q-POINCARÉ INEQUALITIES ON CARNOT GROUPS
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Abstract. In this paper we prove the q- Poincaré inequalities for probability measures on nilpotent Lie groups with filiform Lie algebra of any length which have a density with respect to the Haar measure given as a function of suitable homogeneous norm.
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1. INTRODUCTION

An extensive and interesting progress in Analysis on Groups was achieved during the last and the beginning of the present century, see e.g. [BLU07], [VSCC92], [Vi09] and references therein. Besides a wealth of beautiful results it provided us with new interesting and challenging mathematical problems. One set of the problems which so far resisted a thorough explorations is the area of coercive inequalities involving subgradient and probability measures on nilpotent Lie groups. In general context the classical Gaussian bounds on heat kernels were proven long time ago, (see [VSCC92] and references inside). More recent precise asymptotics were achieved in [Li06] in case of Heisenberg group which induced an interesting development on gradient bounds and applications, [BBBC08], [DM08].

Different versions of local Poincare inequalities on nilpotent groups were considered in [RS19]. In [HZ10] the authors formulated a general strategy (different from the one used in [RS19]) to study Poincaré, Log-Sobolev and other coercive inequalities for a class probability measures on nilpotent Lie groups which density with respect to the Haar measure was a function of the control distance. It was based on use of quadratic form bounds providing a lower bound for a Dirichlet form involving subgradient and a scalar potential given as function of the logarithm of the density of the probability measure. These quadratic form bounds
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are similar to the ones considered in Euclidian spaces by [Ros76] and [Ada79], except that in the context of nilpotent Lie groups their regularity conditions imposed on the measures in many interesting cases do not hold. In particular in [HZ10] a criterion was given to get the Poincaré inequality as an implication of the quadratic form bound with a scalar potential growing to infinity in all direction together with the following celebrated Poincaré inequality in the balls proven in the general setting of a nilpotent Lie group in [Jer86],

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( G \) be any nilpotent Lie group, and let \( r > 0, x \in G \). If \( B_r(x) = \{ y \in G : \delta(x, y) \leq r \} \) is the ball of radius \( r \) centered at \( x \), then for all \( p \in [1, \infty) \), there exists a constant \( P_0(r) = P_0(r, p) \) such that for all \( f \in C^\infty(B_r(x)) \)

\[
\int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f_{B_r(x)}|^p \, dy \leq P_0(r) \int_{B_r(x)} |\nabla_G f(y)|^p \, dy,
\]

where \( f_{B_r(x)} := \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) \, dy \), and \( dy \) denotes the Lebesgue measure.

In [HZ10] an application of this criterion was provided in case of Heisenberg group and probability measures dependent on the control distance. For such measures on the same group, using the Poincaré and the Sobolev-Stein inequality (involving subgradient and the Haar measure) also Log-Sobolev inequality was proven. Moreover it was shown there that replacing control distance by any smooth distance breaks down the last result.

On the other hand in [Ing10] it was shown that in case of Heisenberg group replacing control distance by the Kaplan norm still allows for Poincaré inequality. The difficulty with the case of Kaplan norm is that the quadratic form bounds do not provide a scalar potential growing to infinity in all directions and an additional idea is necessary to treat delicate region around the Z-axis where the sub-gradient of the Kaplan norm is small (unlike the subgradient of control distance which satisfies eikonal equation).

In this paper we provide the first example of other interesting groups where Poincaré inequality holds for some class of measures with density dependent on a suitable homogenous norm. Our class includes higher order groups corresponding to interesting filiform type Lie algebras. Recall that in such the groups we had nice development in controlling short and long time behaviour of a class of linear and nonlinear problems, see e.g. [He07], [Vi09], [KoZ16] and references therein, but here it is the first time we get a results on Poincaré inequality.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide a brief description of basics of analysis on groups of interest to us. In Section 3 we prove the \( q \)-Poincaré inequalities on the simplest but already difficult Engel group. Our strategy is based on the idea utilised in [Ing10]. The results of this section are generalised to nilpotent Lie groups with filiform Lie algebra of any length in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries on Carnot Groups

Carnot groups are special cases of Carnot-Caratheodory spaces associated with a system of vector fields. In particular they are geodesic metric spaces initially
introduced by Carathéodory in [Car09] as a mathematical model of thermodynamics.

The setting of Carnot groups has many similarities with the Euclidean case (such as the geodesic distance, the presence of dilations and translations and the fact that they can naturally be equipped with an invariant measure called the Haar measure). Carnot groups become, therefore, highly interesting in many mathematical contexts. In particular Carnot groups appear mostly in harmonic analysis, in the study of hypoelliptic differential operators (cf. [Ste93], [CDPT07]), as well as in the study of geometric measure theory (cf. [Pan82], [Pan89], [Jer86], [LD13], [CL14]).

As for their geometric consideration, let us note that Carnot groups (or more generally stratified Lie groups) appear naturally in sub-Riemannian geometry (also called “Carnot” geometry). Roughly speaking Carnot groups can be served as the analogous of sub-Riemannian manifolds of the Euclidean vector spaces for Riemannian manifolds. More accurately, the tangent space at a point of a sub-Riemannian manifold can naturally be identified with a structure of a Carnot group (cf. [Mit85], [BR96]). A direct approach to homogeneous Carnot groups can be found in [Ste81], [VSC92]; see also [HK00].

Carnot groups are naturally isomorphic to a homogeneous Lie group on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), i.e., Carnot groups can be realised as Lie groups with a global chart. Formally, they are defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( G = (\mathbb{R}^n, o) \) be a Lie group on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and let \( g \) be the Lie algebra of \( G \). Then, \( G \) is called a (stratified) **Carnot group** if \( g \) admits a vector space decomposition (stratification) of the form

\[
g = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j, \quad \text{such that} \quad [V_i, V_j] \subset V_{i+j}, \quad 1 \tag{2.1}
\]

where all but finitely many of the \( V_j \)'s are \( \{0\} \). If, additionally, the first stratum \( V_1 \) generates \( g \), then \( G \) is a **homogeneous Carnot group**.

As follows from the Definition 2.1 a Carnot (stratified) group is a homogeneous Carnot group if the first stratum generates its Lie algebra. However, the two notions are almost equivalent. In particular (up to isomorphism) Carnot and homogeneous Carnot groups provide equivalent notions (see [Section 2.2.3 BLU07]).

**Remark 2.2.** We note that when condition (2.1) is satisfied, then we say that the Lie algebra \( g \) is graded. In this case, the Lie algebra \( g \) (and the corresponding Lie group \( G \)) is naturally equipped with dilations. There are several stratifications of \( g \) each one giving rise to a dilation structure. For simplicity one can choose \( g = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j \), where each \( V_j \) consists of \( n_j \neq 0 \) elements of \( g \). If we write \( x \in G \) as

\[
x = (x^{(n_1)}, \ldots, x^{(n_m)}), \quad \text{where} \quad x^{(n_j)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j},
\]

\(1\)For \( V, W \) vector spaces we denote by \( [V, W] = \{[v, w] : v \in V, w \in W\} \).
then the mapping $\delta_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda > 0$ defined by
\[
\delta_\lambda(x) := (\lambda x^{(n_1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{j_0} x^{(n_{j_0})}),
\]
is an automorphism of $G$ for every $\lambda > 0$, as follows by [Section 3.1.2 [FR16]].

There are several equivalent definitions of a Lie algebra $g$. Next we provide a characterisation of $g$ in the spirit of Definition 2.3.

Recall that (the smooth) left-invariant vector field $X$ belongs to $g$ if and only if
\[
(XI)(\tau_\alpha(x)) = J_{\tau_\alpha}(x) \cdot (XI)(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in G, \tag{2.2}
\]
where $I$ stands for the identity map on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $J_{\tau_\alpha}(x)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix at the point $x$ of the left-translation map $\tau_\alpha(x) := \alpha \circ x$, for some $\alpha \in G$. If instead we choose $\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(x) := x \circ \alpha$ (the right-translation map), then the vector fields satisfying (2.2) for $\tau_\alpha = \tilde{\tau}_\alpha$ are the right-invariant vector fields in $g$.

The vector fields satisfying (2.2) for $\alpha = 0$, where 0 is the identity element of $G$, will be called the canonical left-invariant vector fields in $g$. The canonical right-invariant vector fields are defined accordingly.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $G$ be a homogeneous Carnot group, and let $g$ be the corresponding Lie algebra. If $X_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n_1$, are the canonical left (right) invariant vector fields that generate $g$, then the second order differential operator
\[
\Delta_G = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} X_j^2,
\]
is called the canonical left (right) invariant sub-Laplacian on $G$, while the vector valued operator
\[
\nabla_G = (X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}),
\]
is called the canonical left (right) invariant $G$-gradient.

Below we give the explicit formulas of the homogeneous Carnot group $G_n = (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \circ)$ of $n$-step and the corresponding Lie algebra $g_n$, as described in [Section 4,[BLU07]].

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Let us consider the Lie algebra $g_{n+1} = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n+1}\}$ with commutator relations
\[
[X_i, X_j] = 0, \quad 2 \leq i, j \leq n + 1
\]
\[
[X_1, X_j] = X_{j+1}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n
\]
\[
[X_1, X_{n+1}] = 0.
\]
Then $g_{n+1}$ is an $(n + 1)$-dimensional Lie algebra nilpotent of step $n$ that can be stratified as
\[
g_{n+1} = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus \text{span}\{X_3\} \oplus \text{span}\{X_4\} \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{span}\{X_{n+1}\}.
\]
Observe that $g_{n+1}$ is stratified, implying that $G_{n+1}$ is in particular a homogeneous Carnot group. It is a routine to prove that the following (canonical, left-invariant)
vector fields satisfy the given commutator relations.

$$X_1 = \partial_{x_1}, \quad X_j = \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \frac{x_1^{k-j}}{(k-j)!} \partial_{x_{k+1}}, \quad j = 2, \cdots, n+1.$$  

For $\lambda > 0$, the mapping

$$\delta_\lambda(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_{n+1}) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda^2 x_3, \cdots, \lambda^n x_{n+1}),$$  

is an automorphism of $G_{n+1}$. Finally we equip $G_{n+1} = (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \circ)$ with the composition law

$$x \circ y = \begin{pmatrix}
    x_1 + y_1 \\
    x_2 + y_2 \\
    x_3 + y_3 + y_2 x_1 \\
    x_4 + y_4 + y_3 x_1 + y_2 x_2^2 + y_1 x_2^2 \\
    x_5 + y_5 + y_4 x_1 + y_3 x_2^2 + y_2 x_3^2 + y_1 x_3^2 \\
    \vdots \\
    x_{n+1} + y_{n+1} + y_n x_1 + y_{n-1} x_2^2 + \cdots + y_3 x_{n-2}^2 + y_2 x_{n-1}^2 + y_1 x_{n-1}^2 
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

We note that ”being a Carnot group” is invariant under isomorphisms of Lie groups, see [Proposition 2.2.10 [BLU07]]. However, the same property does not hold for homogeneous Carnot groups, i.e., ”being a homogeneous Carnot group” is not invariant under isomorphisms of Lie groups. Additionally, homogeneous Carnot groups of the same step are not necessarily isomorphic. Indeed, in [BGR10] the authors study the heat kernel of two homogeneous Carnot groups of 3-step; the so-called Engel group $B_4 = (\mathbb{R}^4, \circ)$, and the so-called Cartan group $B_5 = (\mathbb{R}^5, \ast)$. The Engel group $B_4$ is $G_4$, while the Cartan group $B_5$ is not isomorphic to any $G_{n+1}$.

Finally, let us recall the notion of a homogeneous norm on a homogeneous Carnot group.

**Definition 2.4.** We call homogeneous norm on (the homogeneous Carnot group) $G$, every continuous $^2$ mapping $N : G \to [0, \infty)$ such that $N(x) > 0$ if and only if $x \neq 0$, and

$$N(\delta_\lambda(x)) = \lambda N(x), \quad \text{for every} \quad \lambda > 0, \quad x \in G.$$  

The existence of geodesics in the setting of a homogeneous Carnot group $G$ (or even on more general settings, see [HK00]) is well-known. Therefore, the Carnot-Carathéodory distance (related to the generators of $g$) $d$ is well-defined on $G \times G$ giving rise to the metric $d_0$ defined by

$$d_0(x) := d(x, 0), \quad x \in G,$$

where $d_0$ is a homogeneous norm on $G$ (see [Theorem 5.2.8 [BLU07]]), often simply denoted by $d$.

---

$^2$With respect to the Euclidean topology
3. q-Poincaré inequality on the Engel group

In this section we prove the $q$-Poincaré inequality in the setting of the Engel group $B_4$ equipped with a probability measure.

We start by defining a homogeneous (with respect to the dilations of the group) norm, denoted later on by $N$, on the Engel group $B_4$, and, subsequently, a probability measure with density $U = e^{-an^p}$, with $a > 0$, on $B_4$ satisfying a $q$-Poincaré inequality. In particular, we define:

$$N(x) = \left(\|x\|^3 + |x_4|\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where, for $x \in B_4$, we define $\|x\| := (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + |x_3|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The following Lemma describes the behaviour of the norm $N$ under the action of the operators $\nabla_{B_4}$ and $\Delta_{B_4}$, which is the canonical right-invariant $B_4$-gradient and canonical right-invariant sub-Laplacian on $B_4$, respectively.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $N$ be the norm on $B_4$ given in (3.1). Then, $N$ is smooth on $B_4' := B_4 \setminus \{x : x_3 = 0\} \cup \{x : x_4 = 0\}$, and, in particular, for $x \in B_4'$, $x \neq 0$, we have the estimates

$$|\nabla_{B_4} N(x)| \leq c_1 \frac{\|x\|^2}{N^2(x)}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\Delta_{B_4} N(x) \leq c_2 \frac{\|x\|}{N^2(x)}, \quad (3.3)$$

for some positive constants $c_1$ and $c_2$.

We note that the expression (3.3) should be realised in the sense of distributions since the operation $\Delta_{B_4}$ is not defined on the center of the group.

**Proof.** The canonical right-invariant vector fields as calculated in [Chat20] are given by

$$X_1 = \partial_{x_1} - x_2 \partial_{x_3} - x_3 \partial_{x_4}, \quad \text{and} \quad X_j = \partial_{x_j}, \ j = 2, 3, 4.$$  

Note also that

$$X_1(\|x\|^3 + |x_4|) = \frac{3}{2} \|x\| \left(2x_1 + x_2 sgn(x_3) - x_3 sgn(x_4)\right),$$

and that

$$X_2(\|x\|^3 + |x_4|) = 3\|x\| x_2.$$  

Hence for $x \in B_4'$, $x \neq 0$, we have

$$X_1 N(x) = \frac{1}{3N^2(x)} \left(\frac{3}{2} \|x\| \left(2x_1 - sgn(x_3)x_2 - sgn(x_4)x_3\right)\right), \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 N(x) = \frac{\|x\| x_2}{N^2(x)}.$$  

Therefore

$$|X_1 N(x)| \leq \frac{2\|x\|^2}{N^2(x)}, \quad \text{and} \quad |X_2 N(x)| \leq \frac{\|x\|^2}{N^2(x)},$$

implying that

$$|\nabla_{B_4} N(x) |^2 = (X_1 N(x))^2 + (X_2 N(x))^2 \leq \frac{5\|x\|^4}{N^4(x)}.$$
On the other hand $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}_4} N(x)$ can be estimated, on $\mathcal{B}_4'$, as

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{B}_4} N(x) = (X_1)^2 N(x) + (X_2)^2 N(x) = \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{3 \cdot 2^{-1} \|x\| (2x_1 - x_2 \text{sgn}(x_3)) - x_3 \text{sgn}(x_4))^2 + 2(\|x\| x_2)^2}{N^5(x)}$$

$$+ \frac{2 - 2 \|x\| - 1(2x_1 - x_2 \text{sgn}(x_3))^2 + 3x_2 \text{sgn}(x_4) + 2\|x\| + x_2^2 \|x\|^{-1}}{N^2(x)}$$

$$\leq \frac{7\|x\|}{N^2(x)},$$

which concludes the proof.

\[\square\]

Our choice of the norm $N$ allow us to equip the Engel group $\mathcal{B}_4$ with the probability measure

$$\nu_p(dx) := \frac{e^{-aN^p(x)}}{Z} dx,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

where $p \in (1, \infty)$, $a > 0$, $dx$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^4$, and $Z = \int e^{-aN^p(x)} dx$ is the normalisation constant. We have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** If $p \geq 3$, then the measure $\nu_p$ given by (3.4) satisfies the following $q$-Poincaré inequality, i.e., there exists a constant $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\nu_p[f - \nu_p f]^q \leq c_0 \nu_p |\nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} f|^q,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, for all functions $f$ such that the above inequality makes sense.

Before turning on to prove Theorem 3.2 one needs to proceed using techniques similar to the $U$-bound as developed in [HZ10]. In particular we make use of the following Lemma in which for $x \in \mathcal{B}_4$, we set $\|x\| = |x_2|$.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $p, q$ be as in Theorem 3.2. Then, for the probability measure $\nu_p$ as in (3.4), there exists constants $C, D \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\nu_p(f^q N^{p-3} \|f\|^3) \leq C\nu_p |\nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} f|^q + D\nu_p |f|^q,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

for any $f$ for which the right hand side is well defined.

**Proof.** We start by splitting the set $\mathcal{B}_4'$ as in Lemma 3.1 into its connected components $C_j$; that is we write $\mathcal{B}_4' = \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{J}} C_j$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is a finite set of indices.

Now, for some fixed $j \in \mathcal{J}$, we consider $f$ to be such that $0 \leq f \in C^\infty(C_j)$ and is compactly supported; for instance one can choose $f$ to be supported on the set

$$B_j = B_j(\bar{x}, r_j) := \{x \in C_j : d(\bar{x}, x) \leq r_j\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

for some $\bar{x} \in C_j$ and for some $r_j > 0$ such that $B_j(\bar{x}, r_j) \subset C_j$, where $d$ is the Carnot-Caratheodory metric.

Then, clearly, $fe^{-N^p}$ is a differentiable function on $C_j$, and an application of the Leibniz rule yields,

$$e^{-aN^p} (\nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} f) = \nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} (fe^{-aN^p}) + ap f N^{p-1} (\nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} N) e^{-aN^p},$$

\[3\]The Haar measure of a Cartan group $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{R}^n, o)$ coincides with the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. 

---

\[\square\]
so that, by taking the inner product of the above quantity with $\nabla_{B_4} f$ and integrating over $C_j$ with respect to $\nu_p$, one gets
\[
\frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} f(x) \cdot \nabla_{B_4} N(x) e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} f(x) \cdot \nabla_{B_4} (f(x)e^{-aNp(x)}) \, dx + \frac{ap}{Z} \int_{C_j} f(x) \frac{N^{p+1}(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \nabla_{B_4} (f(x)e^{-aNp(x)}) \, dx.
\]

An application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gives $\nabla_{B_4} N(x) \cdot \nabla_{B_4} f(x) \leq ||\nabla_{B_4} N(x)|| \cdot ||\nabla_{B_4} f(x)||$, so that by the above inequality one has
\[
\frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} ||\nabla_{B_4} N(x)|| \cdot ||\nabla_{B_4} f(x)|| e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx \geq \frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \cdot \nabla_{B_4} (f(x)e^{-aNp(x)}) \, dx + \frac{ap}{Z} \int_{C_j} f(x) \frac{N^{p+1}(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \nabla_{B_4} (f(x)e^{-aNp(x)}) \, dx.
\]

Notice that the first term of the right-hand side of (3.7) can be treated by using integration by parts as follows
\[
\frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \cdot \nabla_{B_4} (f(x)e^{-aNp(x)}) \, dx = -\frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} f(x) \nabla_{B_4} \cdot \left( \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \right) e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx,
\]
while, by Lemma 3.1, one can check that $||\nabla_{B_4} N||^2 \geq |X_1 N(x)|^2 \geq \frac{||x||^2 \, ||f||^2}{N^4}$, so that the combination of (3.7), (3.8) and (3.2) gives
\[
\frac{ap}{Z} \int_{C_j} f(x) \frac{N^{p-3}(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} (f(x)e^{-aNp(x)}) \, dx \leq \frac{c_1}{Z} \int_{C_j} |\nabla_{B_4} f(x)| e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx + \frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} f(x) \nabla_{B_4} \cdot \left( \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \right) e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx.
\]

Further calculations show that for any $x \in B_4'$ one has:
\[
\nabla_{B_4} \cdot \left( \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \right) = \frac{2N(x) ||\nabla_{B_4} N(x)||^2}{||x||^2} + \frac{N^2(x)}{||x||^2} \Delta_{B_4} N(x).
\]
as before, we have
\begin{align*}
- 2 \frac{N^2(x)}{\|x\|^3} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \cdot \nabla_{B_4} \|x\| & \leq 2c_1^2 \frac{\|x\|^2}{N^2(x)} + (c_2 + c) \frac{1}{\|x\|^3}, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
where we have used (3.2) and (3.3), and since \( \| \nabla_{B_4} \| \) is bounded by a constant, the inner product \( \frac{N^2(x)}{\|x\|^3} \nabla_{B_4} N(x) \cdot \nabla_{B_4} \|x\| \) has been estimated by
\[ |\nabla_{B_4} N(x)\| \nabla_{B_4} \|x\| \| \leq \frac{c}{\|x\|}, \quad \text{for some } c > 0, \]
by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (3.2). Hence (3.9) under the estimate (3.10) becomes
\[ a \nu_p(f \cdot N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c) \leq c_1 \nu_p(\| \nabla_{B_4} f \| I_c) + 2c_1^2 \nu_p \left( f \frac{\| \| \otimes N^3}{N^3} I_c \right) + (c_2 + c) \nu_p \left( f \frac{\| \| \otimes I_c} \right), \]
and after replacing \( f \) by \( f \cdot N \) the last yields:
\begin{align*}
& a \nu_p(f \cdot N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c) \\
& \leq c_1 \nu_p(\| \nabla_{B_4} f \| I_c) + c_1 \nu_p(f \| \nabla_{B_4} \| \otimes \| I_c \|) \\
& + 2c_1^2 \nu_p \left( f \frac{\| \| \otimes \| \otimes \| \otimes I_c} \right) + (c_2 + c) \nu_p \left( f \frac{\| \| \otimes I_c} \right) \\
& \\
& \leq c_1^2 \nu_p(\| \nabla_{B_4} f \| I_c) + c_1^2 \nu_p(f \cdot N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c), \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
for some new constants \( c_1', c_2' \) since \( |\nabla_{B_4} x_2| = |\nabla_{B_4} x_2| = 1 \), and \( \| \nabla_{B_4} \| \leq 1 \).

Now, replace \( f \) by \( f^q \), such that \( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1 \), where \( p \) is as in the statement, so that (3.11) becomes:
\begin{align*}
& a \nu_p(f^q N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c) \\
& \leq c_1 q \nu_p(\| \nabla_{B_4} f^q \| I_c) + c_1 q \nu_p(f \| \nabla_{B_4} \| \otimes \| I_c \|) + c_2 \nu_p(f^q \cdot N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c). \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}

Now, an application of Young’s inequality gives that, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) and for \( q, p \) as before, we have
\[ qab \leq \frac{1}{c_{q-1}} q^a + \frac{q}{p} \epsilon b^p, \quad a, b \geq 0, \]
so that, by choosing \( a = |\nabla_{B_4} f| \) and \( b = \| \| \otimes f^{q-1} \), we get
\[ q\| \| \otimes f^{q-1} |\nabla_{B_4} f| \leq \frac{1}{c_{q-1}} |\nabla_{B_4} f| + \frac{q}{p} \epsilon \| \| \otimes f^q, \]
whereas, since \( \| \| \otimes p \leq \| \| \otimes N^{p-3} \), using (3.12), we arrive at,
\[ (ap - c_1 q \epsilon) \nu_p(f^q N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c) \leq c_1' \nu_p(\| \nabla_{B_4} f^q \| I_c) + c_2 \nu_p(f^q \cdot N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c), \]
so that, by choosing \( \epsilon \) such that \( ap - c_1' q \epsilon > 0 \), we conclude that
\[ \nu_p(f^q N^{p-3}\| \| \otimes I_c) \leq C \nu_p(\| \nabla_{B_4} f^q \| I_c) + D \nu_p(f^q \cdot I_c), \]
Thus, by the inequality above, we have
\[ \leq \|W_1 X^q \| \cdot \|x\|^q e^{-a N p(x)} dx \]
and the proof of (3.5) for smooth, non-negative, compactly supported functions on \( C_j \) is completed.

Now, to handle non-negative, non-smooth functions on the same domain \( C_j \), one can use an approximation argument. In particular, for \( f \in W^{1,q}(C_j) \), where \( q \) is as in the hypothesis, there exists a sequence \( (f_n) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( f_n|_{C_j} \) satisfies (3.5), while also that \( f_n|_{C_j} \rightarrow f \) in \( W^{1,q}(C_j) \). Indeed, as in the Euclidean setting, one can choose \( f_n = \zeta_n(\rho_n \ast f) \), with \( \rho_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) being a regularizing sequence and \( \zeta_n \) being a suitable cut-off function, so that \( f_n := \zeta_n(\rho_n \ast f) \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) has compact support (the sets \( B_j \) as in (3.6) are compact with respect to the Euclidean topology, so we are allowed to use the standard approximation technique).

We claim that such \( (f_n) \) can approximate \( f \in W^{1,q}(C_j) \) as in the left and the right-hand side of inequality (3.5). Indeed, for the left hand side (3.5) we have, for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
\nu_p \left( |f - f_n|^q N^{p-3} \|x\|^3 \mathbb{I}_{C_j} \right) = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{C_j} |f(x) - f_n(x)|^q N(x)^{p-3} \|x\|^2 e^{-a N p(x)} \, dx \\
\leq C \int_{C_j} |f - f_n|^q \mathbb{I}_{C_j} \|L^1(dx) = C \|f - f_n\|_{L^q(dx)},
\]
where we used Hölder’s inequality together with \( \lim_{|x| \to \infty} N^{p-3}(x) \|x\|^3 e^{-a N p(x)} = 0 \).
Thus, by the inequality above, we have
\[
\nu_p(f_n^q N^{p-3} \|x\|^3 \mathbb{I}_{C_j}) \rightarrow 4 \nu_p(f^q N^{p-3} \|x\|^3 \mathbb{I}_{C_j}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.13)
\]

On the other hand, for the right-hand side of (3.5) we proceed as follows. First observe that for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) by Hölder’s inequality we have
\[
\| x_i (\partial_{x_j} f - \partial_{x_j} f_n) \|^2 (e^{-a N p}) \frac{2}{2} \mathbb{I}_{C_j} \| \frac{2}{2} L^2(dx) = \| (\partial_{x_j} f - \partial_{x_j} f_n) x_i^q e^{-a N p} \mathbb{I}_{C_j} \| L^1(dx) \leq C \| x_i (\partial_{x_j} f - \partial_{x_j} f_n) \| L^q(dx),
\]
for every \( 1 \leq i, j \leq 4 \), since \( \lim_{|x| \to \infty} x_i^q e^{-a N p(x)} = 0 \). Direct calculations show that
\[
\nu_p(|\nabla B_4(f_n - f)|^q \mathbb{I}_{C_j}) \leq \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^2 \|X_j(f_n - f)|^2 (e^{-a N p}) \frac{2}{2} \mathbb{I}_{C_j} \| \frac{2}{2} L^2(dx), \quad (3.15)
\]
so that since each \( X_k, k = 1, 2 \) is expressed as the sum of terms of the form \( x_i \partial_{x_j} \), inequalities (3.14) and (3.15) imply
\[
\nu_p(|\nabla B_4 f|^q \mathbb{I}_{C_j}) \rightarrow 4 \nu_p(|\nabla B_4 f|^q \mathbb{I}_{C_j}), \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.16)
\]
Finally, combining (3.13) with (3.16), one shows that (3.5) holds true for \( f \in W^{1,q}(C_j) \), \( f \geq 0 \).
To extend the domain of $f$ it is enough to observe that, since $\nu_p(\mathcal{B}_4) = \nu_p(\mathcal{B}'_4)$, we can write $f$ as $f = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} f|_{C_j} + f|_{\mathcal{B}_4 \setminus \mathcal{B}'_4}$.

Finally, to handle $f$ the arbitrary sign one can replace $f$ by $|f|$, and use the equality $\nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4}|f| = \text{sgn}(f)\nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4}f$. This completes the proof. \hfill $\Box$

We note that, the so called $U$-bounds mentioned above are exactly estimates of the form
\begin{equation}
\int |f|^q g(d) \, d\mu \leq A_q \int |\nabla f|^q \, d\mu + B_q \int |f|^q \, d\mu, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation}
where $\mu$ is a probability measure and $d$ is a homogeneous norm.

In Theorem 2.1 in [HZ10] the authors proved that on any finite dimensional space with probability measure which does not necessarily satisfy the doubling property, if $\mu$ satisfies (3.17) for any function $g(d)$ increasing to infinity when $d \to \infty$, with $\mu$ being absolutely continuous with respect to some measure $\lambda$ satisfying the $q$-Poincaré inequality on balls, then $\mu$ satisfies the $q$-$\nu_p$-Poincaré inequality, provided that for any $L > 0$ there exists some $R = R(L) \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\{d < L\} \subset B_R$.

The previous result, holding in the setting of a nilpotent Lie group $\mathbb{G}$, implies in particular that for a probability $\mu$ measure of form $d\mu = Z^{-1}e^{-U(d)} \, dx$, where $dx$ stands for the Lebesgue measure, satisfies the $q$-Poincaré inequality provided that $\mu$ satisfies (3.17). The fact that $q$-Poincaré holds on the balls with the measure $dx$ is due to D. Jerison; see Theorem 1.1. Specifically, in the case where $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{H}_n$, the Heisenberg group, and the measure $\mu$ is given by $d\mu = Z^{-1}e^{-ad^p} \, dx$, where $d$ denotes homogeneous norm associated with the Carnot-Carathéodory metric, we have that (3.17) is satisfied, and, therefore, also the $q$-Poincaré inequality (see Theorem 2.4 [HZ10]).

Notice that in the case of $\mathcal{B}_4$, Lemma 3.3 implies that it would be enough to have the inclusion $\{N^{p-3}\|\cdot\|^3 < L\} \subset B_R$ for some $R > 0$ to get the $q$-Poincaré inequality for our measure $\nu_p$. One can easily check that there is no such $R$, and one needs to proceed with a different method.

**Proof of Theorem 3.2:** First notice that
\begin{align*}
\nu_p|f - \nu_p f|^q &= \nu_p|(f - m) + (m - \nu_p f)|^q \\
&\leq \nu_p(|f - m| + |m - \nu_p f|)^q \\
&\leq \nu_p(|f - m| + \nu_p|f - m|)^q \quad \text{(since } |m - \nu_p f| \leq \nu_p|f - m|) \\
&\leq \nu_p(2^{q-1}\{|f - m|^q + (\nu_p|f - m|)^q\}) \\
&= 2^{q-1}\nu_p|f - m|^q + \nu_p|f - m|^q \\
&= 2^q\nu_p|f - m|^q, \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$. For $R > 0$ and $L > 1$,
\begin{align*}
\nu_p|f - m|^q &= \nu_p\left(|f - m|^q\mathbb{I}\{N^{p-3}\|\cdot\|^3_N \geq R\}\right) + \nu_p\left(|f - m|^q\mathbb{I}\{N^{p-3}\|\cdot\|^3_N \leq R\}\mathbb{I}\{N \leq L\}\right) \\
&\quad + \nu_p\left(|f - m|^q\mathbb{I}\{N^{p-3}\|\cdot\|^3_N \leq R\}\mathbb{I}\{N \geq L\}\right), \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
where each term of the above sum will be treated separately.
First term of (3.19): Using Lemma 3.3 we get
\[ \nu_p \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{ \|\|^{Np-3}_{L_p} } \right) \leq \frac{1}{R} \nu_p \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{ \|\|^{Np-3}_{L_p} } \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{C_1}{R} \nu_p |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q + \frac{D_1}{R} \nu_p |f - m|^q. \]  
(3.20)

Second term of (3.19):
Since all homogeneous (not necessarily symmetric) norms on a group \( G \) are equivalent, we know that there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that
\[ C^{-1} N(x) \leq d(x) \leq C N(x), \quad x \in B_4, \]
where \( d \) is the Carnot-Carathéodory distance and \( d(x) := d(x, 0) \). Thus, given \( L > 1 \), there exists \( L_1, L_2 \) such that
\[ \{ N \leq L \} := \{ x \in B_4 : N(x) \leq L_1 \} \subset B_{L_1} := \{ x \in B_4 : d(x) \leq L_1 \} \subset \{ N \leq L_2 \}. \]
Now, if \( f \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^4) \), arguing as in Lemma 3.3 one can show that there exists a sequence \( (f_n) \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4) \) such that \( f_n|_{B_{L_1}} \rightarrow f \) in \( W^{1,q}(B_{L_1}) \). Now, given \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), and setting
\[ m = \frac{1}{|B_{L_1}|} \int_{B_{L_1}} f_n(x) \, dx, \]
by using Theorem 1.1 one gets:
\[ \nu_p \left( |f_n - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{ \|\|^{Np-3}_{L_p} } \mathbb{1}_{ \{ N \leq L \}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\{ N \leq L \}} |f_n(x) - m|^q \, dx \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\{ d \leq L_1 \}} |f_n(x) - m|^q \, dx \]
\[ \leq \frac{P_0(L_1)}{Z} \int_{\{ d \leq L_1 \}} |\nabla_{B_4} f_n(x)|^q \, dx \]
\[ \leq \frac{P_0(L_1)}{Z} \int_{\{ N \leq L_2 \}} |\nabla_{B_4} f_n(x)|^q \, dx \]
so that letting \( n \rightarrow \infty \) we have,
\[ \nu_p \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{ \|\|^{Np-3}_{L_p} } \mathbb{1}_{ \{ N \leq L \}} \right) \leq \frac{P_0(L_1)}{Z} \int_{\{ N \leq L_2 \}} |\nabla_{B_4} f(x)|^q \, dx, \]  
(3.21)
where the left-hand side of the above inequality can be estimated by
\[ \int_{\{ N \leq L_2 \}} |\nabla_{B_4} f(x)|^q \, dx \leq e^{aL_2^p} \int_{\{ N \leq L_2 \}} |\nabla_{B_4} f(x)|^q e^{-aN^p(x)} \, dx \leq e^{aL_2^p} \nu_p |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q, \]  
(3.22)
so that combining (3.21) with (3.22) we have
\[ \nu_p \left( |f_n - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{ \|\|^{Np-3}_{L_p} } \mathbb{1}_{ \{ N \leq L \}} \right) \leq \frac{P_0(L_1)}{Z} e^{aL_2^p} \nu_p |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q \]  
(3.23)

Third term of (3.19): Set \( \overline{f} = f - m \) and define the set
\[ A_{L,R} := \{ x \in B_4 : \|x\|^3 \leq R, N(x) \geq L \}. \]
Since $L > 1$, we have
\[ \{ x \in \mathcal{B}_4 : \| x \|_{N^{p-3}} \leq R, N(x) \geq L \} \subset A_{L,R}. \]
Thus,
\[ \nu_p \left( |f - m|^q \mathbf{1}_{\| x \|_{N^{p-3}} \leq R} \mathbf{1}_{N \geq L} \right) \leq \int_{A_{L,R}} \| f(x) \|_{N^{p-3}}^q d \nu_p(x) \]
\[ = \int_{A'_{L,R}} \| f(h \circ x) \|_{N^{p-3}}^q d \nu_p(h \circ x), \]
for some $h \in \mathcal{B}_4$, where we have used the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure, and the set $A'_{L,R}$ is now given by
\[ A'_{L,R} = \{ h \circ x \in \mathcal{B}_4 : x \in A_{L,R} \}. \]
Hence, by choosing $h = (0, 2\sqrt{R}, 0, 0)$, we one can check that on $A'_{L,R}$ we have $N(h \circ x) \geq N(x) \geq L$ and $\| h \circ x \| \geq |2\sqrt{R} - |x_2| \geq \sqrt{R}$. Then by using Lemma 3.3 we get
\[ \int_{A'_{L,R}} \| f(h \circ x) \|_{N^{p-3}}^q d \nu_p(h \circ x) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{RL^{p-3}} \int_{A'_{L,R}} \| f(h \circ x) \|_{N^{p-3}}^q \| h \circ x \|_{N^{p-3}}^q d \nu_p(h \circ x) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{RL^{p-3}} \nu_p \left( |f|_{L^q} \| f \|_{L^{p-3}} \| f - m |_{L^q} \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{C_2}{RL^{p-3}} \nu_p \| \nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} f \|_{L^q} + \frac{D_2}{RL^{p-3}} \nu_p |f - m |_{L^q}, \]
(3.24)
for some constants $C_2, D_2$. This completes the proof of the estimate for the third term of (3.19). Inserting the estimates (3.20), (3.23) and (3.24) into (3.19), we arrive at
\[ \nu_p |f - m |_{L^q} \leq \left( \frac{C_1}{R} + P_0(L_1) e^{-L_2} + \frac{C_2}{RL^{p-3}} \right) \nu_p \| \nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} f \|_{L^q} + \left( \frac{D_1}{R} + \frac{D_2}{RL^{p-3}} \right) \nu_p |f - m |_{L^q}, \]
where $R, L$ can be taken large enough so that $\frac{D_1}{R} + \frac{D_2}{RL^{p-3}} < 1$. Upon rearrangement the last inequality used together with (3.18) proves Theorem 3.2. \hfill \Box

Using Lemma 3.3 and a perturbation technique one can obtain the following generalisation.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $d \nu_w = \tilde{Z}^{-1} e^{-W} d \nu_p$ be a probability measure with a differentiable potential satisfying
\[ |\nabla_{\mathcal{B}_4} W |_{L^q} \leq \delta N^{p-3} \| x \|_{N^{p-3}}^3 + \gamma \delta \]
(3.25)
for some $\delta \ll 1$ and $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$. Then the measure $\nu_w$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 3.3 for $p \geq 3$ and $q$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If moreover, there exists $\bar{C} > 0$ such that $W \leq \bar{C} N$, then $\nu_w$ satisfies the $q$-Poincaré inequality.
Proof. To prove the first part of Corollary 3.4 we proceed by substituting \( f e^{-\frac{W}{q}} \) in the inequality (3.5) and get

\[
\nu_p \left( e^{-W} |f|^q N^{p-3} \| \cdot \|^3 \right) \leq C \nu_p \left( |\nabla_{B_4} (e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f)|^q \right) + D \nu_p \left( |e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f|^q \right), \tag{3.26}
\]

where

\[
|\nabla_{B_4} (e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f)|^q = \left( \left| \left( \nabla_{B_4} e^{-\frac{W}{q}} \right) |f| + e^{-\frac{W}{q}} \nabla_{B_4} |f| \right|^q \right) \leq \left( \frac{|||\nabla_{B_4} W|||}{q} |e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f| + e^{-\frac{W}{q}} |\nabla_{B_4} f| \right)^q \leq C(q) \left( |||\nabla_{B_4} W||| e^{-W} |f|^q + e^{-W} |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q \right). \tag{3.27}
\]

Substituting (3.27) in (3.26) and using (3.25) we get

\[
\nu_w \left( f^q N^{p-3} \| \cdot \|^3 \right) \leq CC(q) \nu_w (|||\nabla_{B_4} W||| f^q) + CC(q) \nu_w |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q + D \nu_w |f|^q \leq \delta CC(q) \nu_w (N^{p-3} \| \cdot \|^3 f^q) + \gamma_\delta CC(q) \nu_w |f|^q + CC(q) \nu_w |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q + D \nu_w |f|^q,
\]

and this proves our first claim provided that \( 1 - \delta CC(q) > 0 \).

Now to prove the \( q \)-Poincaré inequality for the measure \( \nu_w \) we decompose \( \nu_w |f - m|^q \) as in (3.19), i.e., we write

\[
\nu_w |f - m|^q = \nu_w \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{ \| \cdot \|^3 N^{p-3} \leq R \}} \right) + \nu_w \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{ \| \cdot \|^3 N^{p-3} \geq R \}} \mathbb{1}_{\{ N \leq L \}} \right) + \nu_w \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{ \| \cdot \|^3 N^{p-3} \leq R \}} \mathbb{1}_{\{ N \geq L \}} \right), \tag{3.28}
\]

for some \( R > 0 \) and \( L > 1 \). Notice that for the first and third terms of (3.28) one can proceed as in Theorem 3.2. Now, for the second term of (3.28), arguing as in Theorem 3.2 (3.21), we get

\[
\nu_w (|f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{ \| \cdot \|^3 N^{p-3} \leq R \}}) \mathbb{1}_{\{ N \leq L \}}) \leq \frac{P_0(L_1)}{Z} \int_{N \leq L_2} |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q dx \leq \frac{P_0(L_1)}{Z} e^{a L_2^p + \tilde{C} L_2} \nu_w |\nabla_{B_4} f|^q,
\]

since \( W \leq \tilde{C} N \leq \tilde{C} L_2 \) in \( \{ N \leq L_2 \} \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 3.5. We note the the \( q \)-Poincaré inequality in the setting of \( B_4 \), as well as the statement of Corollary 3.4, will still hold true if one chooses to consider the (canonical) left-invariant sub-gradient on the group instead of the right-invariant sub-gradient. This follows from Theorem 4.2, that applies on the general setting of Carnot groups, and in the particular case \( n = 3 \).

4. \( q \)-Poincaré Inequality on Carnot Groups of \( n \)-step

In this section we proof the \( q \)-Poincaré inequality in the general setting of a Carnot group \( G_{n+1} \) of \( n \)-step, where \( n \geq 3 \), as described in Section 2. The followed strategy relies on the one developed for the Engel group \( B_4 \).
We start by generalising the homogeneous norm $N$ on $B_4$ in the $G_{n+1}$-setting. Indeed the function

$$\tilde{N}(x) := (\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\|x\|^n := \sum_{j=2}^{n} (|x_1|^\frac{n+1}{2} + |x_2|^\frac{n+1}{2} + |x_j|^\frac{n+1}{2(2j-1)})^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}$ defines a homogeneous norm on $G_{n+1}$.

Note that, in what follows, since the changes of the constants of the form $C_n$ that occur when additional terms appear do not play an essential role for our final result, for the reader’s convenience we shall simply denote them $C_n$ at any appearance. Formally, one can initially choose $C_n$’s to be large enough so that they can “absorb” any additional terms that might appear.

Recall that the generators of the lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{n+1}$ are of the form

$$X_1 = \partial_{x_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 = \partial_{x_2} + x_1 \partial_{x_3} + \frac{x_2^2}{2!} \partial_{x_4} + \cdots + \frac{x_1^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \partial_{x_{n+1}}.$$

The following Lemma describes the behaviour of the norm $\tilde{N}$ under the action of the canonical left-invariant operators $\nabla_{G_{n+1}}$ and $\Delta_{G_{n+1}}$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $\tilde{N}$ be the norm in (4.1) defined on a homogeneous Carnot group $G_{n+1}$, $n \geq 3$. Then, $\tilde{N}$ is smooth on $G'_{n+1} := G_{n+1} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} \{ x : x_j = 0 \}$, and, in particular, for $x \in G'_{n+1}$ we have the estimates

$$|\nabla_{G_{n+1}} \tilde{N}(x)| \leq C_1^n \frac{\|x\|^{n-1}}{N^{n-1}(x)}, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\Delta_{G_{n+1}} \tilde{N}(x) \leq C_2^n \frac{\|x\|^{n-2}}{\tilde{N}(x)^{n-1}}, \quad (4.3)$$

for some constants $C_1^n, C_2^n > 0$.

**Proof.** For $x \in G'_{n+1}, x \neq 0$, we have

$$X_1(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|) = \text{sgn}(x_1) n |x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \sum_{j=2}^{n} (|x_1|^\frac{n+1}{2} + |x_2|^\frac{n+1}{2} + |x_j|^\frac{n+1}{2(2j-1)})^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} - 1,$$

and

$$X_2(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{sgn}(x_j) \frac{x_j^{j-2}}{(j-1)!} (1 + \delta(j-2)) |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(2j-1)}} \frac{2n}{n+1} - 1 \times (|x_1|^\frac{n+1}{2} + |x_2|^\frac{n+1}{2} + |x_j|^\frac{n+1}{2(2j-1)})^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} + \frac{x_1^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \text{sgn}(x_{n+1}),$$

with $\delta(j-2) = 1$ for $j = 2$ and $0$ otherwise.
where $\delta(j - 2) = 1$ if $j - 2 = 0$ and $\delta(j - 2) = 0$ otherwise. By using the previous computations we get

$$X_1\tilde{N}(x) = \frac{1}{n\tilde{N}^{n-1}}X_1(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|)$$

$$= \frac{\text{sgn}(x_1)x_1^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=2}^{n} (|x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(j-1)}}) \frac{2n}{n+1} - 1}{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}, \quad (4.4)$$

and, similarly,

$$X_2\tilde{N}(x) = \frac{1}{n\tilde{N}^{n-1}}X_2(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|)$$

$$= \frac{\text{sgn}(x_1)x_1^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{j=2}^{n} (|x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(j-1)}}) \frac{2n}{n+1} - 1}{n\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}$$

$$\times \frac{\text{sgn}(x_j)(1 + \delta(j - 2))x_j^{\frac{n-2}{2((j-1)^2)}} |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(j-1)}} - 1}{n\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)} + \frac{\frac{2n}{n+1} - 1 n\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{n\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)} \text{sgn}(x_{n+1}).$$

Therefore we have

$$|X_1\tilde{N}(x)| \leq C_1 \frac{\|x\|^{n-1}}{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)},$$

and

$$|X_2\tilde{N}(x)| \leq C_1 \frac{\|x\|^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^{n} (|x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(j-1)}}) \frac{2n}{n+1} - 1}{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)} \leq C_1 \frac{\|x\|^{n-1}}{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)},$$

since $|x_1|, |x_j|^{\frac{j-1}{j+1}} \leq \|x\|$ and $|x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(j-1)}} \leq \|x\|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, which concludes the proof of (4.2).

As regards the proof of (4.3), we first observe that

$$X_1^2\tilde{N}(x) = \frac{c_1 \text{sgn}(x_1)|x_1|^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \sum_{j=2}^{n} (|x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(j-1)}}) \frac{2n}{n+1} - 1}{\tilde{N}(x)^{n-1}}$$

$$+ \frac{c_2 \text{sgn}(x_1)|x_1|^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^{n} (|x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_j|^{\frac{n+1}{2(j-1)}}) \frac{2n}{n+1} - 2}{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}$$

$$= \frac{n - 1 (X_1(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|))^2}{n \tilde{N}(x)^{2n-1}},$$
where \( c_n^1, c_n^2 \) are constants depending on \( n \), and that

\[
X_2^2 N(x) = \sum_{j=2}^n c_n^1 sgn(x_j) x_1^{2(j-1)} |x_j|^{\alpha_j+2} |x_1|^{\alpha_j+2} + |x_2|^{\alpha_j+2} + |x_j|^{\alpha_j+2} N(x)^{n-1} \\
+ \sum_{j=2}^n c_n^2 sgn(x_j) x_1^{2(j-1)} |x_j|^{\alpha_j+2} |x_1|^{\alpha_j+2} + |x_2|^{\alpha_j+2} + |x_j|^{\alpha_j+2} N(x)^{n-1} \\
- \frac{n-1}{n} (X_2(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|))^2 \\
N(x)^{2n-1} = \frac{n}{n} - \frac{n-1}{N(x)^{2n-1}},
\]

where \( c_n^1, c_n^2 \) are constants depending on \( n \) and \( j \).

Therefore, for all \( x \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1} \), we have

\[
\Delta_{G_{n+1}} N(x) \leq C_n^2 \frac{\|x\|^{n-2}}{N^{-1}(x)} - \frac{n-1}{N(x)^{2n-1}} (X_1(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|))^2 + (X_2(\|x\|^n + |x_{n+1}|))^2 \\
\leq C_n^2 \frac{\|x\|^{n-2}}{N^{-1}(x)},
\]

which concludes the proof of (4.3).

Any Carnot group \( \mathbb{G}_{n+1} \), \( n \geq 3 \), of \( n \)-step can be equipped with the following probability measure

\[
\mu_p(dx) := e^{-a\tilde{N}^p(x)} Z dx,
\]

where \( p \in (1, \infty) \), \( a > 0 \), \( dx \) is the Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), and \( Z = \int e^{-a\tilde{N}^p(x)} dx \) is the normalisation constant.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( \mathbb{G}_{n+1}, n \geq 3 \) be a Carnot group of \( n \)-step. If \( p \geq n \), then the measure \( \mu_p \) as in (4.5) satisfies a \( q \)-Poincaré inequality, i.e., there exists a constant \( c_0 \) such that

\[
\mu_p[f - \mu_p f]^q \leq c_0 \mu_p |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f|^q,
\]

where \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \), for all functions \( f \) such that the above inequality makes sense.

For the proof of Theorem 4.2, one needs, as for the case of the Engel group \( \mathcal{B}_4 \), a result of the following form.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( \mathbb{G}_{n+1}, n \geq 3 \) be a Carnot group of \( n \)-step, and let \( p, q \) be as in Theorem 4.2. Then, for the probability measure \( \mu_p \) as in (4.5), there exists positive constants \( C, D \) such that

\[
\mu_p(f^q \tilde{N}^{p-n} |||\cdot|||) \leq C \mu_p |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f|^q + D \mu_p |f|^q,
\]

for any suitable \( f \), where for \( x \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1} \), we define \( |||x||| := |x_1| \).

Bellow we give the proof of Lemma 4.3 omitting some details that are similar to the proof of the corresponding Lemma in the setting of \( \mathcal{B}_4 \) (see Lemma 3.3).
Proof. We write $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}' = \cup_{j \in J} C_j$, where $C_j$ are the connected components of $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}'$. We then fix $j \in J$, and consider $f \in C^\infty(C_j)$, $f \geq 0$. An application of the Leibniz rule gives

$$e^{-aNp} (\nabla G_{n+1} f) = \nabla G_{n+1} (f e^{-aNp}) + apf N^{p-1} (\nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}) e^{-aNp},$$

so that by taking the inner product of the above quantity with $\nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}$, and integrating over $C_j$ with respect to $\mu_p$, one gets

$$\int_{C_j} \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^{n-1}} \nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}(x) \cdot \nabla G_{n+1} f(x) e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx = \int_{C_j} \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^{n-1}} \nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}(x) \cdot \nabla G_{n+1} \left( f(x) e^{-aNp(x)} \right) \, dx + ap \int_{C_j} f(x) \frac{\tilde{N}^{p+n-2}(x)}{\|x\|^{n-1}} \left| \nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N} \right|^2 e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx. \tag{4.8}$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality on the inner product $\nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}(x) \cdot \nabla G_{n+1} f(x)$ on the left-hand side of (4.8), and integrating by parts on the first term of the right-hand side of (4.8), we get

$$apC_n^3 \int_{C_j} f(x) \tilde{N}^{p-n}(x) \|x\|^{n-1} e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx \tag{4.9}$$

$$\leq C_n^4 \int_{C_j} |\nabla G_{n+1} f(x)| e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx + \int_{C_j} f(x) \nabla G_{n+1} \cdot \left( \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^{n-1}} \nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}(x) \right) e^{-aNp(x)} \, dx. \tag{4.10}$$

where we applied (4.2) and the following lower bound derived from (4.4)

$$|\nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}(x)| > |X_1 \tilde{N}(x)| \geq C_n^4 \|x\|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \|x\|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} N^{n-1}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since

$$|X_1\| \leq C_n^3 \left( \sum_{j=2}^n |x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2j-1}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2j-1}} + |x_j|^{n+1} |2(j-1)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} - 1 \leq C_n^4, \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$|X_2\| \leq C_n^4 \sum_{j=2}^n \left( |x_1|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_2|^{\frac{n+1}{2}} + |x_j|^{n+1} |2(j-1)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} - 1 \leq C_n^4, \tag{4.12}$$

we have, by using (4.2) and (4.3), that

$$\nabla G_{n+1} \cdot \left( \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^{n-1}} \nabla G_{n+1} \tilde{N}(x) \right).$$
\( \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^{n-1}} \Delta_{G_{n+1}} \tilde{N}(x) + (n - 1) \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-2}(x)}{\|x\|^{n-1}} |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} \tilde{N}(x)|^2 \)

\[ + (1 - n) \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^n} \nabla_{G_{n+1}} \tilde{N}(x) \cdot \nabla_{G_{n+1}} \|x\| \]

\[ \leq C_n \left( \frac{\|x\|^{n-1}}{\tilde{N}(x)^n} + \frac{1}{\|x\|} \right), \quad (4.13) \]

where in the previous step we used the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality as follows:

\[ (1 - n) \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^n} \nabla_{G_{n+1}} \tilde{N}(x) \cdot \nabla_{G_{n+1}} \|x\| \leq (n - 1) \frac{\tilde{N}^{n-1}(x)}{\|x\|^n} |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} \tilde{N}(x)||\nabla_{G_{n+1}} \|x\||. \]

Hence, by (4.13), estimate (4.9) becomes

\[ apC_n^3 \mu_p(f \tilde{N}^{p-n}) \|n^{-1}| \|_{C_j} \leq C_n \mu_p(\|\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f \|_{1} C_j) \]

\[ + C_n \mu_p \left( f \left( \frac{\|n^{-1}}{\tilde{N}^n} + \frac{1}{\|x\|} \right) \|_{1} C_j \right), \]

and, after replacing \( f \) with \( f \| \cdot \| \), and by using (4.11), (4.12) and \( \| \cdot \| \geq \| \cdot || \), we obtain

\[ apC_n^3 \mu_p(f \tilde{N}^{p-n}) \|n^{-1}| \|_{C_j} \leq C_n \mu_p(\|\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f \|_{1} C_j) + C_n \mu_p(f \|_{1} C_j). \quad (4.14) \]

Finally, if one replaces \( f \) with \( f^q \), where \( q \) is the conjugate exponent of \( p \), then (4.14) together with an application of Young’s inequality allow us to estimate further as

\[ \left( apC_n^3 - C_n \frac{q}{p} \right) \mu_p(f^q \tilde{N}^{p-n}) \|n^{-1}| \|_{C_j} \leq \frac{C_n}{\epsilon q - 1} \mu_p(\|\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f \|_{q} C_j) + C_n \mu_p(f \|_{1} C_j), \]

where the last inequality holds true for every \( \epsilon > 0 \). Therefore, after a suitable choice of \( \epsilon \), (4.7) holds true for smooth, non-negative \( f \) with a compact support lying in some \( C_j \), with

\[ C = \frac{C_n}{\epsilon q - 1}, \text{ and } D = \frac{C_n}{C_n p - C_n ^{\frac{q}{p}} \epsilon}. \]

An approximation argument allows (4.7) to be valid for any suitable \( f \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

For the proof of Theorem 4.2 one argue similarly as in Theorem 3.2 with suitable necessary modifications.

Proof of Theorem 4.2: Since \( \mu_p|f - \mu_p f|^q \leq 2^q \mu_p|f - m|^q \), for any \( m > 0 \), it is enough to to prove an upper bound of the form (4.6) for each term of the below decomposition

\[ \mu_p|f - m|^q = \mu_p \left( |f - m|^q \|_{1} \tilde{N}^{p-n} \geq R \right) + \mu_p \left( |f - m|^q \|_{1} \tilde{N}^{p-n} \leq R \|_{\tilde{N} \leq L} \right) \]

\[ + \mu_p \left( |f - m|^q \|_{1} \tilde{N}^{p-n} \leq R \|_{\tilde{N} \geq L} \right), \quad (4.15) \]

for some \( R > 0, L > 1 \). The first and second terms of (4.15) can be treated by simply adapting the strategy followed in Theorem 3.2 in the general setting.
considered here. For the third term of (4.15) one proceeds as follows. 
Set $\overline{f} = f - m$ and define the set 
\[ A_{L,R} := \{ x \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1} : \|x\|_n^p \leq R, \tilde{N}(x) \geq L \}. \]

Then, since 
\[ \{ x \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1} : \|x\|_n^p \tilde{N}^{p-n}(x) \leq R, \tilde{N}(x) \geq L \} \subset A_{L,R}, \]
we can estimate as 
\[
\mu_p \left( \overline{f}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x\|_n^p \tilde{N}^{p-n} \leq R\}} \mathbb{1}_{(N \geq L)} \right) \leq \int_{A_{L,R}} |\overline{f}(x)|^q d\mu_p(dx) = \int_{A'_{L,R}} |\overline{f}(x \circ h)|^q d\mu_p(x \circ h),
\]
for some $h \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$, and the set $A'_{L,R}$ is given by 
\[ A'_{L,R} = \{ x \circ h \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1} : x \in A_{L,R} \}. \]

Now, by choosing $h = (2R^\frac{1}{p}, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ so that $\tilde{N}(x \circ h) \geq \tilde{N}(x) \geq L$, and $\|x \circ h\|_n \geq R^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we get 
\[
\int_{A'_{L,R}} |\overline{f}(x \circ h)|^q d\mu_p(x \circ h) 
\leq \frac{1}{RL^{p-n}} \int_{A_{L,R}} |\overline{f}(x \circ h)|^q \cdot \|x \circ h\|_n^p \tilde{N}^{p-n}(x \circ h) d\mu_p(x \circ h) 
\leq \frac{C}{RL^{p-n}} \mu_p|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}} f|^q + \frac{D}{RL^{p-n}} \mu_p |f - m|^q, \tag{4.16}
\]
where we have applied Lemma 4.3. Inequality (4.16), as well as the other terms of (4.15), should now be handled as in the case of $B_4$ (see Theorem 3.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

As in the case of the Engel group $B_4$, one can extend the family of measures satisfying (4.6) in our general setting by using a perturbation technique of [HZ10].

**Corollary 4.4.** Let $d\mu_w = \tilde{Z}^{-1} e^{-W} d\mu_p$ be a probability measure with a differentiable potential satisfying 
\[ |\nabla_{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}} W|^q \leq \delta \tilde{N}^{p-n} \|\cdot\|_n + \gamma \delta \] 
for some $\delta \ll 1$, and $\gamma_\delta \in (0, \infty)$. Then the measure $\mu_w$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 4.3 for $p \geq n$ and $q$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If moreover, there exists $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that $W \leq \tilde{C} \tilde{N}$ then $\mu_w$ satisfies the $q$-Poincaré inequality.

**Proof.** To prove the first part of Corollary 4.4 we proceed by plugging the function $f e^{-\frac{W}{q}}$ in the inequality (4.7) to get 
\[
\mu_p \left( e^{-W} |f|^q \tilde{N}^{p-n} \|\cdot\|_n^p \right) \leq C\mu_p \left( |\nabla_{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}} (e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f)|^q \right) + D\mu_p \left( |e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f|^q \right), \tag{4.18}
\]
where
\[ \left| e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f \right|^q = \left( \left( e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f \right)^q + e^{-\frac{W}{q}} |f|^q \right)^q \leq \left( \left( W^q \right) e^{-\frac{W}{q}} f + e^{-\frac{W}{q}} |f|^q \right)^q \leq C(q) \left( |f|^q + e^{-W} |f|^q \right). \tag{4.19} \]

Inserting (4.19) into (4.18) and using (4.17) we arrive at
\[
\mu_w \left( f^q \tilde{N}^p \right) \leq CC(q) \mu_w \left( |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f|^q \right) + CC(q) \mu_w |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f|^q + D \mu_w |f|^q
\]
\[
\leq \delta CC(q) \mu_w (\tilde{N}^p - \|\cdot\|^n |f|^q) + \gamma \delta CC(q) \mu_w |f|^q
\]
\[
+ CC(q) \mu_w |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f|^q + D \mu_w |f|^q,
\]
and this proves our first claim provided that $1 - \delta CC(q) > 0$.

Now to prove the $q$-Poincaré for the generalised measure, we decompose $\mu_w |f - m|^q$ as in (4.15), i.e., we write
\[
\mu_w |f - m|^q = \mu_w \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{N}^p - \|\cdot\|^n \geq R\}} \right) + \mu_w \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{N}^p - \|\cdot\|^n \leq R\}} \right)
\]
\[
+ \mu_w \left( |f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{N}^p - \|\cdot\|^n \leq R\}} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{N} \leq L\}}, \tag{4.20}
\]
for some $R > 0$ and $L > 1$. Notice that for the first and third terms of (4.20) one can proceed as in Theorem 4.2. Now, for the second term of (4.20) arguing as in Theorem 3.2 we conclude that
\[
\mu_w (|f - m|^q \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{N}^p - \|\cdot\|^n \leq R\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{N} \leq L\}}) \leq \frac{\mu_0 (L_1)}{Z} \int_{\tilde{N} \leq L_2} |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f|^q \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\mu_0 (L_1)}{Z} e^{a L_2 + \bar{C} L_2} \mu_w |\nabla_{G_{n+1}} f|^q,
\]
since $W \leq \tilde{C} \tilde{N} \leq \bar{C} L_2$ in $\{\tilde{N} \leq L_2\}$. This finally shows the second part of Corollary 4.4 and completes the proof. \(\Box\)
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