

A GERSTEN COMPLEX ON REAL SCHEMES

FANGZHOU JIN AND HENG XIE

ABSTRACT. We discuss a connection between coherent duality and Verdier duality via a Gersten-type complex of sheaves on real schemes, and show that this construction gives a dualizing object in the derived category, which is compatible with the exceptional inverse image functor $f^!$. The hypercohomology of this complex coincides with hypercohomology of the sheafified Gersten-Witt complex, which in some cases can be related to topological or semialgebraic Borel-Moore homology.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Conventions and preliminaries	4
2.1. On schemes and sheaves	4
2.2. On dualizing complexes	5
2.3. On real schemes	6
3. Main results of the paper	7
4. Borel-Moore Devissage	8
4.1. The Gersten-Witt complex	8
4.2. The Rost-Schmid complex	9
4.3. I-cohomology with dualizing complex	11
4.4. I-cohomology with support	12
5. The (twisted) signature map	14
5.1. Twisted residue	14
5.2. Twisted transfer	15
5.3. The Gersten complex of a minimal dualizing complex	15
6. Scheiderer's theorems revisited	18
6.1. Six functors and purity theorems	18
6.2. The Cousin complex	22
7. Functoriality of the Gersten complex	24
7.1. Closed immersions	24
7.2. Smooth morphisms	24
7.3. General case	26
8. Applications	31
8.1. Biduality	31
8.2. Borel-Moore real homology theory	32
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION

In algebraic geometry and topology, there are several frameworks in which local and global duality theorems can be incarnated by a machinery of *Grothendieck six functors formalism*, such as

- (D1) coherent duality in the derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves over a scheme;
- (D2) étale duality in the derived category of torsion étale sheaves over a scheme;
- (D3) Verdier duality in the derived category of sheaves over a locally compact topological space;
- (D4) \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopic duality in triangulated categories of motivic sheaves.

All these formalisms are modelled over (D1) in [Har66], where one of the main upshot is the existence of *dualizing objects* and the fact that they are preserved by the exceptional inverse image functor $f^!$. However, (D1) behaves quite differently from the others: for example, in the coherent context, the exceptional direct image functor $f_!$ does not exist, and there is no suitable subcategory of *constructible objects* preserved by the six functors, while base change and purity theorems hold in a much greater generality. One of the main goals of this paper is to build up a bridge between (D1) and a variant of (D3) related to semialgebraic spaces, which is provided by a Gersten complex of *real schemes*. In this new way, dualizing complexes in (D1) naturally give rise to some explicit dualizing objects in (D3).

From a more concrete point of view, we are motivated by the following question:

Is there a natural analog of singular cohomology in algebraic geometry?

Classically, for smooth varieties X over \mathbb{C} , there are *cycle class maps*

$$(1.0.0.1) \quad \mathrm{CH}^k(X) \rightarrow H^{2k}(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

from Chow groups to singular cohomology. For an algebraic variety X over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , we have the following analogue of the cycle class maps: if we denote by I^j the sheaf of j -th power of the fundamental ideal in the Witt group, then there are *twisted signature morphisms* from twisted I^j -cohomology to twisted singular cohomology with local coefficients on $X(\mathbb{R})$

$$(1.0.0.2) \quad \mathrm{Sign} : H^i(X, I^j(L)) \rightarrow H^i(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}(L))$$

for any line bundle L on X , which are compatible with pullbacks, pushforwards and intersection products, and the map (1.0.0.2) is an isomorphism if $j \geq \dim X + 1$ (see [Jac17] for the untwisted case, and [HWXZ21] for the general case).¹ Here the “twists” by a line bundle L are defined à la Morel (see 2.1.5 below), which can also be understood on the Witt-theoretic side, see [HWXZ21, 2.32].²

The intrinsic relation between the I^j -cohomology and real algebraic geometry can be better understood via the theory of *real schemes*: for any scheme X , the associated real scheme X_r is a topological space whose underlying sets consists of points of X together with orderings on the residue fields (see 2.3.1 below), which is a *spectral space* in the sense of Hochster ([Hoc69]). The definition of the real scheme stems from the study of the link between real algebraic geometry and étale cohomology with 2-torsion coefficients ([Sch94]), and recent progress provides more interesting relations of this object with other areas of mathematics: for example, as a variant of the map (1.0.0.2), Jacobson ([Jac17, Theorem 8.6]) constructs an isomorphism relating the sheaf cohomology of the powers of

¹In [HWXZ21], it is also shown that if X is a smooth cellular variety, then the map (1.0.0.2) is always an isomorphism for any j .

²Such twists do not appear in the case for varieties over \mathbb{C} , as complex vector bundles are always canonically oriented, which is not the case for real vector bundles.

the fundamental ideal in the Witt groups of quadratic forms with the constant sheaf cohomology on the real scheme

$$(1.0.0.3) \quad H^n(X, I^\infty) \simeq H^n(X_r, \mathbb{Z})$$

(see 2.3.6 below for a generalization); more recently, Bachmann shows that the minus part of the motivic stable homotopy category is precisely provided by the information on the real scheme ([Bac18, Theorem 35]).

On the other hand, within the framework of the six functors in motivic homotopy, a systematic theory that relates cohomology and *Borel-Moore theories* (also called *bivariant theory*) is developed by Déglise ([Dég18]), based on some ideas of Voevodsky. The table below indicates how these two types of theories can be philosophically compared (see also [DJK18]):

cohomology \otimes -invertible objects preserved by f^* ring structure	Borel-Moore theory dualizing objects preserved by $f^!$ module over cohomology
---	---

For example, algebraic G -theory agrees with the Borel-Moore theory associated to algebraic K -theory ([Jin18]). For smooth schemes, cohomology agree with Borel-Moore theory up to a twist by Poincaré duality. In general, cohomology theories usually have a ring structure, while Borel-Moore theories have better localization properties.

From this point of view, Jacobson’s isomorphism (1.0.0.3) can be regarded as an identification of cohomology theories. Since Bachmann’s theorem relates sheaves on real schemes to motivic homotopy, a natural question arises whether there is a Borel-Moore type analogue. Just as Grothendieck’s coherent duality ([Har66]) refines Serre’s duality by considering *dualizing complexes* instead of *dualizing sheaves*, it is attempting to think that such an analogue for real schemes should involve complexes of sheaves. Following this vein, one of the first results of this paper shows that, the isomorphism (1.0.0.2) naturally generalizes to singular varieties, and provide the following signature isomorphism

$$(1.0.0.4) \quad \text{Sign} : H_n(X, I^\infty(L)) \simeq H_n^{\text{BM}}(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}(L))$$

where the right side is the classical Borel-Moore homology in topology (see Corollary 8.2.5 below).

On the Witt-theoretic side, a candidate of such a complex is constructed by Gille ([Gil07, 7.1]), which associates to a (coherent) dualizing complex a Gersten-type complex of Witt groups. We will reproduce this construction in Section 4.1, while avoiding the choices of injective hulls as in [Gil07]. It is worth mentioning that a very similar construction using residual complexes appears recently in [Plo19, §3]. For a discussion for the corresponding Gersten conjecture, see Remark 4.2.6.

On the side of real schemes, our inspiration comes from a complex of sheaves defined by Scheiderer ([Sch95, Corollary 2.3]): for a regular scheme, Scheiderer’s result states that the constant sheaf over the real scheme has a canonical resolution by acyclic sheaves which comes from the E_1 -page of the coniveau spectral sequence. Since the structure sheaf of a regular scheme is a dualizing complex, by comparing with Gille’s complex, one tend to believe that a natural analogue on real schemes could be given by a complex of acyclic sheaves associated to a (coherent) dualizing complex.

These considerations lead us to define, for a scheme X with a dualizing complex K , a new complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ of sheaves of abelian groups on X_r , as a Rost-Schmid type complex where the residue homomorphisms are given by the information captured from the stalks of the dualizing complex, using the twisting techniques from [HWXZ21]. Such a construction is very natural to us, and maybe inherent to Grothendieck’s insights on “residues and duality”.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Frédéric Déglise, Jean Fasel, Niels Feld, Adeel Khan, James Plowman, Marco Schlichting and Marcus Zibrowius for helpful discussions. FJ learned the difference between various versions of the six functors formalism from lectures on zeta values and L-functions of motives given by Bruno Kahn at Université Paris 6.

Both authors are partially supported by the DFG Priority Programme SPP 1786. FJ acknowledges support from the National Key Research and Development Program of China Grant Nr.2021YFA1001400, the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant Nr.12101455, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the ERC Project-QUADAG, which has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement Nr.832833). HX is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds from the Central Universities, Sun Yat-sen University 34000-31610294, NSFC Grant 12271529, NSFC Grant 12271500, EPSRC Grant EP/M001113/1 and he would also like to thank Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality. HX would like to acknowledge the DFG-funded research training group GRK 2240: Algebro-Geometric Methods in Algebra, Arithmetic and Topology.

2. CONVENTIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. On schemes and sheaves.

2.1.1. A **precomplex** (of abelian groups) stands for a sequence of maps of abelian groups.

2.1.2. All schemes considered are noetherian schemes of finite Krull dimension. Smooth morphisms are separated of finite type. If X is a scheme and x is a point of X , denote by $k(x)$ the residue field of x .

2.1.3. All sheaves considered are sheaves of abelian groups. We identify a vector bundle with the locally free sheaf given by the sheaf of its sections.

2.1.4. If $X \rightarrow S$ and $Y \rightarrow S$ are two morphisms of schemes, denote by $p_X : X \times_S Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_Y : X \times_S Y \rightarrow Y$ the projections. If \mathcal{F} (respectively \mathcal{G}) is a sheaf of abelian groups on X (respectively Y), denote by $\mathcal{F} \boxtimes_S \mathcal{G} := p_X^* \mathcal{F} \otimes p_Y^* \mathcal{G}$.

2.1.5. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space and let L be an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module. If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on X with an action of the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X^\times , we define the **twisted sheaf** $\mathcal{F}(L)$ to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$(2.1.5.1) \quad U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_X^\times(U)]} \mathbb{Z}[L(U)^\times]$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[L(U)^\times]$ denotes the free abelian group generated by elements of $L(U)^\times$ ([Mor12, §5], [HWXZ21, Definition 2.28]). An isomorphism $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}(L)$, so in particular the twisted sheaf $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is always locally isomorphic to \mathcal{F} .

The twisting operation is compatible with pullbacks and tensor products of invertible modules: if $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism, then $f^*(\mathcal{F}(L)) = (f^* \mathcal{F})(f^* L)$; if L and L' are two invertible \mathcal{O}_X -modules, then $(\mathcal{F}(L))(L') = \mathcal{F}(L \otimes L')$.

2.1.6. A quasi-projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a **local complete intersection** (abbreviated as **lci**) morphism if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism. Such a morphism is a perfect morphism, and its cotangent complex τ_f is a perfect complex of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules.

2.1.7. Let X be a regular scheme. For any point $x \in X$, we denote by $\omega_{x/X}$ the inverse of the determinant of the normal bundle of the regular closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$.

2.2. On dualizing complexes.

2.2.1. If X is a scheme, we denote by $D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$ the derived category of bounded complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on X with coherent cohomology.

2.2.2. For $M^\bullet \in D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$, the **support** of M^\bullet is the subset of X defined as

$$(2.2.2.1) \quad \mathrm{supp} M^\bullet = \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{H}^i(M^\bullet)_x \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

2.2.3. A **dualizing complex** on a scheme X is a complex $K^\bullet \in D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$

$$(2.2.3.1) \quad K^\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow K^m \xrightarrow{d^m} K^{m+1} \xrightarrow{d^{m+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} K^n \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$$

such that for any $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$ the canonical map

$$(2.2.3.2) \quad \mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, K^\bullet), K^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism. If moreover, K^r is injective and an essential extension of $\ker(d^r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say K^\bullet is a **minimal dualizing complex**. We will usually use the simplified notation K without the bullet to mean the complex K^\bullet , if no confusion occurs.

Note that in contrast, a *dualizing object* will refer to the real étale duality in this paper, see Definition 8.1.2.

2.2.4. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a separated morphism of finite type, there is a functor $f^! : D_c^+(\mathcal{Q}(Y)) \rightarrow D_c^+(\mathcal{Q}(X))$, which can be constructed using smoothings ([Har66, III 8.7]) or Nagata compactifications ([LH09]).

2.2.5. If X is a scheme, any two dualizing complexes on X differ by an invertible sheaf up to a shift ([Har66, V 3.1]).

2.2.6. If x is a point of X and K is a dualizing complex on X , denote by $\pi_x : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ the canonical map and $K_x \in D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}))$ the pullback of the complex K via the canonical morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow X$. Then there is a unique integer $\mu_K(x)$ such that $\pi_x^! K_x \in D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(k(x)))$ is quasi-isomorphic to a 1-dimensional $k(x)$ -vector space concentrated in degree $\mu_K(x)$; in addition, the association $x \mapsto \mu_K(x)$ defines a *codimension function* on X ([Har66, V 3.4, 7.1]). We will use the letters m and n respectively to refer to the minimum and the maximum of μ_K .

2.2.7. Denote $X^{(p)} := \{x \in X \mid \mu_K(x) = p\}$.

2.2.8. If K is a minimal dualizing complex and $\mu_K(x) = p$, then we have an identification

$$\pi_x^! K_x = K_{k(x)}[-p]$$

where $K_{k(x)} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(k(x), K_x^p)$ is a one dimensional vector space over $k(x)$. This fact follows directly from [Gil07, Proposition 1.10] and Section 2.2.6. Here, note that $\pi_x^! K_x$ is a minimal dualizing complex over the field $k(x)$.

2.2.9. If L is an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module, then $\mu_{K \otimes L} = \mu_K$ and $(K \otimes L)_{k(x)} \cong K_{k(x)} \otimes L_{k(x)}$.

2.2.10. If X is a regular scheme, then there is a minimal dualizing complex K_X resolving \mathcal{O}_X . Note that $\mu_{K_X} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the usual codimension function on X (cf. [Har66, V §10]). If L is a line bundle on X , then we denote $K_L := K_X \otimes L$ which is the minimal dualizing complex resolving L .

2.2.11. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasi-projective lci morphism of relative dimension d and K is a dualizing complex on Y , then there is an isomorphism in $D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$

$$(2.2.11.1) \quad f^! K \simeq f^* K \otimes \det(\tau_f)[d]$$

which is compatible with composition of quasi-projective lci morphisms. If f is a regular closed immersion, this is the *fundamental local isomorphism* ([Har66, III 7.3, p. 180]); if f is smooth, the isomorphism is defined in [Har66, III §2]. In general, the isomorphism (2.2.11.1) is defined by gluing these two cases, and is independent of the factorization of f as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism ([Har66, III §8]).

2.3. On real schemes.

2.3.1. For a scheme X , the associated **real scheme** X_r is a topological space constructed by gluing real spectra of rings (cf. [Sch95, 0.4.2]). Its points are pairs (x, P) , where x is a point of X and P is an ordering of the residue field of x . Forgetting the orderings on residue fields yields a canonical continuous map

$$(2.3.1.1) \quad \text{supp} : X_r \rightarrow X.$$

2.3.2. For any scheme X , the canonical inclusion $X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$ is a pro-open immersion, which induces an isomorphism on the underlying real schemes $X_r = (X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})_r$, that is, the underlying real scheme only depends on the characteristic 0 fiber. This is because any field of positive characteristic cannot have an ordering. Therefore in the study of real schemes it is harmless to assume that all schemes have characteristic 0.

2.3.3. We now introduce the analog of twists à la Morel in 2.1.5 for real schemes. Recall that for any ordering P on a field F , there is a group homomorphism $\text{Sign}_P : F^\times \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ sending a to 1 if $a \in P$ and a to -1 if $a \notin P$.

Lemma 2.3.4. For any Zariski open subset $U \subset X$ and regular function $f \in \mathcal{O}_X^\times(U)$, the sign map $\text{Sign}(f) : U_r \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ given by $(x, P) \mapsto \text{Sign}_P(f_x)$ is locally constant.

Proof. We may assume that $U = \text{Spec} A$ is affine. The global section $f : A \rightarrow A$ is an automorphism of A , viewed as a free module over itself, so we may consider f as a unit in A . Recall that a subbasis of the topology on the real spectrum $\text{Sper} A$ is given by the sets $D(a) := \{(x, P) \in \text{Sper} A : a_x >_P 0\}$ for $a \in A$. As f_x is non-zero for any x , $\text{Sper} A$ is the disjoint union of $D(f)$ and $D(-f)$. The value of $\text{Sign}_P(f_x)$ is constant $+1$ on $D(f)$ and constant -1 on $D(-f)$. \square

We thus obtain a morphism of abelian sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \text{supp}_* \{\pm 1\}$, and, by adjunction, a morphism $\text{supp}^*(\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. We can upgrade this morphism to a morphism of sheaves of rings $\mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^*(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\{\pm 1\}]$. This allows us to view any abelian sheaf on X_r as a $\mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^*(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)]$ -module.

Definition 2.3.5. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module, and let \mathcal{A} be a sheaf of abelian groups on X_r . For any open constructible subset U of X_r , we define the group

$$(2.3.5.1) \quad C(U, \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{A}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^*(\mathcal{O}_X^\times)]} \mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^*(\mathcal{L}^\times)]$$

and the **twisted sheaf** $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ is the sheaf of abelian groups on X_r defined as the sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto C(U, \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}))$, which is a sheaf locally isomorphic to \mathcal{A} .

In particular, for any invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{L} , we denote by $\mathbb{Z}(L)$ the twisted sheaf associated to the constant sheaf \mathbb{Z} on X_r therefore obtained, which is a locally constant sheaf locally isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . This sheaf will play an essential role in what follows.

2.3.6. We denote by $I^\infty = \operatorname{colim}_j I^j$ (resp. $I^\infty = \operatorname{colim}_j I^j$) the colimit of the powers of the fundamental ideal (resp. the colimit of the Zariski sheaves of the powers of the fundamental ideal). By [HWXZ21, Appendix], for any invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module L on X , there is an isomorphism of sheaves

$$(2.3.6.1) \quad I^\infty(L) \simeq \operatorname{supp}_*(\mathbb{Z}(L)).$$

In the case $L = \mathcal{O}_X$, the isomorphism (2.3.6.1) is due to Jacobson ([Jac17, Theorem 8.6]).

3. MAIN RESULTS OF THE PAPER

For a scheme X with a minimal dualizing complex K , we recall in Section 4.1 the construction of the Gersten-Witt complex. In Section 4.2 we construct in a different way a Rost-Schmid complex of the form

$$(3.0.0.1) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}).$$

The construction follows the ideas of [Schmid], using the twisted residue and transfer maps on Witt groups. When 2 is invertible on X , the precomplex (3.0.0.1) agrees with the Gersten-Witt complex, and in particular is a complex (cf. Theorem 4.2.5).

In Sections 4.3 and 4.4 we study the restriction of the complex (3.0.0.1) to the powers of the fundamental ideal, as well as its cohomology with support. The main upshot is the following devissage-type result (cf. Theorem 4.4.5):

Theorem 3.0.1. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion and assume that 2 is invertible on both schemes. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$(3.0.1.1) \quad H^i(Z, I^j, \pi^! K) \simeq H^i(X \text{ on } Z, I^j, K).$$

Here $H^i(X, I^j, K)$ is the i -th hypercohomology of the sheafification of the complex (3.0.0.1), where we replace everywhere Witt groups by the j -th power of the fundamental ideal I^j , and $H^i(X \text{ on } Z, I^j, K)$ is the variant with support in Z .

In Sections 5.1 and 5.2 we define the twisted residue and transfer maps on real schemes. The former is modeled over [Jac17, §3], and the latter arises naturally as a particular case of coherent duality. We use them in Section 5.3 to define a precomplex of abelian groups of the form

$$(3.0.1.2) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})).$$

We show that the precomplex (3.0.1.2) is a complex in Theorem 5.3.3 by comparing it with the complex (3.0.0.1). By sheafifying the complex (3.0.1.2) we obtain a complex of sheaves of abelian groups on X_r

$$(3.0.1.3) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} (i_{x_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} (i_{x_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} (i_{x_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})$$

which we denote by $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$, called the *Gersten complex*. Note that $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ is a complex of acyclic sheaves, so its hypercohomology agree with the cohomology of the complex (3.0.1.2). Furthermore we have the following result:

Theorem 3.0.2. *If 2 is invertible on X , then $H^i(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty, K)$ agrees with the i -th hypercohomology group of $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$.*

In Sections 6 and 7 we justify the fundamental properties of the complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$. We start by building some refinements on the six functors on real schemes (cf. Theorems 6.1.9 and 6.1.12):

Theorem 3.0.3. (1) *(Poincaré duality) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism of relative dimension d with tangent bundle T_f , there is an isomorphism of functors*

$$(3.0.3.1) \quad f_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d] \rightarrow f_r^!(-).$$

(2) *(absolute purity) Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion between regular schemes of pure codimension c , and denote by N the normal bundle of i . Then for any locally constant constructible sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_r there is an isomorphism in $D(Z_r)$*

$$(3.0.3.2) \quad i_r^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(N)^{-1})[-c] \simeq i_r^! \mathcal{F}.$$

For a more detailed discussion about duality and purity results in the motivic context, see [DJK18] and [DFJK21]. Now Theorem 3.0.3 allows us to prove the main results of the paper (cf. Corollary 6.2.8, Theorem 7.0.1 and Theorem 8.1.4):

Theorem 3.0.4. (1) *If X is a regular excellent scheme with a dualizing complex K and L is an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module, then there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}(L) \simeq \underline{G}(X_r, L)$ in $D(X_r)$.*

(2) *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasi-projective morphism between excellent schemes and K is a dualizing complex on Y , there is an isomorphism*

$$(3.0.4.1) \quad \underline{G}(X_r, f^! K) \simeq f_r^! \underline{G}(Y_r, K)$$

which is compatible with compositions in the sense of (7.3.2.9).

(3) *If X is an excellent scheme with a dualizing complex K , then the complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ is a dualizing object of $D(X_r)$ (see Definition 8.1.2).*

Theorem 3.0.4 (1) is a generalization of [Sch95, Corollary 2.3]. The proof of Theorem 3.0.4 (2) uses Theorem 3.0.4 (1), Theorem 3.0.1 and Poincaré duality. We deduce Theorem 3.0.4 (3) from Theorem 3.0.4 (1) and (2) using some techniques with six functors.

In Section 8.2 we discuss some relations with the semialgebraic Borel-Moore homology over a real closed field ([Del91, III §2]). In particular, over the field of real numbers we recover the classical Borel-Moore homology in topology ([BM60], see also [Ver66], [Bre97, III] and [Iver86, IX]).

4. BOREL-MOORE DEVISSAGE

4.1. The Gersten-Witt complex.

4.1.1. Throughout Section 4.1, we assume that 2 is invertible in all schemes. Our main references are [BW02] and [Gil07].

4.1.2. Let X be a scheme with a minimal dualizing complex K . We consider the triangulated category with duality

$$(4.1.2.1) \quad (D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X)), \#^K, \text{can}^K, \lambda^K)$$

in the sense of Schlichting [Sch17, Section 3]. For the translation of Balmer's δ -exact duality and Schlichting's duality, we refer to [Xie19, Section 2]. The codimension function $\mu_K : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ associated to K induces a filtration on the derived category $D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$ as

$$(4.1.2.2) \quad D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X)) = D^m \supseteq D^{m+1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq D^n \supseteq (0)$$

where $D^p := \{M \in D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X)) : \mu_K(x) \geq p \text{ for all } x \in \text{supp } M\}$. Since $D^{p+1} \subseteq D^p$ is a saturated subcategory, we have an exact sequence of triangulated category with duality

$$(4.1.2.3) \quad D^{p+1} \rightarrow D^p \xrightarrow{q} D^p/D^{p+1}$$

which induces a long exact sequence of Witt groups

$$(4.1.2.4) \quad \dots \rightarrow W^i(D^{p+1}, K) \rightarrow W^i(D^p, K) \xrightarrow{q_*} W^i(D^p/D^{p+1}, K) \xrightarrow{\partial} W^{i+1}(D^{p+1}, K) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now, we get a complex

$$(4.1.2.5) \quad W^m(D^m/D^{m+1}, K) \xrightarrow{\partial^q} W^{m+1}(D^{m+1}/D^{m+2}, K) \xrightarrow{\partial^q} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial^q} W^n(D^n, K).$$

The localization functor (which is an equivalence of triangulated categories)

$$(4.1.2.6) \quad D^p/D^{p+1} \longrightarrow \coprod_{\mu_K(x)=p} D_{c, \mathfrak{m}_x}^b(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}))$$

induces an isomorphism of Witt groups

$$(4.1.2.7) \quad W^i(D^p/D^{p+1}, K) \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=p} W_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, K_x).$$

For $x \in X$, denote by $\pi_x : \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ the canonical map. By [Xie20, Lemma 4.3], for any i there is a devissage isomorphism

$$(4.1.2.8) \quad \pi_{x,*} : W^i(k(x), \pi_x^! K_x) \simeq W_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, K_x).$$

Putting all these together we get a complex

$$(4.1.2.9) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} W^m(k(x), \pi_x^! K_x) \xrightarrow{\partial^q} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} W^{m+1}(k(x), \pi_x^! K_x) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} W^n(k(x), \pi_x^! K_x),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(4.1.2.10) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \xrightarrow{\partial^q} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} W(k(x), K_{k(x)})$$

by Section 2.2.8.

4.2. The Rost-Schmid complex.

4.2.1. Let X be a scheme and let K be a minimal dualizing complex on X . We construct the Rost–Schmid precomplex

(4.2.1.1)

$$\bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} W(k(x), K_{k(x)})$$

in a different way from the complex (4.1.2.10) by following the method of [Schmid]. This complex shall be denoted by $C(X, W, K)$. Eventually in Theorem 4.2.5 we show that the two constructions agree when 2 is invertible on X .

4.2.2. If A is a one dimensional local domain with field of fractions F , we denote \tilde{A} be its normalization. \tilde{A} contains finitely many maximal ideals. Choose one maximal ideal $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ of \tilde{A} . Now, $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions F with residue field $k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})$, and $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a finitely generated A module. Thus, we have a finite morphism $\tilde{f} : \text{Spec}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$, and we form a commutative diagram

$$(4.2.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \text{Spec}(A) \\ \pi \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi \\ \text{Spec}(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})) & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Spec}(k(\mathfrak{m})) \end{array}$$

The Rost–Schmid residue map

$$(4.2.2.2) \quad \delta : W(F) \rightarrow W(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}), (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2)^*)$$

is defined by sending a form $\langle a \rangle$ on F to $\delta_2^{\pi^*}(\langle a \rangle) \otimes \pi^*$ where δ_2 is Milnor’s second residue (cf. [MH73, Lemma 1.2]) and π^* is the dual basis of the basis π in the free rank one $k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})$ vector space $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2$.

If K is a minimal dualizing complex on $\text{Spec}(A)$, then $\tilde{f}^!K$ is a minimal dualizing complex on $\text{Spec}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}})$, cf. [Gil07, Sublemma 6.11]. Let $K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} := (\tilde{f}^!K)_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})}$ and let Ω be the kernel of the first differential of $\tilde{f}^!K$ (which is a dualizing module). We have a twisted residue map

$$(4.2.2.3) \quad \delta : W(F, K_F) \rightarrow W(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}), K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})})$$

induced by the Rost–schmid residue map, this is because we have isomorphisms $K_F \simeq \Omega_F$ and

$$(4.2.2.4) \quad K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} \stackrel{(2.2.11.1)}{\simeq} \Omega \otimes_{\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}} (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2)^* \simeq \Omega_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} \otimes_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2)^*.$$

Note that $k(\mathfrak{m}) \subset k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})$ is a finite field extension, and there are canonical isomorphisms

$$(4.2.2.5) \quad K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} = (\tilde{f}^!K)_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} \simeq g^!K_{k(\mathfrak{m})},$$

and we define the twisted transfer as

$$(4.2.2.6) \quad tr : W(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}), K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})}) \simeq W(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}), g^!K_{k(\mathfrak{m})}) \xrightarrow{g_*} W(k(\mathfrak{m}), K_{k(\mathfrak{m})}).$$

Remark 4.2.3. The transfer map (4.2.2.6) is well-defined even if 2 is not invertible. Assume F is any field, and L is a finite field extension of F . Let $g : \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$ be the canonical map, and let H be a rank one free module over F . Then, $g^!H := \text{Hom}_F(L, H)$ is a rank one free module over L . The transfer is defined to be $g_* : W(L, g^!H) \rightarrow W(F, H)$ by sending a symmetric space $\varphi : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_L(V, g^!H)$ to $e \circ \varphi : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V, H)$ where the map $e : \text{Hom}_F(V_F, g^!H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(V, H)$ is the “evaluation at 1” map defined by sending f to $v \mapsto f(v)(1_L)$.

4.2.4. Now we define the differential in the precomplex (4.2.1.1)

$$(4.2.4.1) \quad \partial: \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=i} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=i+1} W(k(y), K_{k(y)})$$

as the composition

$$(4.2.4.2) \quad W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \xrightarrow{\oplus \delta} \bigoplus_{\tilde{y}} W(k(\tilde{y}), K_{k(\tilde{y})}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma \text{tr}} W(k(y), K_{k(y)})$$

where the sum is taken over all points \tilde{y} that dominate y and live inside the normalization $\widetilde{\overline{\{x\}}}$ of $\overline{\{x\}}$ in its residue field $k(x)$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\{x\}}, \tilde{y}}$ is a discrete valuation ring dominating the one dimensional local domain $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\{x\}}, y}$ in its field of fractions which is isomorphic to $k(x)$.

Theorem 4.2.5. *Assume that 2 is invertible on X . Then the Rost–Schmid precomplex (4.2.1.1) coincides with the Gersten–Witt complex (4.1.2.10). In particular, the precomplex (4.2.1.1) is a complex.*

Proof. It is enough to check the one dimensional local domain case (cf. [Gil07, Proof of Lemma 7.2]). The proof in the local case can be extracted from [Gil07, Proposition 6.10]. \square

Remark 4.2.6. (1) In [Plo19, §3], Plowman gave a construction similar to the precomplex (4.2.1.1) via a Rost–Schmid type construction with residue complexes. Our construction seems to be comparable to his by translating dualizing complexes to residue complexes, but such a comparison is beyond the scope of this paper. Plowman has also informed us that he is able to show that his precomplex is a complex even if 2 is not invertible.

(2) The Gersten conjecture for Witt groups says that if X is the spectrum of a regular local ring, the precomplex $C(X, W, K)$ in (4.2.1.1) is an acyclic complex. When X has equal characteristic different from 2, this conjecture is proved in [BGP⁺02]. In mixed characteristic, the conjecture is proved by Jacobson ([Jac18, Theorem 3.8]) for any unramified regular local ring with 2 invertible. For the two inverted Witt groups $W(X)[\frac{1}{2}]$, this conjecture is proved by Jacobson ([Jac17, Theorem 5.3]) for any excellent regular local ring, and the excellence condition is later removed in [DFJK21, Corollary E.4]. In fact, one can see that $C(X, W, K)[\frac{1}{2}] \simeq C(X_{\mathbb{Q}}, W, K_{\mathbb{Q}})[\frac{1}{2}]$, since Witt groups of a field with finite characteristic can only have 2-primary torsions; therefore the Gersten conjecture for $C(X, W, K)[\frac{1}{2}]$ (trivially) follows from the characteristic 0 case.

4.3. I-cohomology with dualizing complex.

4.3.1. Throughout Section 4.3, we assume that 2 is invertible in all schemes.

Definition 4.3.2. If k is a field and L is a one-dimensional k -vector space, we define the **fundamental module** $I^j(k, H) \subset W(k, H)$ as follows: consider $W(k, H)$ as a $W(k)$ -module, and $I^j(k, H)$ is defined to be the submodule of $I^j(k) \cdot W(k, H) \subset W(k, H)$ where $I^j(k) \subset W(k)$ is the j -th fundamental ideal.

Lemma 4.3.3. For $x \in X$ with $\mu_I(x) = p$, and $y \in X$ with $\mu_I(y) = p + 1$ such that y lies in the closure of x . Then we have

$$(4.3.3.1) \quad \partial_p^q(I^j(k(x), K_{k(x)})) \subseteq I^{j-1}(k(y), K_{k(y)}).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the claim for X the spectrum of a one dimensional local domain A with dualizing complex K . Let F be the field of fractions, and $\pi_\eta : \text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ the canonical map. In this case, we must show that the map $\partial^q : W(F, K_\eta) \rightarrow W(k_m, K_m)$ from the Gersten–Witt

complex preserves fundamental modules. Choosing suitable injective hulls (i.e. trivializations), one has a residue map $d_\iota : W(F) \rightarrow W(k_m)$ and a cubical commutative diagram

$$(4.3.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & W(F, K_\eta) & \xrightarrow{\partial^q} & W(k_m, K_m) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \iota & & \nearrow \\ I^j(F, K_\eta) & \xrightarrow{\partial^q} & I^{j-1}(k_m, K_m) & & \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \iota \\ & & W(F) & \xrightarrow{d_\iota} & W(k_m) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \iota & & \nearrow \\ I^j(F) & \xrightarrow{d_\iota} & I^{j-1}(k_m) & & \end{array}$$

The bottom diagram is commutative by [Gil07, Theorem 6.6]. The back vertical diagram is commutative by [Gil07, Diagram (15)] and our definition ∂^q via the devissage isomorphism (4.1.2.8). The upper diagram is therefore commutative by the commutativity of other five diagrams. \square

Definition 4.3.4. By Lemma 4.3.3, the Rost–Schmid complex (4.2.1.1) restricts to fundamental modules as

(4.3.4.1)

$$\bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} I^j(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \xrightarrow{\partial^q} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} I^{j-1}(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} I^{j-(n-m)}(k(x), K_{k(x)}).$$

Denote this complex by $G(X, I^j, K)$, which can be sheafified into a complex of Zariski sheaves of abelian groups $\underline{G}(X, I^j, K)$.

4.4. I-cohomology with support.

4.4.1. Throughout Section 4.4, we assume that 2 is invertible in all schemes.

4.4.2. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion, with $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ its open complement. From the complex $\underline{G}(X, I^j, K)$ in Definition 4.3.4, we define the complexes of sheaves

$$(4.4.2.1) \quad \underline{G}(X \text{ on } Z, I^j, K) := \ker [\underline{G}(X, I^j, K) \xrightarrow{j^*} \underline{G}(U, I^j, K)].$$

Definition 4.4.3. We define the I-cohomology of the scheme X with minimal dualizing complex K supported on Z to be the hypercohomology

$$(4.4.3.1) \quad H^i(X \text{ on } Z, I^j, K) := \mathbb{H}^i(\underline{G}(X \text{ on } Z, I^j, K))$$

We denote $H^i(X, I^j, K) = H^i(X \text{ on } X, I^j, K)$.

4.4.4. Let $D_{c,Z}^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$ be the full triangulated subcategory of $D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$ of complexes supported on Z , that is, complexes \mathcal{F} such that $\text{supp } \mathcal{F} \subseteq Z$. Define

$$(4.4.4.1) \quad D_{Z,X}^p := \left\{ M \in D_{c,Z}^b(\mathcal{Q}(X)) \mid \mu_K(x) \geq p \text{ for all } x \in \text{supp}(M) \right\}$$

considered as a full subcategory of $D_{c,Z}^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$. Since the duality functor $\#^K$ preserves the subcategory $D_{Z,X}^p$, the pair $(D_{Z,X}^p, \#^K)$ is a triangulated category with duality. The subcategories $D_{Z,X}^p$

provide a finite filtration

$$(4.4.4.2) \quad D_{c,Z}^b(\mathcal{Q}(X)) = D_{Z,X}^m \supseteq D_{Z,X}^{m+1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq D_{Z,X}^p \supseteq \cdots \supseteq D_{Z,X}^n \supseteq (0)$$

which induces exact sequences of triangulated categories with duality

$$(4.4.4.3) \quad D_{Z,X}^{p+1} \longrightarrow D_{Z,X}^p \longrightarrow D_{Z,X}^p/D_{Z,X}^{p+1}.$$

On the other hand, we define

$$(4.4.4.4) \quad D_Z^b := \left\{ M \in D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(Z)) \mid \mu_{\pi^!K}(x) \geq p \text{ for all } x \in \text{supp}(M) \right\}$$

as a full subcategory of $D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(Z))$. For the same reason, we have a finite filtration

$$(4.4.4.5) \quad D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(Z)) = D_Z^m \supseteq D_Z^{m+1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq D_Z^p \supseteq \cdots \supseteq D_Z^n \supseteq (0)$$

which induces exact sequences of triangulated categories with duality

$$(4.4.4.6) \quad D_Z^{p+1} \longrightarrow D_Z^p \longrightarrow D_Z^p/D_Z^{p+1}.$$

Since $\mu_K(z) = \mu_{\pi^!K}(z)$ for all $z \in Z$, we have $\pi_*(D_Z^p) \subset D_{Z,X}^p$. It follows that we obtain a map of exact sequences of triangulated categories with duality

$$(4.4.4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (D_Z^{p+1}, \#^{\pi^!K}) & \longrightarrow & (D_Z^p, \#^{\pi^!K}) & \longrightarrow & (D_{Z,X}^p/D_{Z,X}^{p+1}, \#^{\pi^!K}) \\ \pi_* \downarrow & & \pi_* \downarrow & & \pi_* \downarrow \\ (D_{Z,X}^{p+1}, \#^K) & \longrightarrow & (D_{Z,X}^p, \#^K) & \longrightarrow & (D_{Z,X}^p/D_{Z,X}^{p+1}, \#^K) \end{array}$$

which induces a map of long exact sequences of groups

$$(4.4.4.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & W^i(D_Z^p, \#^{\pi^!K}) & \longrightarrow & W^i(D_Z^p/D_Z^{p+1}, \#^{\pi^!K}) & \longrightarrow & W^{i+1}(D_Z^{p+1}, \#^{\pi^!K}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \pi_* \downarrow & & & \pi_* \downarrow & & \pi_* \downarrow & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow & W^i(D_{Z,X}^p, \#^K) & \longrightarrow & W^i(D_{Z,X}^p/D_{Z,X}^{p+1}, \#^K) & \longrightarrow & W^{i+1}(D_{Z,X}^{p+1}, \#^K) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

On the other hand, the localization functors

$$(4.4.4.9) \quad \text{loc} : D_{Z,X}^p/D_{Z,X}^{p+1} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in Z \cap X_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)}} D_{\mathfrak{m}_x, x}^b(\mathcal{O}_{X, x})$$

are equivalences of categories. From this, we deduce a morphism of complexes

$$(4.4.4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in Z_{\pi^!K}^{(p)}} W_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^p(\mathcal{O}_{Z, x}, \pi^!K_x) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in Z_{\pi^!K}^{(p+1)}} W_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^{p+1}(\mathcal{O}_{Z, x}, \pi^!K_x) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \pi_* \downarrow & & & \pi_* \downarrow & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in Z \cap X_K^{(p)}} W_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^p(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}, K_x) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in Z \cap X_K^{(p+1)}} W_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^{p+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X, x}, K_x) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Now the devissage of Gille [Gil07] (or [Xie20, Lemma 4.5]) says that all the vertical arrows in (4.4.4.10) are isomorphisms, by reducing both groups to Witt groups of fields. The upper line of (4.4.4.10) computes $H^i(Z, W, \pi^!K)$ and the lower line computes $H^i(X \text{ on } Z, W, K)$. By Lemma 4.3.3, we conclude that:

Theorem 4.4.5. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion and let K be a minimal dualizing complex on X . Assume that 2 is invertible on both schemes. Then the map*

$$(4.4.5.1) \quad \pi_* : H^i(Z, \mathbb{I}^j, \pi^! K) \longrightarrow H^i(X \text{ on } Z, \mathbb{I}^j, K)$$

induced by Diagram (4.4.4.10) is an isomorphism for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5. THE (TWISTED) SIGNATURE MAP

5.1. Twisted residue.

5.1.1. Let X be a scheme with a minimal dualizing complex K . Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $\mu_K(x) = p$ and $\mu_K(y) = p + 1$. Recall that both $K_{k(x)}$ and $K_{k(y)}$ are one-dimensional vector spaces over their corresponding residue fields. Our goal in this section is to define a twisted differential

$$(5.1.1.1) \quad \partial_{re} : C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \rightarrow C(y_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)})).$$

The map (5.1.1.1) will be defined by a twisted residue map followed by a twisted transfer map on the real spectrum.

5.1.2. Let R be a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions F and let \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal. Let $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be its residue field. Choose a uniformizing parameter π of R . Suppose P is an ordering on F . We say R is *convex* on (F, P) whenever for all $x, y, z \in F$, $x \leq_P z \leq_P y$ and $x, y \in R$ implies $z \in R$. For any ordering $\bar{\xi}$ on $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$, the set

$$(5.1.2.1) \quad Y_{\bar{\xi}} := \{P \in \text{Sper}(F) \mid R \text{ is convex in } (F, P), \text{ and } \bar{\xi} = \bar{P} \text{ on } k_{\mathfrak{m}}\}$$

maps bijectively to $\{\pm 1\}$ by sending P to $\text{Sign}_P(\pi)$ [Jac17, Section 3]. Denote ξ_{\pm}^{π} the ordering on F such that $\text{Sign}_{\xi_{\pm}^{\pi}}(\pi) = \pm 1$. Jacobson [Jac17, Section 3] defines a group homomorphism

$$(5.1.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_{\pi} : C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(k_{\mathfrak{m}}), \mathbb{Z}) \\ s &\mapsto (\bar{\xi} \mapsto s(\xi_{+}^{\pi}) - s(\xi_{-}^{\pi})) \end{aligned}$$

called the residue map. The map (5.1.2.2) depends on the choice of uniformizing parameter.

Remark 5.1.3. Note that by 2.3.2, if $k(\mathfrak{m})$ is finite characteristic, then the target of the β_{π} is zero.

5.1.4. For a field Q and a one-dimensional Q -vector space H , by Definition 2.3.5, the group $C(\text{Sper}(Q), \mathbb{Z}(H))$ is the group

$$(5.1.4.1) \quad C(\text{Sper}(Q), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[Q^{\times}]} \mathbb{Z}[H^{\times}]$$

where the underlying map

$$(5.1.4.2) \quad \zeta : \mathbb{Z}[Q^{\times}] \rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(Q), \mathbb{Z})$$

is the ring homomorphism given by

$$(5.1.4.3) \quad (\sum n_a a) \mapsto \left(\xi \mapsto \sum n_a \text{Sign}_{\xi} a \right).$$

The twisted residue map is the group homomorphism

$$(5.1.4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta' : C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(k_{\mathfrak{m}}), \mathbb{Z}((\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*)) \\ s &\mapsto \beta_{\pi}(s) \otimes \pi^* \end{aligned}$$

where π^* is the dual basis of the basis π of the one-dimensional $k_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -vector space $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

Lemma 5.1.5. The twisted residue map β' does not depend on the choice of the uniformizing parameter.

Proof. Let $a\pi$ be another uniformizing parameter with $a \in R - \mathfrak{m}$. Note that $\beta'_{a\pi}(s)(\bar{\xi}) = \text{Sign}_P(a)\beta'_\pi(s)(\bar{\xi})$ and $\text{Sign}_P(a) = \text{Sign}_{\bar{\xi}}(a)$. It follows that

$$(5.1.5.1) \quad \beta'_{a\pi}(s) \otimes a\pi^* = \beta'_{a\pi}(s)\zeta(a) \otimes \pi^*$$

Now, $\beta'_{a\pi}(s)\zeta(a)(\bar{\xi}) = \text{Sign}_{\bar{\xi}}(a)^2\beta'_\pi(s)(\bar{\xi}) = \beta'_\pi(s)(\bar{\xi})$. The result follows. \square

5.1.6. Now let H be a free R -module of rank 1, and let $t : R \rightarrow H$ be a trivialization. Then $t_F : F \rightarrow H_F$ and $t_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}} : k_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow H_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ are both trivializations, which induce isomorphisms

$$(5.1.6.1) \quad t_F : C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}(H_F))$$

$$(5.1.6.2) \quad t_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}} : C(\text{Sper}(k_{\mathfrak{m}}), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq C(\text{Sper}(k_{\mathfrak{m}}), \mathbb{Z}(H_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}}))$$

Definition 5.1.7. The **twisted residue map** is the group homomorphism

$$(5.1.7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta : C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}(H_F)) &\rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(k_{\mathfrak{m}}), \mathbb{Z}(H_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}} \otimes (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*)) \\ s &\mapsto t_{k_{\mathfrak{m}}}\beta(t_F^{-1}(s)) \end{aligned}$$

which does not depend on the choice of trivialization.

5.2. Twisted transfer.

5.2.1. Let $F \rightarrow L$ be a finite field extension and let P be an ordering on F . We say that an ordering R on L is an extension of P if the image of P -positive elements in L are R -positive. Define a map

$$(5.2.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} t : C(\text{Sper}(L), \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}) \\ \phi &\mapsto \left(P \mapsto \sum_{R \supset P} \phi(R) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs through all extensions R of P . The map (5.2.1.1) is well-defined as the sum is finite, and will be called the transfer map.³

Denote by $f : \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$ the canonical map. If H is a one-dimensional F -vector space, then we have $f^!H := \text{Hom}_F(L, H)$. There is a trace form $\text{tr} : L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(L, F)$ which gives rise to an isomorphism $\text{tr}_H : H_L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(L, H) = f^!H$ by tensoring by H on both sides.

Definition 5.2.2. The **twisted transfer map** is the group homomorphism

$$(5.2.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} t : C(\text{Sper}(L), \mathbb{Z}(f^!H)) &\rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}(H)) \\ \phi &\mapsto \left(P \mapsto \sum_{R \supset P} \text{tr}_H^{-1} \phi(R) \right) \end{aligned}$$

given by the composition

$$(5.2.2.2) \quad C(\text{Sper}(L), \mathbb{Z}(f^!H)) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{tr}_H^{-1}} C(\text{Sper}(L), \mathbb{Z}(H_L)) = C(\text{Sper}(L), \mathbb{Z}(H)) \xrightarrow{t \otimes \text{id}} C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}(H)).$$

5.3. The Gersten complex of a minimal dualizing complex.

³Note that the number of such extensions equals $\text{Sign}_P(\text{Tr}_* \langle 1 \rangle)$, cf. [Schar85, §3, Theorem 4.5]).

5.3.1. If A is a one dimensional local domain with field of fractions F , we denote \tilde{A} be its normalization. \tilde{A} contains finitely many maximal ideals. Choose one maximal ideal $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ of \tilde{A} . Now, $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a discrete valuation ring with field of fractions F with residue field $k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})$, and $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a finitely generated A module. We have a map $\tilde{f} : \text{Spec}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$. If K is a dualizing complex on A , then $\tilde{f}^!K$ is a dualizing complex on $\text{Spec}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}})$. We have a commutative diagram

$$(5.3.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \text{Spec}(A) \\ \tilde{\pi} \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi \\ \text{Spec}(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})) & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Spec}(k(\mathfrak{m})) \end{array}$$

Let $K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} := (\tilde{f}^!K)_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})}$ and let Ω be the kernel of the first differential. We have a residue map

$$(5.3.1.2) \quad \beta : C(\text{Sper}(F), \mathbb{Z}(K_F)) \rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}))})$$

induced by β' above via Definition 5.1.7, since we have $K_F \simeq \Omega_F$ and

$$(5.3.1.3) \quad K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} \stackrel{(2.2.11.1)}{\simeq} \Omega \otimes_{\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}} (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2)^* \simeq \Omega_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} \otimes_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} (\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}^2)^*.$$

Note that $k(\mathfrak{m}) \subset k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})$ with $k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})$ a finite extension of $k(\mathfrak{m})$, so we have $K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})} = g^!K_{k(\mathfrak{m})}$. By Definition 5.2.2 the twisted transfer (5.2.2.1) can be expressed as

$$(5.3.1.4) \quad t : C(\text{Sper}(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}))}) \simeq C(\text{Sper}(k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})), \mathbb{Z}(g^!K_{k(\mathfrak{m})})) \rightarrow C(\text{Sper}(k(\mathfrak{m})), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(\mathfrak{m})})).$$

5.3.2. Let X be a scheme with a minimal dualizing complex K . If x and y are two points of X , by the same procedure as in 4.2.4, we define the differential mentioned in (5.1.1.1)

$$(5.3.2.1) \quad \partial_{re} : \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=i} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=i+1} C(y_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)}))$$

as the composition

$$(5.3.2.2) \quad C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \xrightarrow{(5.3.1.2)} \bigoplus_{\tilde{y}} C(\tilde{y}_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(\tilde{y})})) \xrightarrow{(5.3.1.4)} C(y_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)}))$$

where the sum is taken over all points \tilde{y} that dominate y and live inside the normalization $\widetilde{\{x\}}$ of $\{x\}$ in its residue field $k(x)$.

Theorem 5.3.3. *Let X be a scheme with minimal dualizing complex K . Then the following precomplex, denoted as $G(X_r, K)$, is a complex of abelian groups:*

$$(5.3.3.1) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)}))$$

Proof. By 2.3.2 we may assume that X has characteristic 0, therefore with 2 invertible in X . We construct a commutative ladder diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \\
 \downarrow \text{Sign} & & \downarrow 2 \cdot \text{Sign} & & \downarrow 2^{n-m} \cdot \text{Sign} \\
 \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)}))
 \end{array}$$

We shall pick up one square in the ladder diagram to check the commutativity

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 W(k(x), K_{k(x)}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigoplus_{\tilde{y}} W(k(\tilde{y}), K_{k(\tilde{y})}) & \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} & W(k(y), K_{k(y)}) \\
 \downarrow \text{Sign} & & \downarrow 2 \cdot \text{Sign} & & \downarrow 2 \cdot \text{Sign} \\
 C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \bigoplus_{\tilde{y}} C(\tilde{y}_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(\tilde{y})})) & \xrightarrow{t} & C(y_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)}))
 \end{array}
 \quad (5.3.3.2)$$

where the sum is taken over all points \tilde{y} that dominate y and live inside the normalization $\widetilde{\{x\}}$ of $\{x\}$ in its residue field $k(x)$. The problem is reduced to one dimensional case. To explain this, we shall denote $A := (\mathcal{O}_{X,x})_y$ which is a one dimensional local domain, and therefore $F := k(x)$ is the field of fraction of A and $k(\mathfrak{m}) := k(y)$ is the residue field of A . If \tilde{A} is the normalization of A inside F , then $\tilde{A}_{k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})}$ is a discrete valuation ring with residue field $k(\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}) := k(\tilde{y})$. The commutativity of the left square is obtained by [Jac17, Lemma 3.1 and 3.2] and [HWXZ21, Lemma A.8]. The commutativity of the right square is obtained by a similar argument in [HWXZ21, Lemma A.9].

Recall that $I^\infty(k(x), K_{k(x)})$ is the colimit of the sequence

$$(5.3.3.3) \quad I^0(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} I^1(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \xrightarrow{\langle\langle -1 \rangle\rangle} \cdots \rightarrow I^j(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

One can promote the ladder diagram of Witt groups $W(k(x), K_{k(x)})$ to the group $I^\infty(k(x), K_{k(x)})$, and we obtain an isomorphism of complexes (as in [HWXZ21, Corollary A.11 and Corollary A.12])

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} I^\infty(k(x), K_{k(x)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} I^\infty(k(x), K_{k(x)}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} I^\infty(k(x), K_{k(x)}) \\
 \downarrow \text{Sign} & & \downarrow \text{Sign} & & \downarrow \text{Sign} \\
 \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} C(x_r, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)}))
 \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are isomorphisms by a theorem of Arason-Knebusch ([Jac17, Proposition 2.7]). The upper line is a complex by Theorem 4.2.5 and Lemma 4.3.3. We conclude that the lower line is also a complex. \square

Definition 5.3.4. Let X be a scheme with a minimal dualizing complex K . For any open constructible subset U of X_r , we denote by $G(U, K)$ the following subcomplex of $G(X_r, K)$ in (5.3.3.1)

$$(5.3.4.1) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})) \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})).$$

By sheafifying the complex $U \mapsto G(U, K)$ in (5.3.4.1), we obtain a complex of sheaves of abelian groups on X_r

(5.3.4.2)

$$\bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m} (i_{x_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=m+1} (i_{x_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(x)=n} (i_{x_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(x)})$$

which we denote by $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$. In what follows we call it the **Gersten complex** on X_r .

5.3.5. If K and K' are two minimal dualizing complexes on X which are quasi-isomorphic in $D_c^b(\mathcal{Q}(X))$, then there is an isomorphism $G(X_r, K) \simeq G(X_r, K')$ in $D(X_r)$. Since any dualizing complex is quasi-isomorphic to a minimal dualizing complex (cf. [Gil07]), we can extend the definition of the complexes $G(U, K)$ and $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ to any dualizing complex K , which are well-defined objects in $D(Ab)$ and $D(X_r)$ respectively.

5.3.6. Note that since the twisting operation is compatible with tensor products of invertible modules (2.1.5), if L is an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module, we have

$$(5.3.6.1) \quad \underline{G}(X_r, K \otimes L[n]) = \underline{G}(X_r, K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(L)[n].$$

5.3.7. Recall that we have defined in Definition 4.3.4 a bounded complex of Zariski sheaves $\underline{G}(X, \mathbb{I}^j, K)$ on X . We denote

$$(5.3.7.1) \quad \underline{G}(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty, K) = \operatorname{colim}_j \underline{G}(X, \mathbb{I}^j, K).$$

Proposition 5.3.8. *Let X be a scheme with a minimal dualizing complex K . Assume that 2 is invertible in X . Then there are isomorphisms between hypercohomology groups*

$$(5.3.8.1) \quad H^i(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty, K) \simeq \mathbb{H}^i(\underline{G}(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty, K)) \simeq \mathbb{H}^i(\underline{G}(X_r, K)).$$

Proof. The first isomorphism is established in 4.2.5. By the proof of Theorem 5.3.3, there is an isomorphism of complexes $\underline{G}(X, \mathbb{I}^\infty, K) \simeq \operatorname{supp}_* \underline{G}(X_r, \mathbb{Z}, K)$. Since the functor supp_* is exact ([Sch95, Theorem 19.2]), the second isomorphism follows from [Jac17, Lemma 4.6] by replacing the Grothendieck spectral sequence in *loc. cit.* with the hypercohomology spectral sequence. \square

6. SCHEIDERER'S THEOREMS REVISITED

The goal of this section is to prove some generalizations of the results in [Sch95].

6.1. Six functors and purity theorems.

6.1.1. We first recall the six functors on real schemes. For any scheme X , we denote by $D(X_r)$ the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups on the real scheme X_r . By [Bac18, Theorem 35], there is an equivalence of categories

$$(6.1.1.1) \quad D(X_r) \simeq D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(X)[\rho^{-1}]$$

between the derived category of X_r and the ρ -inverted \mathbb{A}^1 -derived category of X ; in addition, the association $X \mapsto D(X_r)$ defines a *motivic triangulated category* in the sense of [CD19, Definition 2.4.45]. By [CD19, Theorem 2.4.50], we have the corresponding six functors formalism on the fibered category $X \mapsto D(X_r)$, and the equivalence (6.1.1.1) is compatible with the six functors. In particular, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a separated morphism of schemes of finite type, there is a pair of adjoint functors given by the (derived) exceptional direct image and inverse image functors

$$(6.1.1.2) \quad Rf_{r!} : D(X_r) \rightleftarrows D(Y_r) : f_r^!$$

These functors also have more explicit descriptions: for the induced map $f_r : X_r \rightarrow Y_r$ between the underlying real schemes, the functor $Rf_{r!}$ is the derived functor of the direct image with compact support functor on sheaves

$$(6.1.1.3) \quad f_{r!} : Sh(X_r) \rightarrow Sh(Y_r)$$

([Del91, II.8], [EP20, 3.1]). If $f = p \circ j$ is a compactification of f , that is, p is a proper morphism and j is an open immersion ([Con07]), then $f_{r!} = p_{r*} \circ j_{r!}$, where $j_{r!}$ is the extension by zero functor.

6.1.2. Recall the following general definition of Thom spaces in motivic categories ([CD19, Example 2.4.3.1]):

Definition 6.1.3. Let \mathcal{T} be a motivic triangulated category. Let $p : V \rightarrow X$ be the projection of a vector bundle over a scheme X , with $s : X \rightarrow V$ the zero section. The $(\mathcal{T}$ -valued) **Thom space** $Th(V) \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ is defined as $Th(V) = Rp_!Rs_*Ls^*p^!\mathbb{1}_X$, where $\mathbb{1}_X \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ is the unit object.

As a consequence of the six functors formalism ([CD19, Theorem 2.4.50]), we have the following properties:

Lemma 6.1.4. (1) (Localization) If $i : Z \rightarrow X$ is a closed immersion with open complement $j : U \rightarrow X$, then there is a canonical distinguished triangle of endofunctors of $D(X_r)$:

$$(6.1.4.1) \quad Ri_{r*}i_r^! \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow Rj_{r*}j_r^! \xrightarrow{+1} Ri_{r*}i_r^![1].$$

(2) (Projection formula) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$(6.1.4.2) \quad R\mathcal{H}om(Rf_{r!}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \simeq Rf_{r*}R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, f_r^!\mathcal{G}).$$

(3) (Relative purity) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism with tangent bundle T_f , then there is an isomorphism of functors

$$(6.1.4.3) \quad Th(T_f) \otimes f_r^*(-) \simeq f_r^!(-).$$

6.1.5. For a vector bundle V over a scheme X , the cohomology of the Thom space computes the cohomology groups of V with support in X . More precisely, for any separated morphism of finite type $p : Y \rightarrow X$, we denote by $M_X(Y) = Rp_!p^!\mathbb{Z} \in D(X_r)$ the motive of Y over X . Then by [CD19, 2.4.13], the Thom space fits into a distinguished triangle

$$(6.1.5.1) \quad Th(V)[-1] \rightarrow M_X(V^\times) \rightarrow M_X(V) \xrightarrow{+1} Th(V)$$

where V^\times is the complement in V of the zero section. In the case where V is the trivial bundle of rank 1, the global section -1 of $V^\times = \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$ gives a map

$$(6.1.5.2) \quad \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-(-1)*} M_X(\mathbb{G}_{m,X})$$

which factors through $Th(\mathcal{O}_X)[-1]$ and induces a map

$$(6.1.5.3) \quad \rho : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Th(\mathcal{O}_X)[-1].$$

The map (6.1.5.3) is an isomorphism, since the category $D(X_r)$ is ρ -stable by [Bac18, Theorem 35]. More generally, the Thom space of the trivial bundle can be computed as

$$(6.1.5.4) \quad Th(\mathcal{O}_X^d) \simeq Th(\mathcal{O}_X)^{\oplus d} \stackrel{(6.1.5.3)}{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}[d].$$

More generally, we have the following result:

Lemma 6.1.6. Let X be a scheme and let V be a vector bundle of rank d over X . Then in $D(X_r)$ there is an isomorphism

$$(6.1.6.1) \quad Th(V) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(\det(V))[d]$$

which is compatible with pullbacks and short exact sequences of vector bundles.

Proof. We first deal with the case where $V = L$ is a line bundle. Since both sides are Zariski sheaves, we will construct an isomorphism

$$(6.1.6.2) \quad Th(L) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(L)[1]$$

by gluing local isomorphisms. Let $X = \bigcup_i U_i$ be an open cover of X such that the restriction of L to each U_i is trivial. Then for each i there is an isomorphism

$$(6.1.6.3) \quad \phi_i : Th(L)|_{U_i} \simeq Th(\mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \stackrel{(6.1.5.3)}{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}[1] \simeq \mathbb{Z}(L|_{U_i})[1].$$

To show that the ϕ_i 's glue into a global isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\phi_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = \phi_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$. Let $U = U_i \cap U_j$. By considering the transition maps attached to the local trivializations, it suffices to show that for any $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times(U)$, the following diagram commutes

$$(6.1.6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Th(\mathcal{O}_U)[-1] & \xleftarrow[\text{(6.1.5.3)}]{\sim} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^* \mathcal{O}_U^\times]} \mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^*(L_U)^\times] \\ \times u \downarrow & & \downarrow v & & \downarrow u \\ Th(\mathcal{O}_U)[-1] & \xleftarrow[\text{(6.1.5.3)}]{\sim} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^* \mathcal{O}_U^\times]} \mathbb{Z}[\text{supp}^*(L_U)^\times]. \end{array}$$

To see this, consider the middle vertical map $v \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}) = C(U_r, \mathbb{Z})$ given by sending (x, P) , where $x \in U$ and P is an ordering on the residue field of x , to $\text{Sign}_P(u_x) \in \{\pm 1\}$. We need to show that both squares of the diagram (6.1.6.5) commute with this choice of v . The one on the right commutes by construction, and the one on the left reduces to the commutativity of the following diagram

$$(6.1.6.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_U(\mathbb{G}_{m,U}) & \xleftarrow[\text{(6.1.5.2)}]{\sim} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \times u \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ M_U(\mathbb{G}_{m,U}) & \xleftarrow[\text{(6.1.5.2)}]{\sim} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

which, by looking at stalks, follows from the topological fact that the multiplicative group of a real closed field has exactly two connected components.

In the general case, assume that V is a vector bundle of rank d over X . We know that $H^0(X_r, \mathbb{Z}) = H^0(X_{ret}, \mathbb{Z})$ is a Zariski sheaf, so by [Ana20, Theorem 5.3] the category $D(X_r)$ has a canonical SL -orientation. By (6.1.5.4), for V a vector bundle of rank r , we have an isomorphism

$$(6.1.6.6) \quad Th(V) \simeq Th(\det(V)) \otimes Th(\mathcal{O}_X^{d-1}) \stackrel{(6.1.5.4)}{\simeq} Th(\det(V))[d-1] \simeq \stackrel{(6.1.6.2)}{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}(\det(V))[d]$$

where the first isomorphism is induced by the weak Thom class associated to the SL -orientation.

From the gluing procedure above, we know that the isomorphism (6.1.6.2) is compatible with pullbacks and tensor products of line bundles. Since weak Thom class are compatible with pullbacks and short exact sequences of vector bundles, and if $0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_3 \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of vector bundles we have a canonical isomorphism $\det(V_2) \simeq \det(V_1) \otimes \det(V_3)$, we know that the isomorphism (6.1.6.6) is compatible with pullbacks and short exact sequences of vector bundles. \square

- Remark 6.1.7.* (1) In the case where X is regular, one can construct the isomorphism (6.1.6.1) directly by combining [HWXZ21, Theorem 2.48] and the isomorphism (2.3.6.1). The nature of this argument is the existence of a Gysin morphism for the zero section of L (see [DJK18, Proposition 4.3.10 1])). Unfortunately for X singular we do not know how to construct such a Gysin morphism from the Witt-theoretic side, as all the constructions in the literature are built on coherent Witt groups instead of the usual ones.
- (2) The same arguments as in Lemma 6.1.6 gives an isomorphism $Th(V) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(\det(V)^{-1})[d]$. This reflects the fact that for any line bundle L there is a canonical isomorphism $Th(L) \simeq Th(L^{-1})$, see [Ana20, Lemma 4.1], [Rön12, Proposition 2.2].

6.1.8. From Lemma 6.1.6 and relative purity (6.1.4.3) we deduce the following result:

Theorem 6.1.9 (Poincaré duality). *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism of relative dimension d with tangent bundle T_f , there is an isomorphism of functors*

$$(6.1.9.1) \quad f_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d] \rightarrow f_r^!(-).$$

6.1.10. The isomorphism (6.1.9.1) is compatible with compositions in the following sense: if $V \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ are composable smooth morphisms of relative dimensions d_g and d_f respectively, then the composition

$$(6.1.10.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & g_r^* f_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_{f \circ g}))[d_f + d_g] \\ & \simeq g_r^*(f_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d_f]) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_g))[d_g] \\ & \simeq g_r^!(f_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d_f]) \simeq g_r^! f_r^!(-) \end{aligned}$$

agrees with the isomorphism (6.1.9.1) for the composition $f \circ g$. Indeed, this follows from the short exact sequence of vector bundles over V

$$(6.1.10.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow g^{-1}T_f \rightarrow T_{f \circ g} \rightarrow T_g \rightarrow 0$$

([EGA4, Proposition 17.2.3]), Lemma 6.1.6, and the fact that the purity isomorphism (6.1.4.3) is compatible with compositions ([Ayo07, 1.5]).

Similarly, the isomorphism (6.1.9.1) is compatible with base change in the following sense: for any Cartesian square

$$(6.1.10.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \end{array}$$

with f smooth of relative dimension d , then the following diagram is commutative:

$$(6.1.10.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} g_r^! p_r^!(-) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & g_r^* p_r^!(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_g))[d] \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \wr \\ q_r^! f_r^!(-) & \xrightarrow{\sim} q_r^!(p_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d]) \xleftarrow{\sim} & q_r^! p_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_g))[d]. \end{array}$$

6.1.11. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion between regular schemes of pure codimension c , and denote by N the normal bundle of i . We know that i is in particular a regular closed immersion, and by the theory of *fundamental classes* ([DJK18, 4.3.1]), there is a natural transformation of functors

$$(6.1.11.1) \quad i_r^*(-) \otimes Th(N)^{\otimes(-1)} \rightarrow i_r^!(-)$$

called *purity transformation*, which is constructed using deformation to the normal cone. By Lemma 6.1.6 we obtain, for every object $\mathcal{F} \in D(X_r)$, a natural transformation in $D(X_r)$

$$(6.1.11.2) \quad i_r^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(N)^{-1})[-c] \xrightarrow{(6.1.6.1)} i_r^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes Th(N)^{\otimes(-1)} \xrightarrow{(6.1.11.1)} i_r^!(\mathcal{F}).$$

Recall that a sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{F} on X_r is **constructible** if there is a finite stratification of X_r into locally closed constructible subsets M_i such that $\mathcal{F}|_{M_i}$ is the constant sheaf associated to a finitely generated abelian group ([Sch94, Definition A.3]). The following result is a refinement of [Sch95, Theorem 1.7]:

Theorem 6.1.12 (Absolute purity). *If \mathcal{F} is a locally constant constructible sheaf on X_r , then the map (6.1.11.2) is an isomorphism. In other words, there is an isomorphism in $D(Z_r)$*

$$(6.1.12.1) \quad i_r^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(N)^{-1})[-c] \simeq i_r^!\mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{F} is a locally constant constructible sheaf, then \mathcal{F} is a *dualizable object* in $D(X_r)$, and by [FHM03, Proposition 5.4] there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(6.1.12.2) \quad i_r^*\mathcal{F} \otimes i_r^!\mathbb{Z} \simeq i_r^!\mathcal{F}$$

and it suffices to show that the map (6.1.11.2) is an isomorphism when $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}$ is the constant sheaf.

By 2.3.2, we may assume that all schemes have characteristic 0. Then we follow the arguments of [DFJK21, Appendix C]. If both X and Z are smooth over a base field, then the result follows from Poincaré duality (Theorem 6.1.9). In the general case, since the problem is Zariski local, we may also assume that both X and Z are affine. By Popescu's theorem ([Spi99, Theorem 1.1]), the pair of regular schemes (X, Z) is a projective limit of closed pairs of smooth \mathbb{Q} -schemes with transverse affine transition morphisms, and the result follows from the smooth case (see [DJK18, Remark 4.3.12 (ii)] for more details). \square

Remark 6.1.13. Theorem 6.1.12 refines Scheiderer's absolute purity theorem ([Sch95, Theorem 1.7]) by giving a precise global identification of the object $i_r^!\mathcal{F}$ (instead of merely locally isomorphic to $i_r^*\mathcal{F}[-c]$), and also by removing the restriction to excellent schemes.

6.2. The Cousin complex.

6.2.1. In this section we study the *Cousin complex*. The following lemma is a variant of [Sch95, Corollary 2.2], based on the style of [BO74, 4.2]:

Lemma 6.2.2. Let X be an excellent regular scheme, let U be an open constructible subset of X_r and let \mathcal{F} be a locally constant constructible sheaf on U . Then there is a complex of abelian groups called the **Cousin complex**, denoted as $Cous(U, \mathcal{F})$

$$(6.2.2.1) \quad \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} H^0(x_r \cap U, \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H^0(x_r \cap U, \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X})) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(n)}} H^0(x_r \cap U, \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X}))$$

natural in U and \mathcal{F} , whose q -th cohomology group is canonically isomorphic to $H^q(U, \mathcal{F})$ for all $q \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to [Sch95, Corollary 2.2]: we have the coniveau spectral sequence

$$(6.2.2.2) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)} \cap U} H_{x_r}^{p+q}(U, \mathcal{F}) \implies H^{p+q}(U, \mathcal{F}).$$

By absolute purity (Theorem 6.1.12), for any $x \in X^{(p)} \cap U$ there is a canonical isomorphism $H_{x_r}^p(U, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^0(x_r \cap U, \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X}))$, and $H_{x_r}^n(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ if $n \neq p$. Consequently the spectral sequence (6.2.2.2) degenerates at E_2 , and its E_1 -page is concentrated on the line $q = 0$, which gives rise to the complex (6.2.2.1). \square

6.2.3. In particular, Lemma 6.2.2 defines a map of complexes

$$(6.2.3.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \text{Cous}(U, \mathcal{F})$$

where the map $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} H^0(x_r \cap U, \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X}))$ can be identified with

$$(6.2.3.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \lim_{V \subset X \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(V_r \cap U) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} H^0(x_r \cap U, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} H^0(x_r \cap U, \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X})).$$

Here every point $x \in X^{(0)}$ is a generic point of X , and therefore there is a canonical trivialization of $\omega_{x/X}$.

6.2.4. By Lemma 6.2.2, sheafifying the map (6.2.3.1) gives the following result:

Corollary 6.2.5. *Let X be an excellent regular scheme and let \mathcal{F} be a locally constant constructible sheaf on X_r . Then there is a resolution of \mathcal{F} by acyclic sheaves:*

$$(6.2.5.1) \quad \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} (i_{x_r})_* \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} (i_{x_r})_* \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(n)}} (i_{x_r})_* \mathcal{F}(\omega_{x/X})$$

6.2.6. Let X be an excellent regular scheme and let U be an open constructible subset of X_r . Let L be an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module, which can be resolved by a minimal dualizing complex K_L (2.2.10). In the case where $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}(L)$, we have the following result:

Lemma 6.2.7. For $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}(L)$, the complex $\text{Cous}(U, \mathbb{Z}(L))$ in (6.2.2.1) agrees with the complex $G(U, K_L)$ in (5.3.4.1).

Proof. We may assume $U = X_r$. For any point x of X , by (2.2.11.1) we have an isomorphism

$$(6.2.7.1) \quad L_x \otimes \omega_{x/X} \simeq L_{k(x)},$$

which induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}(L)(\omega_{x/X}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(L_{k(x)})$. To check differential maps agree in each degree, we only need to check the first differential maps agree, which follows our definition of differentials in 5.3.1 and [Jac17, Lemma 4.9] (see also [Sch95, Proposition 2.6]), which finishes the proof. \square

By sheafifying we obtain the following result, generalizing [Sch95, Corollary 2.3]:

Corollary 6.2.8. *Let X be an excellent regular scheme and let L be an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then the Gersten complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K_L)$ in (5.3.4.2) is a resolution of the sheaf $\mathbb{Z}(L)$ by acyclic sheaves.*

7. FUNCTORIALITY OF THE GERSTEN COMPLEX

The goal of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 7.0.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-projective morphism of excellent schemes and let K be a dualizing complex on Y . Then there is an isomorphism in $D(X_r)$*

$$(7.0.1.1) \quad \gamma_f : \underline{G}(X_r, f^!K) \simeq f_r^! \underline{G}(Y_r, K)$$

which is compatible with compositions.

In other words, the Gersten complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ in (5.3.4.2) commutes with the functor $f^!$.

7.1. Closed immersions.

7.1.1. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be an immersion of schemes and let K be a minimal dualizing complex on X . Then $i^!K$ is a minimal dualizing complex on Z . For x a point of Z , we consider $i(x)$ as a point of X . Then we have a canonical identification of 1-dimensional $k(x)$ -vector spaces

$$(7.1.1.1) \quad K_{k(i(x))} = (i^!K)_{k(x)}$$

and $\mu_K(i(x)) = \mu_{i^!K}(x)$.

7.1.2. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion with open complement $j : X - Z \rightarrow X$. Any open constructible subset U of X_r can be written as a disjoint union

$$(7.1.2.1) \quad U = (Z_r \cap U) \coprod ((X - Z)_r \cap U)$$

and by (7.1.1.1) there is a canonical (split) short exact sequence of complexes

$$(7.1.2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow G(Z_r \cap U, i^!K) \rightarrow G(U, K) \rightarrow G((X - Z)_r \cap U, j^!K) \rightarrow 0.$$

The short exact sequence (7.1.2.2) is functorial with respect U , and therefore by sheafifying we obtain a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$(7.1.2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow i_* \underline{G}(Z_r, i^!K) \rightarrow \underline{G}(X_r, K) \rightarrow j_* \underline{G}((X - Z)_r, j^!K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since j is an open immersion, we have $\underline{G}((X - Z)_r, K) = j^* \underline{G}(X_r, K)$. In the derived category $D(X_r)$, the sequence (7.1.2.3) identifies the object $i_* \underline{G}(Z_r, i^!K)$ with the fiber of the map

$$(7.1.2.4) \quad \underline{G}(X_r, K) \rightarrow j_* j^* \underline{G}(X_r, K).$$

By the gluing formalism (see [SGA4, IV Proposition 14.6], [CD19, Proposition 2.3.3]), we have the localizing fiber sequence

$$(7.1.2.5) \quad i_* i^! \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow j_* j^*$$

from which we deduce an isomorphism in $D(X_r)$

$$(7.1.2.6) \quad \alpha_i : \underline{G}(Z_r, i^!K) \simeq i^! \underline{G}(X_r, K).$$

Note that the isomorphism (7.1.2.6) is a version of Theorem 4.4.5 for real schemes.

7.2. Smooth morphisms.

7.2.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism of excellent schemes of relative dimension d and let K be a dualizing complex on Y . Then we have an isomorphism in $D(X_r)$

$$(7.2.1.1) \quad \underline{G}(X, f^*K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d] \stackrel{(5.3.6.1)}{\simeq} \underline{G}(X, f^*K \otimes \det(T_f)[d]) \stackrel{(2.2.11.1)}{\simeq} \underline{G}(X, f^!K).$$

7.2.2. For every point $y \in Y$, consider the Cartesian square

$$(7.2.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_y & \xrightarrow{\tau_y} & X \\ f_y \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ y & \xrightarrow{i_y} & Y. \end{array}$$

Let U be an open constructible subset of X_r . Then there is a map

$$(7.2.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} C(y_r \cap f_r(U), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)})) &= C(f_r((X_y)_r \cap U), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)})) \\ &\rightarrow C((X_y)_r \cap U, f_r^* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)})) = C((X_y)_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f_y)^* K_{k(y)})) \\ &\xrightarrow{(6.2.3.1)} C_{ous}((X_y)_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f_y)^* K_{k(y)})) = G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 6.2.7. Sheafifying the map (7.2.2.2) gives a map of complexes

$$(7.2.2.3) \quad f_r^*(i_{y_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)}) \rightarrow (\tau_{y_r})_* \underline{G}(X_{y_r}, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}).$$

Lemma 7.2.3. The map (7.2.2.3) is an isomorphism in $D(X_r)$.

Proof. We have the following isomorphisms:

$$(7.2.3.1) \quad f_r^*(i_{y_r})_* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)}) \simeq (\tau_{y_r})_* f_{y_r}^* \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)}) = (\tau_{y_r})_* \mathbb{Z}((f_y)^* K_{k(y)}) \simeq (\tau_{y_r})_* \underline{G}(X_{y_r}, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}).$$

where the first isomorphism is the smooth base change ([Sch94, 16.11]), and the last isomorphism follows from Corollary 6.2.8. It is straightforward that maps (7.2.2.3) and (7.2.3.1) agree, which finishes the proof. \square

7.2.4. Note that $(f_y)^* K_{k(y)}$ is a line bundle on the regular scheme X_y , and therefore the codimension function on X_y defined by $(f_y)^* K_{k(y)}$ agrees with that of the structure sheaf (2.2.9 and 2.2.10). By [Har66, III 7.3 and 8.7 5)], for every point x of X_y we have

$$(7.2.4.1) \quad \mu_{f^*K}(x) = \mu_{\mathcal{O}_{X_y}}(x) + \mu_K(y)$$

and

$$(7.2.4.2) \quad ((f_y)^* K_{k(y)})_{k(x)} \simeq (f^* K)_{k(x)}.$$

7.2.5. Let U be an open constructible subset of X_r , and let m and n be the minimum and maximum of the function μ_K . We define a double complex of abelian groups denoted as $D(U, f, K)$, as follows:

$$(7.2.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(0)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m+1} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(0)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=n} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(0)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) \\ \downarrow \partial_{re} & & \downarrow \partial_{re} & & \downarrow \partial_{re} \\ \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(1)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{-\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m+1} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(1)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{-\partial_{re}} \cdots \xrightarrow{-\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=n} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(1)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) \\ \downarrow \partial_{re} & & \downarrow \partial_{re} & & \downarrow \partial_{re} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \downarrow \partial_{re} & & \downarrow \partial_{re} & & \downarrow \partial_{re} \\ \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(d)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^d \partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m+1} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(d)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^d \partial_{re}} \cdots \xrightarrow{(-1)^d \partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=n} \bigoplus_{x \in X_y^{(d)}} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)})) \end{array}$$

where

- The entries are given by direct sums of groups of the form $C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K)_{k(x)}))$, for points $y \in Y$ and $x \in X_y$, arranged into a matrix according to the intergers $\mu_K(y)$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{O}_{X_y}}(x)$, the codimension of x in the fiber X_y .
- The vertical maps are given by the map ∂_{re} in (5.3.2.1), and the horizontal maps are given by the map $(-1)^i \partial_{re}$ whenever x has codimension i in X_y , which are well-defined by (7.2.4.1).

This is a well-defined complex since $\partial_{re} \circ \partial_{re} = 0$ by Theorem 5.3.3. In addition, by (7.2.4.2), each column of the complex $D(U, f, K)$ is a direct sum of complexes of the form $G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)})$ (placed vertically), and the complex $D(U, f, K)$ can be written in the forllowing form:

(7.2.5.2)

$$\bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m} G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m+1} G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=n} G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}).$$

We then have a map of double complexes

$$(7.2.5.3) \quad G(f_r(U), K) \rightarrow D(U, f, K)$$

given by the following diagram

(7.2.5.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m} C(y_r \cap f_r(U), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)})) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m+1} C(y_r \cap f_r(U), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)})) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial_{re}} & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=n} C(y_r \cap f_r(U), \mathbb{Z}(K_{k(y)})) \\ \downarrow (7.2.2.2) & & \downarrow (7.2.2.2) & & & & \downarrow (7.2.2.2) \\ \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m} G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=m+1} G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=n} G((X_y)_r \cap U, (f_y)^* K_{k(y)}) \end{array}$$

which is commutative by 6.2.3. By (7.2.4.1), the total complex of the complex $D(U, f, K)$ is nothing but the complex $G(U, f^* K)$, and therefore the map (7.2.5.3) gives rise to a map of complexes

$$(7.2.5.5) \quad G(f_r(U), K) \rightarrow \text{Tot}(D(U, f, K)) = G(U, f^* K).$$

By Lemma 7.2.3, sheafifying the map (7.2.5.5) gives an isomorphism in $D(X_r)$

$$(7.2.5.6) \quad f_r^* \underline{G}(Y, K) \simeq \underline{G}(X, f^* K).$$

We deduce from the map (7.2.5.6) an isomorphism in $D(X_r)$

$$(7.2.5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_f : f_r^! \underline{G}(Y, K) &\stackrel{(6.1.9.1)}{\simeq} f_r^* \underline{G}(Y, K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d] \\ &\stackrel{(7.2.5.6)}{\simeq} \underline{G}(X, f^* K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d] \stackrel{(7.2.1.1)}{\simeq} \underline{G}(X, f^! K). \end{aligned}$$

7.3. General case.

7.3.1. Let S be a scheme and QProj_S be the category of quasi-projective S -schemes. We suppose given, for every scheme X in QProj_S , an object $E_X \in D(X_r)$. Then given two composable morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ in QProj_S , and two maps $\alpha : E_X \rightarrow f_r^! E_Y$, $\beta : E_Y \rightarrow g_r^! E_Z$, we denote by $\beta \cdot \alpha$ the composition

$$(7.3.1.1) \quad \beta \cdot \alpha : E_X \xrightarrow{\alpha} f_r^! E_Y \xrightarrow{\beta} f_r^! g_r^! E_Z \simeq (g \circ f)_r^! E_Z.$$

The following lemma is a standard result in intersection theory (see [FM81], [DJK18]):

Lemma 7.3.2. In the setting of 7.3.1, assume given the following additional data:

(1) For every closed immersion $i : Z \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S , a map

$$(7.3.2.1) \quad \alpha_i : E_Z \rightarrow i_r^! E_X \in D(Z_r);$$

(2) For every smooth morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S , a map

$$(7.3.2.2) \quad \beta_f : E_Y \rightarrow f_r^! E_X \in D(Y_r);$$

satisfying the following relations:

(1) For two composable closed immersions $W \xrightarrow{k} Z \xrightarrow{i} X$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S , we have

$$(7.3.2.3) \quad \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_k = \alpha_{i \circ k} : E_W \rightarrow k_r^! i_r^! E_X.$$

In other words, the formation of the maps α_i in (7.3.2.1) is compatible with compositions.

(2) For two composable smooth morphisms $V \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S , we have

$$(7.3.2.4) \quad \beta_f \cdot \beta_g = \beta_{f \circ g} : E_V \rightarrow g_r^! f_r^! E_Y.$$

In other words, the formation of the maps β_f in (7.3.2.2) is compatible with compositions.

(3) For every smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S with a section $i : Y \rightarrow X$, we have

$$(7.3.2.5) \quad \beta_f \cdot \alpha_i = id : E_Y \rightarrow E_Y.$$

(4) For every Cartesian square of schemes in \mathbf{QProj}_S

$$(7.3.2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{k} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

where i is a closed immersion and f is smooth, we have

$$(7.3.2.7) \quad \beta_f \cdot \alpha_k = \alpha_i \cdot \beta_g : E_W \rightarrow g_r^! i_r^! E_X.$$

Then there is a unique way to associate to every morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S a map

$$(7.3.2.8) \quad \gamma_f : E_X \rightarrow f_r^! E_Y \in D(X_r)$$

such that

(1) If f is a closed immersion, then $\gamma_f = \alpha_f$.

(2) If f is smooth, then $\gamma_f = \beta_f$.

(3) For any composable morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S , we have

$$(7.3.2.9) \quad \gamma_g \cdot \gamma_f \simeq \gamma_{g \circ f} : E_X \rightarrow f_r^! g_r^! E_Z.$$

Furthermore, if all maps α_i in (7.3.2.1) and all maps β_f in (7.3.2.2) are isomorphisms, then all maps γ_f in (7.3.2.8) are isomorphisms.

Proof. We know that every morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{QProj}_S is quasi-projective, and therefore factors as

$$(7.3.2.10) \quad X \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{g} Y,$$

where i is a closed immersion and g is a smooth morphism. Given such a factorization, we define

$$(7.3.2.11) \quad \gamma_f = \beta_g \cdot \alpha_i : E_X \rightarrow f_r^! E_Y.$$

We show that the map γ_f defined in (7.3.2.11) is independent on the choice of the factorization (7.3.2.10), and is compatible with compositions in the sense of (7.3.2.9).

- (1) We first show that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion that factors as $X \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{g} Y$, where i is a closed immersion and g is smooth, then we have

$$(7.3.2.12) \quad \beta_g \cdot \alpha_i = \alpha_f : E_X \rightarrow f_r^! E_Y.$$

Indeed, we have a commutative diagram in \mathbf{QProj}_S

$$(7.3.2.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & i & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \times_Y P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P \\ & \searrow & \downarrow g_1 & \downarrow f_1 & \downarrow g \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \end{array}$$

where $X \xrightarrow{(id,i)} X \times_Y P$ is the graph of the closed immersion i , f_1 is a closed immersion and g_1 is smooth. Since the square is Cartesian and (id,i) is a section of g_1 , it follows our assumptions that

$$(7.3.2.14) \quad \beta_g \cdot \alpha_i \stackrel{(7.3.2.3)}{=} \beta_g \cdot \alpha_{f_1} \cdot \alpha_{(id,i)} \stackrel{(7.3.2.7)}{=} \alpha_f \cdot \beta_{g_1} \cdot \alpha_{(id,i)} \stackrel{(7.3.2.5)}{=} \alpha_f$$

which proves the claim.

We now prove that the map (7.3.2.11) is independent of the factorization. Suppose given two factorizations of $f: X \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{g} Y$ and $X \xrightarrow{i_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} Y$, where i and i_1 are closed immersions and g and g_1 are smooth. We then have a commutative diagram in \mathbf{QProj}_S

$$(7.3.2.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & i & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P \times_Y P_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P \\ & \searrow & \downarrow q_1 & \downarrow q & \downarrow g \\ & & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \end{array}$$

where $X \xrightarrow{(i,i_1)} P \times_Y P_1$ is the diagonal embedding, both q and q_1 are smooth, and the square is Cartesian. Then it follows from the claim and our assumptions that

$$(7.3.2.16) \quad \beta_g \cdot \alpha_i \stackrel{(7.3.2.14)}{=} \beta_g \cdot \beta_q \cdot \alpha_{(i,i_1)} \stackrel{(7.3.2.4)}{=} \beta_{g_1} \cdot \beta_{q_1} \cdot \alpha_{(i,i_1)} \stackrel{(7.3.2.14)}{=} \beta_{g_1} \cdot \alpha_{i_1}$$

which proves the result.

- (2) We now prove that the map (7.3.2.11) is compatible with compositions. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are two composable quasi-projective morphisms in \mathbf{QProj}_S , then there exists a commutative diagram in \mathbf{QProj}_S

$$(7.3.2.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_1} & P & \xrightarrow{i_3} & R \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow p_1 & \downarrow p_3 & \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{i_2} & Q \\ & & \searrow g & \downarrow p_2 & \\ & & & Z & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are closed immersions and the vertical maps are smooth, and the square is Cartesian ([LM07, Remarks 5.1.23]). Then it follows that

$$(7.3.2.18) \quad \gamma_{g \circ f} = \beta_{p_2 \circ p_3} \cdot \alpha_{i_3 \circ i_1} = \beta_{p_2} \cdot \beta_{p_3} \cdot \alpha_{i_3} \cdot \alpha_{i_1} \stackrel{(7.3.2.7)}{=} \beta_{p_2} \cdot \alpha_{i_2} \cdot \beta_{p_1} \cdot \alpha_{i_1} = \gamma_g \cdot \gamma_f$$

which proves (7.3.2.9).

The uniqueness of the map γ_f in (7.3.2.8), as well as the last statement, are clear from the construction above. \square

7.3.3. We now apply Lemma 7.3.2 to our Gersten complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ defined in (5.3.4.2). Let S be a scheme with a dualizing complex K . For every object $p : X \rightarrow S$ in QProj_S , the complex $K_X^\dagger = p^!K$ is a dualizing complex on X , and we consider the object

$$(7.3.3.1) \quad E_X = \underline{G}(X_r, K_X^\dagger) \in D(X_r).$$

Proposition 7.3.4. *The conditions in Lemma 7.3.2 are satisfied if we take the map α_i in (7.3.2.1) to be the map defined in (7.1.2.6), and the map β_f in (7.3.2.2) to be the map defined in (7.2.5.7).*

Proof. We check the conditions one by one:

- (1) Let $W \xrightarrow{k} Z \xrightarrow{i} X$ be two composable closed immersions in QProj_S , and let U be an open constructible subset of X_r . By construction, the map

$$(7.3.4.1) \quad G(Z_r \cap U, i^!K) \rightarrow G(U, K)$$

in (7.1.2.2) is such that the composition

$$(7.3.4.2) \quad G(W_r \cap U, k^!i^!K_X^\dagger) \rightarrow G(Z_r \cap U, i^!K_X^\dagger) \rightarrow G(U, K_X^\dagger)$$

agrees with the map associated to the closed immersion $i \circ k$. By sheafification, the map

$$(7.3.4.3) \quad i_*\underline{G}(Z_r, i^!K_X^\dagger) \rightarrow \underline{G}(X_r, K_X^\dagger)$$

in (7.1.2.3) is such that the composition

$$(7.3.4.4) \quad i_*k_*\underline{G}(W_r \cap U, k^!i^!K_X^\dagger) \rightarrow i_*\underline{G}(Z_r \cap U, i^!K_X^\dagger) \rightarrow \underline{G}(U, K_X^\dagger)$$

agrees with the map associated to the closed immersion $i \circ k$. By adjunction, this proves (7.3.2.3).

- (2) Let $V \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ be two composable smooth morphisms in QProj_S , and let U be an open constructible subset of V_r . From the description of the map (7.2.2.2) in (6.2.3.2), we see that the map $G(f_r(U), K) \rightarrow G(U, f^*K)$ in (7.2.5.5) is such that the composition

$$(7.3.4.5) \quad G(f_r(g_r(U)), K_Y^\dagger) \rightarrow G(g_r(U), f^*K_Y^\dagger) \rightarrow G(U, g^*f^*K_Y^\dagger)$$

agrees with the map (7.2.5.5) associated to the composition $f \circ g$. This shows that the isomorphism $f_r^*\underline{G}(Y, K) \simeq \underline{G}(X, f^*K)$ in (7.2.5.6) is such that the composition

$$(7.3.4.6) \quad g_r^*f_r^*\underline{G}(Y, K_Y^\dagger) \simeq g_r^*\underline{G}(X, f^*K_Y^\dagger) \simeq \underline{G}(V, g^*f^*K_Y^\dagger)$$

agrees with the map (7.2.5.6) associated to the composition $f \circ g$. Since the isomorphisms (6.1.9.1) and (2.2.11.1) are compatible with compositions, we deduce that the isomorphism $\beta_f : f_r^!\underline{G}(Y, K) \simeq \underline{G}(X, f^!K)$ in (7.2.5.7) satisfies (7.3.2.4).

- (3) Consider a smooth morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in QProj_S of relative dimension d with a section $i : Y \rightarrow X$. Then i is a regular closed immersion, and its normal bundle N agrees with $i^{-1}T_f$. In (6.1.11.2) we defined a natural transformation $i_r^*(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(N)^{-1})[-c] \rightarrow i_r^!(-)$, which induces a map in $D(X_r)$

$$(7.3.4.7) \quad i_*i^*\underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^\dagger) \rightarrow \underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^\dagger) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d].$$

The map (7.3.4.7) can be described as follows: for every point $y \in Y$, one has $\mu_{f^*K_Y^!}(i(y)) = \mu_{K_Y^!}(y) + d$ and $K_{Y,k(y)}^! \simeq (f^*K_Y^! \otimes \det(T_f))_{k(i(y))}$, and therefore for every open constructible subset U of X_r there is a canonical inclusion

$$(7.3.4.8) \quad \bigoplus_{\mu_K(y)=t} C(y_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}(K_{Y,k(y)}^!)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mu_{f^*K_Y^!}(x)=t+d} C(x_r \cap U, \mathbb{Z}((f^*K_Y^! \otimes \det(T_f))_{k(x)}))$$

sending the component of y to the component of $x = i(y)$. The map (7.3.4.8) induces a map of complexes

$$(7.3.4.9) \quad G(Y_r \cap U, K_Y^!) \rightarrow G(U, f^*K_Y^!) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d]$$

whose sheafification agrees with the composition

$$(7.3.4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} i_*\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) &= i_*i^*f^*\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) \xrightarrow{(7.2.5.6)} i_*i^*\underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^!) \\ &\xrightarrow{(7.3.4.7)} \underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^!) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we have a commutative diagram

$$(7.3.4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} i_*i^*f^*\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.2.5.6)} i_*i^*\underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.3.4.7)} \underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^!) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(T_f))[d] \\ \parallel & & \swarrow (7.2.1.1) \\ i_*\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.3.4.3)} \underline{G}(X_r, f^!K_Y^!) & \end{array}$$

Using adjunction and the naturality of the natural transformation (6.1.11.2), we deduce the following commutative diagram

$$(7.3.4.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} i^!\underline{G}(X_r, f^!K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow[(7.2.1.1)]{\sim} i^!\underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^!) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(N))[d] & \\ \uparrow (7.1.2.6) \wr & \nearrow (6.1.11.2) & \uparrow (7.2.5.6) \\ i^*\underline{G}(X_r, f^*K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow[(6.1.11.2)]{i^!f^*\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(N))[d]} i^!f^*\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(\det(N))[d] & \\ \wr (7.2.5.6) \uparrow & & \wr (6.1.9.1) \downarrow \\ \underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) = i^*f^*\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) & = & i^!f^!\underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) \end{array}$$

which proves (7.3.2.5).

(4) Consider a Cartesian square of schemes in \mathbf{QProj}_S

$$(7.3.4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{k} & X \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

where i is a closed immersion and f is smooth. Let U be an open constructible subset of X_r . We have a commutative diagram

$$(7.3.4.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G(g_r(W_r \cap U), i^!K_Y^!) & \xleftarrow{\sim} G(Z_r \cap f_r(U), i^!K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.3.4.1)} G(f_r(U), K_Y^!) \\ (7.2.5.5) \downarrow & & \downarrow (7.2.5.5) \\ G(W_r \cap U, g^*i^!K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{\sim} G(W_r \cap U, k^!f^*K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.3.4.1)} G(U, f^*K_Y^!). \end{array}$$

Indeed, since the map (7.3.4.1) is the inclusion of a subcomplex by (7.1.2.2), the commutativity follows from the description of the map (7.2.2.2) in (6.2.3.2). Sheafifying the diagram (7.3.4.14) gives the following commutative diagram:

$$(7.3.4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} k_* g^* \underline{G}(Y_r, i^! K_Y^!) & \xleftarrow{\sim} f^* i_* \underline{G}(Z_r, i^! K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.3.4.3)} f^* \underline{G}(Y_r, K_Y^!) \\ (7.2.5.6) \downarrow \wr & & \wr \downarrow (7.2.5.6) \\ k_* \underline{G}(W_r, g^* i^! K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{\sim} k_* \underline{G}(W_r, k^! f^* K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.3.4.3)} \underline{G}(Y_r f^* K_Y^!). \end{array}$$

Tracking back the construction of the isomorphism (7.2.5.7) and using adjunction, since the isomorphism (6.1.9.1) is compatible with base change, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$(7.3.4.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{G}_W(k^! f^! K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.1.2.6)} k^! \underline{G}_Y(f^! K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.2.5.7)} k^! f^! \underline{G}_X(K_Y^!) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \underline{G}_W(g^! i^! K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.2.5.7)} g^! \underline{G}_Z(i^! K_Y^!) & \xrightarrow{(7.1.2.6)} g^! i^! \underline{G}_X(K_Y^!) \end{array}$$

which proves (7.3.2.7). \square

By Lemma 7.3.2, Theorem 7.0.1 is then a consequence of Proposition 7.3.4, which finishes the proof.

8. APPLICATIONS

8.1. Biduality.

8.1.1. In this section we show that the Gersten complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ defined in (5.3.4.2) is a dualizing object. First recall the definition:

Definition 8.1.2. Let X be a scheme. The subcategory of **constructible objects** of $D(X_r)$ is the thick subcategory $D_c(X_r)$ generated by elements of the form $f_{r!} f_r^! \mathbb{Z}$, where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a smooth morphism.⁴ We say that an object $B \in D(X_r)$ **satisfies biduality** if for any constructible object $A \in D_c(X_r)$, the canonical map

$$A \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om(R\mathcal{H}om(A, B), B)$$

is an isomorphism. A **dualizing object** of $D(X_r)$ is a constructible object which satisfies biduality.

The following lemma is a variant of [CD19, Proposition 4.4.11]:

Lemma 8.1.3. Let X be a quasi-excellent scheme and let $B \in D(X_r)$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) For any projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$, the object $f_r^! B \in D(Y_r)$ satisfies biduality;
- (2) For any projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ with Y regular, the canonical map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om(f_r^! B, f_r^! B)$ is an isomorphism.

⁴It is known that constructible objects in $D(X_r)$ are exactly the compact objects ([Jin22, Lemma 2.2]). Another equivalent characterization given by [Jin22, Theorem 1.4] states that a complex C in $D(X_r)$ is constructible if and only if there is a finite stratification of X_r into locally closed constructible subsets such that the restriction of C to each stratum is a constant sheaf associated to a perfect complex.

Proof. It is clear that the first condition implies the second, so it suffices to show the converse. By virtue of 2.3.2, we may assume that all schemes have characteristic 0. Since the scheme Y is quasi-excellent, we know that $D_c(Y_r)$ agrees with the thick subcategory generated by elements of the form $Rp_{r*}\mathbb{Z}$, where $p : W \rightarrow Y$ is a projective morphism with W regular ([BD17, Theorem 2.4.9]). Therefore it suffices to show that the following canonical map is an isomorphism:

$$(8.1.3.1) \quad Rp_{r*}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om(R\mathcal{H}om(Rp_{r*}\mathbb{Z}, f_r^!B), f_r^!B).$$

But by assumptions we have

$$(8.1.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Rp_{r*}\mathbb{Z} &\simeq Rp_{r*}R\mathcal{H}om(p_r^!f_r^!B, p_r^!f_r^!B) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(Rp_{r*}p_r^!f_r^!B, f_r^!B) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(R\mathcal{H}om(Rp_{r*}\mathbb{Z}, f_r^!B), f_r^!B) \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 8.1.4. *Let X be an excellent scheme and let K be a dualizing complex on X . Then the complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K) \in D(X_r)$ is a dualizing object.*

Proof. First we show that the complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K) \in D(X_r)$ is constructible. We use noetherian induction on X . By working with each irreducible component, we may assume that X is integral. Since X is in addition quasi-excellent, there is a non-empty open immersion $j : U \rightarrow X$ such that U is regular. Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be its reduced complement. By localization and Theorem 7.0.1, there is a distinguished triangle in $D(X_r)$

$$(8.1.4.1) \quad Ri_{r*}\underline{G}(Z_r, i^!K) \rightarrow \underline{G}(X_r, K) \rightarrow Rj_{r*}\underline{G}(U_r, j^!K) \rightarrow Ri_{r*}\underline{G}(Z_r, i^!K)[1].$$

By Corollary 6.2.8, we know that $\underline{G}(U_r, j^!K)$ is constructible, and by noetherian induction $\underline{G}(Z_r, i^!K)$ is constructible. Since both Ri_{r*} and Rj_{r*} preserve constructible objects ([BD17, Theorem 2.4.9]), this shows that $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ is constructible.

It remains to show that $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ satisfies biduality. By Lemma 8.1.3 it suffices to show that for any projective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ with Y regular, the following canonical map is an isomorphism:

$$(8.1.4.2) \quad \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om(f_r^!\underline{G}(X_r, K), f_r^!\underline{G}(X_r, K)).$$

But by Theorem 7.0.1 we have $f_r^!\underline{G}(X_r, K) \simeq \underline{G}(Y_r, f^!K)$. Since Y is regular, the map (8.1.4.2) is an isomorphism by Corollary 6.2.8, which finishes the proof. \square

8.2. Borel-Moore real homology theory.

8.2.1. Let S be a regular scheme, $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a quasi-projective morphism. Then, $f : X \rightarrow S$ induces a map $f_r : X_r \rightarrow S_r$ of real schemes. If L is a line bundle on X , we have an associated locally constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}(L)$ on X_r as in [HWXZ21].

Definition 8.2.2. Define the **Borel-Moore real homology theory** of $f_r : X_r \rightarrow S_r$ as the hypercohomology

$$H_n^{\text{BM}}(X_r, L) := \mathbb{H}^{-n}\underline{G}(X_r, f^!K_S \otimes L)$$

Remark 8.2.3. We have the following identifications

$$H_n^{\text{BM}}(X_r, L) \cong \mathbb{H}^{-n}(f_r^!\mathbb{Z}_{S_r} \otimes \mathbb{Z}(L))$$

where $\underline{G}(X_r, f^! K_S) \simeq f_r^! \underline{G}(S, K_S)$ is a flasque resolution of $f_r^! \mathbb{Z}_{S_r}$ by Corollary 6.2.8, 5.3.6 and Theorem 7.0.1. Here, \mathbb{Z}_{S_r} is the constant sheaf on S_r . If $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and R be a real closed field, we have

$$H_n^{\text{BM}}(X_r, L) \cong H_n^{\text{BM}}(X(R), \mathbb{Z}(L))$$

where the right hand side is the semi-algebraic Borel-Moore homology ([Del91, III §2]), and $X(R)$ is the semialgebraic space defined by the R -points of X ([Del91, I Example 1.1]). This can be seen by [Del91, III 12.10]. It is worth to mention that, in the special case $S = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$, we get the classical Borel-Moore homology ([BM60], [Iver86], [Bre97]).

Definition 8.2.4. Define the **I-homology theory** as

$$(8.2.4.1) \quad H_n(X, I^\infty(L)) = H^{-n}(X, I^\infty, f^! K_S \otimes L).$$

Proposition 8.2.5. *Let X be a quasi-projective \mathbb{R} -scheme. Let L be a line bundle on X . Then the signature morphism*

$$\text{Sign} : H_n(X, I^\infty(L)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n^{\text{BM}}(X(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{Z}(L))$$

is an isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the right-hand side is the classical Borel-Moore homology in topology ([BM60]).

Proof. Note that by Proposition 5.3.8, the signature map induces the following isomorphism

$$H_n(X, I^\infty(L)) \cong H_n^{\text{BM}}(X_r, L)$$

The result follows from Remark 8.2.3. □

Corollary 8.2.6. *Let X, Y be two quasi-projective \mathbb{R} -schemes. Let L (respectively L') be a line bundle on X (respectively Y). Then there is a split short exact sequence*

$$(8.2.6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p^{\text{BM}}(X, L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_q^{\text{BM}}(Y, L') \rightarrow H_n^{\text{BM}}(X \times_{\mathbb{R}} Y, L \boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}} L') \\ &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \operatorname{Tor}(H_p^{\text{BM}}(X, L), H_q^{\text{BM}}(Y, L')) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

(see 2.1.4 for the notation $L \boxtimes_{\mathbb{R}} L'$).

Proof. By [Del91, III 11.10] we are reduced to topological Borel-Moore homology ([Bre97, V.3]). Since $(X \times_{\mathbb{R}} Y)(\mathbb{R}) \simeq X(\mathbb{R}) \times Y(\mathbb{R})$, the result then follows from [Bre97, V.14.1]: the *hlc* condition on $X(\mathbb{R})$ and $Y(\mathbb{R})$ is satisfied since both spaces are locally contractible. □

Remark 8.2.7. (1) The Tor-terms are non-zero in general, unless we work with rational coefficients. For example, for any pair of coprime integers (p, q) there exists a smooth \mathbb{R} -scheme whose set of \mathbb{R} -points is homeomorphic to the 3-dimensional lens space $L(p, q)$, and consequently its Borel-Moore homology, which agrees with singular homology since lens spaces are compact, has p -torsion.

(2) We expect the Künneth formula to hold over any real closed field, which we will investigate in a forthcoming work. However, when the base field k is not real closed, the situation is a priori more complicated as the real scheme of a fiber product over k is not necessarily the Cartesian product, and one also needs to take care of the geometric components.

(3) Since the complex $\underline{G}(X_r, K)$ is a complex of acyclic sheaves, the hypercohomology groups $H_n^{\text{BM}}(X, L)$ can be computed as the cohomology groups of the complex of global sections. By [DFJK21, Theorem 8.10] we know, after inverting 2, that the groups $H_n^{\text{BM}}(X, L)$ agree with the twisted \mathbb{A}^1 -bivariant groups in the negative part of the \mathbb{A}^1 -derived category $D^{\mathbb{A}^1}$. In a forthcoming work we will study a link of these groups with Chow-Witt groups.

REFERENCES

- [Ana20] A. Ananyevskiy, *SL-oriented cohomology theories*, in *Motivic homotopy theory and refined enumerative geometry*, 1-19, Contemp. Math., **745**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020. [↑20](#), [↑21](#)
- [Ayo07] J. Ayoub, *Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique*, Astérisque No. **314-315** (2007). [↑21](#)
- [Bac18] T. Bachmann, *Motivic and real étale stable homotopy theory*, Compos. Math. **154** (2018), no. 5, 883-917. [↑3](#), [↑18](#), [↑19](#)
- [BGP⁺02] P. Balmer, S. Gille, I. Panin, C. Walter *The Gersten Conjecture for Witt Groups in the Equicharacteristic Case*, Documenta Math. **7** (2002) 203 -217. [↑11](#)
- [BW02] P. Balmer, C. Walter, *A Gersten-Witt spectral sequence for regular schemes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 1, 127-152. [↑8](#)
- [BO74] S. Bloch, A. Ogus, *Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 181-201 (1975). [↑22](#)
- [BD17] M. Bondarko, F. Déglise, *Dimensional homotopy t-structures in motivic homotopy theory*, Adv. Math. **311** (2017), 91-189. [↑32](#)
- [BM60] A. Borel, J. C. Moore, *Homology theory for locally compact spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **7** (1960) 137-159. [↑8](#), [↑33](#)
- [Bre97] G. Bredon, *Sheaf theory*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **170**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. [↑8](#), [↑33](#)
- [CD19] D.-C. Cisinski, F. Déglise, *Triangulated categories of motives*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2019. [↑18](#), [↑19](#), [↑24](#), [↑31](#)
- [Con00] B. Conrad, *Grothendieck duality and base change*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1750**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [Con07] B. Conrad, *Deligne's notes on Nagata compactifications*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **22** (2007), no. 3, 205-257. [↑19](#)
- [Dég18] F. Déglise, *Bivariant theories in motivic stable homotopy*, Doc. Math. **23**, 997-1076 (2018). [↑3](#)
- [DFJK21] F. Déglise, J. Fasel, F. Jin, A. Khan, *On the rational motivic homotopy category*, J. Ec. Polytech. Math. **8** (2021), 533-583. [↑8](#), [↑11](#), [↑22](#), [↑33](#)
- [DJK18] F. Déglise, F. Jin, A. Khan, *Fundamental classes in motivic homotopy theory*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **23** (2021), no. 12, 3935-3993. [↑3](#), [↑8](#), [↑21](#), [↑22](#), [↑26](#)
- [Del91] H. Delfs, *Homology of locally semialgebraic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1484**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. [↑8](#), [↑19](#), [↑33](#)
- [EP20] M. Edmundo, L. Prelli, *The six Grothendieck operations on o-minimal sheaves*, Math. Z. **294** (2020), no. 1-2, 109-160. [↑19](#)
- [EGA4] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie*, rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **32**, 1-361 (1967). [↑21](#)
- [Fas08] J. Fasel, *Groupes de Chow-Witt*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) No. 113 (2008).
- [FHM03] H. Fausk, P. Hu, J. P. May, *Isomorphisms between left and right adjoints*, Theory Appl. Categ. **11** (2003), No. 4, 107-131. [↑22](#)
- [FM81] W. Fulton, R. MacPherson, *Categorical framework for the study of singular spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1981), no. 243. [↑26](#)
- [Gil03] S. Gille, *A transfer morphism for Witt groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **564** (2003), 215-233.
- [Gil07] S. Gille, *A graded Gersten-Witt complex for schemes with a dualizing complex and the Chow group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007), no. 2, 391-419. [↑3](#), [↑5](#), [↑8](#), [↑10](#), [↑11](#), [↑12](#), [↑13](#), [↑18](#)
- [Har66] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966. [↑2](#), [↑3](#), [↑5](#), [↑6](#), [↑25](#)
- [Hoc69] M. Hochster, *Prime ideal structure in commutative rings*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **142**, 43-60 (1969). [↑2](#)
- [HWXZ21] J. Hornbostel, M. Wendt, H. Xie, M. Zibrowius, *The real cycle class map*, Ann. K-Theory **6** (2021), no. 2, 239-317. [↑2](#), [↑3](#), [↑4](#), [↑7](#), [↑17](#), [↑21](#), [↑32](#)
- [Iver86] B. Iversen *Cohomology of sheaves*, Universitext 413, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. [↑8](#), [↑33](#)
- [Jac17] J. Jacobson, *Real cohomology and the powers of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring*, Ann. K-Theory **2** (2017), no. 3, 357-385. [↑2](#), [↑7](#), [↑11](#), [↑14](#), [↑17](#), [↑18](#), [↑23](#)

- [Jac18] J. Jacobson, *Cohomological invariants for quadratic forms over local rings*, Math. Ann. **370** (2018), 309–329. [↑11](#)
- [Jin18] F. Jin, *Algebraic G-theory in motivic homotopy categories*, arXiv:1806.03927. [↑3](#)
- [Jin22] F. Jin, *On some finiteness results in real étale cohomology*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **54** (2022), no. 6, 1563–1582. [↑31](#)
- [LM07] M. Levine, F. Morel, *Algebraic Cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2007). [↑28](#)
- [LH09] J. Lipman, M. Hashimoto, *Foundations of Grothendieck duality for diagrams of schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1960. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. [↑5](#)
- [MH73] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller *Symmetric bilinear forms*, 1973, Springer. [↑10](#)
- [Mor12] F. Morel, \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2052. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. [↑4](#)
- [Plo19] J. Plowman, *The Chow-Witt group of a scheme with residual complex*, thesis at University of Warwick, 2019. [↑3](#), [↑11](#)
- [Rön12] O. Röndigs, *Theta characteristics and stable homotopy types of curves*, Q. J. Math. **61** (2010), no. 3, 351–362. [↑21](#)
- [Schar85] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **1985**, Springer. [↑15](#)
- [Sch94] C. Scheiderer, *Real and Étale cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1588**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. [↑2](#), [↑22](#), [↑25](#)
- [Sch95] C. Scheiderer, *Purity theorems for real spectra and applications*, in *Real analytic and algebraic geometry* (Trento, 1992), 229–250, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995. [↑3](#), [↑6](#), [↑8](#), [↑18](#), [↑22](#), [↑23](#)
- [Sch17] M. Schlichting *Hermitian K-theory, derived equivalence, Karoubi fundamental theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), no. 7, 1729–1844. [↑9](#)
- [Schmid] M. Schmid, *Witttrinomologie*, (1998) Thesis, available at <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/schmid.html> [↑7](#), [↑10](#)
- [SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J.-L. Verdier. *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4). Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 269, 270, 305. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972–1973. [↑24](#)
- [Spi99] M. Spivakovsky, *A New Proof of D. Popescu’s Theorem on Smoothing of Ring Homomorphisms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 2, 381–444. [↑22](#)
- [Stacks] *The Stacks project*, available at <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>, 2020.
- [Ver66] J.-L. Verdier, *Dualité dans la cohomologie des espaces localement compacts*, Sem. Bourbaki Exposé No. 300, 1966. [↑8](#)
- [Xie19] H. Xie, *Witt groups of smooth projective quadrics*, Adv. Math. **346** (2019), 70–123. [↑9](#)
- [Xie20] H. Xie, *A transfer morphism for Hermitian K-theory of schemes with involution*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **224** (2020), no. 4, 106215, 26 pp. [↑9](#), [↑13](#)

FANGZHOU JIN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TONGJI UNIVERSITY, SIPING ROAD 1239, 200092 SHANGHAI, P. R. CHINA

Email address: fangzhoujin@tongji.edu.cn

HENG XIE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, 510275 GUANGZHOU, P. R. CHINA

Email address: xieh59@math.sysu.edu.cn