

Algebraic structure of \mathbb{F}_q -linear conjucyclic codes over finite field \mathbb{F}_{q^2}

Jingjie Lv, Ruihu Li*

*Department of Basic Sciences, Air Force Engineering University
Xi'an, Shaanxi 710051, P. R. China*

Abstract

Recently, Abualrub *et al.* [1] illustrated the algebraic structure of additive conjucyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 (Finite Fields Appl. 65 (2020) 101678). In this paper, our main objective is to generalize their theory. Via an isomorphic map, we give a canonical bijective correspondence between \mathbb{F}_q -linear additive conjucyclic codes of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and q -ary linear cyclic codes of length $2n$. By defining the alternating inner product, our proposed isomorphic map preserving the orthogonality can also be proved. From the factorization of the polynomial $x^{2n} - 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q , the enumeration of \mathbb{F}_q -linear additive conjucyclic codes of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} will be obtained. Moreover, we provide the generator and parity-check matrices of these q^2 -ary additive conjucyclic codes of length n .

Keywords: Additive codes, Conjucyclic codes, Generator and parity-check matrices

1. Introduction

Additive codes are important and special in coding theory. In 1973, the additive code was defined by Delsarte *et al.* as the subgroup of the underling abelian group on translation schemes [8]. In the year 1998, Calderbank *et al.* [6] built a direct relationship between a quaternary additive code and a binary quantum error-correcting code. The latter one can effectively reduce the influences of environmental and operational noise (decoherence) of quantum

Email address: juxianljj@163.com (J. Lv), 11zsy110@163.com (R. Li)
(Jingjie Lv, Ruihu Li*)

information, which plays an important role in both quantum communication and quantum computation. Thereafter, the researches on additive codes have been a hot topic, and there were many papers exploring the algebraic structure and other applications of additive codes over finite fields or finite rings [3, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19].

Additive cyclic codes, as a special class of additive codes, have a pretty good property, i.e., they are closed with respect to the cyclic shifts (see Definition 2.1). The algebraic structure of quaternary additive cyclic codes was explored by Huffman [15] in 2007, who found a canonical decomposition for those codes of odd length. Later, the generalized theory of additive cyclic codes over general fields was developed in [5]. At present, additive cyclic codes have received a lot of attention by scholars [9, 13, 20, 21].

Similar to the cyclic codes, conjucyclic codes are closed for the conjugated cyclic shift (see Definition 2.2). However, compared with the wide studies of additive cyclic codes over finite fields or finite rings, there was little work on conjucyclic codes. The major cause is that these conjucyclic codes can not be represented canonically by polynomials, which leads to some difficulties in analyzing these codes. Until 2020, the algebraic structure of quaternary additive conjucyclic codes has been first researched by Abualrub *et al.* [1]. Using an isomorphic map, they have built a connection between quaternary additive conjucyclic codes of length n and binary cyclic codes of length $2n$. Inspired by the work, this paper will generalize the theory of \mathbb{F}_q -linear additive conjucyclic codes over finite field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} .

This paper is organized as follows. Sect. 2 discusses some definitions and preliminary properties of cyclic codes, conjucyclic codes and some inner products. In Sect. 3, we set up a bijective correspondence between \mathbb{F}_q -linear conjucyclic codes of length n over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and q -ary linear cyclic codes of length $2n$. Moreover, the algebraic structure of these conjucyclic codes is explored. In Sect. 4, we illustrate the factorization of the polynomial $x^{2n} - 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q and provide generator and parity-check matrices of q^2 -ary conjucyclic codes of length n .

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{F}_{q^2} be a finite field with q^2 elements, where q is a power of prime p . It is obvious that the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} denoted by $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ is p . For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, let $\bar{\alpha}$ denote its conjugation α^q . Recall that a linear code \mathcal{C} with parameters $[n, k]_{q^2}$ is defined as a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ with dimension k .

An $(n, M)_{q^2}$ additive code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ with M elements for the additive operation. This means that the scalar multiples of codewords in an additive code may no longer belong to it. In particular, if a code is a subspace over field \mathbb{F}_q , then we call the code an \mathbb{F}_q -linear additive code. For simplicity, we use additive codes to indicate them unless otherwise stated.

Let vector $\vec{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Denote $w_h(\vec{u}) = \text{card}\{i | u_i \neq 0\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. For a q -ary code \mathcal{C} of length n , its minimum Hamming weight is defined as $w_h(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{w_h(\vec{u}) | \vec{u} \in \mathcal{C}\}$. If $n = 2m$, we write $w_s(\vec{u}) = \text{card}\{j | (u_j, u_{m+j}) \neq (0, 0)\}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. Then $w_s(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{w_s(\vec{u}) | \vec{u} \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is the minimum symplectic weight of \mathcal{C} .

Now we introduce the definition of cyclic codes as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a linear or additive code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . For any codeword $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$, if vector $\sigma(c) = (c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2})$ also belongs to \mathcal{C} , where σ is a right cyclic shift operator, then the code \mathcal{C} is called a q -ary cyclic code of length n .

Let $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ be a quotient ring. Define an \mathbb{F}_q -module isomorphic map φ from vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n to \mathbb{R} as

$$\varphi(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{C} is a q -ary linear cyclic code of length n if and only if $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is an ideal of ring \mathbb{R} . Since \mathbb{R} is a principal ideal ring, one can prove that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between a monic divisor of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ and a q -ary linear cyclic code of length n . As such, the factorization of $x^n - 1$ is crucial to classify linear cyclic codes of length n . If a linear cyclic code \mathcal{C} is generated by a monic divisor $g(x)$ of $x^n - 1$, $g(x)$ is called the generator polynomial of \mathcal{C} . For convenience, we denote the linear cyclic code \mathcal{C} by $\langle g(x) \rangle$ in the rest of the paper.

The definition of conjucyclic codes can be given similarly.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a q^2 -ary linear or additive code of length n . For each codeword $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$, the code \mathcal{C} is said to be conjucyclic if $T(c) = (\bar{c}_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$, where T is a right conjucyclic shift operator.

Next, we propose some inner products and corresponding dual codes of \mathcal{C} that will be used in this paper.

Given two vectors $\vec{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ and $\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ in vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n , define their Euclidean inner product as

$$\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_e = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i v_i.$$

If $n = 2m$, their symplectic inner product is defined as

$$\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_s = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (u_i v_{m+i} - u_{m+i} v_i).$$

The Euclidean and symplectic dual codes of code \mathcal{C} are respectively denoted as

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_e} = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_e = 0, \forall \vec{u} \in \mathcal{C}\}, \quad \mathcal{C}^{\perp_s} = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2m} \mid \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_s = 0, \forall \vec{u} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Note that the dual codes \mathcal{C}^{\perp_e} and \mathcal{C}^{\perp_s} must be \mathbb{F}_{q^2} -linear whether the code \mathcal{C} is \mathbb{F}_{q^2} -linear or not. Since we are generally interested in additive codes over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , then these usual inner products are not the most useful to study the dual structure of additive codes anymore.

In the following, we give an alternating inner product on vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. Let β be a primitive element of field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . For $\vec{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$, $\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, the alternating inner product is defined as

$$\langle u, v \rangle_a = (\bar{\beta}^2 - \beta^2) \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i \bar{v}_i - \bar{u}_i v_i).$$

Since $\langle u, v \rangle_a^q = \langle u, v \rangle_a$, we have $\langle u, v \rangle_a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. In addition, the alternating inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$ is \mathbb{F}_q -bilinear. Particularly, when $q = 2$, $\langle u, v \rangle_a = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i \bar{v}_i + \bar{u}_i v_i) = \text{Tr}(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \bar{v}_i)$, where Tr is the trace function of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} down \mathbb{F}_q that is defined as $\text{Tr}(x) = x + \bar{x}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Therefore, the alternating inner product is a generalization of the trace-Hermitian inner product in vector space \mathbb{F}_4^n appeared in [6].

Similarly, the alternating dual codes of \mathcal{C} can be presented as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \mid \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_a = 0, \forall \vec{u} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

3. The algebraic structure of additive conjugyclic codes

In [1], Abualrub *et al.* have shown that quaternary conjugyclic codes of length n are related to binary cyclic codes of length $2n$. In this section, by virtue of an isomorphic map, we indicate that the bijective correspondence between q^2 -ary conjugyclic codes of length n and q -ary cyclic codes of length $2n$ also exists.

For any element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we define a map ϕ from \mathbb{F}_{q^2} to \mathbb{F}_q^2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: \mathbb{F}_{q^2} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^2 \\ \alpha &\longmapsto (\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha)), \end{aligned}$$

where β is a primitive element of field \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and Tr is the trace function of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} down \mathbb{F}_q .

Proposition 3.1. *The map ϕ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism.*

Proof. For any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k_1\alpha_1 + k_2\alpha_2) &= (\text{Tr}(\beta(k_1\alpha_1 + k_2\alpha_2)), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}(k_1\alpha_1 + k_2\alpha_2))) \\ &= (k_1\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_1) + k_2\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_2), k_1\text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_1) + k_2\text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_2)) \\ &= k_1(\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_1), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_1)) + k_2(\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_2), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_2)) \\ &= k_1\phi(\alpha_1) + k_2\phi(\alpha_2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the map ϕ is \mathbb{F}_q -linear.

Next, we prove that ϕ is an injective map. Assume $\phi(\alpha_1) = \phi(\alpha_2)$, then $(\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_1), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_1)) - (\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_2), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_2)) = (\text{Tr}(\beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2))) = (0, 0)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \bar{\beta}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^q = 0 \\ \bar{\beta}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \beta(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^q = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = 2$, by Equation (1), we have

$$\begin{cases} \beta(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = \bar{\beta}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^q \\ \bar{\beta}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = \beta(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^q, \end{cases}$$

which means that $\beta(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \bar{\beta}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since β is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and α_1, α_2 are arbitrary elements in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ can be derived directly.

Now we suppose $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) \neq 2$, then Equation (1) gives

$$\begin{cases} (\beta + \bar{\beta})((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^q) = 0 \\ (\beta - \bar{\beta})((\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^q) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $(\beta + \bar{\beta}) \neq 0$ and $(\beta - \bar{\beta}) \neq 0$. If not, $\beta^{2q-2} = 1$ or $\beta^{q-1} = 1$. There exists a contradiction because the order of β is $q^2 - 1$ and $q - 1 < 2q - 2 < q^2 - 1$. So the above equations can be transformed into

$$\begin{cases} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^q = 0 \\ (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^q = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = 0 \\ 2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^q = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \alpha_2.$$

Hence, ϕ is an injective map. Moreover, since $|\mathbb{F}_{q^2}| = |\mathbb{F}_q^2| = q^2$, ϕ must be surjective. In conclusion, ϕ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism. \square

Remark 3.2. Notice that the map ϕ is \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphic. Then any element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is determined by $(\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha)) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$. In fact,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\beta - \beta^{2q-1}} \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha) - \frac{\beta^{q-1}}{\beta - \beta^{2q-1}} \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha). \quad (2)$$

Example 3.3. Let $q = 3$ and β be a primitive element of field \mathbb{F}_9 . Then the map ϕ from \mathbb{F}_9 to \mathbb{F}_3^2 can be given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: \mathbb{F}_9 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^2 \\ 0 &\longmapsto (0, 0) & \beta^4 &\longmapsto (2, 2) \\ 1 &\longmapsto (1, 1) & \beta^5 &\longmapsto (0, 2) \\ \beta &\longmapsto (0, 1) & \beta^6 &\longmapsto (2, 1) \\ \beta^2 &\longmapsto (1, 2) & \beta^7 &\longmapsto (2, 0) \\ \beta^3 &\longmapsto (1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

One can check that the map ϕ is an \mathbb{F}_3 -linear isomorphism. According to

Equation (2), we have $\frac{1}{\beta-\beta^5} = \beta^3$, $\frac{\beta^2}{\beta-\beta^5} = \beta^5$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \beta^3 \times 0 - \beta^5 \times 0 & \beta^4 &= \beta^3 \times 2 - \beta^5 \times 2 \\ 1 &= \beta^3 \times 1 - \beta^5 \times 1 & \beta^5 &= \beta^3 \times 0 - \beta^5 \times 2 \\ \beta &= \beta^3 \times 0 - \beta^5 \times 1 & \beta^6 &= \beta^3 \times 2 - \beta^5 \times 1 \\ \beta^2 &= \beta^3 \times 1 - \beta^5 \times 2 & \beta^7 &= \beta^3 \times 2 - \beta^5 \times 0. \\ \beta^3 &= \beta^3 \times 1 - \beta^5 \times 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Naturally, the map ϕ can be generalized to vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. For vector $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, the extended map denoted by Φ is

$$\Phi(\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})) = (\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_0), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_{n-1})).$$

If we define a permutation ς on vector $\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ as

$$\varsigma(\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n-1})) = (v_0, v_2, \dots, v_{2n-2}, v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{2n-1}),$$

then the following proposition can be gained directly.

Proposition 3.4. $\Psi = \varsigma \cdot \Phi$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism, and for all vector $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, we have

$$\Psi(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) = (\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_0), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_{n-1})).$$

Now we can build a relationship between the conjucyclic shift on vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ and the cyclic shift on vectors in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} .

Proposition 3.5. For any vector $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, we have $\Psi(T(\vec{\alpha})) = \sigma(\Psi(\vec{\alpha}))$, where σ and T are cyclic and conjucyclic shift operators, respectively. To be a more intuitive understanding, a commutative diagram is exhibited as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \\ \Psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\ \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(T(\vec{\alpha})) = & \Psi(\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}) = (\text{Tr}(\beta\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_{n-2}), \\ & \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_{n-2})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Psi(\vec{\alpha})) = & \sigma(\text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_{n-1})) = (\text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_{n-1}), \\ & \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_{n-2}), \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_{n-2})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Tr}(\beta\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}) = \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\alpha_{n-1})$, $\text{Tr}(\bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}) = \text{Tr}(\beta\alpha_{n-1})$, the proposition holds obviously. \square

Then a bijective correspondence between additive conjucyclic codes and linear cyclic codes can be obtained.

Theorem 3.6. *\mathcal{C} is an additive conjucyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of length n if and only if there exists a q -ary linear cyclic code $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$ of length $2n$. Moreover, the minimum Hamming weight of \mathcal{C} is equal to the minimum symplectic weight of \mathcal{C} , i.e., $w_h(\mathcal{C}) = w_s(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is an additive conjucyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of length n , the map Ψ gives that \mathcal{D} is a vector subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} . It is equivalent to say, \mathcal{D} is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length $2n$. Via Proposition 3.5, we have $\sigma(\Psi(\mathcal{C})) = \Psi(T(\mathcal{C})) = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$. So \mathcal{D} is a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$.

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{D} is a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$. Since Ψ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism, then $\mathcal{C} = \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. In addition, by $\Psi(T(\mathcal{C})) = \sigma(\Psi(\mathcal{C})) = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$, we have $T(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. Hence, \mathcal{C} is an additive conjucyclic code.

For each codeword $\vec{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$, according to Equation (2), it is easy to see that $c_i = 0$ if and only if $(\text{Tr}(\beta c_i), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} c_i)) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, which implies $w_h(\mathcal{C}) = w_s(\mathcal{D})$. \square

Remark 3.7. By the theory of cyclic codes [14], these binary cyclic codes from quaternary additive conjucyclic codes in [1] must be repeated-root since $\text{gcd}(2n, 2) = 2$. However, [7] has shown that repeated-root cyclic codes cannot be asymptotically better than simple-root cyclic codes. In this work, our cyclic codes from additive conjucyclic codes can be simple-root.

In the following result, we will exhibit that the map Ψ also preserves the orthogonality.

Proposition 3.8. *Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be two vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. Then*

$$\langle \Psi(\vec{u}), \Psi(\vec{v}) \rangle_s = \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_a,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$ denote the symplectic and alternating inner product, respectively.

Proof. Select $\vec{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})$ and $\vec{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, we have

$$\Psi(\vec{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})) = (\text{Tr}(\beta u_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta u_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} u_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} u_{n-1})),$$

$$\Psi(\vec{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})) = (\text{Tr}(\beta v_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta v_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} v_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} v_{n-1})).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle \Psi(\vec{u}), \Psi(\vec{v}) \rangle_s &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} u_i) \text{Tr}(\beta v_i) - \text{Tr}(\beta u_i) \text{Tr}(\bar{\beta} v_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((\bar{\beta} u_i + \beta \bar{u}_i)(\beta v_i + \bar{\beta} \bar{v}_i) - (\beta u_i + \bar{\beta} \bar{u}_i)(\bar{\beta} v_i + \beta \bar{v}_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\beta^{q+1} u_i v_i + \bar{\beta}^2 u_i \bar{v}_i + \beta^2 \bar{u}_i v_i + \beta^{q+1} \bar{u}_i \bar{v}_i - \beta^{q+1} u_i v_i \\ &\quad - \beta^2 u_i \bar{v}_i - \bar{\beta}^2 \bar{u}_i v_i - \beta^{q+1} \bar{u}_i \bar{v}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\bar{\beta}^2 u_i \bar{v}_i + \beta^2 \bar{u}_i v_i - \beta^2 u_i \bar{v}_i - \bar{\beta}^2 \bar{u}_i v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\bar{\beta}^2 (u_i \bar{v}_i - \bar{u}_i v_i) + \beta^2 (\bar{u}_i v_i - u_i \bar{v}_i)) \\ &= (\bar{\beta}^2 - \beta^2) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (u_i \bar{v}_i - \bar{u}_i v_i) = \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_a. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be an additive conjugyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of length n and $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$ be a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} = \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}^{\perp_s}).$$

Proof. For any $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\vec{d} \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a}$, according to Proposition 3.8, we have $\langle \vec{c}, \vec{d} \rangle_a = \langle \Psi(\vec{c}), \Psi(\vec{d}) \rangle_s = 0$. By the arbitrariness of codeword $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}$, we gain

$\Psi(\vec{d}) \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp_s}$ and $\Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{\perp_s}$, then $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} \subseteq \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}^{\perp_s})$. Analogously, we can also prove $\Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}^{\perp_s}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a}$. This concludes the result. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ be an additive conjucyclic code and $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ be a linear cyclic code. Then \mathcal{C} is alternating dual-containing if and only if \mathcal{D} is symplectic dual-containing, that is, $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}^{\perp_s} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.*

In 2001, Ashikhmin *et al.* [2] expounded a vitally important connection between quantum stabilizer codes and classical codes with respect to the symplectic inner product.

Lemma 3.11. [2] *If \mathcal{C} is a symplectic dual-containing linear code with parameters $[2n, k]_q$, then there exists an $[[n, k - n, \geq w_s(\mathcal{C})]]_q$ quantum stabilizer code that is pure to $w_s(\mathcal{C})$.*

Utilizing the alternating dual-containing additive conjucyclic codes, a construction of quantum stabilizer codes can also be proposed.

Theorem 3.12. *If \mathcal{C} is an $(n, M = q^k, w_h(\mathcal{C}))_{q^2}$ additive conjucyclic code such that $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, then a pure $[[n, k - n, \geq w_h(\mathcal{C})]]_q$ stabilizer code can be provided.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.6, Corollary 3.10 and Lemma 3.11, the construction can be derived immediately. \square

In [1], $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ was defined by Abualrub *et al.* as the largest binary cyclic code contained in a conjucyclic code \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_4 . Some algebraic properties of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ have been further discussed. Now we define $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ as the largest q -ary cyclic code in a q^2 -ary conjucyclic code \mathcal{C} and some generalized conclusions are also available.

Theorem 3.13. *Let \mathcal{C} be an additive conjucyclic code and $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$ be a linear cyclic code. Then $\Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$ is a subcode of \mathcal{D} and cosets of $\Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$ are contained in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ is the largest q -ary cyclic code in \mathcal{C} , we have that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear subcode of \mathcal{C} , which gives that $\Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$ is a subcode of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D} contains cosets of $\Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$. \square

Theorem 3.14. Let \mathcal{C} be a q^2 -ary conjugyclic code of length n and $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$ be a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$. For any codeword $\vec{d} = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{2n-1}) \in \mathcal{D}$, $\vec{d} \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$ if and only if $d_i = d_{n+i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof. If $\vec{d} = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{2n-1}) \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$, then $\vec{c} = \Psi^{-1}(\vec{d}) = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $\vec{d} = \Psi(\vec{c}) = (\text{Tr}(\beta c_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta c_{n-1}), \text{Tr}(\beta^q c_0), \dots, \text{Tr}(\beta^q c_{n-1})) = (c_0 \text{Tr}(\beta), \dots, c_{n-1} \text{Tr}(\beta), c_0 \text{Tr}(\beta^q), \dots, c_{n-1} \text{Tr}(\beta^q))$. By $\text{Tr}(\beta) = \text{Tr}(\beta^q)$, $d_i = d_{n+i}$ can be deduced.

On the contrary, if $d_i = d_{n+i}$, by Equation (2), we have $c_i = \frac{1}{\beta - \beta^{2q-1}} d_i - \frac{\beta^{q-1}}{\beta - \beta^{2q-1}} d_{n+i} = \frac{1 - \beta^{q-1}}{\beta - \beta^{2q-1}} d_i = \frac{1}{\beta + \beta^q} d_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ is the largest q -ary cyclic code in \mathcal{C} , one can get that $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\vec{d} = \Psi(\vec{c}) \in \Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$. \square

Corollary 3.15. Let \mathcal{C} be a conjugyclic code and $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$ be a linear cyclic code. Then $\Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$ is a subcode of \mathcal{D} consisting of all codewords with $d_i = d_{n+i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Example 3.16. Choose β as a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_9 and the 9-ary conjugyclic additive code \mathcal{C} of length 3 is generated by

$$\{(2, 1, 0), (0, 2, 1), (\beta^2, \beta^6, \beta^2)\}.$$

The code \mathcal{C} has cardinality 27, and its codewords are listed as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} (0, 0, 0) & (\beta, \beta^3, \beta) & (\beta^3, \beta, \beta^3) & (1, 2, 0) & (\beta^2, \beta^5, \beta) & (\beta^6, \beta^7, \beta^3) \\ (0, 1, 2) & (\beta, \beta^6, \beta^7) & (\beta^3, \beta^2, \beta^5) & (2, 0, 1) & (\beta^7, \beta^3, \beta^2) & (\beta^5, \beta, \beta^6) \\ (0, 2, 1) & (\beta, \beta^5, \beta^2) & (\beta^3, \beta^7, \beta^6) & (2, 1, 0) & (\beta^7, \beta^6, \beta) & (\beta^5, \beta^2, \beta^3) \\ (1, 0, 2) & (\beta^2, \beta^3, \beta^7) & (\beta^6, \beta, \beta^5) & (2, 2, 2) & (\beta^7, \beta^5, \beta^7) & (\beta^5, \beta^7, \beta^5) \\ (1, 1, 1) & (\beta^2, \beta^6, \beta^2) & (\beta^6, \beta^2, \beta^6) & & & \end{array}$$

Then $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$ is a ternary cyclic code of length 6, whose codewords can

be written as

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) & (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1) & (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) \\
(0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2) & (0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0) & (1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2) \\
(0, 2, 1, 0, 2, 1) & (0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2) & (1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1) \\
(1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 2) & (1, 1, 2, 2, 0, 0) & (2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2) \\
(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) & (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2) & (2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1) \\
(1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 0) & (1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 1) & (2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0) \\
(2, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1) & (2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 2) & (0, 0, 2, 2, 1, 1) \\
(2, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0) & (2, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1) & (0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 0) \\
(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2) & (2, 0, 2, 0, 2, 0) & (0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 2).
\end{array}$$

By our definition, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}) = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2), (0, 2, 1), (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 0), (2, 0, 1), (2, 1, 0), (2, 2, 2)\}$. From $\Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})) = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2), (0, 2, 1, 0, 2, 1), (1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 0), (2, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1), (2, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0), (2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)\}$, we can see that $\Psi(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}))$ is a subcode of \mathcal{D} consisting of all codewords with $d_i = d_{3+i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. \square

Example 3.17. Assume $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = 2$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C}) = \langle x + 1 \rangle$ be a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$. By the theory of cyclic codes [14], we have its Euclidean dual code $\mathcal{D}^{\perp_e} = \langle 1 + x + \cdots + x^{2n-1} \rangle$. Obviously, vector $\vec{v} = (1, 0, \dots, 0 \mid 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{D}$, which deduces $\Psi^{-1}(\vec{v}) = (\frac{1}{\beta + \beta^q}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Therefore, the coordinate vectors $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{F}_q^n$. \square

Example 3.18. Now we let $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C}) = \langle 1 + x + \cdots + x^{2n-1} \rangle$ be a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$. Then \mathcal{D} has cardinality q and consists of all γ vectors for $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Hence, $\mathcal{C} = \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) = \left\{ \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta + \beta^q}, \dots, \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \beta^q} \right) \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. \square

4. Generator and parity-check matrices for additive conjugcyclic codes

In this section, we start with the factorization of the polynomial $x^{2n} - 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q to classify q -ary linear cyclic codes of length $2n$.

Let $2n = p^\ell n_0$, where p is the characteristic of field \mathbb{F}_q and $\ell \geq 0$, $n_0 > 0$ are integers such that $\text{gcd}(n_0, p) = 1$. Then we have $x^{n_0} - 1 = g_1(x)g_2(x) \cdots g_t(x)$, where $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_t(x)$ are distinct irreducible poly-

nomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. It means that

$$x^{2n} - 1 = (x^{n_0} - 1)^{p^\ell} = g_1(x)^{p^\ell} g_2(x)^{p^\ell} \dots g_t(x)^{p^\ell}. \quad (3)$$

The set of divisors of $x^{2n} - 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q can be written as

$$\text{Div}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{2n} - 1) = \{g_1(x)^{s_1} g_2(x)^{s_2} \dots g_t(x)^{s_t} \mid 0 \leq s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t \leq p^\ell\}.$$

Obviously, the polynomial $x^{2n} - 1$ has $(p^\ell + 1)^t$ distinct divisors over \mathbb{F}_q . Therefore, according to Theorem 3.6, the enumeration of q^2 -ary conjucyclic codes of length n can be obtained naturally.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the polynomial $x^{2n} - 1$ is factorized as Equation (3), then there exist $(p^\ell + 1)^t$ distinct q^2 -ary additive conjucyclic codes of length n .*

Let $g(x) = g_1(x)^{s_1} g_2(x)^{s_2} \dots g_t(x)^{s_t} \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{2n} - 1)$. Suppose that $\text{Degree}(g_i(x)) = d_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, then the dimension of the q -ary cyclic code $\mathcal{D}_{g(x)}$ is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{D}_{g(x)}) = 2n - \deg(g(x)) = 2n - \sum_{i=1}^t s_i d_i.$$

This means that $|\mathcal{D}_{g(x)}| = q^{2n - \deg(g(x))}$. Let $g(x) \neq x^{2n} - 1$ and $k = \deg(g(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^t s_i d_i$. We have $g(x) = g_0 + g_1 x + \dots + g_k x^k$ and $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$, where $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Define $h(x) = \frac{x^{2n} - 1}{g(x)} = h_0 + h_1 x + \dots + h_{2n-k} x^{2n-k}$ and $h^*(x) = \frac{1}{h_{2n-k}} x^{2n-k} h(\frac{1}{x})$. For simplicity, attached to polynomial $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + \dots + f_{2n-1} x^{2n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^{2n} - 1 \rangle$, we denote $V_{f(x)} = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ and $W_{f(x)} = \Psi^{-1}(V_{f(x)}) = \Psi^{-1}(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ in the rest of the paper.

Definition 4.2. A generator matrix of a q^2 -ary linear code \mathcal{C} is defined as a matrix whose rows form an \mathbb{F}_{q^2} -basis for \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{C} is an additive code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , these rows of its additive generator matrix form an \mathbb{F}_q -basis.

Now we produce their additive generator matrices of q^2 -ary additive conjucyclic codes.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an additive conjucyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} of length n and $\mathcal{D} = \Psi(\mathcal{C})$ be a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$, where $\mathcal{D} = \langle g(x) \rangle$*

and $g(x) \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{2n} - 1)$. Then an additive generator matrix of \mathcal{C} can be given as follows:

$$\widehat{G} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{g(x)} \\ T(W_{g(x)}) \\ \vdots \\ T^{2n-k-1}(W_{g(x)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We first prove that each codeword $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ can be generated by the rows of matrix \widehat{G} . Since $\mathcal{D} = \langle g(x) \rangle$, by the theory of cyclic codes [14], its generator matrix is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} V_{g(x)} \\ \sigma(V_{g(x)}) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma^{2n-k-1}(V_{g(x)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, there exist elements $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{2n-k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$\Psi(\vec{c}) = k_0 V_{g(x)} + k_1 \sigma(V_{g(x)}) + \dots + k_{2n-k-1} \sigma^{2n-k-1}(V_{g(x)}).$$

Since Ψ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism, then

$$\vec{c} = k_0 \Psi^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) + k_1 \Psi^{-1}(\sigma(V_{g(x)})) + \dots + k_{2n-k-1} \Psi^{-1}(\sigma^{2n-k-1}(V_{g(x)})).$$

According to Proposition 3.5, we can deduce $\Psi^{-1}(\sigma^i(V_{g(x)})) = T^i(W_{g(x)})$, where $W_{g(x)} = \Psi^{-1}(V_{g(x)})$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2n - k - 1$. Therefore,

$$\vec{c} = k_0 W_{g(x)} + k_1 T(W_{g(x)}) + \dots + k_{2n-k-1} T^{2n-k-1}(W_{g(x)}).$$

In addition, from $|\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{D}| = q^{2n-k}$, it is obvious that there are no subsets of the rows of \widehat{G} can produce \mathcal{C} . As a consequence, the rows of matrix \widehat{G} form an \mathbb{F}_q -basis. In other words, matrix \widehat{G} is an additive generator matrix of the conjugyclic code \mathcal{C} . \square

In order to provide parity-check matrices of q^2 -ary additive conjugyclic codes, we need to define the following linear transformation τ :

$$\tau(\vec{v}) = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-v_n, \dots, -v_{2n-1}, v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}),$$

where $\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ and I_n denotes $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be a q -ary linear cyclic code of length $2n$, where $\mathcal{D} = \langle g(x) \rangle$ and $g(x) \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{2n} - 1)$. Then a generator matrix of the symplectic dual code \mathcal{D}^{\perp_s} has the following form,*

$$H_s = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(V_{h^*(x)}) \\ \tau(\sigma(V_{h^*(x)})) \\ \vdots \\ \tau(\sigma^{k-1}(V_{h^*(x)})) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By the theory of cyclic codes [14], we know that a generator matrix of the Euclidean dual code \mathcal{D}^{\perp_e} can be given as

$$H_e = \begin{pmatrix} V_{h^*(x)} \\ \sigma(V_{h^*(x)}) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma^{k-1}(V_{h^*(x)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each codeword $\vec{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ and row vector $\tau(\sigma^i(V_{h^*(x)}))$ of H_s , we have $\langle d, \tau(\sigma^i(V_{h^*(x)})) \rangle_s = \langle d, \sigma^i(V_{h^*(x)}) \rangle_e = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Hence, the code generated by H_s is contained in the code \mathcal{D}^{\perp_s} . In addition, from $H_s = H_e \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $\text{Rank}(H_s) = \text{Rank}(H_e) = k$. Therefore, H_s is a generator matrix of \mathcal{D}^{\perp_s} obviously. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a q^2 -ary additive conjugyclic code of length n given by the q -ary linear cyclic code $\mathcal{D} = \langle g(x) \rangle$, where $g(x) \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{2n} - 1)$. Then the additive generator matrix of \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} can be written as*

$$\widehat{H}_a = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{-1}(\tau(V_{h^*(x)})) \\ \Psi^{-1}(\tau(\sigma(V_{h^*(x)}))) \\ \vdots \\ \Psi^{-1}(\tau(\sigma^{k-1}(V_{h^*(x)}))) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since Ψ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism, from Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 4.4, this result can be obtained directly. \square

Moreover, the additive generator matrix \widehat{H}_a for code \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} is a parity-check matrix of code \mathcal{C} . We have

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \mid \langle \widehat{H}_a, (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-1})^t \rangle_a = 0 \right\},$$

where t is the transpose transform and $\langle \widehat{H}_a, (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-1})^t \rangle_a$ denotes the matrix multiplication of \widehat{H}_a and $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-1})^t$ for the alternating inner product.

Theorem 4.6. *With the above notations, we let $W_{h^\epsilon(x)} = \tau(W_{h^*(x)})$. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = 2$, then the additive generator matrix of \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} can be also denoted as*

$$\widehat{H}_a = \begin{pmatrix} W_{h^\epsilon(x)} \\ T(W_{h^\epsilon(x)}) \\ \vdots \\ T^{k-1}(W_{h^\epsilon(x)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = 2$, then for any vector $\vec{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$, one can check that

$$\tau(\sigma(\vec{v})) = (v_{n-1}, v_n, \dots, v_{2n-2}, v_{2n-1}, v_0, \dots, v_{n-2}) = \sigma(\tau(\vec{v})).$$

Hence, for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $\tau(\sigma^i(\vec{v})) = \sigma(\tau(\sigma^{i-1}(\vec{v}))) = \dots = \sigma^i(\tau(\vec{v}))$. In addition, according to Proposition 3.5, we have $\Psi^{-1}(\sigma^i(\vec{v})) = T^i(\Psi^{-1}(\vec{v}))$. Then the additive generator matrix of \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_a &= \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{-1}(\tau(V_{h^*(x)})) \\ \Psi^{-1}(\tau(\sigma(V_{h^*(x)}))) \\ \vdots \\ \Psi^{-1}(\tau(\sigma^{k-1}(V_{h^*(x)}))) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{-1}(\tau(V_{h^*(x)})) \\ \Psi^{-1}(\sigma(\tau(V_{h^*(x)}))) \\ \vdots \\ \Psi^{-1}(\sigma^{k-1}(\tau(V_{h^*(x)}))) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{-1}(V_{h^\epsilon(x)}) \\ T(\Psi^{-1}(V_{h^\epsilon(x)})) \\ \vdots \\ T^{k-1}(\Psi^{-1}(V_{h^\epsilon(x)})) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{h^\epsilon(x)} \\ T(W_{h^\epsilon(x)}) \\ \vdots \\ T^{k-1}(W_{h^\epsilon(x)}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a q^2 -ary additive conjugyclic code. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}) = 2$, then the alternating dual code \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} is also additive conjugyclic.*

Proof. By observing the form of matrix \widehat{H}_a , the corollary follows obviously. □

Remark 4.8. Theorem 9 in [1] has claimed that if \mathcal{C} is a quaternary additive conjucyclic code, then its trace dual code \mathcal{C}^{Tr} is also a quaternary additive conjucyclic code, where $\mathcal{C}^{Tr} = \{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n | \text{Tr}\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle_e = 0, \forall \vec{u} \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Now we can give another explanation. When $q = 2$, by Corollary 4.7, we know that \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} is an additive conjucyclic code. This leads \mathcal{C}^{Tr} to be also additive conjucyclic based on the fact $\mathcal{C}^{Tr} = (\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a})^2$, where $(\mathcal{C}^{\perp_a})^2 = \{(c_0^2, c_1^2, \dots, c_{n-1}^2) | (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a}\}$.

In [1], Abualrub *et al.* have constructed an additive conjucyclic code when $q = 2$. In the following, we provide two examples to show the construction method of additive conjucyclic codes when $q = 3$ or 4.

Example 4.9. Let $q = 3$ and $n = 11$. The polynomial $x^{22} - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$ can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} x^{22} - 1 &= (1+x)(2+x)(2+2x+x^2+2x^3+x^5)(1+2x+2x^2+2x^3+x^5) \\ &\quad (1+2x^2+2x^3+2x^4+x^5)(2+x^2+2x^3+x^4+x^5). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, there are $2^6 = 64$ factors of $x^{22} - 1$, which correspond to 64 additive conjucyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_9 of length 11. Let \mathcal{C} be a 9-ary additive conjucyclic code of length 11 given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= (1+2x+2x^2+2x^3+x^5)(2+x^2+2x^3+x^4+x^5) \\ &= 2+x+2x^2+2x^3+x^4+2x^5+2x^6+2x^7+x^8+x^9+x^{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $V_{g(x)} = (2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{22}$ and $W_{g(x)} = \Psi^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) = (\beta^7, \beta^3, \beta^7, \beta^7, \beta^3, \beta^7, \beta^7, \beta^7, \beta^3, \beta^3, \beta^3) \in \mathbb{F}_9^{11}$, where β is a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_9 . According to Theorem 4.3, an additive generator matrix of \mathcal{C} can be given by

$$\widehat{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^3 & \beta^3 \\ \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^3 \\ \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 \\ \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 \\ \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 \\ \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 \\ \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & \beta^3 \\ \beta & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 \\ \beta^5 & \beta & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 \\ \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 & \beta^3 \\ \beta & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta & \beta^7 \\ \beta^5 & \beta & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta^5 & \beta & \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\mathcal{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\mathcal{D}) = 22 - 10 = 12$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}) \widehat{G} \mid (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{11}\}$. Using Magma [4], we calculate $w_h(\mathcal{C}) = 5$. Therefore, we can construct a $(11, 3^{12}, 5)_9$ additive conjugyclic code, whose weight distribution is $1 + 264z^5 + 2112z^6 + 11880z^7 + 46200z^8 + 125840z^9 + 199584z^{10} + 199584z^{11}$.

On the other hand, since $h(x) = \frac{x^{22}-1}{g(x)} = 1 + x + x^3 + x^4 + 2x^6 + x^8 + 2x^9 + 2x^{11} + x^{12}$ and $h^*(x) = 1 + 2x + 2x^3 + x^4 + 2x^6 + x^8 + x^9 + x^{11} + x^{12}$, then $\tau(V_{h^*(x)}) = (2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0, 2, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0), \dots, \tau(\sigma^9(V_{h^*(x)})) = (0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{22}$ and $\Psi^{-1}(\tau(V_{h^*(x)})) = (\beta^6, 2, 0, \beta^5, \beta, 0, \beta^5, 0, \beta, \beta, 0), \dots, \Psi^{-1}(\tau(\sigma^9(V_{h^*(x)}))) = (0, \beta^3, \beta^7, 0, \beta^3, 0, \beta^7, \beta^7, 0, \beta^6, 2) \in \mathbb{F}_9^{11}$. Applying Theorem 4.5, we gain the following additive generator matrix of the code \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} ,

$$\widehat{H}_a = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 & \beta & 0 & \beta^5 & 0 & \beta & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 & \beta & 0 & \beta^5 & 0 & \beta & \beta \\ \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 & \beta & 0 & \beta^5 & 0 & \beta \\ \beta^7 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 & \beta & 0 & \beta^5 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 & \beta & 0 & \beta^5 \\ \beta^3 & 0 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^3 & 0 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 & \beta \\ \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^3 & 0 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 & \beta^5 \\ \beta^3 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^3 & 0 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^3 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^3 & 0 & \beta^7 & \beta^7 & 0 & \beta^6 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_{g(x)}^{\perp_a} = \{(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8, b_9, b_{10}, b_{11}) \widehat{H}_a \mid (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7, b_8, b_9, b_{10}, b_{11}) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{11}\}$.

Example 4.10. Now we consider the case $q = 4$. Over \mathbb{F}_4 , the factorization of $x^{22} - 1$ is

$$(1+x)^2(1+\omega^2x+x^2+x^3+\omega x^4+x^5)^2(1+\omega x+x^2+x^3+\omega^2x^4+x^5)^2,$$

where ω is a primitive element in \mathbb{F}_4 . Then the factors of $x^{22} - 1$ can be described as $(1+x)^{i_1}(1+\omega^2x+x^2+x^3+\omega x^4+x^5)^{i_2}(1+\omega x+x^2+x^3+\omega^2x^4+x^5)^{i_3}$ for $0 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq 2$. By Theorem 4.1, there are $3^3 = 27$ additive conjugyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{16} of length 11. Let \mathcal{C} be a 16-ary additive conjugyclic code of length 11 determined by

$$g(x) = (1 + \omega^2x + x^2 + x^3 + \omega x^4 + x^5)^2 = 1 + \omega x^2 + x^4 + x^6 + \omega^2x^8 + x^{10}.$$

So $V_{g(x)} = (1, 0, \omega, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \omega^2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_4^{22}$. Choose β as a primitive element in \mathbb{F}_{16} , then

$$W_{g(x)} = \Psi^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) = (\beta, 0, \beta^6, 0, \beta, 0, \beta, 0, \beta^{11}, 0, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{16}^{11}.$$

An additive generator matrix of \mathcal{C} can be written as

$$\widehat{G}_a = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta^{11} & 0 & \beta \\ \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta^{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta^{11} \\ \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta & 0 & \beta \\ \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta \\ \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 & \beta^6 \\ \beta^9 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^9 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 & \beta \\ \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^9 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^4 & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By calculation, the code \mathcal{C} has parameters $(11, 4^{12}, 5)_{16}$ with a weight distribution $1 + 825z^5 + 1980z^6 + 61875z^7 + 391875z^8 + 2025375z^9 + 6045600z^{10} + 8249685z^{11}$.

On the other side, from $h(x) = \frac{x^{22}-1}{g(x)} = 1 + \omega x^2 + \omega x^4 + \omega^2 x^8 + \omega^2 x^{10} + x^{12}$, we have $h^*(x) = 1 + \omega^2 x^2 + \omega^2 x^4 + \omega x^8 + \omega x^{10} + x^{12}$ and $V_{h^*(x)} = (1, 0, \omega^2, 0, \omega^2, 0, 0, 0, \omega, 0, \omega, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_4^{22}$. Then $V_{h^\epsilon(x)} = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, \omega^2, 0, \omega^2, 0, 0, 0, \omega, 0, \omega) \in \mathbb{F}_4^{22}$ and $W_{h^\epsilon(x)} = (\beta^4, \beta, \beta^{14}, 0, \beta^{14}, 0, 0, 0, \beta^9, 0, \beta^9) \in \mathbb{F}_{16}^{11}$. According to Theorem 4.6, a parity-check matrix of \mathcal{C} for the alternating inner product can be given as

$$\widehat{H}_a = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^9 & 0 & \beta^9 \\ \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^9 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^9 \\ \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^{14} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 & \beta^{14} \\ \beta^{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta & \beta^{14} \\ \beta^{11} & 0 & \beta^{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^6 & \beta^4 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the matrix \widehat{H}_a , we can easily see that the alternating dual code \mathcal{C}^{\perp_a} is

also an additive conjucyclic code.

Moreover, one can check that the additive conjucyclic code \mathcal{C} is dual-containing for the alternating inner product. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.12, a stabilizer code with parameters $[[11, 1, 5]]_4$ can be constructed, whose weight distribution is $825z^5 + 1155z^6 + 61050z^7 + 361350 + 91904925z^9 + 5664615z^{10} + 7734720z^{11}$. Note that this code exceeds the the quantum Gilbert-Varshamov bound [12] and betters than $[[13, 1, 3]]_4$ and $[[14, 0, 4]]_4$ stabilizer codes available in [10].

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11801564, 11901579).

References

- [1] T. Abualrub, Y. Cao, S.T. Dougherty, Algebraic structure of additive conjucyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 , *Finite Fields Appl.* 65 (2020) 101678.
- [2] A. Ashikhmin, E. Knill, Nonbinary quantum stabilizer codes, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 47 (2001) 3065-3072.
- [3] N. Benbelkacem, J. Borges, S.T. Dougherty, C. Fernández-Córdoba, On $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -additive complementary dual codes and related LCD codes, *Finite Fields Appl.* 62 (2020) 101622.
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The MAGMA algebra system I: the user language, *J. Symb. Comput.* 24 (1997) 235-265.
- [5] J. Bierbrauer, Cyclic additive codes, *J. Algebra* 372 (2012) 661-672.
- [6] A.R. Calderbank, E.M. Rains, P.W. Shor, N.J.A. Sloane, Quantum error correction via $\text{GF}(4)$, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 44 (1998) 1369-1387.
- [7] G. Castagnoli, J.L. Massey, P.A. Schoeller, N. Seeman, On repeated-root cyclic codes, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 37 (1991) 337-342.
- [8] P. Delsarte, V. Levenshtein, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, *Philips Res. Repts. Suppl.*, 10 (1973).

- [9] L. Diao, J. Gao, J. Lu, Some results on $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_p[v]$ -additive cyclic codes, Adv. Math. Commun. doi: 10.3934/amc.2020029 (Online).
- [10] Y. Edel, Table of quantum twisted codes, Online available at <http://www.mathi.uni-heidelberg.de/~yves/matritzen/QT BCH/QT BCHIndex.html>. (Accessed 8 July 2020).
- [11] M.F. Ezerman, S. Ling, P. Solé, Additive asymmetric quantum codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 57 (8) (2011) 5536-5550.
- [12] K. Feng, Z. Ma, A finite Gilbert-Varshamov bound for pure stabilizer quantum codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 50 (2004) 3323-3325.
- [13] C. Güneri, F. Özbudak, F. Özdemir, On complementary dual additive cyclic codes, Adv. Math. Commun. 11 (2) (2017) 353-357.
- [14] W.C. Huffman, V. Pless, Fundamentals of error-correcting codes, Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [15] W.C. Huffman, Additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 , Adv. Math. Commun. 1 (4) (2007) 427-459.
- [16] W.C. Huffman, On the theory of \mathbb{F}_q -linear \mathbb{F}_{q^t} codes, Adv. Math. Commun. 7 (3) (2013) 349-378.
- [17] J.-L. Kim, Designs in additive codes over GF(4), Designs, Codes Cryptogr., 30 (2003) 187-199.
- [18] J.-L. Kim, N. Lee, Secret sharing schemes based on additive codes over \mathbb{F}_4 , Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput. 28 (1) (2017) 79-97.
- [19] S. Mahmoudi, K. Samei, Additive codes over Galois rings, Finite Fields Appl. 56 (2019) 332-350.
- [20] M. Shi, R. Wu, P. Solé, Asymptotically good additive cyclic codes exist, IEEE Commun. Lett. 22 (10) (2018) 1980-1983.
- [21] T. Yao, S. Zhu, X. Kai, Asymptotically good $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive cyclic codes, Finite Fields Appl. 63 (2020) 101633.