t_1 + \cdots + t_d} \left\| \mathcal{I}^{-s}[r_1^{-t_1} \cdots r_d^{-t_d} \alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(0)}^{d, r_1^{-t_1} \cdots r_d^{-t_d}} \alpha m)] \right\|_{-s} \\ &\leq C_2 e^{t_1 + \cdots + t_d} Hgt\left(\left[[r_1^{-t_1} \cdots r_d^{-t_d} \alpha]\right]\right)^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(I) \leq C_2 e^{(t_1 + \cdots + t_d)/2} Hgt\left(\left[[r_1^{-t_1} \cdots r_d^{-t_d} \alpha]\right]\right)^{1/4},$$

where the sum corresponds to the first term ( $k = 0$ ) in the statement.

**Step 2.** To estimate (II), in view of (14) and Lemma 3.2 provided  $s' < (s - 2) - (d + 1)/2$ , we have

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[r^{-u} \alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t)}^{d,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-(s-2)} &= \left\| e^{ud} \mathcal{R}^{-s}[r^{-u} \alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t-u)}^{d, r^{-u}} \alpha m)] \right\|_{-(s-2)} \\ &\leq C_3(s, s') e^{ud} \left\| [r^{-u} \alpha, \partial(\mathcal{P}_{U_d(t-u)}^{d, r^{-u}} \alpha m)] \right\|_{-s'}. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary  $\partial(\mathcal{P}_{U_d}^{d, r^{-t}} \alpha)$  is the sum of  $2d$  currents of dimension  $d - 1$ . These currents are Birkhoff sums of  $d$  face subgroups obtained from  $\mathcal{P}_{U_d}^{d, r^{-t}} \alpha$  by omitting one of the base vector fields  $X_i$ . It is reduced to  $(d - 1)$  dimensional shape obtained from  $U_d(t - u) := [0, e^{t_1 - u}] \times \cdots \times [0, e^{t_d - u}]$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , there are Birkhoff sums along  $d - 1$  dimensional cubes. By induction hypothesis, we add all the  $d - 1$

dimensional cubes by adding all the terms along  $j$ :

(27)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| [r^{-u} \alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_{d-1}(t-u)}^{d-1, r^{-u} \alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s'} &\leq C_4(s', d-1) \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d \\ i_l \neq j, \forall l}} \int_0^{t_{i_k}-u} \dots \int_0^{t_{i_1}-u} \\ &\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^d (t_l - u) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^k u_{i_l}\right) \text{Hgt}\left(\left[\prod_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq d \\ l \neq j}} r_l^{-(t_l-u)} \prod_{l=1}^k r_{i_l}^{u_{i_l}} (r^{-u} \alpha)\right]\right)^{1/4} du_{i_1} \dots du_{i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (25) and (26), we obtain the estimate for (II).

$$\begin{aligned} (II) &\leq C_5(s', d-1) \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d \\ i_l \neq j}} \int_0^{t_1+\dots+t_d} \int_0^{t_{i_k}-u} \dots \int_0^{t_{i_1}-u} du_{i_1} \dots du_{i_k} du \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^d t_l - \frac{1}{2} u - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^k u_{i_l}\right) \text{Hgt}\left(\left[\prod_{1 \leq l \leq d} r_l^{-t_l} \prod_{l=1}^k r_{i_l}^{u_{i_l}} (r_j^{-u+t_j} \alpha)\right]\right)^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the change of variable  $u_j = t_j - u$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (II) &\leq C_6(s', d-1) \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d \\ i_l \neq j}} \\ &\times \left( \int_{-(t_1+\dots+t_d)+t_j}^{t_j} \int_0^{t_{i_k}-u} \dots \int_0^{t_{i_1}-u} du_{i_1} \dots du_{i_k} du_j \right. \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} (t_1 + \dots + t_d) - \frac{1}{2} u_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^k u_{i_l}\right) \text{Hgt}\left(\left[\prod_{1 \leq l \leq d} r_l^{-t_l} \prod_{l=1}^k r_{i_l}^{u_{i_l}} (r_j^{-u_j} \alpha)\right]\right)^{1/4} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying multi-summation above, (with  $-(t_1 + \dots + t_d) + t_j \leq 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} (II) &\leq C_7(s', d) \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d} \int_0^{t_{i_k}} \dots \int_0^{t_{i_1}} du_{i_1} \dots du_{i_k} \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} (t_1 + \dots + t_d) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^k u_{i_l}\right) \text{Hgt}\left(\left[\prod_{1 \leq l \leq d} r_l^{-t_l} \prod_{l=1}^k r_{i_l}^{u_{i_l}} \alpha\right]\right)^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

**Step 3.** Now we turn to estimate of remainder terms. By Lemma 3.2, the remainder term of  $d$ -dimensional rectangles (cube) decomposes to lower dimensional boundary and remainder terms (up to dimension 1). Combining with the estimate from step 1 and 2, we have the following

(28)

$$\left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[\alpha, \partial(\mathcal{P}_{U_d}^{d, \alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} \leq C(s) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left\| \mathcal{I}^{-s}[\alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_i}^{i, \alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} + \left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[\alpha, (\mathcal{P}_{U_1}^{1, \alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s}$$

where  $U_i$  is  $i$ -dimensional rectangle. The sum of the boundary terms is absorbed in the bound of (I) + (II). For 1-dimensional remainder with interval  $\Gamma_T$ , the boundary is a 0-dimensional current. Then,

$$\langle \partial(\mathcal{P}_{[0,T]}^{1, \alpha} m), f \rangle = f(\mathbf{P}_T^{1, \alpha} m) - f(m).$$

Hence, by Sobolev embedding theorem and by definition of Sobolev constant (10) and (16),

$$\left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[\alpha, \partial(\mathcal{P}_{[0,T]}^{1,\alpha} m)] \right\|_{-s} \leq 2B_s([\alpha]) \leq C(s)Hgt([\alpha])^{1/4}.$$

Then, by inequality (17)

$$\begin{aligned} C(s)Hgt([\alpha])^{1/4} &= C(s)Hgt([r_{\mathbf{t}}r_{-\mathbf{t}}\alpha])^{1/4} \\ &\leq C(s)e^{(t_1+\dots+t_d)/2}Hgt([r_1^{-t_1}\dots r_d^{-t_d}\alpha])^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that 1-dimensional remainder has the same type of bound (I). Therefore, the theorem follows from combining all the terms (I), (II), and  $d$ -dimensional remainder (28).  $\square$

**Remark.** It is also reasonable to decompose the rectangle  $U_d(t)$  as a union of several squares and renormalize their faces. However, it may involve computational difficulties. Plus, an approach of simply summing up squares may provide a weaker upper bound of ergodic integrals. To obtain a necessary bound for the Lemma 3.4, we rather simply generalized the strategy of Theorem 5.10 in [CF15].

Let us set

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t},s}(\Gamma) := \left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha), (\mathcal{P}_{U_\Gamma}^{d,r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)} m)] \right\|_{-(s+1)}.$$

Now we prove the remainder estimate that will be used in (36).

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $s > s_{d,g}$ . There exists a constant  $C(s, \Gamma) > 0$  such that for any rectangle  $U_\Gamma = [0, e^{\Gamma_1}] \times \dots \times [0, e^{\Gamma_d}]$ ,*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t},s}(\Gamma) \leq C(s, \Gamma)Hgt([r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)])^{1/4}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2, we estimate the bound of  $d-1$  (renormalized) currents instead of  $U_\Gamma$ . Then, by Theorem 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}(\Gamma) &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d-1} \int_0^{\Gamma_{i_k}} \dots \int_0^{\Gamma_{i_1}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{d-1} \Gamma_l - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^k u_{i_l}\right) \\ &\quad \times Hgt\left(\left[\prod_{1 \leq j \leq d-1} r_j^{-\Gamma_j} \prod_{l=1}^k r_{i_l}^{u_{i_l}}(r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha))\right]\right)^{1/4} du_{i_1} \dots du_{i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (17) for  $0 \leq k \leq d-1$ ,

$$Hgt\left(\left[\prod_{1 \leq j \leq d-1} r_j^{-\Gamma_j} \prod_{l=1}^k r_{i_l}^{u_{i_l}}(r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha))\right]\right)^{1/4} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{l=1}^k u_{i_l} - \sum_{l=1}^d \Gamma_l)} Hgt([r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)])^{1/4}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (30) \quad &\left\| \mathcal{R}^{-s}[r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha), (\mathcal{P}_{U_\Gamma}^{d,r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)} m)] \right\|_{-s} \\ &\leq C(s) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d-1} \prod_{l=1}^k \Gamma_{i_l} \right) Hgt([r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)])^{1/4} \\ &\leq C(s, \Gamma) Hgt([r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)])^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

**3.2. Constructions of the functionals.** For fixed  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(H^g)$ , let  $\Pi_H^{-s} : A_d(\mathfrak{p}, W_\alpha^{-s}(M)) \rightarrow A_d(\mathfrak{p}, W_\alpha^{-s}(H))$  denote the orthogonal projection on a single irreducible unitary representation  $H$ . We further decompose this projection operator with the basic current  $B_\alpha^{-s,H}$  and its remainder  $R_\alpha^{-s,H}$  given by

$$\Pi_H^{-s} = \mathcal{B}_{H,\alpha}^{-s}(\Gamma) B_\alpha^{-s,H} + R_\alpha^{-s,H}.$$

The map  $\mathcal{B}_{H,\alpha}^{-s} : A_d(\mathfrak{p}, W_\alpha^{-s}(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denotes the orthogonal component in the direction of basic current, supported on a single irreducible unitary representation.

The Bufetov functionals on the standard rectangle  $\Gamma$  are defined for all  $[\alpha] \in DC$  as follows.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $[\alpha] \in DC(L)$ . For  $s > s_{d,g}$ , the limit*

$$\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma) = \lim_{t_1, \dots, t_d \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \mathcal{B}_{H, r_t(\alpha)}^{-s}(\Gamma)$$

*exists and it defines a finitely-additive measure on the set of standard rectangles. Moreover, there exists a constant  $C(s, \Gamma) > 0$  such that the following estimate holds:*

$$(31) \quad \left\| \Pi_{H,\alpha}^{-s}(\Gamma) - \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma) B_\alpha^H \right\|_{\alpha, -s} \leq C(s, \Gamma)(1 + L).$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, we omit dependence of  $H$ . For every  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have the following orthogonal splitting:

$$\Pi_{H,\alpha}^{-s}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}^{-s}(\Gamma) B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} + R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}^{-s} := \mathcal{B}_{H, r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)}^{-s}, \quad B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} := B_{r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)}^{-s,H}, \quad R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} := R_{r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)}^{-s,H}.$$

For any  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(\Gamma) B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}} + R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}} = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}^{-s}(\Gamma) B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} + R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}.$$

By reparametrization (12), we have  $B_{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}} = e^{-(h_1 + \dots + h_d)/2} B_{\mathbf{t}}$  and

$$(32) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(\Gamma) = e^{(h_1 + \dots + h_d)/2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}^{-s}(\Gamma) + \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}})$$

and it follows that

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(\Gamma) = e^{h_1/2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) + \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}).$$

By differentiating at  $h_1 = 0$ ,

$$(33) \quad \frac{d}{dt_1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) + \left[ \frac{d}{dh_1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}) \right]_{h_1=0}.$$

Therefore, we solve the following first order ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt_1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) + \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t},s}^{(1)}(\Gamma)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t},s}^{(1)}(\Gamma) := \left[ \frac{d}{dh_1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}) \right]_{h_1=0}.$$

Then, the solution of the differential equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) &= e^{t_1/2} \left( \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) + \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\tau_1/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(1)}(\Gamma) d\tau_1 \right) \\ &= e^{t_1/2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) + \int_0^{t_1} e^{(t_1-\tau_1)/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(1)}(\Gamma) d\tau_1. \end{aligned}$$



Note by reparametrization

$$e^{t_1/2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0,t_2+h_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) = e^{h_2/2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2,t_3+h_3,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma)$$

and it is possible to differentiate the previous equation at  $h_2 = 0$  again. Then

$$(34) \quad \frac{d}{dt_2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2,t_3+h_3,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s} + \int_0^{t_1} e^{(t_1-\tau_1)/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(2)}(\Gamma) d\tau_1$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(2)}(\Gamma) = [\frac{d}{dh_2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(1)}(\Gamma)]_{h_2=0}$ .

Then, the solution of equation (34) is obtained by reparametrization

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s}(\Gamma) \\ &= e^{t_2/2} \left( \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,0,t_3+h_3,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s} + \int_0^{t_2} e^{-\tau_2/2} \int_0^{t_1} e^{(t_1-\tau_1)/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(2)}(\Gamma) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \right) \\ &= e^{h_3/2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,t_1,t_2,t_3,\dots,t_d+h_d}^{-s} + \int_0^{t_2} e^{(t_2-\tau_2)/2} \int_0^{t_1} e^{(t_1-\tau_1)/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(2)}(\Gamma) d\tau_1 d\tau_2. \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, we solve the first order ODE repeatedly and obtain the following solution

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}^{-s}(\Gamma) = e^{(t_1+\dots+t_d)/2} \left( \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}^{-s} + \int_0^{t_d} \dots \int_0^{t_1} e^{-(\tau_1+\dots+\tau_d)/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\tau,s}^{(d)}(\Gamma) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_d \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t},s}^{(d)}(\Gamma) = \left[ \frac{d}{dh_d} \dots \frac{d}{dh_1} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}) \right]_{h_d,\dots,h_1=0}.$$

Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}$  denote the inner product in the space of Hilbert current  $A_d(\mathfrak{p}, W_{r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)}^{-s}(H))$ . By the intertwining formula (15),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}) &= \langle R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}, \frac{B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}}{|B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}|_{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^2} \rangle_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}} \\ &= \langle R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \circ U_{-\mathbf{h}}, \frac{B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}} \circ U_{-\mathbf{h}}}{|B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}|_{\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^2} \rangle_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \\ &= \langle R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \circ U_{-\mathbf{h}}, \frac{B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}}{|B_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}|_{\mathbf{t}}^2} \rangle_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \circ U_{-\mathbf{h}}). \end{aligned}$$

In the sense of distributions,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dh_d} \dots \frac{d}{dh_1} (R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \circ U_{-\mathbf{h}}) &= -R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \circ \left( \frac{d}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^d X_i(t) \right) \circ U_{-\mathbf{h}} \\ &= \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d X_i(t) - \frac{d}{2} \right) R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \right] \circ U_{-\mathbf{h}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we compute

$$\left[ \frac{d}{dh_d} \dots \frac{d}{dh_1} (\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{h}}^{-s}(R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}})) \right]_{\mathbf{h}=0} = -\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}}^{-s} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^d X_i(t) - \frac{d}{2} \right) R_{\alpha,\mathbf{t}} \right).$$

Recall by (29) that  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \mathbf{t}, s}(\Gamma) = \|\mathcal{R}_{\alpha, \mathbf{t}}^{-s}\|_{r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha), -(s+1)}$ . By Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 3.4,

$$(36) \quad |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \mathbf{t}}^{-s}((\sum_{i=1}^d X_i(t) - \frac{d}{2})R_{\alpha, \mathbf{t}})| \leq \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \mathbf{t}, s}(\Gamma) \leq C(s, \Gamma) Hgt([r_{-\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)])^{1/4}.$$

Therefore, the solution of equation (35) exists under Diophantine condition (22) and the following holds:

$$\lim_{t_1, \dots, t_d \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \mathbf{t}}^{-s}(\Gamma) = \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma).$$

Moreover, the complex number

$$\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma) = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, 0}^{-s} + \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty e^{-(\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_d)/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \tau, s}(\Gamma) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_d$$

depends continuously on  $\alpha \in DC(L)$ . Since we have

$$\Pi_{H, \alpha}^{-s}(\Gamma) - \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma) B_\alpha^H = R_0 - \left( \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty e^{-(\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_d)/2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \tau, s}(\Gamma) d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_d \right) B_\alpha^H,$$

by the Diophantine condition again,

$$\left\| \Pi_{H, \alpha}^{-s}(\Gamma) - \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma) B_\alpha^H \right\|_{\alpha, -s} \leq C(s, \Gamma)(1 + L).$$

□

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from the refinement to the constructions of Bufetov functionals (see also [BF14, §2.5] for horocycle flows).

*Notation.* The action of flow  $\{r_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on a current  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined by pull-back as follows:

$$(r_t^* \mathcal{C})(\omega) = \mathcal{C}(r_{-t}^* \omega), \quad \text{for any smooth form } \omega.$$

**Lemma 3.6** (Invariance). *Let  $1 \leq d \leq g$ . The functional  $\hat{\beta}_H$  defined on  $d$ -standard rectangle  $\Gamma = \Gamma_T^X$  is invariant under the action of  $(\mathbb{Q}_y^{j, Y})$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^j$  and  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . That is,*

$$\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, (\mathbb{Q}_y^{j, Y})_* \Gamma) = \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma).$$

*Proof.* We will prove the functional  $\hat{\beta}_H$  on the rectangle  $(\mathbb{Q}_y^{j, Y})_* \Gamma$  exists and prove its invariance property under the action  $\mathbb{Q}_y^{j, Y}$ . It suffices to verify the invariance under the rank 1 action  $\mathbb{Q}_\tau^{1, Y}$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  since we can apply the statement for  $d$ -rank actions repeatedly.

Given a standard  $d$ -dimensional rectangle  $\Gamma$ , set  $\Gamma_Q := (\mathbb{Q}_\tau^{1, Y})_* \Gamma$ . Let  $D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q)$  be the  $(d+1)$  dimensional space spanned by trajectories of the action of  $\mathbb{Q}_\tau^{1, Y}$  projecting  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma_Q$ .  $D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q)$  is a union of all orbits  $I$  of action  $\mathbb{Q}_\tau^{1, Y}$  such that the boundary of  $I$  ( $d$ -dimensional faces) is contained in  $\Gamma \cup \Gamma_Q$ . Then the interior of  $I$  is disjoint from  $\Gamma \cup \Gamma_Q$  and  $D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q)$  is defined by integration, i.e it is a  $(d+1)$ -current.

For convenience, let us denote renormalization flow by  $r_t := r_i^t$  for some  $i$ -th coordinates. Then,  $r_{-t}(\Gamma)$  and  $r_{-t}(\Gamma_Q)$  are respectively the support of the currents  $r_t^* \Gamma$  and  $r_t^* \Gamma_Q$ . Thus, we have the following identity

$$r_t^* D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q) = D(r_{-t}(\Gamma), r_{-t}(\Gamma_Q)).$$




FIGURE 2. Illustration of the standard  $d$ -rectangles  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_Q$ ,  $d+1$  dimensional current  $D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q)$  and supports of  $r_{-t}(\Gamma)$  and  $r_{-t}(\Gamma_Q)$ .

Since the current  $\partial D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q) - (\Gamma - \Gamma_Q)$  is composed of orbits for the action  $Q_\tau^{1,Y}$ , it follows that

$$(37) \quad \partial[r_t^* D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q)] - (r_t^* \Gamma - r_t^* \Gamma_Q) = r_t^* [\partial D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q) - (\Gamma - \Gamma_Q)] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, we turn to prove the volume of  $D(r_{-t}(\Gamma), r_{-t}(\Gamma_Q))$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t > 0$ . For any  $p \in \Gamma$ , set  $\tau(p)$  be the length of arc on  $D_Q := D(\Gamma, \Gamma_Q)$  and  $\tau_\Gamma := \sup\{\tau(p) \mid p \in \Gamma\} < \infty$ . We write

$$\text{vol}_{d+1}(D_Q) = \int_\Gamma \tau d\text{vol}_d.$$

Since  $\text{vol}_d(r_{-t}(\Gamma)) \leq e^t \text{vol}_d(\Gamma)$ ,

$$(38) \quad \text{vol}_{d+1}(r_{-t}(D_Q)) = \int_{r_{-t}(\Gamma)} \tau d\text{vol}_d \leq \tau_\Gamma e^{-t} \text{vol}_d(r_{-t}(\Gamma)) \leq \tau_\Gamma \text{vol}_d(\Gamma) < \infty.$$

Note that  $d$ -dimensional current  $(Q_\tau^{1,Y})_* \Gamma - \Gamma$  is equal to the boundary of the  $(d+1)$  dimensional current  $D_Q$ . By the same argument in remainder estimate (see Lemma 2.1 and (16)),

$$(39) \quad \|(Q_\tau^{1,Y})_* \Gamma - \Gamma\|_{r_{-t}(\alpha), -s} \leq C_s \tau B_s([r_{-t}\alpha]) \leq C_s \tau \text{Hgt}([r_{-t}\alpha])^{1/4}$$

is finite for all  $t > 0$ .

Then, by (37), (38) and existence of Bufetov functional  $\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma)$ , the last inequality holds:

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{\alpha, t}^{-s}((Q_\tau^{1,Y})_* \Gamma) - \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, t}^{-s}(\Gamma)\|_{\alpha, -s} < \infty.$$

Therefore, by the definition of Bufetov functional in the Lemma 3.5,  $\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, (Q_\tau^{1,Y})_* \Gamma)$  exists and  $\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma)$  is invariant under the action of  $Q_\tau^{1,Y}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3. Additive property.* It follows from the linearity of projections and limit.

*Scaling property.* It is immediate from the definition.

*Bounded property.* By the scaling property, for  $r_t = r_1^t \cdots r_d^t$  with  $t > 0$ ,

$$\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma) = e^{dt/2} \hat{\beta}_H(r_t(\alpha), \Gamma).$$

Choose  $t = \log(\int_\Gamma |\hat{X}|)$  and  $\hat{X} = \hat{X}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{X}_d$ , then Bufetov functional on the rectangle  $\Gamma$  is bounded:

$$|\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma)| \leq C(\Gamma) \left( \int_\Gamma |\hat{X}| \right)^{d/2}.$$

*Invariance property.* Now it follows directly from the Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

*Notation.* We write for  $\mathbf{T} = (T^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$(40) \quad \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T})) := \prod_{i=1}^d T^{(i)}, \quad \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{t})) = \prod_{i=1}^d t_i.$$

Now we extend the properties of functional  $\hat{\beta}_H$  to cocycle  $\beta_H$ .

*Proof of Corollary 1.5.* Cocycle property of  $\beta_H$  follows from the additive property of  $\hat{\beta}_H$ . Scaling and bounded properties are immediate.

Denote  $\mathbf{tT} := (t_1 T^{(1)}, \dots, t_d T^{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ , and we obtain

$$(41) \quad \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{tT}) = \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} \beta_H(r_{\log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha), m, \mathbf{t}).$$

By Lemma 3.5 and scaling property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha^H(f) \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{t}) &= \lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}} \beta_H(r_{-\log \mathbf{t}}(\alpha), m, \mathbf{tT}) \\ &= \lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}} \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{tT})}^{d, r_{-\log \mathbf{t}}(\alpha)} m, \omega_{f_H} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\beta_H(\alpha, \cdot, \mathbf{t}) \in H$  as a point-wise limit of Birkhoff integrals. This implies orthogonal property.  $\square$

For  $\gamma \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$\beta_H(\gamma\alpha, \gamma(m), \mathbf{T}) = \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}).$$

It means that the function  $\beta_H(\cdot, m, \mathbf{T})$  is well-defined on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_g$ .

**3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.6.** We define the excursion function

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \mathbf{T}) &:= \int_0^{\log T^{(d)}} \dots \int_0^{\log T^{(1)}} e^{-(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \text{Hgt}([r_{\mathbf{t} - \log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha)])^{1/4} dt_1 \dots dt_d \\ &= \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} \int_0^{\log T^{(d)}} \dots \int_0^{\log T^{(1)}} e^{(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \text{Hgt}([r_{\mathbf{t}}(\alpha)])^{1/4} dt_1 \dots dt_d. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that the cocycle  $\beta^f$  in form of (8) is defined by a uniformly convergent series.

**Lemma 3.7.** *For any Diophantine  $[\alpha] \in DC(L)$ ,*

$$|\beta^f(\alpha, m, \mathbf{tT})| \leq C_s \left( L + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} (1 + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{t})) + E_{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \mathbf{T})) \right) \|f\|_{\alpha, s}$$

for any  $f \in W^s(M)$  and  $s > s_{d,g} + 1/2$ .

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 3.5, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that whenever  $[\alpha] \in DC(L)$ ,

$$(42) \quad |\beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{t})| \leq C(1 + L + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{t}))), \quad (m, \mathbf{t}) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+^d.$$

By Diophantine condition (22),  $[r_{\log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha)] \in DC(L_{\mathbf{T}})$  and

$$(43) \quad L_{\mathbf{T}} \leq L \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{-1/2} + E_{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \mathbf{T}).$$

Thus by (42), for all  $(m, \mathbf{t}) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we obtain

$$|\beta_H(r_{\log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha), m, \mathbf{t})| \leq C(1 + L_{\mathbf{T}} + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{t}))).$$

By the scaling property (41), it follows that for all  $s > s_{d,g}$  and  $q > 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta^f(\alpha, m, \mathbf{t}\mathbf{T})| &\leq C_s \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} (1 + L + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{t}))) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_n\|_{\alpha, s} \\ &\leq C_s \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} (1 + L_{\mathbf{T}} + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{t}))) \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + n^2)^q \right)^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| (1 - Z^2)^{q/2} f_n \right\|_{\alpha, s}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all  $s' = s_{d,g} + q$ , there exists a constant  $C_{s'} > 0$  such that

$$|\beta^f(\alpha, m, \mathbf{t}\mathbf{T})| \leq C_{s'} \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} (1 + L_{\mathbf{T}} + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{t}))) \|f\|_{\alpha, s'}.$$

By combining it with (43), we obtain the statement.  $\square$

By Lemma 3.5, 3.7 and identification of the norm for the form  $\omega_f$ , asymptotic formula on each irreducible component provides the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.8.** *For all  $s > s_{d,g} + 1/2$ , there exists a constant  $C_s > 0$  such that for all  $[\alpha] \in DC(L)$ , for all  $f \in W_{\alpha}^s(M)$  and for all  $(m, \mathbf{T}) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have*

$$(44) \quad \left| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d, \alpha} m, \omega_f \right\rangle - \beta^f(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \right| \leq C_s (1 + L) \|\omega_f\|_{\alpha, s}$$

for  $U(\mathbf{T}) = [0, T^{(1)}] \times \cdots \times [0, T^{(d)}]$  and  $\omega_f = f \omega^{d, \alpha} \in \Lambda^d \mathfrak{p} \otimes W_{\alpha}^s(M)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* The theorem follows from Corollary 3.8 for  $\alpha \in \bigcup_{L>0} DC(L)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. LIMIT DISTRIBUTIONS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7, limit distribution of normalized ergodic integrals of higher rank actions on the standard rectangles.

##### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.7.

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exists a continuous modular function  $\theta_H : \text{Aut}_0(\mathbf{H}^g) \rightarrow H \subset L^2(M)$  such that for any  $\omega_f = f \omega^{d, \alpha} \in \Lambda^d \mathfrak{p} \otimes W_{\alpha}^s(H)$  with  $s > d/2$ ,*

$$(45) \quad \lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}} \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d, \alpha}(\cdot), \omega_f \right\rangle - \theta_H(r_{\log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha)) D_{\alpha}^H(f) \right\|_{L^2(M)} = 0.$$

The family  $\{\theta_H(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbf{H}^g)\}$  has a constant norm in  $L^2(M)$ .

*Proof.* By the Fourier transform, the space of smooth vectors and Sobolev space  $W^s(H)$  is represented as the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i^2} + u_i^2 \right) \right)^{s/2} \hat{f}(u) \right|^2 du < \infty.$$

Let  $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then we claim for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exists a function  $\theta(\alpha)(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}} \int_{U(\mathbf{T})} f(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t} - \theta_H(r_{\log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha))(\mathbf{u}) \text{Leb}(f) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mathbf{u})} = 0.$$

This is equivalent to the statement (45). By the standard Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , equivalently

$$\lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}} \int_{U(\mathbf{T})} e^{it \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}} \hat{f}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) dt - \hat{\theta}_H(r_{\log} \mathbf{T}(\alpha))(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) \hat{f}(0) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\hat{\mathbf{u}})} = 0.$$

For  $\chi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\hat{\mathbf{u}})$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = (\hat{u}_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ , we denote

$$\chi_j(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = \frac{e^{i\hat{u}_j} - 1}{i\hat{u}_j}, \quad \chi(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{j=1}^d \chi_j(\hat{\mathbf{u}}).$$

Let  $\hat{\theta}(\alpha)(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) := \chi(\hat{\mathbf{u}})$  for all  $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Now we will compute  $\theta(r_{\log} \mathbf{T}(\alpha))$ . By intertwining formula (15) for  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$U_{\mathbf{T}}(f)(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = \prod_{i=1}^d (T^{(i)})^{1/2} f(\mathbf{T}\hat{\mathbf{u}}), \text{ for } \mathbf{T}\hat{\mathbf{u}} = (T^{(1)}\hat{u}_1, \dots, T^{(d)}\hat{u}_d).$$

Then, for all  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbf{H}^g)$ ,

$$\hat{\theta}(r_{\log} \mathbf{T}(\alpha))(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = U_{\mathbf{T}}(\chi)(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} \chi(\mathbf{T}\hat{\mathbf{u}}).$$

The function  $\theta(\alpha)$  is defined by inverse Fourier transform of  $\hat{\theta}(\alpha)$  and

$$\|\theta_H(\alpha)\|_H = \|\theta(\alpha)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\hat{\theta}(\alpha)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\chi(\hat{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\hat{\mathbf{u}})} = C > 0.$$

By integration,

$$\begin{aligned} (46) \quad & \int_0^{T^{(d)}} \dots \int_0^{T^{(1)}} e^{it \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}} \hat{f}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) dt = \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T})) \chi(\mathbf{T}\hat{\mathbf{u}}) \hat{f}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) \\ & = \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T})) \chi(\mathbf{T}\hat{\mathbf{u}}) (\hat{f}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) - \hat{f}(0)) + \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} \hat{\theta}(r_{\log} \mathbf{T}(\alpha))(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) \hat{f}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Then the claim reduces to the following:

$$\limsup_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} \chi(\mathbf{T}\hat{\mathbf{u}}) (\hat{f}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) - \hat{f}(0)) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^s(\mathbb{R}^g)$  with  $s > d/2$ , function  $\hat{f} \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and bounded. Thus, by Dominated convergence theorem and change of variables,

$$\left\| \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} \chi(\mathbf{T}\hat{\mathbf{u}}) (\hat{f}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) - \hat{f}(0)) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\hat{\mathbf{u}})} = \left\| \chi(\nu) \left( \hat{f}\left(\frac{\nu}{\mathbf{T}}\right) - \hat{f}(0) \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\nu)} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

**Corollary 4.2.** *For any  $s > d/2$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbf{H}^g)$  and  $f \in W_{\alpha}^s(H)$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}} \left\| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d, \alpha} m, \omega_f \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2(M)} = C |D_{\alpha}^H(f)|.$$

By Corollary 4.2, we derive the following limit result for the  $L^2$ -norm of Bufetov functionals.

**Corollary 4.3.** *For every irreducible component  $H$  and  $[\alpha] \in DC$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}} \|\beta_H(\alpha, \cdot, \mathbf{T})\|_{L^2(M)} = C.$$

*Proof.* By the normalization of invariant distribution in Sobolev space, for any  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^g)$ , there exists a function  $f_\alpha^H \in W_\alpha^s(H)$  such that  $D_\alpha(f_\alpha^H) = \|f_\alpha^H\|_s = 1$ . For all  $[\alpha] \in DC(L)$ , by asymptotic formula (44) for  $f = f_H$ ,

$$\left| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha} m, \omega_f \right\rangle - \beta^f(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \right| \leq C_s(1 + L).$$

Therefore,  $L^2$ -estimate follows from Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

A relation between the functional  $\beta_H$  and the modular function  $\theta_H$  is established below.

**Corollary 4.4.** *For every irreducible component  $H \subset L^2(M)$ , the following holds. For any  $L > 0$  and any  $r_{\mathbf{t}}$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  supported on  $DC(L) \subset \mathfrak{M}_g$ ,*

$$\beta_H(\alpha, \cdot, 1) = \theta_H(\alpha)(\cdot), \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-almost all } [\alpha] \in \mathfrak{M}_g.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 4.1, there exists a constant  $C_\mu > 0$  such that for all  $[\alpha] \in \text{supp}(\mu) \subset DC(L)$  and  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ , we have

$$(47) \quad \lim_{|U(\mathbf{T})| \rightarrow \infty} \|\beta_H(r_{\log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha), \cdot, 1) - \theta_H(r_{\log \mathbf{T}}(\alpha))\|_{L^2(M)} \leq \frac{C_\mu}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}}.$$

By Luzin's theorem, for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $E(\delta) \subset \mathfrak{M}$  such that we have the measure bound  $\mu(\mathfrak{M} \setminus E(\delta)) < \delta$  and the function  $\beta_H(\alpha, \cdot, 1) \in L^2(M)$  depends continuously on  $[\alpha] \in E(\delta)$ . By Poincaré recurrence, there is a full measure set  $F \subset \mathfrak{M}$  for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -action. Denote by a full measure set  $E'(\delta) = E(\delta) \cap F \subset E(\delta)$ .

For every  $\alpha_0 \in E'(\delta)$ , there is a divergent sequence  $(t_n)$  such that  $\{r_{t_n}(\alpha_0)\} \subset E(\delta)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{t_n}(\alpha_0) = \alpha_0$ . By continuity of  $\theta_H$  and  $\beta_H$  at  $\alpha_0$ , we have

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\beta_H(\alpha_0, \cdot, 1) - \theta_H(\alpha_0)\|_{L^2(M)} \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\beta_H(r_{t_n}(\alpha_0), \cdot, 1) - \theta_H(r_{t_n}(\alpha_0))\|_{L^2(M)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\beta_H(\alpha, \cdot, 1) = \theta_H(\alpha) \in L^2(M)$  for all  $\alpha \in E'(\delta)$ . It follows that the set where the equality (48) fails has a measure less than any  $\delta > 0$ , thus the identity holds for  $\mu$ -almost all  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^g)$ .  $\square$

For all  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^g)$ , smooth function  $f \in W^s(M)$  for  $s > s_{d,g} + 1/2$  decompose as an infinite sum, and the functional  $\theta^f$  is defined by a convergent series

$$(49) \quad \theta^f(\alpha) := \sum_H D_\alpha^H(f) \theta_H(\alpha).$$

Hence, the modular function  $\theta^f : \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^g) \rightarrow L^2(M)$  is continuous.

The following result is an extension of Lemma 4.1 to an asymptotic formula.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For all  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathbb{H}^g)$ ,  $f \in W^s(M)$  and  $s > s_{d,g} + 1/2$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}_n))^{1/2}} \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T}_n)}^{d,\alpha} m, \omega_f \right\rangle - \theta^f(r_{\log \mathbf{T}_n}(\alpha)) \right\|_{L^2(M)} = 0.$$

We summarize our results on limit distributions for higher rank actions.

**Theorem 4.6** (Theorem 1.7). *Let  $(\mathbf{T}_n)$  be any sequence such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{\log \mathbf{T}_n}[\alpha] = \alpha_\infty \in \mathfrak{M}_g.$$

For every closed form  $\omega_f \in \Lambda^d \mathfrak{p} \otimes W^s(M)$  with  $s > s_{d,g} + 1/2$ , which is not a coboundary, the limit distribution of the family of random variables  $E_{T_n}(f)$  exists along a subsequence of  $\{T_n\}$  and is equal to the distribution of the function  $\theta^f(\alpha_\infty) = \beta(\alpha_\infty, \cdot, 1) \in L^2(M)$ .

If  $\alpha_\infty \in DC$ , then  $\theta^f(\alpha_\infty)$  is a bounded function on  $M$ , and the limit distribution has compact support.

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Since  $\alpha_\infty \in \mathfrak{M}_g$ , the existence of limit follows from the Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.6.  $\square$

A relation with Birkhoff integrals and theta sum was introduced in [CF15, §5.3], and as an application, we derive the limit theorem of theta sums.

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}[x] = x^\top \mathcal{Q} x$  be the quadratic forms defined by  $g \times g$  real matrix  $\mathcal{Q}$ , where  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ \mathcal{Q} & I \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\ell(x) = \ell^\top x$  is the linear form defined by  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^g$ . Then the theta sum

$$\Theta(\mathcal{Q}, \ell; N) = N^{-g/2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g \cap [0, N]} \exp(2\pi i(\mathcal{Q}[n] + \ell(n)))$$

has a limit distribution and it has compact support.

## 5. $L^2$ -LOWER BOUNDS

In this section we prove  $L^2$ - lower bounds of ergodic integrals on transverse torus.

**5.1. Structure of return map.** The polarized Heisenberg group  $H_{pol}^g \approx \mathbb{R}^g \times \mathbb{R}^g \times \mathbb{R}$  is equipped with the group law  $(x, y, z) \cdot (x', y', z') = (x + x', y + y', z + z' + yx')$ . Reduced standard Heisenberg group is defined by quotient

$$H_{red}^g := H_{pol}^g / (\{0\} \times \{0\} \times \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{R}^g \times \mathbb{R}^g \times \mathbb{R} / \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the Reduced standard lattice is  $\Gamma_{red}^g = \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}^g \times \{0\} \subset H_{red}^g$  and the quotient  $H_{red}^g / \Gamma_{red}^g$  is isomorphic to the standard Heisenberg manifold  $M = H^g / \Gamma$ .

Given the standard frame  $(X_i, Y_i, Z)$ ,  $(g+1)$ -dimensional (transverse) torus is denoted by

$$\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1} := \{\Gamma \exp(\sum_{i=1}^g y_i Y_i + zZ) \mid (y_i, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Now, we consider a return map of  $P^{d,\alpha}$  on  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$  on the coordinates in reduced Heisenberg group. For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathbb{R}^g$ , we write in the coordinate of  $H_{red}^g$  for convenience

$$\exp(x_1 X_1^\alpha + \dots + x_g X_g^\alpha) = (x_\alpha, x_\beta, w \cdot x), \text{ for some } x_\alpha, x_\beta, \text{ and } w \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then by group law,

$$\exp(x_1 X_1^\alpha + \dots + x_g X_g^\alpha) \cdot (0, y, z) = (x_\alpha, y + x_\beta, z + w \cdot x)$$

and given  $(n, m, 0) \in \Gamma_{red}^g$ ,

$$(50) \quad \exp(x_1 X_1^\alpha + \dots + x_g X_g^\alpha) \cdot (0, y, z) \cdot (n, m, 0) = \exp(x'_1 X_1^\alpha + \dots + x'_g X_g^\alpha) \cdot (0, y', z')$$

if and only if

$$x'_\alpha = x_\alpha + n, y' = y + (x_\beta - x'_\beta) + m \text{ and } z' = z + (w - w') \cdot x + n^\top (y + x_\beta).$$



Assume  $\langle X_i^\alpha, X_j \rangle \neq 0$  for all  $i, j$ , and we write the first return time for  $\mathbb{P}^{d,\alpha}$  action

$$t_{Ret} = (t_{Ret,1}, \dots, t_{Ret,d}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

on transverse torus  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$ . We denote the domain for return time  $U(t_{Ret}) = [0, t_{Ret,1}] \times \dots \times [0, t_{Ret,d}]$ . Return map of action  $\mathbb{P}^{d,\alpha}$  on  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$  has a form of skew-shift

$$(51) \quad A_{\rho,\tau}(y, z) = (y + \rho, z + v \cdot y + \tau) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{R} / K^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$$

for some non-zero vectors  $\rho, v \in \mathbb{R}^g$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Furthermore,  $A_{\rho,\tau} = A_{d,\rho,\tau} \circ \dots \circ A_{1,\rho,\tau}$  decomposes with commuting linear skew-shifts

$$(52) \quad A_{i,\rho,\tau}(y, z) = (y + \rho_i, z + v_i \cdot y + \tau_i) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^g / \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{R} / K^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$$

for some  $\rho_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}^g$  and  $\tau_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $j \neq k$ , it is easily verified that

$$A_{j,\rho,\tau} \circ A_{k,\rho,\tau} = A_{k,\rho,\tau} \circ A_{j,\rho,\tau}.$$

Given pair  $(\mathbf{m}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{K|n|}^g \times \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $H_{(\mathbf{m},n)}$  denote the corresponding factor and  $C^\infty(H_{(\mathbf{m},n)})$  be a subspace of smooth functions on  $H_{(\mathbf{m},n)}$ . Denote  $\{e_{\mathbf{m},n} \mid (\mathbf{m}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{|n|}^g \times \mathbb{Z}\}$  the basis of characters on  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$  and for all  $(y, z) \in \mathbb{T}^g \times \mathbb{T}$ ,

$$e_{\mathbf{m},n}(y, z) := \exp[2\pi i(\mathbf{m} \cdot y + nKz)].$$

For each  $A_{i,\rho,\sigma}$ , we set  $\mathbf{v}_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{ig}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{K|n|}^g$ . Then the orbit is identified with the following dual orbit

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{A_i}(\mathbf{m}, n) &= \{(\mathbf{m} + (nj_i)\mathbf{v}_i, n), j_i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{(m_1 + (nv_{i1})j_i, \dots, m_g + (nv_{ig})j_i, n), j_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n = 0$ , the orbit  $[(\mathbf{m}, 0)] \subset \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}$  of  $(\mathbf{m}, 0)$  is reduced to a single element. If  $n \neq 0$ , then the dual orbit  $[(\mathbf{m}, n)] \subset \mathbb{Z}^{g+1}$  of  $(\mathbf{m}, n)$  for higher rank actions is described as follows:

$$\mathcal{O}_A(\mathbf{m}, n) = \{(m_k + n \sum_{i=1}^d (v_{ik}j_i), n)_{1 \leq k \leq d} : j = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

It follows that every  $A$ -orbit for rank  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -action (or  $A_i$ -orbit) can be labeled uniquely by a pair  $(\mathbf{m}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{|n|}^g \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_g)$ . Thus, the subspace of functions with non-zero central characters splits as a direct sum of components  $H_{(\mathbf{m},n)}$

$$L^2(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathcal{O}_A} H_\omega, \quad \text{where } H_\omega = \bigoplus_{(\mathbf{m},n) \in \omega} \mathbb{C}e_{(\mathbf{m},n)}.$$

**5.2. Higher cohomology for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action of skew-shifts.** In this subsection, we find relations with the return map for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  action of  $\mathbb{P}^{d,\alpha}$  on the torus  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$  and obstructions for solving cohomological equation  $\omega = d\Omega$ .

We will restrict our interest to the following cocycle equation

$$(53) \quad \varphi(x, t) = \mathfrak{D}\Phi(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^g, t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

where  $d$ -cocycle  $\varphi : \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1} \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(d-1)$  cochain  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_d)$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}$  is coboundary operator

$$\mathfrak{D}\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{i+1} \Delta_i \Phi_i$$

where  $\Delta_i \Phi_i = \Phi_i \circ A_{i,\rho,\tau} - \Phi_i$ .

In the work of Katoks, they proved the existence of solutions for cocycle equations by studying the dual equations  $\hat{\varphi} = \mathfrak{D}\hat{\Phi}$  in the space of Fourier coefficients (dual orbit) [KK95, §2]. We apply this result to our  $A$ -orbit and verify the invariant distributions (or currents) explicitly.

**Proposition 5.1.** [KK95, Proposition 2.2] *A dual cocycle  $\hat{\varphi}$  satisfies cocycle equation (53) if and only if  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\varphi}_{(\mathbf{m},n)} \circ A^j = 0$ .*

For fixed  $(\mathbf{m}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote an obstruction for solving cohomological equation restricted to the  $A$ -orbit of  $(\mathbf{m}, n)$  by  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m},n}(\varphi) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\varphi}_{(\mathbf{m},n)} \circ A^j$ . Since  $A^j$  is composition of commuting toral automorphisms, we obtain the following generalized formula (see [AFU11, §5] and [Ka03, §11] for rank 1 map on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ ).

**Lemma 5.2.** *There exist distributional obstructions to the existence of a smooth solution  $\varphi \in C^\infty(H_{(\mathbf{m},n)})$  of the cohomological equation (53). A generator of the space of invariant distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m},n}$  is given by*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m},n}(e_{a,b}) := e^{-2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^d [(\mathbf{m} \cdot \rho_i + nK\tau_i)j_i + nK\tau_i \binom{j_i}{2}]}$$

if  $(a, b) = (m_k + K \sum_{i=1}^d (v_{ik}j_i), n)_{1 \leq k \leq g}$  and 0 otherwise.

*Proof.* From previous observation, there exists an obstruction

$$(54) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m},n}(\varphi) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}} \varphi(x, y) \overline{e_{\mathbf{m},n} \circ A_{\rho,\tau}^j} dx dy.$$

By direct computation, for fixed  $j = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$ ,

$$e_{\mathbf{m},n} \circ A_{\rho,\tau}^j(y, z) = \prod_{i=1}^d \left( e^{2\pi i [(\mathbf{m} \cdot \rho_i + nK\tau_i)j_i + nK\tau_i \binom{j_i}{2}]} \right) \left( e^{2\pi i (\mathbf{m} \cdot y + K(z + n \sum_{k=1}^d (v_{ik}j_i) y_k))} \right).$$

Then, we choose  $\hat{\varphi} = e_{a,b}$  for  $(a, b) = (m_k + K \sum_{i=1}^d (v_{ik}j_i), n)_{1 \leq k \leq g}$  in the non-trivial orbit ( $n \neq 0$ ),

$$(55) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m},n}(e_{a,b}) = e^{-2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^d [(\mathbf{m} \cdot \rho_i + nK\tau_i)j_i + nK\tau_i \binom{j_i}{2}]}.$$

□

**5.3. Changes of coordinates.** For any frame  $(X_i^\alpha, Y_i^\alpha, Z)_{i=1}^g$  and any  $m \in M$ , denote a transverse cylinder

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,m} := \{m \exp(\sum_{i=1}^g y'_i Y_i^\alpha + z' Z) \mid (y', z') \in U(t_{Ret}^{-1}) \times \mathbb{T}\} \subset M.$$

For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$ , let  $\xi' \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,m}$  denote first intersection of the orbit  $\{P_t^{d,\alpha}(\xi) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d\}$  with transverse cylinder  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,m}$ . Then, there exists a first return time to the cylinder  $t(\xi) = (t_1(\xi), \dots, t_d(\xi)) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  such that the map  $\Phi_{\alpha,m} : \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,m}$  is defined by

$$\xi' = \Phi_{\alpha,m}(\xi) = P_{t(\xi)}^{d,\alpha}(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}.$$

Let  $(y, z)$  and  $(y', z')$  denote the coordinates on  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, m}$  respectively, given by the exponential map

$$(y, z) \rightarrow \xi_{y, z} := \Gamma \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^g y_i Y_i + zZ\right), \quad (y', z') \rightarrow m \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^g y'_i Y_i^\alpha + z'Z\right).$$

Recall that if  $\alpha \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$ , then for  $1 \leq i, j \leq g$  there exist matrices  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}), C = (c_{ij}), D = (d_{ij})$  such that

$$\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R}),$$

satisfying  $A^t D - C^t B = I_{2g}$ ,  $C^t A = A^t C$ ,  $D^t B = B^t D$ , and  $\det(A) \neq 0$ . Set

$$X_i^\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^g (a_{ij} X_j + b_{ij} Y_j) + w_i Z, \quad Y_i^\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^g (c_{ij} X_j + d_{ij} Y_j) + v_i Z.$$

Let  $x = \Gamma \exp(\sum_{i=1}^d y_{x,i} Y_i + z_x Z) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^d t_{x,i} X_i)$ , for some  $(y_x, z_x) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}/K\mathbb{Z}$  and  $t_x = (t_{x,i}) \in [0, 1]^d$ . Then, the map  $\Phi_{\alpha, x} : \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, x}$  is defined by  $\Phi_{\alpha, x}(y, z) = (y', z')$  where

$$(56) \quad \begin{bmatrix} y'_1 \\ y'_2 \\ \vdots \\ y'_g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1g} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{g1} & a_{g2} & \cdots & a_{gg} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - y_{x,1} \\ y_2 - y_{x,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_g - y_{x,g} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1g} \\ b_{21} & \cdots & b_{2g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{g1} & \cdots & b_{gg} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{x,1} \\ t_{x,2} \\ \vdots \\ t_{x,g} \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $z' = z + P(\alpha, x, y)$  for some degree 4 polynomial  $P$ .

Therefore, the map  $\Phi_{\alpha, x}$  is invertible with

$$\Phi_{\alpha, x}^*(dy'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy'_g \wedge dz') = \frac{1}{\det(A)} dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_g \wedge dz.$$

Since  $A^t D - C^t B = I_{2g}$ , by direct computation we obtain a vector of return time

$$(57) \quad \begin{bmatrix} t_1(\xi) \\ t_2(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ t_g(\xi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & \cdots & d_{1g} \\ d_{21} & \cdots & d_{2g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ d_{d1} & \cdots & d_{dg} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{x,1} \\ t_{x,2} \\ \vdots \\ t_{x,d} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1g} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{g1} & c_{g2} & \cdots & c_{gg} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - y_{x,1} \\ y_2 - y_{x,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_g - y_{x,g} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\|t(\xi)\| \leq \max_i |t_i(\xi)|^g \leq \max_i \left| \sum_{j=1}^g d_{ij} t_{x,i} + c_{ij} (y_i - y_{x,i}) \right|^g \leq \max_i \|Y_i^\alpha\|^g.$$

**5.4.  $L^2$ -lower bound of functional.** We will prove the bounds for square mean of integrals along leaves of foliations of the torus  $\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *For all  $\alpha = (X_i^\alpha, Y_i^\alpha, Z)$  and every irreducible component  $H := H_n$  of central parameter  $n \neq 0$ , there exist a function  $f_H$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$|f_H|_{L^\infty(H)} \leq C \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1} |\mathcal{D}_\alpha^H(f_H)|,$$

$$\|f_H\|_{\alpha, s} \leq C \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1} |\mathcal{D}_\alpha^H(f_H)| \left(1 + \frac{\Sigma(t_{Ret})}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \|Y\|\right)^s (1 + n^2)^{s/2}$$

where  $\|Y\| := \max_{1 \leq i \leq g} \|Y_i^\alpha\|$  and  $\Sigma(t_{Ret}) = \sum_{i=1}^g t_{Ret,i}$ .

On rectangular domain  $U(\mathbf{T})$ , for all  $m \in \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}$  and  $T^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{t_{Ret,i}}$

$$(58) \quad \left\| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\mathbf{Q}_y^{g,Y} m), \omega_H \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} = |\mathcal{D}_\alpha^H(f_H)| \left( \frac{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \right)^{1/2}.$$

In addition, whenever  $H \perp H' \subset L^2(M)$  the functions

$$\left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\mathbf{Q}_y^{g,Y} m), \omega_H \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\mathbf{Q}_y^{g,Y} m), \omega_{H'} \right\rangle$$

are orthogonal in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)$ .

*Proof.* The operator  $I_\alpha : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1})$  is defined by

$$(59) \quad f \rightarrow I_\alpha(f) := \int_{U(t_{Ret})} f \circ \mathbf{P}_s^{d,\alpha}(\cdot) ds.$$

Then operator  $I_\alpha$  is surjective linear map of  $L^2(M)$  onto  $L^2(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1})$  with a right inverse  $R_\alpha^\chi$  defined as follows. Let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)^g$  be any function of jointly integrable with integral 1. For any  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1})$ , let  $R_\alpha^\chi(F) \in L^2(M)$  be a function defined by

$$R_\alpha^\chi(F)(\mathbf{P}_v^{d,\alpha}(x)) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \chi\left(\frac{v}{t_{Ret}}\right) F(x), \quad (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1} \times U(t_{Ret}).$$

Then, it follows that there exists a constant  $C_\chi > 0$  such that

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} \|R_\alpha^\chi(F)\|_{\alpha,s} &\leq C_\chi \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^g t_{Ret,i}^{-1} \|Y_i^\alpha\|^s\right) \|F\|_{W^s(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1})} \\ &\leq C_\chi \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{\Sigma(t_{Ret})}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \|Y\|^s\right) \|F\|_{W^s(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

As explained in §5.1, the space  $L^2(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1})$  decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible subspaces invariant under the action of each  $A_{j,\rho,\sigma}$ . It follows that the subspace of functions with a non-zero central character can be split as direct sum of components  $H_{(\mathbf{m},n)}$  with  $(\mathbf{m}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{|n|}^g \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_g)$ . For a function  $F \in H_{(\mathbf{m},n)}$ , it is characterized by Fourier expansion

$$F = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} F_j e^{A^j(\mathbf{m},n)} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} F_j e^{(m_k + K \sum_{i=1}^d (v_{ik} j_i), n)}.$$

Choose  $f_H := R_\alpha^\chi(e_{\mathbf{m},n}) \in C^\infty(H)$  such that

$$(61) \quad |D_\alpha(f_H^H)| = |D_{\mathbf{m},n}(e_{\mathbf{m},n})| = 1,$$

$$(62) \quad \int_{U(t_{Ret})} f_H \circ \mathbf{P}_t^{d,\alpha}(y, z) dt = e_{\mathbf{m},n}(y, z), \quad \text{for } (y, z) \in \mathbb{T}_\Gamma^{g+1}.$$

Therefore, it follows from (60) that

$$|f_H|_{L^\infty(H)} \leq C_\chi \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1},$$

$$\|f_H\|_{\alpha,s} \leq C \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1} |\mathcal{D}_\alpha^H(f_H)| \left(1 + \frac{\Sigma(t_{Ret})}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \|Y\|^s\right) (1 + n^2)^{s/2}.$$

Moreover, since  $\{e_{\mathbf{m},n} \circ A_{\rho,\tau}^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}_\Gamma^g, dy)$  is orthonormal, we verify

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\mathbf{Q}_y^{g,Y} x), \omega_H \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} &= \left\| \sum_{j_d=0}^{\lfloor \frac{T^{(d)}}{t_{Ret,d}} \rfloor - 1} \cdots \sum_{j_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{T^{(1)}}{t_{Ret,1}} \rfloor - 1} e_{\mathbf{m},n} \circ A_{\rho,\tau}^j \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that

$$(63) \quad L^2(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} H_{i,n}$$

where  $H_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} H_{i,n}$  is irreducible representation with a central parameter  $n$  and  $\mu(n)$  is countable by Howe-Richardson multiplicity formula.

For any infinite dimensional vector  $\mathbf{c} := (c_{i,n}) \in \ell^2$ , let  $\beta_{\mathbf{c}}$  denote a weighted Bufetov functional

$$\beta_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} c_{i,n} \beta^{i,n}.$$

By orthogonal property and Corollary 4.3, the function  $\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \cdot, \mathbf{T}) \in L^2(M)$  for all  $(\alpha, \mathbf{T}) \in \text{Aut}_0(H^g) \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$ . Furthermore,

$$\|\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \cdot, \mathbf{T})\|_{L^2(M)}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} |c_{i,n}|^2 \|\beta^{i,n}(\alpha, \cdot, \mathbf{T})\|_{L^2(H_{i,n})}^2 \leq C^2 |\mathbf{c}|_{\ell^2}^2 \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T})).$$

For any  $\mathbf{c} := (c_{i,n})$ , let  $|\mathbf{c}|_s$  denote the norm defined by

$$(64) \quad |\mathbf{c}|_s^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} (1 + K^2 n^2)^s |c_{i,n}|^2.$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any  $s > s_{d,g} + 1/2$ , there exists a constant  $C_s > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha \in DC(L)$ , for all  $\mathbf{c} \in \ell^2$ , and for all  $z \in \mathbb{T}$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \|\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} - \left( \frac{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \right)^{1/2} |\mathbf{c}|_0 \right| \\ &\leq C_s (\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret})) + \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1}) (1 + L) \left( 1 + \frac{\Sigma(t_{Ret})}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \|Y\| \right)^s |\mathbf{c}|_s. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.2, there exists a function  $f_{i,n} \in C^\infty(H_{i,n})$  with  $|\mathcal{D}^{i,n}(f_{i,n})| = 1$ . Let  $f_{\mathbf{c}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} c_{i,n} f_{i,n} \in C^\infty(M)$ . Then by the estimates in the Lemma 5.3 and (64),

$$(65) \quad \|f_{\mathbf{c}}\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C |\mathbf{c}|_{\ell^1}.$$

$$(66) \quad \|f_{\mathbf{c}}\|_{\alpha,s} \leq C \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\Sigma(t_{Ret})}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \|Y\| \right)^s |\mathbf{c}|_s.$$

By orthogonality,

$$\left\| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha} \circ \mathbf{Q}_y^{g,Y}, \omega_{\mathbf{c}} \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} = \left( \frac{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \right)^{1/2} |\mathbf{c}|_0.$$

By the estimate in Lemma 5.3 for each  $f_{i,n}$ , for every  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  and all  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ , we have

$$\left\| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z})), \omega_{\mathbf{c}} \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\xi_{y,z}), \omega_{\mathbf{c}} \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} \leq 2\|f_{\mathbf{c}}\|_{L^\infty(M)} \|Y\|.$$

Let  $U(\mathbf{T}_{Ret}) = [0, \mathbf{T}_{Ret,1}] \times \cdots \times [0, \mathbf{T}_{Ret,g}]$  where  $\mathbf{T}_{Ret,i} := t_{Ret,i}([T^{(i)}/t_{Ret,i}] + 1)$ . Then,

$$\left\| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\xi_{y,z}), \omega_{\mathbf{c}} \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T}_{Ret})}^{d,\alpha}(\xi_{y,z}), \omega_{\mathbf{c}} \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} \leq \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret})) \|f_{\mathbf{c}}\|_{L^\infty(M)}.$$

Therefore, there exists a constant  $C' > 0$  such that

$$\left| \left\| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha}(\Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z})), \omega_{\mathbf{c}} \right\rangle \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} - \left( \frac{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \right)^{1/2} |\mathbf{c}|_0 \right| \leq C' \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret})) |\mathbf{c}|_{\ell^1}.$$

For all  $s > s_{d,g} + 1/2$ , by asymptotic property of Theorem 1.6, for some constant  $C_s > 0$ ,

$$\left| \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha} m, \omega \right\rangle - \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \mathcal{D}_\alpha^H(f_H) \right| \leq C_s(1+L) \|f\|_{\alpha,s}.$$

Combining previous estimates for  $\beta_{\mathbf{c}} = \beta^{f_{\mathbf{c}}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\| \beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^g, dy)} - \left( \frac{\text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \right)^{1/2} |\mathbf{c}|_0 \right| \\ & \leq C' \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret})) |\mathbf{c}|_{\ell^1} + C_s \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1} (1+L) \|f_{\mathbf{c}}\|_{\alpha,s} \\ & \leq C'_s (\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret})) + \text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))^{-1}) (1+L) \left( 1 + \frac{\Sigma(t_{Ret})}{\text{vol}(U(t_{Ret}))} \|Y\| \right)^s |\mathbf{c}|_s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we derive the estimates in the statement.  $\square$

## 6. ANALYTICITY OF FUNCTIONALS

In this section we prove that for all  $\alpha \in DC$ , the Bufetov functionals on any square are real analytic.

**6.1. Analyticity.** By the orthogonal property of cocycle  $\beta_H$  on an irreducible component  $H = H_n$  with a central parameter  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , the following statement is immediate. For any  $(m, \mathbf{T}) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+^d$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(67) \quad \beta_H(\alpha, \phi_t^Z(m), \mathbf{T}) = e^{2\pi i K n t} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}).$$

**Definition 6.1.** For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , and  $m \in M$ , the *stretched (in direction of  $Z$ ) rectangle* is denoted by

$$(68) \quad [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z(m) := \{(\phi_{ts_i}^Z) \circ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}}^{d,\alpha}(m) \mid \mathbf{s} \in U(\mathbf{T})\}.$$

For  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let us denote by the standard rectangle  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{s}) := (\gamma_1(s_1), \dots, \gamma_d(s_d))$  for  $\gamma_i(s_i) = \exp(s_i X_i)$ . Similarly, we also write the stretched rectangle

$$(69) \quad [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z(\mathbf{s}) := (\gamma_1(s_1), \dots, \gamma_{i,t}^Z(s_i), \dots, \gamma_d(s_d))$$

where  $\gamma_{i,t}^Z(s_i) := \phi_{ts_i}^Z(\gamma_i(s_i))$  is a stretched curve.

**Definition 6.2.** The *restricted rectangle*  $\Gamma_{T,i,s}$  of the standard rectangle  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}$  is defined as a restriction on  $i$ -th coordinate given by

$$\Gamma_{T,i,s}(\mathbf{s}) := \Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}|_{U_{T,i,s}}(\mathbf{s}),$$

where  $U_{T,i,s} = [0, T^{(1)}] \times \dots \times \underbrace{[0, s]}_{i\text{-th}} \times \dots \times [0, T^{(d)}]$  for some  $0 < s \leq T^{(i)}$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** For fixed elements  $(X_i, Y_i, Z)$  satisfying commutation relation (1), the following formula for rank 1 action holds:

$$\hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z) = e^{2\pi i \ln K T^{(i)}} \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}) - 2\pi i \ln K t \int_0^{T^{(i)}} e^{2\pi i \ln K t s_i} \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma_{T,i,s}) ds_i.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = (X_i, Y_i, Z)$  and  $\omega$  be  $d$ -form supported on a single irreducible representation  $H$ . We obtain the following formula for stretches of curve  $\gamma_{i,t}^Z$  (see [FK20b, §4 and Lemma 9.1]),

$$\frac{d\gamma_{i,t}^Z}{ds_i} = D\phi_{ts_i}^Z\left(\frac{d\gamma_i}{ds_i}\right) + tZ \circ \gamma_{i,t}^Z.$$

It follows that pairing is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z, \omega \rangle &= \int_{U(\mathbf{T})} \omega\left(\frac{d\gamma_1}{ds_1}(s_1), \dots, \frac{d\gamma_{i,t}^Z}{ds_i}(s_i), \dots, \frac{d\gamma_d}{ds_d}(s_d)\right) d\mathbf{s} \\ &= \int_{U(\mathbf{T})} e^{2\pi i \ln K t s_i} [\omega\left(\frac{d\gamma_1}{ds_1}(s_1), \dots, \frac{d\gamma_d}{ds_d}(s_d)\right)] + \iota_Z \omega \circ [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s} \end{aligned}$$

Denote a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional sub-rectangle  $U_{d-1}(\mathbf{T})$  without  $i$ -th coordinates by  $U(\mathbf{T}) = U_{d-1}(\mathbf{T}) \times [0, T^{(i)}]$ . Integration by parts for a fixed  $i$ -th integral gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{U(\mathbf{T})} e^{2\pi i \ln K t s_i} [\omega\left(\frac{d\gamma_1}{ds_1}(s_1), \dots, \frac{d\gamma_d}{ds_d}(s_d)\right)] d\mathbf{s} \\ &= e^{2\pi i \ln K t T^{(i)}} \int_{U(\mathbf{T})} [\omega\left(\frac{d\gamma_1}{ds_1}(s_1), \dots, \frac{d\gamma_d}{ds_d}(s_d)\right)] d\mathbf{s} \\ &\quad - 2\pi i \ln K t \int_0^{T^{(i)}} e^{2\pi i \ln K t s_i} \\ &\quad \int_{U_{d-1}(\mathbf{T})} \left( \int_0^{s_i} [\omega\left(\frac{d\gamma_1}{ds_1}(s_1), \dots, \frac{d\gamma_i}{ds_i}(r), \dots, \frac{d\gamma_d}{ds_d}(s_d)\right)] dr \right) d\mathbf{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z, \omega \rangle &= e^{2\pi i \ln K t T^{(i)}} \langle [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}], \omega \rangle - 2\pi i \ln K t \int_0^{T^{(i)}} e^{2\pi i \ln K t s_i} \langle \Gamma_{T,i,s}, \omega \rangle ds_i \\ &\quad + \int_{U(\mathbf{T})} (\iota_Z \omega \circ [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z)(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the action of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}}^{d,X}$  for  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is identity on the center  $Z$ ,

$$\lim_{t_1, \dots, t_d \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(t_1 + \dots + t_d)/2} \int_{U(\mathbf{T})} (\iota_Z(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}}^{d,X})^* \omega \circ [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}]_{i,t}^Z)(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s} = 0.$$

Thus, in particular concerning  $d = 1$ , it follows by definition of the functional (Lemma 3.5), the statement holds.  $\square$

Here we define a restricted vector  $\mathbf{T}_{i,s}$  of  $\mathbf{T} = (T^{(1)}, \dots, T^{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . For fixed  $i \in [1, d]$ , pick  $s_i \in [0, T^{(i)}]$  such that  $\mathbf{T}_{i,s} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a vector with its coordinates

$$T_{i,s}^{(j)} = \begin{cases} T^{(j)} & \text{if } j \neq i \\ s_i & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

Similarly,  $\mathbf{T}_{i_1, \dots, i_k, s}$  is a vector whose  $i_1, \dots, i_k$ -th coordinates are replaced by  $s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k}$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *For  $m \in M$  and  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following property holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_H(\alpha, \phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(m), \mathbf{T}) &= \\ e^{-2\pi\iota y_i n K T^{(i)}} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) &+ 2\pi\iota n K y_i \int_0^{T^{(i)}} e^{-2\pi\iota y_i n K s_i} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}_{i,s}) ds_i. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By definition (5), (69) and commutation relation (1), it follows that

$$\phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X(m)) = [\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X(\phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(m))]_{i,t}^Z.$$

By the invariance property of the functional  $\hat{\beta}_H$  and Lemma 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) &= \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X(m))) \\ &= e^{2\pi\iota y_i n K T^{(i)}} \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X(\phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(m))) \\ &\quad - 2\pi\iota n K y_i \int_0^{T^{(i)}} e^{2\pi\iota n K y_i s_i} \hat{\beta}_H(\alpha, \Gamma_{T,i,s}^X(\phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(m))) ds_i \\ &= e^{2\pi\iota y_i n K T^{(i)}} \beta_H(\alpha, \phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(m), \mathbf{T}) - 2\pi\iota n K y_i \int_0^{T^{(i)}} e^{2\pi\iota n K y_i s_i} \beta_H(\alpha, \phi_{y_i}^{Y_i}(m), \mathbf{T}_{i,s}) ds_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then the statement follows immediately.  $\square$

We extend previous Lemma 6.4 to higher rank actions by induction argument.

**Lemma 6.5** (Rank- $d$  action). *For  $m \in M$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the following identity for the cocycle  $\beta_H$  holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (70) \quad \beta_H(\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_y^{d,Y}(m), \mathbf{T}) &= e^{-2\pi\iota \sum_{j=1}^d y_j n K T^{(j)}} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d} \prod_{j=1}^k (2\pi\iota n K y_{i_j}) e^{-2\pi\iota n K (\sum_{l \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} y_l T^{(l)})} \\ &\times \int_0^{T^{(i_1)}} \dots \int_0^{T^{(i_k)}} e^{-2\pi\iota n K (y_{i_1} s_{i_1} + \dots + y_{i_k} s_{i_k})} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}_{i_1, \dots, i_k, s}) ds_{i_k} \dots ds_{i_1}. \end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* We verified that the statement works for  $d = 1$  in Lemma 6.4. Assume that (70) holds for rank  $d - 1$  action  $Q_{y'}^{d-1,Y}$  by induction hypothesis. For convenience, we write

$$Q_y^{d,Y}(m) = \phi_{y_d}^{Y_d} \circ Q_{y'}^{d-1,Y}(m) \text{ for } y' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \text{ and } y = (y', y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By applying Lemma 6.4,

$$(71) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_H(\alpha, Q_y^{d,Y}(m), \mathbf{T}) &= e^{-2\pi i y_d n K T^{(d)}} \beta_H(\alpha, Q_{y'}^{d-1,Y}(m), \mathbf{T}) \\ &\quad + 2\pi i n K y_d \int_0^{T^{(d)}} e^{-2\pi i y_d n K s_d} \beta_H(\alpha, Q_{y'}^{d-1,Y}(m), \mathbf{T}_{d,s}) ds_d \\ &:= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, by induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= e^{-2\pi i y_d n K T^{(d)}} \left( e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} y_j n K T^{(j)}} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d-1} \prod_{j=1}^k (2\pi i n K y_{i_j}) e^{-2\pi i n K (\sum_{l \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} y_l T^{(l)} + y_d T^{(d)})} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{T^{(i_1)}} \dots \int_0^{T^{(i_k)}} e^{-2\pi i n K (y_{i_1} s_{i_1} + \dots + y_{i_k} s_{i_k})} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}_{i_1, \dots, i_k, s}) ds_{i_k} \dots ds_{i_1} \Big) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d y_j n K T^{(j)}} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) + III. \end{aligned}$$

Then term *III* contains iterated integrals on the restricted rectangles (from 0 to  $(d-1)$ -th) containing a term  $e^{-2\pi i n K y_d T^{(d)}}$  outside of iterated integrals.

For the second part, we apply induction hypothesis again for restricted rectangle  $\mathbf{T}_{d,s}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} II &= 2\pi i n K y_d \int_0^{T^{(d)}} e^{-2\pi i y_d n K s_d} [e^{-2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} y_j n K T^{(j)}} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}_{d,s})] ds_d \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d-1} (2\pi i n K y_d) \prod_{j=1}^k (2\pi i n K y_{i_j}) e^{-2\pi i n K (\sum_{l \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} y_l T^{(l)} + y_d T^{(d)})} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{T^{(d)}} \left( \int_0^{T^{(i_1)}} \dots \int_0^{T^{(i_k)}} ds_{i_k} \dots ds_{i_1} \right) ds_d \\ &\quad \times e^{-2\pi i n K (y_{i_1} s_{i_1} + \dots + y_{i_k} s_{i_k} + y_d s_d)} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}_{i_1, \dots, i_k, d, s}). \end{aligned}$$

The term *II* consist of 1 to  $d$ -th iterated integrals on the (from 1 to  $d$ -th) restricted rectangles containing  $e^{-2\pi i n K y_d s_d}$  inside of iterated integrals. Thus, by rearranging terms *II* and *III*, we obtain all the terms in the expression (70).  $\square$

**6.2. Extensions of domain.** In this subsection, the domain of functionals defined on standard rectangle  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X$  extends to the class  $\mathfrak{R}$  (see Definition 1.1). Furthermore, the functional associated with the analytic norm extends to holomorphic function on a complex domain.

*Proof of Corollary 1.4.* Firstly, we can extend our functional to class  $(Q_y^{d,Y})_* \Gamma_{\mathbf{T}}^X$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  by invariance property (Lemma 3.6). Similarly, by Lemma 6.3, Bufetov functional defined on the standard rectangles extends to the class of generalized

rectangle  $(\phi_z^Z) \circ \mathbf{P}_t^{d,\alpha}(m)$ . Since the flow generated by  $Z$  commutes with other actions  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$ , for any standard rectangle  $\Gamma = \Gamma(m)$  with a fixed point  $m \in M$ , we have  $(\phi_z^Z)_* \Gamma(m) = \Gamma(\phi_z^Z(m))$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, by combining with the invariance under the action  $\mathbf{Q}$  from Lemma 3.6, the domain of Bufetov functional extends to the class  $\mathfrak{A}$ .  $\square$

For any  $R > 0$ , the *analytic norm* is defined for all  $\mathbf{c} \in \ell^2$  as

$$\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\omega,R} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} e^{nR} |c_{i,n}|.$$

Let  $\Omega_R$  denote the subspace of  $\mathbf{c} \in \ell^2$  such that  $\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\omega,R}$  is finite.

**Lemma 6.6.** *For  $\mathbf{c} \in \Omega_R$  and  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ , the function*

$$\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_y^{d,Y} \circ \phi_z^Z(m), \mathbf{T}), \quad (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}$$

*extends to a holomorphic function in the domain*

$$(72) \quad D_{R,T} := \{(y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \mid \sum_{i=1}^d |\operatorname{Im}(y_i)| T^{(i)} + |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < \frac{R}{2\pi K}\}.$$

*The following bound holds: for any  $R' < R$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $(y, z) \in D_{R',T}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_y^{d,Y} \circ \phi_z^Z(m), \mathbf{T})| \\ & \leq C_{R,R'} \|\mathbf{c}\|_{\omega,R} (L + \operatorname{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} (1 + E_M(a, \mathbf{T})) (1 + K \sum_{i=1}^d |\operatorname{Im}(y_i)| T^{(i)})). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.5 and (67),

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_y^{d,Y} \circ \phi_z^Z(m), \mathbf{T}) = e^{(z - 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^d y_j n K T^{(j)})} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d} \prod_{j=1}^k (2\pi i n K y_{i_j}) e^{-2\pi i n K (\sum_{l \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}} y_l T^{(l)})} \\ & \times e^{2\pi i n K z} \int_0^{T^{(i_1)}} \dots \\ & \int_0^{T^{(i_k)}} e^{-2\pi i n K (y_{i_1} s_{i_1} + \dots + y_{i_k} s_{i_k})} \beta_H(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}_{i_1, \dots, i_k, s}) ds_{i_k} \dots ds_{i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 3.7, for each variable  $(y_i, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_y^{d,Y} \circ \phi_z^Z(x), \mathbf{T})| \\ & \leq (L + \operatorname{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2} (1 + E_M(a, \mathbf{T}))) \left( C_1 \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} e^{nR} |c_{i,n}| e^{2\pi |\operatorname{Im}(z - \sum_{i=1}^d T^{(i)} y_i)| n K} \right. \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^d C_k \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d} \prod_{j=1}^k (|\operatorname{Im}(y_{i_j})| T^{(i_j)}) \right. \\ & \times \left. \sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(n)} n |c_{i,n}| e^{2\pi (|\operatorname{Im}(z)| + \sum_{j=1}^k T^{(i_j)} |\operatorname{Im}(y_{i_j})|) n K} \right) \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the functional  $\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \mathbf{Q}_y^{d,Y} \circ \phi_z^Z(m), \mathbf{T})$  is bounded by a series of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$  and it converges uniformly on compact subsets of domain  $D_{R,T}$ . Thus it is holomorphic on the set  $D_{R,T}$ .  $\square$

## 7. MEASURE ESTIMATION FOR BOUNDED-TYPE

In this section, we prove a measure estimation of Bufetov functional with an automorphism  $\alpha$  of bounded-type. This result is a generalization of §11 in [FK20b].

Let  $\mathcal{O}_r$  denote the space of holomorphic functions on the ball  $B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, r) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** [Bru99, Theorem 1.9] *For any  $f \in \mathcal{O}_r$ , there is a constant  $d := d_f(r) > 0$  such that for any convex set  $D \subset B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, 1) := B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1) \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ , for any measurable subset  $U \subset D$*

$$\sup_D |f| \leq \left( \frac{4n \text{Leb}(D)}{\text{Leb}(U)} \right)^d \sup_U |f|.$$

We say that a holomorphic function  $f$  defined in a disk is *p-valent* if it assumes no value more than  $p$ -times there. We also say that  $f$  is *0-valent* if it is a constant.

**Definition 7.2.** [Bru99, Definition 1.6] Let  $\mathcal{L}_t$  denote the set of one-dimensional complex affine spaces  $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $L \cap B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, t) \neq \emptyset$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{O}_r$ , the number

$$\nu_f(t) := \sup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_t} \{\text{valency of } f \mid L \cap B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, t) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called the *valency* of  $f$  in  $B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, t)$ .

By Proposition 1.7 of [Bru99], for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}_r$  with finite valency  $\nu_f(t)$  for any  $t \in [1, r)$ , there is a constant  $c := c(r) > 0$  such that

$$(73) \quad d_f(r) \leq c \nu_f\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right).$$

**Lemma 7.3.** [FK20b, Lemma 10.3] *Let  $R > r > 1$ . For any normal family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_R$ , assume that no functions in  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \emptyset$  are constant along a one-dimensional complex line. Then we have*

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \nu_f(r) < \infty.$$

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $L > 0$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset DC(L)$  be a bounded subset. Given  $R > 0$ , for all  $\mathbf{c} \in \Omega_R$  and all  $\mathbf{T}^{(i)} > 0$ , denote  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{T})$  by the family of real analytic functions of the variable  $y \in [0, 1]^d$  and*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{T}) := \{\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T}) \mid (\alpha, x, z) \in \mathcal{B} \times M \times \mathbb{T}\}.$$

*Then there exist  $\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{B}} := (\mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)})$  and  $\rho_{\mathcal{B}} > 0$ , such that for every  $(R, \mathbf{T})$  with  $R/\mathbf{T}^{(i)} \geq \rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}^{(i)} \geq \mathbf{T}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)}$  and for all  $\mathbf{c} \in \Omega_R \setminus \{0\}$ , we have*

$$(74) \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{T})} \nu_f < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  is bounded, for each time  $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq g$ ,

$$0 < t_{\mathcal{B}}^{\min} = \min_i \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} t_{\text{Ret}, i, \alpha} \leq \max_i \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} t_{\text{Ret}, i, \alpha} = t_{\mathcal{B}}^{\max} < \infty.$$

For any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $x \in M$ , the map  $\Phi_{\alpha,x} : [0, 1]^d \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^d [0, t_{\alpha,i}] \times \mathbb{T}$  in (56) extends to a complex analytic diffeomorphism  $\hat{\Phi}_{\alpha,x} : \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ . By

Lemma 6.6, it follows that for fixed  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , real analytic function  $\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T})$  extends to a holomorphic function defined on a region

$$H_{\alpha,m,R,t} := \{y \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \sum_{i=1}^d |Im(y_i)| \leq h_{\alpha,m,R,t}\}.$$

By boundedness of the set  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ , it follows that

$$\inf_{(\alpha,x) \in \mathcal{B} \times M} h_{\alpha,m,R,t} := h_{R,T} > 0.$$

We remark that the function  $h_{\alpha,m,R,t}$  and its lower bound  $h_{R,T}$  can be obtained from the formula (56) for the polynomial  $\Phi_{\alpha,x}$  and the definition of the domain  $D_{R,T}$  in the formula (72).

For every  $r > 1$ , there exists  $\rho_{\mathcal{B}} > 1$  such that for every  $(R, \mathbf{T})$  with  $R/\mathbf{T}^{(i)} > \rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ ,

$$(75) \quad \beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T}) \in \mathcal{O}_r$$

as a function of  $y \in \mathbb{T}^d$ .

By Lemma 6.6, the family  $\mathcal{F}(c, \mathbf{T})$  is uniformly bounded and normal. By Lemma 5.4 for the non-zero  $L^2$ -lower bound of functionals, for sufficiently large pair  $\mathbf{T}$ , no sequence from  $\mathcal{F}(c, \mathbf{T})$  can converge to a constant. Therefore, by Lemma 7.3 for the family  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(c, \mathbf{T})$ , the main statement follows.  $\square$

We derive measure estimates of Bufetov functionals on the rectangular domain.

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $\alpha \in DC$  such that the forward orbit of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -action  $\{r_t[\alpha]\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d}$  is contained in a compact set of  $\mathfrak{M}_g$ . There exist  $R, C, \delta > 0$  and  $\mathbf{T}_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  such that, for every  $\mathbf{c} \in \Omega_R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbf{T} \geq \mathbf{T}_0$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$vol(\{m \in M \mid |\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T})| \leq \epsilon vol(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}\}) \leq C\epsilon^\delta.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha \in DC$  and the orbit  $\{r_t[\alpha]\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d}$  is contained in a compact set, there exists  $L > 0$  such that  $r_t[\alpha] \in DC(L)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ . Then, we choose  $\mathbf{T}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $R > 0$  from the conclusion of Lemma 7.4. By the scaling property,

$$\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, m, \mathbf{T}) = \left( \frac{vol(U(\mathbf{T}))}{vol(U(\mathbf{T}_0))} \right)^{1/2} \beta_{\mathbf{c}}(g_{\log(\mathbf{T}/\mathbf{T}_0)}[\alpha], m, \mathbf{T}_0).$$

By Fubini's theorem, it suffices to estimate

$$Leb(\{y \in [0, 1]^d \mid |\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T}_0)| \leq \epsilon\}).$$

Let  $\delta^{-1} := c(r) \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{T}_0)} \nu_f(\frac{1+r}{2}) < \infty$  as in (73) and (74). By Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\inf_{(\alpha,x,z) \in \mathcal{B} \times M \times \mathbb{T}} \sup_{y \in [0,1]^d} |\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T}_0)| > 0$$

so that the functional is not trivial. By Theorem 7.1 for the unit ball  $D = B_{\mathbb{R}}(0, 1)$  and setting

$$U = \{y \in [0, 1]^d \mid |\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T}_0)| \leq \epsilon\},$$

by the bound in (73) for  $d_f(r)$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $(\alpha, x, z) \in \mathcal{B} \times M \times \mathbb{T}$ ,

$$Leb(\{y \in [0, 1]^d \mid |\beta_{\mathbf{c}}(\alpha, \Phi_{\alpha,x}(\xi_{y,z}), \mathbf{T}_0)| \leq \epsilon\}) \leq C\epsilon^\delta.$$

Then the statement follows from the Fubini theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.6.** *Let  $\alpha$  be as in the previous Lemma 7.5. There exist  $R, C, \delta > 0$  and  $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  such that, for every  $\mathbf{c} \in \Omega_R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $T \geq T_0$  and for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$\text{vol} \left( \{m \in M \mid |\langle \mathcal{P}_{U(\mathbf{T})}^{d,\alpha} m, \omega_{\mathbf{c}} \rangle| \leq \epsilon \text{vol}(U(\mathbf{T}))^{1/2}\} \right) \leq C\epsilon^\delta.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author deeply appreciates Giovanni Forni for his valuable suggestions to improve the draft. He acknowledges Daniel Sell, Rodrigo Treviño, and Corinna Ulcigrai for giving several comments. He is also grateful to Oliver Butterley, Jacky Jia Chong, Krzysztof Frączek, Osama Khail, and Lucia D. Simonelli for fruitful discussions. This work was initiated when the author visited the Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche in Paris, France. He acknowledges invitation and hospitality during the visit. Lastly, the author is thankful to the referee for helpful comments and suggestions for improvement in the presentation of this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [AB18] A. Adam and V. Baladi, *Horocycle averages on closed manifolds and transfer operators*, preprint, 2018, arXiv1809.04062
- [AFRU21] A. Avila, G. Forni, D. Ravotti, and C. Ulcigrai, *Mixing for smooth time-changes of general nilflows*, *Advances in Mathematics* **385** (2021), 107759.
- [AFU11] A. Avila, G. Forni, and C. Ulcigrai, *Mixing for the time-changes of heisenberg nilflows*, *Journal of Differential Geometry* **89** (2011), no. 3, 369–410.
- [B19] V. Baladi, *There are no deviations for the ergodic averages of Giulietti–Liverani horocycle flows on the two-torus*, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* (2019): 1–14.
- [Bru99] A. Brudnyi, *On local behavior of analytic functions*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **2** (1999), no. 169, 481–493.
- [Buf10] A. Bufetov, *Hölder cocycles and ergodic integrals for translation flows on flat surfaces*, *Electronic Research Announcements* **17** (2010), 34–42.
- [Buf13] ———, *Limit theorems for suspension flows over Vershik automorphisms*, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **68.5** (2013): 789.
- [Buf14a] ———, *Finitely-additive measures on the asymptotic foliations of a markov compactum*, *Moscow Mathematical Journal* **14** (2014), no. 2, 205–224.
- [Buf14b] ———, *Limit theorems for translation flows*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **179** (2014), no. 2, 431–499.
- [BF14] A. Bufetov and G. Forni, *Limit theorems for horocycle flows*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **47** (2014), no. 5, 851–903.
- [BS13] A. Bufetov and B. Solomyak, *Limit theorems for self-similar tilings*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **319.3** (2013): 761–789.
- [BS20] O. Butterley and L. Simonelli, *Parabolic flows renormalized by partially hyperbolic maps*, *Bollettino dell’Unione Matematica Italiana* (2020), 1–20.
- [CF15] S. Cosentino and L. Flaminio, *Equidistribution for higher-rank Abelian actions on Heisenberg nilmanifolds*, *J. Mod. Dyn.* **9** (2015), 305–353.
- [CM16] F. Cellarosi and J. Marklof, *Quadratic weyl sums, automorphic functions and invariance principles*, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **113** (2016), no. 6, 775–828.
- [CGO22] F. Cellarosi, J. Griffin, and T. Osman, *Improved Tail Estimates for the Distribution of Quadratic Weyl Sums*, preprint (2022) arXiv2203.06274 .
- [DS17] D. Dolgopyat and O. Sarig, *Temporal distributional limit theorems for dynamical systems*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* 166.3–4 (2017): 680–713.
- [FT15] F. Faure and M. Tsujii, *Prequantum transfer operator for symplectic Anosov diffeomorphism*, *Asterisque* 375 (2015).
- [FGL19] F. Faure, S. Gouëzel, and E. Laneeau, *Ruelle spectrum of linear pseudo-anosov maps*, *Journal de l’École polytechnique—Mathématiques* **6** (2019), 811–877.

- [FJK] H. Fiedler, W. Jurkat, and O. Körner *Asymptotic expansions of finite theta series*. Acta Arithmetica 32.2 (1977): 129–146.
- [FF06] L. Flaminio and G. Forni, *Equidistribution of nilflows and applications to theta sums*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **26** (2006), no. 2, 409–433.
- [FF14] ———, *On effective equidistribution for higher step nilflows*, preprint (2014) arXiv1407.3640.
- [FFT16] L. Flaminio, G. Forni, and J. Tanis, *Effective equidistribution of twisted horocycle flows and horocycle maps*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 5, 1359–1448.
- [For02] Giovanni Forni, *Deviation of ergodic averages for area-preserving flows on surfaces of higher genus*, Annals of Mathematics **155** (2002), no. 1, 1–103.
- [F16] ———, *Effective Equidistribution of Nilflows and Bounds on Weyl Sums*. Dynamics and Analytic Number Theory, **437** (2016): 136.
- [For20a] ———, *On the equidistribution of unstable curves for pseudo-anosov diffeomorphisms of compact surfaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2020): 1–26.
- [For20b] ———, *Ruelle resonances from cohomological equations*, preprint (2020) arXiv2007.03116.
- [FK20a] G. Forni and A. Kanigowski, *Multiple mixing and disjointness for time changes of bounded-type heisenberg nilflows*, Journal de l'École polytechnique—Mathématiques **7** (2020), 63–91.
- [FK20b] ———, *Time-changes of heisenberg nilflows*, Asterisque **416** (2020), 253–299.
- [GG04] F. Götze and M. Gordin, *Limiting distributions of theta series on siegel half-spaces*, St. Petersburg Mathematical Journal **15** (2004), no. 1, 81–102.
- [GM14] J. Griffin and J. Marklof, *Limit theorems for skew translations.*, Journal of Modern Dynamics **8** (2014), no. 2.
- [GS14] A. Gorodnik and R. Spatzier, *Exponential mixing of nilmanifold automorphisms*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique **123** (2014), no. 1, 355–396.
- [GS15] ———, *Mixing properties of commuting nilmanifold automorphisms*, Acta Mathematica **215** (2015), no. 1, 127–159.
- [Ka03] A. Katok, *Combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory and dynamics*. No. 30. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
- [KK95] A. Katok and S. Katok, *Higher cohomology for abelian groups of toral automorphisms*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **15** (1995), no. 3, 569–592.
- [Kim21] M. Kim, *Effective equidistribution for generalized higher step nilflows*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2021), 1–60.
- [KM99] D. Y. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, *Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 451–494.
- [Mar99] J. Marklof, *Limit theorems for theta sums*, Duke mathematical journal **97** (1999), no. 1, 127–154.
- [MMY10] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, and J-C. Yoccoz, *Affine interval exchange maps with a wandering interval*. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 100.3 (2010): 639–669.
- [MUY20] S. Marmi, C. Ulcigrai, and J-C. Yoccoz. *On Roth type conditions, duality and central Birkhoff sums for iem*. Asterisque **416** (2020): 65–132.
- [MM07] D. Mumford and C. Musili, *Tata lectures on theta. i (modern birkhäuser classics)*, Birkhäuser Boston Incorporated, 2007.
- [MNN07] D. Mumford, M. Nori, and P. Norman, *Tata lectures on theta iii*, vol. 43, Springer, 2007.
- [GL19] P. Giulietti and C. Liverani, *Parabolic dynamics and anisotropic Banach spaces*, Journal of the European Mathematical Society (2019).
- [Rav18] D. Ravotti, *Mixing for suspension flows over skew-translations and time-changes of quasi-abelian filiform nilflows*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2018), 1–30.
- [Rav21] ———, *Asymptotics and limit theorems for horocycle ergodic integrals a la Ratner*, preprint (2021) arXiv2107.02090.
- [S09a] N. Shah, *Limiting distributions of curves under geodesic flow on hyperbolic manifolds*. Duke Mathematical Journal 148.2 (2009): 251–279.
- [S09b] ———, *Asymptotic evolution of smooth curves under geodesic flow on hyperbolic manifolds*. Duke Mathematical Journal 148.2 (2009): 281–304.
- [Sul82] D. Sullivan, *Disjoint spheres, approximation by imaginary quadratic numbers, and the logarithm law for geodesics*, Acta Math. **149** (1982), no. 3–4, 215–237.

- [Tol78] R. Tolimieri, *Heisenberg manifolds and theta functions*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **239** (1978), 293–319.
- [T15] T.D Wooley. *Perturbations of Weyl sums*, International Mathematics Research Notices 2016.9 (2015): 2632-2646.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA

*Current address:* FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, NICOLAUS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY, CHOPINA 12/18, 87-100 TORUŃ, POLAND

*Email address:* `mkim16@mat.umk.pl`