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#### Abstract

The work is devoted to the construction of the asymptotic behavior of the solution of a singularly perturbed system of equations of parabolic type, in the case when the limit equation has a regular singularity as the small parameter tends to zero. The asymptotics of the solution of such problems contains boundary layer functions.


## 1. Introduction and summary

We consider the first boundary-value problem for a system of singularly perturbed parabolic equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{\varepsilon} u \equiv(\varepsilon+t) \partial_{t} u-\varepsilon^{2} A(x) \partial_{x}^{2} u-D(t) u=f(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0, \varepsilon)=h(x), \quad u(0, t, \varepsilon)=u(1, t, \varepsilon)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega=(0,1) \times(0, T], \quad \varepsilon>0$ is small parameter, $u=u(x, t, \varepsilon)=$ $\operatorname{col}\left(u_{1}(x, t, \varepsilon), u_{2}(x, t, \varepsilon), \ldots, u_{n}(x, t, \varepsilon)\right), A(x) \in C^{\infty}\left([0,1], \mathbb{C}^{n^{2}}\right)$, $D(t) \in C^{\infty}\left([0, T], \mathbb{C}^{n^{2}}\right), f(x, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$.

The work is a continuation of [1], where instead of the matrix - function $A(x)$ there was a scalar function and an asymptotic of the solution was constructed containing two functions describing the boundary layers along $x=0$ and $x=1$. In this case, the asymptotics contains 2 m parabolic boundary layer functions describing the boundary layers along $x=0$ and $x=1$.

Construction of the asymptotic solution of a singularly perturbed system of parabolic equations is devoted works [2] - [5]. In [2], a regularized asymptotic is constructed in the case when the matrix of coefficients for the desired function has zero multiple eigenvalue. A similar problem was studied in [3] and an asymptotic of the boundary layer type was constructed. The method of boundary functions in [4] studied the bisingular problem for systems of parabolic equations, which is characterized by the presence of nonsmoothness of the asymptotic terms and a singular dependence on a small parameter. In [5] - [6], various problems for split systems of two equations of parabolic type
were studied, and asymptotics of the boundary layer type were constructed. The problems of differential equations of parabolic type with a small parameter were studied in [7]-[9]. In [10]-[13], numerical methods for solving singularly perturbed problems are studied.

## 2. Statement of the problem

We consider the first boundary-value problem (1.1).
The problem is solved under the following assumptions:

1) For $n$ is dimensional vector-valued functions $f(x, t)$ and $h(x)$ performed inclusions

$$
f(x, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^{n}\right), \quad h(x) \in C^{\infty}\left([0,1], \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)
$$

for $n \times n$ are matrix-valued functions $D(t)$ and $A(x)$ inclusion

$$
D(t) \in C^{\infty}\left([0, T], \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\right), \quad A(x) \in C^{\infty}\left([0,1], \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\right) ;
$$

2) The real parts of all roots $\lambda_{i}(x) \lambda, i=\overline{1, n}$, of the equation $\operatorname{det}(A(x)-$ $\lambda E)=0$ are positive and $\lambda_{i}(x) \neq \lambda_{j}(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1]$, if $i \neq j, \quad i, j=\overline{1, n}$;
3) The real parts of the eigenvalues $\beta_{j}(t), \quad j=\overline{1, n}$ of the matrix $D(t)$ are not positive, i.e. $\operatorname{Re}\left(\beta_{j}(t)\right) \leq 0$ and $\beta_{i}(0) \neq \beta_{j}(t) \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j=$ $\overline{1, n}$;
4) Completed the conditions of approval the initial and boundary conditions $h(0)=h(1)=0$.

## 3. Regularization of the problem

Following [1, p.316; 5, p.18], we introduce regularizing variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{i, l}=\frac{\varphi_{i, l}(x)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{3}}} ; \varphi_{i, l}(x)=(-1)^{l-1} \int_{l-1}^{x} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}(s)}}, l=1,2, i=\overline{1, n},  \tag{3.1}\\
& \tau=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \left(\frac{t+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \mu_{j}=\beta_{j}(0) \ln \left(\frac{t+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right) \equiv K_{j}(t, \varepsilon), j=\overline{1, n},
\end{align*}
$$

and an extended function such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\tilde{u}(M, \varepsilon)\right|_{\xi=\varphi(x) / \varepsilon} \equiv u(x, t, \varepsilon), \quad M=(x, t, \xi, \tau, \mu), \quad \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right), \\
\xi_{l}=\left(\xi_{1, l}, \xi_{2, l}, \ldots, \xi_{n, l}\right), \varphi(x)=\left(\varphi_{1}(x), \varphi_{2}(x)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\varphi_{l}(x)=\left(\varphi_{1, l}, \varphi_{2, l}(x), \ldots, \varphi_{n, l}(x)\right), \quad l=1,2, \quad \mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)
$$

Based on (3.1), we find the derivatives from (3.2):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\partial_{t} u \equiv\left(\partial_{t} \tilde{u}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon(t+\varepsilon)} \partial_{\tau} \tilde{u}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{j}(0)}{t+\varepsilon} \partial_{\mu_{j}} \tilde{u}\right)\right|_{\theta=\chi(x, t, \varepsilon)}, \\
\left.\partial_{x} u \equiv\left(\partial_{x} \tilde{u}+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{3}}} \varphi_{i, l}^{\prime}(x) \partial_{\xi_{i}, l} \tilde{u}\right]\right)\right|_{\xi=\varphi(x) / \sqrt{\varepsilon^{3}}}, \\
\left.\partial_{x}^{2} u \equiv\left(\partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{u}+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{3}}\left(\varphi_{i, l}(x)\right)^{2} \partial_{\xi_{i, l}}^{2} \tilde{u}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{3}}} L_{i, l}^{\xi} \tilde{u}\right]\right)\right|_{\xi=\varphi(x) / \sqrt{\varepsilon^{3}}}, \\
L_{\tau, l}^{\xi} \tilde{u}=2 \varphi_{i, l}^{\prime}(x) \partial_{x \xi_{i, l}}^{2} \tilde{u}+\varphi_{i, l}^{\prime \prime}(x) \partial_{\xi_{i, l}} \tilde{u}, \quad \chi(x, t, \varepsilon)=\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{3}}}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \ln \left(\frac{t+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right), K(t, \varepsilon)\right), \\
\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right), \quad \varphi_{l}=\left(\varphi_{1, l}, \varphi_{2, l}, \ldots, \varphi_{n, l}\right) \\
K(t, \varepsilon)=\left(K_{1}(t, \varepsilon), K_{2}(t, \varepsilon), \ldots, K_{n}(t, \varepsilon)\right), \quad \theta=(\tau, \mu) .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to these calculations, as well as (??) and (3.2), we pose the following extended problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{L}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{u} \equiv \varepsilon \partial_{t} \tilde{u}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} T_{0} \tilde{u}+T_{1} \tilde{u}-\sqrt{\varepsilon} L^{\xi} \tilde{u}-\varepsilon^{2} L_{x} \tilde{u}=f(x, t),  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left.\tilde{u}\right|_{t=0}=h(x),\left.\quad \tilde{u}\right|_{x=0, \xi_{i, 1}=0}=\left.\tilde{u}\right|_{x=1, \xi_{i, 2}=0}=0, \quad i=\overline{1, n}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
T_{0} \tilde{u}=\partial_{\tau} \tilde{u}-\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{i, l}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} A(x) \partial_{\xi_{i, l}}^{2} \tilde{u}, T_{1} \tilde{u}=t \partial_{t} \tilde{u}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{j}(0) \partial_{\mu_{j}} \tilde{u}-D(t) \tilde{u},
$$

$$
L^{\xi} \tilde{u}=\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A(x) L_{i, l}^{\xi} \tilde{u}, \quad L_{x} \tilde{u}=A(x) \partial_{x}^{2} \tilde{u}
$$

In this case, the identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{u}(M, \varepsilon)\right)_{\theta=\chi(x, t, \varepsilon)} \equiv L_{\varepsilon} u(x, t, \varepsilon) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to the extended problem (3.3) will be defined as a series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(M, \varepsilon)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k / 2} u_{k}(M) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.5) into problem (3.3) and equating the coefficients for the same powers of P , we obtain the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{0} u_{0}=0, \quad T_{0} u_{1}=0, \quad T_{0} u_{2}=f(x, t)-T_{1} u_{0}, \quad T_{0} u_{3}=L_{\xi} u_{0}-T_{1} u_{1}  \tag{3.6}\\
T_{0} u_{k}=L_{\xi} u_{k-3}+L_{x} u_{k-6}-\partial_{t} u_{k-4}-T_{1} u_{k-2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The initial and boundary conditions for them are set in the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.u_{0}\right|_{t=\tau=\mu=0}=h(x),\left.\quad u_{k}(M)\right|_{t=\mu=\tau=0}=0,  \tag{3.7}\\
\left.u_{k}(M)\right|_{x=l-1, \xi_{i, l}=0}=0, \quad k \geq 1, \quad i=\overline{1, n}, \quad l=1,2 .
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4. Solvability of iterative problems

Each of problems (3.6) has innumerable solutions; therefore, we single out a class of functions in which these problems were uniquely solvable. We introduce the following function classes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{1}=\left\{V(x, t): V(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t), \quad v_{i}(x, t) \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right\} \\
U_{2}=\left\{Y(N): Y(N)=\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x),\left|y_{i}^{l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|<c \exp \left(-\frac{\xi_{i, l}}{8 \tau}\right)\right\}, \\
U_{3}=\left\{C(x, t): C(x, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j}(x, t) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)+p_{i}(x)\right] \psi_{i}(t), \quad c_{i j}(x, t) \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right\}, \\
U_{4}=\left\{Z(N): Z(N)=\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} z_{i, j}^{l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right),\left|z_{i, j}^{l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|<c \exp \left(-\frac{\xi_{i, l}^{2}}{8 \tau}\right)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $N_{i}^{l}=\left(x, t, \xi_{i, l}, \tau, \mu_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad l=1,2$. From these classes of functions we construct a new class as a direct sum:

$$
U=U_{1} \oplus U_{2} \oplus U_{3} \oplus U_{4}
$$

The function $u_{k}(M) \in U$ is representable in vector form:

$$
u_{k}(M)=\Psi(t)\left[V_{k}(x, t)+C^{k}(x, t) \exp (\mu)\right]+
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\sum_{l=1}^{2} B(x)\left[Y^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)+Z^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right) \exp (\mu)\right], \quad C^{k}(x, t)=C_{1}^{k}(x, t)+\Lambda(P(x)), \\
C_{1}^{k}(x, t)=\left(c_{i j}(x, t)\right), \quad V_{k}(x, t)=\operatorname{col}\left(v_{k 1}, v_{k 2}, \ldots, v_{k n}\right) \\
Y^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)=\operatorname{col}\left(Y_{1}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right), Y_{2}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right), \ldots, Y_{n}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)\right), \quad Z^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)=\left(z_{i j}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)\right) \\
\Psi(t)=\left(\psi_{1}(t), \psi_{2}(t), \ldots, \psi_{n}(t)\right), \quad B(x)=\left(b_{1}(x), b_{2}(x), \ldots, b_{n}(x)\right) \\
\exp (\mu)=\operatorname{col}\left(\exp \left(\mu_{1}\right), \exp \left(\mu_{2}\right), \ldots, \exp \left(\mu_{n}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

or in coordinate form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(M)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{k, i}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x)+ \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{c_{i, j}^{k}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{2} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x)\right\} \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{k}(x) \psi_{i}(t) \exp \left(\mu_{i}\right) .
$$

The vector functions $b_{i}(x), \psi_{i}(t)$ included in these classes are eigenfunctions of the matrices $A(x)$ and $D(t)$, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x) b_{i}(x)=\lambda_{i}(x) b_{i}(x), \quad D(t) \psi_{i}(t)=\beta_{i}(t) \psi_{i}(t), \quad i=\overline{1, n} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, according to condition 1), they are smooth of their arguments.
Along with the eigenvectors $b_{i}(x)$ and $\psi_{i}(t)$, the eigenvectors $b_{i}^{*}(x), \psi_{i}^{*}(t), \quad i=$ $\overline{1, n}$ of the conjugate matrices $A^{*}(x), D^{*}(t)$ will be used:

$$
A^{*}(x) b_{i}^{*}(x)=\bar{\lambda}_{i}(x) b_{i}^{*}(x), \quad D^{*}(t) \psi_{i}^{*}(t)=\bar{\beta}_{i}(t) \psi_{i}^{*}(t)
$$

and they are selected biorthogonal:

$$
\left(b_{i}(x), b_{j}^{*}(x)\right)=\delta_{i, j}, \quad\left(\psi_{i}(t), \psi_{j}^{*}(t)\right)=\delta_{i, j}, \quad i, j=\overline{1, n}
$$

where $\delta_{i, j}$ is Kronecker symbol.

We calculate the action of the operators $T_{0}, T_{1}, L_{\xi}, L_{x}$ on the function $u_{k}(M, \varepsilon)$ from (4.1), taking into account relations (4.2) and

$$
\varphi_{i, l}^{\prime 2}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}(x)}, \quad i=\overline{1, n}
$$

we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{0} u_{k}(M) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{2}\left\{\partial_{\tau} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)-\partial_{\xi_{i, l}}^{2} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)+\right.  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\partial_{\tau} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)-\partial_{\xi_{i, l}}^{2} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right] \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\} b_{i}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

or vector form

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{0} u_{k}(M) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{2} B(x)\left\{\partial_{\tau} Y^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)-\partial_{\xi_{l}}^{2} Y^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+\left[\partial_{\tau} Z^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)-\partial_{\xi_{l}}^{2} Z^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)\right] \exp (\mu)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$Y^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)$ is $n$ - vector, $Z^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)$ is $n \times n$-matrix.
Here $B(x)$ is a matrix function $(n \times n)$ whose columns are the eigenvectors $b_{i}(x)$ of the matrix $A(x)$. We calculate

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1} u_{k}=t \partial_{t} u_{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}(0) \partial_{\mu_{j}} u_{k}-D(t) u_{k}= \\
t \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left[\partial_{t} v_{k, i}+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r, i}(t) v_{k, r}(x, t)\right] \psi_{i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \partial_{t} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x)+\right. \\
+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\left(\partial_{t} c_{i j}^{k}(x, t)+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r i}(t) c_{r, j}^{k}(x, t)+\alpha_{j i}(t) p_{j}^{k}(x)\right) \psi_{i}(t)+\right.  \tag{4.4}\\
\left.\left.+\sum_{l=1}^{2} z_{i j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x)\right] \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}+ \\
+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}(0) c_{i j}^{k}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}(0) p_{i}^{k}(x) \psi_{i}(t) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)+
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}(0) z_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t) b_{i}(x) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)- \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\beta_{i}(t) v_{k i}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \gamma_{r, i}(x, t) y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x)\right\}- \\
-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}(t) c_{i, j}^{k}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}(t) p_{i}^{k}(x) \psi_{i}(t) \exp \left(\mu_{i}\right)- \\
-\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \gamma_{r, i}(x, t) z_{r, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) b_{i}(x) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

here $\alpha_{i, r}=\left(\psi_{i}^{\prime}(t), \psi_{r}^{*}(t)\right), \quad \gamma_{i, r}(x, t)=\left(D(t) b_{i}(x), b_{r}^{*}(x)\right)$.
It will be shown below that the scalar functions $y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)$ and $z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)$ are representable in the form:

$$
y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)=d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t) y_{i}^{k, l}\left(\xi_{l}, \tau\right), \quad z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)=\omega_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t) z_{i}^{k, l}\left(\xi_{l}, \tau\right)
$$

Given these representations, we calculate:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{\xi} u_{k}(M)=\sum_{l=1}^{2} A(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left[2 \varphi_{i}^{\prime}(x)\left(b_{i}(x) d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t)\right)_{x}^{\prime}+\right.\right.  \tag{4.5}\\
\left.+\varphi_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(b_{i}(x) d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t)\right)\right] \partial_{\xi_{i, l}} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(\xi_{i, l}, \tau\right)+ \\
+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[2 \varphi_{i}^{\prime}(x)\left(b_{i}(x) \omega_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t)\right)_{x}^{\prime}+\right. \\
\left.\left.+\varphi_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(b_{i}(x) \omega_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t)\right)\right] \partial_{\xi_{i, l}} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(\xi_{i, l}, \tau\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}, \\
L_{x} u_{k}(M)=A(x)\left[\partial_{x}^{2}\left(V_{k}(x, t)\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(B(x) Y^{l, k}\left(N^{l}\right)\right)+\right. \\
\left.+\partial_{x}^{2}\left(\Psi(t) C^{k, 0}(x, t)\right) \exp (\mu)+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(B(x) Z^{l, k}\left(N^{l}\right)\right) \exp (\mu)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Satisfy the function (4.1) of the boundary conditions from (3.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{t=\tau=\mu=0}=0,\left.\quad z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{t=\tau=\mu=0}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{i i}^{k}(x, 0)=-v_{k, i}(x, 0)-p_{i}^{k}(x)-\sum_{i \neq j} c_{i j}^{k}(x, 0) \\
\left.y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{\xi_{i, l}=0}=d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t),\left.\quad d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right|_{x=l-1}=-v_{i}(l-1, t) \psi_{i}(t), \\
\left.z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{\xi_{i, l}=0}=\omega_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t) \\
\left.\omega_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right|_{x=l-1}=-\left[c_{i, j}(l-1, t)+p_{i}(l-1)\right] \psi_{i}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

In general form, the iterative equations (3.6) are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0} u_{k}(M)=h_{k}(M) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $h_{k}(M) \in U$ and conditions 2), 3) hold. Then equation (4.7) has a solution $u_{k}(M) \in U$ if the equations are solvable

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{\tau} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)-\partial_{\xi_{i, l}}^{2} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)=h_{i}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right) \equiv \bar{h}_{i}^{k, 1}(x, t) \bar{h}_{i}^{k, 1}\left(\xi_{i, 1}, \tau\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
\partial_{\tau} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)-\partial_{\xi_{i, l}}^{2} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)=h_{i j}^{k, 2}\left(N^{l}\right) \equiv \bar{h}_{i j}^{k, 2}(x, t) \overline{\bar{h}}_{i}^{k, 2}\left(\xi_{i, 2}, \tau\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $h_{k}(M)=\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[h_{i}^{k, 1}\left(N^{l}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{i j}^{k, 2}\left(N^{l}\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right] b_{i}(x) \in$ $U$. Pose (4.1) into equation (4.7), then, on the basis of calculations (4.5), with respect to $y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right), \quad z_{i j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)$, we obtain equations (4.8).

These equations, under appropriate boundary value conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{t=\tau=\mu=0}=0,\left.\quad y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{\xi_{i, l}=0}=d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t) \\
\left.z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{t=\tau=\mu=0}=0,\left.\quad y_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{\xi_{i, l}=0}=\omega_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t)
\end{gathered}
$$

Solutions are represented in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) & =d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\xi_{i, l}}{2 \sqrt{\tau}}\right)+\bar{h}_{i}^{k, 1}(x, t) I_{1}\left(\xi_{i, l}, \tau\right),  \tag{4.9}\\
z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) & =\omega_{i, j}^{k, l}(x, t) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\xi_{i, l}}{2 \sqrt{\tau}}\right)+{\overline{h_{i j}}}^{-k, 2}(x, t) I_{2}\left(\xi_{i, l}, \tau\right) \\
I_{r}\left(\xi_{i, l}, \tau\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\bar{h}}_{i}^{k, r}(\eta, s)}{\sqrt{\tau-s}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\left(\xi_{i, l}-\eta\right)^{2}}{4(\tau-s)}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-\exp \left(-\frac{\left(\xi_{i, l}+\eta\right)^{2}}{4(\tau-s)}\right)\right] d \eta d s, \quad r=1,2,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{h}_{i}^{k, r}(x, t), \bar{h}_{i}^{k, r}(\eta, s)$ are known functions.

Evaluation of the integral

$$
\left|I_{r}\left(\xi_{l}\right)\right| \leq c \exp \left(-\frac{\xi_{i}, l^{2}}{8 \tau}\right)
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let conditions 1) -4) be satisfied, then equation (3.6) under additional conditions

1) $\left.u_{k}\right|_{t=\mu=\tau=0}=0,\left.\quad u_{k}\right|_{x=l-1, \xi_{i, l}=0}=0, \quad l=1,2$;
2) $-T_{1} u_{k}-\partial_{t} u_{k-2}+L_{x} u_{k-4} \in U_{2} \oplus U_{4}$;
3) $L_{\xi} u_{k}=0$,
has the unique solution in $U$.
Proof. Satisfying the function $u_{k}(M) \in U$ with the boundary conditions from (??) we obtain (4.6). Based on calculations (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
-T_{1} u_{k}-\partial_{t} u_{k-2}+L_{x} u_{k-4}=-t \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\partial_{t} V_{k, i}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t)+\right. \\
+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r i}(t) V_{k, r}(x, t) \psi_{i}(t)+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r i}(t) p_{r}^{k}(x) \psi_{i}(t) \exp (\mu)+ \\
+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\partial_{t} c_{i j}^{k}+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r, i}(t) c_{r j}^{k}(x, t)\right] \psi_{i}(t) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)+ \\
\left.+\sum_{l=1}^{2}\left[\partial_{t} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{t} z_{i j}^{k}\left(N^{l}\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right] b_{i}(x)\right\}- \\
-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \psi_{i}(t) c_{i j}^{k}(x, t)\left(\beta_{j}(0)-\beta_{j}(t)\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)- \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{i}(t) p_{i}^{k}(x)\left(\beta_{j}(0)-\beta_{j}(t)\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)+ \\
+\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sum_{r=1}^{n} \gamma_{i r}(x, t) b_{r}(x) y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \gamma_{i, r}(x, t) b_{r}(x) z_{i j}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\}-
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r, i}(t) p_{r}^{k-2}(x) \exp \left(\mu_{r}\right) \psi_{i}(t)- \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\partial_{t} v_{k-2}+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r, i}(t) v_{r}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\partial_{t} c_{i j}^{k-2}+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r, i}(t) c_{r, j}^{k-2}(x, t)\right] \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\} \psi_{i}(t)- \\
-\sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\partial_{t} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{t} z_{i j}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\} b_{i}(x)+ \\
+A(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\partial_{x}^{2} V_{k-4, i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x}^{2}\left[c_{i j}^{k-4}(x, t)+p_{i}^{k-4}(x)\right] \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right\} \psi_{i}(t)+ \\
\quad+A(x) \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(\left[y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{i j}^{k, l}\left(N^{l}\right) \exp \left(\mu_{j}\right)\right] b_{i}(x)\right), \\
\alpha_{i r}=\left(\psi_{i}^{\prime}(t), \psi_{r}(t)\right), \quad \gamma_{i r}(x, t)=\left(D(t) b_{i}(x), b_{r}^{*}(x)\right) . \\
\operatorname{Providing~the~condition~of~Theorem~} 1, \text { we set } \\
(4.10)  \tag{4.10}\\
t\left[\partial_{t} V_{k}+A^{T}(t) V_{k}\right]=-\partial_{t} V_{k-2}-L_{x} V_{k-4}(x, t), \\
t\left[\partial_{t} C^{k}+A^{T}(t)\left(C^{k}(x, t)+\Lambda\left(P^{k}(x)\right)\right)\right]+\left[C^{k}(x, t)+\Lambda\left(P^{k}(x)\right)\right] \Lambda(\beta(0))-  \tag{4.11}\\
(4.11) \\
\quad-\Lambda(\beta(t))\left[C^{k}(x, t)+\Lambda\left(P^{k}(x)\right)\right]= \\
=-\partial_{t} C^{k-2}-A^{T}(t)\left[C^{k-2}+\Lambda\left(P^{k-2}(x)\right)\right]+L_{x}\left[C^{k-4}+\Lambda\left(P^{k-4}(x)\right)\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (4.10) is solved without an initial condition and uniquely determines the function $V_{k}(x, t)$ [Vazov]. Providing the solvability of equation
(4.11) we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\left.\overline{C^{k}(x, t) \Lambda(\beta(0))-\Lambda(\beta(t)) C^{k}(x, t)}\right|_{t=0}=} \\
=-\left.\overline{\overline{\partial_{t} C^{k-2}-A^{T}(t) C^{k-2}(x, t)-A^{T}(t) \Lambda\left(P^{k-2}\right)+L_{x}\left(C^{k-4}\right)}}\right|_{t=0} \\
\overline{A^{T}(t) \Lambda\left(P^{k-2}(x)\right)}=\overline{\left[-\partial_{t} C^{k-2}-A^{T}(t) C^{k-2}-L_{x}\left(C^{k-4}(x, t)+\Lambda\left(P^{k-4}(x)\right)\right)\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

or in coordinate form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.c_{i j}^{k}(x, t)\right|_{t=0}=-\frac{1}{\beta_{j}(0)-\beta_{i}(t)}\left[\partial_{t} c_{i j}^{k-2}+\sum_{r \neq j} \alpha_{r i}(t) c_{r j}^{k-2}(x, t)-q_{i j}(x, t)\right]_{t=0}, \\
p_{i}^{k-2}(x)=-\frac{1}{\alpha_{i i}(t)}\left[\partial_{t} c_{i i}^{k-2}+\alpha_{i i}(t) c_{i i}^{k-2}-q_{i i}(x, t)\right]_{t=0},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $q_{i j}(x, t)$ is known functions included in $A(x) \partial_{x}^{2}\left[C^{k-4}(x, t)+\Lambda\left(P^{k-4}(x)\right)\right]$.
On the basis of (4.5), condition 3), Theorem 2 is ensured if arbitrary functions $d_{i}^{l, k}(x, t) b_{i}(x), \omega_{i j}^{k, l}(x, t) b_{i}(x)$ are solutions to the problems:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \varphi_{i, l}^{\prime}(x)\left(d_{i}^{l, k}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right)_{x}^{\prime}+\varphi_{i, l}^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(d_{i}^{l, k}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right)=0 \\
& \left.d_{i}^{l, k}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right|_{x=l-1}=-v_{k}(l-1, t) \psi_{i}(t), \quad i=\overline{1, n} \\
& 2 \varphi_{i, l}^{\prime}(x)\left(\omega_{i, j}^{l, k}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right)_{x}^{\prime}+\varphi_{i, l}^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(\omega_{i, j}^{l, k}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right)=0 \\
& \left.\omega_{i, j}^{l, k}(x, t) b_{i}(x)\right|_{x=l-1}=-\left[c_{i j}^{k}(l-1, t)+p_{i}(l-1)\right] \psi_{j}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, arbitrary functions $d_{i}^{l, k}(x, t), \quad \omega_{i j}^{k, l}(x, t), \quad v_{k i}(x, t), \quad c_{i j}^{k, l}(x, t)$ included in (4.1) are uniquely determined.

## 5. Solving iterative problems

Iterative equation (3.6) for $k=0,1$ is homogeneous; therefore, by Theorem 1 , it has a solution $u_{k}(M) \in U$ if the functions $y_{i}^{l, k}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right), z_{i, j}^{l, k}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)$ are solutions
of the equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{\tau} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)=\partial_{\xi_{i, l}^{2}} y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right), \\
& \partial_{\tau} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)=\partial_{\xi_{i, l}^{2}} z_{i, j}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for boundary value conditions

$$
\left.y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{t=\tau=0}=0,\left.\quad y_{i}^{k, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right)\right|_{\xi_{i, l}=0}=d_{i}^{k, l}(x, t)
$$

From this problem we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i}^{0, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) & =d_{i}^{0, l}(x, t) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\xi_{i, l}}{2 \sqrt{\tau}}\right) \\
z_{i, j}^{0, l}\left(N_{i}^{l}\right) & =\omega_{i, j}^{0, l}(x, t) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\xi_{i, l}}{2 \sqrt{\tau}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $d_{i}^{0, l}(x, t), \omega_{i, j}^{l, 0}(x, t)$ are determined from problems (4.12), which ensure that condition $L_{\xi} u_{0}=0$. is satisfied. Using calculations (4.4), the free term of iterative equation (3.6) at $k=2$ is written as

$$
F_{2}(M)=-T_{1} u_{0}(M)+f(x, t)
$$

by Theorem 1, an equation with such a free term is solvable in $U$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left[\partial_{t} v_{0, i}(x, t)+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r i}(x) v_{0, r}(x, t)\right]-\beta_{i}(t) v_{0, i}(x, t)\right\}=f(x, t) \\
& \quad t \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{\left[\partial_{t} c_{i j}^{0}(x, t)+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \alpha_{r i}(t) c_{r j}^{0}(x, t)\right]+\alpha_{j i}(t) p_{j}^{0}(x)\right\}+ \\
& \\
& \quad+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left[\beta_{j}(0)-\beta_{i}(t)\right] c_{i j}^{0}(x, t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\beta_{j}(0)-\beta_{i}(t)\right] p_{i}^{0}(x)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (5.2) we uniquely determine $c_{i, j}^{0}(x, t)=0, \forall i \neq j$ and the function $c_{i, i}^{0}(x, t)$ is determined from the equation

$$
t\left[\partial_{t} c_{i i}^{0}(x, t)+\alpha_{i i}(t) c_{i i}^{0}(x, t)\right]+\left(\beta_{i}(0)-\beta_{i}(t)\right) c_{i i}^{0}(x, t)+
$$

$$
+\left[\beta_{i}(0)-\beta_{i}(t)\right] p_{i}^{0}(x)=0
$$

under the initial condition

$$
c_{i i}^{0}(x, 0)=-v_{0, i}(x, 0)-p_{i}^{0}(x) .
$$

The first equation from (5.2), by virtue of condition 2), has a solution satisfying the condition [Vazov]

$$
\left\|v^{0}(x, 0)\right\|<\infty
$$

We calculate the free term of equation (3.6) at $k=3$

$$
F_{3}(M)=-T_{1} u_{1},
$$

which has the same view as $T_{1} u_{0}$. Providing the solvability of equation $T_{0} u_{3}=-T_{1} u_{1}$ in $U$, with respect to $c_{i j}^{1}(x, t), v_{1 i}(x, t)$ we obtain equations (5.2).

In the next step $(k=4)$, the free term has the view

$$
F_{4}(M)=-T_{1} u_{2}-\partial_{t} u_{0}+L_{\xi} u_{1} .
$$

The functions $d_{i}^{1, l}(x, t), \omega_{i, j}^{1, l}(x, t)$ entering the $u_{1}(M)$ provide the condition $L_{\xi} u_{1}=0$. Providing the solvability of the iterative equation at $k=4$, we set

$$
t\left[\partial_{t} v_{2 i}(x, t)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k i}(x) v_{2 k}(x, t)\right]-\beta_{i}(t) v_{2 i}(x, t)=-\partial_{t} v_{0 i}(x, t) .
$$

For $c_{i j}^{2}(x, t)$, we obtain the same equation of the form (5.2), but with the right-hand side $\partial_{t} c_{i j}^{0}(x, t)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k i}(x)\left(c_{k j}^{0}(x, t)+\alpha_{j i}(x) p_{j}^{0}(x)\right)$.

Taking off the degeneracy of this equation as $t=0$, we set $p_{i}^{0}(x)=$ $-\frac{1}{\alpha_{i i}(t)}\left[\partial_{t} c_{i i}^{0}+\alpha_{i i}(x) c_{i i}^{0}\right]_{t=0}$.

Further repeating the described process, using Theorems 1 and 2, sequentially determining $u_{k}(M), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n$, we construct a partial sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon n}(M)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \varepsilon^{k / 2} u_{k}(M) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Estimate for the remainder

For the remainder term

$$
R_{\varepsilon n}(M)=u(M, \varepsilon)-u_{\varepsilon n}(M)=u(M, \varepsilon)-\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \varepsilon^{k / 2} u_{k}(M)+\varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{2}} u_{n+1}
$$

we get the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{L}_{\varepsilon} R_{\varepsilon n}(M)=\varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{2}} g_{\varepsilon n}(M) \\
\left.R_{\varepsilon n}(M)\right|_{t=0}=\left.R_{\varepsilon n}\right|_{x=l-1}=0, \quad l=1,2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By narrowing in this problem by means of regularizing functions. Following [Hartman], passing to Euclidean norms, we obtain a problem that is limited by the maximum principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R_{\varepsilon n}(x, t, \varepsilon)\right\|<c \varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6.1. Let condition 1) -4) be satisfied. Then the restriction of the constructed solution (5.3) is an asymptotic solution to the problem (??), i.e. $\forall n=0,1, \ldots$ the estimate (6.1) holds at $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
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