

On the Lattice Potential KP Equation

Cewen Cao¹, Xiaoxue Xu¹, Da-jun Zhang^{2*}

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou Henan 450001, P.R. China

²Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R. China

E-mail: cwcao@zzu.edu.cn, xiaoxuexu@zzu.edu.cn, djzhang@staff.shu.edu.cn

February 23, 2022

Abstract

The paper presents an approach to derive finite genus solutions to the lattice potential Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (lpKP) equation introduced by F.W. Nijhoff, et al. This equation is rederived from compatible conditions of three replicas of the discrete ZS-AKNS spectral problem, which is a Darboux transformation of the continuous ZS-AKNS spectral problem. With the help of these links and by means of the so called nonlinearization technique and Liouville platform, finite genus solutions of the lpKP equation are derived. Semi-discrete potential KP equations with one and two discrete arguments, respectively, are also discussed.

Keywords: lattice potential Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, finite genus solutions, non-linearization, ZS-AKNS spectral problem, Liouville platform

PACS: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Jr, 05.45.Yv

1 Introduction

Discrete integrable systems and the problem of integrable discretization of given soliton equations have attracted more and more attention in recent years [15, 16, 25]. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the lattice potential Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (lpKP) equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)}^{\text{lpKP}} &\equiv (\beta_1 - \tilde{W})(\beta_2 - \beta_3 + \hat{W} - \bar{\bar{W}}) + (\beta_2 - \bar{W})(\beta_3 - \beta_1 + \tilde{\tilde{W}} - \hat{\hat{W}}) \\ &\quad + (\beta_3 - \hat{W})(\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \tilde{\tilde{W}} - \bar{\bar{W}}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

and present an approach to construct finite genus solutions to 3D integrable lattice equations. This equation is first discovered by Nijhoff, Capel, Wiersma and Quispel by using the Bäcklund transformation approach, and later derived through an analysis of the Cauchy matrix [16, 24].

To build the integrability of the lpKP equation (1.1) and calculate its finite genus solutions, we will introduce Lax triads from the ZS-AKNS spectral problem. Compatibility of these triads, respectively, give rise to the lattice potential KP equations with 3, 2 and 1 discrete arguments,

*Corresponding author. E-mail: djzhang@staff.shu.edu.cn

as (see Section 2 for the derivation)

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi^{(0,3)} \equiv & \frac{1}{2}[(\tilde{W} - \bar{W})\tilde{\bar{W}} + (\bar{W} - \hat{W})\hat{\bar{W}} + (\hat{W} - \tilde{W})\tilde{\hat{W}}] \\ & + \gamma_1(\tilde{\bar{W}} - \hat{\bar{W}} - \bar{W} + \hat{W}) + \gamma_2(\hat{\bar{W}} - \tilde{\bar{W}} - \hat{W} + \tilde{W}) \\ & + \gamma_3(\tilde{\hat{W}} - \hat{\hat{W}} - \tilde{W} + \bar{W}) = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

$$\Xi^{(1,2)} \equiv (\tilde{W} - \bar{W})_x - \left[\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{W} - \bar{W}) + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\right](\tilde{\bar{W}} - \tilde{W} - \bar{W} + W) = 0,\tag{1.3}$$

$$\Xi^{(2,1)} \equiv (\tilde{W} - W)_y - [(\tilde{W} + W)_x + 2\gamma_1(\tilde{W} - W) + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{W} - W)^2]_x = 0.\tag{1.4}$$

Note that (1.2) is equivalent to the lpKP equation (1.1) with $\beta_k = -2\gamma_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, as $\Xi_{(-2\gamma_1, -2\gamma_2, -2\gamma_3)}^{\text{lpKP}} = 2\Xi^{(0,3)}$. It also turns out that all these equations have the same potential KP (pKP) equation,

$$\Xi^{(3,0)} \equiv W_{xt} - \frac{1}{4}(W_{xxx} + 3W_x^2)_x - \frac{3}{4}W_{yy} = 0,\tag{1.5}$$

as their continuum limits (see Proposition 2.4).

The method of finite-gap integration originated in solving the periodic initial problem of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation (cf. [21] and the references therein). Recently, an approach to deriving finite genus solutions for 2D discrete integrable systems, the lattice potential KdV equation [9] and the lattice nonlinear Schrödinger (INLS) model [10] were developed. In this paper, just as in the 2D case, explicit analytic solutions of the lattice pKP equations (1.2, 1.3, 1.4), together with the pKP equation (1.5), will be calculated by means of the finite-dimensional integrable flows of continuous and discrete types, i.e. Hamiltonian phase flows and integrable symplectic maps. These flows are constructed through nonlinearization of the continuous and discrete spectral problems (see Section 3, 4). It is surprising that they share same Liouville integrals, same Lax matrix $L(\lambda; p, q)$ and same algebraic curve \mathcal{R} . Thus the calculations can be done on the same Liouville platform. The Abel-Jacobi variable $\vec{\phi}$ in the Jacobian variety $J(\mathcal{R})$ straightens out both the H_j - and the S_{γ_k} -flow with the velocities $\vec{\Omega}_j$ and $\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_k}$, respectively. As a result, we have a clear evolution picture for the lattice pKP equations as well as for the pKP equation, as the following,

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi^{(0,3)} : \quad & \vec{\phi} \equiv \vec{\phi}_0 + m_1\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_1} + m_2\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_2} + m_3\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_3}, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}), \\ \Xi^{(1,2)} : \quad & \vec{\phi} \equiv \vec{\phi}_0 + x\vec{\Omega}_1 + m_1\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_1} + m_2\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_2}, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}), \\ \Xi^{(2,1)} : \quad & \vec{\phi} \equiv \vec{\phi}_0 + x\vec{\Omega}_1 + y\vec{\Omega}_2 + m_1\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_1}, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}), \\ \Xi^{(3,0)} : \quad & \vec{\phi} \equiv \vec{\phi}_0 + x\vec{\Omega}_1 + y\vec{\Omega}_2 + t\vec{\Omega}_3, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}),\end{aligned}$$

which will provide the basic part of the explicit analytic solutions (see Section 5, 6).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 shows that how the lattice pKP equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) arise from their Lax triads. Continuum limits of these lattice pKP equations give rise to the same pKP equation. In Section 3, a finite-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian system related to the ZS-AKNS spectral problem is introduced to provide integrals, spectral curve and Abel-Jacobi variables. In Section 4 we construct an integrable symplectic map S_γ in tilde direction, develop an algebro-difference analogue of the Burchnell-Chaundy's theory on

commuting differential operators by which we express the potential functions in terms of theta function. This allows us to derive finite genus solutions for the lpKP equation in Section 5 and for other two semi-discrete and one continuous pKP equations in Section 6. Finally, concluding remarks are given in the last Section.

2 The discretized pKP equations

2.1 The KP equation

In order to find the suitable discrete spectral problems for (1.1), let us first recall the usual continuous KP equation,

$$w_t = \frac{1}{4}(w_{xx} + 3w^2)_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial_x^{-1}w_{yy}. \quad (2.1)$$

It is well-known that the KP equation has a close relation with the ZS-AKNS spectral problem (U_1) [8, 19],

$$\partial_x \chi = U_1 \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda/2 & u \\ v & -\lambda/2 \end{pmatrix} \chi. \quad (2.2)$$

In fact, there is a hierarchy of isospectral equations (X_k) related to (2.2),

$$\partial_{\tau_k}(u, v) = X_k, \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots), \quad (2.3)$$

in which the first two nonlinear members, $(y = \tau_2, t = \tau_3)$, the NLS equation and the modified KdV (mKdV) equation, respectively, are

$$\partial_y(u, v) = X_2 = (u_{xx} - 2u^2v, -v_{xx} + 2uv^2), \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\partial_t(u, v) = X_3 = (u_{xxx} - 6uvv_x, v_{xxx} - 6uvv_x). \quad (2.4b)$$

Corresponding to the hierarchy (2.3), there exist a series of linear spectral problems (U_k) ,

$$\partial_{\tau_k} \chi = U_k \chi, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (2.5)$$

where, apart from equation $(2.2)|_{x=\tau_1}$, we also have (with $y = \tau_2, t = \tau_3$)

$$\partial_y \chi = U_2 \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2/2 - uv & \lambda u + u_x \\ \lambda v - v_x & -\lambda^2/2 + uv \end{pmatrix} \chi, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\partial_t \chi = U_3 \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^3/2 - \lambda uv - u_x v + uv_x & \lambda^2 u + \lambda u_x + u_{xx} - 2u^2 v \\ \lambda^2 v - \lambda v_x + v_{xx} - 2uv^2 & -\lambda^3/2 + \lambda uv + u_x v - uv_x \end{pmatrix} \chi. \quad (2.6b)$$

The Lax pair for (X_k) is composed of (U_1) and (U_k) . It is found that if (u, v) is a compatible solution of (X_2) and (X_3) , then $w = -2uv$ solves the KP equation (2.1) [8, 19]. Thus the compatible conditions of (U_1) , (U_2) and (U_3) implies the KP equation. In other words, (U_1, U_2, U_3) is the Lax triad for the KP equation and hence for the pKP equation via $w = W_x$.

2.2 The discrete pKP equations

The above facts of the KP equation lead us to consider discretization of the ZS-AKNS problem (2.2), by which we hope to find the Lax representation for the lpKP equation (1.1). One

discretization of (2.2) is known as the Ablowitz-Ladik spectral problem [1, 2], which leads to a spatially discretized NLS equation. In this paper, we employ the following linear problem, $(D^{(\gamma)})$, adopted in [10],

$$\tilde{\chi} = D^{(\gamma)}\chi, \quad D^{(\gamma)}(\lambda, a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \gamma + ab & a \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

which provides a second discretization for (2.2) [22] but is different from Ablowitz-Ladik's spectral problem (cf. [11]). Note that (2.7) is also known as a Darboux transformation of the ZS-AKNS spectral problems (2.5) [4]. Here, for the above notation, let T_1 be shift operator along the m_1 direction, defined for any function $f : \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$(T_1 f)(m_1, m_2, m_3) = \tilde{f}(m_1, m_2, m_3) = f(m_1 + 1, m_2, m_3).$$

Similarly, $T_2 f = \bar{f}$, $T_3 f = \hat{f}$ are shifts along the m_2 and m_3 direction, respectively.

Two basic relations,

$$(a, b) = (u, \tilde{v}), \quad (u\tilde{v})_x = \tilde{u}\tilde{v} - uv, \quad (2.8)$$

are derived from the compatibility condition of equations (2.2) and (2.7) (see [10]). The former bridges their potential functions, while the latter suggests the setting of difference relation $\tilde{W} - W = -2u\tilde{v}$ as $W_x = w = -2uv$. These facts lead to the consideration of three replicas of equation (2.7) with distinct non-zero parameters $\gamma = \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$,

$$T_1 \chi \equiv \tilde{\chi} = D^{(\gamma_1)}(\lambda, u, \tilde{v})\chi, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$T_2 \chi \equiv \bar{\chi} = D^{(\gamma_2)}(\lambda, u, \bar{v})\chi, \quad (2.9b)$$

$$T_3 \chi \equiv \hat{\chi} = D^{(\gamma_3)}(\lambda, u, \hat{v})\chi, \quad (2.9c)$$

which are denoted by $(D^{(\gamma_k)})$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, respectively, for short. Besides, auxiliary equations will be assigned for each special occasion from the following list,

$$\tilde{W} - W = -2u\tilde{v}, \quad (2.10a)$$

$$\bar{W} - W = -2u\bar{v}, \quad (2.10b)$$

$$\hat{W} - W = -2u\hat{v}, \quad (2.10c)$$

$$\partial_x W = -2uv. \quad (2.10d)$$

At first glance, these equations seem fairly hard to deal with. Here we remark that on the platform of Liouville integrability, a pair of functions (u, v) of discrete arguments m_1, m_2, m_3 can be constructed, which are finite genus potential for each of the discrete spectral problems (2.9a,b,c); and W can be solved with the help of the theta function and meromorphic differentials on the associated Riemann surface. This will lead to explicit analytic solutions to the lpKP equation (see Section 5). The approach can also be extended to the semi-discrete and purely continuous cases (see Section 6).

To derive the discrete pKP equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we replace (U_j) in the Lax triad (U_1, U_2, U_3) successively by $(D^{(\gamma_k)})$, and then we come to the following new Lax triads,

$$(D^{(\gamma_1)}, D^{(\gamma_2)}, D^{(\gamma_3)}), (U_1, D^{(\gamma_1)}, D^{(\gamma_2)}), (U_1, U_2, D^{(\gamma_1)}). \quad (2.11)$$

With the auxiliary relations (2.9), the compatibility of these triads lead to the discrete pKP equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). We present the procedure of derivation via the following lemmas and propositions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(u, v) : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a pair of functions such that (i) equations (2.9a,b) have compatible solution χ for one value of the spectral parameter λ ; (ii) the system (2.10a,b) has a solution W . Then (u, v) solve the mNLS equation [10, 18]*

$$\Xi_1^{(0,2)} \equiv (\tilde{u} - \bar{u})(u\bar{v} + 1) + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)u = 0, \quad (2.12a)$$

$$\Xi_2^{(0,2)} \equiv (\tilde{v} - \bar{v})(u\bar{v} + 1) - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\bar{v} = 0, \quad (2.12b)$$

and W, u, v satisfy the relation

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &\equiv 2\left(\tilde{v}\Xi_1^{(0,2)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + \bar{u}\Xi_2^{(0,2)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\right) \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{W} - \bar{W}) + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\right](\tilde{W} - \bar{W} - \bar{W} + W) + 2(\tilde{u}\bar{v} - \bar{u}v), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

which is equal to zero due to equations (2.12).

Proof. By direct calculations we see that the cross action $(T_1T_2 - T_2T_1)\chi$ is equal to

$$(\tilde{D}^{(\gamma_2)}D^{(\gamma_1)} - \bar{D}^{(\gamma_1)}D^{(\gamma_2)})\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \Upsilon_{11} & \Xi_1^{(0,2)} \\ \Xi_2^{(0,2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(1)} \\ \chi^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{11} &= \frac{\lambda}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}[(\tilde{v} - \bar{v})\Xi_1^{(0,2)} - (\tilde{u} - \bar{u})\Xi_2^{(0,2)}] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}[(\gamma_1\bar{v} - \gamma_2\tilde{v})\Xi_1^{(0,2)} - (\gamma_2\bar{u} - \gamma_1\tilde{u})\Xi_2^{(0,2)}]. \end{aligned}$$

With (2.9a,b) one can rewrite $(T_1T_2 - T_2T_1)\chi$ in the form

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2} \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma_1 - \lambda)\bar{\chi}^{(2)} - (\gamma_2 - \lambda)\tilde{\chi}^{(2)} & [(\gamma_1 - \lambda)\tilde{u} - (\gamma_2 - \lambda)\bar{u}]\chi^{(1)} \\ 0 & (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\chi^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_1^{(0,2)} \\ \Xi_2^{(0,2)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.15)$$

Usually the coefficient determinant is not zero. Since $(T_1T_2 - T_2T_1)\chi = 0$, we have $\Xi^{(0,i)} = 0$. Further, in light of equations (2.10a,b), the left-hand side of equation (2.12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_1^{(0,2)} &= (\tilde{u} - \bar{u}) + \left[\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{W} - \bar{W}) + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\right]u, \\ \Xi_2^{(0,2)} &= (\tilde{v} - \bar{v}) - \left[\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{W} - \bar{W}) + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\right]\bar{v}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply equation (2.13) by direct calculations. \square

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(u, v) : \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a pair of functions such that (i) equations (2.9a,b,c) have compatible solution χ for one value of λ ; (ii) the system (2.10a,b,c) has a solution W . Then W solves equation (1.2), i.e. $\Xi^{(0,3)} = 0$.*

Proof. Consider three replicas of (2.13) with parameters (γ_1, γ_2) , (γ_2, γ_3) , (γ_3, γ_1) , respectively. Adding them together we have

$$\Xi^{(0,3)} = Y(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + Y(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) + Y(\gamma_3, \gamma_1), \quad (2.16)$$

where the terms containing u, v are canceled. This yields equation (1.2). \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(u, v) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a pair of functions such that (i) equations (2.9a,b) have compatible solution χ for one value of λ ; (ii) the system of equations (2.10a,b) and (2.10d) has a solution W . Then W solves equation (1.3), i.e. $\Xi^{(1,2)} = 0$.*

Proof. In light of (2.10d), the last term in (2.13) is equal to $-(\tilde{W} - \bar{W})_x$. Thus the proof is completed since $\Xi^{(1,2)} = -Y(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(u, v) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a pair of functions such that (i) equations (2.2), (2.6a) and (2.9a) have compatible solution χ for one value of λ ; (ii) the system of equations (2.10a,d) has a solution W . Then W solves equation (1.4), i.e. $\Xi^{(2,1)} = 0$.*

Proof. The compatibility condition $\partial_y \partial_x \chi = \partial_x \partial_y \chi$ gives rise to the NLS equations (2.4a), rewritten as

$$\Xi_1^{(2,0)} \equiv u_y - u_{xx} + 2u^2 v = 0, \quad (2.17a)$$

$$\Xi_2^{(2,0)} \equiv v_y + v_{xx} - 2uv^2 = 0. \quad (2.17b)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_y \partial_x - \partial_x \partial_y) \chi &= (U_{1,y} - U_{2,x} + [U_1, U_2]) \chi \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Xi_1^{(2,0)} \\ \Xi_2^{(2,0)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(1)} \\ \chi^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_1^{(2,0)} \\ \Xi_2^{(2,0)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Further, the compatibility condition $\partial_x T_1 \chi = T_1 \partial_x \chi$ yields the semi-discrete NLS equations

$$\Xi_1^{(1,1)} \equiv u_x - \tilde{u} - \gamma_1 u + u^2 \tilde{v} = 0, \quad (2.19a)$$

$$\Xi_2^{(1,1)} \equiv \tilde{v}_x + v + \gamma_1 \tilde{v} - u \tilde{v}^2 = 0, \quad (2.19b)$$

since the cross action leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_x T_1 - T_1 \partial_x) \chi &= (D_x^{(\gamma_1)} - \tilde{U}_1 D^{(\gamma_1)} + D^{(\gamma_1)} U_1) \chi \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{11} & \Xi_1^{(1,1)} \\ \Xi_2^{(1,1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(1)} \\ \chi^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}^{(2)} & u \chi^{(1)} \\ 0 & \chi^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_1^{(1,1)} \\ \Xi_2^{(1,1)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\kappa_{11} = (u\tilde{v})_x - \tilde{u}\tilde{v} + uv = \tilde{v}\Xi_1^{(1,1)} + u\Xi_2^{(1,1)}$, and the relation $\tilde{\chi}^{(2)} = \tilde{v}\chi^{(1)} + \chi^{(2)}$ has been used. By calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}\Xi_1^{(2,0)} + u\tilde{\Xi}_2^{(2,0)} &= (u\tilde{v})_y - (u_x \tilde{v} - u\tilde{v}_x)_x - 2u\tilde{v}(\tilde{u}\tilde{v} - uv), \\ (\tilde{v}\Xi_1^{(1,1)} - u\Xi_2^{(1,1)})_x &= (u_x \tilde{v} - u\tilde{v}_x)_x - (\tilde{u}\tilde{v} + uv + 2\gamma_1 u\tilde{v} - 2u^2 \tilde{v}^2)_x. \end{aligned}$$

Adding them together we arrive at

$$\Xi^{(2,1)} = -2(\tilde{v}\Xi_1^{(2,0)} + u\tilde{\Xi}_2^{(2,0)}) - 2(\tilde{v}\Xi_1^{(1,1)} - u\Xi_2^{(1,1)})_x, \quad (2.21)$$

where the term $(u_x \tilde{v} - u\tilde{v}_x)_x$ is canceled and the variable W is introduced by equations (2.10a,d). Thus $\Xi^{(2,1)} = 0$. \square

Let us back to the equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). We have seen that (1.2) is nothing but the lpKP equation (1.1). Besides, equations (1.3) and (1.4) have close relations with the (N-2) and (N-3) models that were discovered by Date, Jimbo and Miwa [12], which are

$$\Xi^{N2} \equiv (\tilde{V} - \bar{V})_x - (e^{\tilde{\bar{V}}} - e^{\tilde{V}} - e^{\bar{V}} + e^V) = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\Xi^{N3} \equiv \Delta(V_y + \frac{2}{h}V_x - 2VV_x) - (\Delta + 2)V_{xx} = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

where $\Delta f = \tilde{f} - f$ for arbitrary function f . In fact, for (1.3), introducing

$$V = \ln[(\tilde{W} - \bar{W})/2 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2], \quad (2.24)$$

and then using (1.3), one finds

$$V_x = \frac{(\tilde{W} - \bar{W})_x/2}{(\tilde{W} - \bar{W})/2 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\bar{W}} - \tilde{W} - \bar{W} + W).$$

It then follows that $(\tilde{V} - \bar{V})_x$ is equal to the second part in equation (2.22). Hence $\Xi^{N2} = 0$. Thus, for any solution W of the equation (1.3), V defined by (2.24) provides a special solution for (2.22). For the equation (1.4), if W is a solution, then

$$V = (\tilde{W} - W)/2 \quad (2.25)$$

solves the (N-3) equation (2.23). Actually, it is easy to find (with $\gamma_1 = 1/h$)

$$\frac{1}{2}\Xi^{(2,1)} = (V_y + \frac{2}{h}V_x - 2VV_x) - (V + W)_{xx},$$

which implies $\Xi^{N3} = \Delta\Xi^{(2,1)}/2 = 0$. In this sense, equation (1.4) is the potential version of (N-3). Note that (N-3) model was also derived by Kanaga Vel and Tamizhmani, with the help of quasi-difference operators [17], known as the $D\Delta$ KP equation there. Besides, some properties of the $D\Delta$ KP hierarchy, including symmetries, Hamiltonian structures and continuum limit, were investigated in [14].

At the end of this subsection, we consider continuum limits of equations (1.2,1.3,1.4). Let $\gamma_k = -1/\varepsilon_k$, $\varepsilon_k = c_k\varepsilon$, ($k = 1, 2, 3$), where c_1, c_2, c_3 are arbitrary distinct non-zero constants. For any smooth function $W(x, y, t)$, define

$$T_k W = W(x + c_k\varepsilon, y - c_k^2\varepsilon^2/2, t + c_k^3\varepsilon^3/3), \quad (k = 1, 2, 3). \quad (2.26)$$

Denote $T_1 W = \tilde{W}$, $T_2 W = \bar{W}$, $T_3 W = \hat{W}$ for short. By straightforward calculations we have the following.

Proposition 2.4. *Under the Ansatz (2.26), in the neighborhood of $\varepsilon \sim 0$, the following Taylor expansions hold for any smooth function $W(x, y, t)$,*

$$\Xi^{(2,1)} = \Xi^{(3,0)} \frac{2c_1^2}{3} \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3), \quad (2.27a)$$

$$\Xi^{(1,2)} = \Xi^{(3,0)} \frac{c_1 c_2 (c_1 - c_2)}{3} \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4), \quad (2.27b)$$

$$\Xi^{(0,3)} = \Xi^{(3,0)} \frac{1}{3} [c_1 c_2 (c_2 - c_1) + c_2 c_3 (c_3 - c_2) + c_3 c_1 (c_1 - c_3)] \varepsilon^3 + O(\varepsilon^4). \quad (2.27c)$$

Thus, all the continuum limits of the lattice pKP equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) give rise to the same pKP equation (1.5). The Ansatz (2.26) is crucial, which is proposed based on comparing the velocities of the Abel-Jacobi variable $\vec{\phi}$ along the discrete S_{γ_k} -flow and the continuous H_j -flow (see Appendix A).

3 The integrable Hamiltonian system (H_1)

In [8, 10] an integrable Hamiltonian system is constructed from the ZS-AKNS spectral problem,

$$\partial_x \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial H_1 / \partial q_j \\ \partial H_1 / \partial p_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j/2 & -\langle p, p \rangle \\ \langle q, q \rangle & -\alpha_j/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$H_1(p, q) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle Ap, q \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle \langle q, q \rangle. \quad (3.1b)$$

where $A = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$, $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j \eta_j$. It can be regarded as N replicas of equation (2.2) with eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$, respectively, under the constraint

$$(u, v) = f_{U_1}(p, q) = (-\langle p, p \rangle, \langle q, q \rangle). \quad (3.2)$$

The integrability requires enough number of involutive integrals. In deriving them, we use the Lax equation

$$\partial_x L(\lambda) = [U_1(\lambda), L(\lambda)], \quad (3.3)$$

which has a solution, the Lax matrix [8, 10]

$$L(\lambda; p, q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 + Q_\lambda(p, q) & -Q_\lambda(p, p) \\ Q_\lambda(q, q) & -1/2 - Q_\lambda(p, q) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $Q_\lambda(\xi, \eta) = \langle (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \xi, \eta \rangle$. By equation (3.3), $F(\lambda) = \det L(\lambda)$ is independent of the argument x . Three sets of integrals are derived from the expansions

$$F(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{4} + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{E_k}{\lambda - \alpha_k} = -\frac{1}{4} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j \lambda^{-j-1}, \quad (3.5a)$$

$$H(\lambda) = \sqrt{-F(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k \lambda^{-k-1}, \quad (3.5b)$$

with $F_0 = -\langle p, q \rangle$, $H_0 = -\langle p, q \rangle / 2$, H_1 exactly the same as in equation (3.1b), and

$$E_k = -p_k q_k + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N; j \neq k} \frac{(p_j q_k - p_k q_j)^2}{\alpha_k - \alpha_j}, \quad (3.6a)$$

$$F_k = -\langle A^k p, q \rangle + \sum_{\substack{i+j=k-1; \\ i, j \geq 0}} (\langle A^i p, p \rangle \langle A^j q, q \rangle - \langle A^i p, q \rangle \langle A^j p, q \rangle), \quad (3.6b)$$

$$H_k = \frac{1}{2} F_k + 2 \sum_{\substack{i+j=k-1; \\ i, j \geq 0}} H_i H_j. \quad (3.6c)$$

The functions $\{E_k\}$ are called confocal polynomials, satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k^j E_k = F_j, \quad \sum_{k=1}^N E_k = F_0 = -\langle p, q \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

Further, we have the Lax equation along the $F(\lambda)$ -flow,

$$\frac{d}{dt_\lambda} L(\mu) = \{L(\mu), F(\lambda)\} = \frac{2}{\lambda - \mu} [L(\lambda), L(\mu)], \quad (3.8)$$

which can be verified directly. It implies $\{F(\mu), F(\lambda)\} = \partial_{t_\lambda} \det L(\mu) = 0$. Here $\{A, B\}$ is the usual Poisson bracket defined as

$$\{A, B\} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q_k} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_k} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_k} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q_k} \right).$$

As a corollary we have

Lemma 3.1. *The members in the set $\{E_j, F_k, H_l\}$ are involutive in pairs.*

By [8], there is an inner relation between the integral H_k and (X_k) , the AKNS equation (2.3). The involutivity $\{H_1, H_k\} = 0$ implies the commutativity of the Hamiltonian phase flows $g_{H_1}^x, g_{H_k}^{\tau_k}$. This yields a compatible solution for (H_1) , (H_k) , and hence a solution to equation (X_k) , respectively, as

$$(p(x, \tau_k), q(x, \tau_k)) = g_{H_1}^x g_{H_k}^{\tau_k}(p_0, q_0), \quad (3.9a)$$

$$(u(x, \tau_k), v(x, \tau_k)) = f_{U_1}(p, q) = (-\langle p, p \rangle, \langle q, q \rangle). \quad (3.9b)$$

Let $\alpha(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^N (\lambda - \alpha_k)$. By [8, 10], a curve $\mathcal{R} : \xi^2 = R(\lambda)$, with genus $g = N - 1$, is constructed by the factorization of $F(\lambda) = -\Lambda(\lambda)/[4\alpha(\lambda)]$, with $R(\lambda) = \Lambda(\lambda)\alpha(\lambda)$. For non-branching λ , there are two points $\mathbf{p}(\lambda)$, $\tau\mathbf{p}(\lambda)$ on \mathcal{R} , with $\tau : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ the map of changing sheets. Consider two objects on the curve, the canonical basis $a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g$ of homology group of contours, and the basis of holomorphic differentials, written in the vector form as $\vec{\omega}' = (\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_g)^T$, $\omega'_j = \lambda^{g-j} d\lambda / (2\xi)$. It is normalized into $\vec{\omega} = C\vec{\omega}'$, where $C = (a_{jk})_{g \times g}^{-1}$, with a_{jk} the integral of ω'_j along a_k . Near the infinities, the local expansions have simple relation as

$$\vec{\omega} = \begin{cases} +(\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\Omega}_2 z + \vec{\Omega}_3 z^2 + \dots) dz, & \text{near } \infty_+, \\ -(\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\Omega}_2 z + \vec{\Omega}_3 z^2 + \dots) dz, & \text{near } \infty_-. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

Periodic vectors $\vec{\delta}_k$ and \vec{B}_k are defined as integrals of $\vec{\omega}$ along a_k and b_k , respectively. They span a lattice \mathcal{T} , which defines the Jacobian variety $J(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{C}^g / \mathcal{T}$. The Abel map $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ is given as the integral of $\vec{\omega}$ from the fixed point \mathbf{p}_0 to \mathbf{p} . The matrix B , with \vec{B}_k as columns, is used to construct the theta function $\theta(\vec{z}, B)$.

The elliptic variables μ_j, ν_j are given by the roots of the off-diagonal entries of the Lax matrix,

$$L^{12}(\lambda) = -\langle p, p \rangle \frac{\mathbf{m}(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda)}, \quad \mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^g (\lambda - \mu_j), \quad (3.11a)$$

$$L^{21}(\lambda) = \langle q, q \rangle \frac{\mathbf{n}(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda)}, \quad \mathbf{n}(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^g (\lambda - \nu_j). \quad (3.11b)$$

They define the quasi-Abel-Jacobi and Abel-Jacobi variables, respectively, as

$$\vec{\psi}' = \sum_{k=1}^g \int_{\mathbf{p}_0}^{\mathbf{p}(\mu_k)} \vec{\omega}', \quad \vec{\psi} = C\vec{\psi}' = \mathcal{A}\left(\sum_{k=1}^g \mathbf{p}(\mu_k)\right), \quad (3.12a)$$

$$\vec{\phi}' = \sum_{k=1}^g \int_{\mathbf{p}_0}^{\mathbf{p}(\nu_k)} \vec{\omega}', \quad \vec{\phi} = C\vec{\phi}' = \mathcal{A}\left(\sum_{k=1}^g \mathbf{p}(\nu_k)\right). \quad (3.12b)$$

The evolution of these two variables along the $F(\lambda)$ -flow is obtained by equation (3.8). Actually, in the component equation for $L^{21}(\mu)$, by letting $\mu \rightarrow \nu_k$, we calculate

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{R(\nu_k)}} \frac{d\nu_k}{dt_\lambda} = \frac{-n(\lambda)}{\alpha(\lambda)(\lambda - \nu_k)n'(\nu_k)}, \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\{\phi'_s, F(\lambda)\} = \frac{d\phi'_s}{dt_\lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{\nu_k^{g-s}}{2\sqrt{R(\nu_k)}} \frac{d\nu_k}{dt_\lambda} = -\frac{\lambda^{g-s}}{\alpha(\lambda)}, \quad (3.13b)$$

where $\vec{\phi}' = (\phi'_1, \dots, \phi'_g)$. By the partial fraction expansion (3.5a), we get

$$\{\phi'_s, E_k\} = -\alpha_k^{g-s}/\alpha'(\alpha_k), \quad (k = 1, \dots, N), \quad (3.14a)$$

$$\{\phi'_s, E_1 + \dots + E_N\} = \{\phi'_s, F_0\} = 0. \quad (3.14b)$$

Proposition 3.1. *Each Hamiltonian system (H_k) , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, is integrable in Liouville sense, sharing the same integrals E_1, \dots, E_N , which are involutive in pairs and functionally independent in $\mathbb{R}^{2N} - \{0\}$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 3.1, it only needs to prove the functional independence of the confocal polynomials. Suppose $\sum_{k=1}^N c_k dE_k = 0$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^N c_k \{\phi'_s, E_k\} = 0$. By equation (3.14b), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^g (c_k - c_N) \{\phi'_s, E_k\} = 0, \quad (1 \leq s \leq g).$$

The coefficient matrix is non-degenerate since by equation (3.14a) it is of Vandermonde type. Hence we have $c_k - c_N = 0$ and $c_N \sum_{k=1}^N dE_k = 0$. This implies $c_N = 0$ since

$$\sum_{k=1}^N dE_k = -d \langle p, q \rangle = -\sum_{j=1}^N (q_j dp_j + p_j dq_j) \neq 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *The Abel-Jacobi variables straightens out the $H(\lambda)$ -flow as*

$$\{\vec{\phi}, H(\lambda)d\lambda\} = 2\vec{\omega}. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Since $F(\lambda) = -H^2(\lambda)$, equation (3.13b) is transformed into the following formula, which, by multiplied the matrix C , leads to equation (3.15),

$$\{\phi'_s, H(\lambda)d\lambda\} = \frac{\lambda^{g-s}d\lambda}{2H(\lambda)\alpha(\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda^{g-s}d\lambda}{\sqrt{R(\lambda)}} = 2\omega'_s.$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *The Abel-Jacobi variables straighten out the H_k -flow, as $\{\vec{\phi}, H_0\} = 0$ and*

$$\frac{d\vec{\phi}}{d\tau_k} = \{\vec{\phi}, H_k\} = \vec{\Omega}_k, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\vec{\phi}(\tau_k) \equiv \vec{\phi}(0) + \tau_k \vec{\Omega}_k, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}). \quad (3.16b)$$

Proof. By the equations (3.5b) and (3.10), we have an expansion of equation (3.15) near ∞_+ . Equation (3.16a) is then obtained as its coefficient. \square

For another Abel-Jacobi variable, by the equation (3.25) in [10], we have

$$\vec{\psi} + \vec{\eta}_+ \equiv \vec{\phi} + \vec{\eta}_-, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}), \quad (3.17a)$$

$$\vec{\eta}_\pm = \int_{\infty_\pm}^{\mathfrak{p}_0} \vec{\omega}, \quad \vec{\Omega}_D = \vec{\eta}_+ - \vec{\eta}_- = \int_{\infty_+}^{\infty_-} \vec{\omega}, \quad (3.17b)$$

$$\vec{\psi}(\tau_k) \equiv \vec{\phi}(\tau_k) - \vec{\Omega}_D \equiv \vec{\psi}(0) + \tau_k \vec{\Omega}_k, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}). \quad (3.17c)$$

4 The integrable map S_γ

In [10], an integrable symplectic map $S_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2N}$, $(p, q) \mapsto (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$, is constructed with the help of N replicas of discrete ZS-AKNS equation (2.7),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}_j \\ \tilde{q}_j \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_j - \gamma)^{-1/2} D^{(\gamma)}(\alpha_j; a, b) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq N), \quad (4.1)$$

under the discrete constraint $(a, b) = f_\gamma(p, q)$,

$$a = - < p, p >, \quad b = \frac{1}{Q_\gamma(p, p)} \left(-\frac{1}{2} - Q_\gamma(p, q) \pm \frac{\sqrt{R(\gamma)}}{2\alpha(\gamma)} \right). \quad (4.2)$$

It can be derived from the continuous constraint (3.2) through the relation $a = u, b = \tilde{v}$ in (2.8). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v} - b &= < \tilde{q}, \tilde{q} > - b = < (A - \gamma I)^{-1}(bp + q), bp + q > - b \\ &= b^2 L^{12}(\gamma) - 2b L^{11}(\gamma) - L^{21}(\gamma) \equiv P^{(\gamma)}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $P^{(\gamma)}(b) = 0$, whose roots lead to equation (4.2). The factor $(\alpha_j - \gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in equation (4.1) is introduced so that the coefficient determinant equals to unity, which is necessary for making the resulting map S_γ symplectic.

As in the continuous case, the Liouville integrability of the map S_γ requires enough number of involutive integrals. Similarly, the discrete Lax equation, given as follows, plays a central role,

$$L(\lambda; \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) D^{(\gamma)}(\lambda; a, b) = D^{(\gamma)}(\lambda; a, b) L(\lambda; p, q). \quad (4.3)$$

By [10], under the constraint (4.2), it has the same Lax matrix, given by equation (3.4), as its solution. Immediately we have $F(\lambda; \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) = F(\lambda; p, q)$ by taking the determinant of (4.3). Thus $F(\lambda)$, together with $H(\lambda)$, E_j , F_k , H_l , are all invariant under the action of the map S_γ .

Proposition 4.1. [10] *The map S_γ is symplectic and integrable, possessing $F(\lambda)$, $\{F_j\}$, $\{H_l\}$ and the confocal polynomials E_1, \dots, E_N , as its integrals.*

Construct a discrete flow

$$(p(m), q(m)) = S_\gamma^m(p_0, q_0) \quad (4.4)$$

by iteration. It generates the finite genus potential functions for equation (2.7),

$$(a_m, b_m) = (u_m, v_{m+1}) = (- < p, p >, < \tilde{q}, \tilde{q} >). \quad (4.5)$$

Define $L_m(\lambda) = L(\lambda; p(m), q(m))$, $D_m^{(\gamma)}(\lambda) = D^{(\gamma)}(\lambda; u_m, v_{m+1})$. Rewrite equation (4.3) as

$$L_{m+1}(\lambda)D_m^{(\gamma)}(\lambda) = D_m^{(\gamma)}(\lambda)L_m(\lambda). \quad (4.6)$$

Consider the discrete ZS-AKNS problem (2.7) with finite genus potential functions as

$$h(m+1, \lambda) = D_m^{(\gamma)}(\lambda)h(m, \lambda). \quad (4.7)$$

The solution space \mathcal{E}_λ is invariant under the action of $L_m(\lambda)$ due to the commutativity relation (4.6). The linear operator $L_m(\lambda)$ has eigenvalues $\pm H(\lambda)$, with associated eigenvectors h_\pm in \mathcal{E}_λ , satisfying

$$L_m(\lambda)h_\pm(m, \lambda) = \pm H(\lambda)h_\pm(m, \lambda), \quad (4.8a)$$

$$h_\pm(m+1, \lambda) = D_m^{(\gamma)}(\lambda)h_\pm(m, \lambda). \quad (4.8b)$$

Roughly speaking, the situation can be regarded as an algebro-difference analogue of the Burchnell-Chaundy's theory on commuting differential operators [6, 7]. Actually, let $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = 2\alpha(\lambda)L(\lambda)$. Then $\det \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = -R(\lambda)$ is a polynomial rather than a rational function. The commutativity relation (4.6) is rewritten as $\mathcal{L}_{m+1}D_m^{(\gamma)} = D_m^{(\gamma)}\mathcal{L}_m$. The algebraic spectral problem (4.8a) is revised as $\mathcal{L}_mh_\pm = \xi h_\pm$, with $\xi = \pm\sqrt{R(\lambda)}$. The algebraic problem and the difference problem share common eigenvectors h_\pm , with eigenvalues satisfying the algebraic relation, $\xi^2 = R(\lambda)$, exactly the same as the affine equation of the algebraic curve \mathcal{R} .

Let $M(m, \lambda)$ be fundamental solution matrix of equation (4.7). Under the normalization condition $h_\pm^{(2)}(0, \lambda) = 1$, the eigenvectors are determined uniquely as

$$h_\pm(m, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} h_\pm^{(1)}(m, \lambda) \\ h_\pm^{(2)}(m, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = M(m, \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} c_\lambda^\pm \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.9a)$$

$$c_\lambda^\pm = \frac{L_0^{11}(\lambda) \pm H(\lambda)}{L_0^{21}(\lambda)} = \frac{-L_0^{12}(\lambda)}{L_0^{11}(\lambda) \mp H(\lambda)}. \quad (4.9b)$$

Two meromorphic functions, the Baker functions, $\mathfrak{h}^{(\kappa)}(m, \mathfrak{p})$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{R}$, $\kappa = 1, 2$, are defined as

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(\kappa)}(m, \mathfrak{p}(\lambda)) = h_+^{(\kappa)}(m, \lambda), \quad \mathfrak{h}^{(\kappa)}(m, \tau\mathfrak{p}(\lambda)) = h_-^{(\kappa)}(m, \lambda). \quad (4.10)$$

The commutativity relation (4.6) implies formulas of Dubrovin-Novikov's type [10]. They are applied to calculate the divisors of the Baker functions. This leads to the straightening out of the flow S_γ^m on the Jacobian variety as [8]

$$\vec{\psi}(m) \equiv \vec{\phi}(0) + m\vec{\Omega}_\gamma - \vec{\Omega}_D, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{I}), \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\vec{\phi}(m) \equiv \vec{\phi}(0) + m\vec{\Omega}_\gamma, \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{I}), \quad (4.11b)$$

$$\vec{\Omega}_\gamma = \int_{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}^{\infty+} \vec{\omega}, \quad \vec{\Omega}_D = \int_{\infty+}^{\infty-} \vec{\omega}, \quad (4.11c)$$

where the Abel-Jacobi variables are given by (3.12) as

$$\vec{\psi}(m) = \mathcal{A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^g \mathfrak{p}(\mu_j(m))\right), \quad \vec{\phi}(m) = \mathcal{A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^g \mathfrak{p}(\nu_j(m))\right).$$

For any two distinct points $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$, there exists a dipole $\omega[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}]$, an Abel differential of the third kind, with residues 1 and -1 at the poles \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} , respectively, satisfying [26]

$$\int_{a_j} \omega[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}] = 0, \quad \int_{b_j} \omega[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}] = \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{q}} \omega_j, \quad (j = 1, \dots, g). \quad (4.12)$$

With the help of these dipoles, the Baker functions can be reconstructed as [10]

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(1)}(m, \mathbf{p}) = d_m^{(1)} \frac{\theta[-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \vec{\psi}(m) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathbf{p}_0}^{\mathbf{p}} m\omega[\mathbf{p}(\gamma), \infty_+] + \omega[\infty_-, \infty_+]}, \quad (4.13a)$$

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(m, \mathbf{p}) = d_m^{(2)} \frac{\theta[-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \vec{\phi}(m) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} e^{\int_{\mathbf{p}_0}^{\mathbf{p}} m\omega[\mathbf{p}(\gamma), \infty_+]}, \quad (4.13b)$$

where $d_m^{(1)}, d_m^{(2)}$ and \vec{K} are constants, independent of $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{R}$.

With these results in hand, we start to derive an explicit formula for the function $u\tilde{v}$. To this end we consider the local expression of the dipole near ∞_+ , ($z = \lambda^{-1}$),

$$\omega[\mathbf{p}(\gamma), \infty_+] = [-z^{-1} + \varphi(z)]dz, \quad (4.14)$$

with $\varphi(z)$ holomorphic near $z \sim 0$. A simple calculation yields

$$\partial_z \log(z \exp \int_{\mathbf{p}_0}^{\mathbf{p}} \omega[\mathbf{p}(\gamma), \infty_+]) = \varphi(z). \quad (4.15)$$

Recalling equation (3.10), we have

$$-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) = \vec{\eta}_+ - \vec{\Omega}_1 z + O(z^2), \quad \vec{\eta}_+ = \int_{\infty_+}^{\mathbf{p}_0} \vec{\omega}. \quad (4.16)$$

Then, from (4.13a) we get

$$\frac{z\tilde{h}_+^{(1)}}{h_+^{(1)}} = \frac{d_{m+1}}{d_m} \frac{\theta[-\vec{\Omega}_1 z + O(z^2) + \vec{\eta}_+ + \vec{\psi}(m+1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\vec{\Omega}_1 z + O(z^2) + \vec{\eta}_+ + \vec{\psi}(m) + \vec{K}]} \cdot z e^{\int_{\mathbf{p}_0}^{\mathbf{p}} \omega[\mathbf{p}(\gamma), \infty_+]}, \quad (4.17a)$$

$$\partial_z \log \frac{z\tilde{h}_+^{(1)}}{h_+^{(1)}} = \partial_z \log \frac{\theta[-\vec{\Omega}_1 z + O(z^2) + \vec{\eta}_+ + \vec{\psi}(m+1) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\vec{\Omega}_1 z + O(z^2) + \vec{\eta}_+ + \vec{\psi}(m) + \vec{K}]} + \varphi(z). \quad (4.17b)$$

On the other hand, since $h_{\pm} = (h_{\pm}^{(1)}, h_{\pm}^{(2)})^T$ satisfies equation (4.8b), we have

$$\frac{z\tilde{h}_+^{(1)}}{h_+^{(1)}} = 1 + (u\tilde{v} - \gamma)z + \frac{uh_+^{(2)}}{h_+^{(1)}}z = 1 + (u\tilde{v} - \gamma)z + O(z^2), \quad (4.18)$$

where the following estimation is used,

$$\frac{uh_+^{(2)}}{h_+^{(1)}} = \frac{L^{11}(\lambda) - H(\lambda)}{-L^{12}(\lambda)} = < q, q > \lambda^{-1}[1 + O(\lambda^{-1})] = O(z).$$

Now, taking derivative of the equation (4.18) with respect to z and comparing it with (4.17b) at $z = 0$, with the help of the relation $\vec{\psi} + \vec{\eta}_+ \equiv \vec{\phi} + \vec{\eta}_-$ in equation (3.17a), we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(a, b) = (u, \tilde{v})$ be finite genus potential functions of equation (2.7), defined by equation (4.5). Then we have*

$$u\tilde{v} = -\partial_z|_{z=0} \log \frac{\theta[\vec{\Omega}_1 z + \vec{\phi}(m+1) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]}{\theta[\vec{\Omega}_1 z + \vec{\phi}(m) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]} + [\gamma + \varphi(0)]. \quad (4.19)$$

5 Finite genus solutions to the lpKP

Let $\gamma = \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ be distinct and non-zero. We can apply the same theory we developed in Section 4 to the three corresponding cases, respectively. The resulting integrable maps $S_{\gamma_1}, S_{\gamma_2}, S_{\gamma_3}$ commute in pairs since they share the same integrals E_1, \dots, E_N (see Appendix in [10]). By iteration we have discrete flows $S_{\gamma_1}^{m_1}, S_{\gamma_2}^{m_2}, S_{\gamma_3}^{m_3}$, and hence well-defined functions from any starting point

$$(p(m_1, m_2, m_3), q(m_1, m_2, m_3)) = S_{\gamma_1}^{m_1} S_{\gamma_2}^{m_2} S_{\gamma_3}^{m_3} (p_0, q_0), \quad (5.1a)$$

$$(u(m_1, m_2, m_3), v(m_1, m_2, m_3)) = (- < p, p >, < q, q >) |_{(m_1, m_2, m_3)}. \quad (5.1b)$$

Define $a = u$, and let b take $\tilde{v} = T_1 v, \bar{v} = T_2 v, \hat{v} = T_3 v$, respectively. By the commutativity of the flows, one can present the functions given by equation (5.1a) in three ways, respectively as

$$(p(m_k), q(m_k)) = S_{\gamma_k}^{m_k} (p_0^{(k)}, q_0^{(k)}), \quad (k = 1, 2, 3). \quad (5.2)$$

Thus, from equation (4.1) in the three special cases, the j -th component satisfies three equations simultaneously with $\lambda = \alpha_j$,

$$T_k \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_j - \gamma_k)^{-1/2} D^{(\gamma_k)}(\alpha_j; u, T_k v) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (k = 1, 2, 3). \quad (5.3)$$

Introducing

$$\chi = (\alpha_j - \gamma_1)^{m_1/2} (\alpha_j - \gamma_2)^{m_2/2} (\alpha_j - \gamma_3)^{m_3/2} \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.4)$$

we then have

$$T_k \chi = D^{(\gamma_k)}(\alpha_j; u, T_k v) \chi, \quad (k = 1, 2, 3). \quad (5.5)$$

In other words, the overdetermined system of equations (2.9a-c) has a compatible solution χ for the parameter $\lambda = \alpha_j$. Now, for the lpKP equation (1.2), recalling Proposition 2.1, we arrive at the following.

Proposition 5.1. *The lpKP equation (1.2), $\Xi^{(0,3)} = 0$, has a special solution*

$$\begin{aligned} W(m_1, m_2, m_3) = & 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \log \frac{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(m_1, m_2, m_3) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]}{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]} \\ & - 2 \sum_{s=1}^3 m_s [\gamma_s + \varphi_s(0)] + W(0, 0, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\vec{\phi}(m_1, m_2, m_3) = \sum_{s=1}^3 m_s \vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_s} + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0), \quad (5.7)$$

and $\varphi_s(z)$ is defined by equation (4.14) in the case of $\gamma = \gamma_s$.

Proof. We have ($k = 1, 2, 3$)

$$T_k W - W = 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \log \frac{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + T_k \vec{\phi}(m_1, m_2, m_3) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]}{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(m_1, m_2, m_3) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]} - 2[\gamma_k + \varphi_k(0)].$$

It is equal to $-2u(T_k v)$ by equation (4.19). Thus W solves (2.10a-c) simultaneously. According to Proposition 2.1, W solves equation (1.2). \square

6 Solutions of other equations

In solving pKP equation $\Xi^{(j,k)} = 0$ that contains at least one continuous argument x , we will derive an explicit analytic expression for uv , which is similar to (4.19) and also meets the auxiliary equation (2.10d). This can be done on the Liouville integrable platform as well, like in the discrete case. We list the main steps as follows.

Consider $(p(x), q(x)) = g_{H_1}^x(p_0, q_0)$. Hence $(u(x), v(x)) = (-<p, p>, <q, q>)$ provide the finite genus potential functions. For the ZS-AKNS equation (2.2) with these potential functions, the solution space \mathcal{E}_λ is invariant under the action of $L(\lambda)$ due to the commutativity relation (3.3). The linear operator $L(\lambda)$ has eigenvalues $\pm H(\lambda)$, with associated eigenvectors h_\pm in \mathcal{E}_λ , satisfying

$$L(\lambda)h_\pm(x, \lambda) = \pm H(\lambda)h_\pm(x, \lambda), \quad (6.1a)$$

$$\partial_x h_\pm(x, \lambda) = U_1(\lambda; u(x), v(x))h_\pm(x, \lambda). \quad (6.1b)$$

Let $M(x, \lambda)$ be basic solution matrix of equation (6.1b). The eigenvectors are uniquely determined under the normalized condition $h_\pm^{(2)}(0, \lambda) = 1$ and can be expressed as

$$h_\pm(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} h_\pm^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \\ h_\pm^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = M(x, \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} c_\lambda^\pm \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.2a)$$

$$c_\lambda^\pm = \frac{L^{11}(0, \lambda) \pm H(\lambda)}{L^{21}(0, \lambda)}. \quad (6.2b)$$

Two meromorphic functions $\mathfrak{h}^{(\kappa)}(x, \mathfrak{p})$, $\kappa = 1, 2$, are defined in $\mathcal{R} - \{\infty_+, \infty_-\}$ by

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(\kappa)}(x, \mathfrak{p}(\lambda)) = h_+^{(\kappa)}(x, \lambda), \quad \mathfrak{h}^{(\kappa)}(x, \tau\mathfrak{p}(\lambda)) = h_-^{(\kappa)}(x, \lambda).$$

A formula of Dubrovin-Novikov's type is derived from the commutativity relation (3.3). It is used to calculate the divisor of $\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(x, \mathfrak{p})$, which is equal to $\sum_{j=1}^g [\mathfrak{p}(\nu_j(x)) - \mathfrak{p}(\nu_j(0))]$. By equations (3.12b) and (3.16b), we have

$$\vec{\phi}(x) = \mathcal{A} \left(\sum_{j=1}^g \mathfrak{p}(\nu_j(x)) \right) \equiv x\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(0), \quad (\text{mod } \mathcal{T}). \quad (6.3)$$

On the two-sheeted Riemann surface \mathcal{R} , an Abel differential, $\omega^{(1)}[\infty_-, \infty_+]$, of the third kind is constructed, having only poles at ∞_-, ∞_+ with

$$\omega^{(1)}[\infty_-, \infty_+] = \begin{cases} [-z^{-2} - a^{(1)}(z)]dz, & \text{near } \infty_+, \\ [+z^{-2} + a^{(1)}(z)]dz, & \text{near } \infty_-, \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

where $a^{(1)}(z)$ is holomorphic near $z \sim 0$. Without loss of generality, it can be arranged to satisfy the condition

$$\int_{a_j} \omega^{(1)} = 0, \quad \int_{b_j} \omega^{(1)} = -4\pi i \Omega_1^j, \quad (1 \leq j \leq g), \quad (6.5)$$

where $\vec{\Omega}_1 = (\Omega_1^1, \dots, \Omega_1^g)^T$. Actually, by adding a linear combination of holomorphic differentials $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ to $\omega^{(1)}$, we can make the former formula in equation (6.5) valid. The latter is a corollary of the former, which can be verified by using the canonical representation of the

Riemann surface \mathcal{R} [13, 26]. The form of local expressions (6.4) is invariant with adjusted $a^{(1)}(z)$. We adopt the same symbol, for short. Through a usual analysis we reconstruct [5, 26]

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(2)}(x, \mathbf{p}) = c^{(2)}(x) \frac{\theta[-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \vec{\phi}(x) + \vec{K}]}{\theta[-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \vec{\phi}(0) + \vec{K}]} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{x}{2} \int_{\mathbf{p}_0}^{\mathbf{p}} \omega^{(1)}[\infty_-, \infty_+]\right), \quad (6.6)$$

where $c^{(2)}$ is independent of $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{R}$. Equations (6.5) are used to cancel the extra factors caused by the uncertain linear combination of the contours $a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g$ in the integration route from the point \mathbf{p}_0 to \mathbf{p} , both in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ and in the integral of $\omega^{(1)}$.

By equation (3.10), near ∞_- we have ($z = \lambda^{-1} \sim 0$)

$$-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) = \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{\Omega}_1 z + O(z^2), \quad \vec{\eta}_- = \int_{\infty_-}^{\mathbf{p}_0} \vec{\omega}.$$

Exerting action $\partial_z \partial_x \log$ on equation (6.6), we obtain $\partial_z \partial_x \log h_-^{(2)}$, which is equal to

$$\partial_z \partial_x \log \theta[\vec{\Omega}_1 z + O(z^2) + \vec{\phi}(x) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}] + \frac{1}{2}[z^{-2} + a^{(1)}(z)]. \quad (6.7)$$

On the other hand, by equation (6.1a) we estimate

$$v \frac{h_-^{(1)}}{h_-^{(2)}} = v \frac{L^{11}(\lambda) - H(\lambda)}{L^{21}(\lambda)} = -uv\lambda^{-1} + O(\lambda^{-2}), \quad (6.8)$$

where the following estimations are employed,

$$\begin{aligned} L^{11}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} + \langle p, q \rangle z + \langle Ap, q \rangle z^2 + O(z^3), \\ L^{21}(\lambda) &= \langle q, q \rangle z + O(z^2), \\ H(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} - 2H_0 z - 2H_1 z^2 + O(z^3). \end{aligned}$$

From equation (6.1b) and the estimation (6.8), we have

$$\partial_x \log h_-^{(2)} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} + v \frac{h_-^{(1)}}{h_-^{(2)}} = -\frac{1}{2} z^{-1} - uvz + O(z^2), \quad (6.9a)$$

$$\partial_z \partial_x \log h_-^{(2)} = z^{-2}/2 - uv + O(z). \quad (6.9b)$$

Then, equating equation (6.7) with (6.9b) to cancel the singular term $z^{-2}/2$, we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.1. *Let (u, v) be finite genus potential functions for equation (2.2). Then*

$$-2uv = 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \partial_x \log \theta[\vec{\Omega}_1 z + \vec{\phi}(x) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}] + a^{(1)}(0). \quad (6.10)$$

Next, we can recover W for the pKP equations with continuous arguments. In order to solve $\Xi^{(1,2)} = 0$, we consider the integrable maps $S_{\gamma_1}, S_{\gamma_2}$ and $g_{H_1}^x$, which commute in pairs since they share the same integrals $\{E_j\}$ (cf. [10]). Well-defined functions are constructed as

$$(p(x, m_1, m_2), q(x, m_1, m_2)) = g_{H_1}^x S_{\gamma_1}^{m_1} S_{\gamma_2}^{m_2}(p_0, q_0), \quad (6.11a)$$

$$(u(x, m_1, m_2), v(x, m_1, m_2)) = (-\langle p, p \rangle, \langle q, q \rangle)|_{(x, m_1, m_2)}. \quad (6.11b)$$

By the commutativity of the flows, the functions in equation (6.11a) can be presented in three ways, respectively, as

$$(p(x), q(x)) = g_{H_1}^x(p'_0, q'_0), \quad (6.12a)$$

$$(p(m_k), q(m_k)) = S_{\gamma_k}^{m_k}(p_0^{(k)}, q_0^{(k)}), \quad (k = 1, 2). \quad (6.12b)$$

Thus the j -th component satisfies three equations simultaneously with $\lambda = \alpha_j$,

$$\partial_x \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} = U_1(\alpha_j; u, v) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.13a)$$

$$T_k \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha_j - \gamma_k)^{-1/2} D^{(\gamma_k)}(\alpha_j; u, T_k v) \begin{pmatrix} p_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (k = 1, 2). \quad (6.13b)$$

Introducing $\chi = (\alpha_j - \gamma_1)^{m_1/2} (\alpha_j - \gamma_2)^{m_2/2} (p_j, q_j)^T$, we have

$$\partial_x \chi = U_1(\alpha_j; u, v) \chi, \quad (6.14a)$$

$$T_k \chi = D^{(\gamma_k)}(\alpha_j; u, T_k v) \chi, \quad (k = 1, 2). \quad (6.14b)$$

Thus equations (2.2) and (2.9a,b) have a compatible solution χ for the parameter $\lambda = \alpha_j$.

Proposition 6.2. *The semi-discrete pKP equation (1.3), i.e. $\Xi^{(1,2)} = 0$, has a solution*

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, m_1, m_2) = & 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \log \frac{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(x, m_1, m_2) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]}{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]} \\ & - 2 \sum_{s=1}^2 m_s [\gamma_s + \varphi_s(0)] + a^{(1)}(0)x + W(0, 0, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where $\vec{\phi}(x, m_1, m_2) = x\vec{\Omega}_1 + \sum_{s=1}^2 m_s \vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_s} + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0)$, $\varphi_s(z)$ defined by equation (4.14) with $\gamma = \gamma_s$, and $a^{(1)}(z)$ given by equation (6.4).

Proof. From (6.15) we have ($k = 1, 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x W &= 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \partial_x \log \theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(x, m_1, m_2) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}] + a^{(1)}(0), \\ T_k W - W &= 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \log \frac{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + T_k \vec{\phi}(x, m_1, m_2) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]}{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(x, m_1, m_2) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]} - 2[\gamma_k + \varphi_k(0)], \end{aligned}$$

which are equal to $-2uv$ and $-2u(T_k v)$ according to equations (6.10) and (4.19), respectively. Recalling Proposition 2.2, W solves equation (1.3). \square

By similar analysis we have the following.

Proposition 6.3. *The semi-discrete pKP equation (1.4), i.e. $\Xi^{(2,1)} = 0$, has a solution*

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, y, m_1) = & 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \log \frac{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(x, y, m_1) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]}{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]} \\ & - 2m_1 [\gamma_1 + \varphi_1(0)] + a^{(1)}(0)x + W(0, 0, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

where $\vec{\phi}(x, y, m_1) = x\vec{\Omega}_1 + y\vec{\Omega}_2 + m_1 \vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_1} + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0)$.

Proposition 6.4. *The pKP equation (1.5), i.e. $\Xi^{(3,0)} = 0$, is solved by*

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, y, t) = & 2\partial_z|_{z=0} \log \frac{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(x, y, t) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]}{\theta[z\vec{\Omega}_1 + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0) + \vec{\eta}_- + \vec{K}]} \\ & + a^{(1)}(0)x + W(0, 0, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

where $\vec{\phi}(x, y, t) = x\vec{\Omega}_1 + y\vec{\Omega}_2 + t\vec{\Omega}_3 + \vec{\phi}(0, 0, 0)$.

7 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have shown that the lpKP equation, semi-discrete pKP equations and continuous pKP equation can be derived as compatibilities of Lax triads that originate from the ZS-AKNS spectral problems. The approach to constructing finite genus solutions for 2D lattice equations [9, 10] was extended to 3D cases. As a result, we obtained finite genus solutions for the discrete, semi-discrete and continuous pKP equations. Note that these solutions are different from the elliptic solitons that are genus-one solutions obtained by Nijhoff, et al. in [23, 27].

In deriving those pKP equations, we employed the auxiliary relations (2.10). We note that usually W in (2.10) can not be exactly solved out for all arbitrarily given (u, v) ; therefore it is hard to say when Lax triads provide strict integrability for 3D equations in some cases (cf. [20]). However, as for the case of finite genus solutions, since the finite-dimensional integrable flows $g_{H_j}^{\tau_j}$ and $S_{\gamma_k}^{m_k}$ share same Liouville integrals, same Lax matrix and same algebraic curve, it enables us to treat (2.10) on the same Liouville platform and obtain explicit expressions for W from (2.10) by algebro-geometric integration.

The lpKP equation is one of the five octahedron-type equations with 4D consistency [3]. We believe our approach can be extended to other octahedron-type integrable equations. This will be a part of our future work.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referee for the invaluable comments. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos 10971200, 11501521, 11426206, 11631007 and 11875040 for the three authors, respectively).

Appendices

A An heuristic deduction of Ansatz (2.26)

The Abel-Jacobi variable $\vec{\phi}$ in the Jacobian variety $J(\mathcal{R})$ provides a favorable window to observe the evolution of the discrete symplectic flow $S_{\gamma_k}^{m_k}$ as well as the Hamiltonian flow $g_{H_j}^{\tau_j}$. The discrete velocity $\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_k}$ of $\vec{\phi}$ is given by equation (4.11c), while the continuous velocity $\vec{\Omega}_j$ is calculated by equation (3.10) and (3.16a). They are bridged by the normalized basis $\vec{\omega}$ of holomorphic differentials. Let the parameter $\gamma = \gamma_k$ tend to be infinity in the way as $\gamma_k = -1/\varepsilon_k$, with $\varepsilon_k = c_k\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By the local expression of $\vec{\omega}$ near ∞_+ given by equation (3.10), we have

$$\vec{\Omega}_{\gamma_k} = \int_{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma_k)}^{\infty_+} \vec{\omega} = \varepsilon_k \vec{\Omega}_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{2} \vec{\Omega}_2 + \frac{\varepsilon_k^3}{3} \vec{\Omega}_3 + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

Substituting this into equation (5.7), we obtain

$$\vec{\phi} - \vec{\phi}_0 = \sum_{k=1}^3 m_k \left(\varepsilon_k \vec{\Omega}_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{2} \vec{\Omega}_2 + \frac{\varepsilon_k^3}{3} \vec{\Omega}_3 \right) + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

On the other hand, by equation (6.17), the 3D continuous evolution of $\vec{\phi}$ reads

$$\vec{\phi} - \vec{\phi}_0 = (x - x_0) \vec{\Omega}_1 + (y - y_0) \vec{\Omega}_2 + (t - t_0) \vec{\Omega}_3.$$

Thus, up to $O(\varepsilon^4)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x - x_0 &= \sum_{s=1}^3 m_s \varepsilon_s, & y - y_0 &= - \sum_{s=1}^3 m_s \frac{\varepsilon_s^2}{2}, & t - t_0 &= \sum_{s=1}^3 m_s \frac{\varepsilon_s^3}{3}, \\ T_k x &= x + \varepsilon_k, & T_k y &= y - \frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{2}, & T_k t &= t + \frac{\varepsilon_k^3}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting them into $T_k W = W(T_k x, T_k y, T_k t)$, we obtain Ansatz (2.26).

B Continuum limit of the INLS

The INLS equation (2.12), i.e. $\Xi^{(0,2)} = 0$, is first obtained by Konopelchenko [18]. It is solved in [10]. At first glance, its relation with the NLS equation (2.17), $\Xi^{(2,0)} = 0$, is not clear. It turns out that there is a transformation of Nijhoff's type,

$$u = (-\gamma_1)^{m_1} (-\gamma_2)^{m_2} u', \quad v = (-\gamma_1)^{-m_1} (-\gamma_2)^{-m_2} v',$$

which reduces the INLS equation into an equation of (u', v') ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Xi')_1^{(0,2)} &\equiv (\gamma_1 \gamma_2)^{-1} (\gamma_1 \tilde{u}' - \gamma_2 \bar{u}') u' \tilde{v}' + \gamma_1 (\tilde{u}' - u') - \gamma_2 (\bar{u}' - u') = 0, \\ (\Xi')_2^{(0,2)} &\equiv (\gamma_1 \gamma_2)^{-1} (\gamma_2 \tilde{v}' - \gamma_1 \bar{v}') u' \tilde{v}' + \gamma_1 (\tilde{v}' - \bar{v}') - \gamma_2 (\bar{v}' - \tilde{v}') = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $-\gamma_k^{-1} = \varepsilon_k = c_k \varepsilon$, ($k = 1, 2$), where c_1, c_2 are distinct non-zero constants. For any smooth functions $u'(x, y)$, $v'(x, y)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}' &= u'(x + \varepsilon_1, y - \varepsilon_1^2/2), & \bar{u}' &= u'(x + \varepsilon_2, y - \varepsilon_2^2/2), \\ \tilde{\tilde{u}}' &= u'(x + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, y - \varepsilon_1^2/2 - \varepsilon_2^2/2), \end{aligned}$$

and similar expressions for \tilde{v}' , \bar{v}' , $\tilde{\tilde{v}}'$. Then, as $\varepsilon \sim 0$, we have the following Taylor expansion which confirms that the continuum limit of the INLS is NLS up to a Nijhoff's type transformation,

$$(\Xi')^{(0,2)} = \left(\frac{u'_y - u'_{xx} + 2(u')^2 v'}{v'_y + v'_{xx} - 2u'(v')^2} \right) \frac{c_1 - c_2}{2} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Similarly, the semi-discrete NLS equation (2.19), $\Xi^{(1,1)} = 0$, is transformed as

$$(\Xi')^{(1,1)} \equiv \left(\frac{u'_x + \gamma_1 (\tilde{u}' - u') - \gamma_1^{-1} (u')^2 \tilde{v}'}{\tilde{v}'_x + \gamma_1 (\tilde{v}' - v') + \gamma_1^{-1} u' (v')^2} \right) = 0,$$

by the transformation of Nijhoff's type, $u = (-\gamma_1)^{m_1} u'$, $v = (-\gamma_1)^{-m_1} v'$. Let

$$\tilde{u}' = u'(x + \varepsilon_1, y - \varepsilon_1^2/2), \quad \tilde{v}' = v'(x + \varepsilon_1, y - \varepsilon_1^2/2).$$

Then, as $\varepsilon \sim 0$, we have the following Taylor expansion, which confirms that the continuum limit of the time-discrete NLS equation (2.19) is the NLS equation up to a Nijhoff's type transformation,

$$(\Xi')^{(1,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} u'_y - u'_{xx} + 2(u')^2 v' \\ v'_y + v'_{xx} - 2u'(v')^2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{c_1}{2} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

References

- [1] Ablowitz M J and Ladik J 1975 Nonlinear differential-difference equations *J. Math. Phys.* **16** 598-603
- [2] Ablowitz M J and Ladik J 1976 Nonlinear differential-difference equations and Fourier analysis *J. Math. Phys.* **17** 1011-1018
- [3] Adler V E, Bobenko A I and Suris Yu B 2012 Classification of integrable discrete equations of octahedron type *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2012** 1822-1889
- [4] Adler V E and Yamilov R I 1994 Explicit auto-transformations of integrable chains *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 477-492
- [5] Arnold V I and Novikov S P (Eds.) 1990 *Dynamical Systems IV* (Berlin: Springer)
- [6] Burchnall J L and Chaundy T W 1923 Commutative ordinary differential operators *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. Ser.2* **21** 420-440
- [7] Burchnall J L and Chaundy T W 1928 Commutative ordinary differential operators *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **118** 557-583
- [8] Cao C W, Wu Y T and Geng X G 1999 Relation between the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and the confocal involutive system *J. Math. Phys.* **40** 3948-3970
- [9] Cao C W and Xu X X 2012 A finite genus solution of the H1 model *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** 055213(13pp)
- [10] Cao C W and Zhang G Y 2012 Integrable symplectic maps associated with the ZS-AKNS spectral problem *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** 265201(15pp)
- [11] Chen K, Deng X and Zhang D J 2017 Symmetry constraint of the differential-difference KP hierarchy and a second discretization of the ZS-AKNS system *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **24: sup 1** 18-35.
- [12] Date E, Jimbo M and Miwa T 1982 Method for generating discrete soliton equations II *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **51** 4125-4131
- [13] Farkas H M and Kra I 1992 *Riemann Surfaces* (New York: Springer)

- [14] Fu W, Huang L, Tamizhmani K M and Zhang D J 2013 Integrability properties of the differential-difference Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and continuum limits *Nonlinearity* **26** 3197-229
- [15] Grammaticos B, Kosmann-Schwarzbach Y and Tamizmani T (Eds) 2004 *Discrete Integrable Systems* (Berlin: Springer)
- [16] Hietarinta J, Joshi N and Nijhoff F W 2016 *Discrete Systems and Integrability* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- [17] Kanaga Vel S and Tamizhmani K M 1997 Lax pairs, symmetries and conservation laws of a differential-difference equation-Sato's approach *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **8** 917-931
- [18] Konopelchenko B 1982 Elementary Bäcklund transformations, nonlinear superposition principle and solutions of the integrable equations *Phys. Lett. A* **87** 445-448
- [19] Konopelchenko B, Sidorenko J and Strampp W 1991 (1+1)-dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constraints of (2+1)-dimensional systems *Phys. Lett. A* **157** 17-21
- [20] Levi D, Ragnisco O and Shabat A B 1994 Construction of higher local (2+1) dimensional exponential lattice equations, *Can. J. Phys.* **72** 439-441
- [21] Matveev V B 2008 30 years of finite-gap integration theory, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* textbf366 837-875
- [22] Merola I, Ragnisco O and Tu G Z 1994 A novel hierarchy of integrable lattices, *Inverse Problems* **10** 1315-1334
- [23] Nijhoff F W and Atkinson J 2010 Elliptic N -soliton solutions of ABS lattice equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2010** 3837-3895
- [24] Nijhoff F W, Capel H W, Wiersma G L and Quispel G R W 1984 Bäcklund transformations and three-dimensional lattice equations *Phys. Lett. A* **105** 267-272
- [25] Suris Yu B 2003 *The Problem of Integrable Discretization: Hamiltonian Approach* (Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag)
- [26] Toda M 1981 *Theory of Nonlinear Lattices* (Berlin: Springer)
- [27] Yoo-Kong S and Nijhoff F W 2013 Elliptic (N, N) -soliton solutions of the lattice Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation *J. Math. Phys.* **54** 043511(20pp)