

BLOCK BASIS FOR COINVARIANTS OF MODULAR PSEUDO-REFLECTION GROUPS

KE OU

ABSTRACT. As a sequel of [10], in this short note, we investigate block basis for coinvariants of finite modular pseudo-reflection groups. We are particularly interested in the case where G is a subgroup of the parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ which generalizes the Weyl groups of restricted Cartan type Lie algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a fixed prime and \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with $q = p^r$ for some $r \geq 1$. The finite general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ acts naturally on the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{P} := S^\bullet(V)$ where $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the natural $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ -module. If G is any subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$, then we denote by \mathcal{P}^G the ring of G -invariant polynomials. The ring of coinvariants, which we denote by \mathcal{P}_G , is the quotient of \mathcal{P} by the ideal generated by the homogeneous elements in \mathcal{P}^G with positive degree.

A block basis for \mathcal{P}_G (see 2.3 for definition) is a nice basis consisting of the monomial factors of a single monomial. Such basis simplify computations in many ways. Generally, the combinatorics involved in writing a polynomial or the products of elements in the block basis in terms of such a basis is less complicated since we are dealing with monomials. As an application, the authors of [1] use the block basis to determine the image of the transfer over some specific group G .

The $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ invariants in \mathcal{P} are determined by Dickson [2]. The block basis for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(q)}$ is investigated by Steinberg [11] and Campbell-Hughes-Shank-Wehlau [1]. For a composition $I = (n_1, \dots, n_l)$ of n , let GL_I be the parabolic subgroup associated to I . Generalizing [2], Kuhn and Mitchell [7] showed that the algebra $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{GL}_I}$ is a polynomial algebra in n explicit generators.

Let G_I and U_I be a subgroup of GL_I with forms

$$(1.1) \quad G_I = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & G_2 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & G_l \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } U_I = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & I_{n_2} & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{n_l} \end{pmatrix} \text{ respectively,}$$

such that $G_i < \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(q)$ for all i where I_j is the identity matrix of $\mathrm{GL}_j(q)$.

Note that G_I is a generalization of GL_I as well as the Weyl groups of Cartan type Lie algebras. Precisely, $G_I = \mathrm{GL}_I$ if $G_i = \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(q)$ for all i . And G_I is a Weyl group of Cartan type Lie algebras if $l = 2$, $q = p$, $G_1 = \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(q)$, $G_2 = S_{n_2}$ or $G(2, 1, n_2)$ (cf. [5]). From the viewpoint of representation theory, the coinvariants of Weyl group of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} are providing very interesting yet limited answers to the problem of understanding \mathfrak{g} modules. For example, Jantzen describes the basic algebra of block with regular weight by using coinvariants of Weyl group in [4, Proposition 10.12].

Date: February 26, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A50; 17B50.

Key words and phrases. Modular invariant theory, Pseudo-reflection group, block basis, coinvariants.

Meanwhile, G_I is a modular finite pseudo-reflection group if $l \geq 2$ and all G_i are pseudo-reflection groups since $p \mid |U_I|$ (subsection 2.2). The invariants and coinvariants for a modular pseudo-reflection group can be quite complicate (see [9] for example). Our investigation generalizes the results for modular coinvariants by Steinberg [11] and Campell-Hughes-Shank-Wehlau [1].

In [10], we study \mathcal{P}^{U_I} and \mathcal{P}^{G_I} which are in turns out to be polynomial algebras. In this short note, we are interested in the block basis for the coinvariants \mathcal{P}_{U_I} (Theorem 5.2) and \mathcal{P}_{G_I} (Theorem 6.3). As an application, the block basis for \mathcal{P}_{G_I} are described precisely when G_i is $\text{GL}_{n_i}(q)$ and $G(m, a, n_i)$ in Theorem 4.6, Proposition 6.4 and Proposition 6.6. Our approach is in turn built on [1] where the authors describe sufficient conditions for the existence of a block basis for \mathcal{P}_G .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and 3, we review some needed results from [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10]. Section 4 deals with the block basis for coinvariants of $G(m, a, n)$. A block basis for \mathcal{P}_{U_I} are given in Section 5 and section 6 describes the block basis for \mathcal{P}_{G_I} .

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Set $m_0 = 0$ and $m_k = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$, $k = 1, \dots, l$. For each $1 \leq s \leq n$, define

$$\tau(s) = m_j \text{ if } m_j < s \leq m_{j+1}.$$

Recall the definition of G_I and U_I in 1.1. Then $G_I = L_I \ltimes U_I$, where

$$L_I = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & G_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & G_l \end{pmatrix},$$

Suppose $V = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_q}$, the symmetric algebra $S^\bullet(V)$ will be identified with $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Namely, $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

2.2. Pseudo-reflection groups. In this subsection, we will recall some basic facts for pseudo-reflection groups. More details refer to [6].

For a finite dimensional vector space W over \mathbb{F}_q , a pseudo-reflection is a linear isomorphism $s : W \rightarrow W$ that is not the identity map, but leaves a hyperplane $H \subseteq W$ pointwise invariant. $G \subseteq \text{GL}(W)$ is a pseudo-reflection group if G is generated by its pseudo-reflections. We call G is non-modular if $p \nmid |G|$ while G is modular otherwise.

Lemma 2.1. [10, Lemma 2.1] *G_I is a finite pseudo-reflection group if all G_i are finite pseudo-reflection groups.*

Remark 2.2. As a corollary, the Weyl groups of restricted Cartan type Lie algebras with type W , S and H are modular pseudo-reflection groups ([5]).

2.3. block basis. In this subsection, we will list some results of block basis for coinvariants. More details refer to [1].

A homogeneous system of parameters for \mathcal{P} is any collection of homogeneous elements e_1, \dots, e_n with the property that they generate a polynomial subalgebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K}[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ over which \mathcal{P} is a free \mathcal{A} -module. Moreover, a basis for \mathcal{P} as a free module over \mathcal{A} is any set of elements of \mathcal{P} that projects to a \mathbb{K} -basis for \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{I} where $\mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{A}_+)$ is the ideal generated by $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. Denote d_i the degree of f_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 2.3. [1, Definition 1.1] Let $f \in \mathcal{P}$ be a monomial. We say f generates a block basis for \mathcal{P} over \mathcal{A} (or for \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{I}) if the set of all monomial factors of f is a basis of vector space \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{I} . Such a basis consisting of all the monomial factors of a single monomial is called a *block basis*.

In [1], the authors provide sufficient conditions for the existence of a block basis for P/\mathcal{I} . Note that the symmetric group S_n acts on \mathcal{P} by permuting the variables. Let $\Sigma(\mathcal{A})$ be the subgroup of S_n fixing \mathcal{A} pointwise. Denote $a \equiv b$ if $a - b \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.4. [1, Definition 4.1] We will say that $w = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}$ is a critical monomial associated to $\alpha = x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n}$, if:

- (1) for every $g \in \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$, there is an i such that $m_i > t_{g(i)}$;
- (2) no proper factor of w satisfies the first condition.

Suppose $\Sigma(\mathcal{A}) = S_n$ and the t_i form a decreasing sequence. In this case, the critical monomials associated to α are $(x_1 \cdots x_i)^{t_i+1}$.

Lemma 2.5. [1, Theorem 4.1] Suppose $\alpha = x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n}$ generates a block basis. Then the sequence t_i is a permutation of the sequence $d_i - 1$ and the degree of α is $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i - n$. Furthermore, if $g \in \Sigma(\mathcal{A})$, then $g(\alpha)$ also generates a block basis.

Lemma 2.6. [1, Theorem 4.4] Suppose $\alpha = x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n}$ has trivial critical monomials and the sequence t_i is a permutation of $d_i - 1$. Then α generates a block basis for P/\mathcal{I} .

3. INVARIANTS OF \mathcal{P}

In this section, we will first recall the works by Dickson [2] and Kuhn-Mitchell [7] on invariants in P . And then tcretirierhe G_I invariants in \mathcal{P} will be investigated.

3.1. The invariants of Dickson and Kuhn-Mitchell. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, define homogeneous polynomials $V_k, L_n, Q_{n,k}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_k &= \prod_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q} (\lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots \lambda_{k-1} x_{k-1} + x_k), \\ L_k &= \prod_{i=1}^k V_i = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q} (\lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots \lambda_{i-1} x_{i-1} + x_i), \\ F_n(X) &= \prod_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q} (X + \lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = X^{q^n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Q_{n,k} X^{q^k}. \end{aligned}$$

According to [2], the algebra of invariants over $\text{GL}_n(q)$ in \mathcal{P} are polynomial algebras. Moreover,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{P}^{\text{GL}_n(q)} = \mathbb{F}_q[Q_{n,0}, \dots, Q_{n,n-1}].$$

For $1 \leq i \leq l$, $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, define

$$(3.2) \quad v_{i,j} = F_{m_{i-1}}(x_{m_{i-1}+j}),$$

$$(3.3) \quad q_{i,j} = Q_{n_i,j}(v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,n_i}).$$

Then $\deg(v_{i,j}) = q^{m_{i-1}}$ and $\deg(q_{i,j}) = q^{m_i} - q^{m_i-j}$.

By the proof of [8, Lemma 1],

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{P}^{U_I} = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,n_2}, \dots, v_{l,1}, \dots, v_{l,n_l}].$$

Moreover, by [7, Theorem 2.2] and [3, Theorem 1.4],

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{P}^{\text{GL}_I} = \mathbb{F}_q[q_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq n_i],$$

3.2. The invariants of G_I .

Lemma 3.1. [10, Proposition 3.2] *For $1 \leq i \leq l$, assume that $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}]^{G_i} = \mathbb{F}_q[e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,n_i}]$ is a polynomial algebra. For $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, define $u_{i,j} = e_{i,j}(v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,n_i})$. The subalgebra \mathcal{P}^{G_I} of G_I -invariants in \mathcal{P} is a polynomial ring on the generators $u_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq n_i$. Namely,*

$$\mathcal{P}^G = \mathbb{F}_q[u_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq n_i].$$

4. BLOCK BASIS FOR THE COINVARIANTS OF $G(m, a, n)$

Recall that $G(m, a, n) \simeq S_n \ltimes A(m, a, n)$, which is called imprimitive reflection group, where

$$A(m, a, n) = \{\text{diag}(w_1, \dots, w_n) \mid w_j^m = (w_1 \cdots w_n)^{m/a} = 1\}.$$

Denote $G = G(m, a, n)$, it is well-known that $\mathcal{P}^G = \mathbb{K}[e_1, \dots, e_n]$, where

$$e_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq n} x_{j_1}^m \cdots x_{j_i}^m & i \neq n \\ (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m/a} & i = n \end{cases}.$$

Recall that \mathcal{I} is the ideal of \mathcal{P} generated by e_1, \dots, e_n , and $d_i := \deg(e_i) = \begin{cases} mi & i \neq n \\ mn/a & i = n \end{cases}$. Clearly $\Sigma(\mathcal{P}^G) = S_n$.

4.1. $a = 1$ case. In this case, let $\alpha = x_1^{m-1} x_2^{2m-1} \cdots x_n^{mn-1}$. The critical monomials associated to α are $(x_n \cdots x_i)^{mi}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq i$, let $e_{k,i} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq i} x_{j_1}^m \cdots x_{j_k}^m$ if $k \neq 0$ and $e_{0,i} = 0$. Then $e_{k,n} = e_k$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Lemma 4.1. *For all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq r \leq n+1-i$, one have*

$$(x_n \cdots x_{n+1-i})^{mr} e_{n+1-i-r, n-i} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on i .

(1) When $i = 1$, one need to verify that $x_n^{mr} e_{n-r, n-1} \in \mathcal{I}$. We will use induction on r to prove it.

(a) When $r = 1$, $x_n^m e_{n-1, n-1} = e_{n, n} \in \mathcal{I}$.

(b) Suppose $r > 1$. Note that

$$(4.1) \quad e_{k,i} = e_{k,i-1} + x_i^m e_{k-1,i-1}.$$

Therefore, $x_n^{mr} e_{n-r, n-1} + x_n^{m(r-1)} e_{n-r+1, n-1} = x_n^{m(r-1)} e_{n-r+1} \in \mathcal{I}$. By induction assumption on r , $x_n^{m(r-1)} e_{n-r+1, n-1} \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus $x_n^{mr} e_{n-r, n-1} \in \mathcal{I}$.

(2) Suppose $i > 1$. Again we will use induction on r to prove $(x_n \cdots x_{n+1-i})^{mr} e_{n+1-i-r, n-i} \in \mathcal{I}$.

(a) When $r = 1$, $(x_n \cdots x_{n+1-i})^m e_{n-i, n-i} = e_{n, n} \in \mathcal{I}$.

(b) Suppose $r > 1$. By 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_n \cdots x_{n+1-i})^{mr} e_{n+1-i-r, n-i} + (x_n \cdots x_{n+2-i})^{mr} x_{n+1-i}^{m(r-1)} e_{n+2-i-r, n-i} \\ &= (x_n \cdots x_{n+2-i})^{mr} x_{n+1-i}^{m(r-1)} e_{n+2-i-r, n-i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the primary induction hypothesis, $(x_n \cdots x_{n+2-i})^{mr} x_{n+1-i}^{m(r-1)} e_{n+2-i-r, n-i+1} \in \mathcal{I}$. Using the secondary induction hypothesis, $(x_n \cdots x_{n+2-i})^{mr} x_{n+1-i}^{m(r-1)} e_{n+2-i-r, n-i} \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus, $(x_n \cdots x_{n+1-i})^{mr} e_{n+1-i-r, n-i} \in \mathcal{I}$. □

Set $r = n+1-i$, one have $(x_n \cdots x_r)^{mr} e_{0, n-i} = (x_n \cdots x_r)^{mr} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Corollary 4.2. *The critical monomial $(x_n \cdots x_r)^{mr}, r = 1, \dots, n$, are trivial.*

By Lemma 2.6, one have

Corollary 4.3. *The monomial $\alpha = x_1^{m-1}x_2^{2m-1}\cdots x_n^{mn-1}$ generates a block basis for the coinvariants of $G(m, 1, n)$.*

By Lemma 2.5, it's convenient to deal with the block basis given by $x_1^{mn-1}x_2^{m(n-1)-1}\cdots x_n^{m-1}$ to describe the product in \mathcal{P}_G . In fact, we need to write x_{n+1-r}^{mr} in terms of our block basis.

Let $s_{k,i} = \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_i=k} x_{j_1}^{mj_1}\cdots x_{j_i}^{mj_i}$. Observe that $s_{k,i} = x_i^m s_{k-1,i} + s_{k,i-1}$. The proof of [1, Theorem 8.4] still works. Namely, if $k+i \geq n+1$, $s_{k,n+1-i} \in \mathcal{I}$. As Corollary, one have

Proposition 4.4. *Keep notations as above,*

$$x_{n+1-r}^{mr} \equiv - \sum_{\substack{j_1+\cdots+j_{n+1-r}=r \\ j_{n+1-r} \neq r}} x_{j_1}^{mj_1} \cdots x_{j_{n+1-r}}^{mj_{n+1-r}}.$$

Note that the summands on the right hand side form a subset of our block basis. This proposition provides a recursive algorithm for computing products in \mathcal{P}_G .

4.2. $a \neq 1$ case. Suppose $a \neq 1$ now. By Lemma 2.5, all candidates of block generators for coinvariants of $G(m, a, n)$ are $\alpha_\sigma = x_{\sigma(1)}^{m-1}x_{\sigma(2)}^{2m-1}\cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{mn/a-1}$ for $\sigma \in S_n$.

Lemma 4.5. *Keep notations as above. $\alpha_\sigma \in \mathcal{I}$. In particular, there is no block basis for the coinvariants of $G(m, a, n)$.*

Proof. Note that $mn/a - 1 \geq m/a$ unless $n = 1$ which we omit. Since $a \neq 1$ by hypothesis, hence $(m-1)(a-1) \geq 1$ and $m-1 \geq m/a$. Therefore, there exist $f_n \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\alpha_\sigma = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{m/a} f_n = e_n f_n \in \mathcal{I}.$$

□

4.3. general case. Combining Corollary 4.3, Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, we have one of our main results.

Theorem 4.6. *The coinvariants of $G(m, a, n)$ has block basis if and only if $a = 1$. Moreover, if $a = 1$, the monomial $x_1^{mn-1}x_2^{m(n-1)-1}\cdots x_n^{m-1}$ generates a block basis with products*

$$x_{n+1-r}^{mr} = - \sum_{\substack{j_1+\cdots+j_n=r \\ j_n \neq r}} x_{j_1}^{mj_1} \cdots x_{j_n}^{mj_n}.$$

5. BLOCK BASIS FOR \mathcal{P}_{U_I}

Denote $H_n = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \cdots, x_n]^{U_I}$. In this section, we will prove that there is a block basis for $\mathcal{P}_{U_I} := \mathcal{P}/(H_{n,+})$.

5.1. It will be useful introduce an algebra homomorphism $\theta : \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \cdots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \cdots, x_{m_l-1}]$ by $\theta(x_i) = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m_l-1$ and $\theta(x_i) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\ker(\theta)$ is the ideal generated by x_{m_l-1+1}, \cdots, x_n .

5.2. Let $\Psi_n = \{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \mid \forall k, 0 \leq i_k < \tau(k)\}$. We will prove that Ψ_n is a basis for \mathcal{P} over \mathcal{P}^{U_I} . Namely,

$$(5.1) \quad f_I := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{q^{\tau(i)}-1} = x_{m_1+1}^{q^{m_1}-1} \cdots x_{m_2}^{q^{m_1}-1} x_{m_2+1}^{q^{m_2}-1} \cdots x_{m_l}^{q^{m_{l-1}}-1}$$

generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_{U_I} .

Lemma 5.1. *If $\alpha \in \Psi_n$, then $x_{m_{l-1}+j}\alpha$ is in the \mathcal{P}^{U_I} -module spanned by Ψ_n for all $j = 1, \dots, n_l$.*

Proof. Suppose $\alpha = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in \Psi_n$. Without loss of generality, one can assume $j = n_l$, and hence $m_{l-1} + j = n$.

If $i_n < q^{m_{l-1}} - 1$, then $x_n \alpha \in \Psi_n$ and Lemma holds. Now, if $i_n = q^{m_{l-1}} - 1$, then $x_n \alpha = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} x_n^{q^{m_{l-1}}}$. By 3.2,

$$v_{l,n_l} = F_{m_{l-1}}(x_n) = x_n^{q^{m_{l-1}}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m_{l-1}} Q_{m_{l-1},i} x_n^{q^{m_{l-1}-i}}.$$

Therefore,

$$x_n^{q^{m_{l-1}}} = v_{l,n_l} - \sum_{i=1}^{m_{l-1}} Q_{m_{l-1},i} x_n^{q^{m_{l-1}-i}},$$

and

$$x_n \alpha = v_{l,n_l} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{m_{l-1}} Q_{m_{l-1},i} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} x_n^{q^{m_{l-1}-i}}.$$

Since $Q_{m_{l-1},i} \in \mathcal{P}^{G_I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{U_I}$ and $v_{l,n_l} \in \mathcal{P}^{U_I}$. Lemma holds. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Ψ_n is a basis for \mathcal{P} over \mathcal{P}^{U_I} . Namely, f_I generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_{U_I} .*

Proof. Since the cardinality of Ψ_n equals the dimension of \mathcal{P}_{U_I} , it is sufficient to prove that Ψ_n is a spanning set. We use induction on n .

For $n = 1$, the result is trivial.

For $n > 1$, we use induction on the degree. The result is clear for polynomials of degree zero. Now, suppose $f \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\deg(f) > 0$. By the primary induction hypothesis

$$\theta(f) = \sum_{\beta \in \Psi_{m_{l-1}}} c_\beta \beta$$

for some $c_\beta \in H_{m_{l-1}}$. The kernel of θ is the ideal generated by $x_{m_{l-1}+1}, \dots, x_n$ and therefore $f = \theta(f) + x_{m_{l-1}+j} f'$ for some $m_{l-1} < j \leq n$ and $f' \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $\deg(f') < \deg(f)$, by the secondary induction hypothesis,

$$f = \theta(f) + x_{m_{l-1}+j} f' = \sum_{\beta \in \Psi_{m_{l-1}}} c_\beta \beta + \sum_{\gamma \in \Psi_n} d_\gamma x_{m_{l-1}+j} \gamma.$$

By Lemma 5.1, $x_{m_{l-1}+j} \gamma$ is in the span of Ψ_n . Note that $\Psi_{m_{l-1}} \subseteq \Psi_n$ and $H_{m_{l-1}} \subseteq H_n$. Therefore, f is in the H_n span of Ψ_n . \square

5.3. products of block basis.

Lemma 5.3. *For each $0 \leq i \leq l-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, $x_{m_i+j}^{q^{m_i}} \equiv 0$ in \mathcal{P}_{U_I} .*

Proof. By 3.2, $v_{i+1,j} = F_{m_i}(x_{m_i+j}) = x_{m_i+j}^{q^{m_i}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} Q_{m_i,k} x_{m_i+j}^{q^{m_i-k}}$. All $v_{i+1,j}$ and $Q_{m_i,k}$ lie in \mathcal{I} . Hence lemma holds. \square

Corollary 5.4. $\mathcal{P}_{U_I} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{K}[x_i]/(x_i^{q^{\tau(i)}})$.

Remark 5.5. Note that the hypothesis of Lemma 2.6 are satisfied by monomial f_I . This gives another proof of the existence of a block basis for \mathcal{P}_{U_I} . In fact, $\Sigma(H_n) = \{1\}$. And the critical monomials associated to f_I are $x_j^{q^{\tau(j)}}$. By 5.3, $x_j^{q^{\tau(j)}} \equiv 0$. Moreover, by [1, Theorem 4.7], one get Corollary 5.4.

6. BLOCK BASIS FOR \mathcal{P}_{G_I}

6.1. For $1 \leq k \leq l$, denote $\tilde{G}_k = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & G_2 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & G_k \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathcal{Q}_k = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_{m_k}]$, $\mathcal{I}_k = (\mathcal{Q}_{k,+}^{\tilde{G}_k})$ and

$\mathcal{P}_k = \mathbb{F}_q[x_{m_{k-1}+1}, \dots, x_{m_{k-1}+n_k}]$.

For each $1 \leq i \leq l$, suppose $x_{m_{i-1}+1}^{\alpha_{i1}} \cdots x_{m_i}^{\alpha_{i,n_i}}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_i over $\mathcal{P}_i^{G_i}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Keep notations as above. \mathcal{P} is a free \mathcal{P}^{G_I} module with a basis consisting of*

$$\left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n x_k^{a_k} \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} v_{ij}^{b_{ij}} \mid 0 \leq a_k < \tau(k), 0 \leq b_{ij} < \alpha_{ij} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathbb{F}_q[v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,n_i}]$ be a polynomial ring with generators v_{ij} , $1 \leq j \leq n_i$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} \bigoplus_{b_{ij}=1}^{\alpha_{ij}} v_{i,1}^{b_{i1}} \cdots v_{i,n_i}^{b_{i,n_i}} (\mathcal{R}_i)^{G_i}.$$

By Theorem 5.2, $f_I = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{q^{\tau(i)}-1}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_{U_I} . Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{a_i=0}^{\tau(i)-1} x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \mathcal{P}^{U_I}.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}^{U_I} = \mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{R}_l$, lemma holds. \square

Lemma 6.2. *For all $1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq n_i$, $v_{ij} \equiv x_{m_{i-1}+j}^{q^{m_{i-1}}}$.*

Proof. By 3.2, $v_{i,j} = x_{m_{i-1}+j}^{q^{m_{i-1}}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i-1}} Q_{m_{i-1},k} x_{m_{i-1}+j}^{q^{m_{i-1}-k}}$. Since $Q_{m_{i-1},k} \in \mathcal{I}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, lemma holds. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Suppose $x_{m_{i-1}+1}^{\alpha_{i1}} \cdots x_{m_i}^{\alpha_{i,n_i}}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_i over $\mathcal{P}_i^{G_i}$. Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{m_{i-1}+j}^{(\alpha_{ij}+1)q^{m_{i-1}}-1}$$

generates a block basis of \mathcal{P} over \mathcal{P}^{G_I} .

6.2. **Block basis for \mathcal{P}_{GL_I} .** If $G_I = GL_I$, then $G_i = GL_{n_i}(q)$. By [11, Theorem B] (a generalization refers to [1, Theorem 3.2]), the monomial $\prod_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{m_{i-1}+j}^{q^{n_i}-q^{n_i-j}-1}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_i over $\mathcal{P}_i^{GL_{n_i}(p)}$. The following proposition is a corollary of Theorem 6.3.

Proposition 6.4. *The monomial $\prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{m_{i-1}+j}^{q^{m_i}-q^{m_i-j}-1}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_{GL_I} .*

Remark 6.5. (1) Take $I = (n)$, then $l = 1, n_1 = m_1 = n$. Proposition provides a block basis for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(q)}$ which coincide with [11, Theorem B] and [1, Theorem 3.2].

(2) Take $I = (1, \dots, 1)$, then $l = n, m_i = i$ and the monomial $\prod_{i=1}^l x_i^{q^i - q^{i-1} - 1}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_B where B is a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ consisting of all upper triangle matrices.

6.3. Weyl groups of Cartan type Lie algebras. By [5], G_I is a Weyl group of restricted Cartan type Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type W, S or H where $I = (n_1, n_2)$, $G_I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(p), C \in G_2 \right\}$,

$G_2 = G(m, 1, n_2)$ and $m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } W \text{ or } S, \\ 2 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} \text{ is of type } H. \end{cases}$

By Theorem 4.6, the monomial $\prod_{i=n_1+1}^n x_i^{m(n-i+1)-1}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P}_2 over $\mathcal{P}_2^{G_2}$.

The following is a corollary of Theorem 6.3.

Proposition 6.6. *The monomial $x_1^{p^{n_1}-p^{n_1-1}-1} \dots x_{n_1}^{p^{n_1}-2} x_{n_1+1}^{m(n_2+1)p^{n_1}-1} x_{n_1+2}^{mn_2p^{n_1}-1} \dots x_n^{mp^{n_1}-1}$ generates a block basis for \mathcal{P} over \mathcal{P}^{G_I} .*

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by Fundamental Research Funds of Yunnan Province (No. 2020J0375), the Fundamental Research Funds of YNUFE (No. 80059900196).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. E. A. Campbell, I. P. Hughes, R. J. Shank and D. L. Wehlau, *Bases for rings of coinvariants*, Transformation Groups, Vol.1, No.4(1996), 307-336.
- [2] L. Dickson, *A fundamental system of invariants of the general modular linear group with a solution of the form problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1911), 75-98.
- [3] T. Hewett, *Modular invariant theory of parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and the associated steenrod modules*, Duke Math. J. 82 (1996), 91-102; Erratum, Duke Math. J. 97 (1999), 217.
- [4] J.Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in prime characteristic*, in *Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry*, Proceedings, Montreal, 1997, NATO ASI Series, Vol. C514, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (1998), 185-235.
- [5] M. Jensen, *Invariant Theory of Restricted Cartan Type Lie Algebras*, PhD-Thesis, Aarhus University, 2015.
- [6] R. Kane, *Reflection Group and Invariant Theory*, CMS Books in Mathematics 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [7] N. Kuhn, S. Mitchell, *The multiplicity of the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the symmetric algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), 1-6.
- [8] P. Minh, V. Tùng, *Modular invariants of parabolic subgroups of general linear groups*, J. Algebra 232 (2000), 197-208.
- [9] H.Nakajima, *Invariants of finite groups generated by pseudo-reflections in positive characteristic*, Tsukuba J. Math, Vol. 3, No. 1 (1979), 109-122.
- [10] K. Ou, *Modular Invariants for some Finite Pseudo-Reflection Groups*, arXiv:2003.03557.
- [11] R. Steinberg, *On Dickson's theorem on invariants*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. 34 (1987), 699-707.

(Ke Ou) SCHOOL OF STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, KUNMING 650221, CHINA

E-mail address: keou@ynufe.edu.cn